For the other states $\{A, E, G\}$, for an input of either 0 or 1, the next state belongs to Q_2 .

This divides Q_2 into two parts: $\{A, E, G\}$ and $\{B, D, F, H\}$. Let us name them as Q_3 and Q_4 .

The divided sets are

For the other states $\{A, E, G\}$, for an input of either 0 or 1, the next state belongs to Q_2 .

This divides Q_2 into two parts: $\{A, E, G\}$ and $\{B, D, F, H\}$. Let us name them as Q_3 and Q_4 .

The divided sets are

$$S_2:\{\{C\},\{A,E,G\},\{B,D,F,H\}\}.$$

For the other states $\{A, E, G\}$, for an input of either 0 or 1, the next state belongs to Q_2 .

This divides Q_2 into two parts: $\{A, E, G\}$ and $\{B, D, F, H\}$. Let us name them as Q_3 and Q_4 .

The divided sets are

$$S_2:\{\{C\},\{A,E,G\},\{B,D,F,H\}\}.$$

Consider the subset of states $\{A, E, G\}$. A and E with input 0 and 1 both go to F, B and F, H, respectively, i.e., to the subset $\{B, D, F, H\}$. G with input 0 and 1 goes to E, G, i.e., the same subset. Here, $\{A, E, G\}$ is divided into two subsets: $\{A, E\}$ and $\{G\}$.

The subset $\{B, D, F, H\}$ can be divided depending on the input and the next state combination. B and H produce the next states C and G for input 0 and 1, respectively.

D and F produce the next states G and C for input 0 and 1, respectively. So, the set $\{B, D, F, G\}$ is divided into two subsets: $\{B, H\}$ and $\{D, F\}$.

The divided sets are

The subset $\{B, D, F, H\}$ can be divided depending on the input and the next state combination. B and H produce the next states C and G for input 0 and 1, respectively.

D and F produce the next states G and C for input 0 and 1, respectively. So, the set $\{B, D, F, G\}$ is divided into two subsets: $\{B, H\}$ and $\{D, F\}$.

The divided sets are

$$S_3: \{\{C\}, \{A, E\}, \{G\}, \{B, H\}, \{D, F\}\}.$$

The subset $\{B, D, F, H\}$ can be divided depending on the input and the next state combination. B and H produce the next states C and G for input 0 and 1, respectively.

D and F produce the next states G and C for input 0 and 1, respectively. So, the set $\{B, D, F, G\}$ is divided into two subsets: $\{B, H\}$ and $\{D, F\}$.

The divided sets are

$$S_3: \{\{C\}, \{A, E\}, \{G\}, \{B, H\}, \{D, F\}\}.$$

The subsets cannot be divided further making these as the states of minimized DFA. Let us rename the subsets as q_0 , q_1 , q_2 , q_3 , and q_4 . The initial state was A, and so here the initial state is $\{A, E\}$, i.e., q_1 . The final state was C, and so here the final state is $\{C\}$, i.e., q_0 . The tabular representation of minimized DFA is

| | Next State | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Present State | I/P = 0 | I/P = 1 | | | | | |
| q_0 | q_0 | q_1 | | | | | |
| \longrightarrow q_1 | q_4 | q_3 | | | | | |
| ${\bf q}_2$ | q_1 | q_2 | | | | | |
| q_3 | q_0 | q_2 | | | | | |
| q_4 | q_2 | q_0 | | | | | |

| | Next State | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Present State | I/P = 0 | I/P = 1 | | | | | |
| q_0 | q_0 | q_1 | | | | | |
| \longrightarrow q_1 | $\mathbf{q}_{_{4}}$ | q_3 | | | | | |
| ${\boldsymbol q}_2$ | $\mathbf{q}_{_{1}}$ | q_2 | | | | | |
| q_3 | q_0 | \mathbf{q}_2 | | | | | |
| \mathbf{q}_4 | ${\bf q}_2$ | q_0 | | | | | |

3.15 Myhill-Nerode Theorem

John My hill and A nil Nerode of the University of Chicago proposed a theorem in 1958 which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a language to be regular. This theorem can also be used to minimize a DFA. But before going into the details of the theorem statement, we need to know some definitions related to the theorem.

