

# Report

1. Title: Technical Documentation Quality Report.
2. Clarity:
  - Lack of consistency in terminology and alternating use of words with the same meaning, e.g., ENTITY – ENTITY; PERSON – PERSON; accredited person - receiving person; black list – Blacklist; string – text field; entity, application.
  - Lack of consistency in structuring, e.g., "date time from - date time to" vs. "date time from - to."
  - From the description, it can be inferred that the supplier receives 3 cards - a one-time MIFARE card, a return pass, and an identifier.
  - The use of the phrase "like tenants" does not provide clear information, especially since there are two types of tenants.
3. Documentation Clarity:
  - Confusing explanations of roles and structures.
  - Lack of commas, incorrect word inflections, typos.
  - Unclear notation regarding whether new MIFARE identifiers (of people and users) should have a barcode and ID code.
  - Asterisks suggest that something is required, but there is no explanation in the documentation.
4. Testing: Due to unclear wording in the documentation, not all possible scenarios were tested.
5. Contact for the defect report author: Monika Kasperczyk-Rosa, tel. 883-128-746
6. Conclusions:
 

Studying the documentation gives the impression that it is a consolidation of several versions, and it is possible that the decisive Ordering Party is not aware of what has been included in the final documentation (phrases like "something there," "maybe it's obvious," "may be done after the deadline," "CIA," etc. are used).

In view of the above observations, I propose a meeting with the Ordering Party to jointly analyze the content of the documentation..
7. Defect Report to the Test Manager<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> To the person above me in the company hierarchy.