# **AI ASSISTED CODING**

## LAB-12.4

NAME: S.MOHANTH SIDDARTHA

ENROLLNO:2403A52047

BATCH:03

## **TASK-01:**

Implementing Bubble Sort with AI Comments.

#### **PROMPT:**

Generate a python code to implement bubble sort.

#### CODE:

```
def bobble_sort(arm);

def bobble_sort(arm);

Sorts a list in according order using the Bubble Sort algorithm.

sparma arr: A list of comparable elements.

"""

a - lm(arm)

# Outer loop to iterate through the list. This represents the number of passes.

# After each pass 'I', the i-th largest element will be in its correct final position.

for i in range(n):

# A fag to optimize the sort. If no swaps occur in a full pass,

# a list get to optimize the sort. If no swaps occur in a full pass,

# a list is already sorted, and we can terminate early.

**Suppord False

# Inner loop for comparing adjacent elements.

# The range is 'n-i-1' because the last 'i' elements are already sorted

# and in their correct final positions.

for j in range(0, n - i - 1):

# Compare the correct final positions.

for j in range(0, n - i - 1):

# Since a sump was made, set the suppose flag to True.

**suppord From the swap to soots the larger element to the right.

**are(j), are(j=1) - are(j=1), are(j=1), are(j=1) - are(j=1), are(j=
```

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS

PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> & C:\Users\ramch\AppData/Local/Programs/Python/Python312/python.exe c:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai/lab12.4/12.4.1.py
### Driginal list: [64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90]
Sorted list: [11, 12, 22, 25, 34, 64, 90]

Original list (already sorted): [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
Sorted list: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai>
```

**Bubble Sort** repeatedly compares and swaps adjacent elements if they are in the wrong order, moving the largest element to the end in each pass — like bubbles rising to the top.

#### **TASK-02:**

Optimizing Bubble Sort → Insertion Sort

#### **PROMPT:**

Convert the following bubble sort code to the insertion sort.

## **CODE:**

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORTS

PS C:\USers\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ais & C:\Users/ramch\AppBata/Local/Programs/Python/Python312/python.exe c:\Users/ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai/lab12.4/12.4.1.py

Original list: [64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90]

Original list: [11, 12, 22, 25, 34, 64, 90]

Original list: [1, 12, 3, 4, 5]

Sorted list: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

Sorted
```

## **Optimization from Bubble Sort to Insertion Sort:**

Instead of repeatedly swapping adjacent elements like in Bubble Sort, **Insertion Sort** shifts elements to insert each item directly into its correct position. This reduces unnecessary swaps and makes it faster, especially for nearly sorted data.

#### **TASK-03:**

Binary Search vs Linear Search

### **PROMPT:**

Implement the linear search and binary search with comments.

## **CODE:**

```
## DATE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
```

```
> • 12.43.py > ...
def binary_search(arr: list, target: any) -> int:
                   - Pre-requisite: The input list `arr` MUST be sorted.

- Time Complexity:

- Best Case: O(1) (target is the middle element)

- Average Case: O(10g n)

- Norst Case: O(10g n)

- Space Complexity: O(1) (Iterative version) or O(log n) (recursive version due to call stack)

- Highly efficient for large, sorted datasets.
         while low <= high:
    mid = (low + high) // 2
    if arr[mid] == target:
        return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
        low = mid + 1
    else:
        high = mid - 1
return -1
         # Tangets for search
tanget present_start = 0
tanget_present_middle = LIST_SIZE // 2
tanget_present_end = LIST_SIZE - 1
tanget_not_present = LIST_SIZE + 100
          # Student Observation Table Header
print(f*('$cenario':<30) | {'Linear Search Time (s)':<25} | {'Binary Search Time (s)':<25}")
print("-" * 85)</pre>
         # Test 1: Linear Search on unsorted data (target present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(unsorted_data, target_present_middle)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_unsorted_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"{'Unsorted_(Target Present)':<38} | (!Inear_time_unsorted_present:<25.8f} | {'N/A (Requires Sorted)':<25}")</pre>
      \label{linear_time_unsorted_present}  \begin{tabular}{ll} linear_time_unsorted_present: <25.8f} & $\{'N/A (Requires Sorted)':<25\}'') \\ \end{tabular} 
     # Test 2: Linear Search on unsorted data (target not present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(unsorted_data, target_not_present)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_unsorted_not_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"{'Unsorted (Target Not Present)':<38} | {linear_time_unsorted_not_present:<25.8f} | {'N/A (Requires Sorted)':<25}")
    # Test 3: Linear Search on sorted data (target present)
start_time - time.perf_counter()
linear_search(sorted_data, target_present_middle)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_sorted_present = end_time - start_time
     # Test 4: Binary Search on sorted data (target present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_search(sorted_data, target_present_middle)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_time_sorted_present = end_time -
start_time
print(f"{'Sorted_(Target_Present)':<30} | {Inear_time_sorted_present:<25.8f} | {binary_time_sorted_present:<25.8f}")</pre>
     # Test 5: Linear Search on sorted data (target not present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(sorted_data, target_not_present)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_sorted_not_present = end_time = *start_time
    # Test 6: Binary Search on sorted data (target not present)
start_time + time.perf_counter()
binary_search(sorted_data, target_not_present)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_time_sorted_not_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"{'Sorted_(Target_Not_Present)':<30} | {linear_time_sorted_not_present<<25.8f} | {binary_time_sorted_not_present<<25.8f}")</pre>
      print("\nllote: Binary Search times for unsorted data are marked 'N/A' as it requires a sorted list.")
print("If the data is initially unsorted, the time to sort it must be added to Binary Search's total time.")
```

```
PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> (Ist Size: 100000) ---

Scenario | Linear Search Time (s) | Binary Search Time (s) |
Insorted (Target Present) | 0.00364970 | N/A (Requires Sorted)
Unsorted (Target Present) | 0.00297820 | N/A (Requires Sorted)
Sorted (Target Present) | 0.00297820 | N/A (Requires Sorted)
Sorted (Target Present) | 0.00224120 | 0.00000370

Sorted (Target Not Present) | 0.00224120 | 0.00000340

Note: Binary Search times for unsorted data are marked 'N/A' as it requires a sorted list.
If the data is initially unsorted, the time to sort it must be added to Binary Search's total time.

PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai>
```

