

AI2IBTECH11021

(7)

right

Assuming all Q-values initially set to -10

Transition 1  $(s, a, r, s') = (C, \text{jump}, 4, E)$

$$Q(s,a) = Q(s,a) + \alpha \left[ R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a') - Q(s,a) \right]$$

$$Q(c, \text{jump}) = \underbrace{Q(c, \text{jump})}_{-10} + 0.7 \left( 4 + 1.0 \underbrace{(-10)}_{\text{initial all -10}} - (-10) \right)$$

$$= -10 + 0.7(4) = \boxed{-7.2} \quad \{\text{remaining unchanged}\}$$

Transition 2  $(s, a, r, s') = (E, \text{right}, 1, F)$

$$Q[E, \text{right}] = \underbrace{Q[E, \text{right}]}_{-10} + 0.7(1 + (1.0)(-10) - (-10))$$

$$= -10 + 0.7(1) = \boxed{-9.3}$$

Transition 3  $(s, a, r, s') = (F, \text{left}, -2; E)$

$$Q[F, left] = Q[F, left] + 0.7 \left( -2 + (1.0) \overbrace{(-9.3)}^{\max(-10, -9.3)} \right)$$

$$= \boxed{-10.91}$$

Transition 4  $(S, a, r, S') = (E, \text{right}, 1, F)$   $\max(-10, -10.91)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q[E, \text{right}] &= Q[E, \text{right}] + 0.7 \left( 1 + (1.0)(-10) - (-9.3) \right) \\
 &= -9.3 + 0.7 (+0.3) + 0.91 \\
 &= -9.09
 \end{aligned}$$

	$Q(C, \text{left})$	$Q(C, \text{jump})$	$Q(E, \text{left})$	$Q(E, \text{right})$	$Q(F, \text{left})$	$Q(F, \text{right})$
Initial	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10
Transition 1	-10	-7.2	-10	-10	-10	-10
Transition 2	-10	-7.2	-10	-9.3	-10	-10
Transition 3	-10	-7.2	-10	-9.3	-10.91	-10
Transition 4	-10	-7.2	-10	-9.09	-10.91	-10

d) Constructing greedy policy using above table

$$\pi(C) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(C, a) = \text{jump} \begin{cases} \text{jump} \rightarrow -7.2 \\ \text{left} \rightarrow -10 \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(E) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(E, a) = \text{right} \begin{cases} \text{left} \rightarrow -10 \\ \text{right} \rightarrow -9.09 \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(F) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(F, a) = \text{right} \begin{cases} \text{right} \rightarrow -10 \\ \text{left} \rightarrow -10.91 \end{cases}$$



e) Robbins - Monroe condition.

In order for the Q-learning Algorithm to converge we need

$$\sum \alpha_t = \infty$$

$$\sum \alpha_t^2 < \infty$$

i)  $\boxed{\alpha_t = \frac{1}{t}}$   $\sum \alpha_t = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots \right) = \infty$

(Proof by contradiction)

Assume  $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots = H$  (fixed bounded)

then  $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots = H$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots \leq H$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots \leq H \Rightarrow H + \frac{1}{2} \leq H$$

But  $H = H$  so it is false

So  $H \rightarrow \infty$

So Harmonic series is

divergent  $\boxed{\sum \alpha_t = \infty}$

Only make sense if  $H \rightarrow \infty$

ii)  $\sum \alpha_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2}$ . We know  $0 \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \leq \frac{1}{t^2 - t}$  ( $\forall t \geq 2$ )

$$\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2 - t} = \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{t-1} - \frac{1}{t} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}\right) + \dots$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{t} = 1$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} = 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} < 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2 - t} = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} < 2 \right] \quad \text{Hence} \quad \left[ \sum \alpha_t^2 < \infty \right]$$

Hence this satisfy Robbins Monro conditions.

$$\text{ii) } \alpha_t = \frac{1}{t^2}$$

$$\sum \alpha_t = \sum \frac{1}{t^2} < 2$$

But we want  $\left[ \sum \alpha_t = \infty \right]$ .

So this does not obeys Robbins Monro conditions

Also  $\sum \alpha_t^2 = \sum \frac{1}{t^4} < \sum \frac{1}{t^2} < 2 < \infty$  but 1st condition failed.



f) We know that

$$\max_a Q^*(s, a) = E[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_a Q^*(s', a)]$$

Now In Q learning  $\rightarrow$  It is an off policy Algorithm and we take one step at a time TD(0) so it is written in the form,

$$Q(s_t, a_t) = Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha_t [R(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a') - Q(s_t, a_t)]$$

This converges  
if it follows  
the given

- a) State & action spaces are finite
- b) All state-action pairs are visited infinitely often
- c) Robbin - Monroe Conditions Must be satisfied

$\rightarrow$  Even though the agent follows fixed policy  $\pi \rightarrow$  with 0.5 prob & 0.5  $\rightarrow$  random fashion  
As we explore the states with high reward the Q-Value functions get updated

according (ie according to our  $\pi$  if we visit a state more no of times doesn't guarantee

that  $Q^*$  will change  $\rightarrow$  It is unknown & fixed irrespective of our exploration policy so

visiting All states - infinitely often will eventually gets us to  $Q^* \rightarrow$  Hence the

Algorithm will converge to optimal

Q-function. As we select  $\max_a Q(s, a)$  At the End.



ii) We know SARSA is an on-policy algorithm  
So if the Agent follows a fixed policy  $\pi$  with  
probability 0.5 and with 0.5  $\rightarrow$  chooses randomly

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, a_t)]$$

Here the states which are visited often by  
our fixed policy. The conditions for convergence  
in SARSA  $\rightarrow$  Has some problems

- 1) Robbins - Monro condition
- 2) Every state-action pair visited often
- 3) The policy is greedy with respect to policy  
derived from  $Q$  in the limit
- 4) Controlled Markov chain is communicating  
every state follows Markovian Assumption
- 5) For  $(R(s, a)) \leftarrow R \rightarrow$  reward function

But if we observe the 3<sup>rd</sup> condition

our policy is fixed so if  $\pi^*$  is not same  
as our fixed policy  $\pi$  then 3<sup>rd</sup> won't hold

This can be seen if some states are visited  
more often than if even though it can have  
small +ve reward but overtime it gets  
accumulated more & more unlike Q-learning

there is no  $\max_a Q(s,a)$  to stop this  
so this is like an off-policy situation

∴ SARSA may not converge to optimal

Q-function { It may converge if given  
fixed policy itself is the  
optimal policy. }