# Lecture 13: Simple Linear Regression in Matrix Format

To move beyond simple regression we need to use matrix algebra. We'll start by re-expressing simple linear regression in matrix form. Linear algebra is a pre-requisite for this class; I strongly urge you to go back to your textbook and notes for review.

# 1 Expectations and Variances with Vectors and Matrices

If we have p random variables,  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots Z_p$ , we can put them into a random vector  $\mathbf{Z} = [Z_1 Z_2 \dots Z_p]^T$ . This random vector can be thought of as a  $p \times 1$  matrix of random variables.

This expected value of  $\mathbf{Z}$  is defined to be the vector

$$\mu \equiv \mathbb{E}[Z] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[Z_1] \\ \mathbb{E}[Z_2] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[Z_p] \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

If a and b are non-random scalars, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[a\mathbf{Z} + b\mathbf{W}\right] = a\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] + b\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]. \tag{2}$$

If a is a non-random vector then

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}).$$

If **A** is a non-random matrix, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}\right] = \mathbf{A}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right].\tag{3}$$

Every coordinate of a random vector has some covariance with every other coordinate. The variance-covariance matrix of **Z** is the  $p \times p$  matrix which stores these value. In other words,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{1}\right] & \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right] & \dots & \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{1}, Z_{p}\right] \\ \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{2}, Z_{1}\right] & \operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{2}\right] & \dots & \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{2}, Z_{p}\right] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{p}, Z_{1}\right] & \operatorname{Cov}\left[Z_{p}, Z_{2}\right] & \dots & \operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{p}\right] \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

This inherits properties of ordinary variances and covariances. Just as  $\text{Var}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Z^2] - (\mathbb{E}[Z])^2$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^{T}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]\right)^{T} \tag{5}$$

For a non-random vector  $\mathbf{a}$  and a non-random scalar b,

$$Var\left[\mathbf{a} + b\mathbf{Z}\right] = b^2 Var\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]. \tag{6}$$

For a non-random matrix C,

$$Var\left[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Z}\right] = \mathbf{C}Var\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]\mathbf{C}^{T}.\tag{7}$$

(Check that the dimensions all conform here: if  $\mathbf{c}$  is  $q \times p$ ,  $\mathrm{Var}[\mathbf{c}\mathbf{Z}]$  should be  $q \times q$ , and so is the right-hand side.)

A random vector  $\mathbf{Z}$  has a multivariate Normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\Sigma$  if its density is

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{z} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mu)\right).$$

We write this as  $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  or  $\mathbf{Z} \sim MVN(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

If A is a square matrix, then the trace of A — denoted by  $\operatorname{tr} A$  — is defined to be the sum of the diagonal elements. In other words,

$$\operatorname{tr} A = \sum_{j} A_{jj}.$$

Recall that the trace satisfies these properties:

$$\operatorname{tr}(A+B) = \operatorname{tr}(A) + \operatorname{tr}(B), \quad \operatorname{tr}(cA) = c \operatorname{tr}(A), \quad \operatorname{tr}(A^T) = \operatorname{tr}(A)$$

and we have the cyclic property

$$tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB).$$

If C is non-random, then  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z}$  is called a *quadratic form*. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Z}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]^{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] + \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{C}\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]\right]. \tag{8}$$

To see this, notice that

$$\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} \tag{9}$$

because it's a  $1 \times 1$  matrix. But the trace of a matrix product doesn't change when we cyclicly permute the matrices, so

$$\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^T \tag{10}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^T\right]$$
(11)

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^T \right] \tag{12}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^T \right] \tag{13}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C}(\operatorname{Var} \left[\mathbf{Z}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]^{T}) \tag{14}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{Var} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right] + \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right]^{T}$$
 (15)

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{Var} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right] + \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right]^T \mathbf{C} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right]$$
 (16)

$$= \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{Var} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right]^T \mathbf{C} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{Z} \right]$$
 (17)

using the fact that tr is a linear operation so it commutes with taking expectations; the decomposition of Var [**Z**]; the cyclic permutation trick again; and finally dropping tr from a scalar.

Unfortunately, there is generally no simple formula for the variance of a quadratic form, unless the random vector is Gaussian. If  $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  then  $\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{Z}^T C \mathbf{Z}) = 2 \operatorname{tr}(C \Sigma C \Sigma) + 4 \mu^T C \Sigma C \mu$ .

