

HIGHER ORDER CUMULANTS OF RANDOM VECTORS AND APPLICATIONS TO STATISTICAL INFERENCE AND TIME SERIES

S. RAO JAMMALAMADAKA, T. SUBBA RAO, AND GYÖRGY TERDIK

ABSTRACT. This paper provides a unified and comprehensive approach that is useful in deriving expressions for higher order cumulants of random vectors. The use of this methodology is then illustrated in three diverse and novel contexts, namely: (i) in obtaining a lower bound (Bhattacharya bound) for the variance-covariance matrix of a vector of unbiased estimators where the density depends on several parameters, (ii) in studying the asymptotic theory of multivariable statistics when the population is not necessarily Gaussian and finally, (iii) in the study of multivariate nonlinear time series models and in obtaining higher order cumulant spectra. The approach depends on expanding the characteristic functions and cumulant generating functions in terms of the Kronecker products of differential operators. Our objective here is to derive such expressions using only elementary calculus of several variables and also to highlight some important applications in statistics.

1. INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW

It is well known that cumulants of order greater than two are zero for random variables which are Gaussian. In view of this, higher order cumulants are often used in testing for Gaussianity and multivariate Gaussianity as well as to prove classical limit theorems. These are also used in asymptotic theory of statistics, such as in Edgeworth series expansions. Consider a scalar random variable X and let us assume that all its moments, $\mu_j = E(X^j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, exist. Let the characteristic function of X be denoted by $\varphi_X(\lambda)$ and it then has the series expansion given by

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_X(\lambda) = E(e^{i\lambda X}) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \frac{(i\lambda)^j}{j!}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (1.1), we observe $(-i)^j [d^j \varphi(\lambda)/d\lambda^j]_{\lambda=0} = \mu_j$. In other words, the j^{th} derivative of the Taylor series expansion of $\varphi_X(\lambda)$ evaluated at $\lambda = 0$ gives the j^{th} moment. The ‘‘cumulant generating function,’’ $\psi_X(\lambda)$ is defined as (see eg. Leonov and Shiryaev(1959))

$$(1.2) \quad \psi_X(\lambda) = \ln \varphi_X(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j \frac{(i\lambda)^j}{j!},$$

where κ_j is called as the j^{th} cumulant of the random variable X . As before, we see $\kappa_j = (-i)^j [d^j \psi_X(\lambda)/d\lambda^j]_{\lambda=0}$. Comparing (1.1) and (1.2), one can write the cumulants in terms of moments and vice versa. For example, $\kappa_1 = \mu_1$, $\kappa_2 = \mu_2 - (\mu_1)^2$ etc.. Now suppose the random variable X is normal with mean μ and variance σ^2 , then we know $\varphi_X(\lambda) = \exp(i\lambda\mu - \lambda^2\sigma^2/2)$ which implies $\kappa_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 3$. We now consider generalizing the above results to the case when \underline{X} is a d -dimensional random vector. The definition of the joint moments and the cumulants of the random vector \underline{X} requires a Taylor series expansion of a

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62E17, 62E20; Secondary 62H10, 60E05.

Key words and phrases. Cumulants for multivariate variables, Cumulants for likelihood functions, Bhattacharya lower bound, Taylor series expansion, Multivariate time series.

This research of Gy. Terdik is partially supported by the Hungarian NSF OTKA No. T047067, and the NATO fellowship 3008/02.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

function in several variables and also its partial derivatives in these variables and they are similar to (1.1) and (1.2). Though these expansions may be considered straightforward generalizations, the methodology and the mathematical notation gets quite cumbersome when dealing with the derivatives of characteristic functions and the cumulant generating functions of random vectors. However we need such expansions in studying the asymptotic theory in classical multivariate analysis as well as in multivariate nonlinear time series, (see Subba Rao and Wong (1999)). A unified and streamlined methodology for obtaining such expressions is desirable, and that is what we attempt to do here.

As an example consider a random sample $(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$ from a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\underline{\mu}$ and variance covariance matrix Σ . We know that the sample mean vector $\bar{\underline{X}}$ has a multivariate normal distribution and the sample variance covariance matrix has a Wishart distribution, and they are independent. However, when the random sample is not from a multivariate normal distribution, one approach to obtaining such distributions is through the multivariate Edgeworth expansion, whose evaluation requires expressions for higher order cumulants of random vectors. Further applications of these, in the time-series context, can be found in the books of Brillinger (2001), Terdik (1999) and the recent papers of Subba Rao and Wong (1999) and Wong (1997).

Similar results can be found in the works of McCullagh (1987) and Speed (1990), but the techniques we use here are quite different and require only knowledge of calculus of several variables instead of Tensor calculus. Also we believe this to be a more transparent and streamlined approach. Finally, we derive several new results of interest in statistical inference and time series, using these methods. We derive Yule-Walker type difference equations in terms of higher order cumulants for stationary multivariate linear processes. Also derived are expressions for higher order cumulant spectra of such processes, which turn out to be useful in constructing statistical tests for linearity and Gaussianity of multivariate time series. The ‘‘Information inequality’’ or the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator is well known for both single parameter and multiple parameter cases. A more accurate series of bounds for the single parameter case, are given by Bhattacharya (1946) and they depend on all higher order derivatives of the log-likelihood function. Here we give a generalization of this bound for the multiparameter case, based on partial derivatives of various orders. We illustrate this with an example where we find a lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of a nonlinear function of the parameters.

In Section 2, we define the cumulants of several random vectors. In Section 3 we consider applications of the above methods to statistical inference. We define multivariate measures of skewness and kurtosis and consider multivariate time series. We also obtain properties of the cumulants of the partial derivatives of log-likelihood function of a random sample $(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$ drawn from a distribution $F_{\vartheta}(\underline{x})$, $\vartheta \in \Omega$. In this section we use expressions derived for the partial derivatives to obtain Bhattacharya-type bound, and illustrate it with an example. In the Appendix we derive the properties of differential operators which are used in obtaining expressions for the partial derivatives of functions of several vectors. Lastly we express Taylor series of such functions in terms of differential operators.

2. MOMENTS AND CUMULANTS OF RANDOM VECTORS

2.1. Characteristic function and moments of random vectors. Let \underline{X} be a d dimensional random vector and let $\underline{X} = [\underline{X}'_1, \underline{X}'_2]'$ where \underline{X}_1 is of dimension d_1 and \underline{X}_2 is of dimension d_2 such that $d = d_1 + d_2$. Let $\underline{\lambda} = [\underline{\lambda}'_1, \underline{\lambda}'_2]'$. The characteristic function of \underline{X} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) &= \mathbb{E} \exp [i (\underline{X}'_1 \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{X}'_2 \underline{\lambda}_2)] \\ &= \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+l}}{k!l!} \mathbb{E} (\underline{X}'_1 \underline{\lambda}_1)^k (\underline{X}'_2 \underline{\lambda}_2)^l \\ &= \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+l}}{k!l!} \mathbb{E} (\underline{X}_1^{\otimes k'} \otimes \underline{X}_2^{\otimes l'}) (\underline{\lambda}_1^{\otimes k} \otimes \underline{\lambda}_2^{\otimes l}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the coefficients of $\underline{\lambda}_1^{\otimes k} \otimes \underline{\lambda}_2^{\otimes l}$ can be obtained by using the K -derivative and the formula (4.6). Consider the second K -derivative of φ

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes(1,1)} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) &= D_{\underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_1}^{\otimes} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \right) \\ &= \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}_1} \right) \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}_2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+l-2}}{(k-1)!(l-1)!} \mathbb{E} \underline{X}_1^{\otimes k-1'} \underline{\lambda}_1^{\otimes k-1} \underline{X}_2^{\otimes l-1'} \underline{\lambda}_2^{\otimes l-1} (\underline{X}_1 \otimes \underline{X}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now by evaluating the derivative $D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes(1,1)} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2 = \underline{0}}$ we obtain $\mathbb{E} \underline{X}_1 \otimes \underline{X}_2$, similarly other moments can be obtained from higher order derivatives. Therefore, the Taylor series expansion of $\varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2)$ can be written in terms of derivatives and is given by.

$$\varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k+l}}{k!l!} \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes(k,l)} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \right)' \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2 = \underline{0}} \underline{\lambda}_1^{\otimes k} \otimes \underline{\lambda}_2^{\otimes l}.$$

We note that in general

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes(k,l)} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \right)' \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2 = \underline{0}} &= i^{k+l} \mathbb{E} \underline{X}_1^{\otimes k} \otimes \underline{X}_2^{\otimes l} \\ &\neq i^{k+l} \mathbb{E} \underline{X}_2^{\otimes l} \otimes \underline{X}_1^{\otimes k} \\ &= \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_2, \underline{\lambda}_1}^{\otimes(l,k)} \varphi(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \right)' \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2 = \underline{0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the partial derivatives in this case are not symmetric.

