# Relationship of Weather and Maize Yields in Kenya

Monika Novackova, Pedram Rowhani, Martin Todd, Annemie Maertens

Department of Geography, University of Sussex, Falmer, UK

October 2018

#### Abstract

..the full abstract to be written.. This paper contributes to better understanding of effects of drought on food security in Kenya which should lead to improving of early warning systems and food security. Our dataset consists of yearly panel of 47 counties of Kenya describing the period of 1981-2017.

...Applying the linear mixed effects models, we found that...

**Keywords:** Drought, Early warning systems, Food security, Food systems, Kenya, Mixed effects models

### 1 Introduction

Consequences of droughts and extreme heat waves on agriculture have been well documented (Deschenes and Greenstone, 2007; Bello and Maman, 2015; Lesk et al., 2016; Mehrabi and Ramankutty, 2017; Schwalm et al., 2017). I can go into details about these studies if not long enough. Agricultural drought and soil moister are important factors influencing plant health but they are also essential for land-atmospheric feedback and for temperature variability (Nicolai-Shaw et al., 2017).

Frequent occurrence of severe droughts have been a major problem in Kenya and in other Sub-Saharan countries (Gitu and Nzuma, 2003; D'Alessandro et al., 2015; Nicholson, 2017). The agricultural sector is the main contributor to the economy of Kenya, hence the effects of drought are especially damaging in this country (Mendelsohn et al., 2000). Besides compromising food security, drought usually leads to severe damage in water supply, electricity and the environment. In 2010-2011, the most devastating drought in decades resulted in food crisis in many East African countries including Kenya (Chen and Georgakakos, 2015). Widespread catastrophic droughts occurred also in 1984-1985, 2005 and 2008 (Hastenrath et al., 2007, 2010, 2011; Chen and Georgakakos, 2015). As a consequence of climate change, the situation is likely to get worse since dry areas have strong tendency to get drier while wet areas are getting wetter (Trenberth et al., 2014; Chen and Georgakakos, 2015; Kabubo-Mariara and Kabara, 2015). Strong downwards trend in precipitation has already been observed in the tropics from 10°N to 10°S, especially after 1977 (Trenberth et al., 2007). During the period 1900 - 2005, the climate has become wetter in many parts of the world (eastern parts of America, northern Europe, northern and central Asia) but it has became much drier in Mediterranean, Sahel, southern Africa and parts of Southern Asia. Furthermore, increased frequency of heavy rain events has been observed also in the areas with decline in total rainfall (Trenberth et al., 2007).

Droughts and climate variability do not only affect agriculture but they have widespread consequences on food security in general. Ubilava (2018) has found a connection between commodity prices and phases of the ENSO cycle. Robinson et al. (2010) have used a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model for Ethiopia and they have shown that production shocks and resulting price increases have negative effects on farmers' income in drought-prone areas while moderate price increases can be beneficial for farmers in less drought-prone areas. Willenbockel (2011) have focused on sub-Saharan Africa, central America north Africa and other low-income countries selected by OXFAM and they have estimated that climate change will result in decline in food productivity while food prices will increase. Brown and Kshirsagar (2015) have investigated food prices in 554 local commodity markets in Africa, South Asia and Latin America and they concluded that almost 20% of local market food prices had been affected by weather disturbances.

A large literature has focused on relationship of agricultural production and climate in Sub-Saharan Africa. A frequent finding is that increase in temperature affects crop revenue negatively while increase in precipitation has positive effects. Bello and Maman (2015) and Ochieng et al. (2016) found these results by the means of Ricardian analysis. Ochieng et al. (2016) focused on Kenya while Bello and Maman (2015) addressed the situation in Niger. Other studies have investigated the relationship of climate and yields in the context of climate change. Mendelsohn et al. (2000) have developed a simulation model to evaluate impacts of climate change on African agriculture. According to their study, agricultural GDP and climate change exhibit a hill-shaped relationship. Hence, global warming should be beneficial in high latitudes but harmful in low latitudes. The estimated loss of the Africa as continent ranges from \$25 billion to \$194 billion per year with 2 °C warming (Mendelsohn et al., 2000). Kabubo-Mariara and Karanja (2007) have shown that in

Kenya, higher summer temperatures are harmful while higher winter temperatures are beneficial. Furthermore, fall and summer precipitation is positively correlated with net revenue (Kabubo-Mariara and Karanja, 2007). Kurukulasuriya et al. (2008) and Seo et al. (2008) have investigated the distribution of climate change impacts on agriculture in Africa. They argue that mild scenario would result in income gains for African farmers while more severe scenario is likely to be harmful. Rowhani et al. (2011) have analysed relationship between climate variability and crop production in Tanzania. The authors have shown that by 2050 the climate change will result in significant decrease in crop production and big part of this loss can be attributed to change in intra-seasonal variability of weather. Kabubo-Mariara and Kabara (2015) have estimated effects of climate change on food security in Kenya. They found that temperature and maize yield exhibit a U-shaped relationship while the relationship of rainfall and maize is hill-shaped. Thus, sufficient precipitation is crucial, but excessive rainfall is harmful for maize yields. Mendelsohn (2008) reviewed several studies on effects of climate change on agriculture in developing countries and based on the revision he confirmed that tropical and sub-tropical countries are much more sensitive to climate change than temperate agriculture. Knox et al. (2012) conducted a meta-study of papers focused on effects of climate change impacts on crop productivity in Africa and South Asia. The authors concluded that the projected mean change in yield is -8% by the 2050s in both regions. According to another meta-study conducted by Challinor et al. (2014), losses in global production of wheat, maize and rice are expected with 2 °C global warming. However, with adaptation the simulated yields are on average 7 - 12% higher than without adaptation (Challinor et al., 2014).

