



FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS AND CORPORATE ISSUERS

CFA® Program Curriculum
2022 • LEVEL I • VOLUME 3

© 2021, 2020, 2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 by CFA Institute. All rights reserved.

This copyright covers material written expressly for this volume by the editor/s as well as the compilation itself. It does not cover the individual selections herein that first appeared elsewhere. Permission to reprint these has been obtained by CFA Institute for this edition only. Further reproductions by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval systems, must be arranged with the individual copyright holders noted.

CFA®, Chartered Financial Analyst®, AIMR-PPS®, and GIPS® are just a few of the trademarks owned by CFA Institute. To view a list of CFA Institute trademarks and the Guide for Use of CFA Institute Marks, please visit our website at www.cfainstitute.org.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

All trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, and registered service marks are the property of their respective owners and are used herein for identification purposes only.

ISBN 978-1-950157-44-0 (paper)
ISBN 978-1-950157-68-6 (ebk)

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

CONTENTS

How to Use the CFA Program Curriculum		
Background on the CBOK	x	
Organization of the Curriculum	xi	
Features of the Curriculum	xii	
Designing Your Personal Study Program	xiii	
CFA Institute Learning Ecosystem (LES)	xiv	
Prep Providers	xv	
Feedback	xvi	
Financial Statement Analysis		
Study Session 6	Financial Statement Analysis (2)	3
Reading 17	Understanding Income Statements	5
	Introduction	6
	Components and Format of the Income Statement	6
	Revenue Recognition	12
	General Principles	13
	Accounting Standards for Revenue Recognition	14
	Expense Recognition: General Principles	18
	General Principles	18
	Issues in Expense Recognition: Doubtful Accounts, Warranties	22
	Doubtful Accounts	22
	Warranties	22
	Issues in Expense Recognition: Depreciation and Amortization	23
	Implications for Financial Analysts: Expense Recognition	27
	Non-Recurring Items and Non-Operating Items: Discontinued Operations	
	and Unusual or Infrequent items	28
	Discontinued Operations	28
	Unusual or Infrequent Items	29
	Non-Recurring Items: Changes in Accounting Policy	30
	Non-Operating Items	33
	Earnings Per Share and Capital Structure and Basic EPS	34
	Simple versus Complex Capital Structure	35
	Basic EPS	36
	Diluted EPS: the If-Converted Method	37
	Diluted EPS When a Company Has Convertible Preferred Stock Outstanding	
	Outstanding	38
	Diluted EPS When a Company Has Convertible Debt Outstanding	39
	Diluted EPS: the Treasury Stock Method	40
	Other Issues with Diluted EPS and Changes in EPS	43
	Changes in EPS	44
	Common-Size Analysis of the Income Statement	44
	Common-Size Analysis of the Income Statement	45
	Income Statement Ratios	47

Comprehensive Income	49
<i>Summary</i>	52
<i>Practice Problems</i>	55
<i>Solutions</i>	60
Reading 18	
Understanding Balance Sheets	63
Introduction and Components of the Balance Sheet	64
Components and Format of the Balance Sheet	64
Balance Sheet Components	65
Current and Non-Current Classification	67
Liquidity-Based Presentation	68
Current Assets: Cash and Cash Equivalents, Marketable Securities and	
Trade Receivables	69
Current Assets	69
Current Assets: Inventories and Other Current Assets	74
Other Current Assets	74
Current Liabilities	75
Non-Current Assets: Property, Plant and Equipment and Investment Property	79
Property, Plant, and Equipment	80
Investment Property	81
Non-Current Assets: Intangible Assets	81
Identifiable Intangibles	82
Non-Current Assets: Goodwill	84
Non-Current Assets: Financial Assets	87
Non-Current Assets: Deferred Tax Assets	91
Non-Current Liabilities	91
Long-term Financial Liabilities	93
Deferred Tax Liabilities	93
Components of Equity	94
Components of Equity	94
Statement of Changes in Equity	97
Common Size Analysis of Balance Sheet	98
Common-Size Analysis of the Balance Sheet	98
Balance Sheet Ratios	106
<i>Summary</i>	108
<i>Practice Problems</i>	111
<i>Solutions</i>	116
Reading 19	
Understanding Cash Flow Statements	119
Introduction	120
Classification Of Cash Flows and Non-Cash Activities	121
Classification of Cash Flows and Non-Cash Activities	121

	Cash Flow Statement: Differences Between IFRS and US GAAP	123
	Cash Flow Statement: Direct and Indirect Methods for Reporting Cash Flow from Operating Activities	125
	Cash Flow Statement: Indirect Method Under IFRS	126
	Cash Flow Statement: Direct Method Under IFRS	129
	Cash Flow Statement: Direct Method Under US GAAP	131
	Cash Flow Statement: Indirect Method Under US GAAP	133
	Linkages of Cash Flow Statement with the Income Statement and Balance Sheet	135
	Linkages of the Cash Flow Statement with the Income Statement and Balance Sheet	135
	Preparing the Cash Flow Statement: The Direct Method for Operating Activities	137
	Operating Activities: Direct Method	138
	Preparing the Cash Flow Statement: Investing Activities	142
	Preparing the Cash Flow Statement: Financing Activities	144
	Long-Term Debt and Common Stock	144
	Dividends	145
	Preparing the Cash Flow Statement: Overall Statement of Cash Flows Under the Direct Method	145
	Preparing the Cash Flow Statement: Overall Statement of Cash Flows Under the Indirect Method	146
	Conversion of Cash Flows from the Indirect to Direct Method	149
	Cash Flow Statement Analysis: Evaluation of Sources and Uses of Cash	150
	Evaluation of the Sources and Uses of Cash	150
	Cash Flow Statement Analysis: Common Size Analysis	155
	Cash Flow Statement Analysis: Free Cash Flow to Firm and Free Cash Flow to Equity	160
	Cash Flow Statement Analysis: Cash Flow Ratios	162
	Summary	164
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	165
	<i>Solutions</i>	171
Reading 20	Financial Analysis Techniques	175
	Introduction	176
	The Financial Analysis Process	177
	Analytical Tools and Techniques	181
	Financial Ratio Analysis	183
	The Universe of Ratios	184
	Value, Purposes, and Limitations of Ratio Analysis	186
	Sources of Ratios	187
	Common Size Balance Sheets and Income Statements	188
	Common-Size Analysis of the Balance Sheet	189
	Common-Size Analysis of the Income Statement	189
	Cross-Sectional, Trend Analysis & Relationships in Financial Statements	191
	Trend Analysis	192
	Relationships among Financial Statements	194
	The Use of Graphs and Regression Analysis	195
	Regression Analysis	197

Common Ratio Categories & Interpretation and Context	197
Interpretation and Context	198
Activity Ratios	199
Calculation of Activity Ratios	199
Interpretation of Activity Ratios	201
Liquidity Ratios	205
Calculation of Liquidity Ratios	206
Interpretation of Liquidity Ratios	207
Solvency Ratios	210
Calculation of Solvency Ratios	211
Interpretation of Solvency Ratios	212
Profitability Ratios	215
Calculation of Profitability Ratios	215
Interpretation of Profitability Ratios	216
Integrated Financial Ratio Analysis	218
The Overall Ratio Picture: Examples	219
DuPont Analysis: The Decomposition of ROE	221
Equity Analysis and Valuation Ratios	226
Valuation Ratios	226
Industry-Specific Financial Ratios	229
Research on Financial Ratios in Credit and Equity Analysis	231
Credit Analysis	232
The Credit Rating Process	233
Historical Research on Ratios in Credit Analysis	234
Business and Geographic Segments	234
Segment Reporting Requirements	235
Segment Ratios	236
Model Building and Forecasting	238
Summary	239
<i>Practice Problems</i>	241
<i>Solutions</i>	247
Study Session 7	
Financial Statement Analysis (3)	251
Reading 21	
Inventories	253
Introduction	254
Cost of inventories	255
Inventory valuation methods	256
Specific Identification	257
First-In, First-Out (FIFO)	257
Weighted Average Cost	258
Last-In, First-Out (LIFO)	258
Calculations of cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory	258
Periodic versus perpetual inventory systems	260
Comparison of inventory valuation methods	263
The LIFO method and LIFO reserve	265
LIFO Reserve	266

LIFO liquidations	266
Inventory method changes	274
Inventory adjustments	275
Evaluation of inventory management: Disclosures & ratios	282
Presentation and Disclosure	282
Inventory Ratios	283
Illustrations of inventory analysis: Adjusting LIFO to FIFO	284
Illustrations of inventory analysis: Impacts of writedowns	288
Summary	294
<i>Practice Problems</i>	297
<i>Solutions</i>	313
Reading 22	
Long-Lived Assets	321
Introduction & Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment	322
Introduction	322
Acquisition of Long-Lived Assets	323
Property, Plant, and Equipment	323
Acquisition of Intangible Assets	326
Intangible Assets Purchased in Situations Other Than Business	
Combinations	326
Intangible Assets Developed Internally	327
Intangible Assets Acquired in a Business Combination	328
Capitalization versus Expensing: Impact on Financial Statements and Ratios	330
Capitalisation of Interest Costs	335
Capitalisation of Interest and Internal Development Costs	338
Depreciation of Long-Lived Assets: Methods and Calculation	342
Depreciation Methods and Calculation of Depreciation Expense	343
Amortisation of Long-Lived Assets: Methods and Calculation	351
The Revaluation Model	352
Impairment of Assets	356
Impairment of Property, Plant, and Equipment	357
Impairment of Intangible Assets with a Finite Life	359
Impairment of Intangibles with Indefinite Lives	359
Impairment of Long-Lived Assets Held for Sale	359
Reversals of Impairments of Long-Lived Assets	360
Derecognition	360
Sale of Long-Lived Assets	360
Long-Lived Assets Disposed of Other Than by a Sale	361
Presentation and Disclosure Requirements	363
Using Disclosures in Analysis	370
Investment Property	374
Summary	377
<i>Practice Problems</i>	380
<i>Solutions</i>	392

Reading 23	Income Taxes	397
	Introduction	398
	Differences Between Accounting Profit and Taxable Income	398
	Current and Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities	399
	Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities	400
	Determining the Tax Base of Assets and Liabilities	403
	Determining the Tax Base of an Asset	404
	Determining the Tax Base of a Liability	405
	Changes in Income Tax Rates	407
	Temporary and Permanent Differences Between Taxable and Accounting Profit	408
	Taxable Temporary Differences	409
	Deductible Temporary Differences	410
	Examples of Taxable and Deductible Temporary Differences	410
	Exceptions to the Usual Rules for Temporary Differences	412
	Business Combinations and Deferred Taxes	413
	Investments in Subsidiaries, Branches, Associates and Interests in Joint Ventures	413
	Unused Tax Losses and Tax Credits	413
	Recognition and Measurement of Current and Deferred Tax	414
	Recognition of a Valuation Allowance	415
	Recognition of Current and Deferred Tax Charged Directly to Equity	415
	Presentation and Disclosure	418
	Comparison of IFRS and US GAAP	424
	Summary	426
	Practice Problems	428
	Solutions	433
Reading 24	Non-Current (Long-Term) Liabilities	435
	Introduction	436
	Bonds Payable & Accounting for Bond Issuance	436
	Accounting for Bond Issuance	436
	Accounting for Bond Amortisation, Interest Expense, and Interest Payments	440
	Accounting for Bonds at Fair Value	445
	Derecognition of Debt	448
	Debt Covenants	451
	Presentation and Disclosure of Long-Term Debt	453
	Leases	456
	Examples of Leases	457
	Advantages of Leasing	457
	Lease Classification as Finance or Operating	457
	Financial Reporting of Leases	459
	Lessee Accounting—IFRS	459
	Lessee Accounting—US GAAP	461
	Lessor Accounting	463
	Introduction to Pensions and Other Post-Employment Benefits	465
	Evaluating Solvency: Leverage and Coverage Ratios	469

<i>Summary</i>	472
<i>Practice Problems</i>	475
<i>Solutions</i>	480
Study Session 8	487
Reading 25	489
Financial Reporting Quality	489
Introduction & Conceptual Overview	490
Conceptual Overview	491
GAAP, Decision Useful Financial Reporting	492
GAAP, Decision-Useful, but Sustainable?	493
Biased Accounting Choices	494
Within GAAP, but "Earnings Management"	503
Departures from GAAP	503
Differentiate between Conservative and Aggressive Accounting	505
Conservatism in Accounting Standards	506
Bias in the Application of Accounting Standards	507
Context for Assessing Financial Reporting Quality: Motivations and Conditions Conducive to Issuing Low Quality Financial Reports	508
Motivations	509
Conditions Conducive to Issuing Low-Quality Financial Reports	509
Mechanisms That Discipline Financial Reporting Quality	510
Market Regulatory Authorities	510
Auditors	512
Private Contracting	516
Detection of Financial Reporting Quality Issues: Introduction & Presentation Choices	518
Presentation Choices	519
Accounting Choices and Estimates and How Accounting Choices and Estimates Affect Earnings and Balance Sheets	525
How Accounting Choices and Estimates Affect Earnings and Balance Sheets	526
How Choices that Affect the Cash Flow Statement	537
Choices that Affect Financial Reporting	540
Warning Signs	544
1) Pay attention to revenue.	544
2) Pay attention to signals from inventories.	545
3) Pay attention to capitalization policies and deferred costs.	546
4) Pay attention to the relationship of cash flow and net income.	546
5) Other potential warnings signs.	546
<i>Summary</i>	549
<i>Practice Problems</i>	552
<i>Solutions</i>	556
Reading 26	561
Applications of Financial Statement Analysis	561
Introduction & Evaluating Past Financial Performance	562
Application: Evaluating Past Financial Performance	563

Application: Projecting Future Financial Performance as an Input to Market Based Valuation	566
Projecting Performance: An Input to Market-Based Valuation	567
Projecting Multiple-Period Performance	572
Application: Assessing Credit Risk	576
Screening for Potential Equity Investments	578
Framework for Analyst Adjustments & Adjustments to Investments & Adjustments to Inventory	581
A Framework for Analyst Adjustments	582
Analyst Adjustments Related to Investments	582
Analyst Adjustments Related to Inventory	582
Adjustments Related to Property, Plant, and Equipment	586
Adjustments Related to Goodwill	588
Summary	590
<i>Practice Problems</i>	592
<i>Solutions</i>	594

Corporate Issuers

Study Session 9	Corporate Issuers (1)	597
Reading 27	Introduction to Corporate Governance and Other ESG Considerations	599
	Introduction and Overview of Corporate Governance	600
	Corporate Governance Overview	600
	Stakeholder Groups	602
	Stakeholder Groups	602
	Principal-Agent and Other Relationships in Corporate Governance	605
	Shareholder and Manager/Director Relationships	606
	Controlling and Minority Shareholder Relationships	606
	Manager and Board Relationships	607
	Shareholder versus Creditor Interests	607
	Other Stakeholder Conflicts	608
	Overview and Mechanisms of Stakeholder Management	608
	Overview of Stakeholder Management	609
	Mechanisms of Stakeholder Management	609
	Mechanisms to Mitigate Associated Stakeholder Risks	613
	Employee Laws and Contracts	614
	Contractual Agreements with Customers and Suppliers	615
	Laws and Regulations	615
	Company Boards and Committees	615
	Composition of the Board of Directors	616
	Functions and Responsibilities of the Board	617
	Board of Directors Committees	617
	Relevant Factors in Analyzing Corporate Governance and Stakeholder Management	
	Management	620
	Market Factors	620
	Non-Market Factors	622
	Risks and Benefits of Corporate Governance and Stakeholder Management	623
	Risks of Poor Governance and Stakeholder Management	623

	Benefits of Effective Governance and Stakeholder Management	625
	Factors Relevant to Corporate Governance and Stakeholder Management	
	Analysis	626
	Economic Ownership and Voting Control	627
	Board of Directors Representation	627
	Remuneration and Company Performance	628
	Investors in the Company	629
	Strength of Shareholders' Rights	629
	Managing Long-Term Risks	630
	Summary of Analyst Considerations	630
	ESG Considerations for Investors and Analysts	632
	Introduction to Environmental, Social, and Governance issues	632
	ESG Investment Strategies	633
	ESG Investment Approaches	634
	Catalysts for Growth in ESG Investing	636
	ESG Market Overview	637
	ESG Factors in Investment Analysis	638
	Summary	640
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	642
	<i>Solutions</i>	644
Reading 28	Uses of Capital	645
	Introduction	645
	The Capital Allocation Process	646
	Investment Decision Criteria	651
	Net Present Value	651
	Internal Rate of Return	652
	Corporate Usage of Capital Allocation Methods	654
	Real Options	656
	Timing Options	657
	Sizing Options	657
	Flexibility Options	657
	Fundamental Options	658
	Common Capital Allocation Pitfalls	659
	Summary	660
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	662
	<i>Solutions</i>	666
Reading 29	Sources of Capital	669
	Introduction	669
	Corporate Financing Options	670
	Internal Financing	671
	Financial Intermediaries	672
	Capital Markets	673
	Other Financing	676
	Considerations Affecting Financing Choices	676
	Managing and Measuring Liquidity	680
	Defining Liquidity Management	681
	Measuring Liquidity	684

Evaluating Short-Term Financing Choices	688
<i>Summary</i>	691
<i>Practice Problems</i>	692
<i>Solutions</i>	695

Glossary	G-1
-----------------	------------

How to Use the CFA Program Curriculum

Congratulations on your decision to enter the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA®) Program. This exciting and rewarding program of study reflects your desire to become a serious investment professional. You are embarking on a program noted for its high ethical standards and the breadth of knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) it develops. Your commitment should be educationally and professionally rewarding.

The credential you seek is respected around the world as a mark of accomplishment and dedication. Each level of the program represents a distinct achievement in professional development. Successful completion of the program is rewarded with membership in a prestigious global community of investment professionals. CFA charterholders are dedicated to life-long learning and maintaining currency with the ever-changing dynamics of a challenging profession. CFA Program enrollment represents the first step toward a career-long commitment to professional education.

The CFA exam measures your mastery of the core knowledge, skills, and abilities required to succeed as an investment professional. These core competencies are the basis for the Candidate Body of Knowledge (CBOK™). The CBOK consists of four components:

- A broad outline that lists the major CFA Program topic areas (www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum/cbok);
- Topic area weights that indicate the relative exam weightings of the top-level topic areas (www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum);
- Learning outcome statements (LOS) that advise candidates about the specific knowledge, skills, and abilities they should acquire from readings covering a topic area (LOS are provided in candidate study sessions and at the beginning of each reading); and
- CFA Program curriculum that candidates receive upon exam registration.

Therefore, the key to your success on the CFA exams is studying and understanding the CBOK. The following sections provide background on the CBOK, the organization of the curriculum, features of the curriculum, and tips for designing an effective personal study program.

BACKGROUND ON THE CBOK

CFA Program is grounded in the practice of the investment profession. CFA Institute performs a continuous practice analysis with investment professionals around the world to determine the competencies that are relevant to the profession, beginning with the Global Body of Investment Knowledge (GBIK®). Regional expert panels and targeted surveys are conducted annually to verify and reinforce the continuous feedback about the GBIK. The practice analysis process ultimately defines the CBOK. The CBOK reflects the competencies that are generally accepted and applied by investment professionals. These competencies are used in practice in a generalist context and are expected to be demonstrated by a recently qualified CFA charterholder.

The CFA Institute staff—in conjunction with the Education Advisory Committee and Curriculum Level Advisors, who consist of practicing CFA charterholders—designs the CFA Program curriculum in order to deliver the CBOK to candidates. The exams, also written by CFA charterholders, are designed to allow you to demonstrate your mastery of the CBOK as set forth in the CFA Program curriculum. As you structure your personal study program, you should emphasize mastery of the CBOK and the practical application of that knowledge. For more information on the practice analysis, CBOK, and development of the CFA Program curriculum, please visit www.cfainstitute.org.

ORGANIZATION OF THE CURRICULUM

The Level I CFA Program curriculum is organized into 10 topic areas. Each topic area begins with a brief statement of the material and the depth of knowledge expected. It is then divided into one or more study sessions. These study sessions should form the basic structure of your reading and preparation. Each study session includes a statement of its structure and objective and is further divided into assigned readings. An outline illustrating the organization of these study sessions can be found at the front of each volume of the curriculum.

The readings are commissioned by CFA Institute and written by content experts, including investment professionals and university professors. Each reading includes LOS and the core material to be studied, often a combination of text, exhibits, and in-text examples and questions. End of Reading Questions (EORQs) followed by solutions help you understand and master the material. The LOS indicate what you should be able to accomplish after studying the material. The LOS, the core material, and the EORQs are dependent on each other, with the core material and EORQs providing context for understanding the scope of the LOS and enabling you to apply a principle or concept in a variety of scenarios.

The entire readings, including the EORQs, are the basis for all exam questions and are selected or developed specifically to teach the knowledge, skills, and abilities reflected in the CBOK.

You should use the LOS to guide and focus your study because each exam question is based on one or more LOS and the core material and practice problems associated with the LOS. As a candidate, you are responsible for the entirety of the required material in a study session.

We encourage you to review the information about the LOS on our website (www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum/study-sessions), including the descriptions of LOS “command words” on the candidate resources page at www.cfainstitute.org.

FEATURES OF THE CURRICULUM

End of Reading Questions/Solutions *All End of Reading Questions (EORQs) as well as their solutions are part of the curriculum and are required material for the exam.* In addition to the in-text examples and questions, these EORQs help demonstrate practical applications and reinforce your understanding of the concepts presented. Some of these EORQs are adapted from past CFA exams and/or may serve as a basis for exam questions.

Glossary For your convenience, each volume includes a comprehensive Glossary. Throughout the curriculum, a **bolded** word in a reading denotes a term defined in the Glossary.

Note that the digital curriculum that is included in your exam registration fee is searchable for key words, including Glossary terms.

LOS Self-Check We have inserted checkboxes next to each LOS that you can use to track your progress in mastering the concepts in each reading.

Source Material The CFA Institute curriculum cites textbooks, journal articles, and other publications that provide additional context or information about topics covered in the readings. As a candidate, you are not responsible for familiarity with the original source materials cited in the curriculum.

Note that some readings may contain a web address or URL. The referenced sites were live at the time the reading was written or updated but may have been deactivated since then.



Some readings in the curriculum cite articles published in the *Financial Analysts Journal*®, which is the flagship publication of CFA Institute. Since its launch in 1945, the *Financial Analysts Journal* has established itself as the leading practitioner-oriented journal in the investment management community. Over the years, it has advanced the knowledge and understanding of the practice of investment management through the publication of peer-reviewed practitioner-relevant research from leading academics and practitioners. It has also featured thought-provoking opinion pieces that advance the common level of discourse within the investment management profession. Some of the most influential research in the area of investment management has appeared in the pages of the *Financial Analysts Journal*, and several Nobel laureates have contributed articles.

