

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

دورة "استرجاع المعلومات" باللغة العربية - صيف ٢٠٢١

Information Retrieval – Summer 2021



3. Evaluation (LAB)

Tamer Elsayed
Qatar University

Suppose a retrieval system produced two ranked lists of 50 documents given two queries Q1 and Q2. The ranks of relevant documents were as follows:

- Q1: relevant docs at ranks 5, 7, 9, 10, and 22
- Q2: relevant docs at ranks 1, 2, and 50

There are 6 and 4 relevant documents in the entire collection for Q1 and Q2 respectively.

For each query, calculate the following values or indicate that there is not sufficient information to calculate it:

- Precision
- Recall
- $F_{0.5}$
- Precision at 10
- Precision when recall is 50%

Suppose a retrieval system produced two ranked lists of 50 documents given two queries Q1 and Q2. The ranks of relevant documents were as follows:

- Q1: relevant docs at ranks 5, 7, 9, 10, and 22
- Q2: relevant docs at ranks 1, 2, and 50

There are 6 and 4 relevant documents in the entire collection for Q1 and Q2 respectively.

Calculate mean average precision (MAP) for the system.

Consider a query that has 6 relevant documents in some collection: two that are perfect (P), one that is excellent (E), and three that are good (G). The rest are non-relevant (N). Suppose the documents retrieved in response to the query are **rated** as follows, with the start of the ranked list on the left:

G E N P N G N N G N

Note that anything rated P, E, or G is considered relevant.

Calculate **nDCG**. Assume that $G=1$, $E=10$, and $P=100$.

In one of TREC tracks, 3 teams T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 have participated and they were asked to retrieve up to 15 documents per query. In reality (with exhaustive judgments), a query Q has 9 relevant documents in the collection: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I.

The submitted ranked lists are as follows:

Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
T_1	A	M	Y	R	K	L	B	Z	E	N	D	C	W		
T_2	Y	A	J	R	N	Z	M	C	G	B	X	P	D	K	W
T_3	G	B	Y	K	E	A	Z	L	N	C	H	K	W	X	

To construct the judging pools for Q :

- Pool A: using only the top 5 documents of each of the submitted ranked lists.

What is the Average Precision of T_1 if Pool A is used?

In one of TREC tracks, 3 teams T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 have participated and they were asked to retrieve up to 15 documents per query. In reality (with exhaustive judgments), a query Q has 9 relevant documents in the collection: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I.

The submitted ranked lists are as follows:

Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
T_1	A	M	Y	R	K	L	B	Z	E	N	D	C	W		
T_2	Y	A	J	R	N	Z	M	C	G	B	X	P	D	K	W
T_3	G	B	Y	K	E	A	Z	L	N	C	H	K	W	X	

To construct the judging pools for Q :

- Pool B: using all retrieved documents of each of the submitted ranked lists.

What is the Average Precision of T_2 if Pool B is used?

In one of TREC tracks, 3 teams T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 have participated and they were asked to retrieve up to 15 documents per query. In reality (with exhaustive judgments), a query Q has 9 relevant documents in the collection: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, and I.

The submitted ranked lists are as follows:

Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
T_1	A	M	Y	R	K	L	B	Z	E	N	D	C	W		
T_2	Y	A	J	R	N	Z	M	C	G	B	X	P	D	K	W
T_3	G	B	Y	K	E	A	Z	L	N	C	H	K	W	X	

There are two possible ways to construct the judging pools for Q :

- Pool A: using only the top 5 documents of each of the submitted ranked lists.
- Pool B: using all retrieved documents of each of the submitted ranked lists.

Team T_4 didn't participate in TREC, but had the following ranked list for Q .

Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
T_4	B	J	M	C	S	U	F	V	W	E	A	I	K	L	R

Is T_4 penalized for not participating in TREC if pool A was used? Is it if pool B was used? Justify for both. Assume Average Precision is the evaluation measure.





In pooled assessment methodology, if the evaluation measure is precision@5, should we only make the pool depth to be 5? Why?

