# MATB43: Introduction to Analysis Lecture Notes

## Joshua Concon

University of Toronto Scarborough – Winter 2018

Pre-reqs are MATA37. Instructor is John Scherk. If you find any problems in these notes, feel free to contact me at conconjoshua@gmail.com.

## Contents

1	Fric	lay, January 5, 2018	4
	1.1	Countability	4
	1.2	Real Numbers	7
	1.3	Algebraic Numbers	7
	1.4	Bounds	7
<b>2</b>	Mo	nday, January 8, 2018	0
		Sequences - Review	0
3	Fric	lay, January 12, 2018	2
	3.1	Monotone Sequences	2
	3.2	Subsequences	3
4	Mo	nday, January 15, 2018	7
	4.1	Cauchy	7
	4.2	Series	7
		4.2.1 Convergence Tests	

5		ay, January 19, 2018				20	
	0.1	Series (continued)					
	5.2	5.1.1 Convergence Tests					
6	Mor	nday, January 22, 2018				24	4
	6.1	Alternating continued				. 24	4
	6.2	Rearrangement				. 24	4
7	Mor	nday, January 29, 2018				26	6
	7.1	Rearrangement (continued)					6
	7.2	Power Series				. 2'	7
8	Frid	ay, February 2, 2018				29	9
	8.1	Pointwise and Uniform Convergence				. 29	9
	8.2	Integrals				. 3	1
9	Mor	nday, February 5, 2018				33	3
	9.1	Convergence				. 33	3
10	Frid	ay, February 9, 2018				3	5
		Power Series				. 3	5
11	Mor	nday, February 12, 2018				39	9
		Power Series (cont'd)				. 39	9
12	Mor	nday, February 26, 2018				42	2
		The state of the s				. 45	2
		Exponential Function		•	 •	4.	9
		12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function .				. 42	_
		12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm				. 42	2
	12.2	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 43	3
	12.2	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 43	3
13	12.2 12.3	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 43	2 3 4
13	12.2 12.3 <b>Frid</b>	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 43	2 3 4
	12.2 12.3 <b>Frid</b> 13.1	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 45 . 45 . 44	2 3 4 6
	12.2 12.3 <b>Frid</b> 13.1 <b>Mor</b>	12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function . Logarithm	 		 	 . 44 . 44 . 44 . 40	2 3 4 6 8

<b>15</b>	Friday, March 9, 2018	<b>50</b>
	15.1 Boundary Points	50
16	Monday, March 12, 2018	51
	16.1 Topology of the real numbers	51
<b>17</b>	Friday, March 16, 2018	53
	17.1 Compact Sets	53
	17.2 Cantor Set	54
	17.2.1 Description of Points in $S$	54
18	Monday, March 19, 2018	<b>56</b>
	18.1 Topology of real numbers	56
	18.2 More on the exponential function	
19	April 2, 2018	<b>58</b>
	19.1 Uniform Continuity	58
	19.2 The limit of the Supremum and Infimum	
20	Friday, April 6, 2018	60
	20.1 the Limit of the Supremum and the Limit of the Infimum	60
	20.2 Root Test Revisited	61
	20.3 Radius of convergent power series	

## 1 Friday, January 5, 2018

### 1.1 Countability

Countability is all about the cardinality of sets

**Definition 1.1.** For sets X, Y, they have the same cardinality if there exists a bijection between them  $(f: X \mapsto Y \text{ has an inverse as well})$ . Equality of cardinality of 2 sets is written as card(X) = card(Y)

**Example 1.2.**  $card(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}) = card(\{a, b, c, d, e\})$ 

Example 1.3.  $card(\mathbb{N}) = card(2\mathbb{N})$ 

This example is correct because  $f: \mathbb{N} \mapsto 2\mathbb{N}, f(n) = 2n$  is a bijection.

**Example 1.4.**  $card(\{\text{set of odd numbers}\}) = card(\mathbb{N})$ 

This example is correct because  $g : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \{\text{set of odd numbers}\}\$  $g(n) = 2n + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

**Definition 1.5.** X is **finite** if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a bijection where  $A = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$   $f: A \mapsto X$ . So essentially card(A) = card(X)

Intuitively, we can label the elements of X as  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ 

**Definition 1.6.** X is **inifinite** if X is not finite.

Examples of this include:  $\mathbb{N}, 2\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ 

However, not all infinite sets have the same cardinality.

**Definition 1.7.** X is **countable** if card(X) = card(A) where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 

Again intuitively, we can label the elements of X as  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...\}$  (using elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ )

Example 1.8.  $2\mathbb{N}$ 

Example 1.9. The set of odd numbers

Example 1.10.  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

**Theorem 1.11.** In general, if  $Z = X \cup Y$  and Y, X are both countable then Z is countable as well

*Proof.* label elements of  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...\}$  label elements of  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n, ...\}$  define  $h : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$  as, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$h(2n-1) = x_n$$
$$h(2n) = y_n$$

Then h is a bijection, therefore Z is also countable

#### Example 1.12. $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

This example is countable as we can label its elements in the following pattern.

$$(1,1) \mapsto 1$$
$$(2,1) \mapsto 2$$
$$(1,2) \mapsto 3$$
$$(1,3) \mapsto 4$$
$$(2,2) \mapsto 5$$
$$(3,1) \mapsto 6$$
$$(4,1) \mapsto 7$$

And so on, in this pattern. Intuitively, if you list out all the pairs of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  like a matrix, this would create a sort of zig zag pattern.

**Proposition 1.13.** Suppose X is countable and  $Y \subset X$ , then Y is either finite (which also means that it is countable) or just countable

```
Proof. write X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...\} let j_1 be the smallest index such that x_{j_1} \in Y let j_2 be the smallest index such that x_{j_2} \in Y, j_2 > j_1 let j_3 be the smallest index such that x_{j_3} \in Y, j_3 > j_2 ... and so on.
```

Now either:

This process terminates, which means that  $Y = \{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_n}\}$  for some

 $j_1, ..., j_n$  which Y is finite.

or:

**Y** is countable, since 
$$Y = \{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, ..., x_{j_n}, ...\}$$

**Proposition 1.14.**  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^- \cup \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Q}^+$  so we must check that  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is countable, note that

$$\mathbb{Q}^+ = \{ \frac{m}{n} | m, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0 \}$$

Since it is a pairing of two natural numbers (m, n), this is a subset of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , which means that  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is countable, we can say that same thing for  $\mathbb{Q}^-$  as well, note that it is

$$\mathbb{Q}^+ = \{ \frac{-m}{n} | m, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0 \}$$

and since  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^-$  are both countable and  $\{0\}$  is a set of cardinality 1, therefore  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable

**Theorem 1.15.** Let  $S = \{s = (s_1, s_2, ...) | s_j = 0 \text{ or } 1 \forall j \}$  (note here that s is a sequence). Then S is not countable

*Proof.* For proof by contradiction, suppose S is countable. We can then label the elements of S as  $S^1, S^2, ..., S^n, ... \in S$ .

So an example of this would be:

$$S^{1} = (s_{1}^{1}, s_{2}^{1}, s_{3}^{1}, ..., s_{n}^{1}, ...)$$

$$S^{2} = (s_{1}^{2}, s_{2}^{2}, s_{3}^{2}, ..., s_{n}^{2}, ...)$$

$$...$$

$$S^{m} = (s_{1}^{m}, s_{2}^{m}, s_{3}^{m}, ..., s_{n}^{m}, ...)$$

And we will define a  $t \in S$  as follows, let  $t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_m, ...)$ 

$$t_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S_1^1 = 1\\ 1, & \text{if } S_1^1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

1 FRIDAY, JANUARY 5, 2018

$$t_2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S_2^2 = 1\\ 1, & \text{if } S_2^2 = 0\\ & \dots \end{cases}$$

$$t_m = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S_m^m = 1\\ 1, & \text{if } S_m^m = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $t \neq S^m, \forall m$ . Therefore this is a contradiction as t was not in the listed elements of S, therefore S is not countable.

### Corollary 1.16. $\mathbb{R}$ is not countable

*Proof.* Regard  $\mathbb{R}$  as a set of infinite decimal fractions.

Identify  $s \in S$  with the decimal number  $0.s_1s_2...s_n...$  so S can be regarded as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mathbb{R}$  were countable, then S would be countable, therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  must not be countable.

### 1.2 Real Numbers

Examples of real numbers that are not rational include:  $\pi, e$  (which are algebraic numbers),  $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$  (which are roots of polynomial equations with integer coefficients.)

## 1.3 Algebraic Numbers

The set of Algebraic Numbers is a set  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} | x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0 \text{ for some } a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and in fact,  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is countable.

if  $x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  then. x is transcendental.

#### 1.4 Bounds

**Definition 1.17.**  $X \subset \mathbb{R}, X$  is **bounded above** if  $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \geq x, \forall x \in X$ 

**Definition 1.18.**  $X \subset \mathbb{R}, X$  is **bounded below** if  $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \leq x, \forall x \in X$ 

**Example 1.19.**  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x^2 \le 2\}$  is bounded above by  $\frac{3}{2}$  since  $(\frac{3}{2})^2 \ge 2$ 

**Definition 1.20.** a is the **least upper bound** of X if a is an upper bound of X and if b < a, then there exists  $x \in X$  such that x > b.

We write a = lub(X) or a = sup(X) if a is the least upper bound of X

**Definition 1.21.** a is the **greatest lower bound** of X if a is an lower bound of X and if b > a, then there exists  $x \in X$  such that x < b.