Candidates are not responsible for familiarity with *Financial Analysts Journal* articles that are cited in the curriculum. But, as your time and studies allow, we strongly encourage you to begin supplementing your understanding of key investment management issues by reading this, and other, CFA Institute practice-oriented publications through the Research & Analysis webpage (www.cfainstitute.org/en/research).

Errata The curriculum development process is rigorous and includes multiple rounds of reviews by content experts. Despite our efforts to produce a curriculum that is free of errors, there are times when we must make corrections. Curriculum errata are periodically updated and posted by exam level and test date online (www.cfainstitute.org/en/programs/submit-errata). If you believe you have found an error in the curriculum, you can submit your concerns through our curriculum errata reporting process found at the bottom of the Curriculum Errata webpage.

DESIGNING YOUR PERSONAL STUDY PROGRAM

Create a Schedule An orderly, systematic approach to exam preparation is critical. You should dedicate a consistent block of time every week to reading and studying. Complete all assigned readings and the associated problems and solutions in each study session. Review the LOS both before and after you study each reading to ensure that

you have mastered the applicable content and can demonstrate the knowledge, skills, and abilities described by the LOS and the assigned reading. Use the LOS self-check to track your progress and highlight areas of weakness for later review.

Successful candidates report an average of more than 300 hours preparing for each exam. Your preparation time will vary based on your prior education and experience, and you will probably spend more time on some study sessions than on others.

You should allow ample time for both in-depth study of all topic areas and additional concentration on those topic areas for which you feel the least prepared.

CFA INSTITUTE LEARNING ECOSYSTEM (LES)

As you prepare for your exam, we will email you important exam updates, testing policies, and study tips. Be sure to read these carefully.

Your exam registration fee includes access to the CFA Program Learning Ecosystem (LES). This digital learning platform provides access, even offline, to all of the readings and End of Reading Questions found in the print curriculum organized as a series of shorter online lessons with associated EORQs. This tool is your one-stop location for all study materials, including practice questions and mock exams.

The LES provides the following supplemental study tools:

Structured and Adaptive Study Plans The LES offers two ways to plan your study through the curriculum. The first is a structured plan that allows you to move through the material in the way that you feel best suits your learning. The second is an adaptive study plan based on the results of an assessment test that uses actual practice questions.

Regardless of your chosen study path, the LES tracks your level of proficiency in each topic area and presents you with a dashboard of where you stand in terms of proficiency so that you can allocate your study time efficiently.

Flashcards and Game Center The LES offers all the Glossary terms as Flashcards and tracks correct and incorrect answers. Flashcards can be filtered both by curriculum topic area and by action taken—for example, answered correctly, unanswered, and so on. These Flashcards provide a flexible way to study Glossary item definitions.

The Game Center provides several engaging ways to interact with the Flashcards in a game context. Each game tests your knowledge of the Glossary terms in a different way. Your results are scored and presented, along with a summary of candidates with high scores on the game, on your Dashboard.

Discussion Board The Discussion Board within the LES provides a way for you to interact with other candidates as you pursue your study plan. Discussions can happen at the level of individual lessons to raise questions about material in those lessons that you or other candidates can clarify or comment on. Discussions can also be posted at the level of topics or in the initial Welcome section to connect with other candidates in your area.

Practice Question Bank The LES offers access to a question bank of hundreds of practice questions that are in addition to the End of Reading Questions. These practice questions, only available on the LES, are intended to help you assess your mastery of individual topic areas as you progress through your studies. After each practice question, you will receive immediate feedback noting the correct response and indicating the relevant assigned reading so you can identify areas of weakness for further study.

Mock Exams The LES also includes access to three-hour Mock Exams that simulate the morning and afternoon sessions of the actual CFA exam. These Mock Exams are intended to be taken after you complete your study of the full curriculum and take practice questions so you can test your understanding of the curriculum and your readiness for the exam. If you take these Mock Exams within the LES, you will receive feedback afterward that notes the correct responses and indicates the relevant assigned readings so you can assess areas of weakness for further study. We recommend that you take Mock Exams during the final stages of your preparation for the actual CFA exam. For more information on the Mock Exams, please visit www.cfainstitute.org.

PREP PROVIDERS

You may choose to seek study support outside CFA Institute in the form of exam prep providers. After your CFA Program enrollment, you may receive numerous solicitations for exam prep courses and review materials. When considering a prep course, make sure the provider is committed to following the CFA Institute guidelines and high standards in its offerings.

Remember, however, that there are no shortcuts to success on the CFA exams; reading and studying the CFA Program curriculum *is* the key to success on the exam. The CFA Program exams reference only the CFA Institute assigned curriculum; no prep course or review course materials are consulted or referenced.

SUMMARY

Every question on the CFA exam is based on the content contained in the required readings and on one or more LOS. Frequently, an exam question is based on a specific example highlighted within a reading or on a specific practice problem and its solution. To make effective use of the CFA Program curriculum, please remember these key points:

- 1 All pages of the curriculum are required reading for the exam.
- 2 All questions, problems, and their solutions are part of the curriculum and are required study material for the exam. These questions are found at the end of the readings in the print versions of the curriculum. In the LES, these questions appear directly after the lesson with which they are associated. The LES provides immediate feedback on your answers and tracks your performance on these questions throughout your study.
- 3 We strongly encourage you to use the CFA Program Learning Ecosystem. In addition to providing access to all the curriculum material, including EORQs, in the form of shorter, focused lessons, the LES offers structured and adaptive study planning, a Discussion Board to communicate with other candidates, Flashcards, a Game Center for study activities, a test bank of practice questions, and online Mock Exams. Other supplemental study tools, such as eBook and PDF versions of the print curriculum, and additional candidate resources are available at www.cfainstitute.org.
- 4 Using the study planner, create a schedule and commit sufficient study time to cover the study sessions. You should also plan to review the materials, answer practice questions, and take Mock Exams.
- 5 Some of the concepts in the study sessions may be superseded by updated rulings and/or pronouncements issued after a reading was published. Candidates are expected to be familiar with the overall analytical framework contained in the assigned readings. Candidates are not responsible for changes that occur after the material was written.

FEEDBACK

At CFA Institute, we are committed to delivering a comprehensive and rigorous curriculum for the development of competent, ethically grounded investment professionals. We rely on candidate and investment professional comments and feedback as we work to improve the curriculum, supplemental study tools, and candidate resources.

Please send any comments or feedback to info@cfainstitute.org. You can be assured that we will review your suggestions carefully. Ongoing improvements in the curriculum will help you prepare for success on the upcoming exams and for a lifetime of learning as a serious investment professional.

Financial Statement Analysis

STUDY SESSIONS

Study Session 5	Financial Statement Analysis (1)
Study Session 6	Financial Statement Analysis (2)
Study Session 7	Financial Statement Analysis (3)
Study Session 8	Financial Statement Analysis (4)

TOPIC LEVEL LEARNING OUTCOME

The candidate should be able to demonstrate a thorough knowledge of financial reporting procedures and the standards that govern financial reporting disclosure. Emphasis is on basic financial statements and how alternative accounting methods affect those statements and the analysis of them.

Financial statement analysis is critical in assessing a company's overall financial position and associated risks over time. Security and business valuation, credit risk assessment, and acquisition due diligence all require an understanding of the major financial statements including general principles and reporting approaches. Because no set of accounting standards has universal acceptance, companies around the world may differ in reporting treatment based on their jurisdiction.

Financial statement analysis requires the ability to analyze a company's reported results with its economic reality, normalize differences in accounting treatment to make valid cross company comparisons, identify quality issues that may exist in reported financial statements, and discern evidence of financial statement manipulation by management.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

Candidates should be familiar with the material covered in the following pre-requisite reading available in Candidate Resources on the CFA Institute website:

- Financial Reporting Mechanics

FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS STUDY SESSION

6

Financial Statement Analysis (2)

This study session addresses the three major financial statements—the income statement, the balance sheet, and the cash flow statement—by examining each in turn. The purpose, elements of, construction, pertinent ratios, and common-size analysis are presented for each major financial statement. The session concludes with a discussion of financial analysis techniques including the use of ratios to evaluate corporate financial health.

READING ASSIGNMENTS

Reading 17	Understanding Income Statements by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA
Reading 18	Understanding Balance Sheets by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA
Reading 19	Understanding Cash Flow Statements by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, and Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA
Reading 20	Financial Analysis Techniques by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, and J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

READING

17

Understanding Income Statements

by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA

Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, is at AACSB International (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe the components of the income statement and alternative presentation formats of that statement;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe general principles of revenue recognition and accounting standards for revenue recognition;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. calculate revenue given information that might influence the choice of revenue recognition method;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe general principles of expense recognition, specific expense recognition applications, and implications of expense recognition choices for financial analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe the financial reporting treatment and analysis of non-recurring items (including discontinued operations, unusual or infrequent items) and changes in accounting policies;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. contrast operating and non-operating components of the income statement;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe how earnings per share is calculated and calculate and interpret a company's earnings per share (both basic and diluted earnings per share) for both simple and complex capital structures;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. contrast dilutive and antidilutive securities and describe the implications of each for the earnings per share calculation;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. formulate income statements into common-size income statements;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. evaluate a company's financial performance using common-size income statements and financial ratios based on the income statement;
<input type="checkbox"/>	k. describe, calculate, and interpret comprehensive income;
<input type="checkbox"/>	l. describe other comprehensive income and identify major types of items included in it.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

The income statement presents information on the financial results of a company's business activities over a period of time. The income statement communicates how much revenue the company generated during a period and what costs it incurred in connection with generating that revenue. The basic equation underlying the income statement, ignoring gains and losses, is Revenue minus Expenses equals Net income. The income statement is also sometimes referred to as the "statement of operations," "statement of earnings," or "profit and loss (P&L) statement." Under both International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP), the income statement may be presented as a separate statement followed by a statement of comprehensive income that begins with the profit or loss from the income statement or as a section of a single statement of comprehensive income.¹ This reading focuses on the income statement, and the term *income statement* will be used to describe either the separate statement that reports profit or loss used for earnings per share calculations or that section of a statement of comprehensive income that reports the same profit or loss. The reading also includes a discussion of comprehensive income (profit or loss from the income statement plus other comprehensive income).

Investment analysts intensely scrutinize companies' income statements. Equity analysts are interested in them because equity markets often reward relatively high- or low-earnings growth companies with above-average or below-average valuations, respectively, and because inputs into valuation models often include estimates of earnings. Fixed-income analysts examine the components of income statements, past and projected, for information on companies' abilities to make promised payments on their debt over the course of the business cycle. Corporate financial announcements frequently emphasize information reported in income statements, particularly earnings, more than information reported in the other financial statements.

This reading is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the components of the income statement and its format. Section 3 describes basic principles and selected applications related to the recognition of revenue, and Sections 4–7 describe basic principles and selected applications related to the recognition of expenses. Sections 8–10 cover non-recurring items and non-operating items. Sections 11–14 explain the calculation of earnings per share. Sections 15–16 introduce income statement analysis, and Section 17 explains comprehensive income and its reporting. A summary of the key points and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple choice format complete the reading.

2

COMPONENTS AND FORMAT OF THE INCOME STATEMENT

- a describe the components of the income statement and alternative presentation formats of that statement;

¹ International Accounting Standard (IAS) 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, establishes the presentation and minimum content requirements of financial statements and guidelines for the structure of financial statements under IFRS. Under US GAAP, the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification ASC Section 220-10-45 [Comprehensive Income—Overall—Other Presentation Matters] discusses acceptable formats in which to present income, other comprehensive income, and comprehensive income.

Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 show the income statements for Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV (AB InBev), a multinational beverage company based in Belgium, Molson Coors Brewing Company (Molson Coors), a US-based multinational brewing company, and Groupe Danone (Danone), a French food manufacturer.² AB InBev and Danone report under IFRS, and Molson Coors reports under US GAAP. Note that both AB InBev and Molson Coors show three years' income statements and list the years in chronological order with the most recent year listed in the left-most column. In contrast, Danone shows two years of income statements and lists the years in chronological order from left to right with the most recent year in the right-most column. Different orderings of chronological information are common.

On the top line of the income statement, companies typically report revenue. **Revenue** generally refers to the amount charged for the delivery of goods or services in the *ordinary activities* of a business. Revenue may also be called sales or turnover.³ For the year ended 31 December 2017, AB InBev reports \$56.44 billion of revenue, Molson Coors reports \$13.47 billion of revenue (labeled "sales"), and Danone reports €24.68 billion of revenue (labeled "sales").

Revenue is reported after adjustments (e.g., for cash or volume discounts, or for other reductions), and the term **net revenue** is sometimes used to specifically indicate that the revenue has been adjusted (e.g., for estimated returns). For all three companies in Exhibits 1 through 3, footnotes to their financial statements (not shown here) state that revenues are stated net of such items as returns, customer rebates, trade discounts, or volume-based incentive programs for customers.

In a comparative analysis, an analyst may need to reference information disclosed elsewhere in companies' annual reports—typically the notes to the financial statements and the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)—to identify the appropriately comparable revenue amounts. For example, excise taxes represent a significant expenditure for brewing companies. On its income statement, Molson Coors reports \$13.47 billion of revenue (labeled "sales") and \$11.00 billion of net revenue (labeled "net sales"), which equals sales minus \$2.47 billion of excise taxes. Unlike Molson Coors, AB InBev does not show the amount of excise taxes on its income statement. However, in its disclosures, AB InBev notes that excise taxes (amounting to \$15.4 billion in 2017) have been deducted from the revenue amount shown on its income statement. Thus, the amount on AB InBev's income statement labeled "revenue" is more comparable to the amount on Molson Coors' income statement labeled "net sales."

**Exhibit 1 Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV Consolidated Income Statement (in Millions of US Dollars)
[Excerpt]**

	12 Months Ended December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Revenue	\$56,444	\$45,517	\$43,604
Cost of sales	(21,386)	(17,803)	(17,137)
Gross profit	35,058	27,715	26,467
Distribution expenses	(5,876)	(4,543)	(4,259)
Sales and marketing expenses	(8,382)	(7,745)	(6,913)

(continued)

2 Following net income, the income statement also presents **earnings per share**, the amount of earnings per common share of the company. Earnings per share will be discussed in detail later in this reading, and the per-share display has been omitted from these exhibits to focus on the core income statement.

3 **Sales** is sometimes understood to refer to the sale of goods, whereas *revenue* can include the sale of goods or services; however, the terms are often used interchangeably. In some countries, the term "turnover" may be used in place of revenue.

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

	12 Months Ended December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Administrative expenses	(3,841)	(2,883)	(2,560)
Other operating income/(expenses)	854	732	1,032
Restructuring	(468)	(323)	(171)
Business and asset disposal	(39)	377	524
Acquisition costs business combinations	(155)	(448)	(55)
Impairment of assets	—	—	(82)
Judicial settlement	—	—	(80)
Profit from operations	17,152	12,882	13,904
Finance cost	(6,885)	(9,216)	(3,142)
Finance income	378	652	1,689
Net finance income/(cost)	(6,507)	(8,564)	(1,453)
Share of result of associates and joint ventures	430	16	10
Profit before tax	11,076	4,334	12,461
Income tax expense	(1,920)	(1,613)	(2,594)
Profit from continuing operations	9,155	2,721	9,867
Profit from discontinued operations	28	48	
Profit of the year	9,183	2,769	9,867
Profit from continuing operations attributable to:			
Equity holders of AB InBev	7,968	1,193	8,273
Non-controlling interest	1,187	1,528	1,594
Profit of the year attributable to:			
Equity holders of AB InBev	7,996	1,241	8,273
Non-controlling interest	\$1,187	\$1,528	\$1,594

Note: reported total amounts may have slight discrepancies due to rounding

Exhibit 2 Molson Coors Brewing Company Consolidated Statement of Operations (in Millions of US Dollars) [Excerpt]

	12 Months Ended		
	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
Sales	\$13,471.5	\$6,597.4	\$5,127.4
Excise taxes	(2,468.7)	(1,712.4)	(1,559.9)
Net sales	11,002.8	4,885.0	3,567.5
Cost of goods sold	(6,217.2)	(2,987.5)	(2,131.6)
Gross profit	4,785.6	1,897.5	1,435.9
Marketing, general and administrative expenses	(3,032.4)	(1,589.8)	(1,038.3)
Special items, net	(28.1)	2,522.4	(346.7)
Equity Income in MillerCoors	0	500.9	516.3
Operating income (loss)	1,725.1	3,331.0	567.2

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

	12 Months Ended		
	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
Other income (expense), net			
Interest expense	(349.3)	(271.6)	(120.3)
Interest income	6.0	27.2	8.3
Other income (expense), net	(0.1)	(29.7)	0.9
Total other income (expense), net	(343.4)	(274.1)	(111.1)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	1,381.7	3,056.9	456.1
Income tax benefit (expense)	53.2	(1,055.2)	(61.5)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	1,434.9	2,001.7	394.6
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1.5	(2.8)	3.9
Net income (loss) including noncontrolling interests	1,436.4	1,998.9	398.5
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(22.2)	(5.9)	(3.3)
Net income (loss) attributable to Molson Coors Brewing Company	\$1,414.2	\$1,993.0	\$395.2

Exhibit 3 Groupe Danone Consolidated Income Statement (in Millions of Euros) [Excerpt]

	Year Ended 31 December	
	2016	2017
Sales	21,944	24,677
Cost of goods sold	(10,744)	(12,459)
Selling expense	(5,562)	(5,890)
General and administrative expense	(2,004)	(2,225)
Research and development expense	(333)	(342)
Other income (expense)	(278)	(219)
Recurring operating income	3,022	3,543
Other operating income (expense)	(99)	192
Operating income	2,923	3,734
Interest income on cash equivalents and short-term investments	130	151
Interest expense	(276)	(414)
Cost of net debt	(146)	(263)
Other financial income	67	137
Other financial expense	(214)	(312)
Income before tax	2,630	3,296
Income tax expense	(804)	(842)
Net income from fully consolidated companies	1,826	2,454
Share of profit of associates	1	109
Net income	1,827	2,563

(continued)

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

	Year Ended 31 December	
	2016	2017
Net income – Group share	1,720	2,453
Net income – Non-controlling interests	107	110

Differences in presentations of items, such as expenses, are also common. **Expenses** reflect outflows, depletions of assets, and incurrences of liabilities in the course of the activities of a business. Expenses may be grouped and reported in different formats, subject to some specific requirements.

At the bottom of the income statement, companies report net income (companies may use other terms such as “net earnings” or “profit or loss”). For 2017, AB InBev reports \$9,183 million “Profit of the year”, Molson Coors reports \$1,436.4 million of net income including noncontrolling interests, and Danone reports €2,563 million of net income. Net income is often referred to as the “bottom line.” The basis for this expression is that net income is the final—or bottom—line item in an income statement. Because net income is often viewed as the single most relevant number to describe a company’s performance over a period of time, the term “bottom line” sometimes is used in business to refer to any final or most relevant result.

Despite this customary terminology, note that each company presents additional items below net income: information about how much of that net income is attributable to the company itself and how much of that income is attributable to noncontrolling interests, also known as minority interests. The companies consolidate subsidiaries over which they have control. Consolidation means that they include all of the revenues and expenses of the subsidiaries even if they own less than 100 percent. Noncontrolling interest represents the portion of income that “belongs” to the minority shareholders of the consolidated subsidiaries, as opposed to the parent company itself. For AB InBev, \$7,996 million of the total profit is attributable to the shareholders of AB InBev, and \$1,187 million is attributable to noncontrolling interests. For Molson Coors, \$1,414.2 million is attributable to the shareholders of Molson Coors, and \$22.2 million is attributable to noncontrolling interests. For Danone, €2,453 million of the net income amount is attributable to shareholders of Groupe Danone and €110 million is attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Net income also includes **gains** and **losses**, which are increases and decreases in economic benefits, respectively, which may or may not arise in the ordinary activities of the business. For example, when a manufacturing company sells its products, these transactions are reported as revenue, and the costs incurred to generate these revenues are expenses and are presented separately. However, if a manufacturing company sells surplus land that is not needed, the transaction is reported as a gain or a loss. The amount of the gain or loss is the difference between the carrying value of the land and the price at which the land is sold. For example, in Exhibit 1, AB InBev reports a loss (proceeds, net of carrying value) of \$39 million on disposals of businesses and assets in fiscal 2017, and gains of \$377 million and \$524 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively. Details on these gains and losses can typically be found in the companies’ disclosures. For example, AB InBev discloses that the \$377 million gain in 2016 was mainly from selling one of its breweries in Mexico.

The definition of income encompasses both revenue and gains and the definition of expenses encompasses both expenses that arise in the ordinary activities of the business and losses.⁴ Thus, **net income** (profit or loss) can be defined as: a) income minus expenses, or equivalently b) revenue plus other income plus gains minus expenses, or equivalently c) revenue plus other income plus gains minus expenses in the ordinary activities of the business minus other expenses, and minus losses. The last definition can be rearranged as follows: net income equals (i) revenue minus expenses in the ordinary activities of the business, plus (ii) other income minus other expenses, plus (iii) gains minus losses.

In addition to presenting the net income, income statements also present items, including subtotals, which are significant to users of financial statements. Some of the items are specified by IFRS but other items are not specified.⁵ Certain items, such as revenue, finance costs, and tax expense, are required to be presented separately on the face of the income statement. IFRS additionally require that line items, headings, and subtotals relevant to understanding the entity's financial performance should be presented even if not specified. Expenses may be grouped together either by their nature or function. Grouping together expenses such as depreciation on manufacturing equipment and depreciation on administrative facilities into a single line item called "depreciation" is an example of a **grouping by nature** of the expense. An example of **grouping by function** would be grouping together expenses into a category such as cost of goods sold, which may include labour and material costs, depreciation, some salaries (e.g., salespeople's), and other direct sales related expenses.⁶ All three companies in Exhibits 1 through 3 present their expenses by function, which is sometimes referred to "cost of sales" method.

One subtotal often shown in an income statement is **gross profit** or **gross margin** (that is revenue less cost of sales). When an income statement shows a gross profit subtotal, it is said to use a **multi-step format** rather than a **single-step format**. The AB InBev and Molson Coors income statements are examples of the multi-step format, whereas the Groupe Danone income statement is in a single-step format. For manufacturing and merchandising companies, gross profit is a relevant item and is calculated as revenue minus the cost of the goods that were sold. For service companies, gross profit is calculated as revenue minus the cost of services that were provided. In summary, gross profit is the amount of revenue available after subtracting the costs of delivering goods or services. Other expenses related to running the business are subtracted after gross profit.