Linear Search: Checks each element one by one until the target is found or the list ends. Works on **unsorted** data but is slow(O(n)).

Binary Search: Repeatedly divides a **sorted** list in half to find the target. Much **faster (O(log n))**, but requires the data to be sorted.

### **TASK-04:**

Quick Sort and Merge Sort Comparison

### **PROMPT:**

Implement the quick sort and merge sort using recursion.

### **CODE:**

```
labl2A > * 124Apy > O meng_sort

i import time
import time
import type
import you
i
```

```
| Set | Set
```



Quick Sort: Uses a **pivot** to partition the array into smaller and larger elements, then sorts each part recursively. It's **faster on average** ( $O(n \log n)$ ) but may degrade to  $O(n^2)$  in the worst case.

Merge Sort: Divides the array into halves, sorts them, and then **merges** them. It always runs in **O(n log n)** time but uses **extra memory** for merging.

## **TASK-05:**

Al-Suggested Algorithm Optimization

## **PROMPT:**

Generate the python code which implements the duplicate search.

## CODE:

```
def find duplicates brute force(nums: list) -> list:
               This algorithm compares each element with every other element to find duplicates. It then ensures that each duplicate is added only once to the result list.
            Performance Notes:

- Time Complexity: O(n^2)

- The nested loops lead to quadratic time complexity, as for each | element, it potentially iterates through the rest of the list.

- The 'if num in duplicates' check within the loop can add another | O(k) operation where k is the number of duplicates found so far, making it even worse in practice for many duplicates.

- Space Complexity: O(k) where k is the number of unique duplicates.

- Not suitable for large lists due to its high time complexity.
            Finds duplicate numbers in a list efficiently using sets.
               This algorithm uses two sets: one to keep track of numbers seen so far, and another to store the unique duplicates found. This reduces the lookup time to \mathrm{O}(1) on average.
               Returns:
list: A list containing the unique duplicate numbers found in the input list.
def find_duplicates_optimized(nums: list) -> list:
                    Assume novis:

Time Complexity: O(n) on average

- Each element is processed once. Set insertion and lookup operations lake O(1) time on average.

Space Complexity: O(n) in the worst case

- Both 'seen' and 'duplicates' sets could potentially store up to | n/2 elements (if all elements are unique or all are duplicates).

Highly efficient for large lists.
      seen = set()
duplicates = set()
for num in nums:
    if num in seen:
        duplicates.add(num)
       seen.add(num)
return list(duplicates)
      -- Performance Comparison ---
__name__ == "__main__":
LIST_SIZE = 5000  # Adjust for larger lists to see the difference more clearly
MX_VALUE = LIST_SIZE // 2 # Ensures a good number of duplicates
      # Generate a list with many duplicates
test_list = [random.randint(0, MAX_VALUE) for _ in range(LIST_SIZE)]
     # Test Brute-Force Version
start_time - time.perf_counter()
brute_force_duplicates - find_duplicates_brute_force(test_list)
end_time - time.perf_counter()
brute_force_time - end_time - start_time
print(f"Brute-Force_Algorithm:")
print(f" Inme taken: (brute_force_time:.6f) seconds")
print(f" Found {len(brute_force_time:.6f) unique duplicates.")
     # Test Optimized Version
start_time = time.perf_counter()
optimized_duplicates = find_duplicates_optimized(test_list)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
optimized_time = end_time - start_time
print(f"Optimized_Algorithm (using sets):")
print(f" fine taken: (optimized_time:.6f) seconds")
print(f" Found {len(optimized_duplicates)} unique duplicates.")
```

```
Problems output preud console meanual rooms

S. Cylbers/ramch/OmeDrive/Desktop/ai/abi2.4/12.4.5.py

e... Duplicate finder Performance Comparison (List Size: 5000) ...

Brute-Force Algorithm:
Time taken: 0.413785 seconds
found 1461 unique duplicates.

Optimized Algorithm (using sets):
Time taken: 0.000501 seconds
found 1461 unique duplicates.

Optimized Algorithm (using sets):
Time taken: 0.000501 seconds
found 1461 unique duplicates.

Observation: The optimized version is significantly faster for large lists.
Speedup: 865-58k
o PS C:\Users\ramch\OmeDrive\Desktop\ai>
```

The task involves first writing a naive duplicate-finding algorithm using nested loops, which has  $O(n^2)$  complexity. Then, AI can optimize it by using a set or dictionary to track seen elements, reducing the complexity to O(n). Students compare execution times on large inputs and explain that the optimization improves efficiency by avoiding repeated comparisons.