

# Contingency Tables

Andy Grogan-Kaylor

11 May 2020

## Key Concepts and Commands

- Matrices of data
- Probabilities, risks, and odds
- $\chi^2$  Tests
- `tabulate x y, row col chi2`

## Flipping Two Coins

### Setup

```
. clear all  
  
. set seed 3846
```

Good value labels are **key** here.

```
. label define nickel ///  
> 1 "heads for nickel" ///  
> 0 "tails for nickel" // define value label  
  
. label define quarter ///  
> 1 "heads for quarter" ///  
> 0 "tails for quarter" // define value label  
  
. set obs 1000 // 1000 observations  
number of observations (_N) was 0, now 1,000  
  
. * curiously it takes around 1000 obs for the proportions  
. * below to "take hold"  
  
. generate nickel = rbinomial(1, .75) // unfair nickel  
  
. generate quarter = rbinomial(1, .5) // fair quarter  
  
. label values nickel nickel // assign value label  
  
. label values quarter quarter // assign value label
```

## Crosstabulation

```
. tabulate nickel quarter, row col
```

Key			
	<i>frequency</i>		
	<i>row percentage</i>		
	<i>column percentage</i>		

nickel	quarter		Total
	tails for	heads for	
tails for nickel	104	140	244
	42.62	57.38	100.00
	21.62	26.97	24.40
heads for nickel	377	379	756
	49.87	50.13	100.00
	78.38	73.03	75.60
Total	481	519	1,000
	48.10	51.90	100.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

## Graphing (Mosaic Plot)

```
. * ssc install spineplot // mosaicplots (spineplots)

. * ssc install scheme-burd, replace // BuRd graph scheme

. spineplot nickel quarter, scheme(burd)

. graph export nickel-quarter.png, width(500) replace
(file nickel-quarter.png written in PNG format)
```




Figure 1: Mosaic Plot

## Bar Chart

Does a bar chart work to visualize these relationships?

```
. graph bar, over(quarter) over(nickel) scheme(burd)

. graph export nickel-quarter-bar1.png, width(500) replace
(file nickel-quarter-bar1.png written in PNG format)
```




Figure 2: Bar Chart 1

## Bar Chart (2)

Option `asyvars` adds a crucial color element.

```
. graph bar, over(quarter) over(nickel) scheme(burd) asyvars

. graph export nickel-quarter-bar2.png, width(500) replace
(file nickel-quarter-bar2.png written in PNG format)
```

## Horizontal Bar Chart

And `hbar` may improve legibility even more.

```
. graph hbar, over(quarter) over(nickel) scheme(burd) asyvars

. graph export nickel-quarter-bar3.png, width(500) replace
(file nickel-quarter-bar3.png written in PNG format)
```




Figure 3: Bar Chart 2




Figure 4: Bar Chart 3

## 1961 French Skiers

```
. clear all
```

## Define Matrix

```
. matrix input FrenchSkiers = (31, 109 \ 17, 122)

. matrix rownames FrenchSkiers = Placebo AscorbicAcid

. matrix colnames FrenchSkiers = Cold NoCold

. matrix list FrenchSkiers
FrenchSkiers[2,2]
      Cold  NoCold
Placebo   31    109
AscorbicAcid 17    122
```

## Theme Music

## Try Making a Data Set From Matrix

```
. svmat FrenchSkiers, name(count)
number of observations will be reset to 2
Press any key to continue, or Break to abort
number of observations (_N) was 0, now 2

. list
```

	count1	count2
1.	31	109
2.	17	122

## Enter Data By Hand

There are many alternative commands to do this, but the easiest way is using `edit`.

I have already done this. Note the structure of the data is different from above.

```
. use "FrenchSkiers.dta", clear

. list // list the data
```

	Tx	Outcome	Count
1.	Ascorbic Acid	Cold	17
2.	Ascorbic Acid	No Cold	122
3.	Placebo	Cold	31
4.	Placebo	No Cold	109

## Mosaic Plot

```
. spineplot Tx Outcome, scheme(burd)

. graph export FrenchSkiiers1.png, width(500) replace
(file FrenchSkiiers1.png written in PNG format)
```




Figure 5: Mosaic Plot Attempt 1

## Mosaic Plot (2)

```
. spineplot Outcome Tx [fweight=Count], scheme(burd) // order matters to interpretability

. graph export FrenchSkiiers2.png, width(500) replace
(file FrenchSkiiers2.png written in PNG format)
```

## Definitions and Notation

### Counts

$$\begin{matrix} c_{ij} & c_{ij} & c_{i\bullet} \\ c_{ij} & c_{ij} & c_{i\bullet} \\ c_{\bullet j} & c_{\bullet j} & c_{\bullet\bullet} \end{matrix}$$

### Probabilities

$$\begin{matrix} p_{ij} & p_{ij} & p_{i\bullet} \\ p_{ij} & p_{ij} & p_{i\bullet} \\ p_{\bullet j} & p_{\bullet j} & p_{\bullet\bullet} \end{matrix}$$




Figure 6: Mosaic Plot Attempt 2

## Terms

$p_{ij}$  are *joint* probabilities.

