## **Random Forest Model Analysis Report**

#### 1. Introduction

A **Random Forest** is a powerful machine learning model that combines multiple decision trees to make more accurate predictions. Instead of relying on a single tree, it builds many trees and averages their results, reducing errors and improving reliability. This report explains how different Random Forest settings performed and which works best for the given dataset.

### 2. Methodology

### 2.1 How Random Forest Works

- Builds many decision trees (called "estimators").
- Each tree trains on a random subset of data (bootstrapping).
- Splits nodes using a random subset of features (reduces overfitting).
- Final prediction = average (regression) or majority vote (classification) of all trees.

## 2.2 Steps Taken

## **Step 1: Data Preprocessing**

- Checked for missing values (ensured clean data).
- Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA): Studied feature relationships.
- Encoded categorical data (converted text to numbers).
- Split data:
  - o 70% Training set (to train the model).
  - o 30% Testing set (to evaluate performance).

#### **Step 2: Model Training**

- Tested different hyperparameters:
  - o n\_estimators (number of trees).
  - o max depth (how deep trees can grow).
  - o max features (how many features to consider per split).
  - o min samples split (minimum samples needed to split a node).

## **Step 3: Performance Evaluation**

## Measured using:

- Accuracy (how often predictions are correct).
- Feature importance (which features matter most).

## 3. Model Comparison & Results

| Model Name   | <b>Key Settings</b> | Accuracy | Performance                                  |
|--|---------------------|----------|--|
| Default Configuration                              | No tuning           | 0.9403   | Best model (works perfectly out of the box). |
| Increased Max Features                             | max_features="auto" | 0.9161   | Good but slightly worse than default.        |
| <b>Bootstrapping Disabled</b>                      | bootstrap=False     | 0.8075   | Much worse (bootstrapping is essential).     |
| Increased Depth (max_depth=20)                     | Deep trees          | 0.8030   | Overfitting risk (too complex).              |
| More Trees (n_estimators=200)                      | Extra trees         | 0.7991   | No improvement (default trees are enough).   |
| Regularization (max_depth=10, min_samples_split=5) | Limits tree growth  | 0.7653   | Too restrictive (hurts performance).         |

# **Key Findings:**

- 1. **Default Random Forest works best (94.03% accuracy)** → No tuning needed.
- 2. Increasing max\_features helps slightly (91.61%) but not better than default.
- 3. Disabling bootstrapping or making trees too deep/complex reduces performance  $\rightarrow$  Simpler is better here.
- 4. Adding more trees (n\_estimators) doesn't help → Default (100 trees) is sufficient.

## 4. Recommendations

### **Best Model Choice:**

Use Default Random Forest (highest accuracy, no extra tuning needed).

## If You Want to Experiment:

Try adjusting max features (e.g., "auto", "sqrt") to see if accuracy improves.

## **Avoid These Settings:**

- **Don't disable bootstrapping** (bootstrap=False) → Makes the model worse.
- **Don't increase depth** (max depth=20)  $\rightarrow$  Leads to overfitting.
- **Don't add unnecessary trees (**n estimators=200) → Wastes time, no benefit.

# **General Tips:**

- ✓ Check feature importance → Helps identify key predictors.
- **✓** Use cross-validation  $\rightarrow$  Ensures stable results.
- ✓ Stick with defaults first → Only tune if needed.

### 5. Conclusion

- Best Model: Default Random Forest (94.03% accuracy) → Works perfectly without tuning.
- Small tweaks to max\_features may help, but default is already excellent.
- Avoid overcomplicating (deep trees, extra estimators) → Hurts performance.

Final Decision: Use the Default Random Forest for the best results.