

1.1 Let \mathcal{L} be a language with no individual constants. Define an \mathcal{L} -structure \overline{A} and subuniverses B, C of \overline{A} such that $B \cap C = \emptyset$.

Let $A = 2$, and let the fundamental operations of \overline{A} be such that $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ are closed under them; the fundamental relations can be anything. Then $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint subuniverses.

1.2 Carry out the “easy induction” at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Since $\langle X \rangle_A$ is a subuniverse containing X , we have $Y_0 \subseteq \langle X \rangle_A$. Now suppose that $Y_i \subseteq \langle X \rangle_A$. Suppose that F is a function symbol of rank m and $x \in {}^m Y_i$. Then $x \in {}^m X$, and so $F(x) \in \langle X \rangle_A$. It follows that $Y_{i+1} \subseteq \langle X \rangle_A$.

1.3 If X and Y are nonempty subsets of the universe A of an algebra \overline{A} , then $\langle X \cup \langle Y \rangle \rangle = \langle X \cup Y \rangle$.

Suppose that B is a subuniverse of A containing $X \cup Y$. Then $Y \subseteq B$, and so $\langle Y \rangle \subseteq B$. Thus $X \cup \langle Y \rangle \subseteq B$. It follows that $\langle X \cup \langle Y \rangle \rangle \subseteq B$. Since B is arbitrary, this shows that $\langle X \cup \langle Y \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle X \cup Y \rangle$.

Conversely, suppose that C is a subuniverse of A containing $X \cup \langle Y \rangle$. Then $\langle Y \rangle \subseteq C$, and so also $Y \subseteq C$. Thus $X \cup Y \subseteq C$. It follows that $\langle X \cup Y \rangle \subseteq C$. Since C is arbitrary, this proves that $\langle X \cup Y \rangle \subseteq \langle X \cup \langle Y \rangle \rangle$. Together with the preceding paragraph this proves that $\langle X \cup Y \rangle = \langle X \cup \langle Y \rangle \rangle$.

1.4 If K is a nonempty set of nonempty subsets of the universe A of a structure \overline{A} , then $\langle \bigcup K \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \rangle$.

Suppose that K is a nonempty set of nonempty subsets of the universe A of a structure \overline{A} .

First suppose that B is a subuniverse of A containing $\bigcup K$. Then for each $X \in K$, B contains X , and hence $\langle X \rangle \subseteq B$. thus $\bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \subseteq B$, and so $\langle \bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \rangle \subseteq B$. Since B is arbitrary, this proves that $\langle \bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle \bigcup K \rangle$.

Second, suppose that B is a subuniverse of A containing $\bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle$. Then for each $X \in K$ we have $X \subseteq \langle X \rangle \subseteq B$. So $\bigcup K \subseteq B$, and so also $\langle \bigcup K \rangle \subseteq B$. Since B is arbitrary, this shows that $\langle \bigcup K \rangle \subseteq \langle \bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \rangle$. Together with the preceding paragraph this shows that $\langle \bigcup K \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{X \in K} \langle X \rangle \rangle$.

1.5 Suppose that f is a homomorphism from \overline{A} into \overline{B} , and C is a nonempty subuniverse of \overline{A} . Show that $f[C]$ is a subuniverse of \overline{B} .

For k an individual constant, $k^{\overline{B}} = f(k^{\overline{A}}) \in f[C]$. For F an m -ary operation symbol and $b \in {}^m C$,

$$F^{\overline{B}}(f \circ b) = f(F^{\overline{A}}(b)) \in f[C],$$

as desired.

1.6 Suppose that f is a homomorphism from \overline{A} into \overline{B} , and C is a nonempty subuniverse of \overline{B} . Show that $f^{-1}[C]$ is a subuniverse of \overline{A} .

For k an individual constant, $f(k^{\overline{A}}) = k^{\overline{B}} \in C$ and so $k^{\overline{A}} \in f^{-1}[C]$. For F an m -ary operation symbol and $b \in {}^m(f^{-1}[C])$ we have $f(F^{\overline{A}}(b)) = F^{\overline{B}}(f \circ b) \in C$ since $(f \circ b) \in {}^m C$; hence $F^{\overline{A}}(b) \in f^{-1}[C]$.

1.7 If X generates \overline{A} , f and g are homomorphisms from \overline{A} into \overline{B} , and $f \upharpoonright X = g \upharpoonright X$, then $f = g$.

