

# Chem237: Lecture 3

Alan Robledo, Shane Flynn, Moises Romero

4/5/18

## First and Second Order ODE with variable coefficients

One of the few Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs) with non-constant coefficients which have an analytical solution is Clairaut's equation. In general, it has the form

$$y - xy' = f(y') \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is a continuously differentiable function of the derivative of  $y$  with respect to (wrt)  $x$ . To solve it, we start by taking the derivative wrt  $x$  of both sides, which involves using the product rule and the chain rule.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(y - xy') = \frac{d}{dx}f(y') \Rightarrow y' - y' - xy'' = \frac{df}{dy'} \frac{dy'}{dx} = f'(y')y'' \Rightarrow -xy'' = f'(y')y'' \quad (2)$$

While every other prime is meant to be a derivative wrt  $x$ , do not forget that  $f'(y') = \frac{df(y')}{dy'}$  because it is easy to mistake this for  $f'(y') \neq \frac{df(y')}{dx}$  at later times. Now, moving the  $-xy''$  term to the other side gives us

$$y''(f'(y') + x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

and by inspection, we can get two solutions to the ODE.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(y') + x &= 0 \\ y'' &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The bottom equation has a solution that can be found with two simple integrations

$$\int y'' dx = \int 0 dx \Rightarrow y' = a \Rightarrow \int y' dx = \int a dx \Rightarrow y = ax + b \quad (5)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary constants. If we take the  $y$  and  $y'$  we found and substitute them back into equation (1), we get

$$ax + b - ax = f(a) \Rightarrow b = f(a) \quad (6)$$

Thus,  $y = ax + f(a)$  is a general solution. However, we also have  $f'(y') + x = 0$ . Using this and equation (1), we have two equations with two unknowns,  $y$  and  $y'$ . We could use one of the equations to eliminate  $y'$  from the other equation and end up with a closed form solution for  $y$ . Such a solution would have no arbitrary constants and is therefore called the singular solution.

To get a better understanding of this, let's consider an example equation

$$y = xy' + (y')^2 \quad (7)$$

We can see that this is a Clairaut equation because we can subtract  $xy'$  from both sides and  $(y')^2$  can be considered a function of  $y'$ . Taking from the general case, we can say that  $f(a) = a^2$  where  $a$  is an arbitrary constant, giving us a general solution.

$$y = ax + a^2 \quad (8)$$

However, we also have to consider the equation

$$f'(y') + x = \frac{d}{dy'}(y')^2 + x = 2y' + x = 0. \quad (9)$$

If we solve for  $y'$  and substitute into equation (1), we get

$$y = -\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{4} = -\frac{x^2}{4} \quad (10)$$

which is our singular solution since it has no arbitrary constants like the general solution. The singular solution has a nice property, in that it is an envelope to a family of curves defined by the general solution. This means that, when plotted, every possible general solution is tangential to the singular solution (see Figure 1).

Let's consider extending from a first-order linear ODE (Clairaut equation) to a second-order linear ODE with non-constant coefficients. Assume that we have such an ODE in two forms (equation 11), homogenous (bottom equation) and inhomogenous (top equation), where  $P(x)$ ,  $Q(x)$ , and  $R(x)$  are arbitrary functions of  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y &= S(x) \\ P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In Lectures 1 and 2, we mentioned that obtaining solutions to inhomogenous ODEs with non-constant coefficients is non-trivial and can often times only be solved numerically instead of analytically. So let's focus on the homogenous equation instead. Since all of our functions are arbitrary, suppose we know the solution of the homogenous equation to be

$$c_1y_1(x) + c_2y_2(x) \quad (12)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are arbitrary constants. Since the inhomogenous equation differs from the homogenous equation by an  $S(x)$ , it's safe to say that a solution to the inhomogenous case would be of the form

$$y = u_1(x)y_1(x) + u_2(x)y_2(x) \quad (13)$$

where the coefficients now depend on  $x$  instead of being constant and also,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are solutions to the homogenous case. To find a more specific solution to the inhomogenous equation, let's plug in the homogenous solution and its derivatives into the inhomogenous equation. Before we compute the second derivative, it would be convenient to impose a constraint to make the math a bit easier.

$$u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2 = 0 \quad (14)$$

The first derivative becomes

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= u_1'y_1 + u_1y_1' + u_2'y_2 + u_2y_2' \\ &= u_1y_1' + u_2y_2' \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

And the second derivative

$$y'' = u_1'y_1' + u_1y_1'' + u_2'y_2' + u_2y_2'' \quad (16)$$

The rest of the method is straight forward because all we need to do is substitute the equations and see if any terms cancel out.

