

# Sylow Theorems

## Modern Algebra Project

November 25, 2025

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 Quintic equations and the start of symmetries | 2 |
| 2 The Orbit - Stabilizer theorem                | 2 |
| 3 The dawn of the sufficient condition          | 5 |
| 4 The correspondence theorem                    | 8 |
| 5 Sylow theorems                                | 9 |

## 1 Quintic equations and the start of symmetries

In 1766, after an invitation from Frederick the Great, [Lagrange] took over the post of “Director of Mathematics” in the Prussian Academy of Sciences.

During this time one of the most researched problems in mathematics was the possibility of discovering a quintic formula similar to the quadratic and cubic formulas discovered in the previous decades. One of the mathematicians working on this problem was the prodigy **Joseph-Louis Lagrange**.

Lagrange started studying mathematics at the age of 14, became one of the first founders of calculus of variations at just 17, became a professor of mathematics at the age of 19, and lastly - based on recommendations of Euler and D'Alembert - got that offer from Frederick the Great.

During his time in Berlin he started working on quintic equations but in an unusual manner. His main question was “Why the quadratic and cubic formulas worked?”

Lagrange considered a cubic equation with arbitrary coefficients:

$$a_1x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4 = 0$$

with roots labeled  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ .

Lagrange's idea was constructing a new, seemingly more complicated function:

$$y = (x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3)^3 \quad \omega \neq 1, \omega^3 = 1$$

Lagrange now asked “what if the order of the roots were permuted?”. Meaning instead of considering the roots in the order of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  like the above equation, what if  $(x_2, x_1, x_3)$  was chosen instead? What will happen to the value of  $y$ ?

### ► Video 1: Lagrange's Strategy & The Quintic Barrier

Lagrange discovered that  $y$  has only 2 possible values of  $y$  meaning that we can create a much simpler quadratic function with its roots being the 2 possible values of  $y$  from the coefficients of the original cubic equation and find its roots and just take their root and one would find a system of linear equations that can be solved to find the original roots.

Great! Why can't we do the same with quintic equations? The problem was whenever Lagrange tried to simplify the quintic equation by constructing a function like  $y$  it resulted in a degree 6 or more equation instead of simplifying it.

The question now shifted. Given an  $n$ -degree equation of  $n$ -roots, and a function  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  on the  $n$ -roots, how many values will this function have (orbit), and how many roots will stabilize each value?

## 2 The Orbit - Stabilizer theorem

In his paper, *Réflexions sur la résolution algébrique des équations*, Lagrange set the basics of using symmetries in group theory and even the abstract group theory we know today.

While studying the roots of equations, Lagrange proved the orbit-stabilizer theorem which is a more general statement of the theory which will be known by his name: Lagrange theorem.

What Lagrange was doing was acting the group of symmetries on 3 letters on the set of cubic roots  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . Which will be our first definition.

**Definition 2.1: Group Actions**

Let  $(G, *)$  be a group and  $X$  be a non-empty set. A left group action is a function:

$$\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$$

written  $g \cdot x$  satisfying the following:

1.  $e \cdot x = x$
2.  $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = (g_1 * g_2) \cdot x$

Alternatively group actions could be thought of as a group homomorphism

$$\Psi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$$

$$\forall g \in G, \Psi(g) = \pi_g, \quad \pi_g = g \cdot x$$

An orbit is like the possible values of the function  $y$ , or more precisely the orbit of an element  $x$  is all possible elements  $x$  can be under the action.

**Definition 2.2: Orbits**

Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$ . For any element  $x \in X$ , the orbit of  $x$  under  $G$  is the subset of  $X$  defined as

$$\text{Orb}_G(x) = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\}$$

## ▶ Video 2: Definition 2.2 The Orbit (S3 Example)

**Remarks:** If for some  $x$ ,  $X = \text{Orb}_G(x)$ , then the action is called transitive.

**Lemma 2.1**

The orbits of a group action partitions the set  $X$ .

