Abstract

To whom it may concern, it is always interesting the generalize notions from real-valued case to complex-valued case. The content will cover binomial series with complex power. It would be nice whenever there is add-on from the viewpoint of functional analysis.

1 Binomial theorem

1.1 Pascal's triangle

Notice the following: for a polynomial with two terms, we would like to call it **binomials**, and we are always interested in studying its power expansion, as a lovely connection to approximate roots of equation, following Newton's method of fluxion. A **Pascal's triangle** is a first glance to see what happens to do powers on it. Let a, b be numbers, observe the following

$$(a + b)^0 = 1$$
$$(a + b)^1 = a + b$$
$$(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$$
$$(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$$
$$\vdots$$

which is quite fascinating to find the pattern on coefficients $(1) \to (1, 1) \to (1, 2, 1) \to (1, 3, 3, 1) \to \cdots$ which could be built nicely by adding the k-th number to $(k + 1)$-th number.

Let us write it properly. Define an infiite vector space

$$V := \mathbb{R}^\infty = \{(x_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

such that the identity element $e =: (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in V$. Define a mapping $\pi_2 : V \to V$ by $(x_{i+1}) \mapsto (x_i + x_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This would be an analogue of Pascal's triangle in V.

Example. *We may consider $(a + b)^0 = e$ as a starting point, and perform π_2 to increase its power.*

$$(a + b) = \pi_2(e) = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$
$$(a + b)^2 = \pi_2((a + b)) = (1, 2, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$
$$(a + b)^3 = \pi_2((a + b)^2) = (1, 3, 3, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$
$$(a + b)^4 = \pi_2((a + b)^3) = (1, 4, 6, 4, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$
$$\vdots$$

This indeed matches the intuition of writing the coefficients of expansion.

It would be a convenience to figure out an inverse mapping for π_2. Denote the inverse mapping by π_2^{-1}. Notice

$$\pi_2 \circ \pi_2^{-1} = \texttt{id},$$

which we could see

$$(x_{i+1}) \overset{\pi_2}{\mapsto} (x_i + x_{i+1}) \overset{\pi_2^{-1}}{\mapsto} (x_{i+1})$$

and that

$$x_{i+1} = (x_{i+1} + x_i) - x_i$$

which implies

$$\pi_2^{-1}(x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} - \pi_2^{-1}(x_i).$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$\pi_2^{-1}(x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} - x_i + x_{i-1} - \cdots + (-1)^i x_1 + (-1)^{i+1}.$$

1.2   Binomial and multinomial theorem

Since then, may we write

$$(a+b)^n = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (a+b)$$

where a, b are numbers. We know that multiplication works over brackets but not inside one bracket, so objects in the same bracket will never multiply each other. This provides us an intuition that binomial power expansion is a combinatorial over n brakets.

The general term will therefore be a combination of a and b: for if we need to choose $a^r b^{n-r}$, we are in fact considering r brackets of a and $n-r$ brackets of b. This suggest the general term of the expansion to be

$$\binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{n-r} a^r b^{n-r} = \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r}$$

where $\binom{n}{r} := \dfrac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$ is the combinatorial symbol. Hence we have the binomial theorem in the following form:

Theorem (Binomial Theorem). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ for some commutative ring $\mathbb{F}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(a+b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r}.$$

Proof by induction.

Suppose $(a+b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r}$ is a true proposition, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{n+1} &= (a+b)^n (a+b) \\
&= (\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r})(a+b) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^{r+1} b^{n-r} + \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n+1-r} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{r-1} a^r b^{n+1-r} + \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n+1-r} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} a^r b^{n+1-r}
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows by principle of M.I.   □

On the other hand, if we insert the notion into pascal's triangle, it is much easier to verify:

Proof follows Pascal's Triangle.

For the coefficient representation (x_r) where $x_r = \binom{n}{r}$, the mapping results in

$$
\pi_2((x_r)) = (x_{r-1} + x_r) = (\binom{n}{r-1} + \binom{n}{r}) = (\binom{n+1}{r}).
$$

□

In addition, we could verify on Pascal's triangle that

$$
\pi_2^{-1}(\binom{n}{r}) = \binom{n-1}{r}.
$$

Theorem (Multinomial Theorem). *Let $\{a_i\} \in \mathbb{F}$ be a sequence in some commutative ring $\mathbb{F}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$
(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)^n = \sum_{r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_k=n} \binom{n}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1}{r_2} \cdots \binom{n-r_1-r_2-\cdots-r_{k-2}}{r_{k-1}} a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} \cdots a_k^{r_k}.
$$

In addition, we will define

$$\binom{n}{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k} := \frac{n!}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_k!} = \binom{n}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1}{r_2} \cdots \binom{n - r_1 - r_2 - \cdots - r_{k-2}}{r_{k-1}}$$

so that the writing can be simplified to

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i)^n = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n; r_i \geq 0} \binom{n}{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} a_i^{r_k}.$$

Proof.

