

Solutions 2014-12-15

1. (a) A subset  $H \subset G$  is a subgroup in case the following holds:

$$e \in H,$$

$$x \in H \Rightarrow x^{-1} \in H,$$

$$x, y \in H \Rightarrow xy \in H.$$

(b)  $\{1, -1, i, -i\} = \{i^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \langle i \rangle < \mathbb{C}^\times.$

(c)  $\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  classify all groups of order 4.

(d)  $C_4 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_4$ , because  $\phi(i) = 4$ , while  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  contains no element of order 4.

2. (a) Since  $243 = 3^5$ , the abelian groups of order 243 are classified by

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{27}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{81}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_{27}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{243}$$

(b) Since  $289 = 17^2$ , the groups of order 289 are classified by  $\mathbb{Z}_{17} \times \mathbb{Z}_{17}, \mathbb{Z}_{289}.$

(c) The following general results are used in (a) and (b):

Theorem 1. The finite abelian groups are classified by the list  $\prod_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{m_i}}$ , where  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $p_i$  are prime, all  $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $(p_1, m_1) \leq \dots \leq (p_l, m_l)$  in lexicographical ordering.

Theorem 2. Every group of prime squared order is abelian.

3. (a)  $\sigma = (1 \ 12 \ 3 \ 7 \ 2)(4 \ 11 \ 5 \ 10)(6 \ 8 \ 9)$  shows that  $o(\sigma) = \text{lcm}(5, 4, 3) = 60$ .

(b)  $A_{12} = \{\sigma \in S_{12} \mid \sigma \text{ is even}\}$ .

(c) Every cycle of length  $n$  is a product of  $n-1$  transpositions. Hence  $\sigma$  is a product of  $4+3+2=9$  transpositions. Accordingly  $\sigma$  is odd, i.e.  $\sigma \notin A_{12}$ .

4. (a) A domain is a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $1 \neq 0$  and  $R$  has no zero divisors (i.e.  $xy = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ ).

(b)  $\Phi_p(X) = 1 + X + \dots + X^{p-1}$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  for all prime numbers  $p$

$\Rightarrow \Phi_3(X) = 1 + X + X^2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$

$\Rightarrow \Phi_3(X)$  is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (since  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a ufd)

$\Rightarrow R_1$  is a domain.

$x^2 \geq 0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow X^2 + 1$  has no rational root  $\Rightarrow X^2 + 1 \in \text{irr}(\mathbb{Q}[X])$

$\Rightarrow X^2 + 1$  is prime in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  (since  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  is a ufd)

$\Rightarrow R_2$  is a domain.

We observe that  $\bar{X} \neq \bar{0}$  in  $R_3$ . Indeed, if  $\bar{X} = \bar{0}$ , then

$$X + (XY) = 0 + (XY) \Rightarrow X \in (XY)$$

$$\Rightarrow X = XY f(X, Y) \text{ for some } f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y].$$

According to  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y] = (\mathbb{C}[X])[Y]$  we view  $X = XY f(X, Y)$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , and find that its degree (in  $Y$ ) is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \deg_Y(X) &= \deg_Y(XY f(X, Y)) \\ &= \deg_Y(X) + \deg_Y(Y) + \deg_Y(f(X, Y)) \geq 1 \quad \text{⚡} \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,  $\bar{Y} \neq \bar{0}$ . Now  $\bar{X}\bar{Y} = \overline{XY} = \bar{0}$  shows that  $R_3$  has zero divisors, i.e.

$R_3$  is not a domain.

5. (a) An element  $p$  in a domain  $R$  is called irreducible if  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \notin R'$  and  $p = ab \Rightarrow a \in R' \vee b \in R'$ .

(b) An element  $p$  in a domain  $R$  is called prime if  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \notin R'$  and  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \vee p \mid b$ .

(c) Let  $p = XY - Z^2 \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z] = (\mathbb{C}[X, Y])[Z]$ . Then  $p$  is primitive,  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$  is ufd, and  $X \in \text{ir}(\mathbb{C}[X, Y])$  such that  $X \nmid 1$ ,  $X \nmid 0$ ,  $X \mid XY$ , and  $X^2 \nmid XY$ . Now Eisenstein's Criterion implies that  $p$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$ .

(d) Since  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  is ufd, every irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  is prime. In particular,  $XY - Z^2$  is prime in  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$ .

6.  $r = \sqrt[19]{17\,000} \notin \mathbb{Q}.$

Proof.  $r^{19} = 17\,000$  shows that  $r$  is a root of the polynomial  $f(X) = X^{19} - a$ , where  $a = 17\,000 = 2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 17$ . Eisenstein's Criterion (with  $p=17$ ) shows that  $f(X)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Gauss's Lemma implies that  $f(X)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Accordingly,  $f(X)$  has no rational root. So  $r \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

7.  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{5})) = 2$ , since  $\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{5}) = X^2 - 5$ .

