

Lambda Calculus

John Mitchell

Reading: Chapter 4

Lambda Calculus

- Formal system with three parts
 - Notation for defining functions
 - Proof system for proving equations
 - Calculation rules called *reduction*

There is more detail in the book than we will cover in class

History

- ❑ Original intention
 - Foundations of mathematics (1930 - Church)
- ❑ More successful for computable functions
 - Substitution --> symbolic computation
 - Church/Turing thesis
- ❑ Influenced design of Lisp, ML, other languages
 - See Boost Lambda Library for C++ function objects
- ❑ Important part of CS history and foundations

Why study this now?

□ Basic syntactic notions

- Free and bound variables
- Functions
- Declarations

□ Calculation rule

- Symbolic evaluation useful for discussing programs
- Used in optimization (in-lining), macro expansion
 - Correct macro processing requires variable renaming
- Illustrates some ideas about scope of binding
 - Lisp originally departed from standard lambda calculus, returned to the fold through Scheme, Common Lisp

Expressions and Functions

□ Expressions

$$x + y \qquad x + 2 * y + z$$

□ Functions

$$\lambda x. (x + y) \qquad \lambda z. (x + 2 * y + z)$$

□ Application

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. (x + y)) 3 &= 3 + y \\ (\lambda z. (x + 2 * y + z)) 5 &= x + 2 * y + 5 \end{aligned}$$

Parsing: $\lambda x. f (f x) = \lambda x. (f (f (x)))$

Higher-Order Functions

- Given function f , return function $f \circ f$

$\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$

- How does this work?

$(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda y. y+1)$

$= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) ((\lambda y. y+1) x)$

$= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) (x+1)$

$= \lambda x. (x+1)+1$

The diagram includes pink annotations: a curved arrow from the $\lambda f.$ part of the first expression to the $\lambda y. y+1$ argument; a pink oval around the $\lambda y. y+1$ argument; a curved arrow from the $\lambda y. y+1$ part of the second expression to the x argument; a pink oval around the x argument; a curved arrow from the $\lambda y. y+1$ part of the third expression to the $x+1$ argument; and a pink oval around the $x+1$ argument.

Same result if step 2 is altered.

Same procedure, Lisp syntax

- Given function f , return function $f \circ f$

`(lambda (f) (lambda (x) (f (f x))))`

- How does this work?

`((lambda (f) (lambda (x) (f (f x)))) (lambda (y) (+ y 1)))`

`= (lambda (x) ((lambda (y) (+ y 1))
 ((lambda (y) (+ y 1)) x))))`

`= (lambda (x) ((lambda (y) (+ y 1)) (+ x 1))))`

`= (lambda (x) (+ (+ x 1) 1))`

JavaScript next slide

Same procedure, JavaScript syntax

- Given function f , return function $f \circ f$

```
function (f) { return function (x) { return f(f(x)); } ; }
```

- How does this work?

```
(function (f) { return function (x) { return f(f(x)); } ; }  
  (function (y) { return y + 1; } )
```

```
function (x) { return (function (y) { return y + 1; } )  
                      ((function (y) { return y + 1; } )  
 (x)); }
```


```
function (x) { return (function (y) { return y + 1; } ) (x +  
1); }
```

```
function (x) { return ((x + 1) + 1); }
```


Declarations as “Syntactic Sugar”

```
function f(x) {  
    return x+2;  
}  
f(5);
```

$(\lambda f. f(5))$ $(\lambda x. x+2)$



block body declared function

$\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 = (\lambda x. e_2) e_1$

Free and Bound Variables

□ Bound variable is “placeholder”

- Variable x is bound in $\lambda x. (x+y)$
- Function $\lambda x. (x+y)$ is same function as $\lambda z. (z+y)$

□ Compare

$$\int x+y \, dx = \int z+y \, dz \quad \forall x \, P(x) = \forall z \, P(z)$$

□ Name of free (=unbound) variable does matter

- Variable y is free in $\lambda x. (x+y)$
- Function $\lambda x. (x+y)$ is *not* same as $\lambda x. (x+z)$

□ Occurrences

- y is free and bound in $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y$
- 

Reduction

- Basic computation rule is β -reduction

$$(\lambda x. e_1) e_2 \rightarrow [e_2/x]e_1$$

where substitution involves renaming as needed

(next slide)

- Reduction:

- Apply basic computation rule to any subexpression
- Repeat

- Confluence:

- Final result (if there is one) is uniquely determined

Rename Bound Variables

□ Function application

$$\underbrace{(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x))}_{\text{apply twice}} \quad \underbrace{(\lambda y. y+x)}_{\text{add } x \text{ to argument}}$$

□ Substitute “blindly”

$$\lambda x. [(\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) x)] = \lambda x. x+x+x$$

□ Rename bound variables

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. \lambda z. f (f z)) (\lambda y. y+x) \\ &= \lambda z. [(\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) z)] = \lambda z. z+x+x \end{aligned}$$

Easy rule: always rename variables to be distinct

Main Points about Lambda Calculus

- λ captures “essence” of variable binding
 - Function parameters
 - Declarations
 - Bound variables can be renamed
- Succinct function expressions
- Simple symbolic evaluator via substitution
- Can be extended with
 - Types
 - Various functions
 - Stores and side-effects

(But we didn't cover these)