**Example 1.22.** if  $X = \{x | x^2 \leq 2\}$ , then  $sup(X) = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ 

**Property 1.23.** if  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above then there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , a least upper bound of X

**Theorem 1.24.** given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  a, b > 0  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that na > b (This is known as the **archemedian property**)

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $na \leq b$  implies that b is an upper bound for  $X = \{na | n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

Since X is bounded above, let  $c = \sup(X)$ , this implies that c - a is not an upper bound for X, which further implies that there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that na > c - a, and that

$$na > c - a$$

$$na + a > c$$

$$(n+1)a > c$$

$$c < (n+1)a \in X$$

This is impossible since we've previously stated that c is the upper bound. Therefore X is not bounded above.

**Theorem 1.25.** given  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $q = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that c < q < d

*Proof.* We want  $c < \frac{m}{n} < d$  iff nc < m < nd.

let  $\epsilon = d - c > 0$ 

pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ 

pick m, such that m > nc

(since we also need m < nd, choose m to be as small as possible such that  $m-1 \le nc < m$ )

$$\frac{m-1 \le nc \le m}{n-1} = \frac{m-1}{n} \le c \le \frac{m}{n}$$

or

$$\frac{m}{n} \le c + \frac{1}{n} < c + \epsilon = d$$

So now we have  $c < \frac{m}{n} < d$  as desired.

## 2 Monday, January 8, 2018

### 2.1 Sequences - Review

This section will be just review of MATA37 and will be concerning sequences of the real numbers  $\{a_n\}$ .

Example 2.1.  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}=1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},...,$ 

**Example 2.2.**  $\left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\} = -1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{3}, \dots$ , This sequence oscillates back and forth.

**Example 2.3.**  $\{(-1)^n\} = -1, 1, -1, 1, ...,$  This sequence oscillates back and forth aswell.

**Example 2.4.**  $\{x_1, x_2, ...\}$  where this sequence enumerates  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This sequence 'bounces around wildy'.

**Definition 2.5.**  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **limit of a sequence**  $\{x_n\}$  (so that in converges to this number)

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ , if given some tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$ .

There exists N, such that  $n \geq N$  will lie in the interval  $a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon$ , aka  $|x_n - a| < \epsilon$ .

Example 2.6.  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}=0$ 

**Example 2.7.**  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 0$ 

Example 2.8. example 2.4 and 2.3 both have no limit

**Proposition 2.9.** If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a limit, then this limit is unique, and the sequence is bounded.

**Proposition 2.10.** Supposed that  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  are convergent sequences, so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = b$  then:

- 1.  $\{x_n + y_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_n + y_n) = a + b$
- 2.  $\{x_ny_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_ny_n)=ab$
- 3. if  $y_n \neq 0, \forall n$ , and  $b \neq 0$  then  $\{\frac{x_n}{y_n}\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{x_n}{y_n}) = \frac{a}{b}$

**Definition 2.11.** A sequence is **monotone** if it is either increasing, or decreasing.

Proposition 2.12. A bounded monotone sequence converges.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded increasing (monotone) sequence, such that

$$x_1 \le x_2 \le x_3 \le \dots$$

And there exists  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_n \leq A, \forall n$ Therefore, there exists a least upper bound  $a \leq A$ .

<u>claim:</u>  $x_n \to a \text{ as } n \to \infty$ 

take  $\epsilon > 0$ , since a is the least upper bound of  $\{x_n\}$ , there exists N such that  $a - \epsilon < x_N \le a$ . But  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing. Therefore  $\forall n \ge N, a - \epsilon < x_N \le x_n \le a$ . This implies that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a$ 

#### 3 Friday, January 12, 2018

#### 3.1Monotone Sequences

i.e. Sequences which are increasing or decreasing

**Proposition 3.1.** A bounded monotone sequence converges.

*Proof.* For an increasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \sup(\{x_n\})$ , suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing and bounded below, then  $\{-x_n\}$  is increasing and bounded above. This implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} -x_n$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$  both exist, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} -x_n$$

So now we're going to prove that Every sequence has a monotone subsequence along with other propositions on bounded monotone sequences. This will allow us to prove the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, which states that every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence, and this will help us prove the Cauchy property for sequences.

**Example 3.2.** Lets look at the following sequence:

$$x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n, n \ge 1$$

So we want to show that this converges, we'll show that if we show that  $\{x_n\}$ is decreasing and is bounded below by 0.

The limit  $(\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n)$  is actually a mysterious number that we don't know too much about.

Recall: that  $log n = \int_1^n \frac{dt}{t}$ So if we consider the space under the graph of  $\frac{1}{t}$  from n to n+1, we get the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{n+1} < log(n+1) - logn < \frac{1}{n}$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} - \log(n+1) + \log n < 0$$

Now consider the following for an arbitrary n

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + (\frac{1}{n+1} + logn - log(n+1))$$

but since  $\frac{1}{n+1} - \log(n+1) + \log n < 0$ , this would mean that  $x_{n+1} < x_n$ , so this sequence is decreasing.

Now consider the following inequality derived from the recall block:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (log(n+1) - logn) < \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n}$$

The left side of this inequality telescopes, giving us

$$log(m) < log(m+1) < 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m}$$

And since the left most side is greater than the right most side, that means that  $x_m > 0, \forall m$ .

## 3.2 Subsequences

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence of the real numbers, pick a finite set of indices  $j_1 < j_2 < ... < j_n < ....$ 

A Subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  is  $\{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, ..., x_{j_n}, ...\}$ 

**Example 3.4.** Considering the sequence  $\{x_n\} = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ..., \frac{1}{n}, ...\}$ 

- 1.  $\{\frac{1}{2n}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ .
- 2.  $\{\frac{1}{2^n}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ .
- 3.  $\{(-1)^n\}$  is also a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , note that it does not converge, but has convergent subsequences of  $\{(-1)^{2n}\}$  and  $\{(-1)^{2n+1}\}$

**Definition 3.5.** Call a term  $x_m$  dominant if  $x_n \leq x_m, \forall n \geq m$ 

**Proposition 3.6.** Every real number sequence has a monotone subsequence

*Proof.* There are 2 cases:

Case 1: infinitely many dominant terms

let  $\{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, ..., x_{j_n}, ...\}$  be the sequence of dominant terms. By definition,  $x_{j_1} \ge x_{j_2} \ge ... \ge x_{j_n} \ge ...$ 

so  $\{x_{j_n}\}$  is a decreasing sequence, which is monotone.

#### Case 2: only finitely many dominant terms

Pick an index j, so that  $x_{j_1}$  is the first term beyond all dominant terms in the sequence  $(\exists i, i < j_1, x_i)$  is the last dominant term.

Since  $x_{j_1}$  is not dominant, then  $\exists j_2 > j_1$  where  $x_{j_2} > x_{j_1}$ 

Since  $x_{j_2}$  is not dominant, then  $\exists j_3 > j_2$  where  $x_{j_3} > x_{j_2}$ 

. . .

and so on

By induction, we construct an increasing subsequence  $\{x_{j_m}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ .

By these 2 cases, every real number has a monotone sequence.

**Theorem 3.7.** (Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem) every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence

*Proof.* Given a bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of the real numbers, there exists a monotone subsequence  $\{x_{j_n}\}$ .

The monotone subsequence  $\{x_{j_n}\}$  is also bounded since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. This implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{j_n}$  exists.

**Definition 3.8. Cauchy Property:** intuitively, in a convergent sequence, the terms get closer and closer as  $n \to \infty$ . More precisely:  $\{x_n\}$  is a real number sequence, given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  such that for m, n > N,  $|x_m - x_m| = 0$ 

 $\{x_n\}$  is a real number sequence, given  $\epsilon > 0, \exists N$  such that for  $m, n > N, |x_m - x_n| < \epsilon$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a, then  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies the Cauchy property.

*Proof.* given  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\exists N$  such that  $\forall n > N, |x_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , so if m > N then  $|x_m - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  as well.

This implies that

$$|x_m - x_n| = |x_m - a + a - x_n| \le |x_m - a| + |x_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

So now we want to show that if a sequence satisfies the Cauchy property, then it converges. We'll do this by first showing that a bounded sequence with the Cauchy property implies that sequence has a convergent subsequence. The second and final step is to show that the limit of a convergent subsequence is the limit of the original sequence.

**Proposition 3.10.** If  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies the Cauchy property, then it's bounded.

*Proof.* By definition, there exists N so that for  $m, n > N, |x_m - x_n| < 1$  in particular,  $\forall n > N, |x_n - x_{N+1}| < 1$ , which gives us:

$$-1 < x_n - x_{N+1} < 1$$
$$x_{N+1} - 1 < x_n < x_{N+1} + 1$$

Note:  $x_{N+1}$  is fixed (a constant)

Let  $A = min\{x_1, ..., x_N, x_{N+1} - 1\}$ Let  $B = max\{x_1, ..., x_N, x_{N+1} - 1\}$ 

This implies that for all  $n, A \leq x_n \leq B$ , therefore Bounded.

**Proposition 3.11.** A sequence with the Cauchy property is convergent.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence with the Cauchy property. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, by the Bolzano–Weiestrass Theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{j_m}\}$ . Now let:

$$a = \lim_{m \to \infty} x_{j_m}$$

given  $\epsilon, \exists M$  so that for all  $m > M, |x_{j_m} - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Note that  $j_m \geq m$ 

The Cauchy propert implies that  $\exists N$  so that for all  $m, n > N, |x_m - x_n| < \epsilon$ .

We'll pick P = max(N, M), then for m, n > P we have:

$$|x_n - a| = |x_n + x_{j_m} - x_{j_m} - a|$$

$$= |x_n - x_{j_m}| + |x_{j_m} - a|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

#### Example 3.12.

 $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$ 

Verify the Cauchy property.

