

Chapter 3: Processes





Outline

- Process Concept
- Process Scheduling
- Operations on Processes
- Interprocess Communication
- IPC in Shared-Memory Systems
- IPC in Message-Passing Systems
- Examples of IPC Systems
- Communication in Client-Server Systems





Objectives

- Identify the separate components of a process and illustrate how they are represented and scheduled in an operating system.
- Describe how processes are created and terminated in an operating system, including developing programs using the appropriate system calls that perform these operations.
- Describe and contrast interprocess communication using shared memory and message passing.
- Design programs that uses pipes and POSIX shared memory to perform interprocess communication.
- Describe client-server communication using sockets and remote procedure calls.
- Design kernel modules that interact with the Linux operating system.





Process Concept

- An operating system executes a variety of programs that run as a process.
- **Process** – a program in execution; process execution must progress in sequential fashion. No parallel execution of instructions of a single process
- Multiple parts
 - The program code, also called **text section**
 - Current activity including **program counter**, processor registers
 - **Stack** containing temporary data
 - ▶ Function parameters, return addresses, local variables
 - **Data section** containing global variables
 - **Heap** containing memory dynamically allocated during run time






Process Concept (Cont.)

- Program is **passive** entity stored on disk (**executable file**); process is **active**
 - Program becomes process when an executable file is loaded into memory
- Execution of program started via GUI mouse clicks, command line entry of its name, etc.
- One program can be several processes
 - Consider multiple users executing the same program






Process in Memory





Memory Layout of a C Program






Process State

- As a process executes, it changes **state**
 - **New**: The process is being created
 - **Running**: Instructions are being executed
 - **Waiting**: The process is waiting for some event to occur
 - **Ready**: The process is waiting to be assigned to a processor
 - **Terminated**: The process has finished execution





Diagram of Process State





Process Control Block (PCB)

Information associated with each process(also called **task control block**)

- Process state – running, waiting, etc.
- Program counter – location of instruction to next execute
- CPU registers – contents of all process-centric registers
- CPU scheduling information- priorities, scheduling queue pointers
- Memory-management information – memory allocated to the process
- Accounting information – CPU used, clock time elapsed since start, time limits
- I/O status information – I/O devices allocated to process, list of open files

process state
process number
program counter
registers
memory limits
list of open files
...





Threads

- So far, process has a single thread of execution
- Consider having multiple program counters per process
 - Multiple locations can execute at once
 - ▶ Multiple threads of control -> **threads**
- Must then have storage for thread details, multiple program counters in PCB
- Explore in detail in Chapter 4






Process Representation in Linux

Represented by the C structure `task_struct`

```
pid_t pid;                /* process identifier */
long state;               /* state of the process */
unsigned int time_slice   /* scheduling information */
struct task_struct *parent; /* this process's parent */
struct list_head children; /* this process's children */
struct files_struct *files; /* list of open files */
struct mm_struct *mm;      /* address space of this
process */
```






Process Scheduling


- **Process scheduler** selects among available processes for next execution on CPU core
- Goal -- Maximize CPU use, quickly switch processes onto CPU core
- Maintains **scheduling queues** of processes
 - **Ready queue** – set of all processes residing in main memory, ready and waiting to execute
 - **Wait queues** – set of processes waiting for an event (i.e., I/O)
 - Processes migrate among the various queues







Ready and Wait Queues






Representation of Process Scheduling





CPU Switch From Process to Process

A **context switch** occurs when the CPU switches from one process to another.





Context Switch

- When CPU switches to another process, the system must **save the state** of the old process and load the **saved state** for the new process via a **context switch**
- **Context** of a process represented in the PCB
- Context-switch time is pure overhead; the system does no useful work while switching
 - The more complex the OS and the PCB → the longer the context switch
- Time dependent on hardware support
 - Some hardware provides multiple sets of registers per CPU → multiple contexts loaded at once





Multitasking in Mobile Systems

- Some mobile systems (e.g., early version of iOS) allow only one process to run, others suspended
- Due to screen real estate, user interface limits iOS provides for a
 - Single **foreground** process- controlled via user interface
 - Multiple **background** processes– in memory, running, but not on the display, and with limits
 - Limits include single, short task, receiving notification of events, specific long-running tasks like audio playback
- Android runs foreground and background, with fewer limits
 - Background process uses a **service** to perform tasks
 - Service can keep running even if background process is suspended
 - Service has no user interface, small memory use





Operations on Processes

- System must provide mechanisms for:
 - Process creation
 - Process termination





Process Creation


- **Parent** process create **children** processes, which, in turn create other processes, forming a **tree** of processes
- Generally, process identified and managed via a **process identifier (pid)**
- Resource sharing options
 - Parent and children share all resources
 - Children share subset of parent's resources
 - Parent and child share no resources
- Execution options
 - Parent and children execute concurrently
 - Parent waits until children terminate





Process Creation (Cont.)

