

# Mathematical Logic: Assignment 3

Oct 31, 2022

**Attention:** To get full credits, you *must provide explanations to your answers!* You will get at most 1/3 of the points if you only provide the final results without any explanation.

1. (5pt) Prove the compactness theorem from its corollary. That is, given the following propositions:

- (a) For any  $\Gamma \models \alpha$ , there is a finite set  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\Delta \models \alpha$ ;
- (b) For any finitely satisfiable set  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable.

Prove that (a) implies (b). (Hint: consider proof by contradiction)

2. (8pt) Write down proof trees for the following propositions (attention: you must write down the whole tree without using any shortcut or derived rules):

- (2pt)  $(\neg A \vee \neg B) \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge B)$ ;
- (3pt)  $(B \rightarrow (A \leftrightarrow \neg A)) \rightarrow \neg B$ ;
- (3pt)  $((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P$ .

(Hint: some may need Law of Excluded Middle)

3. (6pt) Prove the following facts about provability:

- (3pt) If  $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$  and  $\Delta; \alpha \vdash \beta$ , then  $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \beta$ ;
- (3pt) If  $\Gamma; \alpha \vdash \beta \leftrightarrow \neg\beta$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha$ .

(Hint: you need to construct new proof trees from existing trees)

4. (6pt) Prove the following properties:

- (3pt) If  $\vdash \alpha \vee \neg\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$ , then  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha \vee \beta)$  for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ;
- (3pt) If  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha \vee \beta)$  for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $\vdash \alpha \vee \neg\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$ .

(Hint: note that  $\vdash \alpha$  means  $\alpha$  has a proof tree. Think about our proof of the equality between LEM and proof by contradiction in the class)

5. (5pt) Suppose we introduce a logical constant  $\perp$  representing “false”. Then  $\neg\alpha$  can be replaced by  $\alpha \rightarrow \perp$ , meaning  $\alpha$  should never be true (otherwise, the conclusion is false). The  $\neg$  rules are replaced by introduction and elimination rules for  $\perp$  as follows:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [\alpha] \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\alpha \rightarrow \perp} (\perp - I) \quad \frac{\perp}{\alpha} (\perp - E)$$

Here,  $\perp$ -I is denotes that if we can prove  $\perp$  by assuming  $\alpha$ , then we can prove  $\alpha \rightarrow \perp$ .  $\perp$ -E is the principle of explosion: if we can prove false ( $\perp$ ), then anything (any  $\alpha$ ) follows.

- (a) (2pt) Translate  $\neg A \vee \neg B \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge B)$  into a wff without  $\neg$  by  $\neg\alpha \equiv \alpha \rightarrow \perp$ ;
- (b) (3pt) Construct a proof tree for the transformed wff with the newly introduced rules.