**Proof:**

1. **Non-emptiness.** By the identity axiom of group actions  $e \cdot x = x \in \text{Orb}_G(x)$ .
2. **Disjointness.** Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\text{Orb}_G(x) \neq \text{Orb}_G(y)$  and  $\text{Orb}_G(x) \cap \text{Orb}_G(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there must be at least one element  $z \in \text{Orb}_G(x) \cap \text{Orb}_G(y)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} z &= g_1 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot y \\ \longrightarrow (g_1^{-1}g_1) \cdot x &= (g_1^{-1}g_2) \cdot y \\ \longrightarrow x &= (g_1^{-1}g_2) \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

Which means  $x \in \text{Orb}_G(y)$ , then for any element  $a$  in  $\text{Orb}_G(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b \cdot x = b \cdot (g_1^{-1}g_2) \cdot y \\ &= (b * g_1^{-1} * g_2) \cdot y \in \text{Orb}_G(y) \end{aligned}$$

Meaning  $\text{Orb}_G(x) \subseteq \text{Orb}_G(y)$ . The other inclusion is similar contradicting our assumption that  $\text{Orb}_G(x) \neq \text{Orb}_G(y)$ .

3. **Orbits cover X.** The fact that  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Orb}_G(x) \subseteq X$  is immediate, and as  $x \in \text{Orb}_G(x)$  then  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Orb}_G(x)$ .  $\square$

The last definition we need is the answer of the question “What elements of  $G$  stabilizes an element in the set?” Like the roots giving the same value of  $y$ .

### Definition 2.3: Stabilizers

Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$ , the stabilizer of  $x$  in  $G$  is the subset of  $G$  defined as

$$\text{Stab}_G(x) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}$$

### ▶ Video 3: Definition 2.3 The Stabilizer (Square Example)

#### Lemma 2.2

The stabilizer is a subgroup of  $G$ .

**Proof:** By the identity axiom the stabilizer has at least the identity. By the two-step test notice that if  $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Stab}_G(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 * g_2) \cdot x &= g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = g_1 \cdot x = x \\ &\longrightarrow g_1 * g_2 \in \text{Stab}_G(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot x &= x \\ (g_1^{-1} * g_1) \cdot x &= g_1^{-1} \cdot x \\ x = g_1^{-1} \cdot x &\longrightarrow g_1^{-1} \in \text{Stab}_G(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is a subgroup. □

**Remarks:** The stabilizer of an element is Not always normal. Consider the  $\text{Stab}(1) = \{R_0, D\}$  subgroup is not normal in  $D_4$ !

Now we approach the most fundamental result of Lagrange's paper.

### Theorem 2.1: The orbit - stabilizer theorem

Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on a set  $X$ . For any element  $x \in X$ , we have

$$|G| = |\text{Orb}_G(x)| \cdot |\text{Stab}_G(x)|$$

### ▶ Video 4: Theorem 2.1 The Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem (Bijection Visual)

**Proof:**

1. **The map.** Let  $G/S$  be the set of all left cosets of the stabilizer  $S$ , and define  $f : G/S \rightarrow \text{Orb}_G(x)$  with  $f(gS) = g \cdot x$ .
2. **The map is well-defined.** Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be two representatives of the same coset!

$$g_1S = g_2S \leftrightarrow g_2^{-1}g_1 \in S$$

Meaning

$$\begin{aligned} (g_2^{-1}g_1) \cdot x &= x \\ \longrightarrow g_2(g_2^{-1}g_1) \cdot x &= g_2 \cdot x \\ \longrightarrow g_1 \cdot x &= g_2 \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

3. **The map is injective.** Suppose that  $f(g_1S) = f(g_2S) \rightarrow g_1 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot x$

$$\rightarrow (g_2^{-1}g_1) \cdot x = x$$

$$\rightarrow g_2^{-1}g_1 \in S$$

then  $g_1S = g_2S$ .

4. **The map is surjective.** Let  $y$  be an arbitrary element in  $\text{Orb}_G(x)$ , then  $y = g \cdot x$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then  $f(gS) = g \cdot x = y$ . Meaning we found a pre-image of each element in  $\text{Orb}_G(x)$ .

