

## Solutions of exercises in Chapter 5

**[E5.1]** Suppose that  $\bar{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. Let  $F$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on a set  $I$ . For each  $a \in A$  let  $f(a) = [\langle a : i \in I \rangle]_F$ . Show that  $f$  is an embedding of  $\bar{A}$  into  ${}^I\bar{A}/F$ , and  $\bar{A}$  is elementarily equivalent to  ${}^I\bar{A}/F$ .

For brevity let  $\bar{B} = {}^I\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{C} = \bar{B}/F$ . See the definition of  $\bar{C}$  following Theorem 1.15.

Suppose that  $f(a) = f(b)$ . Then  $[\langle a : i \in I \rangle]_F = [\langle b : i \in I \rangle]_F$ , hence  $\{i \in I : a = b\} \in F$ . Since the empty set is not in  $F$ , it follows that  $a = b$ . So  $f$  is one-one.

If  $k$  is an individual constant, obviously  $f(k^{\bar{A}}) = k^{\bar{B}}$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is an  $m$ -ary function symbol. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(G^{\bar{A}}(a^0, \dots, a^{m-1})) &= [G^{\bar{A}}(a^0, \dots, a^{m-1})]_F \\ &= [G^{\bar{B}}(\langle a^0 : i \in I \rangle, \dots, \langle a^{m-1} : i \in I \rangle)]_F \\ &= G^{\bar{C}}(f(a^0), \dots, f(a^{m-1})). \end{aligned}$$

If  $R$  is an  $m$ -ary relation symbol, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(a^0), \dots, f(a^{m-1}) \rangle \in R^{\bar{C}} &\quad \text{iff} \quad \{i \in I : \langle a^0, \dots, a^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\bar{A}}\} \in F \\ &\quad \text{iff} \quad \langle a^0, \dots, a^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\bar{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f$  is an isomorphism of  $\bar{A}$  into  $\bar{C}$ . The last statement of the exercise is true by Corollary 5.2.

**[5.2]** We work in the language for ordered fields; see Chapter 1. In general, an element  $a \in M$  is definable iff there is a formula  $\varphi(x)$  with one free variable  $x$  such that  $\{b \in M : \bar{M} \models \varphi[b]\} = \{a\}$ .

(i) Show that 1 is definable in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Show that every positive integer is definable in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(iii) Show that every positive rational is definable in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(iv) If  $\bar{M}$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{R}$ , an element  $\varepsilon$  of  $M$  is infinitesimal iff  $0 < \varepsilon < r$  for every positive rational  $r$ . Let  $\bar{M}$  be an ultrapower of  $\mathbb{R}$  using a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Thus  $\bar{M}$  is isomorphic to an extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  by exercise 5.1. Show that  $\bar{M}$  has an infinitesimal.

(v) Use the compactness theorem to show the existence of an ordered field  $\bar{M}$  which has an infinitesimal, and is elementarily equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(i): Let  $\varphi(x)$  be the formula  $\forall y[x \cdot y = y]$ .

(ii): Let  $\varphi$  be as in (i). By induction we define a formula  $\psi_m$  which defines  $m$ , for each positive integer  $m$ . Let  $\psi_1$  be  $\varphi$ . Having defined  $\psi_m$ , let  $\psi_{m+1}$  be the formula  $\exists y \exists z[\psi_m(y) \wedge \varphi(z) \wedge x = y + z]$ .

(iii) Let  $r$  be a positive rational. Say  $r = m/n$  with  $m$  and  $n$  positive integers. Let  $\chi_r$  be the formula  $\exists y \exists z[\psi_m(y) \wedge \psi_n(z) \wedge y = x \cdot z]$ .

(iv) Let  $F$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Define  $e \in {}^\omega\mathbb{R}$  by setting  $e(n) = 1/(n+1)$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . We claim that  $[e]$  is an infinitesimal. To prove this, take any positive

rational  $r$ . Choose  $p \in \omega$  with  $\frac{1}{p} < r$ . Let  $x(m) = r$  for all  $m \in \omega$ . Thus  $[x]$  is the image of  $r$  under the isomorphism of exercise 5.1, so it suffices to show that  $[0] < [e] < [r]$ . We have

$$\{m \in \omega : 0 < e(m)\} = \omega \in F$$

and

$$\{m \in \omega : e(m) < r\} \supseteq \{m \in \omega : m \geq p\} \in F;$$

hence  $[0] < [e] < [r]$ .

(v) Adjoin a new individual constant  $\mathbf{c}$  to our language, and consider the following set of sentences:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a sentence and } \mathbb{R} \models \varphi\} \\ & \cup \{0 < \mathbf{c}\} \cup \{\forall x[\mathbf{c} < \chi_r(x)] : r \text{ a positive rational}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly every finite subset of this set has a model; the compactness theorem gives a model of the whole set, and this give the desired conclusion. (The denotation of the constant  $\mathbf{c}$  is ignored in order to make the final model an ordered field with no extra fundamental constant.)

**5.3** Consider the structure  $\overline{N} = (\omega, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ . We look at models of  $\Gamma = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a sentence and } \overline{N} \models \varphi\}$ .

(i) For every  $m \in \omega$  there is a formula  $\varphi_m$  with one free variable  $x$  such that  $\overline{N} \models \varphi_m[m]$  and  $\overline{N} \models \exists!x\varphi_m(x)$ .