A number of important studies have focused on responses to drought related disasters or emergency situations. Sandstrom and Juhola (2017) have questioned whether drought is actually a major cause of food insecurity in Ethiopia, Kenya and Somalia. They have analysed causes of the food crisis identified by the humanitarian appeal documents.

Majority of these documents have found food availability and food production to be the major causes of food crisis. Sandstrom and Juhola (2017) argue that there is a tendency to explain failure of more complex food systems as 'droughts' and insufficient attention is paid to non-climatic drivers such as food prices or conflicts. According to Sandstrom and Juhola (2017), a large share of humanitarian response budget has been focused on emergency food aid in comparison to the share focused on interventions to build resilience. The authors have suggested to increase the budget share focused on agricultural and livestock production to build resilience. Also other authors have promoted shifting from reactive to proactive approach in disaster risk management (Mechler, 2005; Lavell et al., 2012; Nicholson, 2017). Nicholson (2017) has recommended forecast based financing as it can avoid significant disaster losses. Mechler (2005) has shown that investments into disaster risk reduction had usually been outweighed by avoided losses. Furthermore, risk assessment is essential for disaster risk management (Lavell et al., 2012). In the light of these arguments, the main goal of the present study is to develop a model for assessing the risk of drought based on weather and climate data. This should help to improve focus on proactive rather than reactive approach in drought disaster risk management.

In this study, we focus on Kenya utilizing an yearly panel dataset of 47 counties over the period of 1981 - 2017. As a measure of food security we use agricultural maize yields and as a measure of drought we use precipitation and temperature data.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study which describes application of linear mixed effects models to estimate effects of precipitation and temperature on crop yields in Kenya. Hence, this is the first time that effects of drought and weather on food security were estimated taking into account the spatially-heterogeneous nature of Kenya and allowing for the effects of weather to vary across the country. To the best of our knowledge, this is also the first study which has utilised as comprehensive weather dataset as we have used:

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Measures of food security and drought

Before beginning our research, we had to answer two essential questions: 'How should we measure food security?' and 'How should we measure drought?' Taking into account the aim of this study, previous literature (see Section 1) and data availability, we were considering three main approaches to measuring food security. In particular, we considered using health and utility indicators, food prices or agricultural crop yields as measures of food security. Although some health and utility indicators including the Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) of children under five, the Coping Strategies Index (see Maxwell and Caldwell, 2008) or the NDMA early warning phases are available in the NDMA Early Warning Bulletins, the data are only available since July 2013. Furthermore, the scales of indicators do not seem to be consistent over the counties and time and the values of indicators or even entire reports are frequently missing. Therefore, we decided not to use the health and utility indicators.

Using food prices as a measure of food security turned out be problematic as well. One problem is data availability as the food prices are usually available for market towns rather than at county level. Furthermore, the market data which are available in the publications of Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) only cover several years. Another problem is that prices are usually strongly spatially autocorrelated across counties and this could cause the regression estimates to be inconsistent and inefficient unless the model explicitly accounts for the spatial autocorrelation structure. Modelling the

structure of spatial autocorrelation would require developing and estimation of complicated structural equations and also additional data, which may not be available. Therefore we opted for agricultural crop yields as a measure of food security and we decided to estimate a single reduced form equation with crop yields as the dependent variable. In particular, we focus on maize yields as this crop is the principal staple food in Kenya and it is grown at 90% of farms in Kenya (FAO, 2009).

The other important question to answer before starting our analysis was: 'How is drought defined and which definition and measure of drought would be the best for the purpose of this study?' Various definitions of drought and their role have been reviewed by Wilhite and Glantz (1985) and Wilhite (2000). For an extensive overview of drought indices see Heim (2002), Monacelli et al. (2005), Zargar et al. (2011) or Svoboda et al. (2016). Keyantash and Dracup (2002) have quantified, evaluated and compared number of drought indices for meteorological, hydrological and agricultural forms of drought. For more details about types, measures and indices of drought see Appendix 1.

In the recent period, remote sensing data have been used increasingly to monitor levels of greenness and closely related vegetation conditions (Nicolai-Shaw et al., 2017). Based on these data, various measures such as the vegetation condition index (VCI) have been developed and used for quantifying drought strength and severity (Klisch and Atzberger, 2016).

