

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388502572>

The Universal Matrix Visualizer – From logic to Computer Algorithm

Preprint · January 2025

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.22510.11842

CITATIONS

4

READS

108

1 author:



Shallwin Sylvania

Fontys University of Applied Sciences

2 PUBLICATIONS 4 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

The Universal Matrix Visualizer - From logic to Computer Algorithm

Abstract

This paper introduces an algorithmic framework for the automated generation of geometric shapes and their corresponding graph representations, inspired by the Universal Matrix—a heuristic-based visual framework for simplifying complex processes. The algorithm utilizes modular design, recursive refinement, and mathematical principles to construct shapes ranging from simple polygons to intricate graph structures. The framework ensures consistency in node placement, edge formation, and geometric accuracy while scaling efficiently to handle increasing complexity.

The correctness and scalability of the algorithm are rigorously validated through mathematical proofs, covering aspects such as polygon point generation, line intersection accuracy, and recursive construction logic. The framework’s computational complexity is analyzed, demonstrating its suitability for both small and large-scale applications.

1 Introduction

The Universal Matrix provides a powerful framework for simplifying and visualizing complex concepts through interconnected geometric shapes that represent stages of development. These shapes embody heuristic problem-solving principles, enabling the breakdown of intricate tasks into manageable components.

Manually constructing these shapes can be time-consuming and error-prone, particularly as complexity increases. To address this challenge, an algorithm has been developed to automate the generation of Universal Matrix shapes. By employing graph-based techniques, recursive methods, and geometric principles, this algorithm ensures precision, scalability, and efficiency.

This paper presents the development and implementation of the algorithm, which begins with basic configurations—dots, lines, triangles, and squares—and incrementally builds complex patterns in accordance with the logical rules of the Universal Matrix. By automating the process, the algorithm facilitates exploration of applications in education, problem-solving, and data visualization, serving as a versatile tool for addressing complexity. The contributions of this work include:

- A modular framework for generating basic and complex shapes.
- Recursive refinement to scale complexity.
- Mathematical proofs to verify algorithmic correctness.

2 Methodology

The methodology consists of modular components that ensure clarity and computational efficiency.

2.1 Main Algorithm: Draw Shape

The primary algorithm generates a graph G based on the input complexity parameter n . For $n \leq 5$, simple shapes are created directly. For $n > 5$, recursive refinement is employed to expand the graph's complexity.

Algorithm 1 Draw Shape

Require: n : Complexity parameter

Ensure: Graph G representing the shape

- 1: Initialize an empty graph G
 - 2: **if** $n = 1$ **then**
 - 3: Add a single node to G
 - 4: **else if** $n = 2$ **then**
 - 5: Add two nodes to G and connect them with an edge
 - 6: **else if** $n = 3$ **then**
 - 7: Add three nodes to G and connect them to form a triangle
 - 8: **else if** $n = 4$ **then**
 - 9: Add four nodes to G and connect them to form a square
 - 10: **else if** $n = 5$ **then**
 - 11: Add five nodes to G
 - 12: Connect four nodes to form a square and add a central node
 - 13: Connect the central node to all other nodes
 - 14: **else**
 - 15: Generate six points in a hexagon using **GeneratePolygonPoints**
 - 16: Add edges to form a hexagon
 - 17: Call **RecursiveConstruction**($n, G, \text{shift}, \text{points}, \text{pos}$) to refine
 - 18: **end if**
 - 19: Visualize G
-

2.2 Recursive Construction

The recursive construction algorithm refines the graph by adding nodes and edges, increasing the complexity of the structure to match the parameter n .

Algorithm 2 Recursive Construction

Require: $n, G, \text{shift}, \text{points}, \text{pos}$

Ensure: Updated graph G

```
1: if  $n \leq 5$  then  
2:   Handle cases for small  $n$   
3: else  
4:   Generate six points for a hexagon  
5:   Add edges to connect points  
6:   Compute intersections and add resulting nodes to  $G$   
7:   Call RecursiveConstruction( $n - 6, G, \text{shift}, \text{points}, \text{pos}$ )  
8: end if
```

2.3 Generate Polygon Points

To generate n evenly spaced points on a circle of radius r , we compute:

$$x_i = r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right), \quad y_i = r \sin\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Correctness Proof

Step 1: Points Lie on the Circle. By definition, a point lies on a circle of radius r centered at the origin if it satisfies the circle equation:

$$x^2 + y^2 = r^2.$$

Substituting x_i and y_i :

$$x_i^2 + y_i^2 = r^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) + r^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) = r^2.$$

Step 2: Points are Evenly Distributed. The angular separation between consecutive points is:

$$\Delta\theta = \frac{2\pi}{n}.$$

This is constant for all i , ensuring even distribution.

Step 3: Completeness of the Circle. The total angular coverage for n points is:

$$n \times \Delta\theta = n \times \frac{2\pi}{n} = 2\pi.$$

Complexity Analysis

The algorithm computes the coordinates of n points, each in $O(1)$. Thus, the total complexity is $O(n)$.

2.4 Line Intersection

To compute the intersection of two lines, we use their parametric representations. Consider two lines defined by points p_1, p_2 and p_3, p_4 . The parametric equations are:

$$\mathbf{r}_1(t) = (1 - t)p_1 + tp_2, \quad \mathbf{r}_2(s) = (1 - s)p_3 + sp_4.$$

Equating $\mathbf{r}_1(t) = \mathbf{r}_2(s)$ yields a linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & -(x_4 - x_3) \\ y_2 - y_1 & -(y_4 - y_3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 - x_1 \\ y_3 - y_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Correctness Proof

If the determinant of the coefficient matrix is non-zero, the intersection point is:

$$x_{\text{intersect}} = \frac{(x_1y_2 - y_1x_2)(x_3 - x_4) - (x_1 - x_2)(x_3y_4 - y_3x_4)}{\text{Determinant}},$$
$$y_{\text{intersect}} = \frac{(x_1y_2 - y_1x_2)(y_3 - y_4) - (y_1 - y_2)(x_3y_4 - y_3x_4)}{\text{Determinant}}.$$

If the determinant is zero, the lines are parallel or coincident.

