

Chem132A: Lecture 1

Moises Romero, Alan Robledo, and Shane Flynn

1/28/18

Course Overview

The course will be broken up into two general topics. The first being the principles of Quantum Mechanics, and the second being special topics from the literature. Students are encouraged to suggest literature topics to be covered in the second half of the course.

Logistics

There is no TA for the course. Therefore, homework will be assigned and solutions will be provided, but only the Midterm and Final examinations will be graded. There are discussion sections for the course (Tuesday and Friday). However, these will only be used to provide make-up lectures.

Chapter 5; The Harmonic Oscillator

We begin the course by discussing Ch.5 in Tannoudji (P.480), the Harmonic Oscillator. The HO is a useful model throughout physics because it can be solved analytically (in some cases), and provides an intuition for methods and techniques in Quantum Mechanics. Some common problems modeled by the HO are the study of vibrations of atoms about their equilibrium positions, and the oscillations of atoms in a crystalline lattice (phonons). An important example is the electromagnetic field, there exists an infinite number of possible stationary waves within a cavity (normal modes of the cavity). The electromagnetic field can be expanded in these modes and shown to have coefficients obeying differential equations identical to the HO. Meaning the electric field is formally equivalent to a set of independent harmonic oscillators.

The HO as a Taylor Expansion

The HO essentially assumes we are near a minimum and computes a truncated Taylor Expansion for the Potential Energy (V) around the minimum x_0

$$V(x - x_0) = V(x_0) + (x - x_0) \left[\frac{dV(x)}{dx} \right]_{x_0} + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \left[\frac{d^2V(x)}{dx^2} \right]_{x_0} + \frac{1}{6}(x - x_0)^3 \left[\frac{d^3V(x)}{dx^3} \right]_{x_0} + \dots \quad (1)$$

The first term in the expansion is a constant and can usually be ignored (we can always re-define the Zero-potential to make this constant 0). The first derivative is zero by definition of being at a minimum. Truncating this expression to second order produces the HO Potential directly from the Taylor Expansion about a minimum.

$$V(x - x_0) = V(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}k(x - x_0)^2$$
$$k \equiv \left[\frac{d^2V(x)}{dx^2} \right]_{x_0} \quad (2)$$

Therefore the model replaces the Potential Energy by a parabola, a good approximation near the minimum, and not very good higher along the surface. In the language of chemistry it can represent lower level quantum states, but is inconsistent with higher excitations. These higher states are by definition weaker, and therefore can be treated by techniques like Perturbation Theory (which will be covered later in the course).

Any bound system can be represented by a HO, and it can be used to analyze the many-body problem (many bodied systems). Consider a collection of non-interacting particles (Bosons). How many atoms can be in an energy level? Each particle will contribute the characteristic $\hbar\omega$. Although in this example we are talking about the energy

of the particles (and the energy within each state) this is the same function form as the HO (which has energy gaps separated by $\hbar\omega$). We can therefore treat a many-body problem such as a collection of non-interacting Bosons as a collection of harmonic oscillators.

Classical Harmonic Oscillator Approach

In classical mechanics we can approach the HO problem from a different angle. A fairly simple way of seeing the parabolic nature of the potential energy of a harmonic oscillator is by introducing the widely used scenario of an object with mass m that is attached to a massless spring and is moving periodically. If \vec{F} is the only force involved in extending or compressing the spring, the system is called a simple Harmonic Oscillator (HO) and we say that the system obeys Hooke's law. Therefore, the force acting on the mass from the spring is the restoring force and has the form $\vec{F} = -k\vec{r}$. From Newton's Second Law, we can now write an equation of motion for the HO

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} = m\frac{d^2\vec{r}}{dt^2} = -k\vec{r}. \quad (3)$$

This gives us a second order linear differential equation with constant coefficients. If we consider the one dimensional case where the force acts only in the x-direction, we can reduce the number of differential equations down to one. Rearranging the terms and setting the equation equal to 0 gives us

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \omega^2x = 0. \quad (4)$$

where $\omega^2 = \frac{k}{m}$. ω in this case can be thought of as the angular frequency of the HO. Solving this differential equation gives the general solution that describes the motion of the HO

$$x = x_M \cos(\omega t - \phi) \quad (5)$$

where x_M and ϕ are constants and values that are determined by the initial conditions of the HO.