It suffices to show that $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in A : f(a) = g(a)\}$ is a subuniverse of \overline{A} containing X . We are given that $X \subseteq Y$. For any individual constant k , $f(k^{\overline{A}}) = k^{\overline{B}} = f(k^{\overline{A}})$, so $k^{\overline{A}} \in Y$. Now suppose that F is an n -ary operation symbol and $a \in {}^n Y$. Then

$$f(F^{\overline{A}}(a)) = F^{\overline{B}}(f \circ a) = F^{\overline{B}}(g \circ a) = g(F^{\overline{A}}(a)),$$

and so $F^{\overline{A}}(a) \in Y$. Thus Y is a subuniverse of \overline{A} .

1.8 If f is a homomorphism from \overline{A} into \overline{B} and X is a nonempty subset of A , then $f[\langle X \rangle] = \langle f[X] \rangle$.

By exercise 1.5, $f[\langle X \rangle]$ is a subuniverse of \overline{B} containing $f[X]$. Hence $\langle f[X] \rangle \subseteq f[\langle X \rangle]$.

Now by exercise 1.6, $f^{-1}[\langle f[X] \rangle]$ is a subuniverse of \overline{A} , and it obviously contains X . So $\langle X \rangle \subseteq f^{-1}[\langle f[X] \rangle]$, and so $f[\langle X \rangle] \subseteq \langle f[X] \rangle$.

1.9 If \overline{A} is a substructure of \overline{B} and \equiv is a congruence relation on \overline{B} , then $\equiv \cap (A \times A)$ is a congruence relation on \overline{A} .

Clearly $\equiv \cap (A \times A)$ is an equivalence relation on \overline{A} . Now suppose that F is an m -ary operation symbol, $x, y \in {}^m A$, and $x_i \equiv y_i$ for all $i < m$. Then

$$F^{\overline{A}}x = F^{\overline{B}}x \equiv F^{\overline{B}}y = F^{\overline{A}}y.$$

Finally, if R is an m -ary relation symbol, $x, y \in {}^m A$, and $x_i \equiv y_i$ for all $i < m$, then

$$a \in R^{\overline{A}} \text{ iff } a \in R^{\overline{B}} \text{ iff } b \in R^{\overline{B}} \text{ iff } b \in R^{\overline{A}}.$$

1.10 Suppose that R is a congruence relation on \overline{A} , and S is a congruence relation on \overline{A}/R . Define $T = \{(a_0, a_1) \in A \times A : ([a_0]_R, [a_1]_R) \in S\}$. Show that T is a congruence relation on A and $R \subseteq T$.

T is reflexive on A : given $a \in A$, we have $[a]_R S [a]_R$, so aTa .

T is symmetric: given xTy , we have $[x]_R S [y]_R$, hence $[y]_R S [x]_R$, hence yTx .

T is transitive: given $xTyTz$, we have $[x]_R S [y]_R S [z]_R$, hence $[x]_R S [z]_R$, hence xTz .

Now suppose that F is an m -ary operation symbol, $x, y \in {}^m A$, and $x_i T y_i$ for all $i < m$. Then $[x_i]_R S [y_i]_R$ for all $i < m$, so

$$F^{\overline{A}/R}([x_0]_R, \dots, [x_{m-1}]_R) S F^{\overline{A}/R}([y_0]_R, \dots, [y_{m-1}]_R).$$

Now $F^{\bar{A}/R}([x_0]_R, \dots, [x_{m-1}]_R) = [F^{\bar{A}}(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1})]_R$ and $F^{\bar{A}/R}([y_0]_R, \dots, [y_{m-1}]_R) = [F^{\bar{A}}(y_0, \dots, y_{m-1})]_R$, so

$$[F^{\bar{A}}(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1})]_R S [F^{\bar{A}}(y_0, \dots, y_{m-1})]_R;$$

hence $F^{\bar{A}}(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) T F^{\bar{A}}(y_0, \dots, y_{m-1})$.

Now suppose that U is an m -ary relation symbol, $a, b \in {}^m A$, and $a_i T b_i$ for all $i < m$. Then $[a_i]_R S [b_i]_R$ for all $i < m$, and

$$\begin{aligned} a \in U^{\bar{A}} & \text{ iff } \langle [a_i]_R : i < m \rangle \in U^{\bar{A}/R} \\ & \text{ iff } \langle [b_i]_R : i < m \rangle \in U^{\bar{A}/R} \quad \text{since } S \text{ is a congruence relation on } \bar{A}/R \\ & \text{ iff } b \in U^{\bar{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $x R y$, then $[x]_R = [y]_R$, hence $[x]_R S [y]_R$, hence $x T y$.

1.11 (Continuing exercise 1.10) Show that the procedure of exercise 1.10 establishes a one-one order-preserving correspondence between congruence relations on A/R and those congruence relations on A which include R .