Complexity Analysis

This computation involves constant-time operations ($O(1)$).

3 Mathematical Analysis

This section provides a rigorous mathematical evaluation of the algorithm, focusing on complexity, correctness, and scalability.

3.1 Complexity Analysis

The computational complexity of the proposed algorithm is analyzed based on the input parameter n , which determines the complexity of the shape to be generated. The analysis considers the following cases:

3.1.1 Base Case ($n \leq 5$)

For $n \leq 5$, the algorithm directly generates predefined shapes (e.g., nodes, lines, triangles, squares) without recursion. Each operation involves a fixed number of nodes and edges, resulting in a constant time complexity:

$$T(n) = O(1), \quad \text{for } n \leq 5.$$

3.1.2 Recursive Case ($n > 5$)

For $n > 5$, the algorithm employs a recursive approach to construct hexagonal structures and refine the graph by adding nodes and edges. Each recursive step involves:

- Generating six points in a hexagon ($O(1)$),
- Adding edges and computing intersections ($O(k)$, where k is the number of nodes at the current step),
- Calling the recursive function with a reduced complexity parameter ($n - 6$).

The recurrence relation for the recursive case is:

$$T(n) = T(n - 6) + O(k),$$

where k is proportional to n . In the worst case, the total complexity scales quadratically:

$$T(n) = O(n^2).$$

3.2 Correctness Analysis

The correctness of the algorithm is established through an inductive proof, demonstrating that the algorithm consistently generates valid graphs for any $n \geq 1$.

Theorem: For any $n \geq 1$, the algorithm generates a graph G that correctly represents the desired shape.

Proof:

- **Base Case ($n \leq 5$):** For $n = 1$, the algorithm creates a single node. For $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$, it generates predefined shapes (e.g., a line, triangle, square, or square with a central node). Each of these shapes is explicitly constructed and verified to satisfy the graph's properties.
- **Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that for $n = k$, the algorithm correctly generates a valid graph G_k .
- **Inductive Step ($n = k + 6$):** At $n = k + 6$, the algorithm:
 1. Generates six points forming a hexagonal structure.
 2. Adds edges to connect the points, forming a closed loop.
 3. Handles intersections and adds new nodes and edges as required.
 4. Recursively refines the graph for $n - 6 = k$ using the inductive hypothesis.

The combination of the base case construction, recursive refinement, and edge intersection handling ensures that G_{k+6} is a valid graph adhering to the rules of the Universal Matrix.

By mathematical induction, the algorithm is correct for all $n \geq 1$.

3.3 Scalability

The scalability of the algorithm is assessed based on its ability to handle increasing complexity parameters n . Key observations include:

- **Graph Size:** The number of nodes and edges grows linearly with n , as each recursive step introduces a fixed number of new nodes and edges.
- **Memory Usage:** The memory footprint is proportional to the size of the graph, as the algorithm stores the adjacency list or matrix representation of the graph.
- **Practical Implications:** While the worst-case time complexity is $O(n^2)$, the modular design allows parallelization of edge intersection computations, significantly improving scalability for large values of n .

3.4 Geometric Accuracy

The geometric accuracy of the algorithm is validated through the following proofs:

3.4.1 Polygon Point Generation

The n points generated for polygons are evenly distributed around a circle, ensuring symmetry and consistency. Each point lies precisely on the circle of radius r , as verified by the circle equation:

$$x^2 + y^2 = r^2.$$

3.4.2 Line Intersections

The intersection points of edges are computed using parametric equations, ensuring mathematical precision. Edge cases, such as parallel lines or coincident lines, are handled explicitly to avoid inconsistencies.

Correctness: The derived formulas ensure that the computed intersection point satisfies the parametric equations of both lines, verifying that it lies on both. This guarantees mathematical correctness and robustness in implementation.

By combining complexity, correctness, and scalability analyses with geometric accuracy proofs, the mathematical rigor of the algorithm is established, providing confidence in its applicability and performance across a wide range of use cases.

4 Conclusion

The proposed algorithm offers a robust and scalable solution for generating geometric shapes and their corresponding graph representations. By leveraging modular design, recursive refinement, and mathematical rigor, the framework ensures precision and consistency in node placement, edge formation, and shape construction. The mathematical proofs presented validate the correctness of the algorithm and demonstrate its scalability for increasingly complex structures.

Key contributions of this work include:

- A comprehensive framework capable of generating both basic and complex shapes.
- Recursive algorithms to handle higher-order complexities efficiently.
- Analytical methods to ensure geometric accuracy and validate algorithmic correctness.

The framework’s practical applications span computational geometry, network modeling, and procedural content generation. By automating the construction of Universal Matrix shapes, this work paves the way for further exploration in areas such as education, data visualization, and heuristic-based problem-solving.

Future work will focus on optimizing computational efficiency for large-scale implementations, and integrating the framework into real-world applications. These advancements aim to further enhance the utility and versatility of the Universal Matrix framework, fostering broader adoption across diverse domains.

References

1. Kraskov, A. (2024). *Universal Matrix Definition & Visual Proof, Visual Heuristic, Logical Chain*. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382360492_Universal_Matrix_Definition_Visual_Proof_Visual_Heuristic_Logical_Chain