Proof is left as an exercise:

1. Show, by mathematical induction, that

    $$\frac{n!}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_k!} = \binom{n}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1}{r_2} \cdots \binom{n - r_1 - r_2 - \cdots - r_{k-2}}{r_{k-1}}$$

    is true for all positive integer n with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_k = n$.

2. Prove, with the help of (a) and Mathematical induction, that

    $$(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i)^n = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n; r_i \geq 0} \binom{n}{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} a_i^{r_k}$$

    is true for all positive integer n.

$\square$

1.3   Binomial Theorem with integral indices

Suppose we are going to extend the binomial theorem to negative indices, in polynomial forms, so that the theorem would be easier to be generalized. We identify $\binom{n}{r}$ to the entries discussed in Pascal's triangles, so whenever $r < 0$, we set $\binom{n}{r} = 0$. To agree with negative indices, we suspend

4

the constraints when $r > n$. Consider the expansions

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r x^r = (1,-1,1,-1,\dots) = ((-1)^r) \in V,$$

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^2} = (-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r x^r = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r \binom{r+1}{1} x^r = (1,-2,3,-4,\dots) \in V,$$

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^3} = \frac{1}{-2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r(r+1) x^r = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r \binom{r+2}{2} x^r = (1,-3,6,-10,\dots) \in V$$

It is valid to develop the theory on this type of binomials dropping the restriction on x, as we can apply it to p-adic series with satisfactory. It is now the question of how to relate these coefficients to the defined parenthesis symbol. Notice the representation still satisfy Pascal's triangle:

$$\pi_2((1,-1,1,-1,\dots)) = (1,0,0,\dots) = e \in V,$$

which provides us an intuition to compute the negative binomial coefficients.

Theorem. *Given $n > 0$ and $r \geq 0$ are integers, we have the negative binomial coefficient as*

$$\binom{-n}{r} = (-1)^r \binom{r+n-1}{r}$$

Proof.
Let $n > 0$ and $r \geq 0$ be integers. If $\binom{-n}{r} = (-1)^r \binom{r+n-1}{r}$ is true, then

$$\pi_2^{-1}\left(\binom{-n}{r}\right) = (-1)^r \pi_2^{-1}\left(\binom{r+n-1}{r}\right) = (-1)^r \binom{r+n-2}{r} = \binom{-(n+1)}{r}.$$

$\square$

To facilitate the coefficient symbol, let's pay attention to how the assignment can be modified. We shall see

$$(-1)^r \binom{r+n-1}{r} = (-1)^r \frac{(r+n-1)(r+n-2)\cdots(n)}{r!} = \frac{1}{r!}\prod_{k=(-n)-r+1}^{-n} k$$

and simultaneously the natural number version is

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{(n)(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r!} = \frac{1}{r!}\prod_{k=(n)-r+1}^{n} k.$$

This observation awares us the similarity between positive and negative version, with the quoted difference in both expressions. Let's conclude the observation as a theorem.

Theorem (Integral binomial coefficients). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 0$. The integral binomial coefficient is given by*

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{1}{r!} \prod_{k=n-r+1}^{n} k = \frac{1}{r!} \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} (n - k).$$

Remark. *It is satisfying to see when $r > n > 0$, all $\binom{n}{r} = 0$; when $n = r > 0$ or $r = 0$, both follows the definition in natural binomial case.*

Theorem (Integral binomial theorem). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ and $|b| < |a|$ for some commutative ring $\mathbb{F}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{r} a^{n-r} b^r$$

where $\binom{n}{r}$ is the integral binomial coefficient defined above.

> *Proof.*
>
> By direct computation,
>
> $$(a + b)^n = a^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{r} (\frac{b}{a})^r = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{r} a^{n-r} b^r.$$
>
> $\square$

1.4   Real binomial theorem

We herefore generalize the writing to real indices. We first find the form of rational exponents by considering the square root of polynomials (following Newton's division):

$$(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{16}x^3 - \frac{5}{128}x^4 + \cdots$$

and identify

$$\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{1} = \frac{1}{2}, \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{2} = -\frac{1}{8}, \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{3} = \frac{1}{16}, \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{4} = -\frac{5}{128}, \cdots$$

Now, we propose the following:

Proposition. *The square-root expansion coefficient is given by*

$$\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{r} = \frac{1}{r!} \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} (\frac{1}{2} - k).$$

6

Proof.

