

Contd.

## **Tautology, Contradiction & Contingency**

A statement which is true for all possible values of its constituent propositional variables is called a tautology.

A statement which is false for all possible values of its constituent propositional variables is called a contradiction.

A statement which is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a contingency.

Contd.

The statements  $p \vee \sim p$ ,  $p \wedge \sim p$ ,  $\sim p \vee q$  is a tautology, contradiction & a contingency respectively.

| $p$ | $q$ | $\sim p$ | $p \vee \sim p$ | $p \wedge \sim p$ | $\sim p \vee q$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| T   | T   | F        | T               | F                 | T               |
| T   | F   | F        | T               | F                 | F               |
| F   | T   | T        | T               | F                 | T               |
| F   | F   | T        | T               | F                 | T               |

Contd.

## Logical Equivalence

Two propositions P and Q are said to be logically equivalent if  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology. If they are logically equivalent we write  $P \equiv Q$ .

Ex.  $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$

| p | q | $\sim p$ | $\sim q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $\sim(p \wedge q)$ | $\sim p \vee \sim q$ | $\sim(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\sim p \vee \sim q)$ |
|---|---|----------|----------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| T | T | F        | F        | T            | F                  | F                    | T   |
| T | F | F        | T        | F            | T                  | T                    | T   |
| F | T | T        | F        | F            | T                  | T                    | T   |
| F | F | T        | T        | F            | T                  | T                    | T   |

Check  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q$  ?

Contd.

## Algebra of Proposition

The operations  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  for any proposition  $p, q, r$  satisfy the following proposition

### 1. Commutative properties

$$\text{a) } p \vee q \equiv q \vee p \qquad \text{b) } p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

### 2. Associative properties

$$\text{a) } p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$$

$$\text{b) } p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$

### 3. Distributive properties

$$\text{a) } p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

$$\text{b) } p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

### 4. Idempotent properties

$$\text{a) } p \vee p \equiv p \qquad \text{b) } p \wedge p \equiv p$$

### 5. Properties of components

$$\text{a) } p \vee \sim p \equiv T \qquad \text{b) } p \wedge \sim p \equiv F$$

$$\text{c) } \sim T \equiv F \qquad \text{d) } \sim F \equiv T$$

Contd.

## De Morgan's Laws

$$e) \sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$$

$$f) \sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$$

## Involution property

$$g) \sim(\sim p) \equiv p$$

## 6. Identity properties

$$a) p \wedge T \equiv p$$

$$b) p \wedge F \equiv F$$

$$c) p \vee T \equiv T$$

$$d) p \vee F \equiv p$$

Contd.

## **Well Formed Formulas(wff)**

A statement formula is a logical expression consisting of variables, parentheses & connective symbols. A statement formula is not a statement & has no truth value. If we substitute definite statements in place of variable in the given formula we get a statement.

A statement formula is called a wff if it can be generated by the following rules:

**<W1>** a statement variable  $p$  standing alone is a wff.

Contd.

<W2> if  $p$  is a wff then  $\sim p$  is a wff

<W3> if  $p$  and  $q$  are wff then  $(p \wedge q)$ ,  $(p \vee q)$ ,  $(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $(p \leftrightarrow q)$  are wff.

<W4> a string of symbols is a wff iff it is obtained by finitely many applications of the rules W1, W2 and W3.

Ex.  $\sim(p \vee q)$ ,  $p \wedge \sim q$ ,  $p \rightarrow (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$ ,  $(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$

Contd.

## **Normal Forms:**

The problem of determining in a finite no. of steps whether a given statement formula is a tautology or a contradiction or at least a contingency is known as a decision problem in proposition calculus.

We can check using truth table. The construction of truth table becomes tedious when the number of variables increases. If there are  $n$  variables in  $P$  or  $Q$  then  $2^n$  rows in truth table.



Contd.

A better approach is to transform the expressions  $P$  and  $Q$  to some standard forms of expressions say,  $P'$  and  $Q'$  such that a simple comparison of  $P'$  and  $Q'$  shows whether  $P \equiv Q$ . These standard forms are called **normal forms or canonical forms**. There are 2 types of normal forms namely **disjunctive normal form(DNF) & conjunctive normal form(CNF)**.

Contd.

Show how to find a specific formula from a given truth table.

