Linear Algebra, Four Fundamental Subspaces, part 2

Computational Intelligence, Lecture 3

by Sergei Savin

Fall 2020

Content

- Projection
 - How to find a projector
 - Use case
- Orthogonal compliment
- Column space
- Left null space
- Row space and left null space bases
- Example
- Homework

Previously we used the term *projection*. What does it mean?

Definition 1

For linear space $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, an orthogonal projector **P** onto it has properties:

- $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$
- $\bullet \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}, \, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$
- $\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}, \, \mathbf{y}^{\top} (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}) \mathbf{x} = 0$

It follows that $\mathbf{PP} = \mathbf{P}$. Also notice that a projection always maps the space onto itself: $\mathbf{P} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

In other words, an orthogonal projector takes the part of a vector that lies in the linear space, and cuts the rest off. We can refer to it as *projection*.

How to find a projector

As long as we know an orthonormal basis **B** in the linear space \mathcal{L} , we can find a projector **P** on that space as follows:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{\top} \tag{1}$$

You can think of it as follows: $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{\top}\mathbf{x}$ first finds a decomposition of \mathbf{x} on the orthonormal set of vectors, where all parts of x not in the span of B will be mapped to zero; next, it maps this decomposition back into the original coordinates, but the part not-in-the-span-of-**B** is lost.

Column space projection and null space projection

In general, if linear space \mathcal{L} is given as a span of the columns of some matrix \mathbf{L} , the projector \mathbf{P} on that space can be generated as follows:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{+} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{L}^{\top}\mathbf{L})^{-1}\mathbf{L}^{\top}$$
 (2)

If $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_c + \mathbf{x}_{ln}$, where $\mathbf{x}_c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{L})$ and $\mathbf{x}_{ln} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{L})^{\perp}$, then $\mathbf{L}^+\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}^+(\mathbf{x}_c + \mathbf{x}_{ln}) = \mathbf{L}^+\mathbf{x}_c \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. We observe that since \mathbf{x}_c is in the column space of \mathbf{L} , no residual solution to the least squares problem $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_c$ exists and as was pointed out before, it lies in the row space $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. And therefore $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^+\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_c$

In the same way we can prove that the projection onto the row space of a matrix \mathcal{L} is given as:

$$\mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{L}^+ \mathbf{L} \tag{3}$$

Use case

Remember the problem: find all solutions to the system of equations $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. Assume we found a single solution \mathbf{x}^s . If we know an orthonormal basis N in the null space of A (which we get by calling null() in MATLAB, for example), then we can find a projector **P** onto that space and find which part of \mathbf{x}^s lies outside it:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^{\top} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^p = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{x}^s \tag{5}$$

Thus, all solutions are found as $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^{\top}) \mathbf{x}^{s} + \mathbf{N} \mathbf{z}$, $\forall \mathbf{z}$

Orthogonal compliment

Remember how we defined row space: $Row\ space$ of A is the set of all inputs to A that have a zero projection onto its null space.

Now we can observe that if **P** is a projector onto the null space of **A**, then the row space of **A** is the set of all vectors \mathbf{x} , such that $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

We can observe that $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$ is a projector onto the row space. In a sense, row space contains everything left by the null space, and together then span all inputs on the matrix \mathbf{A} . They compliment each other, and they are orthogonal to each other. In other words, row space is an *orthogonal compliment* of null space, and vice versa.

Column space

Consider the problem. Current state of the system is given as $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we do not know it. At the next point in time, the state f the system is given as $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$. What are all possible next states that we can expect?

To solve it, we need to find all possible outputs of the linear operator A. This is called *column space* of A.

In MATLAB it can be constructed by calling function orth(). Both orth() and null() (as well as rank() and pinv()) simply call svd() and perform minimal computations on the resulting decomposition. You can check it by typing open orth in MATLAB command window.

Left null space

Consider a dual problem. Current state of the system is given as $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we do not know it. At the next point in time, the state f the system is given as $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$. Which values the next states will not assume?

Notice, that this is the same as asking what is the orthogonal compliment of the column space of **A**. It is called *left null space*.

Let **P** be the projector onto the column space of **A**: $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+$. Then $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+)$ is a projector onto the left null space of **A**.