Take  $m > n, x_m - x_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k^2}$ . Now  $\frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k}$ . This implies that

$$\implies \sum_{k-n+1}^{m} \frac{1}{k^2} < \sum_{k-n+1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k}\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{telescoping}}{\Longrightarrow} \sum_{k-n+1}^{m} \frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\implies (x_m - x_n) < \frac{1}{m}$$

This implies that  $x_n$  is convergent, in fact

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

## 4 Monday, January 15, 2018

## 4.1 Cauchy

**Remark.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for  $m, n > N, |x_m - x_n| < \epsilon$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  converges then  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies the cauchy property.

The converse also holds. So if  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies the cauchy property, then it converges. But why? It holds because:

- 1.  $\{x_n\}$  must be bounded
- 2. Therefore it has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{j_m}\}$ , assume  $\{x_{j_m}\}$  converges to a.
- 3. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a as  $n \to \infty$

#### 4.2 Series

This section will be focused on

Definition 4.1.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$$

which is an **infinite sum** of real numbers

**Definition 4.2.** The following is a partial sum:

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$$

**Definition 4.3.** The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n$  exists. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = a$ , then we write  $a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  as the **Sum of the series**. However, if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n$  does not exist, then the series diverges.

**Example 4.4.** The geometric series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$  converges if |a| < 1 and diverges otherwise. If the series does converge, it converges to:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = \frac{1}{1-n}$$

**Property 4.5.** If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$  both converge, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)$$

converges as well.

**Property 4.6.**  $\forall c$ , if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges, then

$$c\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(a_n)$$

Also converges

**Definition 4.7.** The Cauchy Criterion states that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges iff  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ such that } m > n > N$ 

$$|S_m - S_n| = |\sum_{k=n+1}^m a_k| < \epsilon$$

**Example 4.8.** Apply Cauchy Criterion to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ 

To prove they converge, we first need the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.9.** Suppose  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges, then  $a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ 

*Proof.* (Using the Cauchy Criterion)

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for m > n > N,  $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$ , take m = n + 1, this implies that  $|a_{n+1}| < \epsilon$  for all n > N, this then implies that  $a_n \to 0$ 

**Proposition 4.10.** Suppose  $a_n \geq 0$  then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges iff  $\{S_n\}$  is bounded above.

*Proof.* Since  $a_n \geq 0, \forall n$ , then  $\{S_n\}$  is increasing. Therefore  $\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N$  exists iff  $\{S_n\}$  is bounded above.

#### Example 4.11.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}, S_N = 1 + \dots + \frac{1}{N} > log(N), log(N) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

#### 4.2.1 Convergence Tests

**Definition 4.12.** The Integral Test: let f be a function defined for  $x \geq 1$  and is integrable. Let  $a_n = f(n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges iff

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x)dx = \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{1}^{y} f(x)dx$$

exists

**Example 4.13.** Consider  $f(x) = x^a, a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq -1$ 

$$\int_{1}^{y} x^{a} dx = \frac{x^{a+1}}{a+1} \Big|_{1}^{y} = \frac{x^{y+1}}{y+1} - \frac{1}{a+1}$$

So now we know that  $\int_1^y x^a dx$  exists iff a < -1, so equivalently:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^a} \text{ converges iff } a > 1$$

## 5 Friday, January 19, 2018

## 5.1 Series (continued)

### 5.1.1 Convergence Tests

**Definition 5.1.** The **Comparison Test** is: Given a series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges and  $a_k \geq 0$ . A series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  converges if  $|b_k| \leq a_k, \forall k$ 

**Definition 5.2.** The Ratio Test is given a series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ , consider

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right| = r$$

if r = 1, the test is inconclusive, if r > 1 the series diverges, if ifr < 1 the series converges.

**Example 5.3.** Consider  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^k}{k!}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  So we will apply to the ratio test to this:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{(c^{k+1}/(k+1)!)}{(c^k/k!)} \right| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{c^{k+1}k!}{c^k(k+1)!} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{c}{k+1}$$
$$=$$

Therefore this series converges

Note.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^k}{k!} = e^c$$

Series that contain both positive and negative terms can behave strangely

Example 5.4.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = \log(2)$$

**Definition 5.5.**  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges absolutely if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges

**Definition 5.6.**  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges conditionally if it converges but does not converge absolutely

**Example 5.7.**  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^k}{k!}$  converges absolutely

**Example 5.8.**  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k}$  converges conditionally since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ 

Series that converge absolutely act like finite sums, you can rearrange the order of their terms and get the same sum, but this is not the case for conditional convergent series.

**Proposition 5.9.** If a series converges absolutely, then it converges.

*Proof.* Use the Cauchy Criterion. Given  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges absolutely, suppose  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have the following inequality because of the triangle inequality

$$|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} a_k| \le |\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} (|a_k|)|$$

now  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges, therefore, this satisfies the Cauchy Criterion, and so

$$\exists N | \forall m, n > N, \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |a_k| < \epsilon \implies |\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$$

Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  satisfies Cauchy Criterion and converges

**Definition 5.10.** Given  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  as a series of positive and negative terms, let:

$$a_k^+ = \begin{cases} a_k, & \text{if } a_k \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } a_k < 0 \end{cases}$$
$$a_k^- = \begin{cases} a_k, & \text{if } a_k \le 0\\ 0, & \text{if } a_k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Note.  $a_k = a_k^+ + a_k^-, |a_k| = a_k^+ - a_k^-, \forall k$ 

**Proposition 5.11.**  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges absolutely iff  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$  both converge

*Proof.* So if we know that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$$

5 FRIDAY, JANUARY 19, 2018

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$$

This implies the following 2 equations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+$$

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$$

And we know that these statements only hold true of they all converge.

**Proposition 5.12.** if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges conditionally then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$  both diverge.

*Proof.* Suppose only one of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$  diverges, this implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  diverge.

So conditional convergence must imply that both  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$  diverge since we need  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  to diverge, but  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  to converge. We get this from looking at the following equations from the previous proof:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^+ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^-$$

**Remark.** Suppose  $a_k \geq 0, \forall k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \text{ converges, then since } a_k \geq 0, |a_k| = a_k \text{ so the series converges absolutely.}$ 

Now if we change the signs of the terms arbitrarily, the new series still converges.

### 5.2 Alternating Series

**Definition 5.13.** Suppose  $a_k \ge a_{k+1} \ge 0, \forall k \ge 1$  then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} a_k$$

converges, this is an example of an Alternating Series

Example 5.14.  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k}$ 

Definition 5.15.

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} a_k$$

### Is the Partial Sum of an Alternating Series

Partial Sums of alternating series also have the following properties:

Property 5.16.  $S_2 \leq S_4 \leq ... \leq S_{2k}$ 

*Proof.* We know that  $S_{2k+2} = S_{2k} + (a_{2k+1} - a_{2k+2})$  and that  $a_{2k+1} \ge a_{2k+2}$ , this implies that  $a_{2k+1} - a_{2k+2} \ge 0$ , therefore  $S_{2k+2} \ge S_{2k}$ 

Property 5.17.  $S_1 \geq S_3 \geq ... \geq S_{2k+1}$ 

*Proof.* We know that  $S_{2k+1} = S_{2k-1} + (-a_{2k} + a_{2k+1})$  and that  $a_{2k} \ge a_{2k+1}$ , this implies that  $-a_{2k} + a_{2k+1} \le 0$ , therefore  $S_{2k+1} \ge S_{2k-1}$ 

**Property 5.18.** for an even l and an odd m,  $S_l \leq S_m$ 

*Proof.* if  $l=2k, m=2j+1, k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Pick i such that  $i \geq j, k$  so that  $2i \geq 2k = l$  and  $2i+1 \geq 2j+1 = m$ . This implies that  $S_{2i} \geq S_l, S_{2i+1} \leq S_m$ . So now

$$S_{2i+1} = S_{2i} + a_{2i+1}$$

But since  $a_{2i+1} \geq 0$ , this implies that  $S_{2i+1 \geq S_{2i}}$ 

And now, we'll prove that alternating series where  $a_k$  decreases converges:

*Proof.* Now  $S_2, S_4, ..., S_{2k}, ...$  forms an increasing sequence, bounded above by all  $S_{2k+1}$ .

Let  $a = \sup_{k} (\{S_{2k}\})$ , which implies that  $a \leq S_{2k+1}$ 

Similarly,  $S_1, S_3, ..., S_{2k+1}$  forms a decreasing sequence, bounded below by all  $S_{2k}$ , a is also a lower bound of  $\{S_{2k+1}\}$ . Let  $b = \inf\{\{S_{2k+1}\}\}$ 

## 6 Monday, January 22, 2018

### 6.1 Alternating continued

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \text{ converges absolutely if } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \text{ converges}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$
 converges conditionally if it converges but not absolutely

**Example 6.1.** The alternating harmonic series converges, but not absolutely.

### 6.2 Rearrangement

Because of this, we can rearrange the terms in the series to get it to converge to any number we want.

**Example 6.2.** For the alternating harmonic series, we separate all the terms into 2 groups, those that are positive and those that are negative, and we can add them in a way that makes the series converge to, let's say, 2.

For example, we can add up all the positive terms until we get to  $\frac{1}{15}$ , where adding  $\frac{1}{15}$  brings the sum over 2 and not adding  $\frac{1}{15}$  keeps the sum below 2, so we set  $S_1$  to be all the decreasing positive terms until  $\frac{1}{15}$ , and then we will add increasing negative terms until the sum is below 2 to get  $T_1$ , and we add decreasing terms until the sum is above 2 and so on and so forth. This makes  $S_{k+1} < S_k, \forall k, T_{k+1} > T_k, \forall k$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** If  $sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges conditionally, then for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists an rearrangement for the series where its sum is b.

