MONICKA S [212221220033]

EXP 3: Evaluation of Prompting Tools Across Diverse AI Platforms: ChatGPT, Claude, Bard, Cohere Command, and Meta

AIM:

Within a specific use case (e.g., summarizing text, answering technical questions), compare the performance, user experience, and response quality of prompting tools across these different AI platforms.

Prompt:

PERSONAL DETAILS

UG Student

Email Id:bpreethi663@gmail.com

Phone no:7305425526

Address:

Martin Nagar, Polur, Thiruvannamalai.

Linkedln -https://www.linkedin.com/in/preethi-b-049079230

OBJECTIVE

Seeking a challenging position in a reputable organization to expand and utilize my learning, skills, and knowledge. A beginner role to enhance and explore my technical knowledge gained at Saveetha University. I hold a B.Tech Information Technology degree from Saveetha Engineering College and won the quiz challenge held at the campus like debate in technical events and also participated in several symposiums in other colleges.

EDUCATION DETAILS

B.Tech Information Technology

Saveetha Engineering College

Year Of completion:2025

CGPA:9

Aim Matriculation Higher Secondary School

12th Standard

Year of completion 2021

Percentage:89

CERTIFICATION

ICT Academy

Microsoft Azure

Data Analytics with Python

Cloud computing

Great Learning Academy

Digital Marketing Management

WORKSHOP

AWS

TECHNICAL SKILLS

PYTHON

C PROGRAMMING

Data structure using Python

SKILLS

Effective Time Management

Ability to Work in a Team

Adaptability

Leadership Skills

INPLANT TRAINING

Aatral Solutions Private Limited

AR/VR Technologies to Solve Real-Time Problem

ACHIEVEMENTS

Alpha Engineering college-Symposium Secured First place in Debate Technical Topic-what are the pros and cons of virtual reality


Saveetha Engineering College DRESTEIN'22 Secured Second Place in Just a Minute Non-Technical topic-Advantages and disadvantages of Industrialization

Loyola Engineering College-Symposium Paper Presentation Internet Of Things in Agriculture


DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the facts given above are genuine to the best of my knowledge and belie

GRAMMARLY



QUILLBOT



Criteria	Grammarly	QuillBot
Grammar Check Score	93/100	49/100
Strengths	Excellent in identifying grammatical errors, punctuation, spelling, and clarity issues. Provides detailed explanations for corrections.	Excellent in identifying grammatical errors, punctuation, spelling, and clarity issues. Provides detailed explanations for corrections.
Accuracy	High accuracy in spotting grammar mistakes and offering appropriate suggestions.	Moderate accuracy, more focused on style and rephrasing than grammar accuracy.
Ease of Use	Intuitive interface with suggestions for improvements directly visible in the text.	User-friendly but often requires manual adjustment of suggestions.
Writing Style Suggestions	Provides style, tone, and clarity suggestions along with grammar fixes.	Specializes in paraphrasing but less effective at offering writing style improvements.
Best Use Case	Best for grammar checking, improving sentence clarity, and writing style suggestions.	Ideal for rephrasing content to avoid repetition or to simplify sentences.

Summary:

- **Grammarly**: Best suited for checking grammar, punctuation, and writing style. It excels at identifying and fixing a broad range of issues, making it more comprehensive for grammar checks. It also provides a more professional tone and clarity, which is likely why you received a higher score.
- **QuillBot**: Primarily focuses on rephrasing and sentence restructuring. It is excellent for paraphrasing but may not catch all grammar issues, which is reflected in the lower score.

For grammar checking and improving the quality of formal writing, **Grammarly** is the better choice. However, if your focus is on rephrasing or simplifying sentences, **QuillBot** could be more effective.