Implementing Security Check with Attempts

fork and edit tutorial (https://github.ibm.com/MFPSamples/DevCenter/tree/master/tutorials/en/foundation/8.0/authentication-and-security/security-check-with-attempts/index.md) | report issue (https://github.ibm.com/MFPSamples/DevCenter/issues/new)

Overview

This abstract class extends | SecurityCheckWithExternalization | and implements most of its methods to simplify usage. The only two methods required to implement are validateCredentials | and | createChallenge |. This class is good for simple flows that just need to validate some arbitrary credentials to grant access.

This class also provides built-in capabilities to block access after a set number of attempts.

This tutorial uses the example of a hard-coded PIN code to protect resources, and gives the client 3 attempts (after which the client is blocked for 60 seconds).

PinCodeAttempts

In a Java adapter, add a Java class named PinCodeAttempts that extends SecurityCheckWithAttempts.

```
public class PinCodeAttempts extends SecurityCheckWithAttempts {
    @Override
    protected boolean validateCredentials(Map<String, Object> credentials) {
        return false;
    }
    @Override
    protected Map<String, Object> createChallenge() {
        return null;
    }
}
```

Creating the challenge

When the SecurityCheck is triggered, it sends a challenge to the client. Returning null will creating an empty challenge which may be enough in some cases. Optionally, you can some data with the challenge, such as an error message to display, or any other data that can be used by the client.

For example, PinCodeAttempts sends a predefined error message and the number of remaining attempts.

```
@Override
protected Map<String, Object> createChallenge() {
   HashMap challenge = new HashMap();
   challenge.put("errorMsg",errorMsg);
   challenge.put("remainingAttempts",remainingAttempts);
   return challenge;
}
```

remainingAttempts is inherited from SecurityCheckWithAttempts.

Validating the credentials

When the client sends the challenge's answer, the answer is passed to validateCredentials as a Map. This method should implement your logic and return true if the credentials are valid.

```
@Override
protected boolean validateCredentials(Map<String, Object> credentials) {
  if(credentials!=null && credentials.containsKey("pin")){
     String pinCode = credentials.get("pin").toString();
     if(pinCode.equals("1234")){
       return true;
     }
     else {
       errorMsg = "Pin code is not valid.";
  }
  else{
     errorMsg = "Pin code was not provided";
  }
  //In any other case, credentials are not valid
  return false;
}
```

Configuration class

Instead of hardcoding the valid PIN code, let's allow it to be configured in the adapter.xml and the MobileFirst Console.

Create a new Java class that extends SecurityCheckWithAttemptsConfig. It is important to extend a class that matches the parent SecurityCheck in order to inherit the default configuration.

```
public class PinCodeConfig extends SecurityCheckWithAttemptsConfig {
   public String pinCode;

public PinCodeConfig(Properties properties) {
     super(properties);
     pinCode = getStringProperty("pinCode", properties, "1234");
}
```

The only required method in this class is a constructor that can handle a Properties instance. Use the get[Type]Property methods to retrieve a specific property from the adapter.xml. If no value is found, the third parameter defines a default value (1234).

In your main class (PinCodeAttempts), add the following two methods to be able to load the configuration:

```
@Override
public SecurityCheckConfiguration createConfiguration(Properties properties) {
    return new PinCodeConfig(properties);
}
@Override
protected PinCodeConfig getConfig() {
    return (PinCodeConfig) super.getConfig();
}
```

Now, you can use getConfig().pinCode to retrieve the default PIN code.

validateCredentials can be modified to use the PIN code from the configuration instead of the hardcoded value.

```
@Override
protected boolean validateCredentials(Map<String, Object> credentials) {
  if(credentials!=null && credentials.containsKey(PINCODE FIELD)){
     String pinCode = credentials.get(PINCODE_FIELD).toString();
     if(pinCode.equals(getConfig().pinCode)){
       return true;
     }
     else {
       errorMsg = "Pin code is not valid. Hint: " + getConfig().pinCode;
     }
  }
  else{
     errorMsg = "Pin code was not provided";
  }
  //In any other case, credentials are not valid
  return false;
}
```

Configuring the SecurityCheck

In your adapter.xml, add a <securityCheckDefinition> element:

```
<securityCheckDefinition name="PinCodeAttempts" class="com.sample.PinCodeAttempts">
    cproperty name="pinCode" defaultValue="1234" displayName="The valid PIN code"/>
    cproperty name="maxAttempts" defaultValue="3" displayName="How many attempts are allowed"/>
    cproperty name="failureExpirationSec" defaultValue="60" displayName="How long before the client can tr
y again (seconds)"/>
    cproperty name="successExpirationSec" defaultValue="60" displayName="How long is a successful state
valid for (seconds)"/>
    </securityCheckDefinition>
```

The name attribute will be the name of your SecurityCheck, the class should be set to the class created previously.

A securityCheckDefinition can contain zero or more property elements. The pinCode property is the one defined in the PinCodeConfig configuration class. The other properties are inherited from the SecurityCheckWithAttemptsConfig configuration class.

By default, if you do not specify those properties in the adapter.xml you received the defaults set by SecurityCheckWithAttemptsConfig:

```
public SecurityCheckWithAttemptsConfig(Properties properties) {
    super(properties);
    maxAttempts = getIntProperty("maxAttempts", properties, 1);
    attemptIntervalSec = getIntProperty("attemptIntervalSec", properties, 120);
    successExpirationSec = getIntProperty("successExpirationSec", properties, 3600);
    failureExpirationSec = getIntProperty("failureExpirationSec", properties, 0);
}
```

Note that the default for failureExpirationSec is set to 0, which means if the client sends invalid credentials, it can try again "after 0 seconds". This means that by default the "attempts" feature is disabled.