Another important subtotal which may be shown on the income statement is **operating profit** (or, synonymously, operating income). Operating profit results from deducting operating expenses such as selling, general, administrative, and research and development expenses from gross profit. Operating profit reflects a company's profits on its business activities before deducting taxes, and for non-financial companies, before deducting interest expense. For financial companies, interest expense would be included in operating expenses and subtracted in arriving at operating profit because it relates to the operating activities for such companies. For some companies composed of a number of separate business segments, operating profit can be useful in evaluating the performance of the individual business segments, because interest and tax expenses may be more relevant at the level of the overall company rather than an individual segment level. The specific calculations of gross profit and operating profit may vary by company, and a reader of financial statements can consult the notes to the statements to identify significant variations across companies.

⁴ IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010), paragraphs 4.29 to 4.32.

⁵ Requirements are presented in IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*.

⁶ Later readings will provide additional information about alternative methods to calculate cost of goods sold.

Operating profit is sometimes referred to as EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes). However, operating profit and EBIT are not necessarily the same. Note that in each of the Exhibits 1 through 3, interest and taxes do not represent the only differences between earnings (net income, net earnings) and operating income. For example, AB InBev separately reports its share of associates' and joint ventures' income and Molson Coors separately reports some income from discontinued operations.

Exhibit 4 shows an excerpt from the income statement of CRA International, a company providing management consulting services. Accordingly, CRA deducts cost of services (rather than cost of goods) from revenues to derive gross profit. CRA's fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest December 31st. Because of this fiscal year timeframe, CRA's fiscal year occasionally comprises 53 weeks rather than 52 weeks. Although the extra week is likely immaterial in computing year-to-year growth rates, it may have a material impact on a quarter containing the extra week. In general, an analyst should be alert to the effect of an extra week when making historical comparisons and forecasting future performance.

Exhibit 4 CRA International Inc. Consolidated Statements of Operations (Excerpt) (in Thousands of Dollars)

	Fiscal Year Ended		
	Dec. 30, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Jan. 02, 2016
Revenues	\$370,075	\$324,779	\$303,559
Costs of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	258,829	227,380	207,650
Selling, general and administrative expenses	86,537	70,584	72,439
Depreciation and amortization	8,945	7,896	6,552
GNU goodwill impairment	—	—	4,524
Income from operations	15,764	18,919	12,394

Note: Remaining items omitted

Exhibits 1 through 4 illustrate basic points about the income statement, including variations across the statements—some of which depend on the industry and/or country, and some of which reflect differences in accounting policies and practices of a particular company. In addition, some differences within an industry are primarily differences in terminology, whereas others are more fundamental accounting differences. Notes to the financial statements are helpful in identifying such differences.

Having introduced the components and format of an income statement, the next objective is to understand the actual reported numbers in it. To accurately interpret reported numbers, the analyst needs to be familiar with the principles of revenue and expense recognition—that is, how revenue and expenses are measured and attributed to a given accounting reporting period.

3

REVENUE RECOGNITION

- b** Describe general principles of revenue recognition and accounting standards for revenue recognition;
- c** calculate revenue given information that might influence the choice of revenue recognition method;

Revenue is the top line in an income statement, so we begin the discussion of line items in the income statement with revenue recognition. Accounting standards for revenue recognition (which we discuss later in this section) became effective at the beginning of 2018 and are nearly identical under IFRS and US GAAP. The revenue recognition standards for IFRS and US GAAP (IFRS 15 and ASC Topic 606, respectively) were issued in 2014 and resulted from an effort to achieve convergence, consistency, and transparency in revenue recognition globally.

A first task is to explain some relevant accounting terminology. The terms revenue, sales, gains, losses, and net income (profit, net earnings) have been briefly defined. The IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010),⁷ referred to hereafter as the *Conceptual Framework*, further defines and discusses these income statement items. The *Conceptual Framework* explains that profit is a frequently used measure of performance and is composed of income and expenses.⁸ It defines **income** as follows:

Income is increases in economic benefits during the accounting period in the form of inflows or enhancements of assets or decreases of liabilities that result in increases in equity, other than those relating to contributions from equity participants.⁹

In IFRS, the term “income” includes revenue and gains. Gains are similar to revenue, but they typically arise from secondary or peripheral activities rather than from a company’s primary business activities. For example, for a restaurant, the sale of surplus restaurant equipment for more than its carrying value is referred to as a gain rather than as revenue. Similarly, a loss typically arises from secondary activities. Gains and losses may be considered part of operating activities (e.g., a loss due to a decline in the value of inventory) or may be considered part of non-operating activities (e.g., the sale of non-trading investments).

In the following simple hypothetical scenario, revenue recognition is straightforward: a company sells goods to a buyer for cash and does not allow returns, so the company recognizes revenue when the exchange of goods for cash takes place and measures revenue at the amount of cash received. In practice, however, determining when revenue should be recognized and at what amount is considerably more complex for reasons discussed in the following sections.

3.1 General Principles

An important aspect concerning revenue recognition is that it can occur independently of cash movements. For example, assume a company sells goods to a buyer on credit, so does not actually receive cash until some later time. A fundamental principle of accrual accounting is that revenue is recognized (reported on the income statement) when it is earned, so the company’s financial records reflect revenue from the sale when the risk and reward of ownership is transferred; this is often when the company delivers the goods or services. If the delivery was on credit, a related asset, such as trade or accounts receivable, is created. Later, when cash changes hands, the company’s financial records simply reflect that cash has been received to settle an account receivable. Similarly, there are situations when a company receives cash in advance and actually delivers the product or service later, perhaps over a period of time. In this case, the company would record a liability for **unearned revenue** when the cash

⁷ The IASB is currently in the process of updating its *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*.

⁸ *Conceptual Framework*, paragraph 4.24. The text on the elements of financial statements and their recognition and measurement is the same in the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010) and the IASB *Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements* (1989).

⁹ Ibid., paragraph 4.25(a).

is initially received, and revenue would be recognized as being earned over time as products and services are delivered. An example would be a subscription payment received for a publication that is to be delivered periodically over time.

3.2 Accounting Standards for Revenue Recognition

The converged accounting standards issued by the IASB and FASB in May 2014 introduced some changes to the basic principles of revenue recognition and should enhance comparability.¹⁰ The content of the two standards is nearly identical, and this discussion pertains to both, unless specified otherwise. Issuance of this converged standard is significant because of the differences between IFRS and US GAAP on revenue recognition prior to the converged standard. The converged standard aims to provide a principles-based approach to revenue recognition that can be applied to many types of revenue-generating activities.

The core principle of the converged standard is that revenue should be recognized to “depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in an exchange for those goods or services.” To achieve the core principle, the standard describes the application of five steps in recognizing revenue:

- 1 Identify the contract(s) with a customer
- 2 Identify the separate or distinct performance obligations in the contract
- 3 Determine the transaction price
- 4 Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract
- 5 Recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation

According to the standard, a contract is an agreement and commitment, with commercial substance, between the contacting parties. It establishes each party's *obligations* and *rights*, including payment terms. In addition, a contract exists only if collectability is probable. Each standard uses the same wording, but the threshold for probable collectability differs. Under IFRS, probable means more likely than not, and under US GAAP it means likely to occur. As a result, economically similar contracts may be treated differently under IFRS and US GAAP.

The performance obligations within a contract represent promises to transfer distinct good(s) or service(s). A good or service is distinct if the customer can benefit from it on its own or in combination with readily available resources and if the promise to transfer it can be separated from other promises in the contract. Each identified performance obligation is accounted for separately.

The transaction price is what the seller estimates will be received in exchange for transferring the good(s) or service(s) identified in the contract. The transaction price is then allocated to each identified performance obligation. Revenue is recognized when a performance obligation is fulfilled. Steps three and four address amount, and step five addresses timing of recognition. The amount recognized reflects expectations about collectability and (if applicable) an allocation to multiple obligations within the same contract. Revenue is recognized when the obligation-satisfying transfer is made.

Revenue should only be recognized when it is highly probable that it will not be subsequently reversed. This may result in the recording of a minimal amount of revenue upon sale when an estimate of total revenue is not reliable. The balance sheet

¹⁰ IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* and FASB ASC Topic 606 (*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*).

will be required to reflect the entire refund obligation as a liability and will include an asset for the “right to returned goods” based on the carrying amount of inventory less costs of recovery.

When revenue is recognized, a contract asset is presented on the balance sheet. It is only at the point when all performance obligations have been met except for payment that a receivable appears on the seller’s balance sheet. If consideration is received in advance of transferring good(s) or service(s), the seller presents a contract liability.

The entity will recognize revenue when it is able to satisfy the performance obligation by transferring control to the customer. Factors to consider when assessing whether the customer has obtained control of an asset at a point in time:

- Entity has a present right to payment,
- Customer has legal title,
- Customer has physical possession,
- Customer has the significant risks and rewards of ownership, and
- Customer has accepted the asset.

For a simple contract with only one deliverable at a single point in time, completing the five steps is straight-forward. For more complex contracts—such as when the performance obligations are satisfied over time, when the terms of the multi-period contracts change, when the performance obligation includes various components of goods and services, or when the compensation is “variable”—accounting choices can be less obvious. The steps in the standards are intended to provide guidance that can be generalized to most situations.

In addition, the standard provides many specific examples. These examples are intended to provide guidance as to how to approach more complex contracts. Some of these examples are summarized in Exhibit 5. Note that the end result for many examples may not differ substantially from that under revenue recognition standards that were in effect prior to the adoption of the converged standard; instead it is the conceptual approach and, in some cases, the terminology that will differ.

Exhibit 5 Applying the Converged Revenue Recognition Standard

The references in this exhibit are to Examples in IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (and ASU 2014-09 (FASB ASC Topic 606)), on which these summaries are based.

Part 1 (ref. Example 10)

Builder Co. enters into a contract with Customer Co. to construct a commercial building. Builder Co. identifies various goods and services to be provided, such as pre-construction engineering, construction of the building’s individual components, plumbing, electrical wiring, and interior finishes. With respect to “Identifying the Performance Obligation,” should Builder Co. treat each specific item as a separate performance obligation to which revenue should be allocated?

The standard provides two criteria, which must be met, to determine if a good or service is distinct for purposes of identifying performance obligations. First, the customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other readily available resources. Second, the seller’s “promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract.” In this example, the second criterion is not met because it is the building for which the customer has contracted, not the separate goods and services. The seller will integrate all the goods and services into a combined output and each specific item should not be treated as a distinct good or service but accounted for together as a single performance obligation.

(continued)

Exhibit 5 (Continued)**Part 2 (ref. Example 8)**

Builder Co.'s contract with Customer Co. to construct the commercial building specifies consideration of \$1 million. Builder Co.'s expected total costs are \$700,000. The Builder incurs \$420,000 in costs in the first year. Assuming that costs incurred provide an appropriate measure of progress toward completing the contract, how much revenue should Builder Co. recognize for the first year?

The standard states that for performance obligations satisfied over time (e.g., where there is a long-term contract), revenue is recognized over time by measuring progress toward satisfying the obligation. In this case, the Builder has incurred 60% of the total expected costs ($\$420,000/\$700,000$) and will thus recognize \$600,000 ($60\% \times \1 million) in revenue for the first year.

This is the same amount of revenue that would be recognized using the "percentage-of-completion" method under previous accounting standards, but that term is not used in the converged standard. Instead, the standard refers to performance obligations satisfied over time and requires that progress toward complete satisfaction of the performance obligation be measured based on input method such as the one illustrated here (recognizing revenue based on the proportion of total costs that have been incurred in the period) or an output method (recognizing revenue based on units produced or milestones achieved).

Part 3 (ref. Example 8)

Assume that Builder Co.'s contract with Customer Co. to construct the commercial building specifies consideration of \$1 million *plus* a bonus of \$200,000 if the building is completed within 2 years. Builder Co. has only limited experience with similar types of contracts and knows that many factors outside its control (e.g., weather, regulatory requirements) could cause delay. Builder Co.'s expected total costs are \$700,000. The Builder incurs \$420,000 in costs in the first year. Assuming that costs incurred provide an appropriate measure of progress toward completing the contract, how much revenue should Builder Co. recognize for the first year?

The standard addresses so-called "variable consideration" as part of determining the transaction price. A company is only allowed to recognize variable consideration if it can conclude that it will not have to reverse the cumulative revenue in the future. In this case, Builder Co. does not recognize any of the bonus in year one because it cannot reach the non-reversible conclusion given its limited experience with similar contracts and potential delays from factors outside its control.

Part 4 (ref. Example 8)

Assume all facts from Part 3. In the beginning of year two, Builder Co. and Customer Co. agree to change the building floor plan and modify the contract. As a result the consideration will increase by \$150,000, and the allowable time for achieving the bonus is extended by 6 months. Builder expects its costs will increase by \$120,000. Also, given the additional 6 months to earn the completion bonus, Builder concludes that it now meets the criteria for including the \$200,000 bonus in revenue. How should Builder account for this change in the contract?

Note that previous standards did not provide a general framework for contract modifications. The converged standard provides guidance on whether a change in a contract is a new contract or a modification of an existing contract. To be considered a new contract, the change would need to involve goods and services that are distinct from the goods and services already transferred.

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

In this case, the change does not meet the criteria of a new contract and is therefore considered a modification of the existing contract, which requires the company to reflect the impact on a cumulative catch-up basis. Therefore, the company must update its transaction price and measure of progress. Builder's total revenue on the transaction (transaction price) is now \$1.35 million (\$1 million original plus the \$150,000 new consideration plus \$200,000 for the completion bonus). Builder Co.'s progress toward completion is now 51.2% (\$420,000 costs incurred divided by total expected costs of \$820,000). Based on the changes in the contract, the amount of additional revenue to be recognized is \$91,200, calculated as $(51.2\% \times \$1.35 \text{ million})$ minus the \$600,000 already recognized. The additional \$91,200 of revenue would be recognized as a "cumulative catch-up adjustment" on the date of the contract modification.

Part 5 (ref. Example 15)

Assume a Company operates a website that enables customers to purchase goods from various suppliers. The customers pay the Company in advance, and orders are nonrefundable. The *suppliers* deliver the goods directly to the customer, and the Company receives a 10% commission. Should the Company report Total Revenues equal to 100% of the sales amount (gross) or Total Revenues equal to 10% of the sales amount (net)? Revenues are reported gross if the Company is acting as a Principal and net if the Company is acting as an Agent.

In this example, the Company is an Agent because it isn't primarily responsible for fulfilling the contract, doesn't take any inventory risk or credit risk, doesn't have discretion in setting the price, and receives compensation in the form of a commission. Because the Company is acting as an Agent, it should report only the amount of commission as its revenue.

Some related costs require specific accounting treatment under the new standards. In particular, incremental costs of obtaining a contract and certain costs incurred to fulfill a contract must be capitalized under the new standards (i.e., reported as an asset on the balance sheet rather than as an expense on the income statement). If a company had previously expensed these incremental costs in the years prior to adopting the converged standard, all else equal, its profitability will initially appear higher under the converged standards.

The disclosure requirements are quite extensive. Companies are required at year end¹¹ to disclose information about contracts with customers disaggregated into different categories of contracts. The categories might be based on the type of product, the geographic region, the type of customer or sales channel, the type of contract pricing terms, the contract duration, or the timing of transfers. Companies are also required to disclose balances of any contract-related assets and liabilities and significant changes in those balances, remaining performance obligations and transaction price allocated to those obligations, and any significant judgments and changes in judgments related to revenue recognition. Significant judgments are those used in determining timing and amounts of revenue to be recognized.

The converged standard is expected to affect some industries more than others. For example, industries where bundled sales are common, such as the telecommunications and software industries, are expected to be significantly affected by the converged standard.

¹¹ Interim period disclosures are required under IFRS and US GAAP but differ between them.

4**EXPENSE RECOGNITION: GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

- d** describe general principles of expense recognition, specific expense recognition applications, and implications of expense recognition choices for financial analysis;

Expenses are deducted against revenue to arrive at a company's net profit or loss. Under the IASB *Conceptual Framework*, **expenses** are "decreases in economic benefits during the accounting period in the form of outflows or depletions of assets or incurrences of liabilities that result in decreases in equity, other than those relating to distributions to equity participants."¹²

The IASB *Conceptual Framework* also states:

The definition of expenses encompasses losses as well as those expenses that arise in the course of the ordinary activities of the enterprise. Expenses that arise in the course of the ordinary activities of the enterprise include, for example, cost of sales, wages and depreciation. They usually take the form of an outflow or depletion of assets such as cash and cash equivalents, inventory, property, plant and equipment.

Losses represent other items that meet the definition of expenses and may, or may not, arise in the course of the ordinary activities of the enterprise. Losses represent decreases in economic benefits and as such they are no different in nature from other expenses. Hence, they are not regarded as a separate element in this *Conceptual Framework*.

Losses include, for example, those resulting from disasters such as fire and flood, as well as those arising on the disposal of non-current assets.¹³

Similar to the issues with revenue recognition, in a simple hypothetical scenario, expense recognition would not be an issue. For instance, assume a company purchased inventory for cash and sold the entire inventory in the same period. When the company paid for the inventory, absent indications to the contrary, it is clear that the inventory cost has been incurred and when that inventory is sold, it should be recognized as an expense (cost of goods sold) in the financial records. Assume also that the company paid all operating and administrative expenses in cash within each accounting period. In such a simple hypothetical scenario, no issues of expense recognition would arise. In practice, however, as with revenue recognition, determining when expenses should be recognized can be somewhat more complex.

4.1 General Principles

In general, a company recognizes expenses in the period that it consumes (i.e., uses up) the economic benefits associated with the expenditure, or loses some previously recognized economic benefit.¹⁴

A general principle of expense recognition is the **matching principle**. Strictly speaking, IFRS do not refer to a "matching principle" but rather to a "matching concept" or to a process resulting in "matching of costs with revenues."¹⁵ The distinction is relevant in certain standard setting deliberations. Under matching, a company recognizes some expenses (e.g., cost of goods sold) when associated revenues are recognized and thus, expenses and revenues are matched. Associated revenues and

¹² IASB *Conceptual Framework*, paragraph 4.25(b).

¹³ Ibid., paragraphs 4.33–4.35.

¹⁴ Ibid., paragraph 4.49.

¹⁵ Ibid., paragraph 4.50.

expenses are those that result directly and jointly from the same transactions or events. Unlike the simple scenario in which a company purchases inventory and sells all of the inventory within the same accounting period, in practice, it is more likely that some of the current period's sales are made from inventory purchased in a previous period or previous periods. It is also likely that some of the inventory purchased in the current period will remain unsold at the end of the current period and so will be sold in a following period. Matching requires that a company recognizes cost of goods sold in the same period as revenues from the sale of the goods.

Period costs, expenditures that less directly match revenues, are reflected in the period when a company makes the expenditure or incurs the liability to pay. Administrative expenses are an example of period costs. Other expenditures that also less directly match revenues relate more directly to future expected benefits; in this case, the expenditures are allocated systematically with the passage of time. An example is depreciation expense.

Examples 1 and 2 demonstrate matching applied to inventory and cost of goods sold.

EXAMPLE 1

The Matching of Inventory Costs with Revenues

Kahn Distribution Limited (KDL), a hypothetical company, purchases inventory items for resale. At the beginning of 2018, Kahn had no inventory on hand. During 2018, KDL had the following transactions:

Inventory Purchases

First quarter	2,000	units at \$40 per unit
Second quarter	1,500	units at \$41 per unit
Third quarter	2,200	units at \$43 per unit
Fourth quarter	1,900	units at \$45 per unit
Total	7,600	units at a total cost of \$321,600

KDL sold 5,600 units of inventory during the year at \$50 per unit, and received cash. KDL determines that there were 2,000 remaining units of inventory and specifically identifies that 1,900 were those purchased in the fourth quarter and 100 were purchased in the third quarter. What are the revenue and expense associated with these transactions during 2018 based on specific identification of inventory items as sold or remaining in inventory? (Assume that the company does not expect any products to be returned.)

Solution:

The revenue for 2018 would be \$280,000 ($5,600 \text{ units} \times \50 per unit). Initially, the total cost of the goods purchased would be recorded as inventory (an asset) in the amount of \$321,600. During 2018, the cost of the 5,600 units sold would be expensed (matched against the revenue) while the cost of the 2,000 remaining unsold units would remain in inventory as follows:

Cost of Goods Sold

From the first quarter	2,000 units at \$40 per unit =	\$80,000
From the second quarter	1,500 units at \$41 per unit =	\$61,500
From the third quarter	2,100 units at \$43 per unit =	\$90,300
Total cost of goods sold		\$231,800

Cost of Goods Remaining in Inventory

From the third quarter	100 units at \$43 per unit =	\$4,300
------------------------	------------------------------	---------

(continued)

From the fourth quarter	1,900 units at \$45 per unit =	\$85,500
Total remaining (or ending) inventory cost		\$89,800

To confirm that total costs are accounted for: $\$231,800 + \$89,800 = \$321,600$. The cost of the goods sold would be expensed against the revenue of \$280,000 as follows:

Revenue	\$280,000
Cost of goods sold	231,800
Gross profit	\$48,200

An alternative way to think about this is that the company created an asset (inventory) of \$321,600 as it made its purchases. At the end of the period, the value of the company's inventory on hand is \$89,800. Therefore, the amount of the Cost of goods sold expense recognized for the period should be the difference: \$231,800.

The remaining inventory amount of \$89,800 will be matched against revenue in a future year when the inventory items are sold.

EXAMPLE 2

Alternative Inventory Costing Methods

In Example 1, KDL was able to specifically identify which inventory items were sold and which remained in inventory to be carried over to later periods. This is called the **specific identification method** and inventory and cost of goods sold are based on their physical flow. It is generally not feasible to specifically identify which items were sold and which remain on hand, so accounting standards permit the assignment of inventory costs to costs of goods sold and to ending inventory using cost formulas (IFRS terminology) or cost flow assumptions (US GAAP). The cost formula or cost flow assumption determines which goods are assumed to be sold and which goods are assumed to remain in inventory. Both IFRS and US GAAP permit the use of the first in, first out (FIFO) method, and the weighted average cost method to assign costs.

Under the **FIFO method**, the oldest goods purchased (or manufactured) are assumed to be sold first and the newest goods purchased (or manufactured) are assumed to remain in inventory. Cost of goods in beginning inventory and costs of the first items purchased (or manufactured) flow into cost of goods sold first, as if the earliest items purchased sold first. Ending inventory would, therefore, include the most recent purchases. It turns out that those items specifically identified as sold in Example 1 were also the first items purchased, so in this example, under FIFO, the cost of goods sold would also be \$231,800, calculated as above.

