NLU project exercise lab: 11

Carlo Marotta (231590)

University of Trento

carlo.marotta@studenti.unitn.it

1. Introduction

Sentiment analysis, a crucial task involving the extraction and evaluation of emotions and opinions expressed in text, holds significant importance within the field of natural language processing. This paper offers a comprehensive examination of sentiment analysis, delving into various dimensions, including the detection of **subjectivity**, prediction of **polarity**, and **aspect-based sentiment analysis**. Employing state-of-the-art neural network models and pretrained language models, our aim is to offer a comprehensive insight into the world of sentiment analysis tasks and their intricate interplay.

2. Implementation details

In the first part of our exercise, we tackled the creation of a pipeline model for **subjectivity** and **polarity detection tasks**. This pipeline consists of three main functions: load_subjectivity_data, load_polarity_data and objective_removal. The first two functions preprocess the working datasets (*Subjectivity* and *Movie Reviews* respectively) through a series of text cleaning operations, such as removing stop words, punctuation and lemmatizing words. The result obtained is a list of sentences associated with their respective subjectivity or polarity labels.

In the case of polarity with the removal of objective sentences, the <code>objective_removal</code> function takes sentences and labels from the polarity dataset and applies the subjectivity model to remove objective sentences. The extraction of subjective sentences can only occur when a pre-trained model for subjectivity task is available. This process is a pivotal step in the pipeline for polarity detection task and aims to obtain a more focused dataset containing only subjective sentences on which to evaluate performance improvements.

In the second part of the exercise, we focused on aspect-based sentiment analysis using the Laptop partition dataset from SemEval2014 task 4. We extracted aspect terms from the texts and then performed polarity detection for these terms. To do this, we loaded the dataset and preprocessed it to obtain a list of aspect terms and their respective polarities for subsequent analysis with our model. The crucial functions for the success of this part are get_ot for aspect terms extraction and get_ts for polarity detection, based on iterative calls to the built-in function convert_polarity_in_ts. This function allowed us to extract from the dataset a list of sentiments (POS for positive, *NEG* for negative, *NEU* for neutral or *O* for null) associated with their structural position in the text ("S" for singleton, "B" for the beginning of a sequence of equal sentiments, 'I" for inside a sequence with a length greater than 2, and "E" for the end of a sequence).

In all the models implemented for this exercise, we used **BERT**, as the pre-trained model, and the AdamW optimizer. To optimize model performance, we primarily focused on tuning LEARNING_RATE parameters and batch sizes.

3. Results

For evaluating the performance of our models across all exercises, we designed a central function train. Within this function, we initialized the models, defined the loss functions and set up the optimizer, and it is structured to seamlessly integrate both the training and testing phases by invoking train_loop and test_loop, respectively. The training function is responsible for tasks such as loss computation, parameter updates using the AdamW optimizer, and iteratively improving the model's performance. Concurrently, the testing phase handled the calculation of accuracy to assess the model's effectiveness. To identify the most optimal model, we implemented an early stopping mechanism, configured with a parameter denoted as PATIENCE. This early stopping mechanism not only assisted us in identifying the top-performing model but also served as a safeguard against overfitting to the training data by halting the training iteration as soon as the patience level reached zero. For the aspect-based sentiment analysis task, the ultimate evaluation criterion isn't the accuracy of the pre-trained model. Instead, this model serves as a foundational tool for the proper assessment of performance in two important areas: the extraction of aspect terms and the detection of their respective polarities. The central function in this context is evaluate, which combines Opinion Target Extraction (OTE) and Targeted Sentiment (TS) assessments, by calling both evaluate_ote and evaluate_ts. The former evaluates OTE performance, while the latter assesses TS performance, both based on F1-score, precision and recall calculations. This entire evaluation process is encapsulated within the evals.py file, which ensures a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance on aspect term extraction and polarity detection tasks.

3.1. Part 1

For the subjectivity task, we conducted several experiments to assess the best model performance by tuning the LEARNING_RATE parameter. However, in the case of the two models for the polarity task, conducting a substantial number of experiments to evaluate optimal performance was challenging due to significant computational resource limitations, even when utilizing a virtual GPU machine. Hence, we opted to utilize the same hyperparameters that were selected for the best-performing model in the subjectivity task. We can indeed confirm that there has been an improvement in final accuracy (not the mean) when using the dataset without objective sentences as opposed to the performance achieved with the entire dataset.

3.2. Part 2

In this scenario, constrained by the large dataset and limited GPU availability, we were able to conduct only a limited number of experiments. Nevertheless, we achieved promising results, ensuring meaningful performance for the final evaluation of Aspect Terms Extraction and Polarity Detection tasks.

4. Showing results

Table 1: Part 1 and Part 2

TASK	BATCH_SIZE	LEARNING_RATE	Accuracy	F1	Precision	Recall
Subjectivity	64/64	0.00005	0.9895	-	-	-
Polarity	64/64	0.00005	0.9831	-	-	-
Subjectivity & Polarity	64/64	0.00005	0.9788	-	-	-
Aspect Term Extraction	1024/1024	0.00005	0.9293	0.97453	0.95142	0.99891
Polarity Detection	1024/1024	0.00005	0.9293	0.93535	0.92197	0.94922

Figure 1: Subjectivity task

Figure 2: Polarity task

```
### DATASET SIZE: 40993 (sentences) - 40993 (labels)

Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 0it [00:00, 7it/s] --> Accuracy: 0.885609756097561
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 1it [11:20, 680.46s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.958292682926829
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 2it [22:40, 679.95s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9817073170731707
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 3it [33:56, 678.23s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9897535984386435
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 4it [45:12, 677.39s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9987729462063918
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 5it [62:26, 676.18s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.990724462063918
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 5it [62:26, 676.18s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.99072456067553
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 5it [1:30:14, 675.86s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9965845328128812
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 8it [1:30:14, 676.18s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9965845328128812
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 8it [1:30:14, 676.18s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9973164186386924
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 9it [1:41:30, 676.07s/it] --> Accuracy: 0.9973164186386924
Cross-validation of 10 K-fold: 10it [1:51:10, 667.09s/it]

Mean Accuracy: 0.9788
```

Figure 3: Polarity task with objective sentences removal

Figure 4: Aspect Terms Extraction and Polarity Detection tasks