# **Assembly Code Analysis: C to ARM Translation**

# 1. C Code to Ground Truth (gd) ARM Assembly Mapping

#### **C Code Function:**

```
c
void func0(int *numbers, int size, int *result) {
   int sum = 0, product = 1;
   for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
      sum += numbers[i];
      product *= numbers[i];
   }
   result[0] = sum;
   result[1] = product;
}</pre>
```

## **Register Mapping in Ground Truth (gd):**

```
• x0: (int *numbers) (array pointer)
```

- w1: (int size) (array size)
- x2: (int \*result) (result array pointer)
- w10: (sum) variable
- w11: product variable
- x8: loop counter/size copy
- **x9**: vectorized loop counter
- x10-x12: temporary registers for addressing

# Line-by-Line C to Assembly Mapping:

#### **Initial Setup:**

```
int sum = 0, product = 1;
```

#### **Ground Truth:**

## **Loop Condition Check:**

```
for (int i = 0; i < size; i++)
```

#### **Ground Truth:**

## **Vectorized Loop (O2 optimization for size >= 16):**

```
c
sum += numbers[i];
product *= numbers[i];
```

### **Ground Truth (vectorized):**

```
; Process 16 elements at once using SIMD ldp q16, q17, [x10, #-32] ; load 8 integers ldp q18, q19, [x10], #64 ; load 8 more integers add.4s v4, v16, v4 ; sum accumulation mul.4s v0, v16, v0 ; product accumulation
```

## **Scalar Loop (remainder elements):**

### **Result Storage:**

```
result[0] = sum;
result[1] = product;
```

#### **Ground Truth:**

# 2. Vertical Comparison: Ground Truth vs Predicted

# **Key Differences Found:**

Location	Ground Truth (gd)	Predicted (pred)	Issue
LBB0_2 Init	mov w10, #0 mov w11, #1	mov w11, #0 mov w10, #1	SWAPPED sum/product initialization
LBB0_3 Early Exit	mov w10, #0 br>(mov w11, #1) br>(b LBB0_9)	mov w11, #0 br>(mov w10, #1) stp w10, w11, [x2]) cret	SWAPPED + different control flow
Vector Init	(movi.4s v0, #1) (product)   dr>(movi.2d v4, #0) (sum)	(movi.4s v1, #1)(product)   dr>(movi.2d v0, #0)(sum)	Different vector register assignment
Vector Ops	(add.4s v4, v16, v4)(sum)   (product)	(add.4s v0, v5, v0)(sum)   (product)	INCORRECT vector operations
Scalar Loop	(add w10, w9, w10) (sum)   (product)	(add w11, w9, w11)(sum)     (mul w10, w9, w10)(product)	SWAPPED scalar operations
Final Store	(stp w10, w11, [x2])	(stp w11, w10, [x2])	SWAPPED final storage

# 3. Error Analysis and Root Causes

# **Primary Error: Register Role Confusion**

The predicted code consistently swaps the roles of (w10) and (w11):

- Ground Truth: (w10) = sum, (w11) = product
- **Predicted**: (w10) = product, (w11) = sum

#### **Critical Issues in Predicted Code:**

#### **Issue 1: Initialization Swap**

```
assembly
; CORRECT (gd):
mov w10, #0  ; sum = 0
mov w11, #1  ; product = 1

; INCORRECT (pred):
mov w11, #0  ; sum = 0 (but w11 should be product!)
mov w10, #1  ; product = 1 (but w10 should be sum!)
```

#### **Issue 2: Vector Operation Corruption**

```
; CORRECT (gd):
mul.4s v0, v16, v0  ; product vector multiply
mul.4s v1, v17, v1  ; product vector multiply
mul.4s v2, v18, v2  ; product vector multiply
mul.4s v3, v19, v3  ; product vector multiply

; INCORRECT (pred):
mul.4s v1, v5, v1  ; product multiply
mul.4s v0, v6, v0  ; ERROR: multiplying sum vector!
mul.4s v2, v7, v2  ; product multiply
mul.4s v3, v16, v3  ; product multiply
```

#### **Issue 3: Vector Reduction Error**

```
; CORRECT (gd):
mul.4s v0, v1, v0  ; combine product vectors
; ... proper product reduction
; INCORRECT (pred):
mul.4s v0, v1, v0  ; ERROR: mixing sum and product vectors!
```

## **Connection to 02 Optimization:**

The errors stem from misunderstanding the **vectorization optimization** in O2:

1. **Vector Register Assignment**: The compiler uses separate vector registers for sum and product accumulation, but the predicted code confuses which vectors correspond to which operation.

- 2. **SIMD Lane Management**: O2 optimization processes multiple array elements simultaneously using SIMD instructions. The predicted code incorrectly mixes sum and product operations within the same vector lanes.
- 3. **Reduction Phase**: After vectorized processing, the compiler must reduce the vector results to scalars. The predicted code corrupts this by applying multiplication operations to sum vectors.

## **Logical Consequences:**

- 1. Incorrect Sum: Sum gets multiplied instead of added during vector processing
- 2. Incorrect Product: Product gets corrupted by sum vector operations
- 3. Wrong Final Values: Both result[0] and result[1] will contain incorrect values

The root cause is a **systematic register role confusion** that propagates through the entire function, compounded by misunderstanding how O2's vectorization optimization separates and processes sum vs product operations.