Thus we found a bijection from  $G/S$  to  $\text{Orb}_G(x)$ , meaning that

$$\begin{aligned} |G/S| &= |\text{Orb}_G(x)| \\ \rightarrow \frac{|G|}{|\text{Stab}_G(x)|} &= |\text{Orb}_G(x)| \\ \rightarrow |G| &= |\text{Orb}_G(x)| \cdot |\text{Stab}_G(x)| \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If you are paying attention you will notice that Lagrange's theorem follows immediately now by setting the set acted on as

$$X = \{aH \mid a \in G\}$$

for some subgroup  $H$ , and the action is

$$g \cdot (aH) = (ga)H$$

Now if you calculated the orbit and the stabilizer at  $H$  you will find that

$$\text{Orb}_G(H) = \{g \cdot H \mid g \in G\} = \{gH \mid g \in G\} = X$$

$$\text{Stab}_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid gH = H \text{ (i.e. } g \in H)\} = |H|$$

Applying the theorem

$$|G| = [G : H] \cdot |H|$$

Which is precisely the statement of Lagrange's theorem.

### 3 The dawn of the sufficient condition

With the help of Lagrange now we know the necessary condition for existence of the subgroup.

Later, with the work of Galois and Abel it was proven that an algebraic formula for quintic equations was impossible, marking an end to a decades - or even centuries - long search.

Afterwards in the early 19th century the main area of focus was groups of symmetries after the mathematical community noticed that symmetries was indeed a powerful tool of capturing mathematical elegance and solving hard problems.

Later this will get more abstract and will be known as the group theory we know today. In today's group theory the main area of research is trying to classify all groups up to isomorphism.

A simpler question rose in the context of symmetries. What are the orders of subgroups that are always guaranteed to be found?

Generally, the converse of Lagrange's theorem doesn't hold. For example  $|A_4| = 12$ , but it has no subgroups of order 6!

The first guarantee of existence and the first existence theorem in group theory was done by **Augustin-Louis Cauchy** in his paper in 1845 along with very important and impactful results till this day like the modern cycle notation and other important theorems in the symmetric group.

We will start with a definition that can be seen as a generalization of the notion of a coset.

### Definition 3.1: Double cosets

Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $H$  and  $K$  be subgroups of  $G$ , the double coset of  $g$  with respect to  $H$  and  $K$  is the set

$$HgK = \{hgk \mid h \in H, k \in K\}$$

### ▶ Video 5: Definition 3.1 Double Cosets (S3 Example)

Example: In  $S_3$ . Let  $H = \{(1), (12)\}$  and  $K = \{(1), (13)\}$ . The double cosets are

$$H(1)K = HK = \{(1), (12), (13), (132)\}$$

$$H(23)K = \{(123), (23)\}$$

$$\rightarrow S_3 = H(1)K \cup H(23)K$$

It should be easy to prove that double cosets partition the group.

Now we start building the arsenal we need to tackle the most important theorem of this section.

### Lemma 3.1

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two subgroups of the symmetric subgroup  $S_n$ . If the product of their orders doesn't divide the order of  $S_n$ , then there must exist a non-identity element  $g \in G$  and a non-identity element  $h \in H$  such that  $g$  and  $h$  are conjugates in  $S_n$

$$g = aha^{-1}$$

**Proof:** Notice that the size of the double coset is given by

$$|G \times H| = \frac{|G| \cdot |H|}{|G \cap xHx^{-1}|}$$

Assume that there is no non-identity element of  $G$  is a conjugate of any non-identity element of  $H$ . This means that for all  $x \in S_n$

$$\begin{aligned} |G \cap xHx^{-1}| &= 1 \\ \rightarrow |G \times H| &= |G| \cdot |H| \end{aligned}$$

And as  $S_n$  is partitioned by the double cosets then

$$|S_n| = K(|G| \cdot |H|)$$

then  $(|G| \cdot |H|)$  divides the order of  $S_n$ . The contrapositive is the theorem.  $\square$

Now what follows is the a similar proof to the original proof by Cauchy. Always refer to the original paper by Cauchy.

