CSCI 665 Assignment 3

```
2.Consider a = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50]; v = 50
search([10, 20, 30, 40, 50]; 50)
= searchHelp([10, 20, 30, 40, 50], 50; 0, 4)
= searchHelp([10, 20, 30, 40, 50], 50; 2,4)
= searchHelp([10, 20, 30, 40, 50], 50; 2,3)
Due to the incorrect Algorithm for binary search,
Here it is stuck on an infinite loop.
3.a.
S1 =B12 -B22 =8-2=6
S2 =A11 +A12 =1+3=4
S3 =A21 +A22 =7+5=12
S4 =B21 -B11 =4-6=-2
S5 =A11 +A22 =1+5=6
S6 =B11 +B22 =6+2=8
S7 =A12 -A22 =3-5=-2
S8 =B21 +B22 =4+2=6
S9 = A11 - A21 = 1 - 7 = - 6
S10 =B11 +B12 =6+8=14
The products are:
P1 =A11 *S1 =1*6=6 P2 =S2 *B22 =4*2=8 P3 =S3 *B11 =12*6=72
P4 =A22 *S4 =5*-2=-10
P5 = S5 * S6 = 6 * 8 = 48
P6 = S7 * S8 = -2 * 6 = -12
P7 =S9 *S10 =-6*14=-84
The four matrices are:
C11 = P5 + P4 - P2 + P6 = 48 + (-10) - 8 + (-12) = 18
C12 =P1 +P2 =6+8=14
C21 = P3 + P4 = 72 + (-10) = 62
C22 = P5 + P1 - P3 - P7 = 48 + 6 - 72 - (-84) = 66
Hence the resultant Matrix is:-[[18,14],
                                [62,66]]
b.Strassens Algorithm
MatMul(A,B)
```

```
n = A.rows
If n == 1
 C11 ← A11 * B11
                     Else
S1 ← B12 - B22
 S2 ← A11 + A12
S3 ← A21 + A22
 S4 ← B21 - B11
 S5 ← A11 + A22
S6 ← B11 + B22
 S7 ← A12 - A22
S8 ← B21 + B22
 S9 ← A11 - A21
 S10 ← B11 + B12
 P1 ← MatMul (A11,S1) P2 ← MatMul (S2,B22) P3 ← MatMul (S3,B11) P4 ← MatMul
(A22,S4) P5 \leftarrow MatMul (S5,S6)
 P6 \leftarrow MatMul (S7,S8)
P7 \leftarrow MatMul (S9,S10)
 C11 \leftarrow P5 + P4 - P2 + P6 C12 \leftarrow P1 + P2 C21 \leftarrow P3 + P4 C22 \leftarrow P1 + P5 - P3 - P7
Return C
c.
Given: C21 = P3 + P4
We know that,
P3 = S3 * B11
  = (A21 + A22) * B11
P4 = A22 * S4
  = A22 (B21 - B11)
P3 + P4
= (A21 + A22) * B11 + A22 (B21 - B11)
= A21* B11 + A22 * B11 + A22 * B21 - A22 * B11
= A21* B11 + A22 * B21
Hence proved.
(d)
Given: C22 = P5 + P1 - P3 - P7
We know that,
P5 = S5 * S6
  = (A11 + A22) (B11 + B22)
  = A11 * B11 + A11 * B22 + A22 * B11 + A22 * B22
P1 = A11 * S1
```

```
= A11 (B12 - B22)
  = A11 * B12 - A11 * B22
P3 = S3 * B11
  = (A21 + A22) B11
  = A21 * B11 + A22 * B11
P7 = S9 * S10
  = (A11 - A21) (B11 + B12)
  = A11 * B11 + A11 * B12 - A21 * B11 - A21 * B12
P5 + P1 = A11 * B11 + A11 * B22 + A22 * B11 + A22 * B22 + A11 * B12 - A11 * B22
       = A11 * B11 + A22 * B11 + A22 * B22 + A11 * B12
P5 + P1 - P3 = A11 * B11 + A22 * B11 + A22 * B22 + A11 * B12 - (A21 * B11 + A22 * B11)
           = A11 * B11 + A22 * B22 + A11 * B12 - A21 * B11
P5 + P1 - P3 - P7
= A11 * B11 + A22 * B22 + A11 * B12 - A21 * B11 - (A11 * B11 + A11 * B12 - A21 * B11 - A21 *
= A22 * B22 + A21 * B12
Hence proved.
T(1) = 1
T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + 9/2 n^2
= 7 (7 T(n/2^2) + 9/2 (n/2)^2) + 9/2 n^2
= 7^2 T(n/2^2) + 7.9/2 (n/2)^2 + 9/2 n^2
= 7^2 (7 T(n/2^3) + 9/2 (n/2^2)^2) + 7.9/2 (n/2)^2 + 9/2 n^2
= 7^3 T(n/2^3) + 7^2 9/2 (n/2^2)^2 + 7.9/2 (n/2)^2 + 9/2 n^2
Identifying the pattern
= 7^k T(n/2^k) + 7^k(-1) 9/2 (n/2^k(-1))^2 + ... + 7^0 9/2 (n/2^0)^2
= 7^k T(2^m/2^k) + 7^(k-1) 9/2 (2^m/2^(k-1))^2 + ... + 7^0 9/2 (2^m/2^0)^2
= 7^k T(2^{m-k}) + 7^{(k-1)} 9/2 (2^{m-(k-1)})^2) + ... + 7^0 9/2 (2^{m-0})^2
```

```
consider k = m
```

$$T(2^m) = 7^m T(2^{(m-m)}) + 7^{(k-1)} 9/2 (2^{(m-(m-1))})^2) + ... + 7^0 9/2 (2^{(m-0)})^2$$

