Turning Uncertainty into Precision: Combating Ambiguous Requirements for Software Quality

Muhammad Moiz Khan MS(Software Engineering) National University of Computer and Emerging Science – FAST NUCES Karachi, Pakistan K248203@nu.edu.pk

Abstract— Unclear requirements are a common problem in software development. They can lead to mistakes, delays in the project, and lower quality of products. This study looks at how unclear requirements affect the quality of software and suggests ways to overcome these problems. We look closely at how unclear requirements lead to confusion among people involved, uneven execution, and poor testing, which all result in more work being needed and lower product reliability.

To solve these problems, we suggest using organized ways to collect requirements, working closely with involved parties often, and using formal methods to write down information clearly and accurately. Also, it's suggested to use tools like making models, holding validation meetings, and applying the SMART rules to make sure the requirements are clear, can be measured, and fit with the project goals.

By using these solutions, teams can make things clearer, lower mistakes, and keep the software quality high during the entire development process. The paper ends with a real-life example showing how these methods work well in a software project. It shows that by reducing uncertainty and making things clearer, the processes become faster and the software quality improves.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the world of software development, having good quality software is very important. More businesses are using digital tools to satisfy customer demands and help their growth. The quality of software is very important, but there is a common problem with unclear or confusing requirements. This can lead to negative results for the project. This research looks at how these unclear issues slow down progress and can make software less reliable and satisfying for users. Ambiguous or unclear requirements often come from poor communication between people involved, not knowing what is expected, or the complicated nature of the software. They lead to misunderstandings, causing problems development process. The effects of these unclear points can be quite serious: longer deadlines, higher expenses, and a product that does not satisfy user's needs. Even with improvements in managing requirements, these problems still happen in many software projects. Recent studies show different ways to handle requirements, like Agile methods and designs that focus on the user's needs. Many studies

mainly look at frameworks but do not do a good job of explaining how to make things clearer during the whole development process. Some researches talk about good ways to gather and document requirements, but there is not enough clear advice on how to reduce confusion in different project situations

A notable gap exists in understanding the specific methodologies that effectively convert uncertainty into clarity. Existing literature often assumes that implementing Agile or similar frameworks will resolve these issues. However, this belief ignores the unique challenges that different software projects and the people involved bring.

Therefore, the central research question this study seeks to address is: How can structured methodologies and formal documentation reduce ambiguity in software requirements to enhance overall software quality?

This research paper looks at how unclear requirements affect the quality of software and suggests practical ways to solve these problems. The study wants to show that using structured methods to collect and record requirements can help software development teams communicate better and understand each other more clearly.

This study focuses on these main things: looking closely at ways to gather requirements, using organized documentation methods, and including validation process checks to make sure everything is clear. These points are important for dealing with the challenges that come from unclear requirements.

This paper will show, using real-world examples, that using these solutions helps prevent misunderstandings, cuts down on mistakes, and leads to better quality software. The expected results will give a strong guide for professionals looking to improve how they manage requirements. It will offer useful tools and methods to make sure that software products meet both their intended functions and quality standards. This research is new because it carefully connects ideas from theory with real-world practice. This study adds useful information about managing software quality by giving clear examples and practical advice. It also makes a strong argument for changing how we think about managing requirements.

This research paper will offer useful tips for software development teams and ideas for future studies. This study looks closely at how teams collect requirements. The goal is to help them do this better, which will improve the quality of software in a competitive environment.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ambiguity in software requirements, while not traditionally a core focus in software engineering research, has increasingly drawn attention due to its significant impact on software quality. Ambiguity in requirements often stems from the differences in interpretation between stakeholders—particularly between customers and analysts. According to the literature [1], this discrepancy arises from the distinction between the articulation of a unit of information by the customer and the interpretation of that information by the analyst. Ambiguity is particularly critical because it can lead to misunderstandings, defects, costly rework, and delays in software delivery, ultimately compromising the final product's quality.

1) Types of Ambiguity and Their Impact on Software Quality

Ambiguity in software requirements manifests in various forms, such as lexical, syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic ambiguities. Lexical ambiguity occurs when words have multiple meanings, while syntactic ambiguity arises from the grammatical structure of sentences. Semantic ambiguity involves the interpretation of the meaning of the requirements, and pragmatic ambiguity relates to the context in which the requirements are stated. Each type of ambiguity can introduce errors during the software development lifecycle, making it imperative to detect and resolve ambiguities early in the requirements engineering (RE) process. The ambiguity of requirements is one of the main sources of poor software quality, contributing to unclear system functionality, inconsistent stakeholder expectations, and increased project costs [2].

2) Approaches for Ambiguity Detection

Research on detecting and mitigating ambiguity in software requirements has produced several methodologies, typically grouped into three primary categories: manual, semi-automatic (using natural language processing), and semi-automatic (using machine learning techniques) [2].

a) Manual Ambiguity Detection Approaches

Manual methods rely solely on the expertise of requirements engineers and do not involve any automated tools. These methods are typically driven by human intuition, experience, and domain knowledge. Maiden et al. [3] proposed a framework for selecting appropriate RE techniques, which emphasizes the role of expert judgment in identifying potential ambiguities. Hickey et al. [4] developed a model that focuses on selecting the most effective elicitation techniques to clarify ambiguous requirements during the RE process. Kotonya et al. [5] identified specific attributes that can guide the selection of effective elicitation techniques, while Lauesen [6] highlighted the shortcomings of existing

RE practices and suggested improvements in selecting elicitation methods. Viviane et al. [7] proposed a combined manual approach that improves the effectiveness of requirements elicitation through a structured process.