Consider a set of vectors $\lambda_{(1:n)} = [\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_n]'$ with dimensions $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$. We can define the operator $D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes(1,1)}$ given in the Appendix for the partitioned set of vectors $\lambda_{(1:n)}$. This is achieved recursively. Recall that the K -derivative with respect $\underline{\lambda}_j$ is

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}_j}^{\otimes} \varphi = \text{Vec} \left(\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}_j'} \right)'.$$

Definition 1. The n^{th} derivative $D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n}$ is defined recursively by

$$D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \varphi = D_{\underline{\lambda}_n}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\lambda_{(1:n-1)}}^{\otimes n-1} \varphi \right).$$

where $D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \varphi$ is a column vector of the partial differential operator of order n .

We see this is the first order derivative of the function which is already a $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ order partial derivative. The dimension of $D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n}$ is $d_{1:n}^{\mathbf{1}_{[n]}} = \prod_{j=1}^n d_j$, where $\mathbf{1}_{[n]}$ denotes a row vector having all ones as its entries, i.e., $\mathbf{1}_{[n]} = [1, 1, \dots, 1]$ with dimension n . The order of the vectors in $\lambda_{(1:n)}$ is important.

The following definition generalizes to the multivariate case, a similar well-known result for scalar valued random variables. Here we assume the partial derivatives exist.

Definition 2. Suppose $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)} = (\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$, is a collection of random (column) vectors with dimensions $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$. The Kronecker moment is defined by the following K -derivative

$$\mathbb{E}(\underline{X}_1 \otimes \underline{X}_2 \cdots \otimes \underline{X}_n) = \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^{\otimes n} \underline{X}_j = (-i)^n D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_n}^{\otimes n} \varphi_{\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n}(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_n) \Big|_{\lambda_{(1:n)} = \underline{0}}.$$

We note, the order of the product in the expectations and the derivatives are important, since the Kronecker moment is not symmetric if the variables $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ are different.

2.2. Cumulant function and Cumulants of random vectors. We obtain the cumulant $\text{Cum}_n(\underline{X})$ as the derivative of the logarithm of the characteristic function $\varphi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{\lambda})$ of $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)'$ and then evaluate the function at zero to obtain.

$$(-i)^n \frac{\partial^n \ln \varphi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \underline{\lambda}^{\mathbf{1}_{[n]}}} \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_{(1:n)}=0} = \text{Cum}_n(\underline{X}) = \text{Cum}_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

where $\partial \underline{\lambda}^{\mathbf{1}_{[n]}} = \partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2 \dots \partial \lambda_n$. See Terdik (1999) for details.

Now consider the collection of random vectors

$$\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)} = (\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n),$$

where each \underline{X}_i is of order d_i . The corresponding characteristic function of $\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$ is

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)}) = \varphi_{\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\text{Vec } \lambda_{(1:n)}) = \mathbb{E} \exp(i(\text{Vec } \lambda_{(1:n)}, \text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)})),$$

where $\lambda_{(1:n)} = (\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}_n)$ and $d_{(1:n)} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$. We call the logarithm of the characteristic function $\varphi_{\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\text{Vec } \lambda_{(1:n)})$ as the cumulant function and denote it by

$$\psi_{\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\text{Vec } \lambda_{(1:n)}) = \ln \varphi_{\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\text{Vec } \lambda_{(1:n)}).$$

We write $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)})$ for $\psi_{\text{Vec } \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\text{Vec } \underline{\lambda}_{(1:n)})$. The first order K -derivative of the cumulant function $\psi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)})$ with respect to $\lambda_{(1:n)}$ is defined as the cumulant of $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$. Now we use the operator $D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \psi = D_{\underline{\lambda}_n}^{\otimes n} (D_{\lambda_{(1:n-1)}}^{\otimes n-1} \psi)$ recursively and the result is a column vector of the partial differentials of order n , which is first order in each variable $\underline{\lambda}_j$. The dimension of $D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n}$ is $d_{1:n}^{\mathbf{1}_{[n]}} = \prod_{j=1}^n d_j$. Now we define the n^{th} order cumulant of vectors $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$ as

Definition 3.

$$(2.1) \quad \underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = (-i)^n D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \psi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)}) \Big|_{\lambda_{(1:n)}=0},$$

Therefore $\underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)})$ is a vector of dimension $d_{1:n}^{\mathbf{1}_{[n]}}$ containing all possible cumulants of the elements formed from the vectors $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ and the order is defined by the Kronecker products defined earlier (see also Terdik, 2002). This definition also includes the evaluation of the cumulants where all the random vectors $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ need not to be distinct. In this case the characteristic function depends on the sum of the corresponding variables of $\lambda_{(1:n)}$ and we use still the definition (2.1) to obtain the cumulant.

For example, when $n = 1$, we have

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_1(\underline{X}) = \mathbb{E} \underline{X},$$

and when $n = 2$,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2) &= \mathbb{E}[(\underline{X}_1 - \mathbb{E} \underline{X}_1) \otimes (\underline{X}_2 - \mathbb{E} \underline{X}_2)] \\ &= \text{Vec Cov}(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Cov}(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2)$ denotes the covariance matrix of the vectors \underline{X}_1 and \underline{X}_2 . To illustrate the above formulae, let us consider an example.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)} = (\underline{X}'_1, \underline{X}'_2)'$, and assume $\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}$ has a joint normal distribution with moment $(\underline{\mu}'_1, \underline{\mu}'_2)'$ and the variance covariance matrix $\mathbf{C}_{(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2)}$ given by

$$\mathbf{C}_{(\underline{X}'_1, \underline{X}'_2)'} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{1,1} & \mathbf{C}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{C}_{2,1} & \mathbf{C}_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the characteristic function of $\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}}(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) = \exp \left\{ i \left(\underline{\mu}'_1 \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\mu}'_2 \underline{\lambda}_2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,2} \underline{\lambda}_2 + \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,2} \underline{\lambda}_2 \right) \right\},$$

and the cumulant function of $\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}}(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) &= \ln \varphi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}}(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \\ &= i(\underline{\mu}'_1 \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\mu}'_2 \underline{\lambda}_2) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,2} \underline{\lambda}_2 + \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 + \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,2} \underline{\lambda}_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the first order cumulant is

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Cum}}_1(\underline{X}_j) &= -i D_{\underline{\lambda}_j}^{\otimes} \varphi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1,2)}}(\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2) \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}_1 = \underline{\lambda}_2 = 0} \\ &= \underline{\mu}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that any cumulant of order higher than two is zero. One can easily show that the second order cumulants are the vectors of the covariance matrices, i.e.

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X}_j, \underline{X}_k) = \text{Vec } \mathbf{C}_{k,j}, \quad j, k = 1, 2.$$

For instance if $j = 2$ and $k = 1$

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes 2} \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 = D_{\underline{\lambda}_2}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_1}^{\otimes} \underline{\lambda}'_2 \mathbf{C}_{2,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 \right) = \text{Vec } \mathbf{C}_{2,1}.$$

If $j = k = 1$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\lambda}_1}^{\otimes} \underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 &= 2 \text{Vec } (\underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,1})' \\ &= 2 \mathbf{C}_{1,1} \underline{\lambda}_1, \end{aligned}$$

and by applying repeatedly $D_{\underline{\lambda}_1}^{\otimes}$ we obtain

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_1) = D_{\underline{\lambda}_1} \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}_1} \underline{\lambda}'_1 \mathbf{C}_{1,1} \underline{\lambda}_1 \right) / 2 = \text{Vec } \mathbf{C}_{1,1}.$$

2.3. Basic Properties of the Cumulants. For convenience of notation, we set the dimensions of $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ equal to d . The cumulants are symmetric in scalar valued case, but not in vectors, for example $\underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2) \neq \underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X}_2, \underline{X}_1)$. Here we have to use permutation matrices (see Appendix for details) as will be shown below.

Proposition 1. Let \mathbf{p} be a permutation of integers $(1:n)$ and let the function $\underline{f}(\lambda_{(1:n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be continuously differentiable n times in all its arguments, then

$$D_{\lambda_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \underline{f} = (\mathbf{I}_d \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{1:n})) D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} \underline{f}.$$

(1) **Symmetry.** If $d > 1$ then the cumulants are not symmetric but satisfy the relation

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}^{-1}(d_{[n]}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}),$$

where $\mathbf{p}(1:n) = (\mathbf{p}(1), \mathbf{p}(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}(n))$ belongs to the set of all possible permutations \mathfrak{P}_n of the numbers $(1:n)$, $d_{[n]} = \underbrace{(d, d, \dots, d)}_n$, and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{[n]})$ is the permutation matrix (see Appendix, equation 4.1).