In order to find the potential energy stored in the HO, all we need to do is find out the amount of work done on the system as a result of a perturbation (i.e. stretching or compressing the spring attached to the mass). Going back to Hooke's law, the force needed to stretch or compress the spring is $\vec{F} = k\vec{x}$ (remember that we are only considering a force in the x-direction). Do not get this confused with the restoring force that the spring exerts on the mass after the perturbation which is $\vec{F} = -k\vec{x}$. Since we have the appropriate force and are able to keep track of the object's displacement over time, we can calculate work as

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_0^x \vec{F}_x \cdot d\vec{x} \\ &= \int_0^x kx dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}k(\Delta x)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We calculated the work to eventually lead into saying that the change in elastic potential energy of the system is equal to the amount of work done on the system $\Delta V = W = \frac{1}{2}k(\Delta x)^2$. Expanding the deltas on both sides gives us the stored potential energy in the HO

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2. \quad (7)$$

By doing some differentiation instead of integration, we can see that the force constant k can be expressed as

$$k \equiv \frac{d^2V(x)}{dx^2}. \quad (8)$$

Setting the equilibrium position of the mass m to be at $x = 0$ means that the minimum of the potential function $V(x)$ occurs at the equilibrium position. Relating this to chemistry and the fact that the harmonic oscillator is a useful model, if you had a diatomic molecule and considered one of the atoms, say the left atom, to be grounded and you pulled the right atom away from the left, you would be moving the atom away from the equilibrium position and thus stretching the bond. In terms of potential energy, the potential would be increasing parabolically. If you let go of the right atom, it would move to its equilibrium position where the potential energy of the molecule is at a minimum and where the molecule can be thought of as being in the ground state.

We calculated the work to eventually lead into saying that the change in elastic potential energy of the system is equal to the amount of work done on the system $\Delta V = W = \frac{1}{2}k(\Delta x)^2$. Expanding the deltas on both sides gives us the stored potential energy in the HO

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2. \quad (9)$$

By doing some differentiation instead of integration, we can see that the force constant k can be expressed as

$$k \equiv \frac{d^2V(x)}{dx^2}. \quad (10)$$

Setting the equilibrium position of the mass m to be at $x = 0$ means that the minimum of the potential function $V(x)$ occurs at the equilibrium position. Relating this to chemistry and the fact that the harmonic oscillator is a useful model, if you had a diatomic molecule and considered one of the atoms, say the left atom, to be grounded and you pulled the right atom away from the left, you would be moving the atom away from the equilibrium position and thus stretching the bond. In terms of potential energy, the potential would be increasing parabolically. If you let go of the right atom, it would move to its equilibrium position where the potential energy of the molecule is at a minimum and where the molecule can be thought of as being in the ground state.

Since we are simply dealing with one object and the spring is massless, we can just say that the kinetic energy is

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}mv^2. \quad (11)$$

Likewise, we can express the kinetic energy of the mass in terms of its momentum p

$$T = \frac{p^2}{2m} = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad (12)$$

where $p = mv$. By combining the kinetic and potential energies, we get the total energy of the system

$$E = T + V = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx^2 \quad (13)$$

but it can also be expressed with ω and p

$$E = T + V = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2. \quad (14)$$

A final comment, at higher Energies the particle will move in periodic, but not sinusoidal motion. If you expand the function into a Fourier series to get the position of the particle you find several frequencies or integer multiples of the lowest frequency. This is an anharmonic oscillator and the period of this motion is more complicated.