(ii)  $\overline{N}$  can be embedded in any model of  $\Gamma$ .

(iii) Show that  $\Gamma$  has a model with an infinite element in it, i.e., an element greater than each  $m \in \omega$ .

(i): We define  $\varphi_m$  by recursion; clearly the ones defined work:  $\varphi_0$  is  $x = 0$ . Having defined  $\varphi_m$ ,  $\varphi_{m+1}$  is the formula  $\exists y[\varphi_m(y) \wedge x = y + 1]$ .

(ii) For each  $m \in \omega$ , let  $f(m)$  be the unique  $a \in M$  such that  $\overline{M} \models \varphi_m[a]$ . If  $m \neq n$ , then  $\overline{N} \models \neg(\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(x))$ , so also  $\overline{M} \models \neg(\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(x))$ , hence  $f(m) \neq f(n)$ .

Next,  $\overline{N} \models \forall x\forall y[\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(y) \rightarrow \varphi_{m+n}(x+y)]$ , so also  $\overline{M} \models \forall x\forall y[\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(y) \rightarrow \varphi_{m+n}(x+y)]$ . Now  $\overline{M} \models \varphi_m[f(m)]$  and  $\overline{M} \models \varphi_n[f(n)]$ , so  $\overline{M} \models \varphi_{m+n}[f(m) + f(n)]$ . Hence  $f(m+n) = f(m) + f(n)$ .

Similarly for  $\cdot$ .

If  $m < n$ , then  $\overline{N} \models \forall x\forall y[\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(y) \rightarrow x < y]$ , so  $\overline{M} \models \forall x\forall y[\varphi_m(x) \wedge \varphi_n(y) \rightarrow x < y]$ , so  $f(m) < f(n)$ . It follows that  $m < n$  iff  $f(m) < f(n)$ .

This finishes the proof of (ii).

For (iii), adjoin a new individual constant  $\mathbf{c}$  and consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a sentence and } \overline{N} \models \varphi\} \\ & \cup \{\forall x[\varphi_m(x) \rightarrow x < \mathbf{c}] : m \in \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the compactness theorem,  $\Gamma$  has a model, which gives the desired conclusion.

**[5.4]** (Continuing exercise 5.3.) An element  $p$  of a model  $\overline{M}$  of  $\Gamma$  is a prime iff  $p > 1$  and for all  $a, b \in M$ , if  $p = a \cdot b$  then  $a = 1$  or  $a = p$ .

(i) Prove that if  $\overline{M}$  is a model of  $\Gamma$  with an infinite element, then it has an infinite prime element.

(ii) Show that the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) There are infinitely many (ordinary) primes  $p$  such that  $p + 2$  is also prime.

(The famous twin prime conjecture, unresolved at present.)

(b) There is a model  $\overline{M}$  of  $\Gamma$  having at least one infinite prime  $p$  such that  $p + 2$  is also a prime.

(c) For every model  $\overline{M}$  of  $\Gamma$  having an infinite element, there is an infinite prime  $p$  such that  $p + 2$  is also a prime.

(i): The sentence  $\forall m \exists p [m < p \wedge \text{"}p \text{ is a prime"}]$  holds in  $\overline{N}$  and hence in  $\overline{M}$ . Applying this with  $m$  an infinite element yields an infinite prime.

(ii): (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Assume (a), and let  $\overline{M}$  be any model of  $\Gamma$  having an infinite element  $e$ . Now  $\forall m \exists p [p \text{ "is a prime, and also } p + 2 \text{ is a prime"}]$  holds in  $\overline{N}$ , and hence also in  $\overline{M}$ . Applying this with  $m$  an infinite element gives the desired conclusion.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b): By exercise 5.3, there is a model  $\overline{M}$  of  $\Gamma$  having an infinite element. Hence (c) gives the conclusion of (b).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Suppose that (a) is false. Choose  $m \in \omega$  such that if  $p$  and  $p + 2$  are primes, then  $p < m$ . Then the sentence

$$\forall x [\varphi_m(x) \rightarrow \forall p [p \text{ "is a prime, and also } p + 2 \text{ is a prime"} \rightarrow p < x]]$$

holds in  $\overline{N}$ , and hence also in  $\overline{M}$ . But then there cannot exist an infinite prime  $p$  of  $\overline{M}$  such that  $p + 2$  is also a prime.

**[5.5]** Let  $G$  be a group which has elements of arbitrarily large finite order. Show that there is a group  $H$  elementarily equivalent to  $G$  which has an element of infinite order.

Add an individual constant  $\mathbf{c}$  to our language. Then the set

$$\{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a sentence and } G \models \varphi\} \cup \{\neg(\mathbf{c} = e)\} \cup \{\neg(\mathbf{c}^m = e) : m \in \omega \setminus 1\}$$

has a model by the compactness theorem, giving the desired result.

**[5.6]** Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a set of sentences, and  $\varphi$  is a sentence. Prove that if  $\Gamma \models \varphi$ , then  $\Delta \models \varphi$  for some finite  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ .

We prove the contrapositive: Suppose that for every finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta \not\models \varphi$ . Thus every finite subset of  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$  has a model, so  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$  has a model, proving that  $\Gamma \not\models \varphi$ .