For each congruence relation S on A/R let F_S be the congruence relation T defined in exercise 1.10. Suppose that S_0 and S_1 are distinct congruence relations on A/R . Say $[a]_R S_0 [b]_R$ and not $[a]_R S_1 [b]_R$. Then $a F_{S_0} b$. Suppose that $a F_{S_1} b$. Then $[a]_R S_1 [b]_R$, contradiction. Thus F is a one-one function.

Now suppose that U is a congruence relation on A which includes R . Define

$$S = \{(x, y) \in (A/R) \times (A/R) : \exists a, b \in A [x = [a]_R \wedge y = [b]_R \wedge a U b]\}.$$

We claim that S is a congruence relation on A/R and $F_S = U$.

S is reflexive, since if $a \in A$ then $a U a$, hence $[a]_R S [a]_R$.

S is symmetric: suppose $x S y$. Choose $a, b \in A$ such that $x = [a]_R$, $y = [b]_R$, and $a U b$. Then $b U a$, so $y S x$.

S is transitive: suppose that $x S y S z$. Choose $a, b, c, d \in A$ such that $x = [a]_R$, $y = [b]_R$, $a U b$, $y = [c]_R$, $z = [d]_R$, and $c U d$. Then $[b]_R = [c]_R$, so $b R c$, hence $b U c$. So $a U b U c U d$, hence $a U d$ and so $x S z$.

Now let F be an m -ary operation symbol and $x, y \in {}^m S$ with $x_i S y_i$ for all $i < m$. Choose $a, b \in {}^m A$ so that $\forall i < m [x_i = [a_i]_R \wedge y_i = [b_i]_R \wedge a_i U b_i]$. Then $F^{\bar{A}}(a) U F^{\bar{A}}(b)$. Moreover, $F^{A/R}(x) = [F^{\bar{A}}(a)]_R$ and $F^{A/R}(y) = [F^{\bar{A}}(b)]_R$. Hence $F^{A/R}(x) S F^{A/R}(y)$.

Let T be an m -ary relation symbol, and let $x, y \in {}^m (A/R)$ with $x_i S y_i$ for all $i < m$. Then there exist $x'_i, y'_i \in A$ so that $x_i = [x'_i]_R$ and $y_i = [y'_i]_R$ and $x'_i U y'_i$ for all $i < m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in T^{\bar{A}/R} & \text{ iff } x' \in T^{\bar{A}} \\ & \text{ iff } y' \in T^{\bar{A}} \quad \text{since } U \text{ is a congruence relation on } \bar{A} \\ & \text{ iff } y \in T^{\bar{A}/R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is a congruence relation on A/R . Now take any $a, b \in A$. If aF_Sb , then $[a]_R S [b]_R$. Hence there are $c, d \in A$ such that $[a]_R = [c]_R$, $[b]_R = [d]_R$, and cUd . Since $R \subseteq U$, we have $aUcUdUb$, and so aUb .

Conversely, suppose that aUb . Then $[a]_R S [b]_R$ and so aF_Sb .

Hence $F_S = U$.

1.12 Suppose that $\langle \bar{A}_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of similar structures, and \bar{B} is another structure similar to them. Suppose that f_i is a homomorphism from \bar{B} into \bar{A}_i for each $i \in I$. Show that there is a homomorphism g from \bar{B} into $\prod_{i \in I} \bar{A}_i$ such that $\text{pr}_i \circ g = f_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Define $(g(b))_i = f_i(b)$ for all $b \in B$ and $i \in I$. Thus $\text{pr}_i \circ g = f_i$ for all $i \in I$. If k is an individual constant, then

$$g(k^{\bar{B}}) = \langle k^{\bar{A}_i} : i \in I \rangle = k^{\bar{C}}.$$

For R an m -ary relation symbol and $b \in {}^m B$,

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ b \in R^{\bar{C}} & \text{ iff } \forall i \in I [\text{pr}_i \circ g \circ b \in R^{\bar{A}_i}] \\ & \text{ iff } \forall i \in I [f_i \circ b \in R^{\bar{A}_i}] \\ & \text{ iff } b \in R^{\bar{B}}. \end{aligned}$$

For F an m -ary operation symbol and $b \in {}^m B$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(F^{\bar{B}}(b)) &= \langle f_i(F^{\bar{B}}(b)) : i \in I \rangle \\ &= \langle F^{\bar{A}_i}(f_i \circ b) : i \in I \rangle \\ &= \langle F^{\bar{A}_i}(\text{pr}_i \circ g \circ b) : i \in I \rangle \\ &= F^{\bar{C}}(g \circ b). \end{aligned}$$

1.13 Show that a product of partial orderings is a partial ordering.

See page 2 for the official definition of a partial ordering. Suppose that $\langle (A_i, <_i) : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of partial orderings. Let $B = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$.

