2.4.2. Relatively Prime Integers Two integers a and b, not both zero, are said to prime to e^{ach} other or relatively prime or coprime if gcd(a,b)=1.

Thus 8 and 9 are relatively prime as gcd(8, 9) = 1.

Theorem 2.14. If a and b be integers, not both zero, then a and b are prime to each other if and only if there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1. In other words, $gcd(a, b) = 1 \Leftrightarrow au + bv = 1$ for some integers u, v.

Proof. Let a and b are prime to each other. Then gcd(a, b) = 1. Hence by Theorem 2.9, there exist integers u and v such that 1 = au + bv.

Now, let $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that au + bv = 1.

Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. Then $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d \mid (ax + by)$ [by Theorem 2.2 (vi)]

Thus $d \mid 1$. This implies that d = 1, since d > 0.

Thus gcd(a, b) = 1. Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2.15. If d = gcd(a, b), then $\frac{a}{d}$ and $\frac{b}{d}$ are integers prime to each other.

Proof. We have $gcd(a, b) = d \Rightarrow d \mid a \text{ and } d \mid b \text{. Also } d > 0$.

 $\therefore \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } a = md \text{ and } b = nd.$

Since $\frac{a}{d} = m$ and $\frac{b}{d} = n$, therefore, $\frac{a}{d}$ and $\frac{b}{d}$ are integers.

Since gcd(a, b) = d, $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that d = au + bv.

[by Theorem 2.9]

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{1} = \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)u + \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)v \quad \left[\because d > 0\right]$$

This form of representation implies that $\frac{a}{d}$ and $\frac{b}{d}$ are prime to each other (Theoren 2.14]). Hence proved.

2.5. Prime Numbers, Composite Numbers

An integer p > 1 is said to be a **prime number** or a **prime** if its only positive divisors are 1 and p.

An integer c > 1 which is not a prime is called *composite* number.

The integers 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13,.....are primes whereas 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14 are composite numbers.

The integer 1 is neither a prime nor a composite number.

2 is the only even prme number. All other prime numbers are odd.

Twin Primes

Successive odd integers p and p+2 which are primes are called twin primes.

For example, (3, 5), (5, 7), (11, 13) etc. are twin primes.

Lemma 1. A positive integer n is prime if gcd(n, p) = 1 where p is a prime number such that $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

Equivalently, a positive integer n is a composite number if it is divisible by at least one prime $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

Proof. If a positive integer n be composite, then n = bc for some integers b and c satisfying 1 < b < n, 1 < c < n.

Let $b \le c$. Then $b^2 \le bc = n \implies b \le \sqrt{n}$.

Since b > 1, b has at least one prime divisor p and $p \le b \le \sqrt{n}$. Hence proved.

Remark. Lemma 1 is used to test whether a given integer n is prime.

Theorem 2.15. If p be a prime and p|ab, then either p|a or p|b.

proof. If p|a, then the theorem is proved. Let p be not a divisor of a. Since p is a prime, it has only divisors 1 and p. It follows that gcd(a, p) = 1.

Hence $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $au + pv = 1 \Rightarrow abu + pbv = b$

y production of arms in to existing a mill meditive stronge into available, y Now, plab and plpb destrip some planting in the planting as the planting in th

$$\Rightarrow p | \{(ab)u + (pb)v\}$$
 [by Theorem 2.2 (vi)]
$$\Rightarrow p|b$$
 [by (1)]

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2.16. If p be a prime number and a is an integer such that $1 \le a < p$, then p is prime to a.

Candnical Form of an integer

Proof. Let gcd(a, p) = d. Then $d \mid a$ and $d \mid p$.

Again p is prime d = d = 1 or d = p.

But a < p and $d \mid a :: d \neq p$. Hence d=1.

Thus gcd(a, p) = 1 implying that a and p are prime to each other (Proved) no see not tree organized out a break not on a

Theorem 2.17. A composite number has at least on prime divisor. Their canonical forms are 18528 = $2^3 \times 2^5 \times 7^2$ and

Proof. Let c be a composite number. Then c has positive divisors besides 1 and c. Theorem 2.12 Let N(>1) be a positive

Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : x \text{ is a positive divisor of } c \text{ other than 1 and } c\}$.

Then S is a non-empty subset of the set $\mathbb N$ of natural numbers.

Hence by the Well-ordering property of \mathbb{N} , S has a least element, say, d. Fronk Bayond the scope of this book

Then 1 < d < c.

We assert that d is a prime number. If d is not a prime then dhas a divisor d_1 other than 1 and d and $1 < d_1 < d < c$.

But $d_1 \mid d$ and $d \mid n \Rightarrow d_1 \mid c \Rightarrow d_1 \in S$, which contradicts that $d_1 \mid d$ the least element of S. It follows immediately that d is a pri_{η_0} Since $d \in S$, the theorem follows. (Proved)

Theorem 2.18. (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Any positive integer is either 1, or a prime or it can be expressed uniquely as a product of primes (irrespective of the order of the factors in the product).

Canonical Form of an Integer

Any integer n>1 can be expressed in the following form, known canonical form of n:that Isa < p then p is prime

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$$

where p_i , i = 1(1)n are distinct primes such that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ and α_i , i = 1(1)n are positive integers.

The above canonical form is the application of the Fundamental theorem and is the best representation of a composite number For example, consider the composite numbers 3528 and 81675 Their canonical forms are $3528 = 2^3 \times 3^2 \times 7^2$ and $81675 = 3^3 \times 5^2 \times 11^{20}$

$$81675 = 3^3 \times 5^2 \times 11^2$$
.

Theorem 2.19. Let n(>1) be a positive integer whose camonical form is $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ where p_i , $i = 1(1)^n$ distinct primes with distinct primes with $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, i = 1Then the total number of positive divisors of n^{-1}

tand
$$(\alpha_1+1)(\alpha_2+1)....(\alpha_n+1)$$
..... (α_n+1)