Despite their advantages, manual methods are laborintensive, time-consuming, and subject to human error. The reliance on individual expertise makes these approaches challenging to scale for large or complex projects.

b) Semi-Automatic Approaches Using Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Semi-automatic methods that leverage natural language processing (NLP) combine human expertise with automated tools to assist in ambiguity detection. These approaches involve analyzing the natural language text of software requirements to identify ambiguous terms and phrases. A. Bajceta et al. [8] conducted an empirical evaluation of four NLP-based tools using a dataset of 180 system requirements from an electric train propulsion system. Their findings provided valuable insights into the performance of NLP tools in identifying ambiguous requirements.

Additionally, automated NLP solutions have been proposed to detect ambiguity in software requirements without full human involvement. For instance, M. Asadabadi et al. [9] introduced a semi-automated approach that uses NLP to identify ambiguous terms and statements, while fuzzy set theory is applied to clarify the meaning of these terms. This combination of NLP and fuzzy logic enables more precise identification of potential ambiguities. However, while NLP methods improve efficiency, they can struggle with domain-specific language and complex sentence structures, leading to false positives or missed ambiguities.

c) Semi-Automatic Approaches Using Machine Learning

Machine learning techniques have shown great promise in automating ambiguity detection. These approaches commonly use classifiers such as decision trees, Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naïve Bayes (NB), and N-gram modeling to analyze requirements text and flag ambiguous content. Mohd Hafeez Osman et al. [10] introduced a method that blends text mining and machine learning to detect ambiguity in software requirements. By using text mining to extract features from datasets, the approach trains machine learning models to identify ambiguous requirements in SRS documents. The team also developed a working prototype to automate this process.

Sadeen Alharbi [11] proposed a method that learns ambiguous features from training data and uses this knowledge to identify similar features in test data, effectively detecting ambiguous requirements. Brown et al. [12] applied a Naïve Bayes classifier to detect coordinating ambiguity,

which occurs when multiple instances of "and" or "or" are present in a sentence. They compared Naïve Bayes with other machine learning techniques, such as k-nearest neighbors (K-NN), random trees, and random forests, finding that Naïve Bayes achieved superior accuracy in detecting coordinating ambiguity.

Machine learning methods offer scalability and the ability to handle large datasets, but they also require significant amounts of labeled training data. Furthermore, these methods can be sensitive to the quality of the training data and may not generalize well to new or different domains.

3) Challenges and Limitations

While considerable progress has been made in ambiguity detection, there are still challenges that limit the effectiveness of existing methods. Manual approaches, though thorough, are resource-intensive and subject to human error. Semi-automatic techniques using NLP are dependent on the quality of the language models and can struggle with highly domain-specific language or complex syntactic structures. Machine learning methods, while efficient at scale, require extensive training data and can be difficult to implement effectively in dynamic or evolving domains.

Additionally, ambiguity detection methods are often not fully integrated into broader software development methodologies such as Agile or DevOps, where requirements are continuously evolving. Ensuring that ambiguity detection tools fit seamlessly into these modern workflows remains a challenge.

III. REFRENCES

- 1. Ferrari A., Spoletini P., Gnesi S., "Ambiguity Cues in Requirements Elicitation Interviews", 10.1109/RE.2016.25., 2016
- Khin Hayman Oo, Azlin Nordin, Amelia Ritahani Ismail, Suriani Sulaiman, "An Analysis of Ambiguity Detection Techniques for Software Requirements Specification (SRS)", 7 (2.29) (2018) 501-505, 2018
- 3. N. A. Maiden and G. Rugg, "ACRE: selecting methods for requirements acquisition," Software EngineeringJournal, vol. 11, pp. 183-192, 1996.
- 4. A. M. Hickey and A. M. Davis, "Requirements elicitation and elicitation technique selection: model for two knowledge-intensive software development processes", Proceedings of the 36th AnnualHawaii International Conference on, pp. 10, 2003.
- 5. G. Kotonya and I. Sommerville, "Requirements engineering: processes and techniques" Wiley Publishing, 1998
- 6. S. Lauesen, "Software requirements: styles and techniques" Pearson Education, 2002
- 7. V. Laporti, et al., "A collaborative approach to requirements elicitation", CSCWD 2007. 11th International Conference on, ,pp. 734-739, 200
- 8. Sadeen Alharbi, "Ambiguity detection in requirements classification task using fine-tuned transformation technique"
- Aleksandar Bajceta, Miguel Leon, Wasif Afzal, Pernilla Lindberg and Markus Bohlin, "Using NLP Tools to Detect Ambiguities in System Requirements - A Comparison Study", NLP4RE 2022: 5th Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Requirements Engineering, 2022
- Mehdi Rajabi Asadabadi, Morteza Saberi, Ofer Zwikael, Elizabeth Chang, "Ambiguous requirements: A semi-automated approach to identify and clarify ambiguity in large-scale projects", 10.1016/j.cie.2020.106828, 2020
- 11. Mohd Hafeez Osman and Mohd Firdaus Zaharin, "Ambiguous Software Requirement Specification Detection: An Automated Approach", IWRET, ACM, New York, USA, 2018, pp. 33-40.
- 12. Brown PF, DeSouza PV, Mercer RL, Della Pietra VJ, Lai JC.Class-Based n-gram Models of Natural Language. ComputationalLinquistics. 1992;18(1950):467-79.