$$P(x)[u_1'y_1' + u_1y_1'' + u_2'y_2' + u_2y_2''] + Q(x)[u_1y_1' + u_2y_2'] + R(x)[u_1y_1 + u_2y_2] = S(x) \quad (17)$$

If we distribute the  $P(x)$ ,  $Q(x)$ , and  $R(x)$ , we can see something interesting

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)[u_1'y_1' + u_2'y_2'] + P(x)u_1(x)y_1'' + Q(x)u_1(x)y_1' + R(x)u_1(x)y_1 + \\ P(x)u_2(x)y_2'' + Q(x)u_2(x)y_2' + R(x)u_2(x)y_2 = S(x) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are solutions to the homogenous equation, we can say that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)u_1(x)y_1'' + Q(x)u_1(x)y_1' + R(x)u_1(x)y_1 &= 0 \\ P(x)u_2(x)y_2'' + Q(x)u_2(x)y_2' + R(x)u_2(x)y_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which leaves us with

$$P(x)[u_1'y_1' + u_2'y_2'] = S(x) \quad (20)$$

Using our constraint from before, we can make a system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)y_1'u_1' + P(x)y_2'u_2' &= S(x) \\ y_1u_1' + y_2u_2' &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where the only unknowns are  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . After solving for the unknowns, both can be integrated with respect to  $x$  to obtain  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  and thus the solution to the inhomogenous equation.

To see this in action, let's try an example.

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 y'' - 2y &= x \\x^2 y'' - 2y &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Noting that  $y = x^m$  is a natural guess for the solution to (2), we can obtain a general solution by plugging it and its derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}y &= x^m \\y' &= mx^{m-1} \\y'' &= m(m-1)x^{m-2}\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

into (2) and dividing by  $x^m$  to obtain the characteristic polynomial

$$m(m-1)x^2x^{m-2} - 2x^m = 0 \Rightarrow m(m-1) - 2 = 0 \Rightarrow m^2 - m - 2 = 0\tag{24}$$

whose solutions are  $m = 2$  and  $m = -1$ , so the general solution is the linear combination of unique solutions.

$$y = c_1 x^2 + \frac{c_2}{x}\tag{25}$$

Just as before, let's assume that the solution to the inhomogenous equation is of the form

$$y = u_1(x)x^2 + u_2(x)\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\tag{26}$$

Now we can take derivatives to plug into the original ODE, while keeping in mind the constraint  $u_1' y_1 + u_2' y_2 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}y' &= u_1' x^2 + 2u_1 x + u_2' \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - u_2 \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) = 2u_1 x - u_2 \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \\y'' &= 2u_1' x + 2u_1 - u_2' \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) + u_2 \left(\frac{2}{x^3}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

If we plug  $y$  and  $y''$  into (1), we get

$$x^2 \left[ 2u_1' x + 2u_1 - u_2' \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) + u_2 \left(\frac{2}{x^3}\right) \right] - 2 \left[ u_1 x^2 + u_2 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] = x\tag{28}$$

and with some rearranging

$$2x^3 u_1' - u_2' + 2x^2 u_1 + 2u_2 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - 2u_1 x^2 - 2u_2 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = x\tag{29}$$

we obtain

$$2x^3 u_1' - u_2' = x\tag{30}$$

And since we are left with  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we can make our system of linear equations with the equation above and our constraint.

$$\begin{aligned}2x^3 u_1' - u_2' &= x \\x^2 u_1' + \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) u_2' &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

We can solve the system by solving the above equation for  $u_2$  and plugging it into the equation below to solve for  $u_1$

$$x^2 u_1' + \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) (2x^3 u_1' - x) = 0 \Rightarrow u_1' (x^2 + 2x^2) - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow u_1' = \frac{1}{3x^2}\tag{32}$$

Plugging  $u_1$  into the equation above gives us  $u_2$

$$2x^3 \left(\frac{1}{3x^2}\right) - u_2' = x \Rightarrow \frac{2}{3}x - u_2' = x \Rightarrow u_2' = -\frac{x}{3}\tag{33}$$

Integrating both  $u'_1$  and  $u'_2$  with respect to  $x$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int u'_1 dx &= \int \frac{1}{3x^2} dx \Rightarrow u_1 = -\frac{1}{3x} + c_1 \\ \int u'_2 dx &= \int -\frac{x}{3} dx \Rightarrow u_2 = -\frac{x^2}{6} + c_2\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