Quantum Mechanics Harmonic Oscillator

From undergraduate QM we know we want to solve the Schrodinger Equation for our systems. In the context of the Quantum HO we construct the Hamiltonian Operator as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}\Psi &= E\Psi \\ \hat{H} &= \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{X}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

If we assume a conservative system \hat{H} is a time-independent operator, and assuming a 1D (x) system we can express the HO written in the x representation as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}|\phi\rangle &= E|\phi\rangle \\ \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2\right]\phi(x) &= E\phi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From this equation the undergraduate course explores various methods of finding interesting properties (eigenvalues, energy, etc).

$$\langle A \rangle = \int \phi^* \hat{A} \phi \quad (17)$$

We will take a new approach in this class, by introducing new operators. The **Creation** and **Annihilation** operators can be used to solve all of the properties associated with \hat{H} without any differential equations! We will calculate these quantities in a more elegant manner, bypassing the wavefunction all together! In the case of the HO we can solve the problem exactly so either method is sufficient. But in reality more problems are not exact and the wavefunction approach will not be useful. So we need to develop a method of applying operator algebra to bypass the wavefunction.

Basic QM Review

Commutators

It will be essential to understand commutation relationships for our operator algebra. In QM position and momentum are described using their operators \hat{x} and \hat{p} . We recall that these two operators do not commute in either orientation.

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] &= i\hbar \\ [\hat{p}_x, \hat{x}] &= -i\hbar\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

To derive this result introduce a generic function $f(x)$, and apply it to the commutation relationship. Recall in general that the commutator essentially measures “how much” two operators commute by taking the difference in orientation of the two operators.

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] = \hat{x}\hat{p}_x - \hat{p}_x\hat{x}\tag{19}$$

Applying our function $f(x)$ to the definition of the commutator yields:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]f(x) = (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x - \hat{p}_x\hat{x})f(x) = \hat{x}\hat{p}_xf(x) - \hat{p}_x\hat{x}f(x)\tag{20}$$

Taking the definition of each operator, $\hat{x} = x$ and $\hat{p}_x = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$, we can make the appropriate substitutions.

$$\hat{x}\hat{p}_xf(x) - \hat{p}_x\hat{x}f(x) = -xi\hbar \frac{df(x)}{dx} - (-i\hbar \frac{d(xf(x))}{dx})\tag{21}$$

Using the product rule and cancelling out terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]f(x) &= -xi\hbar \left(\frac{df}{dx}x\right) + i\hbar \left(f(x) + x\frac{df}{dx}\right) \\ &= -xi\hbar \frac{df(x)}{dx} + i\hbar f(x) + xi\hbar \frac{df(x)}{dx} \\ &= i\hbar f(x)\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Therefore the generic commutation (which is not dependent upon our generic function $f(x)$) is

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] = i\hbar\tag{23}$$

A major reason QM is interesting is because these two operators do not commute. This commutator yielding a nonzero operator is directly connected to the uncertainty principle!

$$\sigma_x \sigma_p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}.\tag{24}$$

If a commutator yields the zero operator $\hat{0}$, we say that the two operators commute. Physically speaking the observables involved in the commutator can be measured simultaneously to arbitrary precision. We have just shown that the position and momentum (in the same dimension) cannot be measured simultaneously to arbitrary precision. By recognizing that σ_x and σ_p are standard deviations of the position and momentum, we can say that the minimum amount of uncertainty in the simultaneous measurement is equal to $\frac{\hbar}{2}$.

Eigenvalues Are Positive (M3 Complement)

We can show the energies of the HO are positive. This is a simple conservative system, therefore the total energy is given by the sum of the Kinetic and Potential Energy respectively (consider bound states for this problem)

$$\hat{E} = \langle \hat{T} \rangle + \langle \hat{V} \rangle\tag{25}$$

We know the Kinetic Energy Operator is given by

$$\langle T \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \phi^*(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi(x) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left| \frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) \right|^2 \geq 0\tag{26}$$

Where we have performed integration by parts, and used the fact that the wavefunction goes to 0 at an infinite distance, showing this value is non-negative.