$$= 7^m T(1) + 7^{(k-1)} 9/2 (2^{(1)})^2) + ... + 7^0 9/2 (2^{(m-1)})^2$$

$$= 7^m + 9/2 sum_{i=0}^{(i=0)}(m-1) 7^{i} (2^{(m-1)})^2$$

$$= 7^m + 9/2 sum_{i=0}^{(i=0)}(m-1) 7^{i} (2^{(m-1)})^2$$

$$= 7^m + 9/2 sum_{i=0}^{(i=0)}(m-1) 7^{i} (2^{(m-1)})^2$$

$$= 7^m + 9/2 2^m sum_{i=0}^{(i=0)}(m-1) (7/(2^{(2)}))^{i} (7/(2^{(2)}))$$

Hence the real component is ac - bd and imaginary component is ad + bc

```
4.a.
For every even n \in N, there exist some k \in N such that n = 2k.
Then, [(n + 1)/2] = [(2k + 1)/2]
= [k + 1/2]
= k
= [k]
= [2k/2]
= [n/2], because n = 2k
Same way for every odd n \in N, there exist some k \in N such that n = 2k + 1.
Then, [(n + 1)/2] = [((2k + 1) + 1)/2]
= [(2k + 2)/2]
= [k + 1]
= k + 1
= [k + 1/2]
= [(2k + 1)/2]
= [n/2], because n = 2k + 1
So, for any n \in N, [(n + 1)/2] = ceil of (n/2)
b.
For every even n \in N, there exist some k \in N such that n = 2k.
Then, [n/2] + 1 = [2k/2] + 1
= [2k/2] + 1
= [k] + 1
= k + 1
= [k + \frac{1}{2}]
= [(2k + 1)/2]
= [(n + 1)/2], because n = 2k
Same way for every odd n \in \mathbb{N}, there exist some k \in \mathbb{N} such that n = 2k + 1.
Then, [n/2] + 1
= [(2k + 1)/2] + 1
= [k + \frac{1}{2}] + 1
= [k] + 1
= k + 1
= [k + 1]
= [2(k + 1)/2]
=[(2k+2)/2]
=[((2k+1)+1)/2]
= [(n + 1)/2], because n = 2k + 1
So, for any n \in N, [n/2] + 1 = ceil of <math>(n + 1)/2
Given D(n) = T(n + 1) T(n)
```

```
So, D(1) = T(1 + 1) T(1)
= T(2) ) T(1)
= T(2) ) 0 = T(2)
= T([2/2]) + T([2/2]) + 2, From recurrence definition
= T([1]) + T([1]) + 2
= T(1) + T(1) + 2
= 0 + 0 + 2
= 2
Now, D(n) = T(n + 1)
T(n) = T([(n + 1)/2]) + T([(n + 1)/2]) + (n+1)) (T([n/2]) + T([n/2]) + n)
, by recurrence definition
= T([(n + 1)/2]) + 1 - T([n/2]))
, putting value from 4.a.
= T([n/2] + 1) + 1 = T([n/2]), putting value from 4.b
= T([n/2] + 1) - T([n/2]) + 1
= D([n/2]) + 1, by definition of D(n)
d.
By the strong form of mathematical induction.
Observe D(1) = 2, from 3.c
= 0 + 2 = [lg 1] + 2
Assume D(k) = [lg(k)] + 2 \text{ if } 0 < k < n
Now,
D(n) = D([n/2]) + 1, from 3.c
Suppose, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor = k for any k \in \mathbb{N} and k \ge 1
So, D(n) = D([k]) + 1
= D([k]) + 1
= [\lg k] + 2 + 1, by assumption
= [lg(n/2)] + 2 + 1, because k = n/2
= [lg n - lg 2] + 2 + 1
= [\lg n - 1] + 2 + 1
= [lg n] - [1] + 2 + 1, for any n \in N, [lg n - 1]
= [lg n] - [1]
= [lg n] + 2
e.
T(n) - T(1) = T(n) = T(n n 1) + T(n n 1) - T(n n 2) + T(n n 2) - \cdots + T(3) - T(2) + T(2) - T(1)
= D(n-1) + D(n-2) + \cdots + D(2) + D(1), from definition of D(n)
= D(1) + D(2) + \cdots + D(n - 1) + D(n - 1)
= n-1\Sigma k=1 D(k)
Hence, T(n) - T(1) = -1\Sigma k = 1 D(k)
Here, T(1) = 0, and from 4.d. we conclude our immediate consequence is
T(n) = n-1\Sigma k=1 [lg k] + 2
```

```
f.  T(n) = n-1\Sigma k=1 \ [lg \ k] + 2  = n\Sigma k=1 \ [lg \ k] + 2 - ([lg \ n] + 2) \ , \ adding \ n \ th \ term \ to \ change \ the \ limit   = (([lg \ 1] + 2) + ([lg \ 2] + 2) + \cdots + ([lg \ n] + 2)) - ([lg \ n] + 2)  = (([lg \ 1] + [lg \ 2] + \cdots + [lg \ n]) + 2n) - ([lg \ n] + 2)  = (([lg \ 1 + lg \ 2 + \cdots + lg \ n]) + 2n) - ([lg \ n] + 2) \ , \ for \ arbitrary \ larger \ n \ combining \ all \ sums \ of \ log \ into \ a \ single \ floor.  = ([lg \ n!)] + 2n) - ([lg \ n] + 2)  = [lg \ (n!)] - [lg \ n] + 2n - 2  = [lg \ (n!)] - [lg \ n] + 2(n - 1)  Time complexity of above equation in terms of Big-O = O(n \ lg \ (n)) - O(lg \ n) + O(n)  So, overall time complexity of T(n) = O(n \log(n)).
```