We were considering to use the Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) or VCI as measures of drought in our analysis. However, we did not find the county level SPEI to be as good predictor of food security as other precipitation and temperature measures and computing the SPEI for the entire high resolution weather dataset ...would be inadequately demanding. We did not use the VCI either as we were not confident enough

about the reliability of the available data.<sup>1</sup>

Thus, after conducting a rigorous literature research on measures of drought, we decided to utilize raw daily precipitation data from...CHIRPS?? with resolution... and daily temperature data from...at resolution..... The frequency of the maize yield data is yearly while the weather data are daily. Hence, the weather data need to be aggregated in order to obtain a dataset conformable with the yield data. There are many possible ways how to aggregate daily weather data. Commonly used measures of precipitation and temperature include monthly averages (or monthly totals in case of precipitation) and their variances or standard deviations (Abraha and Savage 2006; Lobell et al. 2008; Thornton et al. 2009). Adejuwon (2004) analysed relationship of crop yields and three measures of precipitation. The measures of precipitation include: (i) Total rainfall during the first month of the period from sowing to harvesting (June) (ii) Total rainfall during the first two months of the period from sowing to harvesting (June and July) and (iii) Total rainfall during the first three months of the period from sowing to harvesting (June, July and August). Based on his results, weather during June and July is the most important for crop yield in Sub-Saharan West Africa (Adejuwon, 2004). Other studies utilised seasonal totals or means (Sagoe 2006; Lobell and Burke 2010) or annual totals or means (Blignaut et al., 2009). Some studies are based on simulated daily extremes, averages, daily measures of variance (Schulze et al. 1993; Chipanshi et al. 2003; Abraha and Savage 2006) or yearly extremes (Sagoe, 2006). Another measures which have been proposed for modelling the variability of maize yield are numbers of wet and dry days per a defined period, usually a month or a season (Ben Mohamed et al. 2002; Abraha and Savage 2006; Sagoe 2006; Giannakopoulos et al. 2009) or length of rainy season (Leemans and Solomon 1993; Ben Mohamed et al.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The values of the VCI which we obtained from the NDMA were not the same as those available by the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU). Furthermore, the VCI values did not exactly correspond to the early warning phases as defined by the National Drought Management Authority (NDMA).

2002). The definition of wet and dry days and rainy season vary across the literature. For example, Ben Mohamed et al. (2002) has assumed that rainy season begins when the amount of rainfall in three consecutive days reach at least 25mm and no dry spell of more than seven days occurs in the following thirty days. According to this study, the end of the rainy season is defined as that rainy day after which rain recorded during 20 days is less than 5mm. Ben Mohamed et al. (2002) has also found sea surface temperature anomalies at various locations and amount of rainfall in July, August and September to be significant for millet crops in Niger. The author has also considered the maximum air temperature in the hottest month (April) and the minimum air temperature in the coldest month (January) as possible predictors of crops in Niger, but he did not find them significant.

An important group of studies that have analysed relationship of yield and climate in Sub-Saharan Africa has utilised degree days (Schulze et al. 1993; Tingem et al. 2008; Walker and Schulze 2008; Tingem et al. 2009) or number of days with temperature above certain level or within defined range (Giannakopoulos et al. 2009; Laux et al. 2010).

#### Erin Lentz, can we cite her paper???

Based on the literature research above and complexity of deriving the measures, we short-listed the following aggregates of the weather data:

maybe also describe the two rainy seasons and why we created the monthly variables? or maybe this in intro?

#### • Precipitation:

- Seasonal cumulative rainfall
- Seasonal standard deviation actually it is monthly at the moment. I am not sure how I would aggregate this over season??
- Seasonal coefficient of variation actually it is monthly at the moment. I am not

#### sure how I would aggregate this over season??

- Maximum length of dry spell in number of days

\_

#### 2.2 Data

#### 2.3 Linear mixed models

Kenya consists of 47 counties with semi-autonomous county governments (Barasa et al., 2017). As a result of the high degree of county-level autonomy, the policies and regulations often differ across the counties, hence the effects of weather on crop yield are likely to be different across the counties. Therefore, following the standard methodology, we estimated a battery of linear mixed effects models (mixed models) commonly used to analyse longitudinal data (Bates et al., 2000). Mixed models are suitable for analysis of panel data as they account for the panel structure of the dataset. These types of models include both fixed affects and random effects. Fixed effects are analogous to parameters in a classical linear regression model and value of each effect is assumed to be fixed over all counties (Bates, 2010). On the other hand, random effect are unobserved random variables. There are at least three benefits of treating a set of parameters as a random sample from some distribution. (i) Extrapolation of inference to a wider population (ii) improved accounting for system uncertainty and (iii) efficiency of estimation (Kery, 2010b,a).