Irreflexive: if $b \in B$ and $b <_B b$, then $\forall i [b_i < b_i]$, contradicting irreflexivity on each factor.

Transitive: suppose that $b <_B c <_B d$. Thus $\forall i [b_i <_i c_i <_i d_i]$, so $\forall i [b_i <_i d_i]$, hence $b <_B d$.

1.14 A partial ordering $(A, <)$ is a **linear ordering** iff for any two distinct $x, y \in A$ we have $x < y$ or $y < x$. Give an example of two linear orderings whose product is not a linear ordering.

Take $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 0)$ are incomparable.

1.15 Give an example of two ordered fields whose product is not even a field.

We consider $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $(1, 0)$ is nonzero, but does not have an inverse.

1.16 Let F be a proper filter on a set I . Show that F is an ultrafilter iff for all $a, b \subseteq I$, if $a \cup b \in F$ then $a \in F$ or $b \in F$.

\Rightarrow : Suppose $a \notin F$ and $b \notin F$. Then $(I \setminus a) \in F$ and $(I \setminus b) \in F$, so $(I \setminus a) \cap (I \setminus b) \in F$. It follows that $a \cup b \notin F$, as otherwise $\emptyset = (I \setminus a) \cap (I \setminus b) \cap (a \cup b) \in F$.

\Leftarrow : If $a \subseteq I$, then $I = a \cup (I \setminus a) \in F$, hence $a \in F$ or $(I \setminus a) \in F$.

1.17 Show that any ultraproduct of linear orderings is a linear ordering.

We consider an ultraproduct $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \in I} \overline{A}_i / D$. By exercise E1.13 it suffices to show that any two elements of B are comparable. Let $a, b \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$. Then

$$I = \{i \in I : a_i < b_i\} \cup \{i \in I : a_i = b_i\} \cup \{i \in I : b_i < a_i\}.$$

By exercise 1.16, since $I \in D$ one of these three sets is in D , giving $[a] < [b]$, $[a] = [b]$, or $[b] < [a]$ respectively.

1.18 Suppose that I is a nonempty set, and $\langle J_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of nonempty sets. Also suppose that F_i is an ultrafilter on J_i for each $i \in I$, and G is an ultrafilter on I . Let $K = \{(i, j) : i \in I, j \in J_i\}$, and define

$$H = \{X \subseteq K : \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X\} \in F_i\} \in G\}.$$

Show that H is an ultrafilter on K .

- $K \in H$: For any $i \in I$ we have $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in K\} = J_i \in F_i$, so that $\{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in K\} \in F_i\} = I \in G$. Hence $K \in H$.
- $\emptyset \notin H$: Suppose $\emptyset \in H$. Thus $\{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in \emptyset\} \in F_i\} \in G$, in particular, there is an $i \in I$ such that $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in \emptyset\} \in F_i$. In particular there is a $j \in J$ such that $(i, j) \in \emptyset$, contradiction.
- Suppose that $X, Y \in H$; we show that $X \cap Y \in H$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X\} \in F_i\}; \\ Y' &= \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in Y\} \in F_i\}; \\ Z' &= \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X \cap Y\} \in F_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X, Y \in H$, we have $X', Y' \in G$; hence $X' \cap Y' \in G$. We claim that $X' \cap Y' \subseteq Z'$; hence $Z' \in G$ and so $X \cap Y \in H$. To prove this claim, suppose that $i \in X' \cap Y'$. Then $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X\}$ and $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in Y\}$ are both members of F_i , and hence so is their intersection. Now

$$\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X\} \cap \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in Y\} \subseteq \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X \cap Y\},$$

so it follows that $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X \cap Y\} \in F_i$; hence $i \in Z'$. This proves our claim.

- Suppose that $X \subseteq K$ and $X \notin H$; we prove that $(K \setminus X) \in H$. Since $X \notin H$, with X' as above we have $X' \notin G$; so $(I \setminus X') \in G$. We claim that

$$(I \setminus X') \subseteq \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in (K \setminus X)\} \in F_i\};$$

from this claim it follows that $\{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in (K \setminus X)\} \in F_i\} \in G$, and hence $(K \setminus X) \in H$.

To prove ths claim, suppose that $i \in (I \setminus X')$. Thus $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in X\} \notin F_i$, and hence $\{j \in J_i : (i, j) \in (K \setminus X)\} \in F_i$, as desired.

