

Lemma 18.1. *If $|A|^{+3} < \min(A)$, then $\text{pcf}(A)$ is a progressive interval of regular cardinals, and $\text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A)) = \text{pcf}(A)$.*

Proof. $|\text{pcf}(A)| \leq |A|^{+3}$ by 17.1, so $\text{pcf}(A)$ is progressive. Hence $\text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A)) = \text{pcf}(A)$ by 10.10. \square

Lemma 18.2. *Let $|A|^{+3} < \min(A)$, with A a progressive interval of regular cardinals. Then $\text{pcf} \restriction \mathcal{P}(\text{pcf}(A))$ is a topological closure operator.*

Proof. By 9.1 and 18.1 \square

Theorem 18.3. *Suppose that $|A|^{+3} < \min(A)$, with A a progressive interval of regular cardinals. Then $\text{pcf}(A)$ has a transitive system of generators $\langle b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A) \rangle$ such that $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda) = b_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$.*

Proof. By 13.6, let $f = \langle f^\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A) \rangle$ be such that f^λ is a minimally obedient universal sequence for λ , for each $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$. Let $\kappa = |A|^+$ and choose N, Ψ such that $H_1(A, \kappa, N, \Psi)$. Then by 15.5 we get a transitive system $\langle b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A) \rangle$ of generators for $\text{pcf}(A)$.

Now by induction on $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$ we define a new generator b_λ^* for λ over $\text{pcf}(A)$ such that $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda^*) = b_\lambda^*$. For $\lambda = \min(\text{pcf}(A))$, let $b_\lambda^* = \{\lambda\}$. Suppose that we have defined b_θ^* for all $\theta < \lambda$, so that:

- (1) b_θ is a θ -generator,
- (2) $\text{pcf}(b_\theta^*) = b_\theta^*$, and
- (3) For all $\rho \in b_\theta^*$, also $b_\rho^* \subseteq b_\theta^*$.

Now $b_\lambda \in J_{\leq \lambda}[\text{pcf}(A)]$, so $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \subseteq \lambda^+$. Applying 11.13 to $\text{pcf}(A)$ in place of A and $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda)$ in place of X , we get a finite subset F of $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \cap \lambda$ such that $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \cup b_\lambda$. Let $b_\lambda^* = \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \cup b_\lambda$. Clearly $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda^*) \subseteq \lambda^+$. Now

$$b_\lambda = \left(b_\lambda \cap \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \right) \cup \left(b_\lambda^* \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \right),$$

so $b_\lambda \in J_{< \lambda}[\text{pcf}(A)] + b_\lambda^*$. It follows that b_λ^* is a generator for λ , proving (1) for λ . For (2), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pcf}(b_\lambda^*) &= \text{pcf} \left(\bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \cup b_\lambda \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{\mu \in F} \text{pcf}(b_\mu^*) \cup \text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \\ &= \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \cup b_\lambda \cup \text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \\ &= \bigcup_{\mu \in F} b_\mu^* \cup b_\lambda \\ &= b_\lambda^*. \end{aligned}$$

So (2) holds for λ .

Finally, we prove by induction on ρ that if $\rho \in b_\lambda^*$ then $b_\rho^* \subseteq b_\lambda^*$. Assume that this implication is true for all $\nu < \rho$. Now suppose that $\rho \in b_\lambda^*$. If $\rho \in b_\mu^*$ for some $\mu \in G$, then $b_\rho^* \subseteq b_\mu^* \subseteq b_\lambda^*$ by the inductive hypothesis on λ . Suppose that $\rho \in b_\lambda$. Then $b_\rho \subseteq b_\lambda \subseteq b_\lambda^*$. Now by construction, there is a finite $G \subseteq \text{pcf}(b_\rho) \cap \rho$ such that $b_\rho^* = \bigcup_{\nu \in G} b_\nu^* \cup b_\rho$. For each $\nu \in G$ we have $\nu \in \text{pcf}(b_\rho) \subseteq \text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \subseteq b_\lambda^*$, and so by the inductive hypothesis on ρ , $b_\nu^* \subseteq b_\lambda^*$. It follows that $b_\rho^* \subseteq b_\lambda^*$, as desired in (3) for λ . \square

Theorem 18.4. *Assume that A is a progressive interval of regular cardinals and $|A|^{+3} < \min(A)$. Let $\langle b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A) \rangle$ be a transitive system of pcf-closed generators for $\text{pcf}(A)$, as in 18.3, with $b_{\max(\text{pcf}(A))} = \text{pcf}(A)$.*

Then the topological space $\text{pcf}(A)$, with topology given in Lemma 18.2, is compact Hausdorff and totally disconnected (i.e., zero-dimensional). Moreover, each b_λ is clopen, and $\{b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)\}$ generates the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of $\text{pcf}(A)$. This Boolean algebra is superatomic.