The **weighted average cost method** assigns the average cost of goods available for sale to the units sold and remaining in inventory. The assignment is based on the average cost per unit (total cost of goods available for sale/total units available for sale) and the number of units sold and the number remaining in inventory.

For KDL, the weighted average cost per unit would be

$$\$321,600 / 7,600 \text{ units} = \$42.3158 \text{ per unit}$$

Cost of goods sold using the weighted average cost method would be

$$5,600 \text{ units at } \$42.3158 = \$236,968$$

Ending inventory using the weighted average cost method would be

$$2,000 \text{ units at } \$42.3158 = \$84,632$$

Another method is permitted under US GAAP but is not permitted under IFRS. This is the last in, first out (LIFO) method. Under the **LIFO method**, the newest goods purchased (or manufactured) are assumed to be sold first and the oldest goods purchased (or manufactured) are assumed to remain in inventory. Costs of the latest items purchased flow into cost of goods sold first, as if the most recent items purchased were sold first. Although this may seem contrary to common sense, it is logical in certain circumstances. For example, lumber in a lumberyard may be stacked up with the oldest lumber on the bottom. As lumber is sold, it is sold from the top of the stack, so the last lumber purchased and put in inventory is the first lumber out. Theoretically, a company should choose a method linked to the physical inventory flows.¹⁶ Under the LIFO method, in the KDL example, it would be assumed that the 2,000 units remaining in ending inventory would have come from the first quarter's purchases:¹⁷

$$\text{Ending inventory } 2,000 \text{ units at } \$40 \text{ per unit} = \$80,000$$

The remaining costs would be allocated to cost of goods sold under LIFO:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total costs of } \$321,600 \text{ less } \$80,000 \text{ remaining in ending inventory} = \\ \$241,600 \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, the cost of the last 5,600 units purchased is allocated to cost of goods sold under LIFO:

$$1,900 \text{ units at } \$45 \text{ per unit} + 2,200 \text{ units at } \$43 \text{ per unit} + 1,500 \text{ units at } \$41 \text{ per unit} = \$241,600$$

An alternative way to think about expense recognition is that the company created an asset (inventory) of \$321,600 as it made its purchases. At the end of the period, the value of the company's inventory is \$80,000. Therefore, the amount of the Cost of goods sold expense recognized for the period should be the difference: \$241,600.

Exhibit 6 summarizes and compares inventory costing methods.

¹⁶ Practically, the reason some companies choose to use LIFO in the United States is to reduce taxes. When prices and inventory quantities are rising, LIFO will normally result in higher cost of goods sold and lower income and hence lower taxes. US tax regulations require that if LIFO is used on a company's tax return, it must also be used on the company's GAAP financial statements.

¹⁷ If data on the precise timing of quarterly sales were available, the answer would differ because the cost of goods sold would be determined during the quarter rather than at the end of the quarter.

Exhibit 6 Summary Table on Inventory Costing Methods

Method	Description	Cost of Goods Sold When Prices Are Rising, Relative to Other Two Methods	Ending Inventory When Prices Are Rising, Relative to Other Two Methods
FIFO (first in, first out)	Costs of the earliest items purchased flow to cost of goods sold first	Lowest	Highest
LIFO (last in, first out)	Costs of the most recent items purchased flow to cost of goods sold first	Highest*	Lowest*
Weighted average cost	Averages total costs over total units available	Middle	Middle

*Assumes no LIFO layer liquidation. **LIFO layer liquidation** occurs when the volume of sales exceeds the volume of purchases in the period so that some sales are assumed to be made from existing, relatively low-priced inventory rather than from more recent purchases.

5**ISSUES IN EXPENSE RECOGNITION: DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS, WARRANTIES**

- d describe general principles of expense recognition, specific expense recognition applications, and implications of expense recognition choices for financial analysis;

The following sections cover applications of the principles of expense recognition to certain common situations.

5.1 Doubtful Accounts

When a company sells its products or services on credit, it is likely that some customers will ultimately default on their obligations (i.e., fail to pay). At the time of the sale, it is not known which customer will default. (If it were known that a particular customer would ultimately default, presumably a company would not sell on credit to that customer.) One possible approach to recognizing credit losses on customer receivables would be for the company to wait until such time as a customer defaulted and only then recognize the loss (**direct write-off method**). Such an approach would usually not be consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.

Under the matching principle, at the time revenue is recognized on a sale, a company is required to record an estimate of how much of the revenue will ultimately be uncollectible. Companies make such estimates based on previous experience with uncollectible accounts. Such estimates may be expressed as a proportion of the overall amount of sales, the overall amount of receivables, or the amount of receivables overdue by a specific amount of time. The company records its estimate of uncollectible amounts as an expense on the income statement, not as a direct reduction of revenues.

5.2 Warranties

At times, companies offer warranties on the products they sell. If the product proves deficient in some respect that is covered under the terms of the warranty, the company will incur an expense to repair or replace the product. At the time of sale, the company does not know the amount of future expenses it will incur in connection with its

warranties. One possible approach would be for a company to wait until actual expenses are incurred under the warranty and to reflect the expense at that time. However, this would not result in a matching of the expense with the associated revenue.

Under the matching principle, a company is required to estimate the amount of future expenses resulting from its warranties, to recognize an estimated warranty expense in the period of the sale, and to update the expense as indicated by experience over the life of the warranty.

ISSUES IN EXPENSE RECOGNITION: DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION

6

- d describe general principles of expense recognition, specific expense recognition applications, and implications of expense recognition choices for financial analysis;

Companies commonly incur costs to obtain long-lived assets. **Long-lived assets** are assets expected to provide economic benefits over a future period of time greater than one year. Examples are land (property), plant, equipment, and **intangible assets** (assets lacking physical substance) such as trademarks. The costs of most long-lived assets are allocated over the period of time during which they provide economic benefits. The two main types of long-lived assets whose costs are *not* allocated over time are land and those intangible assets with indefinite useful lives.

Depreciation is the process of systematically allocating costs of long-lived assets over the period during which the assets are expected to provide economic benefits. “Depreciation” is the term commonly applied to this process for physical long-lived assets such as plant and equipment (land is not depreciated), and **amortisation** is the term commonly applied to this process for intangible long-lived assets with a finite useful life.¹⁸ Examples of intangible long-lived assets with a finite useful life include an acquired mailing list, an acquired patent with a set expiration date, and an acquired copyright with a set legal life. The term “amortisation” is also commonly applied to the systematic allocation of a premium or discount relative to the face value of a fixed-income security over the life of the security.

IFRS allow two alternative models for valuing property, plant, and equipment: the cost model and the revaluation model.¹⁹ Under the cost model, the depreciable amount of that asset (cost less residual value) is allocated on a systematic basis over the remaining useful life of the asset. Under the cost model, the asset is reported at its cost less any accumulated depreciation. Under the revaluation model, the asset is reported at its fair value. The revaluation model is not permitted under US GAAP. Although the revaluation model is permitted under IFRS, as noted earlier, it is not as widely used and thus we focus on the cost model here. There are two other differences between IFRS and US GAAP to note: IFRS require each component of an asset to be depreciated separately and US GAAP do not require component depreciation; and IFRS require an annual review of residual value and useful life, and US GAAP do not explicitly require such a review.

¹⁸ Intangible assets with indefinite life are not amortised. Instead, they are reviewed each period as to the reasonableness of continuing to assume an indefinite useful life and are tested at least annually for impairment (i.e., if the recoverable or fair value of an intangible asset is materially lower than its value in the company's books, the value of the asset is considered to be impaired and its value must be decreased). IAS 38, *Intangible Assets* and FASB ASC Topic 350 [Intangibles—Goodwill and Other].

¹⁹ IAS No. 16, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*.

The method used to compute depreciation should reflect the pattern over which the economic benefits of the asset are expected to be consumed. IFRS do not prescribe a particular method for computing depreciation but note that several methods are commonly used, such as the straight-line method, diminishing balance method (accelerated depreciation), and the units of production method (depreciation varies depending upon production or usage).

The **straight-line method** allocates evenly the cost of long-lived assets less estimated residual value over the estimated useful life of an asset. (The term “straight line” derives from the fact that the annual depreciation expense, if represented as a line graph over time, would be a straight line. In addition, a plot of the cost of the asset minus the cumulative amount of annual depreciation expense, if represented as a line graph over time, would be a straight line with a negative downward slope.) Calculating depreciation and amortisation requires two significant estimates: the estimated useful life of an asset and the estimated residual value (also known as “salvage value”) of an asset. Under IFRS, the residual value is the amount that the company expects to receive upon sale of the asset at the end of its useful life. Example 3 assumes that an item of equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method and illustrates how the annual depreciation expense varies under different estimates of the useful life and estimated residual value of an asset. As shown, annual depreciation expense is sensitive to both the estimated useful life and to the estimated residual value.

EXAMPLE 3

Sensitivity of Annual Depreciation Expense to Varying Estimates of Useful Life and Residual Value

Using the straight-line method of depreciation, annual depreciation expense is calculated as:

$$\frac{\text{Cost} - \text{Residual value}}{\text{Estimated useful life}}$$

Assume the cost of an asset is \$10,000. If, for example, the residual value of the asset is estimated to be \$0 and its useful life is estimated to be 5 years, the annual depreciation expense under the straight-line method would be $(\$10,000 - \$0)/5 \text{ years} = \$2,000$. In contrast, holding the estimated useful life of the asset constant at 5 years but increasing the estimated residual value of the asset to \$4,000 would result in annual depreciation expense of only \$1,200 [calculated as $(\$10,000 - \$4,000)/5 \text{ years}$]. Alternatively, holding the estimated residual value at \$0 but increasing the estimated useful life of the asset to 10 years would result in annual depreciation expense of only \$1,000 [calculated as $(\$10,000 - \$0)/10 \text{ years}$]. Exhibit 7 shows annual depreciation expense for various combinations of estimated useful life and residual value.

Exhibit 7 Annual Depreciation Expense (in Dollars)

Estimated Useful Life (Years)	Estimated Residual Value					
	0	1,000	2,000	3,000	4,000	5,000
2	5,000	4,500	4,000	3,500	3,000	2,500

Exhibit 7 (Continued)

Estimated Useful Life (Years)	Estimated Residual Value					
4	2,500	2,250	2,000	1,750	1,500	1,250
5	2,000	1,800	1,600	1,400	1,200	1,000
8	1,250	1,125	1,000	875	750	625
10	1,000	900	800	700	600	500

Generally, alternatives to the straight-line method of depreciation are called **accelerated methods** of depreciation because they accelerate (i.e., speed up) the timing of depreciation. Accelerated depreciation methods allocate a greater proportion of the cost to the early years of an asset's useful life. These methods are appropriate if the plant or equipment is expected to be used up faster in the early years (e.g., an automobile). A commonly used accelerated method is the **diminishing balance method**, (also known as the declining balance method). The diminishing balance method is demonstrated in Example 4.

EXAMPLE 4**An Illustration of Diminishing Balance Depreciation**

Assume the cost of computer equipment was \$11,000, the estimated residual value is \$1,000, and the estimated useful life is five years. Under the diminishing or declining balance method, the first step is to determine the straight-line rate, the rate at which the asset would be depreciated under the straight-line method. This rate is measured as 100 percent divided by the useful life or 20 percent for a five-year useful life. Under the straight-line method, 1/5 or 20 percent of the depreciable cost of the asset (here, $\$11,000 - \$1,000 = \$10,000$) would be expensed each year for five years: The depreciation expense would be \$2,000 per year.

The next step is to determine an acceleration factor that approximates the pattern of the asset's wear. Common acceleration factors are 150 percent and 200 percent. The latter is known as **double declining balance depreciation** because it depreciates the asset at double the straight-line rate. Using the 200 percent acceleration factor, the diminishing balance rate would be 40 percent ($20\% \times 2.0$). This rate is then applied to the remaining undepreciated balance of the asset each period (known as the **net book value**).

At the beginning of the first year, the net book value is \$11,000. Depreciation expense for the first full year of use of the asset would be 40 percent of \$11,000, or \$4,400. Under this method, the residual value, if any, is generally not used in the computation of the depreciation each period (the 40 percent is applied to \$11,000 rather than to \$11,000 minus residual value). However, the company will stop taking depreciation when the salvage value is reached.

At the beginning of Year 2, the net book value is measured as

Asset cost	\$11,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(4,400)
Net book value	\$6,600

For the second full year, depreciation expense would be $\$6,600 \times 40$ percent, or $\$2,640$. At the end of the second year (i.e., beginning of the third year), a total of $\$7,040$ ($\$4,400 + \$2,640$) of depreciation would have been recorded. So, the remaining net book value at the beginning of the third year would be

Asset cost	\$11,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	<u>(7,040)</u>
Net book value	<u>\$3,960</u>

For the third full year, depreciation would be $\$3,960 \times 40$ percent, or $\$1,584$. At the end of the third year, a total of $\$8,624$ ($\$4,400 + \$2,640 + \$1,584$) of depreciation would have been recorded. So, the remaining net book value at the beginning of the fourth year would be

Asset cost	\$11,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	<u>(8,624)</u>
Net book value	<u>\$2,376</u>

For the fourth full year, depreciation would be $\$2,376 \times 40$ percent, or $\$950$. At the end of the fourth year, a total of $\$9,574$ ($\$4,400 + \$2,640 + \$1,584 + \950) of depreciation would have been recorded. So, the remaining net book value at the beginning of the fifth year would be

Asset cost	\$11,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	<u>(9,574)</u>
Net book value	<u>\$1,426</u>

For the fifth year, if depreciation were determined as in previous years, it would amount to $\$570$ ($\$1,426 \times 40$ percent). However, this would result in a remaining net book value of the asset below its estimated residual value of $\$1,000$. So, instead, only $\$426$ would be depreciated, leaving a $\$1,000$ net book value at the end of the fifth year.

Asset cost	\$11,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	<u>(10,000)</u>
Net book value	<u>\$1,000</u>

Companies often use a zero or small residual value, which creates problems for diminishing balance depreciation because the asset never fully depreciates. In order to fully depreciate the asset over the initially estimated useful life when a zero or small residual value is assumed, companies often adopt a depreciation policy that combines the diminishing balance and straight-line methods. An example would be a depreciation policy of using double-declining balance depreciation and switching to the straight-line method halfway through the useful life.

Under accelerated depreciation methods, there is a higher depreciation expense in early years relative to the straight-line method. This results in higher expenses and lower net income in the early depreciation years. In later years, there is a reversal with accelerated depreciation expense lower than straight-line depreciation. Accelerated depreciation is sometimes referred to as a conservative accounting choice because it results in lower net income in the early years of asset use.

For those intangible assets that must be amortised (those with an identifiable useful life), the process is the same as for depreciation; only the name of the expense is different. IFRS state that if a pattern cannot be determined over the useful life, then

the straight-line method should be used.²⁰ In most cases under IFRS and US GAAP, amortisable intangible assets are amortised using the straight-line method with no residual value. **Goodwill**²¹ and intangible assets with indefinite life are not amortised. Instead, they are tested at least annually for impairment (i.e., if the current value of an intangible asset or goodwill is materially lower than its value in the company's books, the value of the asset is considered to be impaired and its value in the company's books must be decreased).

In summary, to calculate depreciation and amortisation, a company must choose a method, estimate the asset's useful life, and estimate residual value. Clearly, different choices have a differing effect on depreciation or amortisation expense and, therefore, on reported net income.

IMPLICATIONS FOR FINANCIAL ANALYSTS: EXPENSE RECOGNITION

7

- d describe general principles of expense recognition, specific expense recognition applications, and implications of expense recognition choices for financial analysis;

A company's estimates for doubtful accounts and/or for warranty expenses can affect its reported net income. Similarly, a company's choice of depreciation or amortisation method, estimates of assets' useful lives, and estimates of assets' residual values can affect reported net income. These are only a few of the choices and estimates that affect a company's reported net income.

As with revenue recognition policies, a company's choice of expense recognition can be characterized by its relative conservatism. A policy that results in recognition of expenses later rather than sooner is considered less conservative. In addition, many items of expense require the company to make estimates that can significantly affect net income. Analysis of a company's financial statements, and particularly comparison of one company's financial statements with those of another, requires an understanding of differences in these estimates and their potential impact.

If, for example, a company shows a significant year-to-year change in its estimates of uncollectible accounts as a percentage of sales, warranty expenses as a percentage of sales, or estimated useful lives of assets, the analyst should seek to understand the underlying reasons. Do the changes reflect a change in business operations (e.g., lower estimated warranty expenses reflecting recent experience of fewer warranty claims because of improved product quality)? Or are the changes seemingly unrelated to changes in business operations and thus possibly a signal that a company is manipulating estimates in order to achieve a particular effect on its reported net income?

As another example, if two companies in the same industry have dramatically different estimates for uncollectible accounts as a percentage of their sales, warranty expenses as a percentage of sales, or estimated useful lives as a percentage of assets, it is important to understand the underlying reasons. Are the differences consistent with differences in the two companies' business operations (e.g., lower uncollectible accounts for one company reflecting a different, more creditworthy customer base or possibly stricter credit policies)? Another difference consistent with differences in

²⁰ IAS 38, *Intangible Assets*.

²¹ Goodwill is recorded in acquisitions and is the amount by which the price to purchase an entity exceeds the amount of net identifiable assets acquired (the total amount of identifiable assets acquired less liabilities assumed).

business operations would be a difference in estimated useful lives of assets if one of the companies employs newer equipment. Or, alternatively, are the differences seemingly inconsistent with differences in the two companies' business operations, possibly signaling that a company is manipulating estimates?

Information about a company's accounting policies and significant estimates are described in the notes to the financial statements and in the management discussion and analysis section of a company's annual report.

When possible, the monetary effect of differences in expense recognition policies and estimates can facilitate more meaningful comparisons with a single company's historical performance or across a number of companies. An analyst can use the monetary effect to adjust the reported expenses so that they are on a comparable basis.

Even when the monetary effects of differences in policies and estimates cannot be calculated, it is generally possible to characterize the relative conservatism of the policies and estimates and, therefore, to qualitatively assess how such differences might affect reported expenses and thus financial ratios.

8

NON-RECURRING ITEMS AND NON-OPERATING ITEMS: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND UNUSUAL OR INFREQUENT ITEMS

- e describe the financial reporting treatment and analysis of non-recurring items (including discontinued operations, unusual or infrequent items) and changes in accounting policies;

From a company's income statements, we can see its earnings from last year and in the previous year. Looking forward, the question is: What will the company earn next year and in the years after?

To assess a company's future earnings, it is helpful to separate those prior years' items of income and expense that are likely to continue in the future from those items that are less likely to continue.²² Some items from prior years are clearly not expected to continue in the future periods and are separately disclosed on a company's income statement. This is consistent with "An entity shall present additional line items, headings, and subtotals ... when such presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity's financial performance."²³ IFRS describe considerations that enter into the decision to present information other than that explicitly specified by a standard. Both IFRS and US GAAP specify that the results of discontinued operations should be reported separately from continuing operations. Other items that may be reported separately on a company's income statement, such as unusual items, items that occur infrequently, effects due to accounting changes, and non-operating income, require the analyst to make some judgments.

8.1 Discontinued Operations

When a company disposes of or establishes a plan to dispose of one of its component operations and will have no further involvement in the operation, the income statement reports separately the effect of this disposal as a "discontinued" operation under

²² In business writing, items expected to continue in the future are often described as "persistent" or "permanent," whereas those not expected to continue are described as "transitory."

²³ IAS No. 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, paragraph 85.

both IFRS and US GAAP. Financial standards provide various criteria for reporting the effect separately, which are generally that the discontinued component must be separable both physically and operationally.²⁴

In Exhibit 1, AB InBev reported profit from discontinued operations of \$28 million in 2017 and \$48 million in 2016. In Exhibit 2, Molson Coors reported income from discontinued operations of \$1.5 million and \$3.9 million in 2017 and 2015, respectively, and a loss from discontinued operations of \$2.8 million in 2016.

Because the discontinued operation will no longer provide earnings (or cash flow) to the company, an analyst may eliminate discontinued operations in formulating expectations about a company's future financial performance.

8.2 Unusual or Infrequent Items

IFRS require that items of income or expense that are material and/or relevant to the understanding of the entity's financial performance should be disclosed separately. Unusual or infrequent items are likely to meet these criteria. Under US GAAP, material items that are unusual or infrequent, and that are both as of reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, are shown as part of a company's continuing operations but are presented separately. For example, restructuring charges, such as costs to close plants and employee termination costs, are considered part of a company's ordinary activities. As another example, gains and losses arising when a company sells an asset or part of a business, for more or less than its carrying value, are also disclosed separately on the income statement. These sales are considered ordinary business activities.

Highlighting the unusual or infrequent nature of these items assists an analyst in judging the likelihood that such items will reoccur. This meets the IFRS criteria of disclosing items that are relevant to the understanding of an entity's financial performance. In Exhibit 2, Molson Coors' income statement showed a separate line item for "Special Items, net." The company's footnotes provide details on the amount and explain that this line includes revenues or expenses that either they "do not believe to be indicative of [their] core operations, or they believe are significant to [their] current operating results warranting separate classification". In Exhibit 3, the income statement of Danone shows an amount for "Recurring operating income" followed by a separate line item for "other operating income (expense)", which is not included as a component of recurring income. Exhibit 8 presents an excerpt from Danone's additional disclosure about this non-recurring amount.

Exhibit 8 Highlighting Infrequent Nature of Items—Excerpt from Groupe Danone footnotes to its 2017 financial statements

NOTE 6. Events and Transactions Outside the Group's Ordinary Activities
[Excerpt]

"Other operating income (expense) is defined under Recommendation 2013-03 of the French CNC relating to the format of consolidated financial statements prepared under international accounting standards, and comprises significant items that, because of their exceptional nature, cannot be viewed as inherent to Danone's current activities. These mainly include capital gains and losses on disposals of fully consolidated companies, impairment charges on goodwill, significant costs related to strategic restructuring and major external

(continued)

24 IFRS No. 5, *Non-Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, paragraphs 31–33.

Exhibit 8 (Continued)

growth transactions, and incurred or estimated costs related to major crises and major litigation. Furthermore, in connection with Revised IFRS 3 and Revised IAS 27, Danone also classifies in Other operating income (expense) (i) acquisition costs related to business combinations, (ii) revaluation profit or loss accounted for following a loss of control, and (iii) changes in earn-outs related to business combinations and subsequent to the acquisition date.