We will consider a special action of the group on itself defined as

$$g \cdot a = gag^{-1}$$

The orbit of this action is called the **conjugacy class** of the element  $a$ , and the stabilizer is called the **centralizer** of  $a$ .

$$Cl(a) = \{gag^{-1} \mid g \in G\} \quad C_G(a) = \{g \in G \mid gag^{-1} = a\}$$

Now notice that conjugacy classes partition the group, so

$$|G| = \sum |Cl(g)|$$

but it can be that  $g$  is in the center, then  $|Cl(z)| = 1$ , so we can write this equation in terms of central element  $z$ , and non-central elements  $a_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= |Z(G)| + \sum |Cl(a_i)| \\ \longrightarrow |G| &= |Z(G)| + \sum [G : C_G(a_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Which is called the **class equation**.

### ▶ Video 6: The Class Equation

#### Theorem 3.1: Cauchy's theorem

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime dividing the order of  $G$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p$ .

### ▶ Video 7: Theorem 3.1 Cauchy's Theorem (Proof Animation)

**Proof:** We know that theorem holds for abelian groups. then if  $p$  divides the order of the center of the group  $G$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p$ .

Otherwise we may assume that  $p$  doesn't divide the order of the center. This will make the class equation of  $G$  be

$$0 \equiv |Z(G)| + \sum |Cl(a_i)| \pmod{p}$$

Then at least one of the orders in the sum is not divisible by  $p$ . and let that conjugacy class be  $Cl(a_s)$ , then

$$0 \equiv |C_G(a_s)| \cdot |Cl(a_s)| \pmod{p}$$

and as  $|Cl(a_s)|$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then  $|C_G(a_s)|$  must be divisible by  $p$ .

Therefore we found a subgroup that has the order of  $p$ . □

Now that we saw the modern proof of the theorem, we can see the original proof of Cauchy.

**Note:** Cauchy and Sylow and all mathematicians at the period considered only groups as subgroups of  $S_n$ , not abstract groups like we do today. The bridge between the two is **Cayley's theorem**.

**Cauchy's original proof:**

### ▶ Video 8: Cauchy's Original Proof

Let  $G \leq S_n$  and  $p \mid |G|$ , now construct a group  $H$  with  $|H| = p^k$  which is the largest order of  $p$  dividing  $n!$ . We know that this group exist by construction.

Now consider the double coset  $G \times H$ , the order of the double coset if the two groups share no conjugate element is

$$|G| \cdot |H| = (K \cdot p) \cdot p^k = K \cdot p^{k+1} \mid n!$$

Contradicting the choice of  $H$ , meaning they have conjugate elements in common. Meaning that there exists an element in  $G$  with

$$|g| = |h| = p^k \quad K \geq 1$$

Now consider the element  $g^{p^{K-1}}$

$$|g^{p^{K-1}}| = \frac{p^K}{\gcd(|g|, p^{K-1})} = \frac{p^K}{p^{K-1}} = p$$

□

This has brought us one step closer to understanding groups! Now we know some groups that must exist, and the question now was how much further can we push this?

The last tool we need to tackle this problem is a powerful theorem called the correspondence theorem.

## 4 The correspondence theorem

This theorem developed through the decades in group theory. The first person, however, who formulated it in modern abstract generality of today was the brilliant algebraist [Emmy Noether](#).\*

### Theorem 4.1: The correspondence theorem

Let  $G$  be a group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , and

$$S = \{H \mid N \leq H \leq G\} \text{ and } \bar{S} = \{\bar{K} \mid \bar{K} \leq G/N\}$$