Recall: Series of positive and negative terms of  $\sum a_k$ 

$$a_k^+ = \begin{cases} a_k, & \text{if } a_k \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } a_k < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_k^- = \begin{cases} a_k, & \text{if } a_k \le 0\\ 0, & \text{if } a_k > 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $a_k = a_k^+ + a_k^-$ , and if  $\sum a_k$  is convergent, the both  $\sum a_k^+$  and  $\sum a_k^-$  diverge. So either the positive sum approaches infinity or the negative sum approaches negative infinity as more of their terms are added together, and at the same time,  $a_k$  approaches 0.

*Proof.* Choose an N such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ > b > \sum_{k=1}^{N_1 - 1} a_k^+$$

Set  $S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+, S_1 - b < a_{N_1}^+$ Choose  $M_1$  so that

$$T_1 = S_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1} a_k^- < b \le S_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - 1} a_k^-$$

And continue this pattern to get the rearranged series:

$$a_1^+, ..., a_{N_1}^+, a_1^-, ... a_{M_1}^-, a_{N_1+1}^+, ... a_{N_2}^+, ...$$

With  $|S_k - b| < a_{N_k}^+, |T_k - b| < -a_{M_k}^-$ 

$$a_{N_k}^+, a_{M_k}^-$$
 as  $k \to \infty$ 

So  $S_k \to b$  from above and  $T_k \to b$  from below.

**Theorem 6.4.** Suppose that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is absolutely convergent and  $b = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  then any rearrangement of this series will also have the sum of b.

## 7 Monday, January 29, 2018

## 7.1 Rearrangement (continued)

**Theorem 7.1.** Suppose  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is absolutely convergent, let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  be a rearrangement, then it has the same sum as the original series

*Proof.* Let 
$$a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ 

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges, then there exists an M such that  $\sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{M} |a_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  Now let

$$\{a_1, a_2, ... a_M\} \subset \{b_1, b_2, ... b_N\}$$
 for some  $N \ge M$ 

Be true for some sequence  $\{b_k\}$ . This implies that

$$(\{b_1, b_2, ...b_N\} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, ...a_M\}) \subset \{a_{M+1}, a_{M+2}, ....\}$$

Let us set  $s_M = \sum_{k=1}^M a_k, t_N = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k$ 

$$|t_N - s_M| \le \sum_{k=M+1}^M a_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Now

$$|a - t_N| \le |s_N - a| + |s_M - t_N|$$

$$\le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ 

Theorem 7.2. e is irrational

*Proof.* We know that  $e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}$ . Suppose that e is rational, then  $e = \frac{a}{b}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0$ . Then.

$$(b+1)! \sum_{k=0}^{b+1} \frac{1}{k!} \in \mathbb{N}, (b+1)! e \in \mathbb{N}$$

This implies that

$$\implies (b+1)! \sum_{k=b+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$= (b+1)! (\frac{1}{(b+2)!} + \frac{1}{(b+3)!} + \dots)$$

$$= (\frac{1}{(b+2)} + \frac{1}{(b+2)(b+3)} + \dots)$$

$$< (\frac{1}{(b+2)} + \frac{1}{(b+2)^2} + \dots)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(b+2)^k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(b+2)} (\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{b+2}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{(b+2)} (\frac{b+2}{(b+1)}) = \frac{1}{(b+1)} < 1$$

This is a contradiction, therefore e must be irrational

#### 7.2 Power Series

Another convergence test: The root test

**Definition 7.3.** (The root test) given  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ , consider  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$ , if  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$  exists and it's less than 1, then it converges, if it's greater than 1, then it diverges.

We can apply this test to power series.

**Theorem 7.4.** Given  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ , let  $c = \lim_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$ ,  $R = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c \neq 0$  then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  converges for |x| < R if R < 1 and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  diverges if |x| > R

So basically, for  $x \in (-R, R)$  the series converges, and for  $x \notin [-R, R]$  the series diverges.  $x = \pm R$  is still unknown

*Proof.* apply the root test

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (|a_k x^k|)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} |x|$$
$$= |x| \lim_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$$
$$= |x| \cdot c$$

The root test implies that the series converges if  $|x| \cdot c < 1$  and this implies that  $|x| < \frac{1}{c} = R, c \neq 0$  And the series diverges if |x|c > 1 which happens iff |x| > R

**Remark.** if c = 0  $(R = \infty)$  then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  converges for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

if  $\lim_{k} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$  then series only converges for x = 0

Example 7.5.  $sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}, R = \infty$ 

**Example 7.6.**  $sum_{k=0}^{\infty}x^k, R=1$ , if  $x=\pm 1$  then the series diverges

**Example 7.7.**  $sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k}$  apply the ratio test, R=1,  $|(\frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1})(\frac{k}{x^k})| = |x| \frac{k}{k+1}$  so when x=1,  $sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k}$  diverges and when x=-1,  $sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k}$  converges

**Example 7.8.**  $sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^2}$ , R=1 and this converges for  $x=\pm 1$ 

## 8 Friday, February 2, 2018

### 8.1 Pointwise and Uniform Convergence

Consider a sequence of functions  $f_1, ..., f_k, ...$  defined on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

**Definition 8.1.**  $\{f_k\}$  converges **pointwise** to a function f on S if for any  $x_0 \in S$ ,  $\{f_k(x_0)\} \to f(x_0)$ 

Example 8.2.  $f_n(x) = \frac{\sin(nx)}{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

We know that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sin(nx_0)}{n} = 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $\frac{|\sin(nx_0)|}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \to 0$  as n approaches infinity.

if f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f_n$  converges pointwise to f.

**Example 8.3.**  $f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1+n^2x^2}, x \ge 0, f(x) = 0, \text{ for } x \ge 0$   $f_n$  converges pointwise to f.

This is because  $f_n(x) < \frac{nx}{n^2x^2} = \frac{1}{nx}$ , x > 0 so for  $x_0 > 0$ ,  $0 < f_n(x_0) < \frac{1}{nx_0} \to 0$ , as n approaches infinity.

For  $x_0 = 0, f_n(x_0) \to f(x_0) = 0$ 

**Note.** For  $x_0 = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $f_n(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

**Definition 8.4.** A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  converges to a function f uniformly on S if given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for  $n \geq N$ 

$$|f_n(x_0) = f(x_0)| < \epsilon, \forall x_0 \in S$$

**Example 8.5.** Consider  $f_n(x) = 1 + x + ... + x^n, f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$  if |x| < 1.

We know that  $f_n(x_0) \to f(x_0)$  for any  $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$ 

**Note.**  $f_n \to f$  uniformly, means that sequences  $f_n(x_0) \to f(x_0)$  "at the same rate", for all  $x_0$ 

**Example 8.6.**  $f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1+n^2x^2} < \frac{1}{nx}, x \ge 0$ , take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$  (we're looking for how big n has to be for the function  $f_n$  to be less than  $\frac{1}{4}$ )

if 
$$x_0 = \frac{1}{10}$$
, then  $\frac{1}{n10^{-1}} = \frac{10}{n} < \frac{1}{4}$ , for  $n > 40$ 

if 
$$x_0 = \frac{1}{100}$$
, then  $\frac{1}{n10^{-2}} = \frac{100}{n} < \frac{1}{4}$ , for  $n > 400$ 

if 
$$x_0 = 10^{-6}$$
, then  $\frac{1}{n10^{-6}} = \frac{10^6}{n} < \frac{1}{4}$ , for  $n > 4 \times 10^6$ 

if  $x_0 = 10^{-6}$ , then  $\frac{1}{n^{10^{-6}}} = \frac{10^6}{n} < \frac{1}{4}$ , for  $n > 4 \times 10^6$ The differences in the rate of convergence is exponential the bigger the n. So  $f_n(\frac{1}{10}), f_n(\frac{1}{100}), f_n(10^{-6}),$  do not converge at the same rate.

**Example 8.7.**  $S = [1, 0], f_n(x) = x^n,$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 1, & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

if we take different values of n for  $f_n$ , they seem to drop down steeper as they go close to 1, but also rise up steeper as they approach closer to 1, there is a bit of a dip.

 $\{x_0^n\} \to 0, 0 \le x_0 < 1.$   $f_n$  converges pointwise to f. Note that  $f_n$  is continuous for all of n, but f is not continuous.

**Example 8.8.** verify that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly in example 1.  $f_n(x) = \frac{\sin(nx)}{n}, f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left|\frac{\sin(nx)}{n}\right| < \frac{1}{n}$$

So choose N, with  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ , this implies that for all  $n \geq N, |\frac{\sin(nx)}{n}| < \frac{1}{n} < 1$  $\epsilon, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

**Theorem 8.9.** Suppose  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , If  $f_n$  is continuous for all n, then f is continuous

*Proof.* Need to show f is continuous at any  $x_0 \in S$ , take  $\epsilon > 0$ , we need to find  $\delta > 0$  so that for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ 

Since  $f_n \to f$  uniformly, there exists N so that for  $n \ge N$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for all  $x \in S$ 

 $f_N$  is continuous at  $x_0$ , therefore there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  then  $|f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ 

now

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)| + |f_N(x_0) - f(x_0)|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

This is because of the uniform convergence for  $|f(x)-f_N(x)|$ ,  $|f_N(x_0)-f(x_0)|$  and continuity of  $F_N$  for  $|f_N(x)-f_N(x_0)|$ 

this implies that for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ .

**Example 8.10.**  $S = [1, 0], f_n(x) = x^n,$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 1, & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

 $f_n$  does not converge uniformly in this example since f is not continuous at 1

**Note.** When he have shown that the partial sums of a power series converge uniformly, then this theorem will show that the power series is continuous

## 8.2 Integrals

Suppose  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on an interval  $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $f_n$  is integrable for all n, we want to show that f is integrable

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x)dx$$

we're gonna assume  $f_n$  are continuous on [a, b] for all n which implies that  $f_n$  is integrable on [a, b], but then f continuous on [a, b], this implies that f is integrable.