"In 2017, the net Other operating income of €192 million consisted mainly of the following items:

<i>(in € millions)</i>	Related income (expense)
Capital gain on disposal of Stonyfield	628
Compensation received following the decision of the Singapore arbitration court in the Fonterra case	105
Territorial risks, mainly in certain countries in the ALMA region	(148)
Costs associated with the integration of WhiteWave	(118)
Impairment of several intangible assets in Waters and Specialized Nutrition Reporting entities	(115)

Remainder of table omitted

In Exhibit 8, Danone provides details on items considered to be "exceptional" items and not "inherent" to the company's current activities. The exceptional items include gains on asset disposals, receipts from a legal case, costs of integrating an acquisition, and impairment of intangible assets, among others. Generally, in forecasting future operations, an analyst would assess whether the items reported are likely to reoccur and also possible implications for future earnings. It is generally not advisable simply to ignore all unusual items.

9**NON-RECURRING ITEMS: CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICY**

- e describe the financial reporting treatment and analysis of non-recurring items (including discontinued operations, unusual or infrequent items) and changes in accounting policies;

At times, standard setters issue new standards that require companies to change accounting policies. Depending on the standard, companies may be permitted to adopt the standards prospectively (in the future) or retrospectively (restate financial statements as though the standard existed in the past). In other cases, changes in accounting policies (e.g., from one acceptable inventory costing method to another)

are made for other reasons, such as providing a better reflection of the company's performance. Changes in accounting policies are reported through retrospective application²⁵ unless it is impractical to do so.

Retrospective application means that the financial statements for all fiscal years shown in a company's financial report are presented as if the newly adopted accounting principle had been used throughout the entire period. Notes to the financial statements describe the change and explain the justification for the change. Because changes in accounting principles are retrospectively applied, the financial statements that appear within a financial report are comparable.

Example 5 presents an excerpt from Microsoft Corporation's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 30 June 2018 describing a change in accounting principle resulting from the new revenue recognition standard. Microsoft elected to adopt the new standard 1 July 2017, earlier than the required adoption date. Microsoft also elected to use the "full retrospective method," which requires companies to restate prior periods' results. On its income statement, both 2016 and 2017 are presented as if the new standard had been used throughout both years. In the footnotes to its financial statements, Microsoft discloses the impact of the new standard.

EXAMPLE 5

Microsoft Corporation Excerpt from Footnotes to the Financial Statements

The most significant impact of the [new revenue recognition] standard relates to our accounting for software license revenue. Specifically, for Windows 10, we recognize revenue predominantly at the time of billing and delivery rather than ratably over the life of the related device. For certain multi-year commercial software subscriptions that include both distinct software licenses and SA, we recognize license revenue at the time of contract execution rather than over the subscription period. Due to the complexity of certain of our commercial license subscription contracts, the actual revenue recognition treatment required under the standard depends on contract-specific terms and in some instances may vary from recognition at the time of billing. Revenue recognition related to our hardware, cloud offerings (such as Office 365), LinkedIn, and professional services remains substantially unchanged. Refer to Impacts to Previously Reported Results below for the impact of adoption of the standard in our consolidated financial statements.

(In \$ millions, except per share amounts)	As Previously Reported	New Revenue Standard Adjustment	As Restated
Income Statements			
Year Ended June 30, 2017			
Revenue	89,950	6,621	96,571
Provision for income taxes	1,945	2,467	4,412

(continued)

²⁵ IAS No. 8, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, and FASB ASC Topic 250 [Accounting Changes and Error Corrections].

(In \$ millions, except per share amounts)	As Previously Reported	New Revenue Standard Adjustment	As Restated
Net income	21,204	4,285	25,489
Diluted earnings per share	2.71	0.54	3.25
Year Ended June 30, 2016			
Revenue	85,320	5,834	91,154
Provision for income taxes	2,953	2,147	5,100
Net income	16,798	3,741	20,539
Diluted earnings per share	2.1	0.46	2.56

Question: Based on the above information, describe whether Microsoft's results appear better or worse under the new revenue recognition standard.

Solution:

Microsoft's results appear better under the new revenue recognition standard. Revenues and income are higher under the new standard. The net profit margin is higher under the new standard. For 2017, the net profit margin is 26.4% ($= 25,489/96,571$) under the new standard versus 23.6% ($= 21,204/89,950$) under the old standard. Reported revenue grew faster under the new standard. Revenue growth under the new standard was 5.9% [$= (96,571/91,154) - 1$] compared to 5.4% [$= (89,950/85,320) - 1$] under the old standard.

Microsoft's presentation of the effects of the new revenue recognition enables an analyst to identify the impact of the change in accounting standards.

Note that the new revenue recognition standard also offered companies the option of using a "modified retrospective" method of adoption. Under the modified retrospective approach, companies were not required to revise previously reported financial statements. Instead, they adjusted opening balances of retained earnings (and other applicable accounts) for the cumulative impact of the new standard.

In contrast to changes in accounting policies (such as whether to expense the cost of employee stock options), companies sometimes make *changes in accounting estimates* (such as the useful life of a depreciable asset). Changes in accounting estimates are handled prospectively, with the change affecting the financial statements for the period of change and future periods. No adjustments are made to prior statements, and the adjustment is not shown on the face of the income statement. Significant changes should be disclosed in the notes. Exhibit 9 provides an excerpt from the annual Form 10-K of Catalent Inc., a US-based biotechnology company, that illustrates a change in accounting estimate.

Exhibit 9 Change in Accounting Estimate

Catalent Inc. discloses a change in the method it uses to calculate both annual expenses related to its defined benefit pension plans. Rather than use a single, weighted-average discount rate in its calculations, the company will use the spot rates applicable to each projected cash flow.

Post-Retirement and Pension Plans

Exhibit 9 (Continued)

...The measurement of the related benefit obligations and the net periodic benefit costs recorded each year are based upon actuarial computations, which require management's judgment as to certain assumptions. These assumptions include the discount rates used in computing the present value of the benefit obligations and the net periodic benefit costs...

Effective June 30, 2016, the approach used to estimate the service and interest components of net periodic benefit cost for benefit plans was changed to provide a more precise measurement of service and interest costs. Historically, the Company estimated these service and interest components utilizing a single weighted-average discount rate derived from the yield curve used to measure the benefit obligation at the beginning of the period. Going forward, the Company has elected to utilize an approach that discounts the individual expected cash flows using the applicable spot rates derived from the yield curve over the projected cash flow period. The Company has accounted for this change as a change in accounting estimate that is inseparable from a change in accounting principle and accordingly has accounted for it prospectively.

Another possible adjustment is a *correction of an error for a prior period* (e.g., in financial statements issued for an earlier year). This cannot be handled by simply adjusting the current period income statement. Correction of an error for a prior period is handled by restating the financial statements (including the balance sheet, statement of owners' equity, and cash flow statement) for the prior periods presented in the current financial statements.²⁶ Note disclosures are required regarding the error. These disclosures should be examined carefully because they may reveal weaknesses in the company's accounting systems and financial controls.

NON-OPERATING ITEMS**10**

- f** contrast operating and non-operating components of the income statement;

Non-operating items are typically reported separately from operating income because they are material and/or relevant to the understanding of the entity's financial performance. Under IFRS, there is no definition of operating activities, and companies that choose to report operating income or the results of operating activities should ensure that these represent activities that are normally regarded as operating. Under US GAAP, operating activities generally involve producing and delivering goods and providing services and include all transactions and other events that are not defined as investing or financing activities.²⁷ For example, if a non-financial service company invests in equity or debt securities issued by another company, any interest, dividends, or profits from sales of these securities will be shown as non-operating income. In

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ FASB ASC *Master Glossary*.

general, for non-financial services companies,²⁸ non-operating income that is disclosed separately on the income statement (or in the notes) includes amounts earned through investing activities.

Among non-operating items on the income statement (or accompanying notes), non-financial service companies also disclose the interest expense on their debt securities, including amortisation of any discount or premium. The amount of interest expense is related to the amount of a company's borrowings and is generally described in the notes to the financial statements. For financial service companies, interest income and expense are likely components of operating activities. (Note that the characterization of interest and dividends as non-operating items on the income statement is not necessarily consistent with the classification on the statement of cash flows. Specifically, under IFRS, interest and dividends received can be shown either as operating or as investing on the statement of cash flows, while under US GAAP interest and dividends received are shown as operating cash flows. Under IFRS, interest and dividends paid can be shown either as operating or as financing on the statement of cash flows, while under US GAAP, interest paid is shown as operating and dividends paid are shown as financing.)

In practice, companies often disclose the interest expense and income separately, along with a net amount. For example, in Exhibit 1, ABN InBev's 2017 income statement shows finance cost of \$6,885 million, finance income of \$378 million, and net finance cost of \$6,507 million. Similarly, in Exhibit 3, Danone's 2017 income statement shows interest income of €130, interest expense of €276, and cost of net debt of €146.

For purposes of assessing a company's future performance, the amount of financing expense will depend on the company's financing policy (target capital structure) and borrowing costs. The amount of investing income will depend on the purpose and success of investing activities. For a non-financial company, a significant amount of financial income would typically warrant further exploration. What are the reasons underlying the company's investments in the securities of other companies? Is the company simply investing excess cash in short-term securities to generate income higher than cash deposits, or is the company purchasing securities issued by other companies for strategic reasons, such as access to raw material supply or research?

11

EARNINGS PER SHARE AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND BASIC EPS

- g describe how earnings per share is calculated and calculate and interpret a company's earnings per share (both basic and diluted earnings per share) for both simple and complex capital structures;

One metric of particular importance to an equity investor is earnings per share (EPS). EPS is an input into ratios such as the price/earnings ratio. Additionally, each shareholder in a company owns a different number of shares. IFRS require the presentation of EPS on the face of the income statement for net profit or loss (net income) and profit or loss (income) from continuing operations.²⁹ Similar presentation is required under US GAAP.³⁰ This section outlines the calculations for EPS and explains how the calculation differs for a simple versus complex capital structure.

²⁸ Examples of financial services companies are insurance companies, banks, brokers, dealers, and investment companies.

²⁹ IAS No. 33, *Earnings Per Share*.

³⁰ FASB ASC Topic 260 [Earnings Per Share].

11.1 Simple versus Complex Capital Structure

A company's capital is composed of its equity and debt. Some types of equity have preference over others, and some debt (and other instruments) may be converted into equity. Under IFRS, the type of equity for which EPS is presented is referred to as ordinary. **Ordinary shares** are those equity shares that are subordinate to all other types of equity. The ordinary shareholders are basically the owners of the company—the equity holders who are paid last in a liquidation of the company and who benefit the most when the company does well. Under US GAAP, this ordinary equity is referred to as **common stock** or **common shares**, reflecting US language usage. The terms "ordinary shares," "common stock," and "common shares" are used interchangeably in the following discussion.

When a company has issued any financial instruments that are potentially convertible into common stock, it is said to have a complex capital structure. Examples of financial instruments that are potentially convertible into common stock include convertible bonds, convertible preferred stock, employee stock options, and warrants.³¹ If a company's capital structure does not include such potentially convertible financial instruments, it is said to have a simple capital structure.

The distinction between simple versus complex capital structure is relevant to the calculation of EPS because financial instruments that are potentially convertible into common stock could, as a result of conversion or exercise, potentially dilute (i.e., decrease) EPS. Information about such a potential dilution is valuable to a company's current and potential shareholders; therefore, accounting standards require companies to disclose what their EPS would be if all dilutive financial instruments were converted into common stock. The EPS that would result if all dilutive financial instruments were converted is called **diluted EPS**. In contrast, **basic EPS** is calculated using the reported earnings available to common shareholders of the parent company and the weighted average number of shares outstanding.

Companies are required to report both basic and diluted EPS as well as amounts for continuing operations. Exhibit 10 shows the per share amounts reported by AB InBev at the bottom of its income statement that was presented in Exhibit 1. The company's basic EPS ("before dilution") was \$4.06, and diluted EPS ("after dilution") was \$3.98 for 2017. In addition, in the same way that AB InBev's income statement shows income from continuing operations separately from total income, EPS from continuing operations is also shown separately from total EPS. For 2017, the basic and diluted EPS from continuing operations were \$4.04 and \$3.96, respectively. Across all measures, AB InBev's EPS was much higher in 2017 than in 2016. An analyst would seek to understand the causes underlying the changes in EPS, a topic we will address following an explanation of the calculations of both basic and diluted EPS.

Exhibit 10 AB InBev's Earnings Per Share

	12 Months Ended December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Basic earnings per share	\$4.06	\$0.72	\$5.05
Diluted earnings per share	3.98	0.71	4.96

(continued)

³¹ A warrant is a call option typically attached to securities issued by a company, such as bonds. A warrant gives the holder the right to acquire the company's stock from the company at a specified price within a specified time period. IFRS and US GAAP standards regarding earnings per share apply equally to call options, warrants, and equivalent instruments.

Exhibit 10 (Continued)

	12 Months Ended December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	4.04	0.69	5.05
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$3.96	\$0.68	\$4.96

11.2 Basic EPS

Basic EPS is the amount of income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding over a period. The amount of income available to common shareholders is the amount of net income remaining after preferred dividends (if any) have been paid. Thus, the formula to calculate basic EPS is:

$$\text{Basic EPS} = \frac{\text{Net income} - \text{Preferred dividends}}{\text{Weighted average number of shares outstanding}} \quad (1)$$

The weighted average number of shares outstanding is a time weighting of common shares outstanding. For example, assume a company began the year with 2,000,000 common shares outstanding and repurchased 100,000 common shares on 1 July. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding would be the sum of $2,000,000 \text{ shares} \times 1/2 \text{ year} + 1,900,000 \text{ shares} \times 1/2 \text{ year}$, or 1,950,000 shares. So the company would use 1,950,000 shares as the weighted average number of shares in calculating its basic EPS.

If the number of shares of common stock increases as a result of a stock dividend or a stock split, the EPS calculation reflects the change retroactively to the beginning of the period.

Examples 6, 7, and 8 illustrate the computation of basic EPS.

EXAMPLE 6**A Basic EPS Calculation (1)**

For the year ended 31 December 2018, Shopalot Company had net income of \$1,950,000. The company had 1,500,000 shares of common stock outstanding, no preferred stock, and no convertible financial instruments. What is Shopalot's basic EPS?

Solution:

Shopalot's basic EPS is \$1.30 (\$1,950,000 divided by 1,500,000 shares).

EXAMPLE 7**A Basic EPS Calculation (2)**

For the year ended 31 December 2018, Angler Products had net income of \$2,500,000. The company declared and paid \$200,000 of dividends on preferred stock. The company also had the following common stock share information:

Diluted EPS: the If-Converted Method

Shares outstanding on 1 January 2018	1,000,000
Shares issued on 1 April 2018	200,000
Shares repurchased (treasury shares) on 1 October 2018	(100,000)
Shares outstanding on 31 December 2018	1,100,000

- 1 What is the company's weighted average number of shares outstanding?
- 2 What is the company's basic EPS?

Solution to 1:

The weighted average number of shares outstanding is determined by the length of time each quantity of shares was outstanding:

$1,000,000 \times (3 \text{ months}/12 \text{ months}) =$	250,000
$1,200,000 \times (6 \text{ months}/12 \text{ months}) =$	600,000
$1,100,000 \times (3 \text{ months}/12 \text{ months}) =$	275,000
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	1,125,000

Solution to 2:

Basic EPS = (Net income – Preferred dividends)/Weighted average number of shares = $(\$2,500,000 - \$200,000)/1,125,000 = \$2.04$

EXAMPLE 8**A Basic EPS Calculation (3)**

Assume the same facts as Example 7 except that on 1 December 2018, a previously declared 2-for-1 stock split took effect. Each shareholder of record receives two shares in exchange for each current share that he or she owns. What is the company's basic EPS?

Solution:

For EPS calculation purposes, a stock split is treated as if it occurred at the beginning of the period. The weighted average number of shares would, therefore, be 2,250,000, and the basic EPS would be \$1.02 [= $(\$2,500,000 - \$200,000)/2,250,000$].

DILUTED EPS: THE IF-CONVERTED METHOD

12

- g describe how earnings per share is calculated and calculate and interpret a company's earnings per share (both basic and diluted earnings per share) for both simple and complex capital structures;

If a company has a simple capital structure (in other words, one that includes no potentially dilutive financial instruments), then its basic EPS is equal to its diluted EPS. However, if a company has potentially dilutive financial instruments, its diluted EPS may differ from its basic EPS. Diluted EPS, by definition, is always equal to or less than basic EPS. The sections below describe the effects of three types of potentially

dilutive financial instruments on diluted EPS: convertible preferred, convertible debt, and employee stock options. The final section explains why not all potentially dilutive financial instruments actually result in a difference between basic and diluted EPS.

12.1 Diluted EPS When a Company Has Convertible Preferred Stock Outstanding

When a company has convertible preferred stock outstanding, diluted EPS is calculated using the **if-converted method**. The if-converted method is based on what EPS would have been if the convertible preferred securities had been converted at the beginning of the period. In other words, the method calculates what the effect would have been if the convertible preferred shares converted at the beginning of the period. If the convertible shares had been converted, there would be two effects. First, the convertible preferred securities would no longer be outstanding; instead, additional common stock would be outstanding. Thus, under the if-converted method, the weighted average number of shares outstanding would be higher than in the basic EPS calculation. Second, if such a conversion had taken place, the company would not have paid preferred dividends. Thus, under the if-converted method, the net income available to common shareholders would be higher than in the basic EPS calculation.

Diluted EPS using the if-converted method for convertible preferred stock is equal to net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding from the basic EPS calculation plus the additional shares of common stock that would be issued upon conversion of the preferred. Thus, the formula to calculate diluted EPS using the if-converted method for preferred stock is:

$$\text{Diluted EPS} = \frac{\text{(Net income)}}{\text{(Weighted average number of shares outstanding + New common shares that would have been issued at conversion)}} \quad (2)$$

A diluted EPS calculation using the if-converted method for preferred stock is provided in Example 9.

EXAMPLE 9

A Diluted EPS Calculation Using the If-Converted Method for Preferred Stock

For the year ended 31 December 2018, Bright-Warm Utility Company (fictitious) had net income of \$1,750,000. The company had an average of 500,000 shares of common stock outstanding, 20,000 shares of convertible preferred, and no other potentially dilutive securities. Each share of preferred pays a dividend of \$10 per share, and each is convertible into five shares of the company's common stock. Calculate the company's basic and diluted EPS.

Solution:

If the 20,000 shares of convertible preferred had each converted into 5 shares of the company's common stock, the company would have had an additional 100,000 shares of common stock (5 shares of common for each of the 20,000 shares of preferred). If the conversion had taken place, the company would not have paid preferred dividends of \$200,000 (\$10 per share for each of the 20,000 shares of preferred). As shown in Exhibit 11, the company's basic EPS was \$3.10 and its diluted EPS was \$2.92.

Exhibit 11 Calculation of Diluted EPS for Bright-Warm Utility Company Using the If-Converted Method: Case of Preferred Stock

	Basic EPS	Diluted EPS Using If-Converted Method
Net income	\$1,750,000	\$1,750,000
Preferred dividend	-200,000	0
Numerator	\$1,550,000	\$1,750,000
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	500,000	500,000
Additional shares issued if preferred converted	0	100,000
Denominator	500,000	600,000
 EPS	 \$3.10	 \$2.92

12.2 Diluted EPS When a Company Has Convertible Debt Outstanding

When a company has convertible debt outstanding, the diluted EPS calculation also uses the if-converted method. Diluted EPS is calculated as if the convertible debt had been converted at the beginning of the period. If the convertible debt had been converted, the debt securities would no longer be outstanding; instead, additional shares of common stock would be outstanding. Also, if such a conversion had taken place, the company would not have paid interest on the convertible debt, so the net income available to common shareholders would increase by the after-tax amount of interest expense on the debt converted.

Thus, the formula to calculate diluted EPS using the if-converted method for convertible debt is:

$$\text{Diluted EPS} = \frac{(\text{Net income} + \text{After-tax interest on convertible debt} - \text{Preferred dividends})}{(\text{Weighted average number of shares outstanding} + \text{Additional common shares that would have been issued at conversion})} \quad (3)$$

A diluted EPS calculation using the if-converted method for convertible debt is provided in Example 10.

EXAMPLE 10

A Diluted EPS Calculation Using the If-Converted Method for Convertible Debt

Oppnox Company (fictitious) reported net income of \$750,000 for the year ended 31 December 2018. The company had a weighted average of 690,000 shares of common stock outstanding. In addition, the company has only one potentially

dilutive security: \$50,000 of 6 percent convertible bonds, convertible into a total of 10,000 shares. Assuming a tax rate of 30 percent, calculate Oppnox's basic and diluted EPS.

Solution:

If the debt securities had been converted, the debt securities would no longer be outstanding and instead, an additional 10,000 shares of common stock would be outstanding. Also, if the debt securities had been converted, the company would not have paid interest of \$3,000 on the convertible debt, so net income available to common shareholders would have increased by \$2,100 [= \$3,000(1 – 0.30)] on an after-tax basis. Exhibit 12 illustrates the calculation of diluted EPS using the if-converted method for convertible debt.

Exhibit 12 Calculation of Diluted EPS for Oppnox Company Using the If-Converted Method: Case of a Convertible Bond

	Basic EPS	Diluted EPS Using If-Converted Method
Net income	\$750,000	\$750,000
After-tax cost of interest		2,100
Numerator	\$750,000	\$752,100
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	690,000	690,000
If converted	0	10,000
Denominator	690,000	700,000
 EPS	 \$1.09	 \$1.07

13

DILUTED EPS: THE TREASURY STOCK METHOD

- g describe how earnings per share is calculated and calculate and interpret a company's earnings per share (both basic and diluted earnings per share) for both simple and complex capital structures;

When a company has stock options, warrants, or their equivalents³² outstanding, diluted EPS is calculated as if the financial instruments had been exercised and the company had used the proceeds from exercise to repurchase as many shares of common stock as possible at the average market price of common stock during the period. The weighted average number of shares outstanding for diluted EPS is thus increased by the number of shares that would be issued upon exercise minus the number of shares that would have been purchased with the proceeds. This method is called the **treasury stock method** under US GAAP because companies typically hold repurchased shares as treasury stock. The same method is used under IFRS but is not named.

³² Hereafter, options, warrants, and their equivalents will be referred to simply as "options" because the accounting treatment for EPS calculations is interchangeable for these instruments under IFRS and US GAAP.

For the calculation of diluted EPS using this method, the assumed exercise of these financial instruments would have the following effects:

- The company is assumed to receive cash upon exercise and, in exchange, to issue shares.
- The company is assumed to use the cash proceeds to repurchase shares at the weighted average market price during the period.

As a result of these two effects, the number of shares outstanding would increase by the incremental number of shares issued (the difference between the number of shares issued to the holders and the number of shares assumed to be repurchased by the company). For calculating diluted EPS, the incremental number of shares is weighted based upon the length of time the financial instrument was outstanding in the year. If the financial instrument was issued prior to the beginning of the year, the weighted average number of shares outstanding increases by the incremental number of shares. If the financial instruments were issued during the year, then the incremental shares are weighted by the amount of time the financial instruments were outstanding during the year.

