System Design Document

AI Study Planner Agent

Live Application: https://studyplanner-ai-agent.streamlit.app/

Author: Chodabattula Moni Praneeth

Contents

1	Sys	tem Architecture 2
	1.1	Overall Architecture
	1.2	Architecture Pattern
	1.3	Data Flow
2	Dat	a Design
	2.1	Data Models
		2.1.1 Course Data Structure
		2.1.2 User Preferences
		2.1.3 Session State Schema
	2.2	Data Storage
	2.3	Data Processing
3	Cor	mponent Breakdown 3
	3.1	Frontend Components
		3.1.1 User Interface (Streamlit)
	3.2	Application Logic Components
		3.2.1 Session State Management
		3.2.2 Input Validation
		3.2.3 API Integration
		3.2.4 Data Processing
	3.3	External Integration
		3.3.1 Groq API Client
4	Tec	hnology Choices & Justifications 5
	4.1	Frontend: Streamlit
	4.2	AI Service: Groq API
	4.3	Deployment: Streamlit Cloud
	4.4	Architecture: Monolithic
	4.5	Data Storage: Session State
5	Imr	plementation Summary 7
	5.1	What Was Built
	5.2	Key Features
	5.3	Technical Implementation

1 System Architecture

1.1 Overall Architecture

The AI Study Planner follows a simple 3-layer architecture:

```
USER INTERFACE
(Streamlit Frontend)

APPLICATION LOGIC
(Python Business Logic)

EXTERNAL API
(Groq API)
```

1.2 Architecture Pattern

- Pattern: Monolithic Web Application
- **Reason:** Simple to develop, deploy, and maintain for a prototype. Single codebase with all functionality contained in one application.

1.3 Data Flow

User Input \rightarrow Validation \rightarrow API Request \rightarrow AI Response \rightarrow Display

2 Data Design

2.1 Data Models

2.1.1 Course Data Structure

2.1.2 User Preferences

2.1.3 Session State Schema

2.2 Data Storage

- Method: Streamlit Session State (in-memory)
- **Reason:** No persistent storage needed for prototype. Session-based data is sufficient and eliminates database complexity.
- Data Persistence: Only during browser session, automatically cleared when session ends

2.3 Data Processing

- 1. Input Collection: Forms collect course and preference data
- 2. Validation: Check required fields and data types
- 3. API Formatting: Convert data to text prompt for AI
- 4. Response Handling: Display AI response as formatted text

3 Component Breakdown

3.1 Frontend Components

3.1.1 User Interface (Streamlit)

Main UI components in the application:

1. Application Header

- st.set_page_config() for page configuration
- st.title() for main application title
- Purpose: Application branding and configuration

2. Course Input Form

- st.columns(2) layout with text inputs and date picker
- st.text_input() for course name and assignment
- st.date_input() for deadline selection
- st.number_input() for hours estimation
- Purpose: Collect comprehensive course information

3. Course Management

- Add button with validation logic
- Course list display with formatted output
- Purpose: Add and view course data

4. Study Preferences

- st.slider() for hours per day (1-8 range)
- st.selectbox() for study style selection
- Purpose: User customization of study parameters

5. Plan Generation

- Primary button for triggering AI plan generation
- Loading spinner during API processing
- Purpose: Initiate study plan creation

6. Plan Display

- st.markdown() for rich text display of AI output
- Purpose: Show AI-generated study plan with formatting

7. Export Feature

- st.download_button() for file download
- Purpose: Save plan as text file for offline use

8. Session Management

- Clear all button to reset application state
- st.rerun() for state refresh
- Purpose: Reset functionality and session control

3.2 Application Logic Components

3.2.1 Session State Management

```
# Initialize session state variables
if 'study_plan' not in st.session_state:
    st.session_state.study_plan = ""
if 'courses' not in st.session_state:
    st.session_state.courses = []
```

3.2.2 Input Validation

```
# Validation in button click handler:
if not st.session_state.courses:
st.error("Please add at least one course")
# Course addition validation
if st.button("Add") and course and assignment:
# Add course to session state
```

3.2.3 API Integration

```
def call_groq_api(prompt):

# HTTP request to Groq API with hardcoded key

# JSON response processing

# Error handling for API failures and timeouts

# Returns formatted study plan or None on error
```