We can now look at the Potential Energy

$$\langle V \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V(x) |\phi|^2 \quad (27)$$

Defining the minimum of the potential to be $-V_0$, than we know that all values must be larger than $-V_0$, generating the inequality:

$$\langle V \rangle \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (-V_0) |\phi|^2 = -V_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |\phi|^2 = -V_0 \quad (28)$$

Where the equality comes from the normalization. Having shown the Kinetic Energy to be non-negative we have shown that

$$E = \langle T \rangle + \langle V \rangle > \langle V \rangle \geq -V_0 \quad (29)$$

Parity in the Harmonic Oscillator

The parity of a function asks what happens when you take the inverse of the inputs. In general the Potential Energy of a generic function does not need to be symmetric wrt parity (π), however, in many cases it is.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi V(x) &= V(x) && \text{symetric} \\ \pi V(x) &= -V(x) && \text{anti-symetric} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Clearly the HO Potential Energy must be symmetric wrt parity (it goes as $V(x) \approx x^2$) for this specific system. This is not necessarily true for the wavefunction however, it can be either symmetric or anti-symmetric. For example the HO wavefunction alternates symmetry (check teh Hermite Polynomials).

Eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian

We will now prove the eigenvalues of teh HO are discrete without using the Schrodinger Equation. We know in general the Eigenvalue problem is interested in teh following

$$H |\phi\rangle = E |\phi\rangle \quad (31)$$

We will start by introducing some notation. The position and momentum operators definitions must have dimensions (of positon and momentum...). We can define some dimensionless units by noting that ω by construction has units of inverse time and \hbar is na action (energy*time). If we make the following definitions, our units will be dimensionless in these new coordinates

$$\hat{X} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} X, \quad \hat{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\hbar\omega}} P \quad (32)$$

Dimensional Analysis

For X :

$$\hat{X} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} X = \left(\frac{kg s^{-1}}{J s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} m = \left(\frac{kg}{kg m^2 s^{-2} s^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} m = \left(\frac{1}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} m = \frac{1}{m} m = 1 \quad (33)$$

For P :

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\hbar\omega}} P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{kg * J * s * s^{-1}}} P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{kg * kg * m^2 s^{-2}}} P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{kg^2 m^2}{s^2}}} P = \frac{1}{\frac{kg * m}{s}} * kg * m * s^{-1} = 1 \quad (34)$$

Thus we see that \hat{X} and \hat{P} are dimensionless

We can now evaluate the commutator for these new coordinates in a similar manner to before.

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{P}] = \hat{X} \hat{P} - \hat{P} \hat{X} = i \quad (35)$$

$$[\hat{P}, \hat{X}] = \hat{P} \hat{X} - \hat{X} \hat{P} = \frac{1}{i} \quad (36)$$

Proof

Hamiltonian

Using our new unitless definition the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \hbar\omega\hat{H} \\ \hat{H} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^2 &= \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2 & \hat{P}^2 &= \frac{1}{m\hbar\omega}P^2 \\ H &= \hbar\omega\hat{H} = \hbar\omega\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}X^2 + \frac{1}{m\hbar\omega}P^2\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{m\omega^2}{2}X^2 + \frac{1}{2m}P^2 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

We are now going to solve the related eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{H}|\phi_\nu^i\rangle = \epsilon_\nu|\phi_\nu^i\rangle \quad (39)$$

Where the operator \hat{H} and the eigenvalues ϵ are dimensionless. The index ν can be either continuous or discrete, and the index i allows us to distinguish between the various possible orthogonal eigenvectors associated with the same eigenvalue ϵ_i .

Because the \hat{X} and \hat{P} operators do not commute:

$$\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2 \neq (\hat{X} - i\hat{P})(\hat{X} + i\hat{P}) \quad (40)$$

We shall show that introducing two new operators proportional to these factors will help to simplify the eigen problem considerably.

$$a \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} + i\hat{P}) \quad a^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} - i\hat{P}) \quad (41)$$

If you invert these formulas then you find

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^\dagger + a) \quad \hat{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^\dagger - a) \quad (42)$$

Note: these two operators are not Hermitian (X and P are hermitian, a and a^\dagger have a factor of i and are therefore not Hermitian).

