Optimization for Machine Learning CS-439

Lecture 10: Accelerated Gradient Descent, Gradient-free, and Applications

Martin Jaggi

EPFL - github.com/epfml/0ptML_course May 17, 2024

Chapter X.1

Accelerated Gradient Descent

Smooth convex functions: less than $\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon)$ steps?

Fixing L and $R = \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|$, the error of gradient descent after T steps is $\mathcal{O}(1/T)$.

Lee and Wright [LW19]:

- ▶ A better upper bound of o(1/T) holds.
- ▶ A lower bound of $\Omega(1/T^{1+\delta})$ also holds, for any fixed $\delta > 0$.

So, gradient descent is slightly faster on smooth functions than what we proved, but not significantly.

First-order methods: less than $\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon)$ steps?

Maybe gradient descent is not the best possible algorithm?

After all, it is just some algorithm that uses gradient information.

First-order method:

- An algorithm that gains access to f only via an oracle that is able to return values of f and ∇f at arbitrary points.
- Gradient descent is a specific first-order method.

What is the best first-order method for smooth convex functions, the one with the smallest upper bound on the number of oracle calls in the worst case?

Nemirovski and Yudin 1979 [NY83]: every first-order method needs in the worst case $\Omega(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ steps (gradient evaluations) in order to achieve an additive error of ε on smooth functions.

There is a gap between $\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon)$ (gradient descent) and the lower bound!

Acceleration for smooth convex functions: $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ steps

Nesterov 1983 [Nes83, Nes18]: There is a first-order method that needs only $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ steps on smooth convex functions, and by the lower bound of Nemirovski and Yudin, this is a best possible algorithm!

The algorithm is known as (Nesterov's) accelerated gradient descent.

A number of (similar) optimal algorithms with other proofs of the $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ upper bound are known, but there is no well-established "simplest proof".

Here: a recent proof based on potential functions [BG17]. Proof is simple but not very instructive (it works, but it's not clear why).

Nesterov's accelerated gradient descent

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, differentiable, and smooth with parameter L. Choose $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0$ arbitrary. For $t \geq 0$, set

$$\mathbf{y}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} := \mathbf{z}_t - \frac{t+1}{2L} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \frac{t+1}{t+3} \mathbf{y}_{t+1} + \frac{2}{t+3} \mathbf{z}_{t+1}.$$

- ▶ Perform a "smooth step" from \mathbf{x}_t to \mathbf{y}_{t+1} .
- ightharpoonup Perform a more aggressive step from \mathbf{z}_t to \mathbf{z}_{t+1} .
- Next iterate \mathbf{x}_{t+1} is a weighted average of \mathbf{y}_{t+1} and \mathbf{z}_{t+1} , where we compensate for the more aggressive step by giving \mathbf{z}_{t+1} a relatively low weight.

Why should this work??

Nesterov's accelerated gradient descent: Error bound

Theorem

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and differentiable with a global minimum \mathbf{x}^* ; furthermore, suppose that f is smooth with parameter L. Accelerated gradient descent yields

$$f(\mathbf{y}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \frac{2L \|\mathbf{z}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{T(T+1)}, \quad T > 0.$$

To reach error at most ε , accelerated gradient descent therefore only needs $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ steps instead of $\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon)$.

Recall the bound for gradient descent:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \frac{L}{2T} ||\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*||^2, \quad T > 0.$$

Nesterov's accelerated gradient descent: The potential function

Idea: assign a potential $\Phi(t)$ to each time t and show that $\Phi(t+1) \leq \Phi(t)$.

Out of the blue: let's define the potential as

$$\Phi(t) := t(t+1) \left(f(\mathbf{y}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) + 2L \|\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2.$$

If we can show that the potential always decreases, we get

$$\underbrace{T(T+1)\left(f(\mathbf{y}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})\right) + 2L \left\|\mathbf{z}_T - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\right\|^2}_{\Phi(T)} \leq \underbrace{2L \left\|\mathbf{z}_0 - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\right\|^2}_{\Phi(0)}.$$

Rewriting this, we get the claimed error bound.