So the general solution to the inhomogeneous ODE is

$$\begin{aligned}y &= \left(-\frac{1}{3x} + c_1\right)x^2 + \left(-\frac{x^2}{6} + c_2\right)\frac{1}{x} \Rightarrow \\ y &= -\frac{x}{2} + c_1x^2 + \frac{c_2}{x}\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

The last trick we will discuss involves second-order linear ODEs of the form

$$y'' + f(x)y' + g(x)y = 0\tag{36}$$

Making the substitution  $y = v(x)p(x)$ , where  $p(x)$  is one solution to the ODE, will give us

$$\begin{aligned}(v''p + v'p' + v'p' + vp'') + f(x)[v'p + vp'] + g(x)[vp] &= 0 \Rightarrow \\ pv'' + [2p' + f(x)p]v' + [p'' + f(x)p' + g(x)p]v &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

Noting that  $p$  is a solution to the ODE, you can see that the expression inside the brackets of the third term is equal to zero. Thus, after dividing by  $p$ , reducing the equation to a second-order ODE in  $v$

$$v'' + \left(2\frac{p'}{p} + f\right)v' = 0\tag{38}$$

Solving for  $p$  becomes trivial after performing a **reduction of order**, which involves substituting  $v'(x) = h(x)$  and  $v''(x) = h'(x)$  into the ODE, which turns the second-order ODE in  $v$  into a first-order ODE in  $h$ .

$$\begin{aligned}v'' + \left(2\frac{p'}{p} + f\right)v' &= 0 \\ h'(x) + \left(2\frac{p'}{p} + f\right)h(x) &= 0 \\ \int \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} &= - \int \frac{dx}{\left(2\frac{p'}{p} + f\right)}\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

Once you solve the first-order ODE, you can back-substitute  $h$  for  $v'$ , integrate one last time to obtain  $v$ , and multiply your solution by  $p$  to obtain the general solution  $y$ . And with that, we are done with looking at chapter 1 of the Mathematical Methods book.

## Series

Chapter 2 discusses tests for convergence of series and methods to determine the sum of a series. Any ordered list of elements can be considered a **sequence**. If we have a sequence of numbers, real or complex, this could be written as

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\tag{40}$$

where  $n$  is the total amount of numbers (elements) in the sequence (can be finite or infinite).

A **series** is defined as the summation of a sequence of numbers

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\tag{41}$$

A **partial sum** is defined as the summation of parts of a sequence:

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n\tag{42}$$

The infinite limit of a partial sum defines the infinite sum.

$$S = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \quad (43)$$

The value of  $S$  determines whether the series is labeled as convergent or divergent, an essential distinction for manipulating series.

## Convergence and Divergence

A series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be **convergent** if its partial sums  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  tend towards some finite number. If the partial sums tend towards  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ , then the series is said to be **divergent**. In other words, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0 \quad (44)$$

then the series is convergent. If the limit is any other value or the limit does not exist, then the series is divergent.

If the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \quad (45)$$

converges to some finite value, then we say that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is **absolutely convergent**. If the series in Equation 45 diverges

but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent, then we say that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is **conditionally convergent**.

For example, consider the alternating harmonic series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots \quad (46)$$

This is an example of an alternating series because each successive term switches between a positive and negative sign. Note: the  $(-1)^{n+1}$  could be  $(-1)^n$  or any other integer exponent and the series would still be considered an alternating series. This series is said to be convergent and there are several tests that can be used to prove that this is true, some of which will be discussed later in the lecture. In the infinite limit, the series converges to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = \ln(2) \quad (47)$$

A quick way to prove this is to consider the following function

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n} \quad (48)$$

If we insert  $x = 1$ , we get back the alternating harmonic series. And if you take a look at your list of every conceivable Taylor expansion in the universe, you will find

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n} = \ln(1+x) \quad (49)$$

Since we know that our original series is equal to  $S(x)$  when  $x = 1$ , then we can say that the original series is equal to  $\ln(1+1) = \ln(2)$ .

However, the harmonic series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots \quad (50)$$

is a well-known divergent series. Therefore, from our properties mentioned earlier, we can say that the alternating harmonic series is conditionally convergent and not absolutely convergent.