Recall: if f, g on [a, b], they are integrable if  $f \leq g$ 

This implies that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \le \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

which implies that for any f integrable on [a, b]

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \right| \le \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|dx$$

By triangle inequality.

**Theorem 8.11.**  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on [a,b],  $f_n$  is continuous for all n, this implies that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x)dx$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $f_n \to f$  uniformly, there exists N such that for  $n \ge N$ 

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx - \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x)dx \right| = \left| \int_{a}^{b} (f(x) - f_{n}(x))dx \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) - f(x)|dx$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\epsilon}{b - a}dx = \epsilon$$

And this implies that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_n(x)dx$$

## 9 Monday, February 5, 2018

### 9.1 Convergence

**Definition 9.1.** Sequence of functions  $\{f_n(x)\}, x \in S \subset \mathbb{R}$  converges pointwise to f(x) on S if for any  $x_0 \in S$ , the sequence  $\{f_n(x_0)\} \to f(x_0)$  as  $n \to \infty$ 

 $f_n(x_0)$  uniformly converges if  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that  $\forall x \in S, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  if n > N

**Example 9.2.** on [0,1],  $f_n(x) = \frac{x}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . For all x, pick N given  $\epsilon$ ,  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ , then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$  for all x, this implies that on [0,1],  $f_n \to f$  uniformly

**Example 9.3.** Does  $f_n(x)$  from the above example converge uniformly for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ? No.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , for any N, we can find  $x_0$  with  $\frac{x_0}{N} \ge \epsilon$ ,  $f_n(x_0) \ge \epsilon$ 

**Theorem 9.4.** Suppose  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on S,  $f_n$  continuous for all n, then f continuous on S

**Example 9.5.**  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^n}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & x = 1\\ 1, & x \in [0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Since f is not continuous at 1, convergence not uniform by the theorem.

**Theorem 9.6.**  $f_n$  continuous on  $[a,b] \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on [a,b] then that implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

**Example 9.7.**  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k, |x| < 1$  set  $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n x^k$  then  $S_n \to f$  pointwise, pick  $a \in (0,1)$ 

$$|S_m - S_n| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m x^k \le \sum_{k=n+1}^m |x|^k \le \sum_{k=n+1}^m a^k$$

if  $|x| \leq a$ , since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k$  converges it satisfies the cauchy criterion. So, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N, such that for m > n > N that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} a^k < \epsilon$$

$$\Longrightarrow |S_m(x) - S_n(x)| < \epsilon$$

for m, n > N and for all x.

So a uniform definition version of the Cauchy Criterion is needed. A Uniform Cauchy Criterion perhaps.

**Theorem 9.8.**  $\{f_n\}$  defined on S, satisfies the Uniform Cauchy Criterion if given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for m, n > N, we have  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 9.9.** Suppose  $\{f_n(x)\}$  satisfies the uniform Cauchy Criterion on S then for  $x_0 \in S$ ,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  satisfies the the cauchy property and therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_0)$  exists, if  $f(x_0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_0)$  then  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on S

**Example 9.10.** partial sums  $S_n(x)$  of a geometric series satisfies Uniform Cauchy Criterion on [-a, a] where  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,  $S_n \to f$  uniformly on [-a, a].

## 10 Friday, February 9, 2018

#### 10.1 Power Series

Suppose  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  with a radius of convergence R, then the partial sums  $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  converge uniformly to f on [-a, a] for  $a \in [0, R]$ . Such an example is a geometric series.

So our plan is to define the Uniform Cauchy Criterion and prove that the sequence converges uniformly, then we will introduce the Weierstrass M-Test and the prove the theorem.

**Definition 10.1. Uniform Cauchy Criterion** given a sequence of functions  $\{f_k\}$  defined on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{f_k\}$  is uniformly cauchy, if given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for m, n > N,  $|f_m - f_n| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S$ 

Remark: So for each  $x_0 \in S$ , iff follows that the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  is a cauchy sequence  $\Longrightarrow \{f_k(x_0)\}$  converges

*Proof.* given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists N such that for m, n > N then  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  equivalently,  $f_n(x) \in (f_m(x) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f_m(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ 

$$a_n \in (c,d) \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \in [c,d]$$

but

$$[f_m(x) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f_m(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \subset (f_m(x) - \epsilon, f_m(x) + \epsilon)$$
  
 $\implies |f_m(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ therefore cauchy convergent}$ 

**Definition 10.2. Weierstrass M-Test**  $\{f_k\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$  defined on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $|f_k(x)| \leq M_k$  for some  $M_k \geq 0$  where  $\sum_k M_k < \infty$  then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x)^k$  converges uniformly on S.

**Example 10.3.**  $f_k(x) = a_k x^k$ 

*Proof.* Show that  $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(x)$  satisfy uniform cauchy criterion, now

$$|S_n(x) - S_m(x)| = |\sum_{k=n+1}^m f_k(x)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^m |f(x)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^m M_k, \forall x \in S$$

10 FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2018

since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  converges it satisfies the cauchy criterion so given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for  $m > n > N \Longrightarrow |S_n(x) - S_m(x)| < \epsilon$  for  $x \in S$  therefore  $\{S_n(x)\}$  satisfies the Uniform Cauchy Criterion  $\Longrightarrow S_n \to \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x)$  uniformly

**Theorem 10.4.** Suppose  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  has radius of convergence R > 0, let  $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  then  $S_n \to f$  uniformly on [-a, a], 0 < a < R

*Proof.*  $|a_k x^k| < |a_k| x^k$  for  $|x^k| < a$ , let  $M_k = |a_k| x^k$ Since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k a^k$  converges,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} < \infty$ , Weierstrass converges uniformly on [-a, a]

Remark: We need to use a < R because if a = R, then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k R^k$  might not converge

to see that f is continuous at  $x_0 \in (-R, R)$ , pick a > 0 such that  $x_0 \in [-a, a]$  then uniform convergence  $\Longrightarrow f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ 

**Proposition 10.5.** Suppose that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  has a radius of convergence R, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

also have radius of convergence R

*Proof.*  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} na_n x^{n-1}$  has some radius of convergence as

$$x\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} na_n x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} na_n x^n$$

So the radius of convergence is

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} |na_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{\frac{1}{n}} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$= 1 \cdot c = \frac{1}{R}$$

The Radius of Convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$  is

$$= \left| \frac{a_n}{n+1} \right|^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

$$= \left| a_n \right|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{(n+1)^{n+1}} \right)_{n \to \infty}$$

$$\to \frac{1}{R}$$

**Theorem 10.6.**  $\int_0^x f(t)dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}, |x| < R$ 

*Proof.* Since  $S_n \to f$  uniformly

$$\int_0^x f(t)dt = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^x S_n dt$$

now

$$\int_0^x S_n dt = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k x^{k+1}}{k+1} \xrightarrow{\text{uniformly}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k x^{k+1}}{k+1}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \int_0^x f(t) dt = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k x^{k+1}}{k+1}$$

**Theorem 10.7.** let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  has radius of convergence R > 0 then f is differentiable and

$$f'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k x^{k-1}, x \in (-R, R)$$

The previous theorem implies that

$$\int_0^x g(t)dt = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k x^k = f(x) - a_0$$

want to apply fundamental theorem. So take  $R_1$  where  $0 < R_1 < R$  and then

$$f(x) = \int_0^x g(t)dt - \int_{-R_1}^c g(t)dt + a_0 = \int_{-R_1}^x g(t)dt$$

So the fundamental theorem implies that f'(x) = g(x) for  $|x| < R_1$ 

10 FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2018

### Example 10.8.

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^{s} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k}$$
$$\int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{1-t} = -\log(1-x)$$

but

$$\int_0^x \sum_{k=0}^\infty t^k dt = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1}$$

so  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k}$  must be -log(1-x), |x| < 1 here we replace x by -x and then

$$-log(1-x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^k}{k}$$

$$log(1-x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^k}{k}$$

# 11 Monday, February 12, 2018

## 11.1 Power Series (cont'd)

**Definition 11.1.** A **Power Series** is a series of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$$

and if it has a radius of convergence of R > 0 then f is continuous and differentiable on (-R, R) with

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kx^{k-1}$$

and

$$\int_0^x f(t)dt = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1}, x \in (-R, R)$$

Example 11.2.  $\frac{1}{1-x} = sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k, |x| < 1$ 

$$\int_0^x \frac{dt}{1-t} = -\log(1-x)$$

$$= sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \log(1-x) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1}, |x| < 1$$

$$\Longrightarrow \log(1+x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^k}{k}$$

What happens at x = 1? Both sides define functions which are continuous at 1.

**Theorem 11.3.** Abel's Theorem: Suppose  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ , radius of convergence is R > 0, if the series converges at R or -R then f is continuous at R or -R.