Let, the truth table for the formula  $P(p,q,r)$

For true value

$$P(p,q,r) \equiv (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge \sim q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge \sim q \wedge \sim r) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q \wedge r) \rightarrow (1)$$

For false value

$$\sim T \equiv F$$

$$P(p,q,r) \equiv (\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee \sim q \vee \sim r) \wedge (p \vee \sim q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \rightarrow (2)$$

Eq<sup>n</sup>(1) called sum of product(SOP)

Eq<sup>n</sup>(2) called product of sum(POS)

| p | q | r | P(p,q,r) |
|---|---|---|----------|
| T | T | T | T        |
| T | T | F | F        |
| T | F | T | T        |
| T | F | F | T        |
| F | T | T | F        |
| F | T | F | F        |
| F | F | T | T        |
| F | F | F | F        |

Contd.

## Disjunctive Normal forms(DNF)

A logical expression which is equivalent to a given logical expression & which consists of a sum of elementary products is called a DNF of the given logical expression.

Ex.  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$ ,  $(p \wedge q) \vee (q \wedge \sim p)$ ,  
 $(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge r) \vee (r \wedge \sim q)$

Procedure to obtain DNF of a given logical expression:

**Step1.** Remove conditional ( $\rightarrow$ ) & biconditional ( $\leftrightarrow$ ) from the given expression & obtain an equivalent expression containing the connectives  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  only.

Contd.

[ replace  $p \rightarrow q$  by  $\sim p \vee q$   
 $p \leftrightarrow q$  by  $(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$  or by  
 $(\sim p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee \sim q)$  ]

**Step2.** Use De Morgan's law to eliminate  $\sim$  before sum & products by using double negation.

**Step3.** Apply distributive properties until a sum of elementary products is obtained.

Contd.

Ex.

Find the DNF of the expression  $\sim(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)$

We know if  $r \leftrightarrow s$

Then  $r \leftrightarrow s \equiv (r \wedge s) \vee (\sim r \wedge \sim s)$

$\therefore \sim(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)$

$\equiv (\sim(p \vee q) \wedge (p \wedge q)) \vee (\sim(\sim(p \vee q)) \wedge \sim(p \wedge q))$

$\equiv ((\sim p \wedge \sim q) \wedge (p \wedge q)) \vee (p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q)$

[using De Morgan's Law]

$\equiv (\sim p \wedge \sim q \wedge p \wedge q) \vee ((p \vee q) \wedge \sim p) \vee ((p \vee q) \wedge \sim q)$

[by distributive property]

$\equiv (\sim p \wedge \sim q \wedge p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \sim p) \vee (q \wedge \sim p) \vee (p \wedge \sim q) \vee (q \wedge \sim q)$  [by distributive property]

Which is required DNF.

Contd.

## Conjunctive Normal Forms(CNF)

A logical expression which is equivalent to a given logical expression & which consists of a product of elementary sums is called a CNF of the given expression.

The procedure to convert in CNF is similar to DNF.

Find the CNF of the expression  $\sim(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)$

We know  $r \leftrightarrow s \equiv (r \rightarrow s) \wedge (s \rightarrow r)$

Thus  $\sim(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)$

$\equiv (\sim(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge ((p \wedge q) \rightarrow \sim(p \vee q)))$

$\equiv (\sim(\sim(p \vee q)) \vee (p \wedge q)) \wedge (\sim(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim(p \vee q)))$

[as  $r \rightarrow s \equiv \sim r \vee s$ ]

Contd.

$$\equiv ((p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q)) \wedge ((\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q))$$

[ by De Morgan's law]

$$\equiv ((p \vee q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee q)) \wedge ((\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q))$$

[by distributive property]

$$\equiv ((p \vee q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee q)) \wedge ((\sim p \vee \sim q \vee \sim p) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q \vee \sim q))$$

[by distributive property]

$$\equiv (p \vee q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q \vee \sim p) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q \vee \sim q)$$

Which is required CNF.

Contd.

Without forming truth table prove that

$$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$$

$$\text{Sol}^n: p \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge T) \vee (p \wedge q) \quad [\text{as } p \wedge T \equiv p]$$

$$\equiv p \wedge (T \vee q) \quad [\text{by distributive property}]$$

$$\equiv p \wedge T \quad [\text{as } T \vee q \equiv T]$$

$$\equiv p$$

$$\therefore p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p \quad (\text{proved})$$