$p_{i\bullet}$  and  $p_{\bullet j}$  are *marginal* probabilities.

$p_{ij} \mid p_{i\bullet}$  and  $p_{ij} \mid p_{\bullet j}$  are *conditional* probabilities.

## Formulas

### Counts

$$\sum_1^i \sum_1^j c_{ij} = N$$

### Probabilities

$$\sum_1^i \sum_1^j p_{ij} = 1.0$$

### Expected Probabilities $p$ and Counts $m$ or Frequencies

$$p_{ij} = p_{i\bullet} p_{\bullet j}$$

$$m_{ij} = \frac{m_{i\bullet} m_{\bullet j}}{m_{\bullet\bullet}}$$

Observed counts are represented by  $c$  while expected counts are represented by  $m$ .

## Fundamental Rule

$$\text{conditional} = \text{joint} / \text{marginal}$$

## Independence (Robert Mare)

If independence is true, then joint probabilities = products of marginal probabilities.

That is, under independence, the conditional distribution equals the marginal distribution.

Under independence, row membership provides no information about the column distribution; and column membership provides no information about the row distribution.

Independence is a model, which is never exactly true in the real world.

## Observed vs. Expected

```
. scalar N = 31 + 109 + 17 + 122

. scalar A = ((31 + 17)*(31+109)) / N // expected count

. scalar B = ((31+109)*(109+122)) / N // expected count

. scalar C = ((31 + 17) * (17 + 122)) / N // expected count

. scalar D = ((17 + 122) * (109 + 122)) / N // expected count

. matrix FS = (A, B \ C, D) // matrix of expected values

. matrix rownames FS = Placebo AscorbicAcid // rownames

. matrix colnames FS = Cold NoCold // column names

. matrix list FS
FS[2,2]
      Cold      NoCold
Placebo 24.086022 115.91398
AscorbicAcid 23.913978 115.08602
```

## Chi-Square Test

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

```
. scalar chisquare = (31 - 24.086022)^2 / 24.086022 + ///
> (109 - 115.91398)^2 / 115.91398 + ///
> (17 - 23.913978)^2 / 23.913978 + ///
> (122 - 115.08602)^2 / 115.08602

. scalar list chisquare
chisquare = 4.8114124
```



## Compare With Tabulate

```
. use "FrenchSkiiers.dta", clear

. tabulate Tx Outcome [fweight = Count], row col chi2
```

Key
<i>frequency</i>
<i>row percentage</i>
<i>column percentage</i>

Tx	Outcome		Total
	No Cold	Cold	
Placebo	109	31	140
	77.86	22.14	100.00
	47.19	64.58	50.18
Ascorbic Acid	122	17	139
	87.77	12.23	100.00
	52.81	35.42	49.82
Total	231	48	279
	82.80	17.20	100.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00
Pearson chi2(1) = 4.8114			Pr = 0.028

## Risk Differences and Risk Ratios (Relative Risk)

Following Viera, 2008:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

	Develop Outcome	Do Not Develop Outcome
Exposed	a	b
Not Exposed	c	d

$$R = \frac{a}{a+b} \text{ (in Exposed)}$$

$$RR = \frac{\text{risk in exposed}}{\text{risk in not exposed}} = \frac{a/(a+b)}{c/(c+d)}$$

## Odds Ratios

	Develop Outcome	Do Not Develop Outcome
Exposed	a	b
Not Exposed	c	d

$$OR =$$

$$\frac{\text{odds that exposed person develops outcome}}{\text{odds that unexposed person develops outcome}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{a}{a+b} / \frac{b}{a+b}}{\frac{c}{c+d} / \frac{d}{c+d}} = \frac{a/b}{c/d} = \frac{ad}{bc}$$

## Properties of the Odds Ratio (Robert Mare)

In general for the 2 X 2 Table,

$$0 < OR < 1$$

indicates that one row is less likely to make the first response than the other row.

$$1 < OR < \infty$$

indicates that one row is more likely to make the first response than the other row.