**Definition 11.4. Exponential Function:**  $exp(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = e^k$  converges for all real values of x properties:

1.

$$exp'(x) = exp(x)$$

Suppose  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  converges for |x| < R, and f'(x) = f(x), this implies that  $f^n(x) = f(x)$  and that  $f^n(0) = a_n$ , which also implies that  $a_n = \frac{a_0}{n!}$  which implies that  $f(x) = a_0 exp(x)$  (note: the solutions for  $\frac{dy}{dx} = y$  are  $c \cdot exp(x), c \in \mathbb{R}$ )

2.

$$exp(a+b) = exp(a)exp(b) \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

let f(x) = exp(a+x)

$$\implies f'(x) = cf(x), c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$exp(a+x) = c \cdot exp(x)$$

in particular for x = 0,

$$exp(a) = c \cdot exp(0) \Longrightarrow exp(a) = c \cdot 1 = c$$

$$\implies exp(a+x) = exp(a)exp(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

define  $e = exp(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}$ 

$$e^m = exp(m), m \in \mathbb{N}$$

 $l \in \mathbb{N}$  then,  $exp(\frac{m}{l})^l = exp(m) = e^m$ 

$$\implies exp(\frac{m}{l}) = e^{\frac{m}{l}}$$

$$\implies e^q = exp(q), q \in \mathbb{Q}$$

since  $exp'(x) = exp(x) > 0 \Longrightarrow exp$  is increasing. For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $e^r = sup\{e^q | q \in \mathbb{Q}, q < r\} = exp(r)$ 

$$e^x = exp(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

Properties:

1.  $e^x$  is strictly increasing

*Proof.* The mean value theorem states that:

$$e^b - e^a = (b - a)e^c$$

for some  $c \in (a, b)$ , and since  $(b-a)e^c$  is always above 0, then  $e^b > e^a$ 

$$2. \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^x = 0$$

Proof.

$$e^x > 1 + x$$

so 
$$x \to \infty \Longrightarrow e^x \to \infty \Longrightarrow e^{-x} = \frac{1}{e^x} \to 0$$
 as  $x \to \infty$ 

# 12 Monday, February 26, 2018

### 12.1 Exponential Function

 $\begin{array}{l} \exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \text{ converges on } \mathbb{R} \\ e = \exp(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \\ \text{checked: } e^{\frac{l}{m}} = \exp(\frac{l}{m}), l, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0 \\ \text{We can then define } e^r, r \in \mathbb{R}, e^r = \exp(r) \end{array}$ 

#### 12.1.1 Properties of the Exponential Function

Property 12.1.

$$\frac{d}{dx}e^x = e^x > 0$$

Property 12.2.

$$e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$$

**Property 12.3.**  $e^x$  is strictly increasing

Property 12.4.  $\lim_{x\to\infty} e^x = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{x\to-\infty} e^x = 0$ 

The 3rd and 4th properties imply that e is bijective. So for any y > 0, there exists a unique  $x : e^x = y \Longrightarrow e$  has an inverse.

# 12.2 Logarithm

$$\log x = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{dt}{t}, x > 0$$

**Proposition 12.5.**  $\log(e^x) = x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Proof.

$$\frac{d}{dx}\log(e^x) = \log'(e^x)\frac{d}{dx}e^x$$
$$= \frac{1}{e^x}e^x = 1$$

Now set x = 0,  $\log(e^0) = \log(1) = 0 \Longrightarrow c = 0 \Longrightarrow \log(e^x) = x$ . So log is an inverse to  $e^x$  and vice versa.

12 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2018

#### 12.2.1 Properties of the Logarithmic Function

Property 12.6.

$$\log(xy) = \log(x) + \log(y)$$

Property 12.7.

$$\frac{d}{dx}\log(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

Property 12.8.

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \log(x) = \infty, \lim_{x \to 0^+} \log(x) = -\infty$$

**Definition 12.9.** for a > 0, define

$$a^x = e^x \log(a)$$

Proposition 12.10.

$$e = \lim_{t \to 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{t}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1+\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Proof.

$$\log(\lim_{t \to 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{t}}) \stackrel{\text{continuous}}{=} \lim_{t \to 0} \log((1+t)^{\frac{1}{t}})$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \log(1+t)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log(1+t) - \log(1)}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log(1+t)}{t}$$

$$= \log(\lim_{t \to 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{t}})$$

$$\implies e = (\lim_{t \to 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{t}})$$

Since  $\left[\frac{d}{dt}(\log t)\right]_{t=1} = 1 = \log(e) = 1$  and log is a bijection

### 12.3 Trigonometric Numbers

#### Definition 12.11.

$$\sin x = 1 - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$

Note that the ratio test implies that a series converges for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

#### Definition 12.12.

$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

**Definition 12.13.** (Derivatives of sin, cos)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sin x = \cos x, \frac{d}{dt}\cos x = -\sin x$$

Note that:

$$\cos(ix) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(ix)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$
$$\sin(ix) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(ix^{2n+1})}{(2n+1)!}$$

Then

$$e^{ix} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} = \cos x + i\sin x$$

Now:

$$e^{i(x+y)} = e^{ix}e^{iy}$$

$$= \cos(x+y) + i\sin(x+y)$$

$$= (\cos x + i\sin x)(\cos y + i\sin y)$$

$$\implies e^{i(x+y)} = (\cos x\cos y - \sin y\sin x) + i(\sin x\cos y + \cos x\sin y)$$

So now we have

$$\cos(x+y) = \cos x \cos y - \sin y \sin x$$

$$\sin(x+y) = \sin x \cos y + \cos x \sin y$$

Also:

$$e^{-ix} = \cos(-x) + i\sin(x) = \cos(x) - i\sin(x)$$

$$e^{ix} + e^{-ix} = 2\cos(x), e^{ix} - e^{-ix} = 2i\sin(x)$$

$$\implies \cos(x) = \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2}, \sin(x) = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2}$$

# 13 Friday, March 2, 2018

### 13.1 Open and closed subsets of the real numbers

**Definition 13.1.**  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an open set if, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset U$ 

**Example 13.2.**  $\mathbb{R}$  is an open set

**Example 13.3.** (0,1) is an open set

**Example 13.4.**  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is an open set

**Example 13.5.** [0,1) is an open set

**Proposition 13.6.** Suppose  $U_a, a \in A$  is a collection of open sets  $\Longrightarrow$ 

$$U = \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$$
 is open

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in U$ , then  $x \in U_a$  for some  $a \in A$ . Since  $U_a$  is open, there exists a neighbourhood  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset U_a$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset U = \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$ 

**Proposition 13.7.** Suppose  $U_1, ..., U_n$  are open sets then

$$U = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} U_i$$
 is open

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in U = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_i \Longrightarrow x \in U_i$  for each i,  $U_i$  is open  $\Longrightarrow$  there exists a neighbourhood  $(x - \epsilon_i, x + \epsilon_i), \epsilon_i > 0$ 

Take  $\epsilon = \sup(\epsilon_i), \forall i, (i \in [1, m]) \text{ so } \epsilon > 0.$  (Since  $\forall \epsilon$  sits inside all sets, openness is proven). for all i,

$$(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset (x - \epsilon_i x + \epsilon_i) \subset U_i$$
  
 $\Longrightarrow (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_i$ 

13 FRIDAY, MARCH 2, 2018

Example 13.8. let  $U_i = (-\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i}), i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\Longrightarrow \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} U_i = \{0\}$$
 which contains no neighbourhood, therefore not open

So infinite examples may not work

**Proposition 13.9.** Suppose  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open, then U is the union of a finite or countable collection of pairwise disjoint open intervals (take two open intervals, and they cannot intersect).

*Proof.* Define a relation on U,  $a, b \in U$ ,  $a \sim b$  if all points between a, b lie in U. We must prove  $\sim$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive to show that it is also an equivalence relation. Assume a < b < c, if all the points in a b lie in U, if all the points in a c lie in U, then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation in U.

Equivalence classes are clearly an open interval and they are pairwise disjoint. Each open interval contains a relational number, list these numbers, there are countably many or finitely many in the list.

**Definition 13.10.** A set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is Closed if  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$  is open

**Example 13.11.** [a, b] is closed because it's compliment is open

**Example 13.12.**  $[b, \infty]$  is closed because it's compliment is open

Example 13.13. (0,1] is neither

**Proposition 13.14.**  $S_a, a \in A$  is a collection of closed sets.

$$\Longrightarrow \bigcap_{a \in A} S_a$$
 is closed

*Proof.* let  $U_a = \mathbb{R} \setminus S_a$ , and since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcap_{a \in A} S_a$  is open, then S must be closed

**Proposition 13.15.**  $S_1, ..., S_m$  is closed  $\Longrightarrow S = \bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i$  is also closed *Proof.* let  $U_i = \mathbb{R} \setminus S_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_i$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} U_i \text{ is open} \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_i \text{ is closed}$$

# 14 Monday, March 5, 2018

### 14.1 Topology of the Real Numbers

**Definition 14.1.** The **The neighbourhood of**  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ 

**Definition 14.2.** The **Open Set** is a set U such that, for any  $a \in U$ , there exists a neighbourhood of a in U, i.e. There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset U$ .

Example 14.3. Examples of an open interval include:

$$(c,d),(c,\infty),(-\infty,d),\mathbb{R},\varnothing$$

properties of the open set:

- 1. if  $U_{\alpha}$  is open,  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$  is open
- 2. If  $U_1, ..., U_n$  is open, then  $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$  is open (but this is not true for infinite sets.)
- 3. If  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open, then U is the union of a finite or countable collection of disjoint open intervals.

Clearly, we are also interested in a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  with the property: if  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S$ ,  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $a \in S$  as well.

**Definition 14.4.**  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed if  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$  is open.

Recall  $\{a_n\}$  and cauchy sequences

**Proposition 14.5.** S is closed iff the limit of every cauchy sequence in S lies in S.

*Proof.* First, suppose S is closed, therefore  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$  is open. Let  $\{a_n\} \subset S$  be a cauchy sequence with it's limit being a. Suppose  $a \notin S$ , that must mean that  $a \in U$  and since U is open, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset U$  but since  $a_n \to a$ , there exists N such that for n > N,  $a_n \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset U$ , but this is a contradiction as  $a_n \in S = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$  for all n.

Conversely, suppose that for any cauchy sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset S$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \in S$ . We want to show that S is closed, but for the sake of contradiction, we shall

assume S is not closed, this means that  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$  is not open. i.e. there exists  $a \in U$  such that no neighbourhood of a exists in U.

