

Q5

1. Exercise 1.12.2 section b, e:

section (b):

1	$p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$	Hypothesis
2	$\neg q$	Hypothesis
3	$\neg q \vee \neg r$	Addition, 2
4	$\neg (q \wedge r)$	De Morgans, 3
5	$\neg p$	Modus tollens, 1, 4

section (e):

1	$p \vee q$	Hypothesis
2	$q \vee p$	Commutation, 1
3	$\neg q \rightarrow p$	Conditional , 2
4	$\neg p \vee r$	Hypothesis
5	$p \rightarrow r$	Conditional, 4
6	$\neg q \rightarrow r$	Hypothetical Syllogism, 3, 5
7	$\neg q$	Hypothesis
8	r	Modus Ponens, 6, 7

2. Exercise 1.12.3 section c:

section (c):

1	$p \vee q$	Hypothesis
2	$\neg p$	Hypothesis
3	$\neg p \rightarrow q$	Conditional, 1
4	q	Modus Ponens, 3, 2

3. Exercise 1.12.5 section c, d

section(c):

The form of the argument is:

$$\begin{array}{l} (c \wedge h) \rightarrow j \\ \neg j \\ \therefore \neg c \end{array}$$

Not valid:

1	$\neg j$	Hypothesis
2	$(c \wedge h) \rightarrow j$	Hypothesis
3	$\neg (c \wedge h)$	Modus tollens, 1,2
4	$\neg c \vee \neg h$	De Morgan, 3

Not valid = when using truth tables, when c =T and h=j=F, the hypotheses of $(c \wedge h) \rightarrow j$ and $\neg j$ are true while the conclusion $\neg c$ is false.

section(d):

The form of the argument is:

$$\begin{array}{l} (c \wedge h) \rightarrow j \\ \neg j \\ h \\ \therefore \neg c \end{array}$$

Valid:

1	$\neg j$	Hypothesis
2	$(c \wedge h) \rightarrow j$	Hypothesis
3	$\neg (c \wedge h)$	Modus tollens, 1,2
4	$\neg c \vee \neg h$	DeMorgans, 3
5	$\neg h \vee \neg c$	Commutation, 4
6	$h \rightarrow \neg c$	Conditional, 5

7	h	Hypothesis
8	$\neg c$	Modus Ponens, 6, 7

b) Exercise 1.13.3 section b:

$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$
 $\exists x \neg Q(x)$
 $\therefore \exists x P(x)$

	P	Q
a	F	T
b	F	F

$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is true because $P(a)$ is false and $Q(b)$ is true, the statement is true. $\exists x \neg Q(x)$ is true because $Q(b)$ is false therefore $\neg Q(b)$ is true
 However, since $P(a) = P(b)$ is false, the statement $\exists x P(x)$ is false. Both hypothesis is true but the conclusion is false

2. Exercise 1.13.5
section(d):

$M(x)$: x missed class
 $D(x)$: x got a detention

$\forall x (M(x) \rightarrow D(x))$
 Penelope, a student in class
 $\neg M(\text{Penelope})$
 $\therefore \neg D(\text{Penelope})$

Invalid
 The argument is not valid. $M(\text{Penelope}) \rightarrow D(\text{Penelope})$ is true based on \models universal instantiation. However, when $D(\text{Penelope}) = \neg M(\text{Penelope}) = T$, the hypotheses are true and the conclusion is false. When Penelope did not miss the class and got a detention

section (e):

$\forall x (M(x) \vee D(x) \rightarrow \neg A(x))$
 Penelope, a student in class
 $A(\text{Penelope})$
 $\therefore \neg D(\text{Penelope})$

Valid

1	$\forall x (M(x) \vee D(x) \rightarrow \neg A(x))$	Hypothesis
2	Penelope, a student in class	Hypothesis
3	$(M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope}) \rightarrow \neg A(\text{Penelope}))$	Universal instantiation, 1,2
4	$A(\text{Penelope})$	Hypothesis
5	$\neg \neg A(\text{Penelope})$	Double negation, 4
6	$\neg (M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope}))$	Modus Tollens, 3,5
7	$\neg M(\text{Penelope}) \wedge \neg D(\text{Penelope})$	DeMorgans, 6
8	$\neg D(\text{Penelope}) \wedge \neg M(\text{Penelope})$	Commutation, 7
9	$\neg D(\text{Penelope})$	Simplification, 8

Q6

Exercise 2.2.1

section(d):

Proof.