1.19 Under the notation of exercise 1.18, show that there is an isomorphism f of the structure $\prod_{i \in I} (\prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}/F_i)/G$ onto $\prod_{(i,j) \in K} \overline{A_{ij}}/H$ such that:

$$\forall r \in \prod_{i \in I} \left(\prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}/F_i \right) / G \left[\left[r = [s]_G \text{ with } s \in \prod_{i \in I} \left(\prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}/F_i \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \forall i \in I \left[s_i = [t_i]_{F_i} \text{ with } t_i \in \prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}} \right] \text{ implies that } f(r) = [\langle t_i(j) : (i, j) \in K \rangle]_H \right].$$

First we show that f is well-defined. Thus suppose that r, s, t are as above, and suppose that also $r = [s']_G$ with $s' \in \prod_{i \in I} \left(\prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}/F_i \right)$ and $s'_i = [t'_i]_{F_i}$ for each $i \in I$, with $t' \in \prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}$. Then $\{i \in I : s_i = s'_i\} \in G$, hence $\{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : t_{ij} = t'_{ij}\} \in F_i\} \in G$. So $\{(i, j) \in K : t_{ij} = t'_{ij}\} \in H$, as desired.

Reversing these steps, we see that f is injective.

Given $u \in \prod_{(i,j) \in K} \overline{A_{ij}}/H$, write $u = [t]_H$ with $t \in \prod_{(i,j) \in K} \overline{A_{ij}}$. For each $i \in I$ let $s_i = [\langle t_{ij} : j \in J_i \rangle]_{F_i}$. Then $f([s]_G) = u$. Thus f is surjective.

For the remainder of the proof we introduce the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}_i &= \prod_{j \in J_i} \overline{A_{ij}}; \\ \overline{C}_i &= \overline{B}_i/F_i; \\ \overline{D} &= \prod_{i \in I} \overline{C}_i; \\ \overline{E} &= \overline{D}/G; \\ \overline{L} &= \prod_{(i,j) \in K} \overline{A_{ij}}; \\ \overline{M} &= \overline{L}/H. \end{aligned}$$

Now let k be an individual constant. Then $k^{\overline{E}} = [k^{\overline{D}}]_G$, $k_i^{\overline{D}} = [k^{\overline{B}_i}]_{F_i}$ for each $i \in I$, and $k_j^{\overline{B}_i} = k^{\overline{A_{ij}}}$ for each $i \in I$ and $j \in J_i$. Hence $f(k^{\overline{E}}) = f([k^{\overline{D}}]_G) = [\langle k^{\overline{A_{ij}}} : (i, j) \in K \rangle]_H = k^{\overline{M}}$.

Next, let m be a positive integer and $r^0, \dots, r^{m-1} \in E$. For each $k < m$ choose $s^k \in E$ with $r^k = [s^k]_G$. Then for each $i \in I$ write $s_i^k = [t_i^k]_{F_i}$.

Suppose that R is an m -ary relation symbol. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle r^0, \dots, r^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\overline{E}} & \text{ iff } \{i \in I : \langle s_i^0, \dots, s_i^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\overline{C}_i}\} \in G \\
& \text{ iff } \{i \in I : \{j \in J_i : \langle t_{ij}^0, \dots, t_{ij}^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\overline{A}_i}\} \in F_i\} \in G \\
& \text{ iff } \{(i, j) \in K : \langle t_{ij}^0, \dots, t_{ij}^{m-1} \rangle \in R^{\overline{A}_{ij}}\} \in H \\
& \text{ iff } \langle [t^0]_H, \dots, [t^{m-1}]_H \rangle \in R^{\overline{M}} \\
& \text{ iff } \langle f(r^0), \dots, f(r^{m-1}) \rangle \in R^{\overline{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that Q is an m -ary operation symbol. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(Q^{\overline{E}}(r^0, \dots, r^{m-1})) &= f([Q^{\overline{D}}(s^0, \dots, s^{m-1})]_G) \\
&= f([\langle \langle Q^{\overline{C}_i}(s_i^0, \dots, s_i^{m-1}) : i \in I \rangle \rangle]_G) \\
&= f([\langle \langle \langle Q^{\overline{A}_{ij}}(t_{ij}^0, \dots, t_{ij}^{m-1}) : j \in J_i \rangle \rangle_{F_i} : i \in I \rangle]_G) \\
&= \langle [Q^{\overline{A}_{ij}}(t_{ij}^0, \dots, t_{ij}^{m-1})]_H : (i, j) \in K \rangle \\
&= Q^{\overline{M}}([t^0]_H, \dots, [t^{m-1}]_H) \\
&= Q^{\overline{M}}(f(r^0), \dots, f(r^{m-1})).
\end{aligned}$$