## FR. CONCEICAO RODRIGUES COLLEGE OF ENGG.

## Fr. Agnel Ashram, Bandstand, Bandra (W) Mumbai 400 050.

SEMESTER / BRANCH: V/COMPUTER Engineering

SUBJECT: Software Engineering (CSC502)/ First Assignment

Date: 19-08-23 Due Date: 25-08-23

CSC502.1: Recognize software requirements and various process models. (Understanding)

CSC502.2: Develop project Plan, schedule and track the progress of the given project (Applying)

## **Questions:**

- 1. What is the significance of recognizing software requirements in the software engineering process?
- 2. Describe the main characteristics of different process models used in software development.
- 3. How does the Capability Maturity Model (CMM) contribute to improving software development processes?
- 4. Explain the differences between prescriptive process models and evolutionary process models.
- 5. Provide examples of situations where using a specific process model would be more suitable.
- 6. Compare and contrast the Waterfall model and Agile methodologies in terms of project planning and progress tracking.
- 7. Apply process metrics to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of Waterfall, Agile (both Scrum & Kanban) methodologies, considering factors such as development speed, adaptability to change and customer satisfaction.
- 8. Justify the relevancy of the fallowing comparison for software development models.

Features	Water fall Model	Incremental Model	Prototyping Model	Spiral Model
Requirement Specification	Beginning	Beginning	Frequently Changed	Beginning
Understanding Requirements	Well Understood	Not Well Understood	Not Well Understood	Well Understood
Availability of reusable component	No	Yes	High Yes	Expensive Yes
Complexity of System	Simple	Simple	Complex	Complex
Risk Analysis	Only at beginning	No risk analysis	No risk analysis	Yes
User involvement in all phases of SDLC	Only at beginning	Intermediate	High	High
Guarantee of Success	Less	High	Good	High

Overlapping Phases	Absent	Absent	Present	Present
Implementation Time	Long	Less	Less	Depends on
				Project
Flexibility	Rigid	Less flexible	Highly flexible	Flexible
Changes Incorporated	Difficult	Easy	Easy	Easy
Expertise Required	High	High	Medium	High
Cost Control	Yes	No	No	Yes
Resource Control	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

## **Rubrics**:

Indicator	Average	Good	Excellent	Marks
Organization (2)	Readable with some mistakes and structured (1)	Readable with some mistakes and structured (1)	Very well written and structured (2)	
Level of content(4)	Minimal topics are covered with limited information (2)	Limited major topics with minor details are presented(3)	All major topics with minor details are covered (4)	
Depth and breadth of discussion(4)  Total Marks(10)	Minimal points with missing information (1)	Relatively more points with information (2)	nore All points with in depth	

1) Wheat is significance of recognizing software requirement in
he sortward engineering process
Ac the technology change, in user
envioument on which software is with
energy engineering principles used by that organization
Implementing 4 managing large size of software programmer?  original a specific method modularize the tasks 80 a  that Size of software can't haven the software quality.
the Size of software can't haven the software quality.
Software engineering published methodology pour implementing
Extending the perentous software to add new prochardits oregive more cost in terms of time to develop & effort
new software to persuale trad functionality.
Software oppneering published or way in which software sy can be able to scale as needed in puture.

- of different process modely 2) Describe du main chave devictic used in software development
- \*Waterfall model- Sequential & Unear approach tach phase must be completed began moving to the next one

· Cleane & structured, suitable for puoje et with well-dyned elequement, minimal charges & stable scope.

· limited plenibility for charges, difficult to adopt to enduing vieguement, potential for late-istge evuous discour.

\* V-model & Walidition & Kerification model ): Parellel development Flashing approach. Each development phase is followed by a Course ponding teeting place.

· Strong emphasis on validation & varification dear do currentation vieduce eight by identifying wines early.

- · limited adaptibility to changing veguirement potential for miccommunication between development & texting phases
- \* Incueamental model Similar to interactive modely, but the software is built in inciremets, each delinering specific punctionity

· Déauly déclary of practional modules, reduce time to markety, alroy for better integration testing.

Require conepul planning to define incrementar, possible integration Challenges.

2

3. How does the capability maturely model (com) contribute to improving software development process?

The Com models application in software development has sometimes been publimatic. Applying multiple model Orat are not integrated within & accuss an organization could be costly is training approus and improvent exchurches.