For any n > 0, there exists  $a \in (a - \frac{1}{n}, a + \frac{1}{n}), a_n \notin U \to a_n S$ . Now  $a_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\{a_n\} \subset S$ , but since  $a \in U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$ , this is a contradiction which implies that U is open, which implies that S is closed.

#### properties of closed sets

- 1. if  $S_a, a \in A$ , is closed, then  $S = \bigcap_{a \in A} S_a$  is also closed
- 2. if  $S_1, ..., S_n$  is closed, then  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n S_j$  is also closed
- 3.  $\emptyset$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  are both closed

### 14.2 Boundary Points

**Definition 14.6.** For  $S \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in S$ , Call a a boundary point if every neighbourhood of a is both in and not in the set S. (Notation:  $\partial S$ )

**Example 14.7.** For S = (0,1] then 0,1 are boundary points

**Theorem 14.8.** if  $a \in (0,1)$  then there exists a neighbourhood such that  $a \in S \Longrightarrow a \notin \partial S$ 

**Example 14.9.**  $S = \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .  $\partial S = \mathbb{R}$ , because if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then it's neighbourhood would include both rational and irrational numbers.

# 15 Friday, March 9, 2018

### 15.1 Boundary Points

Example 15.1.

$$S_n = \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right]$$

$$S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = (-1, 1)$$

given  $a \in (-1,1)$ , for n large enough,  $a \in [-1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$ .  $-1 \not \in S, 1 \not \in S$ 

**Proposition 15.2.**  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open iff  $U \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ 

*Proof.* Suppose U is open,  $a \in U$ 

 $\Longrightarrow$  there exists a neighbourhood  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset U$ , if  $a \in \partial U$  as well, then this neighbourhood would also contain points in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U, U \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ 

Conversely, Suppose  $U \in \mathbb{R}, U \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ , take  $a \in U$ , then  $a \notin \partial U$ 

 $\implies$  There exists a neighbourhood  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$  of a which lies in U.

$$\Longrightarrow U$$
 is open

**Remark.** For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$ , then  $\partial S = \partial T$ .

**Theorem 15.3.** If  $a \in \partial S$ , then every neighbourhood of a includes points in S and in T, where  $a \in \partial T$ 

*Proof.* Let  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$ , S is closed  $\iff U$  is open. So know we know that  $U \cap \partial U = \emptyset \iff S \supset \partial S = \partial U$ 

**Definition 15.4.**  $a \in S$  is an **isolated point** of S, if there exists an neighbourhood  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$  for a such that

$$(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = \{a\}$$

**Definition 15.5.**  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is an accumulation point of S if every neighbourhood of a contains infinitely many points in S.

**Theorem 15.6.** If  $a \in \partial S$  then a is either an accumulation point of S or an isolated point in S

# 16 Monday, March 12, 2018

### 16.1 Topology of the real numbers

**Definition 16.1. Open sets:** Every  $a \in S$  has a neighbourhood that is a subset of S.

**Definition 16.2. Closed sets:** The limit of a cauchy sequence in S lies in S.

**Definition 16.3. Boundary of**  $S(\partial S)$ :  $a \in \partial S$  if every neighbourhood of A meets S and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus S$ .

 $a \in \partial S$  is either an isolated point of S or an accumulation point of S.

 $a \in \partial S$  is an isolated point of S if there is a neighbourhood of a, which only meets S at a

 $a \in \partial S$  is an accumulation point of S if every neighbourhood of a contains infinitely many points of S.

**Example 16.4.** on the interval  $[0,1] \cup \{2\}, 2$  is an isolated point

**Proposition 16.5.** If  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded infinite set, then S has a accumulation point.

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_k\} \subset S$  be an infinite sequence. Since  $\{a_k\}$  is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence:  $\{a_{k_i}\} \to a \in \mathbb{R}$ 

then a is an accumulation point, since every neighbourhood of a has infinitely many points in the subsequence

**Example 16.6.**  $S = \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Here, 0 is an accumulation point.

**Definition 16.7. Alternative definition for an Open Set:** U is open iff U is disjoint  $\Longrightarrow U \cap (\partial U) = \emptyset$ 

**Definition 16.8. Alternative definition for a Closed Set:** S is closed iff  $S \supset \partial S$ 

**Definition 16.9.** Closure of S:  $\overline{S} = S \cup \partial S$ , if S is closed, then  $\overline{S} = S$ 

**Example 16.10.** Let S = (0, 1), then  $\overline{S} = [0, 1]$ 

**Proposition 16.11.**  $\overline{S}$  is closed

*Proof.* We want to show that  $\overline{S} = S$ , and S is an open set.

 $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus S$  we want to show that U is open.

If  $a \in U$ , then  $a \notin S$ ,  $a \notin \partial S$  then there exists a neighbourhood  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{S} = U$  This implies that U is open and  $\overline{S}$  is closed.

This implies that there exists an a such that the neighbourhood around a

So  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \to \forall a$  then given  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , suppose  $a \in S$  and  $a \notin \partial S$   $\Longrightarrow$  There exists a neighbourhood of  $a \subset S$ 

**Definition 16.12.** If  $a \in S$  and there exists a neighbourhood of a,  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset S$ , then a is an **interior point** of S.

**Example 16.13.** For the interval [0, 1], only 0 and 1 are not interior points

**Example 16.14.** S is open  $\Longrightarrow$  every point in S is an interior point

**Example 16.15.**  $S = \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has no interior points

**Definition 16.16.** A set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact if every sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset S$  has a convergent subsequence who's limit lies in S

**Example 16.17.** [0,2] is compact, as every sequence is bounded, therefore there exists a convergent subsequence since [0,2] is closed, the limit lies in [0,2]

**Example 16.18.**  $S = [0, \infty)$ , take  $a_n = n, n \ge 1$ , since  $a_n \to \infty$ , there is no convergent subsequence, therefore S is not compact.

**Example 16.19.** S = (0,3). Take  $a_n = 3 - \frac{1}{n}, n \ge 1$ , then  $a_n \to 3$ . This means than S is not compact

**Proposition 16.20.** S is compact iff S is closed and bounded

*Proof.* Suppose S is closed and bounded, then if  $\{a_n\} \subset S, \{a_n\}$  is bounded.  $\Longrightarrow$  There exists a convergent subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_k \to a$ . Since S is closed,  $a \in S \Longrightarrow S$  is compact.

Conversely, assuming S is compact.

S is not bounded, then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , such that  $a_n \to \infty$  or  $a_n \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ 

 $\implies$  no subsequence converges either

# 17 Friday, March 16, 2018

### 17.1 Compact Sets

*Proof.* (1) K is compact and bounded  $\iff$  (2) Any sequence in K has a subsequence, which converges for a point on K.

- $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$  follows from bolzano-weierstrass and the definition of a closed set
- $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ :
- (2) implies that K is closed, by definition of a closed set. Why is K bounded? Suppose K is not bounded then there exists a sequence  $a_n \in K$  such that  $|a_n| > n, \forall n \Longrightarrow \{a_n\}$  does not converge.

Suppose  $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq ... \supseteq K_n$ , then  $K_n$  is compact for all N, for example,  $K_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ 

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \stackrel{n}{=} \{0\}$$

**Proposition 17.1.** let  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , then K is compact and K is not empty

*Proof.* Since  $K_n$  is closed for all n, therefore  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  is closed. Since  $K \subset K_1$ , which is bounded, therefore K is bounded too. These all imply that K is compact.

We now need to show that K is nonempty

pick  $a_n \in K_n, n \geq 1$ , notice that for  $m \geq n, a_m \in K_m \subset K_n$ , in particular,  $\{a_n\} \in K$ 

 $\Longrightarrow \{a_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{a_n\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $a_{n_j} \to a \in K_i$  as  $j \to \infty$  now for  $j \ge m, nj \ge j \ge m$ 

$$\implies a_{n_j} \in K_m \implies a \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = k$$

$$\implies k \neq \emptyset$$

**Example 17.2.**  $S_n : [n, \infty), n \ge 1$ 

 $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = \emptyset, S_{n+1} \subset S_n, S_n$  is closed, but not bounded

**Example 17.3.** Let  $S = (0, \frac{1}{n})$ 

$$S_{n+1} \subset S_n, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = \varnothing$$

Then there exists n, with  $\frac{1}{n} < a \Longrightarrow a \notin S_n \Longrightarrow a \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ 

#### 17.2 Cantor Set

$$S_0 = [0, 1]$$

if we remove the middle third of the set we get:

$$S_1 = S_0 \setminus (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}) = [0, \frac{1}{3}) \cup (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$$

and for  $S_2$  we will remove the middle thirds of  $S_1$ . So this results in the pattern where  $S_n$  is a union of closed intervals: to obtain  $S_{n+1}$ , remove middle thirds of each interval  $\Longrightarrow$  the number of intervals in  $S_n$ 

The length of each interval in  $S_{n+1}$  is one third the length of each interval in  $S_n$ , so  $S_0 \supset S_1 \supset ... \supset S_n \supset ...$ 

**Definition 17.4. Cantor Set** :  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  by proposition, S is compact and nonempty

**Remark.** in fact, endpoints of the intervals in  $S_n$  all belong to S.

There are  $2^n$  separate continuous intervals in  $S_n$  with each interval being of length  $(\frac{1}{2})^n$ 

The length of  $S_n$  is  $(\frac{2}{3})^n$ , length of  $S_{n+1}$  is  $[0,1] \setminus S_n = 1 - (\frac{2}{3})^n$ 

#### Description of Points in S 17.2.1

Take an infinite sequence  $\{a_n\}$  where  $a_n$  is either 1 or 0 for  $n \geq 1$ , we associate to  $\{a_n\}$  as a point in S

If 
$$a_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if we picked the first interval of } S_1([0, \frac{1}{3}]) \\ 1, & \text{if we picked the second interval of } S_1([\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \end{cases}$$

If 
$$a_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if we picked the first interval of } S_1([0, \frac{1}{3}]) \\ 1, & \text{if we picked the second interval of } S_1([\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \end{cases}$$
If  $a_2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if we picked the first subinterval of second interval of } S_2 \\ 1, & \text{if we picked the second subinterval of second interval of } S_2 \end{cases}$ 

If 
$$a_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if we picked the first interval of previous interval} \\ 1, & \text{if we picked the second interval of previous interval} \end{cases}$$

This obtains a sequence of rested closed intervals, length of the nth interval is  $(\frac{1}{3})^n$ 

Intersection of sequence of intervals consist of 1 point:  $x_n \in S$ 

$$a = \{a_n\} \to x_a \in S$$

this obtains a one to one correspondence between S and the set of sequences.