3.2.4 Data Processing

3.3 External Integration

3.3.1 Groq API Client

- Purpose: Send prompts to AI and receive study plans
- Implementation: HTTP POST requests using requests library with hardcoded API key
- Model: llama-3.1-8b-instant for fast inference
- Error Handling: Status code checking, timeout handling, and user feedback
- Security: API key embedded in code (hardcoded for simplicity)

4 Technology Choices & Justifications

4.1 Frontend: Streamlit

Choice: Streamlit Python framework

Reasons:

- 1. Development Speed: Build web UI with pure Python, no HTML/CSS/JavaScript needed
- 2. Built-in Components: Forms, buttons, file downloads included out-of-the-box
- 3. State Management: Automatic session state handling with st.session_state
- 4. Deployment: Free hosting on Streamlit Cloud with GitHub integration
- 5. Python Integration: No context switching between languages

Alternatives Considered:

- React: Too complex for prototype, requires separate backend
- Flask: Requires HTML templates and more setup

4.2 AI Service: Groq API

Choice: Groq API with LLaMA 3.1-8B-Instant model Reasons:

- 1. Cost: Free tier available, no payment required for development
- 2. Speed: Fastest inference in the market (\sim 5 seconds response time)
- 3. Quality: Good text generation for planning tasks
- 4. API: Simple REST API, OpenAI-compatible format
- 5. Model: Current model (not deprecated), stable and reliable

Alternatives Considered:

- OpenAI GPT: Requires payment, quota exceeded during development
- Hugging Face: Slower inference, more complex setup
- Local LLM: Complex setup, hardware requirements

4.3 Deployment: Streamlit Cloud

Choice: Streamlit Cloud hosting

Reasons:

- 1. Cost: Completely free for public repositories
- 2. Integration: Direct GitHub integration, automatic deployments
- 3. Simplicity: One-click deployment, no server management
- 4. SSL: Automatic HTTPS and custom domains
- 5. Scaling: Automatic scaling handled by platform

Alternatives Considered:

- Heroku: Costs \$5-7/month for hobby tier
- AWS/GCP: Too complex for simple prototype
- Local hosting: Not accessible for evaluation

4.4 Architecture: Monolithic

 ${\bf Choice:} \ {\bf Single} \ {\bf application} \ {\bf architecture}$

Reasons:

- 1. Simplicity: All code in one file, easy to understand and modify
- 2. Deployment: Single deployment unit, no orchestration needed
- 3. Development Speed: Faster to build and test
- 4. Appropriate Scale: Perfect for prototype with limited users
- 5. No Network Latency: All components in same process

Alternatives Considered:

- Microservices: Overkill for prototype, adds unnecessary complexity
- Serverless: More complex deployment, not needed for current scale

4.5 Data Storage: Session State

Choice: Streamlit session state (in-memory)

Reasons:

- 1. Simplicity: No database setup or management required
- 2. Privacy: No persistent storage of user data
- 3. Performance: Fastest access, no database queries
- 4. Prototype Appropriate: Sufficient for demonstration purposes
- 5. Security: Data automatically cleared when session ends

Alternatives Considered:

- SQLite: Unnecessary complexity for temporary data
- Cloud Database: Overkill and costs money
- File Storage: Persistence not needed

5 Implementation Summary

5.1 What Was Built

- Single-page web application using Streamlit
- Course management system with add/display functionality
- AI integration with Groq API for plan generation
- Export feature for downloading generated plans
- Error handling for API failures and validation
- Live deployment at https://studyplanner-ai-agent.streamlit.app/

5.2 Key Features

- 1. User Input: Course details and study preferences
- 2. AI Processing: Automated study plan generation
- 3. Plan Display: Rich text formatting of AI output
- 4. File Export: Download plans as text files
- 5. Session Management: Temporary data storage during use

5.3 Technical Implementation

- \bullet Frontend: ${\sim}100$ lines of Python using Streamlit
- API Integration: HTTP requests to Groq API with embedded key
- Data Handling: Simple dictionaries and lists
- Deployment: Automatic via Streamlit Cloud
- Dependencies: Only streamlit and requests libraries

This system design demonstrates a practical, working solution that automates study planning using AI while maintaining simplicity and ease of use.