The commutator for our new operators is given by

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1 \quad (43)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} [a, a^\dagger] &= aa^\dagger - a^\dagger a = \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} + i\hat{P})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} - i\hat{P}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} - i\hat{P})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{X} + i\hat{P}) \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\hat{X} + i\hat{P})(\hat{X} - i\hat{P}) - (\hat{X} - i\hat{P})(\hat{X} + i\hat{P})\right\} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{X}^2 - \hat{X}i\hat{P} + i\hat{P}\hat{X} + \hat{P}^2 - (\hat{X}^2 + \hat{X}i\hat{P} - i\hat{P}\hat{X} + \hat{P}^2)\right\} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2 + i(\hat{P}\hat{X} - \hat{X}\hat{P}) - (\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2 + i(\hat{X}\hat{P} - \hat{P}\hat{X}))\right\} \\ &\quad \frac{i}{2}\left\{[\hat{P}, \hat{X}] - [\hat{X}, \hat{P}]\right\} \\ &\quad \frac{i}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{i} - i\right\} \\ &[a, a^\dagger] = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

If we want the reverse order it should give

$$[a^\dagger, a] = -1 \quad (45)$$

Proof

It turns out we can write a very convenient form of the Hamiltonian operator in terms of our new operators. Consider the following

$$\begin{aligned} a^\dagger a &= (\hat{X} - i\hat{P})(\hat{X} + i\hat{P}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2 + i\{\hat{X}\hat{P} - \hat{P}\hat{X}\}) \\ a^\dagger a &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}^2 + \hat{P}^2 - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Now compare this to our definition of the Hamiltonian Operator in the normalized units (eq. 37) we immediately see that we can write

$$\hat{H} = a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \quad (47)$$

We can also write the expression as

$$\hat{H} = aa^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \quad (48)$$

Number Operator

For convenience (as we will see later) we can define a new operator called the **Number Operator**. It turns out this operator is related to the number of excitations occurring in the oscillator.

$$N \equiv a^\dagger a \quad (49)$$

This operator is Hermitian (unlike a or a^\dagger). Given our insight, this operator counts the number of excitations that occur in the oscillator. It is therefore a physical quantity, and must be a Hermitian operator!

Taking our definition of the Hamiltonian Operator we can also express the relationship as

$$\hat{H} = N + \frac{1}{2} \quad (50)$$

Which implies the eigenvectors of \hat{H} are also eigenvectors of N .

$$\begin{aligned} N|\phi_\nu\rangle &= \nu|\phi_\nu\rangle \\ H|\phi_\nu\rangle &= (\nu + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega|\phi_\nu\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Where we are using the unscaled Hamiltonian operator, and the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ difference from the Number Operator.

We can quickly calculate the commutators for N and a

$$\begin{aligned} N, a &= [a^\dagger a, a] = a^\dagger[a, a] + [a^\dagger, a]a = -a \\ N, a^\dagger &= [a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger[a, a^\dagger] + [a^\dagger, a^\dagger]a = a^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Creation/Annihilation

The a , a^\dagger operators are known as the **Annihilation** and **Creation** operators (which we will show later in the course). Consider an arbitrary eigenvector $|\phi_\nu\rangle$ of N . The square modulus must be either 0 or larger than 0 (the length of the modulus cannot be negative).

$$||a|\phi_\nu\rangle||^2 = \langle\phi_\nu|a^\dagger a|\phi_\nu\rangle \geq 0 \quad (53)$$

If $\nu = 0$, then $a|\phi_\nu\rangle = 0$. If $\nu > 0$ then $a|\phi_\nu\rangle$ is the eigenfunction of N with an eigenvalue lowered by 1.