Proof. We prove this in several steps.

(1) If $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$, then $\lambda = \max b_\lambda$.

This is true since $\lambda = \max(\text{pcf}(b_\lambda)) = \max b_\lambda$ by 11.9(vii).

(2) b_λ is clopen for each $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$.

In fact, it suffices to show that $\text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\lambda) \subseteq \text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\lambda$. So, suppose that $\mu \in \text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\lambda)$, but suppose also that $\mu \in b_\lambda$. Then $b_\mu \subseteq b_\lambda$. Since $\mu \in \text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\lambda)$, let D be an ultrafilter on $\text{pcf}(A)$ such that $\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\lambda \in D$ and $\mu = \text{cf}(\prod \text{pcf}(A)/D)$. Since $b_\mu \subseteq b_\lambda$, we have $\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\mu \in D$, in contradiction with 11.9(ii). so (2) holds.

(3) The topology is Hausdorff.

For, suppose that λ, μ are distinct elements of $\text{pcf}(A)$. Say $\mu < \lambda$. By (1), $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\mu$. Thus (1) and (2) imply that b_μ and $\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\mu$ are disjoint open neighborhoods of μ, λ respectively.

Now let B be the set of all finite intersections of members of $\{b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)\}$ and their complements.

(4) The nonzero members of B form a base for the topology on $\text{pcf}(A)$.

For, suppose that $U \subseteq \text{pcf}(A)$ is open and $\lambda \in U$. We claim that the following set does *not* have fip:

$$(*) \quad \{b_\mu : \lambda \in b_\mu\} \cup \{\text{pcf}(A) \setminus b_\mu : \lambda \notin b_\mu\} \cup \{\text{pcf}(A) \setminus U\}.$$

For, suppose it does have fip; extend it to an ultrafilter D on $\text{pcf}(A)$, and let $\mu = \text{cf}(\prod \text{pcf}(A)/D)$. By 11.9(i), $b_\mu \in D$. Hence by the definition of D we get $\lambda \in b_\mu$. Since $\mu = \max(b_\mu)$ by (1), it follows that $\lambda \leq \mu$. Now $\lambda = \max b_\lambda$ by (1), so $\lambda \in b_\lambda$, and so $b_\lambda \in D$. Now $b_\lambda \in J_{\leq \lambda}[\text{pcf}(A)]$, so $\text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \subseteq \lambda^+$. Since $b_\lambda \in D$, it follows that $\mu \leq \lambda$. So $\lambda = \mu$. But also $\text{pcf}(A) \setminus U \in D$, so $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(\text{pcf}(A) \setminus U) = \text{pcf}(A) \setminus U$ since U is open, contradiction. So (4) holds.

(5) $\text{pcf}(A)$ is compact.

Let \mathcal{A} be an open cover of $\text{pcf}(A)$. We prove by induction on $\lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)$ that b_λ is covered by a finite subset of \mathcal{A} . Since $\text{pcf}(A) = b_{\max(\text{pcf}(A))}$, this will prove (5). So suppose that this is true for all $\mu < \lambda$. Choose $U \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\lambda \in U$. Then by (4), let c be a member of B such that $\lambda \in c \subseteq U$. Now we apply 11.13 to $\text{pcf}(A), b_\lambda \setminus c$ in place of A, X . Using the fact that $b_\lambda \setminus c$ is closed, we get a finite subset N of $b_\lambda \setminus c$ such that $b_\lambda \setminus c \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in N} b_\mu$. Now $\lambda \in c$, so $\lambda \notin b_\lambda \setminus c$. also, $b_\lambda = \text{pcf}(b_\lambda) \subseteq \lambda^+$, so $b_\lambda \setminus c \subseteq \lambda$. Hence by the inductive hypothesis, for each $\mu \in N$ there is a finite subset \mathcal{A}_μ of \mathcal{A} such that $b_\mu \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\mu$. Hence

$$b_\lambda \subseteq U \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in N} \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\mu,$$

finishing the inductive proof.

Thus we have now proved the first part of the theorem: $\text{pcf}(A)$ is a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space. Moreover, by (4) each member of B is clopen. If U is any clopen set, then it is compact, and so by (4) it is a finite union of members of B . This shows that the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of $\text{pcf}(A)$ is generated by $\{b_\lambda : \lambda \in \text{pcf}(A)\}$.

It remains only to show that this Boolean algebra is superatomic. By duality, it suffices to show that any nonempty closed subset F has an isolated point. Let λ be the least member of F . Then λ is the greatest element of b_λ , so b_λ is an open set such that $b_\lambda \cap F = \{\lambda\}$, as desired. \square