• For constant matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} and random vectors $\underline{Y}_1, \underline{Y}_2$

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}\underline{Y}_1 + \mathbf{B}\underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d^n}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\underline{Y}_1, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) + (\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d^n}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}),$$

also

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}\underline{Y}_1, \mathbf{B}\underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d^n}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\underline{Y}_1, \underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}),$$

assuming that the appropriate matrix operations are valid.

- For any constant vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b}

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \underline{Y}_1 + \mathbf{b} \otimes \underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = \mathbf{a} \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\underline{Y}_1, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) + \mathbf{b} \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+1}(\underline{Y}_2, \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}).$$

- (2) **Independence.** If $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$ is independent of $\mathbf{Y}_{(1:m)}$ where $n, m > 0$ then

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_{n+m}(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}, \mathbf{Y}_{(1:m)}) = 0.$$

In particular if the dimensions are same, then

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)} + \mathbf{Y}_{(1:n)}) = \underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) + \underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{Y}_{(1:n)}).$$

- (3) **Gaussianity.** The random vector $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$ is Gaussian if and only if for all subsets $k_{(1:m)}$ of $(1 : n)$ is zero i.e.

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_m(\mathbf{X}_{k_{(1:m)}}) = 0, \quad m > 2.$$

For further properties of the cumulants, we need the following Lemma which makes it easier to understand the relations between the moments and the cumulants, (see Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox, (1989), p. 140).

Remark 1. Let \mathcal{P}_n for the set of all partitions \mathcal{K} of the integers $(1 : n)$. If $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\}$ where each $\mathbf{b}_j \subset (1 : n)$ then $|\mathcal{K}| = m$ denotes the *size* of \mathcal{K} . We introduce an ordering among the blocks $\mathbf{b}_j \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{b}_j \leq \mathbf{b}_k$ if

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbf{b}_j} 2^{-l} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbf{b}_k} 2^{-l},$$

and equality in (2.3) is possible if and only if $j = k$. The partition \mathcal{K} will be considered as ordered if both, the elements of a block are ordered inside the block, and the blocks are ordered by the above relation $\mathbf{b}_j \leq \mathbf{b}_k$ also. We suppose that all partitions \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{P}_n are ordered. Denote $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_{(1:M)} = [\underline{\lambda}'_1, \underline{\lambda}'_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}'_M]' \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\underline{\lambda}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_j}$ and $N = d_{1:n}^{\mathbf{1}[n]}$. In this case the differential operator $D_{\lambda_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\otimes |\mathbf{b}|}$ is well defined because the vector $\lambda_{\mathbf{b}} = [\underline{\lambda}'_j, j \in \mathbf{b}]$ denotes an ordered subset of vectors $[\underline{\lambda}'_1, \underline{\lambda}'_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}'_M]$ corresponding to the order in \mathbf{b} . The permutation $\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})$ of the numbers $(1 : n)$ corresponds to the ordered partition \mathcal{K} . (See Andrews, 1976, for more details on partitions).

We can rewrite the formula of Faà di Bruno given for implicit functions, (see Lukács, (1955)) as follows.

Lemma 1. Let the implicit function $f(g(\underline{\lambda}))$, $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where f and g are scalar valued functions and are differentiable M times. Suppose that $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_{(1:M)} = [\underline{\lambda}'_1, \underline{\lambda}'_2, \dots, \underline{\lambda}'_M]'$ with dimensions $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_M]$. Then for $n \leq M$

$$(2.4) \quad D_{\lambda_{(1:n)}}^{\otimes n} f(g(\underline{\lambda})) = \sum_{r=1}^n f^{(r)}(g(\underline{\lambda})) \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |\mathcal{K}|=r}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})}^{-1}(d_{1:n}) \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\lambda_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\otimes |\mathbf{b}|} g(\underline{\lambda}) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})$ is a permutation of $(1 : n)$ defined by the partition \mathcal{K} , see Remark 1.

We consider particular cases of Equation (2.4) which are useful for proving some properties of cumulants.

2.4. Cumulants in terms of moments and vice versa.

2.4.1. Cumulants in terms of moments. The results obtained here are generalizations of the well known results given for scalar random variables by Leonov and Shiryaev(1959) (see also Brillinger and Rosenblatt, 1967, Terdik, 1999). To obtain the cumulants in terms of moments let us consider the function $f(x) = \ln x$ and $g(\underline{\lambda}) = \varphi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)})$. The r^{th} derivative of $f(x) = \ln x$ is

$$f^{(r)}(x) = (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! x^{-r}.$$

So, the left hand side of Equation (2.4) is the cumulant of $\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}$. Hence we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \underline{\text{Cum}}_n(\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}) = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{(1:n)} \\ |\mathcal{L}|=m}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{L})}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{j=1:m}^{\otimes} \mathbf{E} \prod_{k \in \mathbf{b}_j}^{\otimes} \underline{X}_k,$$

where the second summation is taken over all possible ordered partition $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{(1:n)}$ with $|\mathcal{L}| = m$, see Remark 1 for details. The expectation operator \mathbf{E} defined such that $\mathbf{E}(X_1, X_2) = (\mathbf{E}X_1, \mathbf{E}X_2)$.

2.4.2. Moments in terms of cumulants. Let $f(x) = \exp x$ and $g(\underline{\lambda}) = \psi_{\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}}(\lambda_{(1:n)})$. Hence all the derivatives of $f(x) = \exp x$ are equal to $\exp x$, and therefore, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial^n \exp(g(\underline{\lambda}))}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2 \dots \partial \lambda_n} = \exp(g(\underline{\lambda})) \sum_{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\lambda_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\otimes |\mathbf{b}|} g(\underline{\lambda}) \right).$$

The expression for the moment $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}^{\otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n]}}$ is quite general, for example the moment $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Y}_{(1:m)}^{\otimes k_{(1:m)}}$ can be obtained from $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}^{\otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n]}}$, where

$$(\underline{Y}_{1_{[k_1]}}, \dots, \underline{Y}_{m_{[k_m]}}) = (\underbrace{\underline{Y}_1, \dots, \underline{Y}_1}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\underline{Y}_m, \dots, \underline{Y}_m}_{k_m}) = \mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}, \text{ say}$$

i.e. the elements in the product $\mathbf{Y}_{(1:m)}^{\otimes k_{(1:m)}}$ are treated as they were distinct.

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}_{(1:n)}^{\otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n]}} = \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{(1:n)}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{L})}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}}^{\otimes} \underline{\text{Cum}}_{|\mathbf{b}|}(\underline{X}_{\mathbf{b}}),$$

where the summation is over all ordered partitions $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k\}$ of $(1:n)$.

2.4.3. Cumulant of products via products of cumulants. Let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{K}}$ denote the vector where the entries are obtained from the partition \mathcal{K} , i.e. if $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\}$, then $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{K}} = \left(\prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_1}, \prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_2}, \dots, \prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_m} \right)$. The order of the elements of the subsets $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}$ and the order of the subsets in \mathcal{K} are fixed. Now the cumulant of the products can be expressed by the cumulants of the individual set of variables $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}} = (\underline{X}_j, j \in \mathbf{b})$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} denotes the coarsest partition with one subset $\{(1:n)\}$ only. Such partitions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} are called indecomposable (see Brillinger (2001), Terdik, (1999)).

$$(2.8) \quad \underline{\text{Cum}}_k \left(\left(\prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_1}, \prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_2}, \dots, \prod^{\otimes} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}_m} \right) \right) = \sum_{\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{L})}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}}^{\otimes} \underline{\text{Cum}}_{|\mathbf{b}|}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}}),$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the set of vectors from \underline{X}_s , $s \in \mathbf{b}$.

Example 2. Let \underline{X} be a Gaussian random vector with $\mathbf{E}\underline{X} = 0$, \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} matrices with appropriate dimensions, and $\text{Cov}(\underline{X}, \underline{X}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Cum}(\underline{X}' \mathbf{A} \underline{X}, \underline{X}' \mathbf{B} \underline{X}) = 2 \text{Tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{\Sigma},$$

(see Taniguchi, 1991). We can use (2.8) to obtain (2.9) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cum}(\underline{X}' \mathbf{A} \underline{X}, \underline{X}' \mathbf{B} \underline{X}) &= \underline{\text{Cum}}_2((\text{Vec } \mathbf{A})' \underline{X} \otimes \underline{X}, (\text{Vec } \mathbf{B})' \underline{X} \otimes \underline{X}) \\ &= [(\text{Vec } \mathbf{A})' \otimes (\text{Vec } \mathbf{B})'] \underline{\text{Cum}}_2(\underline{X} \otimes \underline{X}, \underline{X} \otimes \underline{X}) \\ &= [(\text{Vec } \mathbf{A})' \otimes (\text{Vec } \mathbf{B})'] [\mathbf{K}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_{2 \leftrightarrow 4}^{-1}] [\text{Vec } \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \text{Vec } \mathbf{\Sigma}] \\ &= 2 (\text{Vec } \mathbf{\Sigma})' (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) \text{Vec } \mathbf{\Sigma} = 2 \text{Tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{\Sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

3. APPLICATIONS TO STATISTICAL INFERENCE

3.1. Cumulants of the log-likelihood function. The above results can be used to obtain the cumulants of the partial derivatives of the log-likelihood function, see Skovgaard, (1986). These expressions are useful in the study of the asymptotic theory of statistics.