A very useful property of an absolutely convergent series is that any rearrangement of the terms that make up the original sum will always give the same sum. On the other hand, any rearrangement of the terms that make up a

conditionally convergent series will always give a different result for the sum. Just to clarify, in general, we know that addition and subtraction between numbers commute i.e.  $A + B = B + A$ , so we would expect that if we re-arrange the order in which we take our infinite summation, we would still produce the same result at the end. So consider a fancy summation scheme for the alternating series where we group terms

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) - \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{15}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \dots \quad (51)$$

So what we did was break the summation into positive and negative terms, defining a set of partial summations over the positive and then negative terms. If you numerically evaluate the summations in this way, you will find that the summation appears to approach  $\frac{3}{2}$  as  $n$  goes to  $\infty$ . In fact it turns out that you can re-arrange the terms in any sort of pattern, and find the series converges to any value you want. This is a consequence of the series being conditionally convergent! While the commutation of terms applies to finite numbers, it is not true for all infinite series, meaning  $A + B \neq B + A$  over an infinite interval for a divergent or conditionally convergent series. If the series is absolutely convergent however, then the series will converge to the same value no matter what, and changing the order of the summation will not affect the result. When you are working with infinite series it is essential you test the convergence or divergence of the series before doing any manipulations.

On a side note, despite the harmonic series being divergent, we can show that the partial sums converge to:

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \approx \frac{1}{N} \quad (52)$$

Another well-known series is the geometric series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ax^n = a + ax + ax^2 + \dots \quad (53)$$

where  $a$  and  $x$  can be any real number. Consider the case where  $a = 1$ , so the series and partial sum looks like

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N x^n \quad (54)$$

If we wanted to know the value of the partial sum, we would need to know how the partial sums  $S_N$  and  $S_{N+1}$  are related. Since we have two unknowns, that means we need two equations. The first equation comes from noticing that each successive partial sum is equal to the previous partial sum plus the next term in the series.

$$S_{N+1} = S_N + x^{N+1} \quad (55)$$

The other equation comes from noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x(1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^N) &= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots + x^{N+1} \\ 1 + x(S_N) &= S_{N+1} \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

So we can define a system of linear equations as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{N+1} - S_N &= x^{N+1} \\ 1 + xS_N &= S_{N+1} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

and solve for  $S_N$  by plugging in  $S_{N+1}$  and rearranging to get

$$S_N = \frac{1 - x^{N+1}}{1 - x} \quad (58)$$

If  $|x| < 1$ , we can show that the infinite sum converges

$$S = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = \frac{1}{1 - x} \quad (59)$$

and if  $|x| \geq 1$ , then the series diverges.

# Convergence tests

## D'Alembert-Lauche test

Often referred to as the 'ratio test', if you have any series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ , you can check whether it is absolutely convergent or divergent by evaluating the infinite limit of the ratio between  $a_{n+1}$  and  $a_n$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \quad (60)$$

- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| < 1$ , the series is absolutely convergent.
- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| > 1$ , the series is divergent.
- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = 1$ , the test is inconclusive and another test should be used instead.

## Integral Test

Convergence of a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$ , where  $f(n)$  is continuous, can be determined by determining convergence of the definite integral.

$$\int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx \quad (61)$$

Notice that the upper and lower limits of the definite integral are the same as the upper and lower limits of the series. Note: the integral test does not determine whether a series is absolutely or conditionally convergent.

Using these two tests, we can test the Riemann Zeta (RZ) function for convergence. For those who have not heard of the RZ function, it is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots \quad (62)$$

where  $s$  is an integer. Using the ratio test, we first need to evaluate the limit.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)^s}}{\frac{1}{n^s}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right)^{-s} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-s} \quad (63)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-s} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[ (-s) \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \quad (64)$$

$$(65)$$

We can Taylor expand to make the substitution  $\ln(1 + \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{n})^2 + \dots \approx \frac{1}{n}$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[ (-s) \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \approx \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[ \frac{-s}{n} \right] \quad (66)$$

Taylor expanding  $\exp \left[ \frac{-s}{n} \right]$  gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[ \frac{-s}{n} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \frac{s}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-s}{n} \right)^2 + \dots \approx \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \frac{s}{n} = 1 \quad (67)$$

which means that the ratio test is inconclusive. So we can try the integral test.

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^s} dx = \frac{-1}{(s-1)(x^{s-1})} \Big|_1^{\infty} \quad (68)$$

So if  $s > 1$ , the series is convergent and if  $s < 1$ , the series is divergent.

Note: some convergence tests are easier than others (depending on the function), do not get attached to one specific method!

## Alternating Series Test

As the name implies, this test is only to be used when you have an alternating series, e.g.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n$ . Any series of this form is considered convergent if:

1.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$
2.  $|a_n| > |a_{n+1}|$

If these conditions cannot be met, the series is considered divergent. Using the alternating harmonic series as an example, we can check the two conditions and see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{n+1} \tag{69}$$

which shows that the series is convergent, as expected. However, this test is not enough to show whether an alternating series is absolutely or conditionally convergent. There are a few other tests that you could use such as the limit comparison test, p-series test, etc, but the three we went over are very useful on their own for testing convergence.