then there is a bijective map

$$\Psi : S \rightarrow \bar{S}$$

$$\Psi(H) = H/N = \{hN \mid h \in H\}$$

### ▶ Video 9: Theorem 4.1 The Correspondence Theorem (Rigorous Map)

**Proof:**

1. **The map is well defined** We need to prove that  $H/N \leq G/N$  Non-emptiness: Since  $e \in H$ ,  $eN = N = e\Psi(H)$  Closed under the operation: Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ ; as  $H$  is a subgroup  $(h_1, h_2) \in H$ , then if  $h_1N, h_2N \in \Psi(H)$ ,  $(h_1h_2)N \in \Psi(H)$  too Closed under inverses: Let  $h \in H$ , then as  $H$  is a subgroup  $h^{-1} \in H$ , then if  $hN \in \Psi(H)$   $(hN)^{-1} = h^{-1}N \in \Psi(H)$  too.
2. **The map is injective** Let  $\Psi(A) = \Psi(B)$ , then if  $x \in A$ , then  $xN \in \Psi(A)$ , so there exist some  $b \in B$  with  $xN = bN$ . Meaning that  $b^{-1}x \in N$ , and as  $N \subseteq B$ ,  $b^{-1}x \in B$ , as  $B$  is a subgroup  $b(b^{-1}x) = x \in B$ . Meaning  $A \subseteq B$ , and the converse is similar.

\* “I have tried to find Noether’s original proof of this theorem, but I have failed to get a hold of the original paper “Abstrakter Aufbau der Idealtheorie...”

### 3. The map is surjective Define

$$K = \{g \in G \mid gN \in \overline{K}\}$$

Since  $\overline{K}$  is a subgroup the identity must be included in it ( $N \in \overline{K}$ ), and as  $nN = N$  for all  $n \in N$ , meaning  $N \subseteq K$

Let  $\pi(g) = gN$ , then  $K = \pi^{-1}(\overline{K})$

$K$  is a subgroup because if  $x, y \in K$ , then  $\pi(x), \pi(y) \in \overline{K}$  and as  $\overline{K}$  is a group  $\pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(xy) \in \overline{K}$ , then  $xy \in K$ . Similarly, let  $x \in K$ , then  $\pi(x) \in \overline{K}$  and as  $\overline{K}$  is a group  $(\pi(x))^{-1} \in \overline{K}$  and  $\pi(x^{-1}) \in \overline{K} \rightarrow x^{-1} \in K$ .

Lastly by definition  $K$  is the preimage of  $\overline{K}$ . □

#### Corollary 4.1

For any subgroups  $A, B \in S$

$$[B : A] = [B/N : A/N]$$

**Proof:** Define a map  $\Psi : B/A \rightarrow B/N/A/N$  with

$$\Psi(bA) = (bN)(A/N)$$

It should be pretty straight forward to verify that this map is bijective.

## 5 Sylow theorems

In 1872 the Norwegian high school teacher **Ludvig Sylow** published his paper “Théorèmes sur les groupes de substitutions”. This paper was a huge step in finding all subgroups of ANY subgroup.

Sylow was deeply inspired by the works of **Abel**, and it was while reading Abel’s manuscripts when Sylow realized that he could generalize Cauchy’s work to powers of primes.

#### Definition 5.1: Sylow p-subgroups

Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $p^\alpha \cdot m$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $p$  does not divide  $m$ . A Sylow subgroup is a subgroup  $P$  of order  $p^\alpha$ .

#### ▶ Video 10: Definition 5.1: Sylow p-Subgroups (Visual)

From this point onward in our project we may move away a bit from the way mathematicians proved the theorems in the original papers to easier modern proofs; however, the original papers will still be a reference, especially for historical motivation.

#### Theorem 5.1: Sylow’s first theorem

Sylow subgroups of  $G$  exists.

**Proof:** We will prove this theorem using strong induction. The base case is trivial.

**Case 1.**  $p$  divides  $|Z(G)|$ . By Cauchy’s theorem  $Z(G)$  has a subgroup of order  $p$ . That subgroup  $N$  is automatically normal.

This means we can form the quotient group  $\overline{G}$  with

$$|\overline{G}| = \left| \frac{G}{N} \right| = \frac{|G|}{|N|} = \frac{p^\alpha \cdot m}{p} = p^{\alpha-1} \cdot m$$

Which is of order less than  $G$  which means the theorem holds on it by the inductive hypothesis. Meaning it has subgroup  $\overline{P}$  of order  $p^{\alpha-1}$ . By the correspondence theorem there exists a subgroup  $P$  in  $G$  such that

$$\frac{P}{N} = \overline{P} \longrightarrow |P| = |\overline{P}| \cdot |N| = p^{\alpha-1} \cdot p = p^\alpha$$