Consider a random sample $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) = \underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, with the likelihood function $L(\underline{\vartheta}, X)$ and let $l(\underline{\vartheta})$ denote the log-likelihood function, i.e.

$$l(\underline{\vartheta}) = \ln L(\underline{\vartheta}, X), \quad \underline{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

It is well known that under the regularity conditions

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1} \frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_2} = -\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2 l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2}.$$

The result (3.1) can be extended to products of several partial derivatives (see McCullagh and Cox, (1986) for $d = 4$), who use these expressions in the evaluation of Bartlett's correction. We can arrive at the result (3.1) from (2.4) by observing $L(\underline{\vartheta}, X) = e^{l(\underline{\vartheta})}$. Suppose $d = 2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 e^{l(\underline{\vartheta})}}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2} = \frac{\partial^2 L(\underline{\vartheta}, X)}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2},$$

and from (2.4) we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 e^{l(\underline{\vartheta})}}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2} = e^{l(\underline{\vartheta})} \left[\frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1} \frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_2} + \frac{\partial^2 l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2} \right].$$

and equating the above two expressions we get

$$\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, X)} \frac{\partial^2 L(\underline{\vartheta}, X)}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2} = \frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1} \frac{\partial l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_2} + \frac{\partial^2 l(\underline{\vartheta})}{\partial \vartheta_1 \partial \vartheta_2},$$

The expected value of the left hand side of the above expression is zero, as we are allowed changing the order of the derivative and the integral, which gives the result (3.1). The same argument leads, more generally to several partial derivatives

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_d \\ |\mathcal{K}|=r}} \mathbb{E} \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}} \left[\frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{b}|}}{\prod_{j \in \mathbf{b}} \partial \vartheta_j} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right] = 0,$$

and this is a consequence of (2.6). Proceeding in a similar fashion assuming the regularity conditions in higher order and using (2.7) we obtain the cumulant analogue of the above

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_d \\ |\mathcal{K}|=r}} \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{b}|}}{\prod_{j \in \mathbf{b}} \partial \vartheta_j} l(\underline{\vartheta}), \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K} \right) = 0.$$

The equation (3.2) is in terms of the expected values of the derivatives of the log-likelihood function, where as (3.3) is in terms of the cumulants. For example, suppose we have a single parameter ϑ and let us denote

$$\mu_4(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta) \right]^{m_1} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} l(\vartheta) \right]^{m_2} \left[\frac{\partial^3}{\partial \vartheta^3} l(\vartheta) \right]^{m_3} \left[\frac{\partial^4}{\partial \vartheta^4} l(\vartheta) \right]^{m_4},$$

then from the formula (3.2) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_4(0, 0, 0, 1) + 4\mu_4(1, 0, 1, 0) + 6\mu_4(2, 1, 0, 0) + 3\mu_4(0, 2, 0, 0) + \mu_4(4, 0, 0, 0) = 0.$$

To obtain (3.4) we proceed as follows. Consider the partitions $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_4$, if $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$ we have only one partition $(1, 2, 3, 4)$, if $|\mathcal{K}| = 2$, we have 4 terms of type $\{(1, 2, 3), (4)\}$ and 3 terms of type $\{(1, 2), (4, 3)\}$, if $|\mathcal{K}| = 3$, we have 6 terms of the type $\{(1), (2), (4, 3)\}$. Now if $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = \vartheta_3 = \vartheta_4 = \vartheta$, then m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 shows the number of the elements of the subsets in a partition, for instance $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = (2, 1, 0, 0)$

corresponds to the partitions of the type $\{(1), (2), (4, 3)\}$ and so on. Hence the result (3.4). McCullagh and Cox (1986) equ. (10), p. 142, obtained a similar result for cumulants

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial^4}{\partial \vartheta^4} l(\vartheta) \right) + 4 \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} l(\vartheta) \right) + 6 \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} l(\vartheta) \right) \\ + 3 \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} l(\vartheta) \right) + \text{Cum} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta), \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} l(\vartheta) \right) = 0,$$

which is a special case of (3.3).

3.1.1. *Cumulants of the log-likelihood function, the multiple parameter case.* The multivariate extension (the elements of the parameter vector are vectors as well) of the formula (3.2) can easily be obtained using Lemma 1. If we partition the vector parameters into n subsets, $\underline{\vartheta} = \vartheta_{(1:n)} = [\underline{\vartheta}'_1, \underline{\vartheta}'_2, \dots, \underline{\vartheta}'_n]'$ with dimensions $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ respectively, then it follows

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_d \\ |\mathcal{K}|=r}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})}^{-1}(d_{1:n}) \mathbb{E} \prod_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K}}^{\otimes} \left(D_{\vartheta_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\otimes |\mathbf{b}|} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right) = 0,$$

where $\vartheta_{\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the subset of vectors $[\underline{\vartheta}_j, j \in \mathbf{b}]$. Now, in particular if $n = 2$ and $\underline{\vartheta}_1 = \underline{\vartheta}_2 = \underline{\vartheta}$ then (3.6) gives the well known result

$$\text{Cov} \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}} l(\underline{\vartheta}), D_{\underline{\vartheta}} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right) = -\mathbb{E} \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right),$$

or in vectorized form, the same can be written as

$$\mathbb{E} \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \otimes D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right) = -\mathbb{E} \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right).$$

In case $n = 4$, say and $\underline{\vartheta}_1 = \underline{\vartheta}_2 = \underline{\vartheta}_3 = \underline{\vartheta}_4 = \underline{\vartheta}$, then we have

$$\underline{\mu}_4(0, 0, 0, 1) + 4\underline{\mu}_4(1, 0, 1, 0) + 6\underline{\mu}_4(2, 1, 0, 0) + 3\underline{\mu}_4(0, 2, 0, 0) + \underline{\mu}_4(4, 0, 0, 0) = 0,$$

where

$$\underline{\mu}_4(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = \mathbb{E} \left[D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right]^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \left[D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right]^{\otimes m_2} \otimes \left[D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right]^{\otimes m_3} \otimes \left[D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} l(\underline{\vartheta}) \right]^{\otimes m_4}.$$

We can obtain a similar expression for the cumulants and it is given by

$$\sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{P}_d \\ |\mathcal{K}|=r}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{K})}^{-1}(d_{1:n}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_r \left(D_{\vartheta_{\mathbf{b}}}^{\otimes |\mathbf{b}|} l(\underline{\vartheta}), \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{K} \right) = 0.$$

3.2. **Multivariate Measures of Skewness and Kurtosis for Random Vectors.** In this section we define what we consider are natural measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis and show their relation to the measures defined by Mardia (1970). Let \underline{X} be a d -dimensional random vector whose first four moments exist. Let Σ denote the positive-definite variance covariance matrix. The “*skewness vector*” of \underline{X} is defined by

$$\zeta_X = \underline{\text{Cum}}_3 \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right) \\ = \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 3} \underline{\text{Cum}}_3(\underline{X}, \underline{X}, \underline{X}),$$

and the “*total skewness*” is

$$\zeta_X = \left\| \zeta_X \right\|^2.$$

The “*kurtosis vector*” of \underline{X} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\kappa}_X &= \underline{\text{Cum}}_4 \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right) \\ &= \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \underline{\text{Cum}}_4 (\underline{X}, \underline{X}, \underline{X}, \underline{X}).\end{aligned}$$

and the “*total kurtosis*” is

$$\kappa_X = \text{Tr} \left(\text{Vec}^{-1} \underline{\kappa}_X \right),$$

where $\text{Vec}^{-1} \underline{\kappa}_X$ is the matrix \mathbf{M} such that $\text{Vec} \mathbf{M} = \underline{\kappa}_X$. The skewness and kurtosis for a multivariate Gaussian vector \underline{X} is zero. $\underline{\zeta}_X$ is also zero for any distribution which is symmetric. The skewness and kurtosis are expressed in terms of the moments. Suppose $\mathbf{E} \underline{X} = 0$, then

$$(3.7) \quad \underline{\zeta}_X = \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 3} \mathbf{E} \underline{X}^{\otimes 3}.$$