Formally, a linear mixed model can be described by the distribution of two vectors of random variables: the response  $\mathscr{Y}$  and the vector of random effects  $\mathscr{B}$ . The distribution of  $\mathscr{B}$  is multivariate normal and the conditional distribution of  $\mathscr{Y}$  given  $\mathscr{B} = \mathbf{b}$  is multivariate normal of a form (Bates, 2010; Kery, 2010b):

$$(\mathscr{Y}|\mathscr{B} = \mathbf{b}) \sim N(\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{b}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}),$$
 (1)

where **X** is an  $n \times p$  model matrix of fixed effects,  $\beta$  is a p-dimensional fixed-effects parameter, **Z** is an  $n \times q$  model matrix for the q-dimensional vector of random-effects variable  $\mathscr{B}$  evaluated at **b** and  $\sigma$  a scale factor. The distribution of  $\mathscr{B}$  can be written as:

$$\mathscr{B} \sim N(0, \Sigma),$$
 (2)

where  $\Sigma$  is a  $q \times q$  positive semi-definite variance-covariance matrix

## 3 Results and discussion

### Findings:

- OND last year dry spell, max rain very important for Maize, but cumulative precipitation for the same period not so important
- Mar-Sept last year temperature very important for maize yields
- SD temperature last year positive and significant
- dry spell 20 MAM last year important (but not dry spell MAM10)
- interesting. Precipitation 2 months MAM last year very significant and positive
- mean temp last year negative and significant, hill shaped

# New findings:

 $\bullet$  The yields seem to be more responsive to weather on west than on east

Table 1: Mixed effects model: Log of maize yield and weather

Fixed effects:	Estimate	p-value
Intercept	0.158	0.086•
Prec. cum. MAM+OND lag 1, east	0.066	0.008**
Prec. cum. MAM lag 1, west	-0.006	0.861
Temp. avg. MarSep. lag 1, east	-0.036	0.292
Temp. avg. MarSep. lag 1, west	-0.081	0.008**
Prec. max OND, east	0.081	$0.056^{\bullet}$
Prec. max OND, west	0.108	0.009**
Temp. sd. OcMar. lag 1, east	0.101	0.003**
Temp. sd. OcMar. lag 1, west	0.142	$6 \times 10^{-7} ***$

### Random effects:

Intercept

Prec. cum. MAM+OND lag 1  $\,$ 

Prec. max OND

Temp. avg. Mar.-Sep. lag 1

Notes: 584 observations

<sup>•</sup> p < 0.1; \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001

## References

- Abraha, M. and Savage, M. 2006. "Potential impacts of climate change on the grain yield of maize for the midlands of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa". Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, pages 150–160.
- Adejuwon, J. O. Assessing the Suitability of the EPIC Crop Model for Use in the Study of Impacts of Climate Variability and Climate Change in West Africa. Technical Report AIACC Working Paper No. 5, project Assessments of Impacts and Adaptations to Climate Change (AIACC) in Multiple Regions and Sectors, 2004.
- American Meteorological Society. Meteorological drought Policy statement. Technical report, 1997.
- American Meteorological Society. Drought An Information Statement of the American Meteorological Society. Technical report, 2013.
- Barasa, E., Manyara, A., Molyneux, S., and Tsofa, B. 2017. "Recentralization within decentralization: County hospital autonomy under devolution in Kenya". *PLOS ONE*, pages 114–124.
- Bates, D. M. 2010. lme4: Mixed-effects modeling with R.
- Bates, J., Pinheiro, J., Pinheiro, J., and Bates, D. 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. Statistics and Computing. Springer New York. ISBN 9780387989570.
- Bello, G. H. M. and Maman, M. N. M. 2015. "A Ricardian Analysis of the Impact of Temperature and Rainfall Variability on Ag-riculture in Dosso and Maradi Regions of Niger Republic". Agricultural Sciences, 6:724–733.

- Ben Mohamed, A., van Duivenbooden, N., and Abdoussallam, S. 2002. "Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Production in the Sahel Part 1. Methodological Approach and Case Study for Millet in Niger". *Climatic Change*, 54(3):327–348.
- Blignaut, J., Ueckermann, L., and Aronson, J. 2009. "Agriculture production's sensitivity to changes in climate in South Africa". South African Journal of Science, 105:61 68. ISSN 0038-2353. URL http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S0038-23532009000100022&nrm=iso.
- Blumenstock, G. 1942. Drought in the United States analyzed by means of the theory of probability. US Department of Agriculture.
- Brown, M. E. and Kshirsagar, V. 2015. "Weather and international price shocks on food prices in the developing world". *Global Environmental Change*, 35(Supplement C):31 40.
- Challinor, A. J., J.Watson, Lobell, D. B., Howden, S. M., Smith, D. R., and Chhetri, N. 2014. "A meta-analysis of crop yield under climate change and adaptation". *Nature Climate Change*, 4:287–291.
- Chen, C.-J. and Georgakakos, A. P. 2015. "Seasonal prediction of East African rainfall".

