



## **IS486 - Case Study Lab Assignment #2**

**Abdulhadi Abdullah al-harbi**

**4002664**

**M1**

## **1. Why was Iris justified in determining who the owner of the CD was?**

Iris is justified in determining who the owner of the CD was because, at first, she only wanted to return the CD to its owner. Iris noticed the text file, and it was immediately apparent that someone was attempting to sell important company data to third parties. She was aware that she was adhering to ethical standards and to the organization's protocol. She intended to determine the owner of the CD (Henry, who was trying to sell the data) and report it to the company's security hotline. Because she felt that there was an irresponsible person who was leaking important information about the company to another party, this is unethical behavior.

## **2. Should Iris have approached Henry directly, or was the hotline the most effective way to take action? Why do you think so?**

What Iris did was the right way to deal with this situation. Because it does not understand how to fix this situation and deal with this sensitive data and what the expected penalties for these crimes are, unlike the hotline and the director of human resources and Gladys Williams

If she try to contact Henry directly, it may hurt her and he may say she did it or take the CD from her by force, and then no one will believe her without evidence. If I'm in Iris' situation, I'll do what she did

**3. Should Iris have placed the CD back at the coffee station and forgotten the whole thing? Explain why that action would have been ethical or unethical.?**

Although what Iris did is immoral because she took someone else's CD and saw the information inside, even though she doesn't have the authority to see it, she must face the consequences of what she did.

Also, she couldn't just return the CD to the coffee station because it looked at the existing files and knew that they were files containing sensitive information. If she returns the CD, it may fall into the hands of an irresponsible person and extort the company to obtain money in exchange for the CD, or to publish the information inside

What Iris and Henry did is a violation of privacy law. And they should be punished for enforcing the laws and maintaining the security of the company. We should also educate other employees on what they should do when they are exposed to a similar situation to keep customer information confidential and to do it in the right way.