The total skewness ζ_X , which is just the norm square of the skewness vector $\underline{\zeta}_X$, coincides with the measure of skewness $\beta_{1,d}$ defined by Mardia (1970). For any set of random vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.8) \quad \underline{\text{Cum}}_4 (\underline{X}_{1:4}) &= \mathbf{E} \prod^{\otimes} \underline{X}_{1:4} - \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2) \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_3, \underline{X}_4) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}}^{-1} (d_{[4]}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_3) \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_2, \underline{X}_4) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{4 \leftrightarrow 2}}^{-1} (d_{[4]}) \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_4) \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}_2, \underline{X}_3),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore the kurtosis vector of X can be expressed in terms of the fourth order moments, by noting $\underline{X}_1 = \underline{X}_2 = \underline{X}_3 = \underline{X}_4 = \underline{X}$ in the above,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.9) \quad \underline{\kappa}_X &= \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \underline{\text{Cum}}_4 (\underline{X}, \underline{X}, \underline{X}, \underline{X}) \\ &= \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \mathbf{E} \underline{X}^{\otimes 4} - (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}}^{-1} (d_{[4]}) + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{4 \leftrightarrow 2}}^{-1} (d_{[4]})) \\ &\quad \times \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}, \underline{X}) \otimes \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 (\underline{X}, \underline{X}) \\ &= \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \mathbf{E} \underline{X}^{\otimes 4} - (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}}^{-1} (d_{[4]}) + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{4 \leftrightarrow 2}}^{-1} (d_{[4]})) [\text{Vec} \mathbf{I}_d \otimes \text{Vec} \mathbf{I}_d].\end{aligned}$$

Mardia (1970), defined the measure of kurtosis as

$$\beta_{2,d} = \mathbf{E} \left(\underline{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \underline{X} \right)^2$$

and this is related to our total kurtosis measure κ_X as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2,d} &= \kappa_X + d(d+2) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\text{Vec}^{-1} \underline{\kappa}_X \right) + d(d+2).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr} \left(\text{Vec}^{-1} \left[\left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \right)^{\otimes 4} \mathbf{E} \underline{X}^{\otimes 4} \right] \right) &= \mathbf{E} \text{Tr} \left(\left[\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right]^{\otimes 2} \left[\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right]^{\prime \otimes 2} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \text{Tr} \left(\left[\left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right)' \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \underline{X} \right) \right]^{\otimes 2} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left(\underline{X}' \Sigma^{-1} \underline{X} \right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

We note if \underline{X} is Gaussian, then $\underline{\kappa}_X = 0$ and hence $\beta_{2,d} = d(d+2)$.

3.3. Multiple Linear Time Series. Let \underline{X}_t be a d dimensional discrete time stationary time series. Let \underline{X}_t satisfy the linear representation, (see Hannan, 1970, p. 208)

$$(3.10) \quad \underline{X}_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) \underline{e}_{t-k},$$

where $\mathbf{H}(0)$ is identity, $\sum \|\mathbf{H}(k)\| < \infty$, \underline{e}_t are independent and identically distributed random vectors with $E\underline{e}_t = 0$, $E\underline{e}_t \underline{e}_t' = \underline{\Sigma}$. Let $\underline{\kappa}_{m+1}(\underline{e}) = \underline{\text{Cum}}_{m+1}(\underline{e}_t, \underline{e}_t, \dots, \underline{e}_t)$ be the vector $d^{m+1} \times 1$. We note $\underline{\kappa}_2(\underline{e}) = \text{Vec } \underline{\Sigma}$ and the cumulant of \underline{X}_t is

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Cum}}_{m+1}(\underline{X}_t, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_1}, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_2}, \dots, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_m}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) \otimes \mathbf{H}(k+\tau_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{H}(k+\tau_m) \underline{\kappa}_{m+1}(\underline{e}) \\ &= \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let \underline{X}_t satisfy the autoregressive model of order p given by

$$\underline{X}_t + \mathbf{A}_1 \underline{X}_{t-1} + \mathbf{A}_2 \underline{X}_{t-2} + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p \underline{X}_{t-p} = \underline{e}_t,$$

which can be written as

$$(I + \mathbf{A}_1 B + \mathbf{A}_2 B^2 + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p B^p) \underline{X}_t = \underline{e}_t.$$

We assume the coefficients $\{\mathbf{A}_j\}$ satisfy the usual stationarity condition (see Hannan, 1970, p. 212), and proceed

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{X}_t &= (I + \mathbf{A}_1 B + \mathbf{A}_2 B^2 + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p B^p)^{-1} \underline{e}_t \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) B^k \right) \underline{e}_t, \end{aligned}$$

where B is the backshift operator. From (3.10) and (3.12) we have

$$(3.13) \quad (I + \mathbf{A}_1 B + \mathbf{A}_2 B^2 + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p B^p) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) B^k \right) = I,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{H}(0) + (\mathbf{H}(1) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(0)) B + (\mathbf{H}(2) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(1) + \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{H}(0)) B^2 + \dots \\ &+ (\mathbf{H}(p) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(p-1) + \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{H}(p-2) + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{H}(0)) B^p + \dots \\ &+ \mathbf{H}(p+1) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(p) + \dots + \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

Equating powers of B^j , $j \geq 1$ we get

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbf{H}(j) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(j-1) + \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{H}(j-2) + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{H}(j-p) = 0, \quad j \geq 1,$$

(here we use the convention $\mathbf{H}(j) = 0$, if $j < 0$). Let $\tau_1 \geq 1$, substituting for $\mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1)$ from (3.14) into (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m) \\
&= - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) \otimes [\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1 - 1) + \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1 - 2) + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1 - p)] \otimes \\
& \dots \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_m) \underline{\kappa}_{m+1}(\underline{e}) \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(k) \otimes \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1 - j) \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_m) \underline{\kappa}_{m+1}(\underline{e}) \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [I_d \otimes \mathbf{A}_j \otimes I_{d^{m-1}}] [\mathbf{H}(k) \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_1 - j) \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_2) \otimes \mathbf{H}(k + \tau_m)] \underline{\kappa}_{m+1}(\underline{e}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^p (I_d \otimes \mathbf{A}_j \otimes I_{d^{m-1}}) \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1 - j, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m) = - \sum_{j=1}^p (I_d \otimes \mathbf{A}_j \otimes I_{d^{m-1}}) \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1 - j, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m).$$

If we put $m = 1$ in (3.15) we get

$$\underline{C}_2(\tau_1) = - \sum_{j=1}^p (I_d \otimes \mathbf{A}_j) \underline{C}_2(\tau_1 - j),$$

which can be written in matrix form

$$\mathbf{C}_2(\tau_1) = - \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{C}_2(\tau_1 - j),$$

which is well known Yule-Walker equation in terms of second order covariances. Therefore we can consider (3.15) as an extension of Yule-Walker equations in terms of higher order cumulants for multivariate autoregressive models.

The definition of the higher order cumulant spectra for stationary time series comes in a natural way. Consider the time series \underline{X}_t with $(m+1)^{th}$ order cumulant function

$$\underline{\text{Cum}}_{m+1}(\underline{X}_t, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_1}, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_2}, \dots, \underline{X}_{t+\tau_m}) = \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m),$$

and define the m^{th} order cumulant spectrum as the Fourier transform of the cumulants

$$\underline{S}_m(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m) = \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m = -\infty}^{\infty} \underline{C}_{m+1}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m) \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^m \tau_j \omega_j\right),$$

provided that the infinite sum converges. We note here that the connection between the usual matrix notation for the second order spectrum $\mathbf{S}_2(\omega)$ is that

$$\underline{S}_2(\omega) = \text{Vec}[\mathbf{S}_2(\omega)]',$$

see the (2.2).

3.4. Bhattacharya-type lower bound for the multiparameter case. In this section we obtain a lower bound for the variance covariance matrix of an unbiased vector of statistics which is a linear function of the first k partial derivatives. This corresponds to the well known Bhattacharya bound (see Bhattacharya (1946), Linnik (1970)) for the multiparameter case, which does not seem to have been considered anywhere in the literature. Consider a random sample $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd_0}$, with likelihood function $L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})$, $\underline{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose we have a vector of unbiased estimators, say, $\widehat{g}(\underline{X})$ of $\underline{g}(\underline{\vartheta}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$. Define the random vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df} &= \left(\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 1} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})', \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})', \dots, \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})' \right), \\ \underline{\Upsilon}' &= (\widehat{g}'(\underline{X}), \underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df}), \end{aligned}$$

where the dimension of $\underline{\Upsilon}$ is $d_1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^k$. The second order cumulant between $\widehat{g}(\underline{X})$ and the derivatives $\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})$, $(j = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cum} \left(\widehat{g}(\underline{X}), \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right) &= \text{Vec} \int \left[D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right] \widehat{g}(\underline{x})' d\underline{x} \\ &= \int \widehat{g}(\underline{x}) \otimes D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) d\underline{x} \\ &= D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} \underline{g}(\underline{\vartheta}). \end{aligned}$$