  International Journal of Climatology, 35:2698–2723.
- Chipanshi, A. C., Chanda, R., and Totolo, O. 2003. "Vulnerability Assessment of the Maize and Sorghum Crops to Climate Change in Botswana". *Climatic Change*, 61(3): 339–360.
- D'Alessandro, S. P., Caballero, J., Lichte, J., and Simpkin, S. Kenya Agricultural Sector Risk Assessment. Technical Report Group report number 97887, World Bank, 2015.

- Deschenes, O. and Greenstone, M. 2007. "The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Output and Random Fluctuations in Weather". The American Economic Review, 97(1):354–385.
- FAO. THE STATE OF PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE IN KENYA. Technical report, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United States, 2009. Compiled by Wambugu P.W. and Muthamia Z.K, Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, National Genebank of Kenya.
- Giannakopoulos, C., Sager, P., Bindi, M., Moriondo, M., Kostopoulou, E., and Goodess,C. 2009. "Climatic changes and associated impacts in the Mediterranean resulting from a 2 C global warming". Global and Planetary Change, 68.
- Gitu, K. W. and Nzuma, J. M. Data Compendium for Kenya's Agricultural Sector. Technical report, Kenya Institute of Public Policy Research and Analysis, 2003. Special Report.
- Hastenrath, S., Polzin, D., and Mutai, C. 2007. "Diagnosing the 2005 Drought in Equatorial East Africa". *Journal of Climate*, 20:4628–4637.
- Hastenrath, S., Polzin, and Mutai, C. 2010. "Notes and correspondence: diagnosting the droughts and floods in Equatorial East Africa during boreal autumn 2005-08". *Journal of Climate*.
- Hastenrath, S., Polzin, D., and Mutai, C. 2011. "Circulation Mechanisms of Kenya Rainfall Anomalies". *Journal of Climate*, 24:404–412.
- Heim, R. R. 2002. "A Review of Twentieth-Century Drought Indices Used in the United States". Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 83(8):1149–1165.

- John Keyantash and National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff (Eds.). 2016.

  The Climate Data Guide: Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI). Retrieved from https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/standardized-precipitation-index-spi. Last modified 02 Mar 2016.
- Kabubo-Mariara, J. and Kabara, M. Climate Change and Food Security in Kenya. Technical Report 15-05, Environment for Development (EfD) Initiative, 2015. Discussion Paper Series.
- Kabubo-Mariara, J. and Karanja, F. K. 2007. "The economic impact of climate change on Kenyan crop agriculture: A Ricardian approach". Global and planetary change, 57 (3):319–330.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics.

  (accessed October, 2018). https://www.knbs.or.ke/.
- Kery, M. Chapter 12 Linear Mixed-Effects Model. In Kry, M., editor, Introduction to WinBUGS for Ecologists, pages 151 166. Academic Press, Boston, 2010a. ISBN 978-0-12-378605-0. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-378605-0.00012-0. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123786050000120.
- Kery, M. Chapter 9 Normal One-Way ANOVA. In Kry, M., editor, *Introduction to WinBUGS for Ecologists*, pages 115 127. Academic Press, Boston, 2010b.
- Keyantash, J. and Dracup, J. A. 2002. "The quantification of drought: an evaluation of drought indices". *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 83(8):1167–1180.
- Klisch, A. and Atzberger, C. 2016. "Operational Drought Monitoring in Kenya Using MODIS NDVI Time Series". Remote Sensing.

- Knox, J., Hess, T., Daccache, A., and Wheeler, T. 2012. "Climate change impacts on crop productivity in Africa and South Asia". *Environmental Research Letters*, 7.
- Kurukulasuriya, P., Mendelsohn, R., et al. 2008. "A Ricardian analysis of the impact of climate change on African cropland". African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 2(1):1–23.
- Laux, P., Jckel, G., Tingem, R. M., and Kunstmann, H. 2010. "Impact of climate change on agricultural productivity under rainfed conditions in CameroonA method to improve attainable crop yields by planting date adaptations". Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, pages 1258–1271.
- Lavell, A., Oppenheimer, M., Diop, C., Hess, J., Lempert, R., Li, J., Muir-Wood, R., and Myeong, S. 2012. Climate change: new dimensions in disaster risk, exposure, vulnerability, and resilience. In: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.
- Leemans, R. and Solomon, A. 1993. "Modeling the potential change in yield and distribution of the Earth's crops under a warmed climate". Climate Research, 3.
- Lesk, C., Rowhani, P., and Ramankutty, N. 2016. "Forecast-based financing: an approach for catalyzing humanitarian action based on extreme weather and climate forecasts". *Nature*, 529.
- Lobell, D. B. and Burke, M. B. 2010. "On the use of statistical models to predict crop yield responses to climate change". 150:1443–1452.