# Least Squares in Matrix Form

Our data consists of n paired observations of the predictor variable X and the response variable Y, i.e.,  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots (X_n, Y_n)$ . We wish to fit the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \tag{18}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon|X=x\right]=0$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\epsilon|X=x\right]=\sigma^2$ , and  $\epsilon$  is uncorrelated across measurements.

#### 2.1The Basic Matrices

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_1 \\ 1 & X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Note that **X** — which is called the *design matrix* — is an  $n \times 2$  matrix, where the first column is always 1, and the second column contains the actual observations of X. Now

$$\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

So we can write the set of equations

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

in the simpler form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon$$
.

#### 2.2Mean Squared Error

Let

$$\mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{e}(\beta) = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta. \tag{21}$$

The training error (or observed mean squared error) is

$$MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2(\beta) = MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}.$$
 (22)

Let us expand this a little for further use. We have:

$$MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} \tag{23}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$
 (24)

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Y}^T - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T) (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\beta)$$
(25)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \beta - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta \right)$$
 (26)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta \right)$$
 (27)

where we used the fact that  $\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \beta)^T = \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \beta$ .

### 2.3 Minimizing the MSE

First, we find the gradient of the MSE with respect to  $\beta$ :

$$\nabla MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \nabla \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\nabla \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \nabla \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta \right)$$
 (28)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( 0 - 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta \right)$$
 (29)

$$= \frac{2}{n} \left( \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \right) \tag{30}$$

We now set this to zero at the optimum,  $\widehat{\beta}$ :

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} = 0. \tag{31}$$

This equation, for the two-dimensional vector  $\widehat{\beta}$ , corresponds to our pair of normal or estimating equations for  $\widehat{\beta}_0$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_1$ . Thus, it, too, is called an estimating equation. Solving, we get

$$\widehat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}. \tag{32}$$

That is, we've got one matrix equation which gives us both coefficient estimates.

If this is right, the equation we've got above should in fact reproduce the least-squares estimates we've already derived, which are of course

$$\widehat{\beta}_1 = \frac{c_{XY}}{s_X^2}, \quad \widehat{\beta}_0 = \overline{Y} - \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{X}.$$
 (33)

Let's see if that's right.

As a first step, let's introduce normalizing factors of 1/n into both the matrix products:

$$\widehat{\beta} = (n^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}(n^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Y}) \tag{34}$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(35)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{i} Y_i \\ \sum_{i} X_i Y_i \right] = \left[ \frac{\overline{Y}}{\overline{XY}} \right]. \tag{36}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum_{i} X_{i} \\ \sum_{i} X_{i} & \sum_{i} X_{i}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{X} & \overline{X} \\ \overline{X}^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(37)

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\overline{X^2} - \overline{X}^2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \overline{X^2} & -\overline{X} \\ -\overline{X} & 1 \end{array} \right] = \frac{1}{s_X^2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \overline{X^2} & -\overline{X} \\ -\overline{X} & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{s_X^2} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{X^2} & -\overline{X} \\ -\overline{X} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{Y} \\ \overline{XY} \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

$$= \frac{1}{s_Y^2} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{X^2}\overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{X}\overline{Y} \\ -(\overline{X} \overline{Y}) + \overline{X}\overline{Y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(39)

$$= \frac{1}{s_X^2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (s_X^2 + \overline{X}^2)\overline{Y} - \overline{X}(c_{XY} + \overline{X} \ \overline{Y}) \\ c_{XY} \end{array} \right]$$
(40)

$$= \frac{1}{s_X^2} \begin{bmatrix} s_x^2 \overline{Y} + \overline{X}^2 \overline{Y} - \overline{X} c_{XY} - \overline{X}^2 \overline{Y} \\ c_{XY} \end{bmatrix}$$
(41)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \overline{Y} - \frac{c_{XY}}{s_X^2} \overline{X} \\ \frac{c_{XY}}{s_X^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\beta}_0 \\ \widehat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (42)

### 3 Fitted Values and Residuals

Remember that when the coefficient vector is  $\beta$ , the point predictions (fitted values) for each data point are  $\mathbf{X}\beta$ . Thus the vector of fitted values is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X})} \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta}.$$

Using our equation for  $\widehat{\beta}$ , we then have

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \tag{43}$$

is called the hat matrix or the influence matrix.

Let's look at some of the properties of the hat matrix.