The covariance matrix between $\widehat{g}(\underline{X})$ and $\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})$ is calculated using (2.2). The variance matrix $\text{Var}(\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df})$ is singular because the elements of the derivatives $D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})$ are not distinct. Therefore we reduce the vector of derivatives using distinct elements only. To make it precise we first consider second order derivatives. We define the duplication matrix $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ which reduces the symmetric matrix V_d to the matrix $\underline{\nu}_2(V_d)$ which is the vector of lower triangular elements of V_d . We define $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \underline{\nu}_2(V_d) = \text{Vec } V_d.$$

The dimension of $\underline{\nu}_2(V_d)$ is $d(d+1)/2$, and $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ is of $d^2 \times d(d+1)/2$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{D}'_{2,d} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ is non-singular (the columns of $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ are linearly independent, each row has exactly one nonzero element), therefore the Moore-Penrose inverse $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+$ of $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}$ is

$$\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ = (\mathfrak{D}'_{2,d} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d})^{-1} \mathfrak{D}'_{2,d},$$

such that

$$\underline{\nu}_2(V_d) = \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \text{Vec } V_d,$$

(see Magnus and Neudecker (1999), Ch. 3 Sec. 8, for details). The operator $D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2}$ is defined by

$$D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} = \text{Vec} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'},$$

which is actually $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \right)^{\otimes 2}$. The matrix $\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'}$ is symmetric and therefore we can use the inverse $\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+$ of the duplication matrix

$$\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} = \underline{\nu}_2 \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} \right),$$

to get the necessary elements of the derivatives. We can extend this procedure for higher order derivatives by defining

$$\mathfrak{D}_{k,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k} = \underline{\nu}_k \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k} \right),$$

where $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_k \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k} \right)$ is a vector of the distinct elements of $D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k}$, listed in the original order in $D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k}$. Now let

$$\mathbf{C}_{g,j} = \text{Cov} \left(\widehat{g}(\underline{X}), \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} \left(\mathfrak{D}_{j,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} \right) L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right),$$

where the entries of $\mathbf{C}_{g,j}$ are those of the entries of the cumulant matrix

$$\underline{\text{Cum}} \left(\widehat{g}(\underline{X}), \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} \left(\mathfrak{D}_{j,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} \right) L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right).$$

Now considering the vector of all distinct and nonzero derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df} &= \left(\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})', \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})', \dots, \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} \mathfrak{D}_{k,d}^+ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes k} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})' \right) \\ \underline{\Upsilon}' &= (\widehat{g}'(\underline{X}), \underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the generalized Bhattacharya lower bound in the case of multiple parameters. This is obtained by considering the variance matrix of $\underline{\Upsilon}'$ which is positive semi definite which implies

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Var}(\widehat{g}(\underline{X})) - \mathbf{C}_{g,Df} \text{Var}(\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df})^{-1} \mathbf{C}'_{g,Df} \geq 0,$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{g,Df} = [\mathbf{C}_{g,1}, \mathbf{C}_{g,2}, \dots, \mathbf{C}_{g,k}]$. The Cramer- Rao inequality is obtained by setting $k = 1$, i.e. by considering only the first derivative vector.

Let us now consider an example to illustrate the Bhattacharya bound given by (3.16).

Example 3. Let $(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n) = \underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd_0}$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian random vectors with mean vector $\underline{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$ and variance matrix \mathbf{I}_{d_0} . Suppose we want to estimate the function $g(\underline{\vartheta}) = \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $d = d_0$, $d_1 = 1$. The unbiased estimator for $g(\underline{\vartheta})$ is

$$\widehat{g}(\underline{X}) = \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\overline{X}_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

where \overline{X}_k is the sample mean computed using the random sample consisting of n observations on the k^{th} random variable of the random vector \underline{X} . The variance of the estimator $\widehat{g}(\underline{X})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\widehat{g}(\underline{X})) &= \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{4\vartheta_k^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} \right) \\ (3.17) \quad &= \frac{4}{n} \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \frac{2d}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Cramer-Rao bound for this estimator is $\frac{4}{n} \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2$ which is strictly less than the actual variance. The derivatives $D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes j} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})$ for $j > 2$ are zero. For $j = 1, 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) &= n (\overline{X} - \underline{\vartheta}) L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}), \\ D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) &= n^2 \left[(\overline{X} - \underline{\vartheta})^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Vec} \mathbf{I}_d \right] L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}), \end{aligned}$$

therefore we obtain (using all the elements of second partial derivative matrix)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df} &= \left(\frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})', \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})' \right) \\ &= \left(n (\overline{X} - \underline{\vartheta})', n^2 \left[(\overline{X} - \underline{\vartheta})^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Vec} \mathbf{I}_d \right]' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if we consider only the vector of first derivatives, then the second element of above vector will not be included in the lower bound, making the Cramer-Rao bound smaller.

If we use the reduced number of elements for $\underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df}$, we have

$$\underline{\Upsilon}'_{Df} = \left(n (\underline{\bar{X}} - \underline{\vartheta})', n^2 \left[\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ (\underline{\bar{X}} - \underline{\vartheta})^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{n} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d \right]' \right),$$

and the variance matrix of $\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df}$ will contain

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 \mathbf{C}_2 &= n^2 \text{Vec}_{d^2, d^2}^{-1} \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \right)^{\otimes 2} \underline{\text{Cum}}_2 \left(\left[(\underline{\bar{X}} - \underline{\vartheta})^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d \right] \right) \\ &= \text{Vec}_{d^2, d^2}^{-1} \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \right)^{\otimes 2} \left[(\mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}}^{-1} (d_{[4]}) + \mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \leftrightarrow 3}}^{-1} (d_{[4]})) (\text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d)^{\otimes 2} \right] \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ [\mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \leftrightarrow 2}} (d_{[4]})] \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \right)'. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \leftrightarrow 2}} (d_{[4]})] = \mathbf{N}_d,$$

and then the matrices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_d &= \mathbf{N}_d' = \mathbf{N}_d^2, \\ \mathbf{N}_d &= \mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+, \end{aligned}$$

(see Magnus and Neudecker (1999), Ch. 3 Sec. 7-8, Theorem 11 and 12). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 \mathbf{C}_2 &= 2 \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \mathbf{N}_d \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \mathbf{N}_d \right)' \\ &= 2 \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \right)' = 2 \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d}' \mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is invertible. The inverse of the variance matrix of $\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df}$ is given by

$$[\text{Var} (\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df})]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{I}_d & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2n^2} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}' \mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now to obtain the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{g,Df} = [\mathbf{C}_{g,1}, \mathbf{C}_{g,2}]$ we need

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Cum}} \left(\widehat{g}(\underline{X}), \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right) &= D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} g(\underline{\vartheta}) \\ &= D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes} \underline{\vartheta}' \underline{\vartheta} = 2 \underline{\vartheta}, \\ \mathbf{C}_{g,1} &= 2 \underline{\vartheta}', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Cum}} \left(\widehat{g}(\underline{X}), \frac{1}{L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X})} \nu \left(D_{\underline{\vartheta}}^{\otimes 2} \right) L(\underline{\vartheta}, \underline{X}) \right) &= 2 \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d \\ \mathbf{C}_{g,2} &= 2 \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{g,Df} \text{Var} (\underline{\Upsilon}_{Df})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{g,Df}' &= \frac{4}{n} \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \frac{2}{n^2} (\text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d)' \left(\mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \right)' \mathfrak{D}_{2,d} \mathfrak{D}_{2,d}^+ \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d \\ &= \frac{4}{n} \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} (\text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d)' \mathbf{N}_d \text{Vec } \mathbf{I}_d \\ &= \frac{4}{n} \|\underline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \frac{2d}{n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the Bhattacharya bound and is same as the variance of the statistic $\widehat{g}(\underline{X})$, given by (3.17).