- Lobell, D. B., Burke, M., Tebaldi, C., Mastrandrea, M., Falcon, W., and L Naylor, R. 2008. "Prioritizing Climate Change Adaptation Needs for Food Security in 2030". Science, 319:607–10.
- Maxwell, D. and Caldwell, R. The Coping Strategies Index: Field Methods Manual Second Edition. Technical report, World Food Programme (WFP) and Cooperative for Assistance and Relief Everywhere, Inc. (CARE), 2008.
- McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., Kleist, J., et al. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. In *Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Applied Climatology*, volume 17, pages 179–183. American Meteorological Society Boston, MA, 1993.
- McQuigg, J. 1954. "A simple index of drought conditions". Weatherwise, 7(3):64–67.
- Mechler, R. Cost-benefit Analysis of Natural Disaster Risk Management in Developing Countries. Technical report, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH, 2005. comissioned by the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Mehrabi, Z. and Ramankutty, N. 2017. "The cost of heat waves and droughts for global crop production". bioRxiv.
- Mendelsohn, R. 2008. "The Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture in Developing Countries". *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*, 1(1):5–19.
- Mendelsohn, R., Dinar, A., and Dalfelt, A. Climate Change Impacts on African Agriculture. Technical report, Preliminary analysis prepared for the World Bank, 2000.
- Monacelli, G., Galluccio, M., and Abbafati, M. Drought assessment and forecasting. Technical report, 2005.

- Munger, T. T. 1916. "GRAPHIC METHOD OF REPRESENTING AND COMPARING DROUGHT INTENSITIES". Monthly Weather Review, 44(11):642–643.
- National Drought Management Authority .

  (accessed October, 2018). http://www.ndma.go.ke/index.php/resource-center/early-warning-reports.
- Nicholson, S. E. 2017. "Climate and climatic variability of rainfall over eastern Africa".

  Reviews of Geophysics, 15.
- Nicolai-Shaw, N., Zscheischler, J., Hirschi, M., Gudmundsson, L., and Seneviratne, S. I. 2017. "A drought event composite analysis using satellite remote-sensing based soil moisture". Remote Sensing of Environment.
- Ochieng, J., Kirimi, L., and Mathenge, M. 2016. "Effects of climate variability and change on agricultural production: The case of small scale farmers in Kenya". *NJAS Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 77(Supplement C):71 78. Social science perspectives on the bio-economy.
- Palmer, W. C. 1965. *Meteorological drought*, volume 30. US Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau Washington, DC.
- Robinson, S., Willenbockel, D., Ahmed, H., and Dorosh, P. 2010. "Implications of food production and price shocks for household welfare in Ethiopia: a general equilibrium analysis".
- Rowhani, P., Lobell, D. B., Lindermanc, M., and Ramankutty, N. 2011. "Climate variability and crop production in Tanzania". *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151: 449–460.
- Sagoe, R. 2006. "Climate change and root crop production in Ghana". A report prepared for Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Accra-Ghana February.

- Sandstrom, S. and Juhola, S. 2017. "Continue to blame it on the rain? Conceptualization of drought and failure of food systems in the Greater Horn of Africa". *Environmental Hazards*, 16(1):71–91.
- Schneider, S. H. and Hare, F. K. 1996. *Encyclopedia of climate and weather*, volume 678. Oxford University Press New York.
- Schulze, R. E., Kiker, G. A., and Kunz, R. P. 1993. "Global Climate Change and Agricultural Productivity in Southern Africa". *Global Environmental Change*, pages 330–349.
- Schwalm, C., Anderegg, W., Michalak, A. M., Fisher, J. B., Biondi, F., Koch, G., Litvak,
  M., Shaw, J., Wolf, A., Huntzinger, D., Schaefer, K., Cook, R., Wei, Y., Fang, Y., Hayes,
  D., Huang, M., Jain, A., and Tian, H. 2017. "Global Patterns of Drought Recovery".
  Nature, 548.
- Seo, S. N., Mendelsohn, R., Dinar, A., Hassan, R., and Kurukulasuriya, P. A Ricardian Analysis of the Distribution of Climate Change Impacts on Agriculture across Agro-Ecological Zones in Africa. Technical Report 4599, World Bank, 2008. Policy Research Working Paper.
- Svoboda, M., Fuchs, B., et al. 2016. "Handbook of Drought Indicators and Indices".
- Thornton, P. K., Jones, P. G., Alagarswamy, G., and Andresen, J. 2009. "Spatial variation of crop yield response to climate change in East Africa". *Global Environmental Change*, 19.
- Tingem, M., Rivington, M., Bellocchi, G., Azam-Ali, S., and Colls, J. 2008. "Effects of climate change on crop production in Cameroon". *Climate Research*, 36:65–77.

- Tingem, M., Rivington, M., and Bellocchi, G. 2009. "Adaptation assessments for crop production in response to climate change in Cameroon". Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 29:247–256.
- Trenberth, K. E., Jones, P. D., et al. 2007. IPCC, 2007: Summary for Policymakers.

  In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group

  I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

  [Solomon, S. and D. Qin, M. Manning and Z. Chen and M. Marquis and K.B. Averyt

  and M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press.
- Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., Van der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., and Sheffield, J. 2014. "Global warming and changes in drought". *Natural Climate Change*.
- Ubilava, D. 2018. "The Role of El Nio Southern Oscillation in Commodity Price Movement and Predictability". American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 100(1):239–263.
- University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna.