- 1. Influence. Check that  $\partial \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_i/\partial Y_j = H_{ij}$ . Thus,  $H_{ij}$  is the rate at which the  $i^{\text{th}}$  fitted value changes as we vary the  $j^{\text{th}}$  observation, the "influence" that observation has on that fitted value.
- 2. Symmetry. It's easy to see that  $\mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{H}$ .
- 3. *Idempotency*. Check that  $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$ , so the matrix is idempotent.

**Geometry.** A symmetric, idempotent matrix is a projection matrix. This means that **H** projects **Y** into a lower dimensional subspace. Specifically, **Y** is a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  but  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$  is a linear combination of two vectors, namely, the two columns of **X**. In other words:

**H** projects **Y** onto the column space of **X**.

The column space of X is the set of vectors that can be written as linear combinations of the columns of X.

#### 3.1 Residuals

The vector of residuals, **e**, is

$$\mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{Y}.$$
 (44)

Here are some properties of I - H:

- 1. Influence.  $\partial e_i/\partial y_i = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})_{ij}$ .
- 2. Symmetry.  $(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})^T = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{H}$ .
- 3. *Idempotency*.  $(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})^2 = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}^2$ . But, since **H** is idempotent,  $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$ , and thus  $(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})^2 = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})$ .

Thus,

$$MSE(\widehat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Y}^{T} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})^{T} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Y}^{T} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y}.$$
 (45)

### 3.2 Expectations and Covariances

Remember that  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  is an  $n \times 1$  matrix of random variables, with mean vector  $\mathbf{0}$ , and variance-covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ . What can we deduce from this?

First, the expectation of the fitted values:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{H}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{H}\mathbb{E}[\epsilon]$$
(46)

$$= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta + 0 = \mathbf{X} \beta. \tag{47}$$

Next, the variance-covariance of the fitted values:

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon)\right]$$
(48)

= 
$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{H}\epsilon\right] = \mathbf{H}\operatorname{Var}\left[\epsilon\right]\mathbf{H}^{T} = \sigma^{2}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{H} = \sigma^{2}\mathbf{H}$$
 (49)

using the symmetry and idempotency of **H**.

Similarly, the expected residual vector is zero:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{e}\right] = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon\right]) = \mathbf{X}\beta - \mathbf{X}\beta = 0. \tag{50}$$

The variance-covariance matrix of the residuals:

$$Var\left[\mathbf{e}\right] = Var\left[\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon\right)\right] \tag{51}$$

$$= \operatorname{Var}\left[ (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \epsilon \right] \tag{52}$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \operatorname{Var} \left[ \epsilon \right] (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}))^{T}$$
(53)

$$= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})^T \tag{54}$$

$$= \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \tag{55}$$

Thus, the variance of each residual is not quite  $\sigma^2$ , nor are the residuals exactly uncorrelated. Finally, the expected MSE is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}^{T}\mathbf{e}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon^{T}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\epsilon\right].$$
 (56)

We know that this must be  $(n-2)\sigma^2/n$ .

# 4 Sampling Distribution of Estimators

Let's now assume that  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and are independent of each other and of X. The vector of all n noise terms,  $\epsilon$ , is an  $n \times 1$  matrix. Its distribution is a **multivariate Gaussian** or **multivariate** Normal with mean vector  $\mathbf{0}$ , and variance-covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ . We write this as  $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ . We may use this to get the sampling distribution of the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$\widehat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \tag{57}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon) \tag{58}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta + (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \epsilon$$
 (59)

$$= \beta + (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \epsilon \tag{60}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is Gaussian and is being multiplied by a non-random matrix,  $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\epsilon$  is also Gaussian. Its mean vector is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \epsilon \right] = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbb{E}\left[ \epsilon \right] = \mathbf{0}$$
(61)

while its variance matrix is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[ (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \epsilon \right] = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \operatorname{Var}\left[ \epsilon \right] \left( (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \right)^T$$
(62)

$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$
(63)

$$= \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$
(64)

$$= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \tag{65}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\epsilon\right]$ , we conclude that that

$$\widehat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}). \tag{66}$$

Re-writing slightly,

$$\widehat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)$$
 (67)

will make it easier to prove to yourself that, according to this,  $\widehat{\beta}_0$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_1$  are both unbiased, that  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_1\right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} s_X^2$ , and that  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_0\right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (1 + \overline{X}^2/s_X^2)$ . This will also give us  $\operatorname{Cov}\left[\widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1\right]$ , which otherwise would be tedious to calculate.