4. APPENDIX

4.1. Commutation Matrices. The Kronecker products have the advantage in the sense that we can commute the elements of the products using linear operators called commutation matrices (see Magnus and Neudecker (1999), Ch. 3 Sec. 7, for details). We use these operators here in the case of vectors. Let \mathbf{A} be a matrix of order $m \times n$, and the vector $\text{Vec } \mathbf{A}'$ is a permutation of the vector $\text{Vec } \mathbf{A}$. Therefore there exists a permutation matrix $\mathbf{K}_{m \cdot n}$ of order $mn \times mn$, called **commutation matrix**, which is defined by the relation

$$\mathbf{K}_{m \cdot n} \text{Vec } \mathbf{A} = \text{Vec } \mathbf{A}'.$$

Now suppose if \mathbf{a} is $m \times 1$ and \mathbf{b} is $n \times 1$ then

$$\mathbf{K}_{m \cdot n} (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{K}_{m \cdot n} \text{Vec } (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}') = \text{Vec } (\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}') = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}.$$

>From now on in the sequel, we shall use a more convenient notation,

$$\mathbf{K}_{m \cdot n} = \mathbf{K}(n, m),$$

which means that we are changing the order in a K -product $\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{a}$ of vectors $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Now consider a set of vectors $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ with dimensions $d_{(1:n)} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ respectively. Define the matrix

$$\mathbf{K}_{j+1 \leftrightarrow j}(d_{(1:n)}) = \prod_{i=1:j-1}^{\otimes} \mathbf{I}_{d_i} \otimes \mathbf{K}(d_j, d_{j+1}) \otimes \prod_{i=j+2:n}^{\otimes} \mathbf{I}_{d_i},$$

where $\prod_{i=1:j}^{\otimes}$ stands for the Kronecker product of the matrices indexed by $1:j = (1, 2, \dots, j)$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{j+1 \leftrightarrow j}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{i=1:n}^{\otimes} \mathbf{a}_i &= \prod_{i=1:j-1}^{\otimes} (\mathbf{I}_{d_i} \mathbf{a}_i) \otimes (\mathbf{K}(d_j, d_{j+1})(\mathbf{a}_j \otimes \mathbf{a}_{j+1})) \otimes \prod_{i=j+2:n}^{\otimes} (\mathbf{I}_{d_i} \mathbf{a}_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1:j-1}^{\otimes} \mathbf{a}_i \otimes \mathbf{a}_{j+1} \otimes \mathbf{a}_j \otimes \prod_{i=j+2:n}^{\otimes} \mathbf{a}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one is able to transpose (interchange) the elements \mathbf{a}_j and \mathbf{a}_{j+1} in a Kronecker product of vectors by the help of the matrix $\mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}(d_{(1:n)})$. In general $\mathbf{K}'_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}(d_{(1:n)}) = \mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)})$ but $\mathbf{K}_{j+1 \leftrightarrow j} \neq \mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}$ because of the dimensions d_{j+1} and d_j are not necessarily equal. If they are equal then $\mathbf{K}_{j+1 \leftrightarrow j} = \mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow j+1} = \mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{K}'_{j \leftrightarrow j+1}$. We remind that \mathfrak{P}_n denotes the set of all permutations of the numbers $(1:n) = (1, 2, \dots, n)$, if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ then $\mathbf{p}(1:n) = (\mathbf{p}(1), \mathbf{p}(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}(n))$. From this it follows that for each permutation $\mathbf{p}(1:n) = (\mathbf{p}(1), \mathbf{p}(2), \dots, \mathbf{p}(n))$, $\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{P}_n$, there exists a matrix $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{(1:n)})$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{(1:n)}) \prod_{i=1:n}^{\otimes} \mathbf{a}_i = \prod_{i=1:n}^{\otimes} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{p}(i)},$$

just because any permutation $\mathbf{p}(1:n)$ can be obtained from the product by transposition of neighboring elements. Since there is an inverse of the permutation $\mathbf{p}(1:n)$, therefore there exists an inverse $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}^{-1}(d_{(1:n)})$ for $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{(1:n)})$ as well. Note that the entries of $d_{1:n}$ are not necessary equal, they are the dimensions of the vectors \mathbf{a}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ which is fixed. The following example shows that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}(1:n)}(d_{(1:n)})$ is uniquely defined by the permutation $\mathbf{p}(1:n)$ and the set $d_{1:n}$. The permutation $\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 4}$ is the product of two interchanges $\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{3 \leftrightarrow 4}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 4}}(d_{1:4}) = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{3 \leftrightarrow 4}}(d_1, d_3, d_2, d_4) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}}(d_{1:4}) = (\mathbf{I}_{d_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d_3} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{d_4 \cdot d_2})(\mathbf{I}_{d_1} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{d_3 \cdot d_2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d_4}),$$

This process can be followed for any permutation $\mathbf{p}(1:n)$ and for any set $d_{1:n}$ of the dimensions.

In particular transposing two elements only, j and k , in the product will be denoted by $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{j \leftrightarrow k}}(d_{(1:n)})$. It will not be confusing to use both notations $\mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow k}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{j \leftrightarrow k}}$ also $\mathbf{K}_{j \rightarrow k}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{j \rightarrow k}}$ for the same operators. It can be seen that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{K}'_{j \leftrightarrow k} = \mathbf{K}_{j \leftrightarrow k}^{-1} = \mathbf{K}_{k \leftrightarrow j}.$$

Let \mathbf{A} be $m \times n$ and \mathbf{B} be $p \times q$ matrices, it is well known that

$$\mathbf{K}_{1 \leftrightarrow 2}(m, p)(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{K}_{1 \leftrightarrow 2}(q, n) = \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{A}.$$

The same argument to the case of vectors Kronecker product leads to the technic of permuting matrices in a Kronecker product by the help of commutation matrix \mathbf{K}_p .

Using the above notation we can write

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Vec}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) &= (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{K}(m, q) \otimes \mathbf{I}_p) \text{Vec} \mathbf{A} \otimes \text{Vec} \mathbf{B} \\ &= \mathbf{K}_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}(n, m, q, p) \text{Vec} \mathbf{A} \otimes \text{Vec} \mathbf{B}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Differential operators. First we introduce the Jacobian matrix and higher order derivatives. Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $\underline{\phi}(\underline{\lambda}) = [\phi_1(\underline{\lambda}), \phi_2(\underline{\lambda}), \dots, \phi_m(\underline{\lambda})]'$ be a vector valued function which is differentiable in all its arguments (here and elsewhere $'$ denotes the transpose). The Jacobian matrix of $\underline{\phi}$ is defined by

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}} \underline{\phi} = \frac{\partial \underline{\phi}}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'} = \underline{\phi}(\underline{\lambda}) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_d} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_d} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \lambda_1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_d} \end{bmatrix},$$

here, and later on, the differential operator $\partial/\partial \lambda_j$ is acting from right to left keeping the matrix calculus valid. We can write this in a vector form as follows:

Definition 4. The operator $D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}$ is defined as

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi} = \text{Vec} \left(\frac{\partial \underline{\phi}}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'} \right)' = \text{Vec} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \lambda_d} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \lambda_1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_d} \end{bmatrix}',$$

which is a column vector of order md .

We refer to $D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}$ as K -derivative and we can also write $D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}$ as a Kronecker product.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi} &= \text{Vec} \left(\underline{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'} \right)' = \text{Vec} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \underline{\phi}' \right) \\ &= [\phi_1(\underline{\lambda}), \phi_2(\underline{\lambda}), \dots, \phi_m(\underline{\lambda})]' \otimes \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_d} \right]'. \end{aligned}$$

If we repeat the differentiation $D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}$ twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes 2} \underline{\phi} &= D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} (D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}) = \text{Vec} \left[\left(\underline{\phi} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'} \right]' \\ &= \underline{\phi} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} \right)^{\otimes 2} = \underline{\phi} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes 2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and in general (suppose the differentiability k times), the k^{th} K -derivative is given by

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes k} \underline{\phi} = D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} (D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes k-1} \underline{\phi}) = [\phi_1(\underline{\lambda}), \phi_2(\underline{\lambda}), \dots, \phi_m(\underline{\lambda})]' \otimes \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_d} \right]^{\otimes k},$$

which is a column vector of order md^k , containing all possible partial derivatives of entries of $\underline{\phi}$ according to the Kronecker product $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_d} \right)^{\otimes k}$.

In the following, we give some additional properties of this operator $D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}$ when applied to products of several functions. Let $\mathbf{K}_{3 \leftrightarrow 2}(m_1, m_2, d)$ denote the commutation matrix of size $m_1 m_2 d \times m_1 m_2 d$, changing the order in a Kronecker product of three vectors of dimension (m_1, m_2, d) (see the Appendix for details), such that the second and third places are interchanged. For example if $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$ are vectors of dimension m_1, m_2, d respectively then we have $\mathbf{K}_{3 \leftrightarrow 2}(m_1, m_2, d)$ as the matrix defined such that

$$\mathbf{K}_{3 \leftrightarrow 2}(m_1, m_2, d)(\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{a}_3) = \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_3 \otimes \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Property 1 (Chain Rule). *If $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\underline{\phi}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ and $\underline{\phi}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ then*

$$(4.4) \quad D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes}(\underline{\phi}_1 \otimes \underline{\phi}_2) = \mathbf{K}_{3 \leftrightarrow 2}^{-1}(m_1, m_2, d) \left((D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_1) \otimes \underline{\phi}_2 + \underline{\phi}_1 \otimes (D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_2) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{3 \leftrightarrow 2}(m_1, m_2, d)$ denotes the commutation matrix. This Chain Rule (4.4) can be extended to products of several functions. If $\underline{\phi}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ then

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \prod_{(1:M)}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_k = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{M+1 \rightarrow j}}^{-1}(m_{1:M}, d) \left[\prod_{(1:j-1)}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_k \otimes [D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_j(\underline{\lambda})] \otimes \prod_{(j+1:M)}^{\otimes} \underline{\phi}_k \right],$$

here the commutation matrix $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}_{M+1 \rightarrow j}}(m_{1:M}, d)$ permutes the vectors of dimension $(m_{1:M}, d)$, in the Kronecker product according to the permutation $\mathbf{p}_{M+1 \rightarrow j}$ of the integers $(1 : M + 1) = (1, 2, \dots, M + 1)$.

