

Department of Computing and Information Systems
The University of Melbourne
COMP30018/90049 Knowledge Technologies, Semester 1 2016

Project 1: Which films are good?

Executive Summary

- Get your data from the CIS servers
- *Optional: Read accompanying paper: Maas et al. (2011)*
- *Try exact matching the reviews against the film titles, e.g. using grep*
- Choose:
 - COMP30018: 1 or more approximate matching methods
 - COMP90049: 2 or more approximate matching methods
- *Find package(s) which implement chosen methods*
- Brainstorm mechanism for dealing with multi-word titles (subject to method)
- For each review text:
 - Find most similar title according to matching method
 - *Reject review if worse than threshold*
- Look at some reviews with approximate (not only exact) match to title:
 - Evaluate (by hand) correctness
 - Calculate evaluation metric(s)
 - Choose suitable examples demonstrating approximate matching behaviour
- **Submit Part A to CIS Servers** (by 5pm 20 Apr)
- Brainstorm and apply “good”ness strategy
- *Can’t think of any suitable strategy:*
 - *Choose one or more positive/negative words (e.g. “good” vs. “bad”)*
 - *For each review with identified title:*
 - * *Apply approximate matching method(s) from above to pos/neg words*
 - * *Assess overall pos vs. neg of review*
 - *For each title identified in reviews:*
 - * *Count whether more reviews are pos or neg*
- Consider list of “good” films to determine suitability of method(s)

- Write report:
 - Summarise problem and data set
 - Describe how approximate matching method(s) were applied
 - Present evaluation of methods on approximate matching, use examples to strengthen analysis
 - Describe how “good”ness was determined; discuss reasons for some “good” films
 - Make conclusions about suitability of methods for finding “good” films
 - Cite relevant materials (**Don’t forget Maas et al. (2011)!**)
- **Submit Part B to Turnitin on LMS** (by 5pm 27 Apr)

Items *in italics* are optional. Items **in bold** are important.