The assumed exercise of these financial instruments would not affect net income. For calculating EPS, therefore, no change is made to the numerator. The formula to calculate diluted EPS using the treasury stock method (same method as used under IFRS but not named) for options is:

$$\text{Diluted EPS} = \frac{\text{(Net income} - \text{Preferred dividends)}}{\text{[Weighted average number of shares}} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{outstanding} + \text{(New shares that would have been issued at option exercise} - \text{Shares that could have been purchased with cash received upon exercise}) \times \text{(Proportion of year during which the financial instruments were outstanding)]}$$

A diluted EPS calculation using the treasury stock method for options is provided in Example 11.

EXAMPLE 11

A Diluted EPS Calculation Using the Treasury Stock Method for Options

Hihotech Company (fictitious) reported net income of \$2.3 million for the year ended 30 June 2018 and had a weighted average of 800,000 common shares outstanding. At the beginning of the fiscal year, the company has outstanding 30,000 options with an exercise price of \$35. No other potentially dilutive financial instruments are outstanding. Over the fiscal year, the company's market price has averaged \$55 per share. Calculate the company's basic and diluted EPS.

Solution:

Using the treasury stock method, we first calculate that the company would have received \$1,050,000 (\$35 for each of the 30,000 options exercised) if all the options had been exercised. The options would no longer be outstanding; instead, 30,000 shares of common stock would be outstanding. Under the treasury stock method, we assume that shares would be repurchased with the cash received upon exercise of the options. At an average market price of \$55 per

share, the \$1,050,000 proceeds from option exercise, the company could have repurchased 19,091 shares. Therefore, the incremental number of shares issued is 10,909 (calculated as 30,000 minus 19,091). For the diluted EPS calculation, no change is made to the numerator. As shown in Exhibit 13, the company's basic EPS was \$2.88 and the diluted EPS was \$2.84.

Exhibit 13 Calculation of Diluted EPS for Hihotech Company Using the Treasury Stock Method: Case of Stock Options

	Basic EPS	Diluted EPS Using Treasury Stock Method
Net income	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000
Numerator	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	800,000	800,000
If converted	0	10,909
Denominator	800,000	810,909
 EPS	 \$2.88	 \$2.84

As noted, IFRS require a similar computation but does not refer to it as the "treasury stock method." The company is required to consider that any assumed proceeds are received from the issuance of new shares at the average market price for the period. These new "inferred" shares would be disregarded in the computation of diluted EPS, but the excess of the new shares that would be issued under options contracts minus the new inferred shares would be added to the weighted average number of shares outstanding. The results are the same as the treasury stock method, as shown in Example 12.

EXAMPLE 12

Diluted EPS for Options under IFRS

Assuming the same facts as in Example 11, calculate the weighted average number of shares outstanding for diluted EPS under IFRS.

Solution:

If the options had been exercised, the company would have received \$1,050,000. If this amount had been received from the issuance of new shares at the average market price of \$55 per share, the company would have issued 19,091 shares. IFRS refer to the 19,091 shares the company would have issued at market prices as the inferred shares. The number of shares issued under options (30,000) minus the number of inferred shares (19,091) equals 10,909. This amount is added to the weighted average number of shares outstanding of 800,000 to get diluted shares of 810,909. Note that this is the same result as that obtained under US GAAP; it is just derived in a different manner.

OTHER ISSUES WITH DILUTED EPS AND CHANGES IN EPS

14

- h contrast dilutive and antidilutive securities and describe the implications of each for the earnings per share calculation;

It is possible that some potentially convertible securities could be **antidilutive** (i.e., their inclusion in the computation would result in an EPS higher than the company's basic EPS). Under IFRS and US GAAP, antidilutive securities are not included in the calculation of diluted EPS. Diluted EPS should reflect the maximum potential dilution from conversion or exercise of potentially dilutive financial instruments. Diluted EPS will always be less than or equal to basic EPS. Example 13 provides an illustration of an antidilutive security.

EXAMPLE 13

An Antidilutive Security

For the year ended 31 December 2018, Dim-Cool Utility Company (fictitious) had net income of \$1,750,000. The company had an average of 500,000 shares of common stock outstanding, 20,000 shares of convertible preferred, and no other potentially dilutive securities. Each share of preferred pays a dividend of \$10 per share, and each is convertible into three shares of the company's common stock. What was the company's basic and diluted EPS?

Solution:

If the 20,000 shares of convertible preferred had each converted into 3 shares of the company's common stock, the company would have had an additional 60,000 shares of common stock (3 shares of common for each of the 20,000 shares of preferred). If the conversion had taken place, the company would not have paid preferred dividends of \$200,000 (\$10 per share for each of the 20,000 shares of preferred). The effect of using the if-converted method would be EPS of \$3.13, as shown in Exhibit 14. Because this is greater than the company's basic EPS of \$3.10, the securities are said to be antidilutive and the effect of their conversion would not be included in diluted EPS. Diluted EPS would be the same as basic EPS (i.e., \$3.10).

Exhibit 14 Calculation for an Antidilutive Security

	Basic EPS	Diluted EPS Using If-Converted Method
Net income	\$1,750,000	\$1,750,000
Preferred dividend	-200,000	0
Numerator	\$1,550,000	\$1,750,000
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	500,000	500,000
If converted	0	60,000
Denominator	500,000	560,000

(continued)

Exhibit 14 (Continued)

	Basic EPS	Diluted EPS Using If-Converted Method	
EPS	\$3.10	\$3.13	←Exceeds basic EPS; security is antidilutive and, therefore, not included. Reported diluted EPS = \$3.10.

14.1 Changes in EPS

Having explained the calculations of both basic and diluted EPS, we return to an examination of changes in EPS. As noted above, AB InBev's fully diluted EPS from continuing operations increased from \$0.68 in 2016 to \$3.96 in 2017. In general, an increase in EPS results from an increase in net income, a decrease in the number of shares outstanding, or a combination of both. In the notes to its financial statements (not shown), AB InBev discloses that the weighted average number of shares for both the basic and fully-diluted calculations was greater in 2017 than in 2016. Thus, for AB InBev, the improvement in EPS from 2016 to 2017 was driven by an increase in net income. Changes in the numerator and denominator explain the changes in EPS arithmetically. To understand the business drivers of those changes requires further research. The next section presents analytical tools that an analyst can use to highlight areas for further examination.

15

COMMON-SIZE ANALYSIS OF THE INCOME STATEMENT

- i. formulate income statements into common-size income statements;
- j. evaluate a company's financial performance using common-size income statements and financial ratios based on the income statement;

In this section, we apply two analytical tools to analyze the income statement: common-size analysis and income statement ratios. The objective of this analysis is to assess a company's performance over a period of time—compared with its own past performance or the performance of another company.

15.1 Common-Size Analysis of the Income Statement

Common-size analysis of the income statement can be performed by stating each line item on the income statement as a percentage of revenue.³³ Common-size statements facilitate comparison across time periods (time series analysis) and across companies (cross-sectional analysis) because the standardization of each line item removes the effect of size.

To illustrate, Panel A of Exhibit 15 presents an income statement for three hypothetical companies in the same industry. Company A and Company B, each with \$10 million in sales, are larger (as measured by sales) than Company C, which has only \$2 million in sales. In addition, Companies A and B both have higher operating profit: \$2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, compared with Company C's operating profit of only \$400,000.

How can an analyst meaningfully compare the performance of these companies? By preparing a common-size income statement, as illustrated in Panel B, an analyst can readily see that the percentages of Company C's expenses and profit relative to its sales are exactly the same as for Company A. Furthermore, although Company C's operating profit is lower than Company B's in absolute dollars, it is higher in percentage terms (20 percent for Company C compared with only 15 percent for Company B). For each \$100 of sales, Company C generates \$5 more operating profit than Company B. In other words, Company C is relatively more profitable than Company B based on this measure.

The common-size income statement also highlights differences in companies' strategies. Comparing the two larger companies, Company A reports significantly higher gross profit as a percentage of sales than does Company B (70 percent compared with 25 percent). Given that both companies operate in the same industry, why can Company A generate so much higher gross profit? One possible explanation is found by comparing the operating expenses of the two companies. Company A spends significantly more on research and development and on advertising than Company B. Expenditures on research and development likely result in products with superior technology. Expenditures on advertising likely result in greater brand awareness. So, based on these differences, it is likely that Company A is selling technologically superior products with a better brand image. Company B may be selling its products more cheaply (with a lower gross profit as a percentage of sales) but saving money by not investing in research and development or advertising. In practice, differences across companies are more subtle, but the concept is similar. An analyst, noting significant differences, would do more research and seek to understand the underlying reasons for the differences and their implications for the future performance of the companies.

Exhibit 15

Panel A: Income Statements for Companies A, B, and C (\$)

	A	B	C
Sales	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$2,000,000
Cost of sales	3,000,000	7,500,000	600,000
Gross profit	7,000,000	2,500,000	1,400,000
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	1,000,000	1,000,000	200,000

(continued)

³³ This format can be distinguished as “vertical common-size analysis.” As the reading on financial statement analysis discusses, there is another type of common-size analysis, known as “horizontal common-size analysis,” that states items in relation to a selected base year value. Unless otherwise indicated, text references to “common-size analysis” refer to vertical analysis.

Exhibit 15 (Continued)**Panel A: Income Statements for Companies A, B, and C (\$)**

	A	B	C
Research and development	2,000,000	—	400,000
Advertising	2,000,000	—	400,000
Operating profit	2,000,000	1,500,000	400,000

Panel B: Common-Size Income Statements for Companies A, B, and C (%)

	A	B	C
Sales	100%	100%	100%
Cost of sales	30	75	30
Gross profit	70	25	70
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	10	10	10
Research and development	20	0	20
Advertising	20	0	20
Operating profit	20	15	20

Note: Each line item is expressed as a percentage of the company's sales.

For most expenses, comparison to the amount of sales is appropriate. However, in the case of taxes, it is more meaningful to compare the amount of taxes with the amount of pretax income. Using note disclosure, an analyst can then examine the causes for differences in effective tax rates. To project the companies' future net income, an analyst would project the companies' pretax income and apply an estimated effective tax rate determined in part by the historical tax rates.

Vertical common-size analysis of the income statement is particularly useful in cross-sectional analysis—comparing companies with each other for a particular time period or comparing a company with industry or sector data. The analyst could select individual peer companies for comparison, use industry data from published sources, or compile data from databases based on a selection of peer companies or broader industry data. For example, Exhibit 16 presents median common-size income statement data compiled for the components of the S&P 500 classified into the 10 S&P/MSCI Global Industrial Classification System (GICS) sectors using 2017 data. Note that when compiling aggregate data such as this, some level of aggregation is necessary and less detail may be available than from peer company financial statements. The performance of an individual company can be compared with industry or peer company data to evaluate its relative performance.

Exhibit 16 Median Common-Size Income Statement Statistics for the S&P 500 Classified by S&P/MSCI GICS Sector Data for 2017

	Energy	Materials	Industrials	Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Staples	Health Care
Number of observations	34	27	69	81	34	59
Gross Margin	37.7%	33.0%	36.8%	37.6%	43.4%	59.0%
Operating Margin	6.4%	14.9%	13.5%	11.0%	17.2%	17.4%
Net Profit Margin	4.9%	9.9%	8.8%	6.0%	10.9%	7.2%

Exhibit 16 (Continued)

	Financials	Information Technology	Telecommunication Services	Utilities	Real Estate
Number of observations	63	64	4	29	29
Gross Margin	40.5%	62.4%	56.4%	34.3%	39.8%
Operating Margin	36.5%	21.1%	15.4%	21.7%	30.1%
Net Profit Margin	18.5%	11.3%	13.1%	10.1%	21.3%

Source: Based on data from Compustat. Operating margin based on EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes.)

INCOME STATEMENT RATIOS**16**

- j evaluate a company's financial performance using common-size income statements and financial ratios based on the income statement;

One aspect of financial performance is profitability. One indicator of profitability is **net profit margin**, also known as **profit margin** and **return on sales**, which is calculated as net income divided by revenue (or sales).³⁴

$$\text{Net profit margin} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Revenue}}$$

Net profit margin measures the amount of income that a company was able to generate for each dollar of revenue. A higher level of net profit margin indicates higher profitability and is thus more desirable. Net profit margin can also be found directly on the common-size income statements.

For AB InBev, net profit margin based on continuing operations for 2017 was 16.2 percent (calculated as profit from continuing operations of \$9,155 million, divided by revenue of \$56,444 million). To judge this ratio, some comparison is needed. AB InBev's profitability can be compared with that of another company or with its own previous performance. Compared with previous years, AB InBev's profitability is higher than in 2016 but lower than 2015. In 2016, net profit margin based on continuing operations was 6.0 percent, and in 2015, it was 22.9 percent.

Another measure of profitability is the gross profit margin. Gross profit (gross margin) is calculated as revenue minus cost of goods sold, and the **gross profit margin** is calculated as the gross profit divided by revenue.

$$\text{Gross profit margin} = \frac{\text{Gross profit}}{\text{Revenue}}$$

The gross profit margin measures the amount of gross profit that a company generated for each dollar of revenue. A higher level of gross profit margin indicates higher profitability and thus is generally more desirable, although differences in gross profit margins across companies reflect differences in companies' strategies. For example, consider a company pursuing a strategy of selling a differentiated product (e.g., a product differentiated based on brand name, quality, superior technology, or patent protection). The company would likely be able to sell the differentiated product at a

³⁴ In the definition of margin ratios of this type, "sales" is often used interchangeably with "revenue." "Return on sales" has also been used to refer to a class of profitability ratios having revenue in the denominator.

higher price than a similar, but undifferentiated, product and, therefore, would likely show a higher gross profit margin than a company selling an undifferentiated product. Although a company selling a differentiated product would likely show a higher gross profit margin, this may take time. In the initial stage of the strategy, the company would likely incur costs to create a differentiated product, such as advertising or research and development, which would not be reflected in the gross margin calculation.

AB InBev's gross profit (shown in Exhibit 1) was \$35,058 million in 2017, \$27,715 million in 2016, and \$26,467 million in 2015. Expressing gross profit as a percentage of revenues, we see that the gross profit margin was 62.1 percent in 2017, 60.9 percent in 2016, and 60.7 percent in 2015. In absolute terms, AB InBev's gross profit was higher in 2016 than in 2015. However, AB InBev's gross profit *margin* was approximately constant between 2015 and 2016.

Exhibit 17 presents a common-size income statement for AB InBev, and highlights certain profitability ratios. The net profit margin and gross profit margin described above are just two of the many subtotals that can be generated from common-size income statements. Other "margins" used by analysts include the **operating profit margin** (profit from operations divided by revenue) and the **pretax margin** (profit before tax divided by revenue).

Exhibit 17 AB InBev's Margins: Abbreviated Common-Size Income Statement

	12 Months Ended December 31					
	2017		2016		2015	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Revenue	56,444	100.0	45,517	100.0	43,604	100.0
Cost of sales	(21,386)	(37.9)	(17,803)	(39.1)	(17,137)	(39.3)
Gross profit	35,058	62.1	27,715	60.9	26,467	60.7
Distribution expenses	(5,876)	(10.4)	(4,543)	(10.0)	(4,259)	(9.8)
Sales and marketing expenses	(8,382)	(14.9)	(7,745)	(17.0)	(6,913)	(15.9)
Administrative expenses	(3,841)	(6.8)	(2,883)	(6.3)	(2,560)	(5.9)
<i>Portions omitted</i>						
Profit from operations	17,152	30.4	12,882	28.3	13,904	31.9
Finance cost	(6,885)	(12.2)	(9,382)	(20.6)	(3,142)	(7.2)
Finance income	378	0.7	818	1.8	1,689	3.9
Net finance income/(cost)	(6,507)	(11.5)	(8,564)	(18.8)	(1,453)	(3.3)
Share of result of associates and joint ventures	430	0.8	16	0.0	10	0.0
Profit before tax	11,076	19.6	4,334	9.5	12,461	28.6
Income tax expense	(1,920)	(3.4)	(1,613)	(3.5)	(2,594)	(5.9)
Profit from continuing operations	9,155	16.2	2,721	6.0	9,867	22.6
Profit from discontinued operations	28	0.0	48	0.1	—	—
Profit of the year	9,183	16.3	2,769	6.1	9,867	22.6

The profitability ratios and the common-size income statement yield quick insights about changes in a company's performance. For example, AB InBev's decrease in profitability in 2016 was not driven by a decrease in gross profit margin. Gross profit margin in 2016 was actually slightly higher than in 2015. The company's decrease in profitability in 2016 was driven in part by higher operating expenses and, in particular,

by a significant increase in finance costs. The increased finance costs resulted from the 2016 merger with SABMiller. Valued at more than \$100 billion, the acquisition was one of the largest in history. The combination of AB InBev and SABMiller also explains the increase in revenue from around \$45 billion to over \$56 billion. The profitability ratios and the common-size income statement thus serve to highlight areas about which an analyst might wish to gain further understanding.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

17

- k** describe, calculate, and interpret comprehensive income;
- l** describe other comprehensive income and identify major types of items included in it.

The general expression for net income is revenue minus expenses. There are, however, certain items of revenue and expense that, by accounting convention, are excluded from the net income calculation. To understand how reported shareholders' equity of one period links with reported shareholders' equity of the next period, we must understand these excluded items, known as **other comprehensive income**. Under IFRS, other comprehensive income includes items of income and expense that are "not recognized in profit or loss as required or permitted by other IFRS." **Total comprehensive income** is "the change in equity during a period resulting from transaction and other events, other than those changes resulting from transactions with owners in their capacity as owners."³⁵

Under US GAAP, **comprehensive income** is defined as "the change in equity [net assets] of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners."³⁶ While the wording differs, comprehensive income is conceptually the same under IFRS and US GAAP.

Comprehensive income includes *both* net income and other revenue and expense items that are excluded from the net income calculation (collectively referred to as Other Comprehensive Income). Assume, for example, a company's beginning shareholders' equity is €110 million, its net income for the year is €10 million, its cash dividends for the year are €2 million, and there was no issuance or repurchase of common stock. If the company's actual ending shareholders' equity is €123 million, then €5 million [$€123 - (€110 + €10 - €2)$] has bypassed the net income calculation by being classified as other comprehensive income. If the company had no other comprehensive income, its ending shareholders' equity would have been €118 million [$€110 + €10 - €2$].

Four types of items are treated as other comprehensive income under both IFRS and US GAAP. (The specific treatment of some of these items differs between the two sets of standards, but these types of items are common to both.)

- Foreign currency translation adjustments. In consolidating the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries, the effects of translating the subsidiaries' balance sheet assets and liabilities at current exchange rates are included as other comprehensive income.

³⁵ IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*.

³⁶ FASB ASC Section 220-10-05 [Comprehensive Income—Overall—Overview and Background].

- Unrealized gains or losses on derivatives contracts accounted for as hedges. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period, but certain changes in value are treated as other comprehensive income and thus bypass the income statement.
- Unrealized holding gains and losses on a certain category of investment securities, namely, available-for-sale debt securities under US GAAP and securities designated as “fair value through other comprehensive income” under IFRS. (Note: IFRS, but not US GAAP, also includes a category of equity investments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income.)
- Certain costs of a company’s defined benefit post-retirement plans that are not recognized in the current period.

In addition, under IFRS, other comprehensive income includes certain changes in the value of long-lived assets that are measured using the revaluation model rather than the cost model. Also, under IFRS, companies are not permitted to reclassify certain items of other comprehensive income to profit or loss, and companies must present separately the items of other comprehensive income that will and will not be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss.

The third type of item listed above is perhaps the simplest to illustrate. Holding gains on securities arise when a company owns securities over an accounting period, during which time the securities’ value increases. Similarly, holding losses on securities arise when a company owns securities over a period during which time the securities’ value decreases. If the company has not sold the securities (i.e., has not realized the gain or loss), its holding gain or loss is said to be unrealized. The question is: Should the company exclude unrealized gains and losses from income; reflect these unrealized holding gains and losses in its income statement (i.e., statement of profit and loss); or reflect these unrealized holding gains as other comprehensive income?

According to accounting standards, the answer depends on how the company has categorized the securities. Categorization depends on what the company intends to do with the securities (i.e., the business model for managing the asset) and on the cash flows of the security. Unrealized gains and losses are excluded from income for debt securities that the company intends to hold to maturity. These held-to-maturity debt securities are reported at their amortized cost, so no unrealized gains or losses are reported. For other securities reported at fair value, the unrealized gains or losses are reflected either in the income statement or as other comprehensive income.

Under US GAAP, unrealized gains and losses are reflected in the income statement for: (a) debt securities designated as **trading securities**; and (b) all investments in equity securities (other than investments giving rise to ownership positions that confer significant influence over the investee). The trading securities category pertains to a debt security that is acquired with the intent of selling it rather than holding it to collect the interest and principal payments. Also, under US GAAP, unrealized gains and losses are reflected as other comprehensive income for debt securities designated as **available-for-sale** securities. Available-for-sale debt securities are those not designated as either held-to-maturity or trading.

Under IFRS, unrealized gains and losses are reflected in the income statement for: (a) investments in equity investments, unless the company makes an irrevocable election otherwise; and (b) debt securities, if the securities do not fall into the other measurement categories or if the company makes an irrevocable election to show gains and losses on the income statement. These debt and equity investments are referred to as being measured at *fair value through profit or loss*. Also under IFRS, unrealized gains and losses are reflected as other comprehensive income for: (a) “debt securities held within a business model whose objective is achieved both by collecting contractual cash flows and selling financial assets”; and (b) equity investments for which the company makes an irrevocable election at initial recognition to show gains

and losses as part of other comprehensive income. These debt and equity investments are referred to as being measured at *fair value through other comprehensive income*. Accounting for these securities is similar to accounting for US GAAP's available-for-sale debt securities.

Even where unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from a company's net income (profit and loss), they are *included* in other comprehensive income and thus form a part of a company's comprehensive income.

EXAMPLE 14

Other Comprehensive Income

Assume a company's beginning shareholders' equity is €200 million, its net income for the year is €20 million, its cash dividends for the year are €3 million, and there was no issuance or repurchase of common stock. The company's actual ending shareholders' equity is €227 million.

- 1 What amount has bypassed the net income calculation by being classified as other comprehensive income?
 - A €0.
 - B €7 million.
 - C €10 million.
- 2 Which of the following statements *best* describes other comprehensive income?
 - A Income earned from diverse geographic and segment activities.
 - B Income that increases stockholders' equity but is not reflected as part of net income.
 - C Income earned from activities that are not part of the company's ordinary business activities.