Recall: Set of sequences of 0s and 1s is uncountable

# 18 Monday, March 19, 2018

### 18.1 Topology of real numbers

**Example 18.1.** Open sets  $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq ... \supseteq U_n \supseteq ...$  such that  $\bigcap U_n \neq \emptyset$ , is it closed?

Take  $U_n = (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ .  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{0\}$ , this is nonempty and closed.

**Example 18.2.** Let  $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq U_n \subseteq ...$  be open sets and suppose  $S_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus U_n$  bounded,  $S_n \neq \emptyset$ 

Claim:  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \neq \mathbb{R}$ .

We're looking for an example of an open set U such that  $S = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$  is bounded and nonempty.

$$U = (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$$
  
$$S = [0, 1]$$

(Equivalent claim:)  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  where  $S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq ... \supseteq S_n \supseteq ...$  and  $S_n$  is bounded and closed  $\Longrightarrow S_n$  compact

# 18.2 More on the exponential function

Example 18.3.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$

1. convergence of the integral if  $\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx$  converges then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-x^2} dx$$
$$e^{-x^2} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty$$
$$e^t = 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \dots \Longrightarrow e^t > t, \forall t \ge 0$$
$$e^{x^2} > x^2 \Longrightarrow e^{-x^2} < x^{-2}$$

$$\int_{1}^{a} e^{-x^{2}} dx < \int_{1}^{a} x^{-2} dx = -\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]_{1}^{a} = 1 - \frac{1}{a} \to 1, a > 1$$
 So therefore 
$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{1}^{a} e^{-x^{2}} dx \text{ exists}$$

i.e.  $\int_1^\infty e^{-x^2} dx$  converges  $\Longrightarrow \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx$  converges

#### Example 18.4. Compute

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \cdot e^{-y^2} dx dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)}$$

Here we use polar coordinates:

$$r^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2}, dxdy = rdrd\theta$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2}} r dr d\theta$$

$$\frac{d}{dr} e^{-r^{2}} = -2re^{-r^{2}} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2}} r dr d\theta = 2\pi (\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2}} r dr) = \pi$$

And since

# 19 April 2, 2018

### 19.1 Uniform Continuity

**Definition 19.1.** (Uniform continuity)  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f: S \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_0 \in S$  f is continuous at  $x_0$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ ,  $\delta$  depends on  $x_0$ 

**Example 19.2.**  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}, x \in (-1,0)$ , regard  $\epsilon$  as fixed as  $x_0$  gets closer to 0, the slope of the graph  $\frac{1}{x_0^2}$  increases so  $\delta$  must get smaller:

Using Mean Value Theorem:

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| = f'(x_1)(x - x_0)$$
 where  $x_1$  lies inbetween  $x, x_0$ .  
 $\epsilon = f'(x_1)\delta$ 

The choice of  $\delta$ : as  $x_0$  gets closer to 0,  $f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{x_0^2}$  gets larger, so  $\delta$  must get smaller.

However on (-2,-1).  $f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{x_0^2} \le 1 \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| \le |x - x_0|$ . So if we want  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ , we need only take  $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$ , i.e.  $\delta = \epsilon$ 

**Definition 19.3.** f is uniformly continuous on S, if given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  if  $|y - x| < \delta$  i.e.  $\delta$  does not depend on x

**Example 19.4.** on (-2,-1),  $f(x) = \frac{-1}{x}$  is uniformly continuous, but on (-1,0) it is not.

**Example 19.5.**  $S = \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \sin(x)$  then  $|\sin x - \sin y| = |\cos z||x - y| \le |x - y|$  z is between x, y so to achieve  $|\sin x - \sin y| < \epsilon$ , take  $|x - y| < \epsilon$ 

Note. dom(S) is unbounded

**Theorem 19.6.** f uniformly continuous on  $S \Longrightarrow f$  continuous on S.

**Remark.**  $f(x) = \frac{-1}{x}$  being uniformly continuous on [-2, -1] is not a coincidence

**Theorem 19.7.**  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact,  $f : K \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is continuous  $\Longrightarrow f$  is uniformly continuous.

*Proof.* need to show: given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$|x - y| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon, \forall x, y \in K$$

suppose this condition does not hold for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ 

i.e. For any  $\delta > 0, \exists x, y \in K$  with  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge \epsilon$ . In particular, for  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}, \exists$  points  $x_n, y_n \in K$  with  $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{1}{n}$  but  $f(x_n) - f(y_n) \ge \epsilon$  so  $\{x_n\} \subset K$  is compact.

- $\implies$  there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\} \to a \in k$
- $\Longrightarrow \{y_{n_k}\} \to a \text{ as well.}$

f is continuous implies that  $f(x_{n_k}) \to f(a)$  and  $f(y_{n_k}) \to f(a)$  as  $k \to \infty$ . So for a large enough  $k |f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| < \epsilon$  $\implies f$  is in fact uniformly continuous on K.

#### 19.2 The limit of the Supremum and Infimum

given a sequence  $\{S_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , bounded below.

$$A_N = \inf\{S_{N+1}, S_{N+2}, \dots\} = \inf_{n>N}\{S_n\}$$

Note that  $A_N \leq A_{N+1} \leq \dots$  is an increasing sequence

# 20 Friday, April 6, 2018

# 20.1 the Limit of the Supremum and the Limit of the Infimum

**Note.** If  $S_n$  is not bounded above, then the limit of the Supremum of  $S_n$  is

If  $S_n$  is not bounded below, then the limit of the Infimum of  $S_n$  is  $-\infty$ 

Consider the bounded sequence  $\{S_n\}$ .

Let  $A_N = \sup\{S_n | n > N\}$   $A_N$  forms a decreasing Sequence and converges, define

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} A_N$$

Similarly,  $B_N = \inf\{S_n | n > N\}$ ,  $B_N$  is an increasing sequence bounded above, Let

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf S_n = \lim_{N\to\infty} B_N$$

#### Example 20.1.

$$S_n = (-1)^n$$
,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf S_n = -1$ 

#### Example 20.2.

$$S_n = n(1 + (-1)^n) = \begin{cases} 2n, & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = \infty, \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf S_n = 0$$

**Example 20.3.** Let  $S_n = \sin(\frac{n\pi}{3})$ . The only possible solutions are  $\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0$ , so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf S_n = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

**Proposition 20.4.** For any sequence  $S_n$ , there exists a subsequence, whose limit is  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup S_n$  and one whose limit is  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf S_n$ 

*Proof.* Assume  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup S_n \neq \infty$ . Let  $a = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup S_n$ , so  $a = \lim_{N\to\infty} A_N$  So given K, there exists M, such that for  $N \geq M$ ,  $|a_k - a| < \frac{1}{k}$ 

Now,  $A_m = \sup_{n>M} \{s_n\} \Longrightarrow$  There exists  $m_k > M_k$  such that  $|A_m - S_{m_k}| < \frac{1}{2k}$ 

$$|S_{n_k} - a| \le |S_{n_k} - A_m| + |A_m - a| < \frac{1}{k}, S_{n_k} \to a \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

Analogous construction for  $\lim \inf S_n$ 

**Remark.** For any N,  $B_N \leq A_N$ 

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf S_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n$$

**Proposition 20.5.** Suppose  $\{S_n\}$  converges to a, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = a = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf S_n$$

*Proof.* Since  $\{S_n\} \to a$ , every subsequence to a in particular, a substance which converges to  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup S_n$ , converges to  $a, \Longrightarrow a = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup S_n$ 

#### There's something here I'm missing

*Proof.* let  $\{S_{n_k}\}$  be a convergent subsequence, then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} S_{n_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup(S_{n_k}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(S_n) \Longrightarrow \sup(S) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(S)$$

But there exists a convergent subsequence whose limit is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(S) \Longrightarrow \sup(S) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(S_n)$$

Similar argument for inf case.

#### 20.2 Root Test Revisited

Given a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ 

Proposition 20.6. If

$$A = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$$

then  $\sum_{n} a_n$  converges. If A > 1 then  $\sum_{n} a_n$  diverges.

*Proof.* Let  $A_n = \inf_{n \ge N} \{|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}\}$  so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} A_N$$

Suppose

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$$

Pick a c such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < c < 1$$

then there exists an M such that for  $N \geq M, A_n < c, since A_M = \sup_{n \geq M} \{|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}\}$ 

$$\implies |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < c \text{ for } n \ge M$$

$$\implies |a_n| < c^n \text{ for } n \ge M$$

since  $\sum_{n\geq M} c^n$  converges, so does  $\sum_{n\geq M} a_n \Longrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges.

Just do

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} > c > 1$$

# 20.3 Radius of convergent power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

 $R=\frac{1}{c}$  if  $c\neq 0$  is the radius of convergence, if c=0, then  $R=(-\infty,\infty)$