**Case 2.**  $p \nmid |Z(G)|$ . Consider the class equation

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum |G : C_G(a_i)|$$

We know that there must be at least one subgroup  $H = C_G(a_j)$  for some  $j$  that has all the powers of  $p$  because

$$|G| = |H| \cdot |G : C_G(a_j)|$$

(where  $|G|$  is divisible by  $p^\alpha$  and  $|G : C_G(a_j)|$  must be not divisible by  $p^\alpha$ ).

and hence  $H$  has order less than  $G$  by the inductive hypothesis the theorem holds for it and it has a subgroup of order  $p^\alpha$ .  $\square$

Examples: -  $S_3$  (order 6) has subgroups of orders 2 and 3.  $\{e, (12)\}, \{e, (123), (132)\}$  -  $A_4$  (order 12) has subgroups of orders 4 and 3.  $\{e, (123), (132)\}, \{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ .

**Remarks:** A group of order  $p^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  is called p-groups.

For the rest of the section we will denote the set of all sylow subgroups with  $\text{Syl}(G)$ . Now we will investigate properties of sylow subgroups.

### Lemma 5.1

Let  $P$  be a sylow subgroup. If  $Q$  is any p-subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q \cap N_G(P) = Q \cap P$ .

**Proof:** Clearly  $Q \cap P \leq Q \cap N_G(P)$ . By definition  $Q \cap N_G(P) \leq Q$ , then it remains to show that  $Q \cap N_G(P) \leq P$ . Since  $Q \cap N_G(P) = H \leq N_G(P)$ , then  $PH$  is a subgroup and

$$|PH| = \frac{|P||H|}{|P \cap H|}$$

all of these orders are powers of  $p$  making  $PH$  a p-subgroup that contains  $P$  which implies that  $P = PH$  which shows that

$$Q \cap N_G(P) \leq P \quad \square$$

### Lemma 5.2

Let  $S = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$  be the set of all sylow subgroups and  $Q$  be a p-subgroup, then

$$r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

**Proof:** Let  $Q$  act on  $S$  by conjugation, so we can write  $S$  as the disjoint union of this action

$$S = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots \cup O_s$$

Notice that

$$|O_i| = |Q \cap N_G(P_i)| \longrightarrow |O_i| = |Q \cap P_i|$$

Now let  $Q = P_1$ , which gives

$$|O_1| = 1$$

and

$$|O_i| = |P_1 : P_1 \cap P_i| > 1 \quad 2 \leq i \leq s$$

and since  $P_1$  is a p-group this must be a power of  $p$ , so then  $p \mid |O_i| \quad 2 \leq i \leq s$ . then

$$r = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^s |O_i| \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad \square$$

### Theorem 5.2: Sylow's second theorem

Any two sylow subgroups are conjugate.

**Proof:** Let  $Q$  be any p-group and suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $Q \neq gP_ig^{-1}$  for all  $i$ . Considering the action of  $Q$  on  $S$ , then

$$p \mid |O_i| \quad \text{for all } i$$

Which contradicts the fact that we proved that  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $Q \leq gP_ig^{-1}$  for some  $i$ . If we let  $Q$  be a sylow p-subgroup it turns out that for some  $g$

$$gP_ig^{-1} = Q \quad \square$$

### Theorem 5.3: Sylow's third theorem

The number of all sylow p-subgroups is

$$n_p = |G : N_G(P)| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

**Proof:** By the second theorem all sylow subgroups are conjugate. This follows immediately.  $\square$

### Applying the Theorems:

Example 1: Order 6.

$$|G| = 6 = 2 \cdot 3$$

$$n_3 \mid 2 \implies n_3 \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies n_3 = 1$$

$$n_2 \mid 3 \implies n_2 \in \{1, 3\}$$

$$n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \implies n_2 \in \{1, 3\}$$

Example 2: Order 15.

$$|G| = 15 = 3 \cdot 5$$

$$n_5 \mid 3 \text{ and } n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \implies n_5 = 1$$

$$n_3 \mid 5 \text{ and } n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies n_3 = 1$$

Both Normal  $\rightarrow G$  is Cyclic.