Solution to 1:

C is correct. If the company's actual ending shareholders' equity is €227 million, then €10 million [$\text{€}227 - (\text{€}200 + \text{€}20 - \text{€}3)$] has bypassed the net income calculation by being classified as other comprehensive income.

Solution to 2:

B is correct. Answers A and C are not correct because they do not specify whether such income is reported as part of net income and shown in the income statement.

EXAMPLE 15

Other Comprehensive Income in Analysis

An analyst is looking at two comparable companies. Company A has a lower price/earnings (P/E) ratio than Company B, and the conclusion that has been suggested is that Company A is undervalued. As part of examining this conclusion, the analyst decides to explore the question: What would the company's P/E look like if total comprehensive income per share—rather than net income per share—were used as the relevant metric?

	Company A	Company B
Price	\$35	\$30
EPS	\$1.60	\$0.90
P/E ratio	21.9×	33.3×
Other comprehensive income (loss) \$ million	(\$16.272)	(\$1.757)
Shares (millions)	22.6	25.1

Solution:

As shown in the following table, part of the explanation for Company A's lower P/E ratio may be that its significant losses—accounted for as other comprehensive income (OCI)—are not included in the P/E ratio.

	Company A	Company B
Price	\$35	\$30
EPS	\$1.60	\$0.90
OCI (loss) \$ million	(\$16.272)	(\$1.757)
Shares (millions)	22.6	25.1
OCI (loss) per share	\$(0.72)	\$(0.07)
Comprehensive EPS = EPS + OCI per share	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.83
Price/Comprehensive EPS ratio	39.8×	36.1×

Both IFRS and US GAAP allow companies two alternative presentations. One alternative is to present two statements—a separate income statement and a second statement additionally including other comprehensive income. The other alternative is to present a single statement of other comprehensive income. Particularly in comparing financial statements of two companies, it is relevant to examine significant differences in comprehensive income.

SUMMARY

This reading has presented the elements of income statement analysis. The income statement presents information on the financial results of a company's business activities over a period of time; it communicates how much revenue the company generated during a period and what costs it incurred in connection with generating that revenue. A company's net income and its components (e.g., gross margin, operating earnings, and pretax earnings) are critical inputs into both the equity and credit analysis processes. Equity analysts are interested in earnings because equity markets often reward relatively high- or low-earnings growth companies with above-average or below-average valuations, respectively. Fixed-income analysts examine the components of income statements, past and projected, for information on companies' abilities to make promised payments on their debt over the course of the business cycle. Corporate financial announcements frequently emphasize income statements more than the other financial statements.

Key points to this reading include the following:

- The income statement presents revenue, expenses, and net income.

- The components of the income statement include: revenue; cost of sales; sales, general, and administrative expenses; other operating expenses; non-operating income and expenses; gains and losses; non-recurring items; net income; and EPS.
- An income statement that presents a subtotal for gross profit (revenue minus cost of goods sold) is said to be presented in a multi-step format. One that does not present this subtotal is said to be presented in a single-step format.
- Revenue is recognized in the period it is earned, which may or may not be in the same period as the related cash collection. Recognition of revenue when earned is a fundamental principle of accrual accounting.
- An analyst should identify differences in companies' revenue recognition methods and adjust reported revenue where possible to facilitate comparability. Where the available information does not permit adjustment, an analyst can characterize the revenue recognition as more or less conservative and thus qualitatively assess how differences in policies might affect financial ratios and judgments about profitability.
- As of the beginning of 2018, revenue recognition standards have converged. The core principle of the converged standards is that revenue should be recognized to "depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in an exchange for those goods or services."
- To achieve the core principle, the standard describes the application of five steps in recognizing revenue. The standard also specifies the treatment of some related contract costs and disclosure requirements.
- The general principles of expense recognition include a process to match expenses either to revenue (such as, cost of goods sold) or to the time period in which the expenditure occurs (period costs such as, administrative salaries) or to the time period of expected benefits of the expenditures (such as, depreciation).
- In expense recognition, choice of method (i.e., depreciation method and inventory cost method), as well as estimates (i.e., uncollectible accounts, warranty expenses, assets' useful life, and salvage value) affect a company's reported income. An analyst should identify differences in companies' expense recognition methods and adjust reported financial statements where possible to facilitate comparability. Where the available information does not permit adjustment, an analyst can characterize the policies and estimates as more or less conservative and thus qualitatively assess how differences in policies might affect financial ratios and judgments about companies' performance.
- To assess a company's future earnings, it is helpful to separate those prior years' items of income and expense that are likely to continue in the future from those items that are less likely to continue.
- Under IFRS, a company should present additional line items, headings, and subtotals beyond those specified when such presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity's financial performance. Some items from prior years clearly are not expected to continue in future periods and are separately disclosed on a company's income statement. Under US GAAP, unusual and/or infrequently occurring items, which are material, are presented separately within income from continuing operations.
- Non-operating items are reported separately from operating items on the income statement. Under both IFRS and US GAAP, the income statement reports separately the effect of the disposal of a component operation as a "discontinued" operation.

- Basic EPS is the amount of income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding over a period. The amount of income available to common shareholders is the amount of net income remaining after preferred dividends (if any) have been paid.
- If a company has a simple capital structure (i.e., one with no potentially dilutive securities), then its basic EPS is equal to its diluted EPS. If, however, a company has dilutive securities, its diluted EPS is lower than its basic EPS.
- Diluted EPS is calculated using the if-converted method for convertible securities and the treasury stock method for options.
- Common-size analysis of the income statement involves stating each line item on the income statement as a percentage of sales. Common-size statements facilitate comparison across time periods and across companies of different sizes.
- Two income-statement-based indicators of profitability are net profit margin and gross profit margin.
- Comprehensive income includes *both* net income and other revenue and expense items that are excluded from the net income calculation.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1** Expenses on the income statement may be grouped by:
 - A** nature, but not by function.
 - B** function, but not by nature.
 - C** either function or nature.
- 2** An example of an expense classification by function is:
 - A** tax expense.
 - B** interest expense.
 - C** cost of goods sold.
- 3** Denali Limited, a manufacturing company, had the following income statement information:

Revenue	\$4,000,000
Cost of goods sold	\$3,000,000
Other operating expenses	\$500,000
Interest expense	\$100,000
Tax expense	\$120,000

Denali's gross profit is equal to:

- A** \$280,000.
- B** \$500,000.
- C** \$1,000,000.
- 4** Under IFRS, income includes increases in economic benefits from:
 - A** increases in liabilities not related to owners' contributions.
 - B** enhancements of assets not related to owners' contributions.
 - C** increases in owners' equity related to owners' contributions.
- 5** Fairplay had the following information related to the sale of its products during 2009, which was its first year of business:

Revenue	\$1,000,000
Returns of goods sold	\$100,000
Cash collected	\$800,000
Cost of goods sold	\$700,000

Under the accrual basis of accounting, how much net revenue would be reported on Fairplay's 2009 income statement?

- A** \$200,000.
- B** \$900,000.
- C** \$1,000,000.
- 6** Apex Consignment sells items over the internet for individuals on a consignment basis. Apex receives the items from the owner, lists them for sale on the internet, and receives a 25 percent commission for any items sold. Apex collects the full amount from the buyer and pays the net amount after commission to the owner. Unsold items are returned to the owner after 90 days. During 2009, Apex had the following information:

- Total sales price of items sold during 2009 on consignment was €2,000,000.
- Total commissions retained by Apex during 2009 for these items was €500,000.

How much revenue should Apex report on its 2009 income statement?

- A €500,000.
 - B €2,000,000.
 - C €1,500,000.
- 7 A company previously expensed the incremental costs of obtaining a contract. All else being equal, adopting the May 2014 IASB and FASB converged accounting standards on revenue recognition makes the company's profitability initially appear:
- A lower.
 - B unchanged.
 - C higher.
- 8 During 2009, Accent Toys Plc., which began business in October of that year, purchased 10,000 units of a toy at a cost of £10 per unit in October. The toy sold well in October. In anticipation of heavy December sales, Accent purchased 5,000 additional units in November at a cost of £11 per unit. During 2009, Accent sold 12,000 units at a price of £15 per unit. Under the first in, first out (FIFO) method, what is Accent's cost of goods sold for 2009?
- A £120,000.
 - B £122,000.
 - C £124,000.
- 9 Using the same information as in Question 8, what would Accent's cost of goods sold be under the weighted average cost method?
- A £120,000.
 - B £122,000.
 - C £124,000.
- 10 Which inventory method is least likely to be used under IFRS?
- A First in, first out (FIFO).
 - B Last in, first out (LIFO).
 - C Weighted average.
- 11 At the beginning of 2009, Glass Manufacturing purchased a new machine for its assembly line at a cost of \$600,000. The machine has an estimated useful life of 10 years and estimated residual value of \$50,000. Under the straight-line method, how much depreciation would Glass take in 2010 for financial reporting purposes?
- A \$55,000.
 - B \$60,000.
 - C \$65,000.
- 12 Using the same information as in Question 11, how much depreciation would Glass take in 2009 for financial reporting purposes under the double-declining balance method?
- A \$60,000.
 - B \$110,000.
 - C \$120,000.

- 13** Which combination of depreciation methods and useful lives is most conservative in the year a depreciable asset is acquired?
- A** Straight-line depreciation with a short useful life.
 - B** Declining balance depreciation with a long useful life.
 - C** Declining balance depreciation with a short useful life.
- 14** Under IFRS, a loss from the destruction of property in a fire would most likely be classified as:
- A** continuing operations.
 - B** discontinued operations.
 - C** other comprehensive income.
- 15** A company chooses to change an accounting policy. This change requires that, if practical, the company restate its financial statements for:
- A** all prior periods.
 - B** current and future periods.
 - C** prior periods shown in a report.
- 16** For 2009, Flamingo Products had net income of \$1,000,000. At 1 January 2009, there were 1,000,000 shares outstanding. On 1 July 2009, the company issued 100,000 new shares for \$20 per share. The company paid \$200,000 in dividends to common shareholders. What is Flamingo's basic earnings per share for 2009?
- A** \$0.80.
 - B** \$0.91.
 - C** \$0.95.
- 17** For its fiscal year-end, Calvan Water Corporation (CWC) reported net income of \$12 million and a weighted average of 2,000,000 common shares outstanding. The company paid \$800,000 in preferred dividends and had 100,000 options outstanding with an average exercise price of \$20. CWC's market price over the year averaged \$25 per share. CWC's diluted EPS is *closest* to:
- A** \$5.33.
 - B** \$5.54.
 - C** \$5.94.
- 18** A company with no debt or convertible securities issued publicly traded common stock three times during the current fiscal year. Under both IFRS and US GAAP, the company's:
- A** basic EPS equals its diluted EPS.
 - B** capital structure is considered complex at year-end.
 - C** basic EPS is calculated by using a simple average number of shares outstanding.
- 19** Laurelli Builders (LB) reported the following financial data for year-end 31 December:

Common shares outstanding, 1 January	2,020,000
Common shares issued as stock dividend, 1 June	380,000
Warrants outstanding, 1 January	500,000
Net income	\$3,350,000
Preferred stock dividends paid	\$430,000
Common stock dividends paid	\$240,000

Which statement about the calculation of LB's EPS is *most* accurate?

- A LB's basic EPS is \$1.12.
- B LB's diluted EPS is equal to or less than its basic EPS.
- C The weighted average number of shares outstanding is 2,210,000.
- 20** Cell Services Inc. (CSI) had 1,000,000 average shares outstanding during all of 2009. During 2009, CSI also had 10,000 options outstanding with exercise prices of \$10 each. The average stock price of CSI during 2009 was \$15. For purposes of computing diluted earnings per share, how many shares would be used in the denominator?
- A 1,003,333.
- B 1,006,667.
- C 1,010,000.
- 21** For its fiscal year-end, Sublyme Corporation reported net income of \$200 million and a weighted average of 50,000,000 common shares outstanding. There are 2,000,000 convertible preferred shares outstanding that paid an annual dividend of \$5. Each preferred share is convertible into two shares of the common stock. The diluted EPS is *closest to*:
- A \$3.52.
- B \$3.65.
- C \$3.70.
- 22** When calculating diluted EPS, which of the following securities in the capital structure increases the weighted average number of common shares outstanding without affecting net income available to common shareholders?
- A Stock options
- B Convertible debt that is dilutive
- C Convertible preferred stock that is dilutive
- 23** Which statement is *most* accurate? A common size income statement:
- A restates each line item of the income statement as a percentage of net income.
- B allows an analyst to conduct cross-sectional analysis by removing the effect of company size.
- C standardizes each line item of the income statement but fails to help an analyst identify differences in companies' strategies.
- 24** Selected year-end financial statement data for Workhard are shown below.

	\$ millions
Beginning shareholders' equity	475
Ending shareholders' equity	493
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	5
Unrealized loss on derivatives accounted for as hedges	-3
Foreign currency translation gain on consolidation	2
Dividends paid	1
Net income	15

Workhard's comprehensive income for the year:

- A is \$18 million.
- B is increased by the derivatives accounted for as hedges.
- C includes \$4 million in other comprehensive income.

- 25** When preparing an income statement, which of the following items would *most likely* be classified as other comprehensive income?
- A** A foreign currency translation adjustment
 - B** An unrealized gain on a security held for trading purposes
 - C** A realized gain on a derivative contract not accounted for as a hedge

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. IAS No. 1 states that expenses may be categorized by either nature or function.
- 2 C is correct. Cost of goods sold is a classification by function. The other two expenses represent classifications by nature.
- 3 C is correct. Gross margin is revenue minus cost of goods sold. Answer A represents net income and B represents operating income.
- 4 B is correct. Under IFRS, income includes increases in economic benefits from increases in assets, enhancement of assets, and decreases in liabilities.
- 5 B is correct. Net revenue is revenue for goods sold during the period less any returns and allowances, or \$1,000,000 minus \$100,000 = \$900,000.
- 6 A is correct. Apex is not the owner of the goods and should only report its net commission as revenue.
- 7 C is correct. Under the converged accounting standards, the incremental costs of obtaining a contract and certain costs incurred to fulfill a contract must be capitalized. If a company expensed these incremental costs in the years prior to adopting the converged standards, all else being equal, its profitability will appear higher under the converged standards.
- 8 B is correct. Under the first in, first out (FIFO) method, the first 10,000 units sold came from the October purchases at £10, and the next 2,000 units sold came from the November purchases at £11.
- 9 C is correct. Under the weighted average cost method:

October purchases	10,000 units	\$100,000
November purchases	5,000 units	\$55,000
Total	15,000 units	\$155,000

$$\$155,000/15,000 \text{ units} = \$10.3333$$

$$\$10.3333 \times 12,000 \text{ units} = \$124,000$$

- 10 B is correct. The last in, first out (LIFO) method is not permitted under IFRS. The other two methods are permitted.
- 11 A is correct. Straight-line depreciation would be $(\$600,000 - \$50,000)/10$, or \$55,000.
- 12 C is correct. Double-declining balance depreciation would be $\$600,000 \times 20\%$ (twice the straight-line rate). The residual value is not subtracted from the initial book value to calculate depreciation. However, the book value (carrying amount) of the asset will not be reduced below the estimated residual value.
- 13 C is correct. This would result in the highest amount of depreciation in the first year and hence the lowest amount of net income relative to the other choices.
- 14 A is correct. A fire may be infrequent, but it would still be part of continuing operations and reported in the profit and loss statement. Discontinued operations relate to a decision to dispose of an operating division.
- 15 C is correct. If a company changes an accounting policy, the financial statements for all fiscal years shown in a company's financial report are presented, if practical, as if the newly adopted accounting policy had been used throughout the entire period; this retrospective application of the change makes the

financial results of any prior years included in the report comparable. Notes to the financial statements describe the change and explain the justification for the change.

- 16** C is correct. The weighted average number of shares outstanding for 2009 is 1,050,000. Basic earnings per share would be \$1,000,000 divided by 1,050,000, or \$0.95.
- 17** B is correct. The formula to calculate diluted EPS is as follows:

Diluted EPS = (Net income – Preferred dividends)/[Weighted average number of shares outstanding + (New shares that would have been issued at option exercise – Shares that could have been purchased with cash received upon exercise) × (Proportion of year during which the financial instruments were outstanding)].

The underlying assumption is that outstanding options are exercised, and then the proceeds from the issuance of new shares are used to repurchase shares already outstanding:

$$\text{Proceeds from option exercise} = 100,000 \times \$20 = \$2,000,000$$

$$\text{Shares repurchased} = \$2,000,000/\$25 = 80,000$$

The net increase in shares outstanding is thus $100,000 - 80,000 = 20,000$.

Therefore, the diluted EPS for CWC = $(\$12,000,000 - \$800,000)/2,020,000 = \$5.54$.

- 18** A is correct. Basic and diluted EPS are equal for a company with a simple capital structure. A company that issues only common stock, with no financial instruments that are potentially convertible into common stock has a simple capital structure. Basic EPS is calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding.
- 19** B is correct. LB has warrants in its capital structure; if the exercise price is less than the weighted average market price during the year, the effect of their conversion is to increase the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, causing diluted EPS to be lower than basic EPS. If the exercise price is equal to the weighted average market price, the number of shares issued equals the number of shares repurchased. Therefore, the weighted average number of common shares outstanding is not affected and diluted EPS equals basic EPS. If the exercise price is greater than the weighted average market price, the effect of their conversion is anti-dilutive. As such, they are not included in the calculation of basic EPS. LB's basic EPS is \$1.22 [= $(\$3,350,000 - \$430,000)/2,400,000$]. Stock dividends are treated as having been issued retroactively to the beginning of the period.
- 20** A is correct. With stock options, the treasury stock method must be used. Under that method, the company would receive \$100,000 ($10,000 \times \10) and would repurchase 6,667 shares ($\$100,000/\15). The shares for the denominator would be:

Shares outstanding	1,000,000
Options exercises	10,000
Treasury shares purchased	(6,667)
Denominator	1,003,333

- 21** C is correct.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Diluted EPS} &= (\text{Net income}) / (\text{Weighted average number of shares outstanding} + \text{New common shares that would have been issued at conversion}) \\ &= \$200,000,000 / [50,000,000 + (2,000,000 \times 2)] \\ &= \$3.70\end{aligned}$$

The diluted EPS assumes that the preferred dividend is not paid and that the shares are converted at the beginning of the period.

- 22** A is correct. When a company has stock options outstanding, diluted EPS is calculated as if the financial instruments had been exercised and the company had used the proceeds from the exercise to repurchase as many shares possible at the weighted average market price of common stock during the period. As a result, the conversion of stock options increases the number of common shares outstanding but has no effect on net income available to common shareholders. The conversion of convertible debt increases the net income available to common shareholders by the after-tax amount of interest expense saved. The conversion of convertible preferred shares increases the net income available to common shareholders by the amount of preferred dividends paid; the numerator becomes the net income.
- 23** B is correct. Common size income statements facilitate comparison across time periods (time-series analysis) and across companies (cross-sectional analysis) by stating each line item of the income statement as a percentage of revenue. The relative performance of different companies can be more easily assessed because scaling the numbers removes the effect of size. A common size income statement states each line item on the income statement as a percentage of revenue. The standardization of each line item makes a common size income statement useful for identifying differences in companies' strategies.
- 24** C is correct. Comprehensive income includes both net income and other comprehensive income.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Other comprehensive income} &= \text{Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities} - \text{Unrealized loss on derivatives accounted for as hedges} + \text{Foreign currency translation gain on consolidation} \\ &= \$5 \text{ million} - \$3 \text{ million} + \$2 \text{ million} \\ &= \$4 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively,

$$\text{Comprehensive income} - \text{Net income} = \text{Other comprehensive income}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Comprehensive income} &= (\text{Ending shareholders equity} - \text{Beginning shareholders equity}) + \text{Dividends} \\ &= (\$493 \text{ million} - \$475 \text{ million}) + \$1 \text{ million} \\ &= \$18 \text{ million} + \$1 \text{ million} = \$19 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Net income is \$15 million so other comprehensive income is \$4 million.

- 25** A is correct. Other comprehensive income includes items that affect shareholders' equity but are not reflected in the company's income statement. In consolidating the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries, the effects of translating the subsidiaries' balance sheet assets and liabilities at current exchange rates are included as other comprehensive income.

READING

18

Understanding Balance Sheets

by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA

Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, is at AACSB International (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe the elements of the balance sheet: assets, liabilities, and equity;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe uses and limitations of the balance sheet in financial analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. describe alternative formats of balance sheet presentation;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. contrast current and non-current assets and current and non-current liabilities;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. describe the components of shareholders' equity;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. demonstrate the conversion of balance sheets to common-size balance sheets and interpret common-size balance sheets;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. calculate and interpret liquidity and solvency ratios.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION AND COMPONENTS OF THE BALANCE SHEET

- a describe the elements of the balance sheet: assets, liabilities, and equity
- b describe uses and limitations of the balance sheet in financial analysis;

The balance sheet provides information on a company's resources (assets) and its sources of capital (equity and liabilities/debt). This information helps an analyst assess a company's ability to pay for its near-term operating needs, meet future debt obligations, and make distributions to owners. The basic equation underlying the balance sheet is Assets = Liabilities + Equity.

Analysts should be aware that different types of assets and liabilities may be measured differently. For example, some items are measured at historical cost or a variation thereof and others at fair value.¹ An understanding of the measurement issues will facilitate analysis. The balance sheet measurement issues are, of course, closely linked to the revenue and expense recognition issues affecting the income statement. Throughout this reading, we describe and illustrate some of the linkages between the measurement issues affecting the balance sheet and the revenue and expense recognition issues affecting the income statement.

This reading is organized as follows: In Sections 1–3, we describe and give examples of the elements and formats of balance sheets. Sections 4–6 discuss current assets and current liabilities. Sections 7–11 focus on assets, and Section 12 focuses on liabilities. Sections 13–14 describe the components of equity and illustrates the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. Sections 15–16 introduce balance sheet analysis. A summary of the key points and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple-choice format conclude the reading.

1.1 Components and Format of the Balance Sheet

The **balance sheet** (also called the **statement of financial position** or **statement of financial condition**) discloses what an entity owns (or controls), what it owes, and what the owners' claims are at a specific point in time.²

The financial position of a company is described in terms of its basic elements (assets, liabilities, and equity):

- **Assets** (A) are what the company owns (or controls). More formally, assets are resources controlled by the company as a result of past events and from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity.
- **Liabilities** (L) are what the company owes. More formally, liabilities represent obligations of a company arising from past events, the settlement of which is expected to result in a future outflow of economic benefits *from* the entity.
- **Equity** (E) represents the owners' residual interest in the company's assets after deducting its liabilities. Commonly known as **shareholders' equity** or **owners' equity**, equity is determined by subtracting the liabilities from the assets of a company, giving rise to the accounting equation: $A - L = E$ or $A = L + E$.

