

Git

Linux Kernel Community:-

→ global group of developers, enthusiasts who collaborate to develop and improve the Linux kernel.

→ relies on a distributed, collaborative model.

Process:-

Dev submit code change (patches) $\xrightarrow[\text{emails}]{\text{via}}$ Subsystem maintainers.

→ This process needs a robust version

control system.

↓
Linus

Torvalds

(for final inclusion)

BitKeeper:-

→ proprietary (for commercial use), distributed version control system (DVCS).

→ developed by BitMover Inc.

→ designed to manage large, distributed software development projects efficiently.

→ Better than CVS and Subversion

How Linux uses this:-

Linus Torvalds adapted BitKeeper in 2002 for

Linux Kernel Development. BitMover provided a free of charge license for the open source community to use it.

The conflict and the breakup

① License restriction:-

developers using the tool for open source projects were not allowed to ~~do contribution~~ on linux kernel development or to work on competing other VCS. i.e. do not use other shovel or not design any other shovel (VCS).

② Reverse engg Trigger:-

In 2005 Andrew Tridgell ~~the~~ reverse engg-ed the BitKeeper network protocols to create a functional open source client for it.

As there is a clear violation, BitMover CEO revoked the free license for Linux kernel dev community.

③ The Aftermath:-

→ Results in sudden and unexpected loss of their primary VCS.

→ Linus decided to write his own distribute, fast, open-source VCS.

→ Result was git.

Subversion:- Centralized VCS.

- single central repo stores all versions of project files.
- ⇒ Dependent on server to commit changes.

How it works:-

→ Devs "check out" or get a copy of specific files they need from the central server to local machine.



Then they work on those files → To share changes with team.



They must commit their changes back to the main server.

Example

In Library - ~~the~~ a book is taken. and write some notes (changes) on it and then return only the official copy for others to see your changes. While the book is checked out, no one can modify the specific part.

Drawbacks:-

⇒ Single point of failure

⇒ Req internet connection.

⇒ Slower operations.

Eg:- Subversion (SVN) and CVS.

Distributed VCS:-

- Every developer has a complete copy (or clone) of the entire project's repo.
- including its full ~~repository~~ history on their ~~single~~ local machine.
- There is no single master resource.

How it works:-

Devs clone → They work and commit to local repo first



To share changes.
push the local commits to Github.

Analogy:-

- A group of people has their own identical copy of entire book.
- They can make (changes) notes in their book anytime anywhere.
- When they meet up, they can swap notes (patches) and merge their changes.

Eg: Git, Mercurial.