- Address space
 - Child duplicate of parent
 - Child has a program loaded into it
- UNIX examples
 - **fork()** system call creates new process
 - **exec()** system call used after a **fork()** to replace the process' memory space with a new program
 - Parent process calls **wait()** waiting for the child to terminate





Process Termination

- Process executes last statement and then asks the operating system to delete it using the **exit()** system call.
 - Returns status data from child to parent (via **wait()**)
 - Process' resources are deallocated by operating system
- Parent may terminate the execution of children processes using the **abort()** system call. Some reasons for doing so:
 - Child has exceeded allocated resources
 - Task assigned to child is no longer required
 - The parent is exiting, and the operating systems does not allow a child to continue if its parent terminates





Process Termination

- Some operating systems do not allow child to exist if its parent has terminated. If a process terminates, then all its children must also be terminated.
 - **cascading termination.** All children, grandchildren, etc., are terminated.
 - The termination is initiated by the operating system.
- The parent process may wait for termination of a child process by using the **wait()** system call. The call returns status information and the pid of the terminated process

```
pid = wait(&status);
```
- If no parent waiting (did not invoke **wait()**) process is a **zombie**
- If parent terminated without invoking **wait()**, process is an **orphan**





Android Process Importance Hierarchy


- Mobile operating systems often have to terminate processes to reclaim system resources such as memory. From **most** to **least** important:
 - Foreground process
 - Visible process
 - Service process
 - Background process
 - Empty process
- Android will begin terminating processes that are least important.





Multiprocess Architecture – Chrome Browser

- Many web browsers run as single process (some still do)
 - If one web site causes trouble, entire browser can hang or crash
- Google Chrome Browser is multiprocess with 3 different types of processes:
 - **Browser** process manages user interface, disk and network I/O
 - **Renderer** process renders web pages, deals with HTML, Javascript. A new renderer created for each website opened
 - ▶ Runs in **sandbox** restricting disk and network I/O, minimizing effect of security exploits
 - **Plug-in** process for each type of plug-in



Each tab represents a separate process.





Interprocess Communication


- Processes within a system may be *independent* or *cooperating*
- Cooperating process can affect or be affected by other processes, including sharing data
- Reasons for cooperating processes:
 - Information sharing
 - Computation speedup
 - Modularity
 - Convenience
- Cooperating processes need **interprocess communication (IPC)**
- Two models of IPC
 - **Shared memory**
 - **Message passing**






Communications Models

(a) Shared memory.



(a)

(b) Message passing.



(b)





Producer-Consumer Problem

- Paradigm for cooperating processes:
 - *producer* process produces information that is consumed by a *consumer* process
- Two variations:
 - **unbounded-buffer** places no practical limit on the size of the buffer:
 - ▶ Producer never waits
 - ▶ Consumer waits if there is no buffer to consume
 - **bounded-buffer** assumes that there is a fixed buffer size
 - ▶ Producer must wait if all buffers are full
 - ▶ Consumer waits if there is no buffer to consume





IPC – Shared Memory

- An area of memory shared among the processes that wish to communicate
- The communication is under the control of the users processes not the operating system.
- Major issues is to provide mechanism that will allow the user processes to synchronize their actions when they access shared memory.
- Synchronization is discussed in great details in Chapters 6 & 7.





Bounded-Buffer – Shared-Memory Solution

- Shared data

```
#define BUFFER_SIZE 10
typedef struct {
    . . .
} item;

item buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];
int in = 0;
int out = 0;
```

- Solution is correct, but can only use **BUFFER_SIZE-1** elements





Producer Process – Shared Memory

```
item next_produced;

while (true) {
    /* produce an item in next produced */
    while (((in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE) == out)
        ; /* do nothing */
    buffer[in] = next_produced;
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
}
```





Consumer Process – Shared Memory

```
item next_consumed;

while (true) {
    while (in == out)
        ; /* do nothing */
    next_consumed = buffer[out];
    out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;

    /* consume the item in next consumed */
}
```





What about Filling all the Buffers?

- Suppose that we wanted to provide a solution to the consumer-producer problem that fills **all** the buffers.
- We can do so by having an integer **counter** that keeps track of the number of full buffers.
- Initially, **counter** is set to 0.
- The integer **counter** is incremented by the producer after it produces a new buffer.
- The integer **counter** is and is decremented by the consumer after it consumes a buffer.





Producer

```
while (true) {  
    /* produce an item in next produced */  
  
    while (counter == BUFFER_SIZE)  
        ; /* do nothing */  
    buffer[in] = next_produced;  
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;  
    counter++;  
}
```





Consumer

```
while (true) {  
    while (counter == 0)  
        ; /* do nothing */  
    next_consumed = buffer[out];  
    out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;  
    counter--;  
    /* consume the item in next consumed */  
}
```





Race Condition

- **counter++** could be implemented as

```
register1 = counter
register1 = register1 + 1
counter = register1
```

- **counter--** could be implemented as

```
register2 = counter
register2 = register2 - 1
counter = register2
```

- Consider this execution interleaving with “count = 5” initially:

S0: producer execute	register1 = counter	{register1 = 5}
S1: producer execute	register1 = register1 + 1	{register1 = 6}
S2: consumer execute	register2 = counter	{register2 = 5}
S3: consumer execute	register2 = register2 - 1	{register2 = 4}
S4: producer execute	counter = register1	{counter = 6}
S5: consumer execute	counter = register2	{counter = 4}





Race Condition (Cont.)

