

Linear Systems II

APM1137 - Numerical Analysis

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Arts and Sciences
Far Eastern University, Sampaloc, Manila

Definition

Suppose $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation to the solution of the linear system defined by $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. The **residual vector** for $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ with respect to this system is $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$.

In procedures such as the Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel methods, a residual vector is associated with each calculation of an approximate component to the solution vector. The true objective is to generate a sequence of approximations that will cause the residual vectors to converge rapidly to zero.

Let $\mathbf{r}_i^{(k)} = (r_{1i}^{(k)}, r_{2i}^{(k)}, \dots, r_{ni}^{(k)})^t$ be the residual vector for the Gauss-Seidel method corresponding to the approximate solution vector $\mathbf{x}_i^{(k)}$ defined by $\mathbf{x}_i^{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{(k)}, x_i^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)})^t$.

The m th component of $\mathbf{r}_i^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{mj}x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{mi}x_i^{(k-)}$$

for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In particular, the i th component of $\mathbf{r}_i^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii}x_i^{(k-)}$$

So in the Gauss-Seidel method,

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} \right]$$

can be rewritten as

$$a_{ii}^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = a_{ii}x_i^{(k)}$$

Consequently, the Gauss-Seidel method can be characterized as choosing $x_i^{(k)}$ to satisfy

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

If we modify the Gauss-Seidel procedure to

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

then for certain choices of positive ω we can reduce the norm of the residual vector and obtain significantly faster convergence.

Methods involving this equation are called **relaxation methods**. For ω with $0 < \omega < 1$, the procedures are called **under-relaxation methods**. For ω with $\omega > 1$, these are called **over-relaxation methods**.

Over-relaxation methods are used to accelerate the convergence for systems that are convergent by the Gauss-Seidel technique.

Successive Over-Relaxation (SOR) is particularly useful for solving the linear systems that occur in the numerical solution of certain partial-differential equations.

For computation purposes, we can rewrite the equation for $x_i^{(k)}$ as

$$x_i^{(k)} = (1 - \omega)x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} \right].$$

To determine the matrix form of the SOR method, we rewrite this as

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k)} + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} = (1 - \omega)a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} - \omega \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} + \omega b_i,$$

so that in vector form, we have

$$(D - \omega L)x^{(k)} = [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]x^{(k-1)} + \omega b.$$

That is,

$$x^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]x^{(k-1)} + \omega(D - \omega L)^{-1}b. \quad (7.18)$$

Letting $T_\omega = (D - \omega L)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]$ and $c_\omega = \omega(D - \omega L)^{-1}b$, gives the SOR technique the form

$$x^{(k)} = T_\omega x^{(k-1)} + c_\omega. \quad (7.19)$$

Example

The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\begin{array}{rcccccl} 4x_1 & + & 3x_2 & & & = & 24 \\ 3x_1 & + & 4x_2 & - & x_3 & = & 30 \\ & & - & x_2 & + & 4x_3 & = & -24 \end{array}$$

has the solution $(3, 4, -5)^t$. Compare the iterations from the Gauss-Seidel method and the SOR method with $\omega = 1.25$ using $x^{(0)} = (1, 1, 1)^t$ for both methods

Solution For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the equations for the Gauss-Seidel method are

$$x_1^{(k)} = -0.75x_2^{(k-1)} + 6,$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = -0.75x_1^{(k)} + 0.25x_3^{(k-1)} + 7.5,$$

$$x_3^{(k)} = 0.25x_2^{(k)} - 6,$$

and the equations for the SOR method with $\omega = 1.25$ are

$$x_1^{(k)} = -0.25x_1^{(k-1)} - 0.9375x_2^{(k-1)} + 7.5,$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = -0.9375x_1^{(k)} - 0.25x_2^{(k-1)} + 0.3125x_3^{(k-1)} + 9.375,$$

$$x_3^{(k)} = 0.3125x_2^{(k)} - 0.25x_3^{(k-1)} - 7.5.$$

The first seven iterates for each method are listed in Tables 7.3 and 7.4. For the iterates to be accurate to seven decimal places, the Gauss-Seidel method requires 34 iterations, as opposed to 14 iterations for the SOR method with $\omega = 1.25$. ■

Table 7.3

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$x_1^{(k)}$	1	5.250000	3.1406250	3.0878906	3.0549316	3.0343323	3.0214577	3.0134110
$x_2^{(k)}$	1	3.812500	3.8828125	3.9267578	3.9542236	3.9713898	3.9821186	3.9888241
$x_3^{(k)}$	1	-5.046875	-5.0292969	-5.0183105	-5.0114441	-5.0071526	-5.0044703	-5.0027940

Table 7.4

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$x_1^{(k)}$	1	6.312500	2.6223145	3.1333027	2.9570512	3.0037211	2.9963276	3.0000498
$x_2^{(k)}$	1	3.5195313	3.9585266	4.0102646	4.0074838	4.0029250	4.0009262	4.0002586
$x_3^{(k)}$	1	-6.6501465	-4.6004238	-5.0966863	-4.9734897	-5.0057135	-4.9982822	-5.0003486

Theorem 7.24 (Kahan)

If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\rho(T_\omega) \geq |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$. ■

The proof of this theorem is considered in Exercise 9. The proof of the next two results can be found in [Or2], pp. 123–133. These results will be used in Chapter 12.

Theorem 7.25 (Ostrowski-Reich)

If A is a positive definite matrix and $0 < \omega < 2$, then the SOR method converges for any choice of initial approximate vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$. ■

Theorem 7.26 If A is positive definite and tridiagonal, then $\rho(T_g) = [\rho(T_j)]^2 < 1$, and the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method is

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}}.$$

With this choice of ω , we have $\rho(T_\omega) = \omega - 1$. ■

Find the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution This matrix is clearly tridiagonal, so we can apply the result in Theorem 7.26 if we can also show that it is positive definite. Because the matrix is symmetric, Theorem 6.24 on page 416 states that it is positive definite if and only if all its leading principle submatrices has a positive determinant. This is easily seen to be the case because

$$\det(A) = 24, \quad \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 7, \quad \text{and} \quad \det([4]) = 4.$$

Because

$$T_j = D^{-1}(L + U) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$T_j - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix},$$

so

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625).$$

Thus

$$\rho(T_j) = \sqrt{0.625}$$

and

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 0.625}} \approx 1.24.$$

This explains the rapid convergence obtained in Example 1 when using $\omega = 1.25$. ■

SOR

To solve $Ax = b$ given the parameter ω and an initial approximation $x^{(0)}$:

INPUT the number of equations and unknowns n ; the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, of the matrix A ; the entries b_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, of b ; the entries XO_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, of $XO = x^{(0)}$; the parameter ω ; tolerance TOL ; maximum number of iterations N .

OUTPUT the approximate solution x_1, \dots, x_n or a message that the number of iterations was exceeded.

Step 1 Set $k = 1$.

Step 2 While $(k \leq N)$ do Steps 3–6.

Step 3 For $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\text{set } x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right].$$

Step 4 If $\|x - XO\| < TOL$ then OUTPUT (x_1, \dots, x_n) ;
(The procedure was successful.)
STOP.

Step 5 Set $k = k + 1$.

Step 6 For $i = 1, \dots, n$ set $XO_i = x_i$.

Step 7 OUTPUT ('Maximum number of iterations exceeded');
(The procedure was successful.)
STOP.