(optional material) Potential function decrease: Three Ingredients

Sufficient decrease for the smooth step from x_t to y_{t+1} :

$$f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2; \tag{1}$$

Vanilla analysis for the more aggressive step from \mathbf{z}_t to \mathbf{z}_{t+1} : $(\gamma = \frac{t+1}{2L}, \mathbf{g}_t = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t))$:

$$\mathbf{g}_{t}^{\top}(\mathbf{z}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}) = \frac{t+1}{4L} \|\mathbf{g}_{t}\|^{2} + \frac{L}{t+1} \left(\|\mathbf{z}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|^{2} \right); \tag{2}$$

Convexity (graph of f is above the tangent hyperplane at \mathbf{x}_t):

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{w}) \le \mathbf{g}_t^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{w}), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (3)

(optional material) Potential function decrease: Proof

By definition of potential,

$$\Phi(t+1) = t(t+1) (f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 2(t+1) (f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 2L \|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2,
\Phi(t) = t(t+1) (f(\mathbf{y}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) + 2L \|\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2.$$

Now, prove that $\Delta := (\Phi(t+1) - \Phi(t))/(t+1) \leq 0$:

$$\Delta = t \left(f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{y}_t) \right) + 2 \left(f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) + \frac{2L}{t+1} \left(\|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} t \left(f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{y}_t) \right) + 2 \left(f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) + \frac{t+1}{2L} \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{g}_t^\top (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} t \left(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{y}_t) \right) + 2 \left(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{g}_t^\top (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$$

$$\leq t \left(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{y}_t) \right) + 2 \left(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \right) - 2 \mathbf{g}_t^{\top} (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$$
(3)
$$\leq t \mathbf{g}_t^{\top} (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{y}_t) + 2 \mathbf{g}_t^{\top} (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*) - 2 \mathbf{g}_t^{\top} (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^*)$$

$$= \mathbf{g}_t^{\top}((t+2)\mathbf{x}_t - t\mathbf{y}_t - 2\mathbf{z}_t) \stackrel{\text{(algo)}}{=} \mathbf{g}_t^{\top}\mathbf{0} = 0. \quad \Box$$

Chapter X.2

Zero-Order Optimization

Look mom no gradients!

Can we optimize $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x})$ if without access to gradients?

meet the newest fanciest optimization algorithm,...

Random search

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pick a random direction } \mathbf{d}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ & \gamma := \mathop{\mathrm{argmin}}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}} f(\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t) & \text{(line-search)} \\ & \mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t \end{aligned}$$

Convergence rate for derivative-free random search

Theorem: Converges same as gradient descent - up to a slow-down factor d.

Proof. Assume that f is a L-smooth convex, differentiable function. For any γ , by smoothness, we have:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t^{\top} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{\gamma^2 L}{2} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|^2$$

Minimizing the upper bound, there is a step size $\bar{\gamma}$ for which

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t + \bar{\gamma}\mathbf{d}_t) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}_t^{\top}}{\|\mathbf{d}_t\|} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \right)^2$$

The step size γ we actually took (based on f directly) can only be better:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t + \bar{\gamma} \mathbf{d}_t)$$
.

Taking expectations, and using the Lemma $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}^{\top}\mathbf{g})^2 = \frac{1}{d}\|\mathbf{g}\|^2$ for $\mathbf{r} \sim \text{sphere} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$: $\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \frac{1}{Ld}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2] \ .$

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{d}_t)] \le \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_t)] - \frac{1}{Ld} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2]$$

Convergence rate for derivative-free random search

Same as what we obtained for gradient descent, now with an extra factor of d. d can be huge!!!

Can do the same for different function classes, as before

- ightharpoonup For convex functions, we get a rate of $\mathcal{O}(dL/\varepsilon)$.
- lacktriangle For strongly convex, we get $\mathcal{O}(dL/\mu\log(1/arepsilon))$.

Always d times the complexity of gradient descent on the function class.

credits to Moritz Hardt

Applications for derivative-free random search

Applications

- ► can be used for Reinforcement learning
- memory and communication advantages: never need to store a gradient
- hyperparameter optimization, and other difficult e.g. discrete optimization problems

Reinforcement learning

$$\mathbf{s}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{e}_t)$$
.

where s_t is the state of the system, a_t is the control action, and e_t is some random noise. We assume that f is fixed, but unknown.