Row space and left null space bases

While MATLAB does not provide tools to directly find orthonormal bases in the row space and left null space of a matrix, it can be done by tweaking the code for orth() and null().

Your HW: write down formulas for orth(), null() and their orthogonal compliments, and implement those in MATLAB or your language of choice that provides and SVD decomposition implementation.

We will define operators row() and lnull() to refer to bases in those two spaces.

Example

Now that we have such powerful tools, we can solve difficult problems easily. Consider this one. Linear time-invariant (LTI) dynamical system is described as:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2 \tag{6}$$

Find such control inputs \mathbf{u}_1^* , \mathbf{u}_2^* that state \mathbf{x}^* becomes a fixed point. Additionally, assume that \mathbf{u}_1^* is free to use, while \mathbf{u}_2^* should be used as sparingly as possible.

This can be formulated in the language of optimization as follows:

minimize
$$||\mathbf{u}_1||$$
,
subject to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ (7)

In order to check that the problem has at least one solution we need to make sure that there are such inputs \mathbf{u}_1^* , \mathbf{u}_2^* that state \mathbf{x}^* becomes a fixed point. In other words, vector $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^*$ should lie in the span of the columns of the matrix $[\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2]$. Which is the same as saying that its projection on the compliment on this column space (left null space of $[\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2]$) is zero:

$$(\mathbf{I} - [\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2][\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2]^+)\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{0}$$
 (8)

All solutions to the problem $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ can be found as:

$$\mathbf{u} = -[\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2]^{+} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* + \text{null}([\mathbf{B}_1 \ \mathbf{B}_2]) \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{z}$$
 (9)

Now we need to pick one solution out of all of them, based on the criteria that it minimizes \mathbf{u}_2 . We can solve it as an optimization (by thinking about the derivatives of the objective/cost function), but it can also be solved as a projection.

Let us define projector $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{B}_1^+$. We can prove that $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{0}$. Assume $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{a}$, then $\mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{u}_2^0 + \mathbf{u}_2^a$, where \mathbf{u}_2^0 is in the null space of $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2$ and \mathbf{u}_2^a is in the row space of $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2$, or equivalently $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^a = \mathbf{a}$, $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^a = \mathbf{0}$. This gives us solution:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^0 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^a = \mathbf{0}$$
 (10)

But since $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{B}_1)$ we can also provide an alternative solution:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1^a + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^0 = \mathbf{0} \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1^a = \mathbf{u}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_1^+ \mathbf{a}$.

Since \mathbf{u}_2^0 and \mathbf{u}_2^a and belong to orthogonal subspaces, $||\mathbf{u}_2^0 + \mathbf{u}_2^a|| \ge ||\mathbf{u}_2^0||$. Therefore the alternative solution provides smaller norm \mathbf{u}_2 , hence $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2^a = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{PB}_2\mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{0}$.

Since $\mathbf{PB}_2\mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{0}$, $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^*$, and while $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{0}$.

Multiplying our constraint by $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$ we attain:

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^* = \mathbf{0}$$
 (12)

Which has an exact solution:

$$\mathbf{u}_2^* = -((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{B}_2)^+(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^*$$
 (13)

Which is the solution to the original problem; \mathbf{u}_1^* can be obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}_1^* = -\mathbf{B}_1^+(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^*) \tag{14}$$

Homework

- Prove the following for an orthogonal projector **P**: $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$
- Write down formulas for orth(), null() and their orthogonal compliments, and implement those in MATLAB or your language of choice that provides and SVD decomposition implementation.
- Prove that (13) will always have a solution that turns $((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{B}_2)\mathbf{u}_2^* = -(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^*$ into equality.
- Prove that (14) will always have a solution that turns $\mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{u}_1^* = -\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2^*$ into equality.

Suggested readings and videos

- Coursera, Projections, one-dimentional case
- Coursera, Projections, higher-dimentional case

Lecture slides are available via Moodle.

 $\label{thm:com_sol} You \ can \ help \ improve \ these \ slides \ at: github.com/SergeiSa/Computational-Intelligence-Slides-Fall-2020$

Check Moodle for additional links, videos, textbook suggestions.