3.15.1 Equivalence Relation

- A relation R in set S is reflexive if xRx for every x in S.
- A relation R in set S is symmetric if for x, y in S, yRx whenever xRy.
- A relation R in set S is transitive if for x, y, and z in S, xRz whenever xRy and yRz.

A relation R in set S is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

3.15.1.1 Right Invariant

An equivalence relation R on strings of symbols from some alphabet Σ is said to be right invariant if for all $x, y \in \Sigma *$ with xRy and all $w \in \Sigma *$ we have that xwRyw. This definition states that an equivalence

86 | Introduction to Automata Theory, Formal Languages and Computation

relation has the right invariant property if two equivalent strings (x and y) that are in the language still are equivalent if a third string (w) is appended to the right of both of them.

3.15.2 Statement of the Myhill–Nerode Theorem

The Myhill–Nerode theorem states that the following three statements are equivalent.

- I The set L, a subset of $\Sigma *$, is accepted by a *DFA*, i.e., L is a regular language.
- There is a right-invariant equivalence relation R of finite index such that L is the union of some of the equivalence classes of R.
- Let equivalence relation R_L be defined as xR_Ly , if and only if for all z in $\Sigma *$, xz is in L exactly when yz is in L then R_L is of finite index.

3.15.3 Myhill-Nerode Theorem in Minimizing a DFA

3.15.3 Myhill-Nerode Theorem in Minimizing a DFA

Step I: Build a two-dimensional matrix labelled by the states of the given DFA at the left and bottom side. The major diagonal and the upper triangular parts are shown as dashes.

Step II: One of the three symbols, X, x, or 0 are put in the locations where there is no dash.

3.15.3 Myhill-Nerode Theorem in Minimizing a DFA

Step I: Build a two-dimensional matrix labelled by the states of the given DFA at the left and bottom side. The major diagonal and the upper triangular parts are shown as dashes.

Step II: One of the three symbols, X, x, or 0 are put in the locations where there is no dash.

- Mark X at p, q in the lower triangular part such that p is the fi nal state and Q is the non-fi nal state.
- Make distinguished pair combination of the non-fi nal states. If there are n number of non-fi nal states, there are nC₂ number of distinguished pairs.
 - Take a pair (p, q) and find (r, s), such that $r = \delta(p, a)$ and $s = \delta(q, a)$. If in the place of (r, s) there is X or x, in the place of (p, q), there will be x.
- 3 If (r, s) is neither X nor x, then (p, q) is 0.
- 4 Repeat (2) and (3) for fi nal states also.

Step III: The combination of states where there is 0, they are the states of the minimized machine.

Consider the following examples to get the earlier discussed method.

Example 3.27 Minimize the following DFA using the Myhill{Nerode theorem.

Step III: The combination of states where there is 0, they are the states of the minimized machine

Consider the following examples to get the earlier discussed method.

Example 3.27 Minimize the following DFA using the Myhill{Nerode theorem.

| | NextState | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| PresentState | I/P = a | I/P = b | | | | |
| \rightarrow A | В | Е | | | | |
| В | C | D | | | | |
| C | Н | 1 | | | | |
| D | 1 | Н | | | | |
| E | F | G | | | | |
| F | Н | 1 | | | | |
| G | Н | 1 | | | | |
| Н | Н | Н | | | | |
| 1 | į | 1 | | | | |

Here C, D, F, G are final states.

Solution:

Step I: Divide the states of the DFA into two subsets: final (F) and non-final (Q - F).

$$F = \{C, D, F, G\}, Q - F = \{A, B, E, H, I\}$$

Make a two-dimensional matrix as shown in Fig. 3.50 labelled at the left and bottom by the states of the DFA.

Solution:

Step I: Divide the states of the DFA into two subsets: final (F) and non-final (Q - F).

$$F = \{C, D, F, G\}, Q - F = \{A, B, E, H, I\}$$

Make a two-dimensional matrix as shown in Fig. 3.50 labelled at the left and bottom by the states of the DFA.

| Α | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| В | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| D | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ε | | | | | - | - | - | - | - |
| F | | | | | | - | - | - | - |
| G | | | | | | | - | - | - |
| Н | | | | | | | | - | - |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | - |
| | Α | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | Н | 1 |

Fig. 3.50

Step II:

■ The following combinations are the combination of the beginning and fi nal state.

Put X in these combinations of states. The modified matrix is given in Fig. 3.51.