We search for a control 'policy'

$$\mathbf{a}_t := \pi(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}, \mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_t)$$
.

which takes a trajectory of the dynamical system and outputs a new control action. Want to maximize overall reward

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}_t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{e}_t} \Big[\sum_{t=0}^{N} R_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \Big]$$
s.t. $\mathbf{s}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{e}_t)$
(so given)

Examples: Simulations, Games (e.g. Atari), Alpha Go

Chapter X.3 Adaptive & other SGD Methods

Momentum SGD

Momentum variant of SGD (Polyak, 1964)

```
pick a stochastic gradient \mathbf{g}_t \mathbf{m}_t := \beta_1 \mathbf{m}_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \mathbf{g}_t \qquad \qquad \text{(momentum term)} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma \mathbf{m}_t
```

(standard choice of $\mathbf{g}_t := \nabla f_j(\mathbf{x}_t)$ for sum-structured objective functions $f = \sum_j f_j$)

- momentum from previous gradients
- is a variant of the Nesterov acceleration seen before
- key element of deep learning optimizers, necessary for top accuracy

Adagrad

Adagrad is an adaptive variant of SGD

pick a stochastic gradient
$$\mathbf{g}_t$$
 update $[G_t]_i := \sum_{s=0}^t ([\mathbf{g}_s]_i)^2 \qquad \forall i$ $[\mathbf{x}_{t+1}]_i := [\mathbf{x}_t]_i - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{[G_t]_i}} [\mathbf{g}_t]_i \qquad \forall i$

- ► chooses an adaptive, coordinate-wise learning rate
- strong performance in practice
- Variants: Adadelta, Adam, RMSprop

Adam

Adam is a momentum variant of Adagrad

```
pick a stochastic gradient \mathbf{g}_t \mathbf{m}_t := \beta_1 \mathbf{m}_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \mathbf{g}_t \qquad \qquad \text{(momentum term)} [\mathbf{v}_t]_i := \beta_2 [\mathbf{v}_{t-1}]_i + (1 - \beta_2) ([\mathbf{g}_t]_i)^2 \quad \forall i \quad \text{(2nd-order statistics)} [\mathbf{x}_{t+1}]_i := [\mathbf{x}_t]_i - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{[\mathbf{v}_t]_i}} [\mathbf{m}_t]_i \qquad \forall i
```

- faster forgetting of older weights
- momentum from previous gradients (see acceleration)
- \triangleright (simplified version, without correction for initialization of $\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{v}_0$)
- > strong performance in practice, e.g. for self-attention networks

SignSGD

Only use the sign (one bit) of each gradient entry: SignSGD is a communication efficient variant of SGD.

pick a stochastic gradient
$$\mathbf{g}_t$$

$$[\mathbf{x}_{t+1}]_i := [\mathbf{x}_t]_i - \gamma_t \, sign([\mathbf{g}_t]_i) \qquad \forall i$$

(with possible rescaling of γ_t with $\|\mathbf{g}_t\|_1$)

- communication efficient for distributed training
- convergence issues

ClippedSGD

Clip the gradients to a predefined maximum length c>0.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pick a stochastic gradient } \mathbf{g}_t \\ & \mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_t \, clip_c(\mathbf{g}_t) \end{aligned} \qquad \forall i$$

with
$$clip_c(\mathbf{g}) := \max \left(1, \frac{c}{\|\mathbf{g}\|}\right) \cdot \mathbf{g}$$

- used to avoid instabilities in deep learning training (such as LLMs)
- used with differential privacy (adding noise of comparable magnitude)
- convergence non-trivial

Bibliography



Potential-function proofs for first-order methods.

CoRR, abs/1712.04581, 2017.

Ching-Pei Lee and Stephen Wright.

First-order algorithms converge faster than o(1/k) on convex problems. In *ICML* - International Conference on Machine Learning, 2019.

Yurii Nesterov.

A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate $o(1/k^2)$.

Soviet Math. Dokl., 27(2), 1983.

Yurii Nesterov.

Lectures on Convex Optimization, volume 137.

Springer, 2018.

Arkady. S. Nemirovsky and D. B. Yudin.