$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt[3]{5})) = 3$ , since  $\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt[3]{5}) = X^3 - 5$ .

We claim that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt[3]{5}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})] = 2$ . Consequently

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt[3]{5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt[3]{5}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 3 = 6.$$



Proof of claim.  $[Q(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt[3]{5}) : Q(\sqrt[3]{5})] = [(Q(\sqrt[3]{5}))(\sqrt{5}) : Q(\sqrt[3]{5})] = \deg(\text{irrp}_{Q(\sqrt[3]{5})}(\sqrt{5}))$   
 $= 2$ , because

$\text{irrp}_{Q(\sqrt[3]{5})}(\sqrt{5}) = X^2 - 5$ . Indeed,  $X^2 - 5 \in Q(\sqrt[3]{5})[X]$  is monic and has  $\sqrt{5}$  as a root.

Moreover,  $X^2 - 5$  is irreducible over  $Q(\sqrt[3]{5})$ . Indeed, if not, then

$$X^2 - 5 \text{ splits over } Q(\sqrt[3]{5}) \Rightarrow \sqrt{5} \in Q(\sqrt[3]{5})$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{Q \subset Q(\sqrt{5})}_{2} \subset Q(\sqrt[3]{5}) \Rightarrow 2 \mid 3 \quad \nless \quad \square$$

Since  $(1, \sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt[3]{25})$  is a  $Q$ -basis in  $Q(\sqrt[3]{5})$ ,

and  $(1, \sqrt{5})$  is a  $Q(\sqrt[3]{5})$ -basis in  $Q(\sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt{5})$ ,

a  $Q$ -basis in  $Q(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt[3]{5})$  is given by  $(1, \sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt[3]{25}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5}\sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt{5}\sqrt[3]{25})$ ,  
 which can be rewritten as

$$(1, 5^{1/3}, 5^{2/3}, 5^{1/2}, 5^{5/6}, 5^{7/6}).$$

Dividing  $5^{7/6} = 5^6 \sqrt{5}$  by 5 gives the more streamlined  $Q$ -basis

$$(1, 5^{1/6}, 5^{2/6}, 5^{3/6}, 5^{4/6}, 5^{5/6}).$$

8. (a) A Galois extension is an algebraic field extension, which is normal and separable.

(b) For each  $f(X) \in Q[X] \setminus Q$ , the splitting field of  $f(X)$  is a finite Galois extension of  $Q$ .

Now  $E = Q(\zeta) = Q(\zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4) = \text{sf}_Q(\Phi_5(X))$ , where  $\Phi_5(X) = 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 + X^4$ . So

$Q \subset E$  is finite Galois.

(c) Set  $G = \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $|G| \stackrel{(b)}{=} [E:\mathbb{Q}] = \deg(\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta)) = 4$ , since  $\text{irrp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta) = \Phi_5(X)$ . The unique  $\sigma \in G$  which is determined by  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^2$  has order 4. Hence  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} C_4$ . The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory asserts that the intermediate fields  $\mathbb{Q} \subset I \subset E$  correspond bijectively to the subgroups of  $G$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle 1_E \rangle & & E^1 = E \\ \wedge & & \cup \\ \langle \sigma^2 \rangle & & E^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle} = I \\ \wedge & & \cup \\ \langle \sigma \rangle = G & & E^G = \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Since  $G$  has precisely one proper nontrivial subgroup, namely  $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$ , the extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset E$  has precisely one proper nontrivial intermediate field, namely  $I = E^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle}$ .

(d) Every finite separable field extension is simple. The field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset I$  has degree 2 and is separable (since  $\text{char}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ ).

(e)  $I = E^{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle} = \{ \alpha \in E \mid \sigma^2(\alpha) = \alpha \}$ .  $E$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^3)$ . Every  $\alpha \in E$  can be written  $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\zeta + a_2\zeta^2 + a_3\zeta^3$ , with unique  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\alpha) &= a_0 + a_1\zeta^4 + a_2\zeta^3 + a_3\zeta^2 \\ &= a_0 + a_1(-1-\zeta-\zeta^2-\zeta^3) + a_3\zeta^2 + a_2\zeta^3 \\ &= (a_0 - a_1) - a_1\zeta + (a_3 - a_1)\zeta^2 + (a_2 - a_1)\zeta^3 \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $\alpha \in I \Leftrightarrow \sigma^2(\alpha) = \alpha \Leftrightarrow a_1 = 0 \wedge a_2 = a_3 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = a_0 + a_2(\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)$ .

Thus  $I = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)$ , i.e.  $s = \zeta^2 + \zeta^3 \in E$  will do.