Direct proof. Assume that x and y are odd integers. We will show that the product of $x * y$ is also an odd integer.

Since x, y are odd numbers, $x = 2m + 1$ for some integer m . $y = 2n + 1$ for some integer n . Plugging the two expressions for x, y into $x * y$.

$$(2m + 1) * (2n + 1) = 4mn + 2m + 2n + 1 = 2(2mn + m + n) + 1$$

Since m, n are integers, then $2mn + m + n$ is also an integer. Since $x * y = 2k + 1$, where $k = 2mn + m + n$ is an integer, $x * y$ is equal to 2 times an integer plus 1, then $x * y$ is also an odd integer. ■

section(c):

Proof.

Direct proof. Assume that x is a real number and $x \leq 3$. We will show that $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$.

Subtract x from both sides of the equality $3 \geq x$ to get $3 - x \geq 0$. Since $4 - x \geq 3 - x$, then

$$4 - x \geq 3 - x \geq 0$$

Since $4 - x \geq 0$ and $3 - x \geq 0$, then the product of $4 - x$ and $3 - x$ is at least 0:

$$(4 - x)(3 - x) \geq 0, \text{ then } 12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0. \blacksquare$$

Q7

Exercise 2.3.1

section(d):

Proof.

Proof by contrapositive. We assume that n is an even integer and show that $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is an odd number.

If n is an even number, then $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Plugging in the expression $2k$ for n into $n^2 - 2n + 7$ gives

$$(2k)^2 - 2(2k) + 7 = 4k^2 - 4k + 7 = 2(2k^2 - 2k + 3) + 1$$

Since k is an integer, $k^2 - 2k + 3$ is an integer. $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is equal to 2 times an integer plus 1. Therefore $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is an odd number. ■

section(f):

Proof:

Proof by contrapositive. We assume that for non-zero number x that $1/x$ is not irrational, and prove that x must be rational.

Since all real numbers are either rational or irrational, $1/x$ is not an irrational non-zero number, then $1/x$ is a rational non-zero number. if $1/x = a/b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x = b/a$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore x is a non-zero rational number. ■

section(g):

Proof:

Proof by contrapositive. We assume for real numbers x and y that $x > y$, and prove that $x^3 + xy^2 > x^2y + y^3$.

Since $x > y$, then x, y can't be both zeros. Since $x^2 + y^2 > 0$ for every pair of real number x, y ($x > y$)

For the equality $x > y$, both sides time a positive number $x^2 + y^2 > 0$ does not change the equality.

$$x(x^2 + y^2) > y(x^2 + y^2), \text{ then } x^3 + xy^2 > x^2y + y^3. \blacksquare$$

section(l):

Proof:

Proof by contrapositive. We assume for real numbers x, y that it is not true that $x > 10$ or $y > 10$, and prove $x + y \leq 20$.

Since it is not true that $x > 10$ or $y < 10$, then neither x or y is greater than 10 and therefore they are both less or equal to 10
 $x \leq 10$ and $y \leq 10$, then $x + y \leq 20$. ■

Q8

Exercise 2.4.1, section c, e

section(c):

Proof.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that the average of three real numbers x, y, z is less than all three numbers.

$(x + y + z) / 3 < x$, $(x + y + z) / 3 < y$ and $(x + y + z) / 3 < z$, then

$(x + y + z) / 3 + (x + y + z) / 3 + (x + y + z) / 3 < x + y + z$

$x + y + z < x + y + z$, which contradicts the fact that $x + y + z = x + y + z$

section(e):

Proof.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that there is a smallest integer k . $k - 1$ is an integer and $k - 1 < k$, which contradicts that k is the smallest integer. ■

Q9

Exercise 2.5.1 section c

Proof.

We consider two cases: both x, y are even and both x, y are odd.

Case 1: x is even and y is even, then $x = 2m$ for some integer m and $y = 2n$ for some integer n .

$$x + y = 2m + 2n = 2(m + n)$$

Since m, n are integers, $m + n$ is also an integer. Therefore $x + y$ is equal to 2 times an integer and $x + y$ is even.

Case 2: x is odd and y is odd, then $x = 2m + 1$ for some integer m and $y = 2n + 1$ for some integer n .

$$x + y = (2m + 1) + (2n + 1) = 2m + 2n + 2 = 2(m + n + 1)$$

Since m, n are integers, $m + n + 1$ is also an integer. Therefore $x + y$ is equal to 2 times an integer and $x + y$ is even. ■