  (accessed October, 2018). http://ivfl-info.boku.ac.at/index.php/homepage?view=featured.
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguera, S., and Lpez-Moreno, J. I. 2010. "A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index". *Journal of Climate*, 23(7):1696–1718.
- Walker, N. and Schulze, R. 2008. "Climate change impacts on agro-ecosystem sustainability across three climate regions in the maize belt of South Africa". Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 124:114–124.
- Wilhite, D. A. 2000. "Drought as a natural hazard: concepts and definitions".

- Wilhite, D. A. and Glantz, M. H. 1985. "Understanding: the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions". Water international, 10(3):111–120.
- Willenbockel, D. 2011. "Exploring food price scenarios towards 2030 with a global multi-region model". Oxfam Policy and Practice: Agriculture, Food and Land, 11(2): 19–62.
- Zargar, A., Sadiq, R., Naser, B., and Khan, F. I. 2011. "A review of drought indices". Environmental Reviews, 19(NA):333–349.

# Appendix 1 Drought: definitions, measures and indices

According to the international meteorological community, drought can be defined in several ways. In particular, drought is a 'prolonged absence or marked deficiency of precipitation', a 'deficiency of precipitation that results in water shortage for some activity or for some group' or a 'period of abnormally dry weather sufficiently prolonged for the lack of precipitation to cause a serious hydrological imbalance' (Heim, 2002; Trenberth et al., 2007). American Meteorological Society (1997) has defined three types of droughts: (i) 'Agricultural drought' which is defined in terms of moister deficits in upper layer of soil up to about one meter depth (ii) 'meteorological drought' which refers to prolonged deficit of precipitation and (iii) 'hydrological drought' which relates to low streamflow, lake and levels of groundwater. The American Meteorological Society (1997) policy statement was later replaced by another statement (American Meteorological Society, 2013) which besides the three types of drought above, covers also the 'socioeconomic drought' which associates the supply and demand of some economic good with elements of meteorological, agricultural and hydrological drought (Heim 2002; Trenberth et al. 2007).

Wilhite and Glantz (1985) and Wilhite (2000) have distinguished two main categories of definitions of drought: (i) conceptual and (ii) operational. Conceptual definitions are dictionary types, usually defining boundaries of the concept of drought<sup>2</sup>. Operational definitions are essential for an effective early warning system. An example of operational definition of agricultural drought can be obtaining the rate of soil water depletion based on precipitation and evapotranspiration rates and expressing these relationships in terms of drought effects on plant behaviour (Wilhite, 2000).

In order to compare severity of drought across different time periods or geographical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>An example of conceptual definition of drought is an 'extended period - a season, a year, or several years of deficient rainfall relative to the statistical multi-year mean for a region' Schneider and Hare (1996).

locations a numerical measure turns out to be necessary. However, as a result of a large disagreement about a definition of drought, there is no single universal drought index. Instead of that a number of measures of drought has been developed (Wilhite and Glantz, 1985; Wilhite, 2000; Heim, 2002).

Examples of early measures of drought are Wilhite and Glantz (1985), Munger (1916), Blumenstock (1942) or McQuigg (1954). Munger (1916) suggested to use length of period without 24-h precipitation of 1.27 mm. Wilhite and Glantz (1985) is based on a measure of precipitation over a given time period. Blumenstock (1942) proposed to measure severity of drought as a length of drought in days where the end of a drought is defined by occurrence of 2.54 mm of precipitation in 48 hours. McQuigg (1954) developed the Antecedent Precipitation Index (API) which is based on amount and timing of precipitation and it was used for forecasting of floods. Hence, the API is a reverse drought index.

The study of Palmer (1965) was a significant milestone in the history of quantification of drought severity. Palmer (1965) developed the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) using a complex water balance model. The PDSI is based on a hydrological accounting system, which incorporate antecedent precipitation, moisture supply and moisture demand (Heim, 2002; Palmer, 1965). As the PDSI suffers from several weaknesses (for details see e.g. Heim 2002), other indices were developed in the following decades. These include the standardized precipitation index (SPI) developed by McKee et al. (1993) and the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI) developed by Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010). The SPI specifies observed precipitation as a standardised departure from a chosen probability distribution which models the precipitation data. Values of SPI can be viewed as a multiple of standard deviations by which the observed amount of rainfall deviates from the long-term mean (John Keyantash and National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff (Eds.), 2016). The SPEI is similar to SPI, but unlike SPI, the SPEI includes

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{Can}$  be created for various periods of 1-36 months, usually using monthly data.

the role of evapotranspiration (which captures increased temperature). It is based on water balance, therefore it can be compared to the self-calibrated PDSI (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010).