Note that in an expansion multiplication, we have if

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i)(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i x^i,$$

then

$$c_k = \sum_{i+j=k; i\geq 0, j\geq 0} a_i b_j.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{i} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{r-i} = \binom{1}{r}$$

which is the Vandermonde's identity. We need to show this is true for the proposed formula: Suppose $r \geq 2$, and assume

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{r}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{r!} = 0,$$

indeed, for $r = 2$, it can be verified directly that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} \binom{2}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{2-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{2!} = 0,$$

then notice

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{(r+1)!}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{r}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{(r+1)!} + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{r}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{(r+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{1-r}{1+r} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{r}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{r!}$$

$$= 0$$

Hence, we may conclude the proposition by applying the inductive result to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{1}{i!(r-i)!} \prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{r}{i} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k) \prod_{k=0}^{r-i-1}(\frac{1}{2}-k)}{r!} = \begin{cases} 1, & r = 0, 1, \\ 0, & r \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

□

Proposition. *The n-th root expansion coefficient is given by*

$$\binom{\frac{1}{n}}{r} = \frac{1}{r!}\prod_{k=0}^{r-1}(\frac{1}{n} - k).$$

Proof.

Proof is similar to the previous proposition. It is left as an exercise for readers. □

Here comes the crucial extent on binomial coefficients.

Theorem (Rational binomial coefficient)**.** *Let $p \in \mathbb{Q}$. The rational binomial expansion coefficient is given by*

$$\binom{p}{r} = \frac{1}{r!(p-r)}\prod_{k=0}^{r}(p - k).$$

And the symbol extend to real powers by taking the convergent series (p_n) to $q \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and the completion by the limit process gives the result:

Theorem (Real binomial coefficient)**.** *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The real binomial expansion coefficient is given by*

$$\binom{x}{r} = \frac{1}{r!(x-r)}\prod_{k=0}^{r}(x - k).$$

Proof.

The proof would be obvious by choosing for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a pair $p < q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p < x < q$, and show that

$$\binom{p}{r} \leq \binom{x}{r} \leq \binom{q}{r}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The proof will be left as an exercise. □

Theorem (Newton's binomial theorem)**.** *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ for some commutative ring $\mathbb{F}$ with $|b| < |a|$. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\binom{n}{r}a^r b^{n-r}$$

where $\binom{n}{r}$ is the real binomial coefficient defined above.

Remark. *When the dicussion comes to real field, we need to take care of the radius of convergence.*

1.5   Complex binomial theorem

So far, the product definition for binomial coefficient holds for every real powers. However, some hocus-pocus are needed to verify the condition holds also for complex powers.

Our first step to complex binomial is the agreement of complex power, so we shall consider what does it mean by x^i. It could be a complex decomposition of $\cos \ln x + i \sin \ln x$ follows from Euler's, but it is meaningless to our construction.

Let us refind some of the useful tools.

Definition (The Gamma Function). *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and define $\Gamma : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ as*

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

*The defined function is called **the Gamma function**.*

Notice the properties of Gamma function:

- For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)\Gamma(z-1)$;

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$;

- $\Gamma(1) = 1 = 0!$.

These properties put Γ to be a generalized factorial function, and we will later substitute $x!$ by $\Gamma(x+1)$.

Another useful tool for us is the following.

Definition (The Beta Function). *Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, and define $B : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ as*

$$B(z, w) = \int_0^1 t^{z-1}(1-t)^{w-1} dt.$$

*The defined function is called **the beta function**.*

There is a fascinating relationship between B and Γ leading us to find our conclusion on complex binomial coefficient.

Theorem. *Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the following holds:*

$$B(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}$$

Proof.

We start from multiplying $\Gamma(z + w)$ on both sides, which turns our deduction into showing $\Gamma(z + w)B(z, w) = \Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)$.

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w) = (\int_0^\infty t^{z-1}e^{-t}dt)(\int_0^\infty s^{w-1}e^{-s}ds)$$

$$= \int_{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)} t^{z-1}s^{w-1}e^{-t-s}dtds$$

Here put $t = su$, then $u \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w) = \int_{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)} (su)^{z-1}s^{w-1}e^{-s(u-1)}sduds$$

$$= \int_0^\infty (\int_0^\infty s^{z+w-1}e^{-s(u+1)}ds)u^{z-1}du$$

$$= \int_0^\infty (\int_0^\infty s^{z+w-1}e^{-s}ds)\frac{u^{z-1}}{(1+u)^{z+w}}du$$

$$= \Gamma(z+w)\int_0^\infty \frac{u^{z-1}}{(1+u)^{z+w}}du$$

Substituting $v = \frac{u}{1+u}$, we have $v \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{u^{z-1}}{(1+u)^{z+w}}du = \int_0^1 v^{z-1}(1-v)^{w+1}(1-v)^{-2}dv$$

$$= B(z, w)$$

Hence, we conclude

$$B(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$.   □

By the theorem, we may immediately observe that

$$\binom{\alpha}{r} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(r+1)\Gamma(\alpha-r+1)} = \frac{1}{rB(r, \alpha-r+1)},$$

which is well-defined for $r > 0$.

