

AI Debate Systems: Enhancing Structured Argumentation and Interactivity

Mohamad Faraj Makkawi, Hassan Khan
Supervisors: Mihai Andries, Christophe Lohr
Institution: IMT Atlantique, France

February 25, 2025

Abstract

A debate is a formal discussion where the participants form and support arguments, a good debate must include logical structure, reason from fact, counterarguments, persuasion, and interactivity so AI debate systems must follow structured argumentation models to present clear and logical discussions. Falsified reasoning is also used to reinforce arguments based on more recent evidence, and rebuttal games are interactive. Nature Language Processing based reasoning also enhances the understanding and flexibility of AI arguments. Such approaches enhance the ability of AI systems to engage in relevant and interpretable dialogue.

Different types of conversational agents that are capable of debating include rule-based agents using pre-defined templates, retrieval-based agents that look up arguments from a database, and hybrid agents that combine structured reasoning with retrieval-based methods. Advanced systems, such as hierarchical persuasion agents, learn how to tailor arguments based on feedback from users, while explainable debate agents construct their reasoning via formal argumentation structures. AI-based debate systems are particularly precious in domains such as healthcare, law, and business, where transparency of reasons is of significant importance. Advanced work must merge hierarchical argumentation, retrieval-based learning, and interactive user engagement to create more persuasive and transparent AI debaters.

1 Understanding Debate and What Makes a Good Debate:

Exploration of what a debate is and the qualities that make a debate effective or well-executed. It is an inquiry into both the nature of debates and the criteria for judging them as good debates.

2 Conversational Agent Debating Techniques and Applications:

Conversational Agent Debate or argumentation systems utilize a variety of approaches to structure, analyze, and reason arguments. Such approaches allow AI to handle complex reasoning, ambiguity, and interactive dialogue. Argumentation schemes and graphs help monitor and structure arguments and counterarguments. There are some techniques like fuzzy cognitive maps and falsifiable reasoning by which AI can be made decision-capable even in incompleteness or ambiguities. The most critical point of an effective AI argumentation system is that it must be capable of convincing and explaining, so its output will be believable and understandable, thus creating more trust and interaction from the users.

3 Existing Types of Conversational Agents Capable of Debating a Subject:

- Rule-Based Agents → Use predefined argument templates.
- Retrieval-Based Agents → Fetch arguments from a database.
- Hybrid Argumentation Agents → Combine retrieval with reasoning.
- Hierarchical Persuasion Agents → Adapt arguments based on user feedback.
- Explainable Debate Agents → Justify their reasoning using argumentation.

Resources for Information:

Google Scholar, ResearchGate, IEEE Xplore, and IMT Library.

Technologies:

GitHub, LaTeX.

References:

1. Rakshit, Geetanjali, Kevin K. Bowden, Lena Reed, Amita Misra, and Marilyn Walker. "Debbie, the debate bot of the future." In *Advanced Social Interaction with Agents: 8th International Workshop on Spoken Dialog Systems*, pp. 45-52. Springer International Publishing, 2019.
2. Tan, Chenhao, Vlad Niculae, Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, and Lillian Lee. "Winning arguments: Interaction dynamics and persuasion strategies in good-faith online discussions." In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web*, pp. 613-624, 2016.
3. Kulatska, Iryna. "ArgueBot: Enabling debates through a hybrid retrieval-generation-based chatbot." Master's thesis, University of Twente, 2019.
4. Lisa A. Chalagune, Anthony Hunter, "A persuasive chatbot using a crowd-sourced argument graph and concerns," *Computational Models of Argument* 326 (2020): 9.
5. Sakai, Kazuki, Ryuichiro Higashinaka, Yuichiro Yoshikawa, Hiroshi Ishiguro, and Junji Tomita. "Hierarchical argumentation structure for persuasive argumentative dialogue generation." *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems* 103, no. 2 (2020): 424-434.
6. Engelmann, Débora, Juliana Damasio, Alison R. Panisson, Viviana Mascardi, and Rafael H. Bordini. "Argumentation as a method for explainable AI: A systematic literature review." In *17th IEEE Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI)*, 2022, pp. 1-6.