I will leave you to show, in a similar way, that the fitted values  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$  are multivariate Gaussian, as are the residuals  $\mathbf{e}$ , and to find both their mean vectors and their variance matrices.

# 5 Derivatives with Respect to Vectors

This is a brief review of basic vector calculus.

Consider some scalar function of a vector, say  $f(\mathbf{X})$ , where  $\mathbf{X}$  is represented as a  $p \times 1$  matrix. (Here  $\mathbf{X}$  is just being used as a place-holder or generic variable; it's not necessarily the design matrix of a regression.) We would like to think about the derivatives of f with respect to  $\mathbf{X}$ . We can write  $f(\mathbf{X}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  where  $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^T$ .

The gradient of f is the vector of partial derivatives:

$$\nabla f \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_p} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{68}$$

The first order Taylor series of f around  $\mathbf{X}^0$  is

$$f(\mathbf{X}) \approx f(\mathbf{X}^0) + \sum_{i=1}^p (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^0)_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{\mathbf{X}^0}$$
 (69)

$$= f(\mathbf{X}^0) + (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^0)^T \nabla f(\mathbf{X}^0). \tag{70}$$

Here are some properties of the gradient:

1. Linearity.

$$\nabla \left( af(\mathbf{X}) + bg(\mathbf{X}) \right) = a\nabla f(\mathbf{X}) + b\nabla g(\mathbf{X}) \tag{71}$$

PROOF: Directly from the linearity of partial derivatives.

2. Linear forms. If  $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{a}$ , with  $\mathbf{a}$  not a function of  $\mathbf{X}$ , then

$$\nabla (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} \tag{72}$$

PROOF:  $f(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_i X_i a_i$ , so  $\partial f/\partial X_i = a_i$ . Notice that **a** was already a  $p \times 1$  matrix, so we don't have to transpose anything to get the derivative.

3. Linear forms the other way. If  $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{bX}$ , with **b** not a function of **X**, then

$$\nabla(\mathbf{bX}) = \mathbf{b}^T \tag{73}$$

PROOF: Once again,  $\partial f/\partial X_i = b_i$ , but now remember that **b** was a  $1 \times p$  matrix, and  $\nabla f$  is  $p \times 1$ , so we need to transpose.

4. Quadratic forms. Let **C** be a  $p \times p$  matrix which is not a function of **X**, and consider the quadratic form  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{X}$ . (You can check that this is scalar.) The gradient is

$$\nabla(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}^T) \mathbf{X}. \tag{74}$$

PROOF: First, write out the matrix multiplications as explicit sums:

$$\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{jk} x_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} x_{j} c_{jk} x_{k}.$$
 (75)

Now take the derivative with respect to  $x_i$ :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\partial x_j c_{jk} x_k}{\partial X_i} \tag{76}$$

If j = k = i, the term in the inner sum is  $2c_{ii}x_i$ . If j = i but  $k \neq i$ , the term in the inner sum is  $c_{ik}x_k$ . If  $j \neq i$  but k = i, we get  $x_jc_{ji}$ . Finally, if  $j \neq i$  and  $k \neq i$ , we get zero. The j = i terms add up to  $(\mathbf{cX})_i$ . The k = i terms add up to  $(\mathbf{c^TX})_i$ . (This splits the  $2c_{ii}x_i$  evenly between them.) Thus,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = ((\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{X})_i) \tag{77}$$

and

$$\nabla f = (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^T)\mathbf{X}.\tag{78}$$

(Check that this has the right dimensions.)

5. Symmetric quadratic forms. If  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}^T$ , then

$$\nabla \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{c} \mathbf{X} = 2\mathbf{c} \mathbf{X}.\tag{79}$$

#### 5.1 Second Derivatives

The  $p \times p$  matrix of second partial derivatives is called the **Hessian**. I won't step through its properties, except to note that they, too, follow from the basic rules for partial derivatives.

#### 5.2 Maxima and Minima

We need all the partial derivatives to be equal to zero at a minimum or maximum. This means that the gradient must be zero there. At a minimum, the Hessian must be positive-definite (so that moves away from the minimum always increase the function); at a maximum, the Hessian must be negative definite (so moves away always decrease the function). If the Hessian is neither positive nor negative definite, the point is neither a minimum nor a maximum, but a "saddle" (since moving in some directions increases the function but moving in others decreases it, as though one were at the center of a horse's saddle).