General linear Model

General linear Model (GLM) is given by

$$y_i = \beta_i x_{i1} + \beta_e x_{ie} + \dots + \beta_n x_{in} + \varepsilon_i$$
where

y: = independent Variable

a; = Regressor or independent variables

B. = Weights or parameters

 $\mathcal{E}_i = \text{Residual or error such that}$ $E(\mathcal{E}_i) = 0 \text{ and } V(\mathcal{E}_i) = \sigma^2.$

we can write us mining militage lama

$$y_i = \beta_i x_{ii} + \beta_i x_{ii} + \dots + \beta_n x_{in} + \mathcal{E}_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where Y, Ps nx1 matrices, X is nxn and b and E are nx1 matrices.

Ordinary least squares sum of squared residuals is given by $\varepsilon_{\delta} = (A \times A)_{\delta}$ = (Y - Xb)'(Y - Xb)= (Y' - b'x') (Y - xb)= Y'Y - Y'Xb - b'X'Y + b'X'Xbb and equating with zero we have our normal equation given by $-\gamma'x + b'x'x = 0$ b' X' X = Y' X $\begin{cases}
by' + b'x'x = x'xb \\
y'x = x'y
\end{cases}$ x'xb = x'y $b = (x'x)^{-1}X'Y$ $\hat{\beta} = (x'x)^{-1}x'Y$

Generalized least Squares

We have the model

 $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ s.t. $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ and $V(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 V$

where V is known nxn matrix. If V is diagonal but with unequal diagonal elements, the observations y are uncorrelated but have unequal variance, while if V has non zero off diagonal elements, the observations are correlated.

If we estimate B by ordinary least squares, B = (x'x) x'y, the estimator is not optimum. The solution is to transform the model to a new set of observations that Satisfy the constant variance assumption.

Since or, is a covariance matrix, V is a symmetric non singular matrix, therefore

V = K'K = KK also V' = K'K''K is called the square root of v.

Let us define

$$z = \kappa^{-1}y$$
, $\beta = \kappa^{-1}x$
 $\beta = \kappa^{-1}\varepsilon$
 $\Rightarrow z = \beta\beta + \beta$.

Here the least square function is

 $S(\beta) = (z - \beta\beta)^{2}$
 $= (z - \beta\beta)^{1}(z - \beta\beta)$
 $= (\kappa^{-1}y - \kappa^{-1}x\beta)^{1}(\kappa^{-1}y - \kappa^{-1}x\beta)$
 $= (\gamma - \gamma\beta)^{1}(\gamma - \gamma\beta)$
 $= (\gamma - \gamma\beta)^{1$

 $\beta' x' v^{-1} x = \gamma' v^{-1} x$

$$\begin{cases} bqt \ \beta' x' v^{-1} x = x' v^{-1} x \beta \\ and \ \gamma' v^{-1} x = x' v^{-1} \gamma \end{cases}$$
force

Therefore
$$x'v^{-1}x\beta = x'v^{-1}y$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (x'v^{-1}x)^{-1}x'v^{-1}y$$

This is generalized least square of B.

tions, implies that all the explanations Assumptions of OLS

- 1. Model is linear in parameters.
- 2. The data are a random sample of the
- 3. The expected value of the errors is always zero. i.e. $E(\varepsilon) = 0$.
- 4. The independent variables are not too strongly collinear.
- 5. The independent variables are measured precisely.
- precisely.

 6. The residuals have constant variance. $V(E) = \sigma^2$.
- 7. The errors are normally distributed.

Multicollinearity

A basic assumption is multiple linear regression model is that the rank of the matrix of observations on explanatory variables is the same as the number of explanatory variables. In other words, such a matrix is of full column rank. This, in turn, implies that all the explanatory variables are independent, i.e. there is no linear relationship among the explanatory variables. It is termed that the explanatory variables are orthogonal.

In many situations in practice, the explanatory variables may be not remain independent due to various reasons. The situations where the explanatory variables are higher inco intercorrelated is referred to as multicollinearity.

Consider the multiple regression model

$$y = x\beta + \varepsilon$$
 $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$

with K explanatory variables X, X2, --, Xk with usual assumptions including Rank (X) = k. Assume the observations on all Xi's and Yi's are centered and scaled to unit length. So.

- So.

 X'X becomes a kxk matrix of correlation coefficients between the explanatory variables and
- X'Y becomes a KXI vector of correlation coefficient between explanatory and study variables.

Let $X = [X_1, X_2, ..., X_k]$ where X_j is the jth column of X denoting the n observations on X_j . The column vectors $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ are linearly dependent if there exists a set of constants $L_1, L_2, ..., L_k$ not all zero, such that $\sum_{j=1}^{K} L_j K_j = 0$

If this holds exactly for a subset of the X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K then Rank (X'X) < K. Consequently (X'X) \(^1\) does not exists. If the condition $\sum L_j X_j = 0$ is approximately true for some subset of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K , then there will be a near linear dependency in X'X. In such a case, the multicollinearity problem exists. It is also said that X'X becomes ill-conditioned.

realisent between explanation and trial

sale of X moder (XXx-10XxXX) = X + 121

the column of A denoting the in observation.

on No. 18 column vertons Ni. Xi no

a arriver provide to facility of special comp

The formula with the stricts and to his

Agua . Such