



Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	06 July 2024
Team ID	SWTID1720260935
Project Title	ECommerce Shipping Prediction Using Machine Learning
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

At this stage, machine learning models are optimized and tuned to achieve optimal performance. To maximize expected accuracy and efficiency, it involves evaluating performance measures, fine-tuning hyperparameters, and supporting the final model selection.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
SVM	c, kernel, gamma	1.0, rbf, 0.01
random forest	n_Estimators, Criterion, Max_Depth, Max_features	none,1e-9
KNN	n_neighbors, weights, algorithm, p	25, uniform, auto, 2
XGBoost	booster	gbtree





		Input layer: 16, 'random_uniform', 'relu'
ANN Units, kernel_initialiser, activation		First Hidden Layer:
	Units, kernel initialiser, activation	16, 'random_uniform', 'relu'
	,	Second Hidden Layer: 8,
		'random_uniform', 'relu'
		Output layer: 1, 'random_uniform',
		ʻrelu'

Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

Model	В	Baseline Metric					ptimi	zed M	letric			
	Accuracy	Accuracy,F1 Score:					Accuracy,F1 Score:					
	Classification (Report: recision	recall	f1-score	support	Classification p		recall	f1-score	support		
SVM	0	0.54	0.87	0.66	1312	0	0.52	0.50	0.51	1312		
	1	0.85	0.51	0.64	1988	1	0.68	0.70		1988		
	accuracy			0.65	3300	accuracy			0.62	3300		
	macro avg	0.70	0.69		3300	macro avg	0.60	0.60	0.60	3300		
	weighted avg	0.73	0.65		3300	weighted avg	0.62	0.62	0.62	3300		
	Confusion Matrix [[1139 173] [977 1011]]	ci .				Confusion Matri [[655 657] [594 1394]]	×:					





	Accuracy,F1 S	core:		Accuracy,F1 Sc	ore:	
random forest	Classification Report:	0.77 0.6 0.61 0.6 0.69 0.6	5 1312 9 1988 7 3300 7 3300	Classification Report:	recall f1-score 0.94 0.70 0.51 0.66 0.68 0.73 0.68 0.68 0.68	1312 1988 3300 3300
	Accuracy,F1 S	core:		Accuracy,F1 Sc	ore:	
KNN	Classification Report:	recall f1-score 0.69 0.60 0.59 0.66 0.63 0.64 0.63	1312 1988 3300 3300	Classification Report:	recall f1-score 0.73	support 1312 1988 3300 3300 3300
	Accuracy,F1 S	core:		Accuracy,F1 Sc	ore:	
XGBoost	Classification Report: precision	recall f1-score	support	Classification Report:	recall f1-score	e support
	0 0.56 1 0.76	0.70 0.62 0.64 0.70	1312 1988	0 0.56 1 0.76		
	macro avg 0.66 weighted avg 0.68 Confusion Matrix: [[916 396] [718 1270]]	0.66 0.67 0.66 0.66 0.67	3300 3300 3300	accuracy macro avg 0.68 weighted avg 0.68 Confusion Matrix: [[916 396] [718 1270]]		3300





	Accuracy	Accuracy,F1 Score:					Accuracy,F1 Score:				
ANN		ecision		f1-score	support	Classification	Report:	recall	f1-score	support	
	0	0.52	0.67	0.59	1312						
	1	0.73	0.59	0.66	1988	0	0.52	0.67	0.59	1312	
	accuracy			0.63	3300	1	0.73	0.59	0.66	1988	
	macro avg	0.63	0.63	0.62	3300						
	weighted avg	0.65	0.63	0.63	3300	accuracy			0.63	3300	
						macro avg	0.63	0.63	0.62	3300	
	Confusion Matrix [[884 428] [806 1182]]	:				weighted avg	0.65	0.63	0.63	3300	
	[230 2102]]					Confusion Matr [[885 427] [806 1182]]	·ix:				





Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
Random Forest	Due to its higher performance metrics, the Random Forest model was selected as the most optimized model. It was successful in generating accurate forecasts, as evidenced by its peak accuracy of 68.42%.
	It also demonstrated a high precision score of 93.00%, demonstrating its consistency in accurately detecting true positives. The ensemble method used by Random Forest reduces overfitting and enhances generalization to fresh data. Because of these features, Random Forest is the best option for improving delivery time estimates while adhering to the project's goals.