# Appendix 3 Tables

Table A1: List of considered (but not selected) predictors in multisplit lasso

Variable	Description
Religion	11 categories including atheist, no religion and prefer not to say
Race	8 categories including prefer not to answer
Length in UK	Question: How long have you been living in the UK? Response = 5 categories: All life ,more than 10 years, $5-10$ years, $1-5$ years, less than 1 year
Occupation	14 categories
Sector	18 categories
Operating system	7 categories
Social value orientation	Response = 4 categories: altruist, prosocial, individualist, competitive
Discount rate 0 vs. 5	Annual, %, invest now for five years from now
Discount rate 1 vs. 2	Annual, %, invest a year from now for two years from now
Discount rate 1 vs. 6	Annual, %, invest a year from now for six years from now
Degree of present bias	Continuous, preferences on time
Degree of hyperbolicity	Continuous, preferences on time
Annual discount rate	Continuous, preferences on time
Subsistence income (reserve)	Continuous, ???
Altruist	Dummy $(0/1)$
Prosocial	Dummy $(0/1)$
Individualist	Dummy $(0/1)$
Competitive	Dummy $(0/1)$
Egalitarian	Dummy $(0/1)$
Ineqaverse	Dummy $(0/1)$
Longitude	Longitude of survey response. Degrees
Latitude	Latitude of survey response. Degrees
Letter	First letter of surname, A=1,B=2,
Siblings	Number of siblings
Older	Number of older siblings
Children	Number of children
Grandchildren	Number of grandchildren

Note: Variables in this table were not selected by multisplit lasso into any model.

 ${\bf Table\ A2:}\ List\ of\ considered\ (but\ not\ selected)\ predictors\ in\ multisplit\ lasso$ 

Variable	Description
Handedness	0=right, 1=left
Time	Time taken to complete survey, in minutes
Hour	Hour of survey, 24 categories
Day of week	7 categories
Day of the month	Day of survey, $1-31$
Fair share	Ordinary working people do not get their fair share of the nation's wealth. Degree of agreement with the statement above, 5 categories
Hard work	Question: How important is hard work for getting ahead in life?  Response = 5 categories, degree of agreement
Better off parents	Question: Compared with your parents when they were about your age, are you better or worse in your income and standard of living generally? Response = 5 categories (degree of agreement) and Don't know
Better off children	Q: Compared with you, do you think that your children, when they reach your age, will be better or worse in their income and standard of living generally? Answer =5 categories (degree of agreement) and Don't know
Always up	Dummy $(0/1)$ , Children better off me and me better off parents
Always down	Dummy $(0/1)$ , Parents better off me and me better off children
Up then down	Dummy $(0/1)$ , Me better off parents and me better off children
Down then up	Dummy $(0/1)$ , Parents better off me and children better off me
Financial literacy	3 financial problems, no. of correct answers, ?
Understands portfolio	Dummy $(0/1)$ , $1 = understands$
Incoherent dr.	Dummy $(0/1)$ , Incoherent answers between investments $(0 = \text{coherent})$
Primed attitudes	1 = priming questions about time, risk, social were asked,  0 = not
Prime climate	<ul> <li>0 = shown picture of polar bear on melting ice (negative),</li> <li>1 = shown picture of people enjoying beach (positive)</li> </ul>
Prime pension	0 = picture of troubled old man, 1 = picture of happy old man
Prime school	0 = picture of unruly kids, 1 = picture of well-behaved kids
Prime NHS	0 = picture NHS in crisis, $1 = picture love NHS$
Female $\times$ handed	Interaction female and handedness
Female $\times$ children	Interaction female and number of children
Age × children	Interaction age and number of children

Note: Variables in this table were not selected by multisplit lasso into any model.

(continued)

Table A3: Descriptive statistics: Continuous variables

Variable:	Mean	St. dev.	Min	Max			
Income - predicted (£ per year)	27729	11719.89	3611	58326			
Net assets - total assets minus total debts $(\pounds)$	152542	223612.90	-400000	2500000			
Population (per Km <sup>2</sup> , LSOA <sup>a</sup> level)	3336	2975.38	7	25280			
Population (per Km <sup>2</sup> , LAD <sup>b</sup> level)	3193	3164.75	10	13870			
How much is tax gas and electricity (£/yr.)	144.90	111.94	-50	500			
How much is duty transport fuel (pence/yr.)	25.18	13.68	0	60			
Behavioural variables							
Social value orientation (ring measure)	26.28	15.52	-16.26	83.93			
Annual discount rate,%, invest now for a year from now <sup>c</sup>	148.7	181.81	1	500			
Risk aversion - estimated median of quadratic utility function	0.33	0.01	0.29	0.38			
Risk aversion - estimated median of log utility function	1.81	1.08	0.67	4.33			
Risk aversion - estimated median of power utility function	0.42	0.07	0.33	0.57			
Risk aversion - estimated mean of power utility function	0.74	0.26	0.33	1.07			

Notes: Total number of observations: 8541

a Lower Layer Super Output Area

b Local Authority District

c This variable is called  $Discount\ rate\ year\ from\ now$  in the tables with regression estimates