We also notice the general binomial coefficient satisfies our fundamental properties about binomial expansion.

Proposition. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds:*

1. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r} = \dfrac{\alpha - r + 1}{r} \dbinom{\alpha}{r-1}$

2. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r} = \dbinom{\alpha}{\alpha - r}$;

3. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r-1} + \dbinom{\alpha}{r} = \dbinom{\alpha+1}{r}$.

Proof.

1. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r} = \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(r+1)\Gamma(\alpha-r+1)} = \dfrac{(n-r+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{r\Gamma(r)\Gamma(\alpha-r+2)} = \dfrac{n-r+1}{r} \dbinom{\alpha}{r-1}$.

2. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r} = \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(r+1)\Gamma(\alpha-r+1)} = \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma((\alpha-r)+1)\Gamma(\alpha-(\alpha-r)+1)} = \dbinom{\alpha}{\alpha-r}$.

3. $\dbinom{\alpha}{r} + \dbinom{\alpha}{r-1} = \dfrac{[(\alpha-r+1)+r]\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{r\Gamma(r)\Gamma(\alpha-r+1)} = \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(r+1)\Gamma(\alpha-r+1)} = \dbinom{\alpha+1}{r}$.

$\square$

We also prove one result that brought our intuition on $(1+x)^i$.

Proposition. *The Vandermonde's identity holds for complex binomial coefficient:*

$$\binom{x+yi}{r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{x}{k} \binom{yi}{r-k}.$$

Proof.

If the expression $(1+z)^i$ is assumed to be expansive with $|z| < 1$, we will immediately see

$$(1+z)^{x+yi} = (1+z)^x (1+z)^{yi} \implies \binom{x+yi}{r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{x}{k} \binom{yi}{r-k}.$$

$\square$

Therefore, we make our last proposition to conclude the binomial series over complex powers.

Theorem. *Given $z, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < 1$, the binomial series*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{r} z^r$$

converges with radius of convergence $R = 1$, and

$$(1 + z)^\alpha = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{r} z^r.$$

Proof.

First, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \binom{\alpha}{n+1} / \binom{\alpha}{n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{\alpha - n}{n + 1} z \right| = |z| < 1.$$

Then by ratio test, the series converges with radius of convergence $R = 1$.

Second, let $g(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{r} z^r$, then

$$g'(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1) \binom{\alpha}{r+1} z^r = \alpha \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha - 1}{r} z^r$$

$$(1 + z) g'(z) = \alpha \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha - 1}{r} z^r + \alpha \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha - 1}{r - 1} z^r$$

$$= \alpha + \alpha \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{r} z^r$$

$$= \alpha g(z).$$

Then

$$g'(z) = \frac{\alpha g(z)}{1 + z}.$$

Let $h(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(1+z)^\alpha}$, then

$$h'(z) = \frac{(1 + z)^\alpha g'(z) - \alpha(1 + z)^{\alpha - 1} g(z)}{(1 + z)^{2\alpha}} = \frac{(1 + z) g'(z) - \alpha g(z)}{(1 + z)^{\alpha + 1}} = 0$$

which concludes $g(z) = k(1 + z)^\alpha$.

Therefore, by the fact that $g(0) = 1$, we have $k = 1$, it follows

$$(1 + z)^\alpha = g(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{r} z^r.$$

$\square$

We conclude everything by the theorem:

Theorem (Newton's binomial theorem)**.** *Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w| < |z|$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$(z + w)^\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} z^{\alpha-n} b^n,$$

where $\displaystyle \binom{\alpha}{n} = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha - k)$ is the complex binomial coefficient defined before.

Ending

It is quite interesting to review binomial theorem in such a way. This article might be a guidance for me in the future, which I have dealt with these concepts throughout the learning process:

1. Binomial series;

2. Taylor series and Maclaurine series;

3. Convolution on series;

4. Holomorphic functions (although I make no attention here, it is it the principle assumption on complex powers);

5. Complex analysis (of course, this covers analytic functions).

Hope this is fruitful enough in telling the story about Newton's generalization of binomial series, and be valuable to most secondary students who are interested in Mathematics, as an extension on the discussion of binomial theorem.

Very thanks to Mathginics posting a hinge on binomial series, and 0.5mins as a guidance on proving the convergence on binomial series, and online notes about binomial series (Math 311, Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, University of Alberta, Spring 2014). Hope everyone is enjoying.

13