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**ABSTRACT**

**GATED GRAPH NEURAL NETWORKS VS RELATIONAL GRAPH CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORKS FOR THE VARIABLE MISUSE TASK**

**MUKUND RAGHAV SHARMA**

Identifying bugs in source code has been an extremely important part of software development since the inception of the industry. The majority of static analysis, the analysis of software without actually executing programs, is rule based without much involvement of deep learning until fairly recently. This paper engages in a comparative study of determining the more performant graph neural network model on the basis of test accuracy between Gated Graph Neural Network (GGNN) models and Relational Graph Convolutional Network (RGCN) models on the Variable Misuse Task, a prediction task involving choosing the correct variable based on all the variables of the same type in a particular scope.

The data is of source code from the files of 24 trending C# repositories that are converted into a modified Abstract Syntax Tree to represent a directed graph whose vertices that represent the tokens and relationships between the tokens are represented by edges. Each of these vertices are associated with one of the aforementioned type of networks for the training phase after a particular embedding is computed for each token.

The comparison to decide the more efficient model is based on the test accuracy of all the repositories, an esoteric repository and an extremely popular repository to cover the spectrum of different types of repositories. The results show that the RGCN based models outperformed the GGNN models for all cases, albeit, within a < 5% range.
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**CHAPTER 1**

**INTRODUCTION**

**Project Background**

Since the inception of the computing industry more than 70 years ago, the need for correct and efficient verification tools has been extremely desirous in the software engineering world. Static Analysis tools or tools that analyze the code without executing the program boost the productivity of the developer by highlighting some bugs at development time rather than during when the code is running in production.

Deep learning application in the field of learning from source code and static analysis is still in its nascent phase and a large part of the current work doesn’t take advantage of the representational power of both the syntactic and semantic nature of the code. For example, shallow representations of source code is prevalent in recent research such a simple sequence of tokens such as by work done by Hindle et al. (2012) [1] or flat dependency networks of variables by Raychev et al. (2015) [2].

Recent work from Microsoft Research involves inculcating both the semantic and syntactic nature of source code by representing programs as directed graphs [3] and applying deep learning via sequential or convolutional neural networks to create graph neural network models. Using graph neural network models has proved to more easily solve state-of-the-art problems in the space of learning from code as the representational capacity of these models reduces the need for a large training set as well as meticulously encapsulates the semantic relationships between variables and types of the source code.

In this paper, I use the work by Microsoft Research in the field of Graph Neural Networks to conduct a comparative study between two different graph neural networks namely, Gated Graph Neural Networks (GGNN) and Relational Graph Convolutional Networks (RGCN), on the Variable Misuse Task to discern the better performing model on the basis of test accuracy. The Variable Misuse Task is a prediction based task on source code involving predicting the variable that most accuracy fits the current context from all the variables of the same type in a particular scope.

The Variable Misuse Task is a simple yet an extremely important task that has its uses in the world of static analysis. Microsoft Research’s application of Graph Neural Networks on the Variable Misuse task has caught bugs that had been deployed in production for important repositories such as RavenDB and Rosyln [3]. Further application of Graph Neural Networks on newer tasks show great promise and have already started changing the way code is tested and validated.

The input data used for this model is that of the top 24 trending C# repositories on Github. There are three main experiments conducted to discern the better performing model on the basis of test accuracy and these are training and testing on the source code of all the repositories, an esoteric repository and an extremely popular repository. The rationale here was to gain insight about how the GGNN and RGCN models would fare in different cases and which one of the two would prove to be the better predictor.

**Objectives**

The main objective of this project is to compute the test accuracies for the GGNN and RGCN models for three experiments namely, training and testing on data from 27 of the most popular C# repositories on Github, an esoteric repository and a popular repository, in an effort to discern the more performant model.

This process of computing the test accuracies involved enumerating through some minor, more granular, objectives and these are:

1. Obtaining, Cleaning and Understanding the Input Data.
2. Choosing, from the trending C# repositories, which one would characterize as the esoteric and popular one to conduct our experiments on.
3. Establishing a working pipeline through which the experiments will be conducted.
4. Interpreting results i.e. test accuracies of the experiments to land on a conclusion.

**Rationale and Inspiration for undertaking the project**

The constant strive to build better development tools that will improve the overall user experience is one I am starting to familiarize myself with more since I joined Microsoft’s Developer Division as a software engineer where I work on the Performance and Reliability of Visual Studio. My role involves creating processes that improve the prevention of performance regressions by early detection before they reach the end user. My experience with performance engineering and testing on a large code base coupled with my strong inclination towards deep learning got me going down a path to choose a topic for my capstone that would fit the said intersection.

After discovering Microsoft Research’s ground breaking work, I was convinced I needed to know the ins and outs of the details of how they improved state-of-the-art research models. And as a result to add more specificity to my topic, I decided to choose between a sequence based model (GGNN) and one convolutional based model (RGCN) on a the Variable Misuse Task. Also, I was lucky enough to have all my questions answered within a couple of hours by the good people at Microsoft Research and this was another inspiration booster i.e. to work on ground breaking work with individuals who were extremely eager to help out in improving the understanding of their work.

The choice of repositories were amongst the top trending C# repositories that I have used professionally which made pursuing the data exploration all the more exciting as it provided me with a reason to dive deep into the code, as well. Conducting the comparison on repositories like Newtonsoft.Json was enticing as these are libraries I use on a daily basis and have used all my career being a .NET developer.

**CHAPTER 2**

**LITERATURE REVIEW**
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**Fig. 1: Timeline of Graph Neural Networks Research**

Scouring through a lot of the related past work in the field of Graph Neural I was able to narrow down the timeline of key developments in this field details of which are as follows:

**2005**: Gori et al. proposed the notion of Graph Neural Networks that was the seminal research paper in this field that laid down the foundation of future work. Although, deep learning wasn’t at the forefront of statistical research when this paper was written at it is now, important fundamental concepts such as training of neural networks on graphs as well message passing emerged.

**2016**: Li et al. took the core concept of Graph based networks and applied sequence based concepts such as gated cells to neural networks to prevent loss of importance of information in large, extremely dense sequences represented as graphs. The most important aspect of this model was the propagation model that took the messaging passing logic and applied recurrent gated cells at each vertex.

**2017**: Liao et al proposed idea of Neural Messaging Passing where information between the neighbors of vertices is shared and therefore, not only does each vertex in a graph, through training, have information about its initial state but also other vertices. I will be expounding on this a lot more in a later chapter as this concept has be explained thoroughly for full effect.
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