Step II:

- 1 The following combinations are the combination of the beginning and fi nal state.
 - (A, C), (A, D), (A, F), (A, G), (B, C), (B, D), (B, F), (B, G), (E, C), (E, D), (E, F), (E, G), (H, C), (H, D), (H, F), (H, G), (I, C), (I, D), (I, F), (I, G).

Put X in these combinations of states. The modified matrix is given in Fig. 3.51.

| Α | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| В | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C | Χ | Χ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| D | Χ | Χ | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ε | | | X | Χ | - | - | - | - | - |
| F | Χ | Χ | | | Χ | - | - | - | - |
| G | Χ | Χ | | | Χ | | - | - | - |
| Н | | | X | Χ | | Χ | Χ | - | - |
| 1 | | | Χ | Χ | | Χ | Χ | | - |
| | Α | В | C | D | Е | F | G | Н | 1 |

Fig. 3.51

The pair combination of non-final states are (A, B), (A, E), (A, H), (A, I), (B, E), (B, H), (B, I), (E, H), (E, I), and (H, I).

88 | Introduction to Automata Theory, Formal Languages and Computation

$$r = \delta(A, a) \rightarrow Bs = \delta(B, a) \rightarrow C$$

in the place of (B, C) there is X. So, in the place of (A, B), there will be x. Similarly,

 $(r,s)=\delta((A,E),a) \to (B,F)$ (there is X). In the place of (A, E), there will be x. $(r,s)=\delta((A,H),a) \to (B,H)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (A, H), there will be 0.

 $(r,s)=\delta((A,I),a) o (B,I)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (A, I), there will be 0.

 $(r,s)=\delta((B,E),a) \to (C,F)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (B, E), there will be 0.

$$(r,s)=\delta((B,H),a)
ightarrow (C,H)$$
 (there is X). In the place of (B, H), there will be x. $(r,s)=\delta((B,I),a)
ightarrow (C,I)$ (there is X). In the place of (B, I), there will be x. $(r,s)=\delta((E,H),a)
ightarrow (F,H)$ (there is X). In the place of (E, H), there will be x. $(r,s)=\delta((E,I),a)
ightarrow (F,I)$ (there is X). In the place of (E, I), there will be x. $(r,s)=\delta((H,I),a)
ightarrow (H,I)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (H, I), there will be 0.

The pair combination of fi nal states are (C, D), (C, F), (C, G), (D, F), (D, G), and (F, G).

 $(r,s)=\delta((C,D),a) \to (H,I)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (C, D), there will be 0.

- $(r,s)=\delta((C,F),a)\to (H,H)$ (there is dash, neither X nor x). In the place of (C, F), there will be 0.
- $(r,s)=\delta((C,G),a) \to (H,H)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (C, G), there will be 0.
- $(r,s)=\delta((D,F),a) \to (I,H)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (D, F), there will be 0.
- $(r,s)=\delta((D,G),a) \to (I,H)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (D, G), there will be 0.
- $(r,s)=\delta((F,G),a) \to (H,H)$ (neither X nor x). In the place of (F, G), there will be 0.

| T1 11'C' 1 | | | | | | Ε. | 2 52 |
|--------------|---------|-----------|----|----------|----|-------|-------|
| The modified | matriv | hecomes | 26 | show/n | ın | Fισ | - イトフ |
| The mounicu | IIIatii | DCCOIIICS | as | 31104411 | | 1 15. | J.J2 |

| В | X | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| С | X | X | | | | | | |
| D | X | X | 0 | | | | | |
| Е | X | 0 | X | X | | | | |
| F | X | X | 0 | 0 | X | | | |
| G | X | X | 0 | 0 | X | 0 | | |
| Н | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| I | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 0 |
| | A | В | С | D | Е | F | G | Н |

Fig. 3.52

The combination of entries 0 are the states of the modified machine. The states of the minimized machine are [A], [B, E], [C, D], [C, F], [C, G], [D, F], [D, G], [F, G], and [H, I].

For the minimized machine M'

 $Q' = (\{A\}, \{B, E\}, \{C, D, F, G\}, \{H, I\}).[C, D], [C, F], [C, G], [D, F], [D, G], \text{ and } [F, G] \text{ are combined to a new state } [CDFG].$

$$\Sigma = \{a,b\}\delta'$$
 : (given in the following table) $\bullet \land \bullet \bullet$