The capability maturity model integration (com) purjed was to sout out be publin quenq multiple model for expresse development purcuss, truy the Com, model has surpended the Commodel, though the commodel continues to be a general breakitical purcys capability model used in Die public domain

Committy framework consist of a collection of computer programs based on knowledge, engineering, cortwork engineering, integrate product & process doublopment & product & ownery.

- Com, framework has twee groves as:

Com 1 for development (com 1 - DEW)

Cumilla resmite (cumi-enc)

Com 1 for eighyates (com 1 -ACB)

4. Explain the difference between prespective process modely & auditories pubais modely.

>> luespecture puocess model

0

buolutrong puocess model

- · Developed boburg order & strucke to the sophian development puocus:
- Stage consists of growing increment of an operational software product , with evolution.
- "It can accommodate changing

Improvement is arequired in the puddid

· It is more popular s

It is less popolar.

prespectus

Material model & ironenetal, Eg: Sprial & pusto typing model models on a few examples of ay well as RAD model.

- 5] Ruswide Examples of sittersituation where using a specific publish model would be more suitable.
- ->. In overnental model When a puriject can be divided into smaller justional invenents, allowing wertan moduly to be developed & delived independently with ensuing integration & tyling along the way

RAD model: When breve is a need to guickly puode de a working puoto type to gather wer peedback & make reprenents before proceeding with full developement.

Mestrifall model - Hen veguireness are stable & changs ence ninimal, making it possible to plan l'execute the projet is a line a sequere of phases.

Agile model (Scrum) - When provide litry & adapt to ly are aurial & the projet can be devied into smally increment with frequent intrahan, allowing you continuous feedbooks changes u

6) Compare & contrast the waterfall model & agile nethodologus in terms of project planning & progress tracking.

Natorfall model is the fruit approach used in approach developments.

It is also called as clausifical life cycle model on linear sequential model any phase of development purcus begins only if numbers phas is completed.

· A cycle software development describes an approuch to software development under which requirement of solution enable though the collaborative efferest of self. agarifing & cuous functional teams & their courtemous If it adequets adaptive planning, evolutionary development early delivery & contained improvement & it en governous mapical of flexible responses to change

· The term agite was popularged in the context, by me manifes to for agite software development.

Apply procus motivics to evaluate the efficiency togethers of waterfall, agi to lbook sown & Kambad methodologies, or sidering factored such as development speed, adaptability to change & court tomor satisfaction.

Hatoyall: Development feed:

Hatoyall: Development feed:

Hatoyall is a linear Esequential methodo logy where
each phase must be completed before moung to the next

This can lead to longer development yeles.

· Matricy: Time taken por each phase ( ungrunnent design, deployment)

Actorptability to Change:

Matorptability to Change:

Matorptability to Change:

Matorptabl is less oxolog table to Changes in megimenent.

due to the original thucture

Matricy: Number of change mequests: impact analysis

time & dulays coursed by change megimenent.

0	Agite (Saun & Ranban):
	Deed:
	methodology emolos in the I development
	or gill bone, velocity
	Maturiay: Number of wer stowns completed per exent
	or agele time, nelocity
	Adaptability to Change:
	Thodo logier are highly adoptable to change
	requirement due to megular strakon & fleer bibily.
	Matrices: No. of change unauported per spunt / cycle 3 o'ne
_	matrices: No. of change unioneported per sprint ley de 3 oine taken torresport tochange nequets.
	Courteme satisfaction.
	Agite methodo logis involve continuos automore
	feedback ecollabouran; leading to improved satisfaction
	Maturas: Regula customer jecoloack scores, juguera
	feedback écollabouation; leading to improved satisfactures: Régula customer fecoloachécores, judgeres
,7	
8]	Justify the releasor of the following comparisons for software development models.
	Sytuare development models.

	Matogali. Model	Inovenetal Model.	Ruo totyping model	Spural Model
Reguisement Specification	Well Understood	Not well understood	Not well understood	Well
Understanding Requiement	Well understood	Not well unducted.	Not well understood	Well
Anaibbility of archable Components	the make and.	Yes	Xes	
Rick Analys	. 14.		No wisk Malyons	xey
Usirc chuolonent	Only at the beginning	2 Interned	rate High	High
implethental bine	tion long	lags	Cours.	bepard on Rus jest
Expertes veg	yur High	High	Mediun	n High
Cost contro	Yes	No	No	Xes.
Resoure Cont	no! Xes	Xes	Do	'Yes