Consider the special case, $\underline{\phi}(\underline{\lambda}) = \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k}$. Differentiating according to the definition 1 gives

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes} \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k} &= \text{Vec} \left(\frac{\partial \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k}}{\partial \underline{\lambda}'} \right)' = \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_d} \right)' \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{K}_{j+1 \leftrightarrow k}(d_{[k]}) \right) (\underline{\lambda}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{[k]} = \underbrace{[d, d, \dots, d]}_k$.

Now suppose $\underline{\phi}(\underline{\lambda}) = \underline{x}'^{\otimes k} \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k}$ where the vector \underline{x} is a vector of constants. Now $\underline{\phi}$ is a scalar valued function. By using the Property 1 and after differentiating r times we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes r} \underline{x}'^{\otimes k} \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k} = k(k-1) \cdots (k-r+1) \left[(\underline{x}' \underline{\lambda})^{k-r} \underline{x}^{\otimes r} \right].$$

The reason for (4.6) is that the Kronecker product $\underline{x}'^{\otimes k}$ is invariant under the permutation of its component vectors \underline{x} , i.e.

$$\underline{x}'^{\otimes l} \mathbf{K}_{j+1 \rightarrow l}(d_{[l]}) = \underline{x}'^{\otimes l},$$

for any l and j , so that

$$\underline{x}'^{\otimes k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{K}_{j+1 \rightarrow k}(d_{[k]}) \right) = k \underline{x}'^{\otimes k},$$

and thus we obtain (4.6). In particular if $r = k$

$$D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes k} \underline{x}'^{\otimes k} \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes k} = k! \underline{x}^{\otimes k}.$$

4.3. Taylor series expansion of functions of several variables. Let $\phi(\underline{\lambda}) = \phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$ and assume ϕ is differentiable several times in each variable. Here our object is to expand $\phi(\underline{\lambda})$ in Taylor series, and the expression is given in terms of differential operators given above. We use this expansion later to define the characteristic function and the cumulant functions in terms of the differential operators. Let $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_{(1:d)} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)' \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It is well known that the Taylor series of $\phi(\underline{\lambda})$ is

$$(4.7) \quad \phi(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\underline{k}!} c(\underline{k}) \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}},$$

where the coefficients are

$$c(\underline{k}) = \left. \frac{\partial^{\Sigma \underline{k}} \phi(\underline{\lambda})}{\partial \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}}} \right|_{\underline{\lambda}=0},$$

here we used the notation

$$\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d), \quad \underline{k}! = k_1! k_2! \dots k_d!,$$

$$\underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}} = \prod_{j=1}^d \lambda_j^{k_j}, \quad \partial \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}} = \partial \lambda_1^{k_1} \partial \lambda_2^{k_2} \dots \partial \lambda_d^{k_d}.$$

The Taylor series (4.7) can be written in a more informative form for our purposes, namely,

$$\phi(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \underline{c}(m, d)' \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes m},$$

where $\underline{c}(m, d)$ is a column vector, which is the derivative (K -derivative) of the function ϕ given by

$$\underline{c}(m, d) = \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes m} \phi(\underline{\lambda}) \right) \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}=0}.$$

4.3.1. Taylor series in terms of differential operators. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\underline{\lambda}) &= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\underline{k}!} c(\underline{k}) \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d=0 \\ \Sigma k_j = m}}^m \frac{m!}{\underline{k}!} c(\underline{k}) \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

this can be re-written in the form

$$\psi(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \underline{c}(m, d)' \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes m},$$

where $\underline{c}(m, d)$ is a column vector

$$\underline{c}(m, d) = \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes m} \psi(\underline{\lambda}) \right) \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}=0},$$

with appropriate entries from the vectors $\{c(\underline{k}), \Sigma k_j = m\}$, the dimension of $\underline{c}(m, d)$ is same as $\underline{\lambda}^{\otimes m}$, i.e. d^m . To obtain the above expansion we proceed as follows. Let $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a real vector and consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{x}' \underline{\lambda})^m &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j \lambda_j \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d=0 \\ \Sigma k_j = m}}^m \frac{m!}{\underline{k}!} \underline{x}^{\underline{k}} \underline{\lambda}^{\underline{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

and we can also write

$$(\underline{x}'\underline{\lambda})^m = (\underline{x}'\underline{\lambda})^{\otimes m} = (\underline{x}^{\otimes m})' \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes m}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d=0 \\ \Sigma k_j = m}}^m \frac{m!}{k!} \underline{x}^k \underline{\lambda}^k = (\underline{x}^{\otimes m})' \underline{\lambda}^{\otimes m}.$$

The entries of the vector $\underline{c}(m, d)$ correspond to the operator $\frac{\partial^{\Sigma k}}{\partial \underline{\lambda}^k}$ having the same symmetry as \underline{x}^k , therefore if $\underline{x}^{\otimes m}$ is invariant under some permutation of its factors then $\underline{c}(m, d)$ is invariant as well. From Equation (4.6) we obtain that

$$\underline{c}(m, d) = \left(D_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\otimes m} \psi(\underline{\lambda}) \right) \Big|_{\underline{\lambda}=0}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, (1976). *The theory of partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2.
- [2] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, and D. R. Cox, (1989). *Asymptotic techniques for use in statistics*, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Chapman & Hall, London.
- [3] A. Bhattacharya, (1946) *On some analogues to the amount of information and their uses in statistical estimation*. Sankhya **8**, 1-14.
- [4] D. R. Brillinger, (2001). *Time Series; Data Analysis and Theory*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, Reprint of the 1981 edition.
- [5] D. R. Brillinger and M. Rosenblatt, (1967) Asymptotic Theory of k -th order spectra. *Spectral Analysis of Time Series*, ed. B. Harris, 153-188, New York, Wiley.
- [6] E. J. Hannan, (1970). *Multiple time series*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney.
- [7] V. P. Leonov, and A. N. Shiryaev, (1959) *On a method of calculation semi-invariants*. Theor. Prob. Appl. **4**, 319-329.
- [8] Yu. V. Linnik, (1970). *A note on Rao-Cramer and Bhattacharya inequalities*, Sankhya Ser. A, vol. 32, 449-452.
- [9] E. Lukacs, (1955). *Applications of Faa di Bruno's formula in statistics*. Am. Math. Monthly, **62**, 340-348.
- [10] K. V. Mardia, (1970). *Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications*, Biometrika **57**, 519-530.
- [11] P. McCullagh, (1987). *Tensor methods in statistics*, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Chapman & Hall, London.
- [12] P. McCullagh and D. R. Cox, (1986). *Invariants and likelihood ratio statistics*, Ann. Statist. **14**, no. 4, 1419-1430.
- [13] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker, (1999). *Matrix differential calculus with applications in statistics and econometrics*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, Revised reprint of the 1988 original.
- [14] Ib Skovgaard, (1986). *A note on the differentiation of cumulants of log likelihood derivatives*, Internat. Statist. Rev., **54**, 29-32.
- [15] T. P. Speed, (1990). *Invariant moments and cumulants*, Coding theory and design theory, Part II, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 21, 319-335, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [16] T. Subba Rao and W. K. Wong, (1999). *Some Contributions to Multivariate Nonlinear Time Series and to Bilinear Models*, Asymptotics, Nonparametrics and Time Series, ed. Ghosh, S., 1-42, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- [17] M. Taniguchi, (1991). *Higher order asymptotic theory for time series analysis*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [18] Gy. Terdik, (1999). *Bilinear Stochastic Models and Related Problems of Nonlinear Time Series Analysis; A Frequency Domain Approach*, vol. 142 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [19] Gy. Terdik, (2002). *Higher order statistics and multivariate vector Hermite polynomials for nonlinear analysis of multidimensional time series*, Teor. Ver. Matem. Stat.(Teor. Imovirnost. ta Matem. Statyst.) **66**, 147-168.
- [20] W. K. Wong, (1997). *Frequency domain tests of multivariate Gaussianity and linearity*, J. Time Ser. Anal., 18(2):181-194.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND APPLIED PROBABILITY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106-3110,
USA

E-mail address: `rao@pstat.ucsb.edu`

URL: `http://www.pstat.ucsb.edu/faculty/jammalam/`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, PO Box 88, MANCHESTER M60 1QD, UK

E-mail address: `Tata.SubbaRao@manchester.ac.uk`

FACULTY OF INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, 4010 DEBRECEN, Pf.12, HU

E-mail address: `terdik@delfin.unideb.hu`

URL: `http://dragon.unideb.hu/~terdik/`