- Question - why was there no race condition in the first solution (where at most $N - 1$ buffers can be filled?)
- More in Chapter 6.





IPC – Message Passing

- Processes communicate with each other without resorting to shared variables
- IPC facility provides two operations:
 - **send**(*message*)
 - **receive**(*message*)
- The *message* size is either fixed or variable





Message Passing (Cont.)

- If processes P and Q wish to communicate, they need to:
 - Establish a **communication link** between them
 - Exchange messages via send/receive
- Implementation issues:
 - How are links established?
 - Can a link be associated with more than two processes?
 - How many links can there be between every pair of communicating processes?
 - What is the capacity of a link?
 - Is the size of a message that the link can accommodate fixed or variable?
 - Is a link unidirectional or bi-directional?





Implementation of Communication Link

- Physical:
 - Shared memory
 - Hardware bus
 - Network
- Logical:
 - Direct or indirect
 - Synchronous or asynchronous
 - Automatic or explicit buffering





Direct Communication

- Processes must name each other explicitly:
 - **send** (P , *message*) – send a message to process P
 - **receive**(Q , *message*) – receive a message from process Q
- Properties of communication link
 - Links are established automatically
 - A link is associated with exactly one pair of communicating processes
 - Between each pair there exists exactly one link
 - The link may be unidirectional, but is usually bi-directional





Indirect Communication

- Messages are directed and received from mailboxes (also referred to as ports)
 - Each mailbox has a unique id
 - Processes can communicate only if they share a mailbox
- Properties of communication link
 - Link established only if processes share a common mailbox
 - A link may be associated with many processes
 - Each pair of processes may share several communication links
 - Link may be unidirectional or bi-directional





Indirect Communication (Cont.)

- Operations
 - Create a new mailbox (port)
 - Send and receive messages through mailbox
 - Delete a mailbox
- Primitives are defined as:
 - **send**(*A, message*) – send a message to mailbox A
 - **receive**(*A, message*) – receive a message from mailbox A





Indirect Communication (Cont.)

- Mailbox sharing
 - P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 share mailbox A
 - P_1 , sends; P_2 and P_3 receive
 - Who gets the message?
- Solutions
 - Allow a link to be associated with at most two processes
 - Allow only one process at a time to execute a receive operation
 - Allow the system to select arbitrarily the receiver. Sender is notified who the receiver was.





Synchronization

Message passing may be either blocking or non-blocking

- **Blocking** is considered **synchronous**
 - **Blocking send** -- the sender is blocked until the message is received
 - **Blocking receive** -- the receiver is blocked until a message is available
- **Non-blocking** is considered **asynchronous**
 - **Non-blocking send** -- the sender sends the message and continue
 - **Non-blocking receive** -- the receiver receives:
 - ▶ A valid message, or
 - ▶ Null message
- Different combinations possible
 - If both send and receive are blocking, we have a **rendezvous**





Producer-Consumer: Message Passing

- Producer

```
message next_produced;  
while (true) {  
    /* produce an item in next_produced */  
  
    send(next_produced);  
}
```

- Consumer

```
message next_consumed;  
while (true) {  
    receive(next_consumed)  
  
    /* consume the item in next_consumed */  
}
```





Buffering

- Queue of messages attached to the link.
- Implemented in one of three ways
 1. Zero capacity – no messages are queued on a link.
Sender must wait for receiver (rendezvous)
 2. Bounded capacity – finite length of n messages
Sender must wait if link full
 3. Unbounded capacity – infinite length
Sender never waits





Producer-Consumer Problem

- Paradigm for cooperating processes:
 - *producer* process produces information that is consumed by a *consumer* process
- Two variations:
 - **unbounded-buffer** places no practical limit on the size of the buffer:
 - ▶ Producer never waits
 - ▶ Consumer waits if there is no buffer to consume
 - **bounded-buffer** assumes that there is a fixed buffer size
 - ▶ Producer must wait if all buffers are full
 - ▶ Consumer waits if there is no buffer to consume





Cooperating Processes

- **Independent** process cannot affect or be affected by the execution of another process
- **Cooperating** process can affect or be affected by the execution of another process
- Advantages of process cooperation
 - Information sharing
 - Computation speed-up
 - Modularity
 - Convenience





Synchronization

- Message passing may be either blocking or non-blocking
 - **Blocking** is considered **synchronous**
 - ▶ **Blocking send** -- the sender is blocked until the message is received
 - ▶ **Blocking receive** -- the receiver is blocked until a message is available
 - **Non-blocking** is considered **asynchronous**
 - ▶ **Non-blocking send** -- the sender sends the message and continue
 - ▶ **Non-blocking receive** -- the receiver receives:
 - ▶ A valid message, or
 - ▶ Null message
 - Different combinations possible
 - ▶ If both send and receive are blocking, we have a **rendezvous**