¹ IFRS and US GAAP define "fair value" as an exit price, i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (IFRS 13, FASB ASC Topic 820).

² IFRS uses the term "statement of financial position" (IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements*), and US GAAP uses the terms "balance sheet" and "statement of financial position" interchangeably (ASC 210-10-05 [Balance Sheet—Overall—Overview and Background]).

The equation $A = L + E$ is sometimes summarized as follows: The left side of the equation reflects the resources controlled by the company and the right side reflects how those resources were financed. For all financial statement items, an item should only be recognized in the financial statements if it is probable that any future economic benefit associated with the item will flow to or from the entity and if the item has a cost or value that can be measured with reliability.³

The balance sheet provides important information about a company's financial condition, but the balance sheet amounts of equity (assets, net of liabilities) should not be viewed as a measure of either the market or intrinsic value of a company's equity for several reasons. First, the balance sheet under current accounting standards is a mixed model with respect to measurement. Some assets and liabilities are measured based on historical cost, sometimes with adjustments, whereas other assets and liabilities are measured based on a fair value, which represents its current value as of the balance sheet date. The measurement bases may have a significant effect on the amount reported. Second, even the items measured at current value reflect the value that was current at the end of the reporting period. The values of those items obviously can change after the balance sheet is prepared. Third, the value of a company is a function of many factors, including future cash flows expected to be generated by the company and current market conditions. Important aspects of a company's ability to generate future cash flows—for example, its reputation and management skills—are not included in its balance sheet.

1.2 Balance Sheet Components

To illustrate the components and formats of balance sheets, we show the major sub-totals from two companies' balance sheets. Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 are based on the balance sheets of SAP Group and Apple Inc. SAP Group is a leading business software company based in Germany and prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS. Apple is a technology manufacturer based in the United States and prepares its financial statements in accordance with US GAAP. For purposes of discussion, Exhibits 1 and 2 show only the main subtotals and totals of these companies' balance sheets. Additional exhibits throughout this reading will expand on these subtotals.

Exhibit 1 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Excerpt) (in millions of €)

Assets	31 December	
	2017	2016*
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Equity and liabilities		
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,675
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,957	17,880

(continued)

³ *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (2018).*

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

Assets	31 December	
	2017	2016*
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Equity and liabilities	42,497	44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

Notes: Numbers exactly from the annual report as prepared by the company, which reflects some rounding.

**Numbers are the reclassified numbers from the SAP Group 2017 annual report.*

**Exhibit 2 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Excerpt)* (in millions of \$)**

Assets	30 September 2017	24 September 2016
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
<i>[All other assets]</i>	<i>246,674</i>	<i>214,817</i>
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
<i>[Total non-current liabilities]</i>	<i>140,458</i>	<i>114,431</i>
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	375,319	321,686

Note: The italicized subtotals presented in this excerpt are not explicitly shown on the face of the financial statement as prepared by the company.

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (Form 10K).

SAP Group uses the title Statement of Financial Position and Apple uses the title Balance Sheet. Despite their different titles, both statements report the three basic elements: assets, liabilities, and equity. Both companies are reporting on a consolidated basis, i.e., including all their controlled subsidiaries. The numbers in SAP Group's balance sheet are in millions of euro, and the numbers in Apple's balance sheet are in millions of dollars.

Balance sheet information is as of a specific point in time. These exhibits are from the companies' annual financial statements, so the balance sheet information is as of the last day of their respective fiscal years. SAP Group's fiscal year is the same as the calendar year and the balance sheet information is as of 31 December. Apple's fiscal year ends on the last Saturday of September, so the actual date changes from year to year. About every six years, Apple's fiscal year will include 53 weeks rather than 52 weeks. This feature of Apple's fiscal year should be noted, but in general, the extra week is more relevant to evaluating statements spanning a period of time (the income and cash flow statements) rather than the balance sheet which captures information as of a specific point in time.

A company's ability to pay for its short term operating needs relates to the concept of **liquidity**. With respect to a company overall, liquidity refers to the availability of cash to meet those short-term needs. With respect to a particular asset or liability, liquidity refers to its "nearness to cash." A liquid asset is one that can be easily converted into cash in a short period of time at a price close to fair market value. For example, a small holding of an actively traded stock is much more liquid than an investment in an asset such as a commercial real estate property, particularly in a weak property market.

The separate presentation of current and non-current assets and liabilities facilitates analysis of a company's liquidity position (at least as of the end of the fiscal period). Both IFRS and US GAAP require that the balance sheet distinguish between current and non-current assets and between current and non-current liabilities and present these as separate classifications. An exception to this requirement, under IFRS, is that the current and non-current classifications are not required if a liquidity-based presentation provides reliable and more relevant information. Presentations distinguishing between current and non-current elements are shown in Exhibits 1 and 2. Exhibit 3 in Section 3 shows a liquidity-based presentation.

CURRENT AND NON-CURRENT CLASSIFICATION

2

- c describe alternative formats of balance sheet presentation
- d contrast current and non-current assets and current and non-current liabilities

Assets that are held primarily for the purpose of trading or that are expected to be sold, used up, or otherwise realized in cash within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, after the reporting period are classified as **current assets**. A company's operating cycle is the average amount of time that elapses between acquiring inventory and collecting the cash from sales to customers. (When the entity's normal operating cycle is not clearly identifiable, its duration is assumed to be one year.) For a manufacturer, the operating cycle is the average amount of time between acquiring raw materials and converting these into cash from a sale. Examples of companies that might be expected to have operating cycles longer than one year include those operating in the tobacco, distillery, and lumber industries. Even though these types of companies often hold inventories longer than one year, the inventory is classified as a current asset because it is expected to be sold within an operating cycle. Assets not expected to be sold or used up within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, are classified as **non-current assets** (long-term, long-lived assets).

Current assets are generally maintained for operating purposes, and these assets include—in addition to cash—items expected to be converted into cash (e.g., trade receivables), used up (e.g., office supplies, prepaid expenses), or sold (e.g., inventories) in the current operating cycle. Current assets provide information about the operating activities and the operating capability of the entity. For example, the item "trade receivables" or "accounts receivable" would indicate that a company provides credit to its customers. Non-current assets represent the infrastructure from which the entity operates and are not consumed or sold in the current period. Investments in such assets are made from a strategic and longer term perspective.

Similarly, liabilities expected to be settled within one year or within one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, after the reporting period are classified as **current liabilities**. The specific criteria for classification of a liability as current include the following:

- It is expected to be settled in the entity's normal operating cycle;

- It is held primarily for the purpose of being traded;⁴
- It is due to be settled within one year after the balance sheet date; or
- The entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least one year after the balance sheet date.⁵

IFRS specify that some current liabilities, such as trade payables and some accruals for employee and other operating costs, are part of the working capital used in the entity's normal operating cycle. Such operating items are classified as current liabilities even if they will be settled more than one year after the balance sheet date. All other liabilities are classified as **non-current liabilities**. Non-current liabilities include financial liabilities that provide financing on a long-term basis.

The excess of current assets over current liabilities is called **working capital**. The level of working capital provides analysts with information about the ability of an entity to meet liabilities as they fall due. Although adequate working capital is essential, excessive working capital should be so that funds that could be used more productively elsewhere are not inappropriately tied up.

A balance sheet with separately classified current and non-current assets and liabilities is referred to as a **classified balance sheet**. Classification also refers generally to the grouping of accounts into subcategories. Both companies' balance sheets that are summarized in Exhibits 1 and 2 are classified balance sheets. Although both companies' balance sheets present current assets before non-current assets and current liabilities before non-current liabilities, this is not required. IFRS does not specify the order or format in which a company presents items on a current/non-current classified balance sheet.

3

LIQUIDITY-BASED PRESENTATION

- c describe alternative formats of balance sheet presentation

A liquidity-based presentation, rather than a current/non-current presentation, is used when such a presentation provides information that is reliable and more relevant. With a liquidity-based presentation, all assets and liabilities are presented broadly in order of liquidity.

Entities such as banks are candidates to use a liquidity-based presentation. Exhibit 3 presents the assets portion of the balance sheet of HSBC Holdings plc (HSBC), a global financial services company that reports using IFRS. HSBC's balance sheet is ordered using a liquidity-based presentation. As shown, the asset section begins with cash and balances at central banks. Less liquid items such as "Interest in associates and joint ventures" appear near the bottom of the asset listing.

⁴ Examples of these are financial liabilities classified as held for trading in accordance with IAS 39, which is replaced by IFRS 9 effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018.

⁵ IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, paragraph 69.

**Exhibit 3 HSBC Holdings plc Consolidated Statement of Financial Position
(Excerpt: Assets Only) as of 31 December (in millions of US \$)**

Consolidated balance sheet - USD (\$) \$ in Millions	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016
Assets		
Cash and balances at central banks	\$180,624	\$128,009
Items in the course of collection from other banks	6,628	5,003
Hong Kong Government certificates of indebtedness	34,186	31,228
Trading assets	287,995	235,125
Financial assets designated at fair value	29,464	24,756
Derivatives	219,818	290,872
Loans and advances to banks	90,393	88,126
Loans and advances to customers	962,964	861,504
Reverse repurchase agreements – non-trading	201,553	160,974
Financial investments	389,076	436,797
Prepayments, accrued income and other assets	67,191	63,909
Current tax assets	1,006	1,145
Interests in associates and joint ventures	22,744	20,029
Goodwill and intangible assets	23,453	21,346
Deferred tax assets	4,676	6,163
Total assets	2,521,771	2,374,986

Source: HSBC Holdings plc 2017 Annual Report and Accounts.

CURRENT ASSETS: CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, MARKETABLE SECURITIES AND TRADE RECEIVABLES

4

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

This section examines current assets and current liabilities in greater detail.

4.1 Current Assets

Accounting standards require that certain specific line items, if they are material, must be shown on a balance sheet. Among the current assets' required line items are cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, inventories, and financial assets (with short maturities). Companies present other line items as needed, consistent with the requirements to separately present each material class of similar items. As examples, Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 present balance sheet excerpts for SAP Group and Apple Inc. showing the line items for the companies' current assets.

**Exhibit 4 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
(Excerpt: Current Assets Detail) (in millions of €)**

Assets	As of 31 December	
	2017	2016
Cash and cash equivalents	€4,011	€3,702
Other financial assets	990	1,124
Trade and other receivables	5,899	5,924
Other non-financial assets	725	581
Tax assets	306	233
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,674
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,958	17,880
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Total equity and liabilities	€42,497	€44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

Exhibit 5 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (Excerpt: Current Assets Detail) * (in millions of \$)

Assets	30	24
	September, 2017	September, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$20,289	\$20,484
Short-term marketable securities	53,892	46,671
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$58 and \$53, respectively	17,874	15,754
Inventories	4,855	2,132
Vendor non-trade receivables	17,799	13,545
Other current assets	13,936	8,283
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
<i>[All other assets]</i>	246,674	214,817
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
<i>[Total non-current liabilities]</i>	140,458	114,431
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

Assets	30 September, 2017	24 September, 2016
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$375,319	\$321,686

*Note: The italicized subtotals presented in this excerpt are not explicitly shown on the face of the financial statement as prepared by the company.

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (Form 10K).

4.1.1 *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

Cash equivalents are highly liquid, short-term investments that are so close to maturity,⁶ the risk is minimal that their value will change significantly with changes in interest rates. Cash and cash equivalents are financial assets. Financial assets, in general, are measured and reported at either **amortised cost** or **fair value**. Amortised cost is the historical cost (initially recognised cost) of the asset adjusted for amortisation and impairment. Under IFRS and US GAAP, fair value is based on an exit price, the price received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between two market participants at the measurement date.

For cash and cash equivalents, amortised cost and fair value are likely to be immaterially different. Examples of cash equivalents are demand deposits with banks and highly liquid investments (such as US Treasury bills, commercial paper, and money market funds) with original maturities of three months or less. Cash and cash equivalents excludes amounts that are restricted in use for at least 12 months. For all companies, the Statement of Cash Flows presents information about the changes in cash over a period. For the fiscal year 2017, SAP Group's cash and cash equivalents increased from €3,702 million to €4,011 million, and Apple's cash and cash equivalents decreased from \$20,484 million to \$20,289 million.

4.1.2 *Marketable Securities*

Marketable securities are also financial assets and include investments in debt or equity securities that are traded in a public market, and whose value can be determined from price information in a public market. Examples of marketable securities include treasury bills, notes, bonds, and equity securities, such as common stocks and mutual fund shares. Companies disclose further detail in the notes to their financial statements about their holdings. For example, SAP Group discloses that its other financial assets consist of items such as time deposits, other receivables, and loans to employees and third parties. These do not fall into marketable securities and thus are more properly treated under trade receivables. Apple's short-term marketable securities, totaling \$53.9 billion and \$46.7 billion at the end of fiscal 2017 and 2016, respectively, include holdings of US treasuries, corporate securities, commercial paper, and time deposits. Financial assets such as investments in debt and equity securities involve a variety of measurement issues and will be addressed in Section 10.

⁶ Generally, three months or less.

4.1.3 *Trade Receivables*

Trade receivables, also referred to as accounts receivable, are another type of financial asset. These are amounts owed to a company by its customers for products and services already delivered. They are typically reported at net realizable value, an approximation of fair value, based on estimates of collectability. Several aspects of accounts receivable are usually relevant to an analyst. First, the overall level of accounts receivable relative to sales (a topic to be addressed further in ratio analysis) is important because a significant increase in accounts receivable relative to sales could signal that the company is having problems collecting cash from its customers.

A second relevant aspect of accounts receivable is the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects the company's estimate of the amount of receivables that will ultimately be uncollectible. Additions to the allowance in a particular period are reflected as bad debt expenses, and the balance of the allowance for doubtful accounts reduces the gross receivables amount to a net amount that is an estimate of net realizable value. When specific receivables are deemed to be uncollectible, they are written off by reducing accounts receivable and the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is called a **contra account** because it is netted against (i.e., reduces) the balance of accounts receivable, which is an asset account. SAP Group's balance sheet, for example, reports current net trade and other receivables of €5,899 million as of 31 December 2017. The amount of the allowance for doubtful accounts (€74 million) is disclosed in the notes⁷ to the financial statements. Apple discloses the allowance for doubtful accounts on the face of the balance sheet; as of 30 September 2017, the allowance was \$58 million. The \$17,874 million of accounts receivable on that date is net of the allowance. Apple's disclosures state that the allowance is based on "historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of the Company's customers, current economic conditions, and other factors that may affect customers' abilities to pay." The age of an accounts receivable balance refers to the length of time the receivable has been outstanding, including how many days past the due date.

Another relevant aspect of accounts receivable is the concentration of credit risk. For example, SAP Group's annual report discloses that concentration of credit risk is limited because they have a large customer base diversified across various industries, company sizes, and countries. Similarly, Apple's annual report notes that no single customer accounted for 10 percent or more of its revenues. However, Apple's disclosures for 2017 indicate that two customers individually represented 10% or more of its total trade receivables and its cellular network carriers accounted for 59% of trade receivables. Of its vendor non-trade receivables, three vendors represent 42%, 19%, and 10% of the total.⁸

EXAMPLE 1

Analysis of Accounts Receivable

- Based on the balance sheet excerpt for Apple Inc. in Exhibit 5, what percentage of its total accounts receivable in 2017 and 2016 does Apple estimate will be uncollectible?

⁷ Note 13 SAP Group 2017 Annual report

⁸ Page 53, Apple Inc 2017 10-K

- 2** In general, how does the amount of allowance for doubtful accounts relate to bad debt expense?
- 3** In general, what are some factors that could cause a company's allowance for doubtful accounts to decrease?

Solution to 1:

(\$ millions) The percentage of 2017 accounts receivable estimated to be uncollectible is 0.32 percent, calculated as $\$58/(\$17,874 + \$58)$. Note that the \$17,874 is net of the \$58 allowance, so the gross amount of accounts receivable is determined by adding the allowance to the net amount. The percentage of 2016 accounts receivable estimated to be uncollectible is 0.34 percent [$\$53/(\$15,754 + \$53)$].

Solution to 2:

Bad debt expense is an expense of the period, based on a company's estimate of the percentage of credit sales in the period, for which cash will ultimately not be collected. The allowance for bad debts is a contra asset account, which is netted against the asset accounts receivable.

To record the estimated bad debts, a company recognizes a bad debt expense (which affects net income) and increases the balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts by the same amount. To record the write off of a particular account receivable, a company reduces the balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts and reduces the balance in accounts receivable by the same amount.

Solution to 3:

In general, a decrease in a company's allowance for doubtful accounts in absolute terms could be caused by a decrease in the amount of credit sales.

Some factors that could cause a company's allowance for doubtful accounts to decrease as a percentage of accounts receivable include the following:

- Improvements in the credit quality of the company's existing customers (whether driven by a customer-specific improvement or by an improvement in the overall economy);
- Stricter credit policies (for example, refusing to allow less creditworthy customers to make credit purchases and instead requiring them to pay cash, to provide collateral, or to provide some additional form of financial backing); and/or
- Stricter risk management policies (for example, buying more insurance against potential defaults).

In addition to the business factors noted above, because the allowance is based on management's estimates of collectability, management can potentially bias these estimates to manipulate reported earnings. For example, a management team aiming to increase reported income could intentionally over-estimate collectability and under-estimate the bad debt expense for a period. Conversely, in a period of good earnings, management could under-estimate collectability and over-estimate the bad debt expense with the intent of reversing the bias in a period of poorer earnings.

5

CURRENT ASSETS: INVENTORIES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

Inventories are physical products that will eventually be sold to the company's customers, either in their current form (finished goods) or as inputs into a process to manufacture a final product (raw materials and work-in-process). Like any manufacturer, Apple holds inventories. The 2017 balance sheet of Apple Inc. shows \$4,855 million of inventories. SAP Group's balance sheet does not include a line item for inventory, consistent with the fact that SAP Group is primarily a software and services provider.

Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value (NRV) under IFRS. The cost of inventories comprises all costs of purchase, costs of conversion, and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. NRV is the estimated selling price less the estimated costs of completion and costs necessary to complete the sale. NRV is applicable for all inventories under IFRS. Under US GAAP, inventories are also measured at the lower of cost and NRV unless they are measured using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) or retail inventory methods. When using LIFO or the retail inventory methods, inventories are measured at the lower of cost or market value. US GAAP defines market value as current replacement cost but with upper and lower limits; the recorded value cannot exceed NRV and cannot be lower than NRV less a normal profit margin.

If the net realizable value or market value (under US GAAP, in certain cases) of a company's inventory falls below its carrying amount, the company must write down the value of the inventory. The loss in value is reflected in the income statement. For example, within its Management's Discussion and Analysis and notes, Apple indicates that the company reviews its inventory each quarter and records write-downs of inventory that has become obsolete, exceeds anticipated demand, or is carried at a value higher than its market value. Under IFRS, if inventory that was written down in a previous period subsequently increases in value, the amount of the original write-down is reversed. Subsequent reversal of an inventory write-down is not permitted under US GAAP.

When inventory is sold, the cost of that inventory is reported as an expense, "cost of goods sold." Accounting standards allow different valuation methods for determining the amounts that are included in cost of goods sold on the income statement and thus the amounts that are reported in inventory on the balance sheet. (Inventory valuation methods are referred to as cost formulas and cost flow assumptions under IFRS and US GAAP, respectively.) IFRS allows only the first-in, first-out (FIFO), weighted average cost, and specific identification methods. Some accounting standards (such as US GAAP) also allow last-in, first-out (LIFO) as an additional inventory valuation method. The LIFO method is not allowed under IFRS.

5.1 Other Current Assets

The amounts shown in "other current assets" reflect items that are individually not material enough to require a separate line item on the balance sheet and so are aggregated into a single amount. Companies usually disclose the components of other assets in a note to the financial statements. A typical item included in other current assets is prepaid expenses. **Prepaid expenses** are normal operating expenses that have been paid in advance. Because expenses are recognized in the period in which they are incurred—and not necessarily the period in which the payment is made—the advance payment of a future expense creates an asset. The asset (prepaid expenses)

Current liabilities

will be recognized as an expense in future periods as it is used up. For example, consider prepaid insurance. Assume a company pays its insurance premium for coverage over the next calendar year on 31 December of the current year. At the time of the payment, the company recognizes an asset (prepaid insurance expense). The expense is not incurred at that date; the expense is incurred as time passes (in this example, one-twelfth, 1/12, in each following month). Therefore, the expense is recognized and the value of the asset is reduced in the financial statements over the course of the year.

SAP's notes to the financial statements disclose components of the amount shown as other non-financial assets on the balance sheet. The largest portion pertains to prepaid expenses, primarily prepayments for operating leases, support services, and software royalties. Apple's notes do not disclose components of other current assets.

CURRENT LIABILITIES**6**

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

Current liabilities are those liabilities that are expected to be settled in the entity's normal operating cycle, held primarily for trading, or due to be settled within 12 months after the balance sheet date. Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7 present balance sheet excerpts for SAP Group and Apple Inc. showing the line items for the companies' current liabilities. Some of the common types of current liabilities, including trade payables, financial liabilities, accrued expenses, and deferred income, are discussed below.

**Exhibit 6 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
(Excerpt: Current Liabilities Detail) (in millions of €)**

	As of 31 December	
	2017	2016
Assets		
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Equity and liabilities		
Trade and other payables	1,151	1,281
Tax liabilities	597	316
Financial liabilities	1,561	1,813
Other non-financial liabilities	3,946	3,699
Provisions	184	183
Deferred income	2,771	2,383
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,674
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,958	17,880
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Total equity and liabilities	€42,497	€44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

Exhibit 7 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (Excerpt: Current Liabilities Detail)* (in millions of \$)

Assets	30	24
	September 2017	September 2016
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
<i>[All other assets]</i>	<i>246,674</i>	<i>214,817</i>
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Accounts payable	49,049	37,294
Accrued expenses	25,744	22,027
Deferred revenue	7,548	8,080
Commercial paper	11,977	8,105
Current portion of long-term debt	6,496	3,500
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
<i>[Total non-current liabilities]</i>	<i>140,458</i>	<i>114,431</i>
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	375,319	321,686

*Note: The italicized subtotals presented in this excerpt are not explicitly shown on the face of the financial statement as prepared by the company.

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (Form 10K).

Trade payables, also called **accounts payable**, are amounts that a company owes its vendors for purchases of goods and services. In other words, these represent the unpaid amount as of the balance sheet date of the company's purchases on credit. An issue relevant to analysts is the trend in overall levels of trade payables relative to purchases (a topic to be addressed further in ratio analysis). Significant changes in accounts payable relative to purchases could signal potential changes in the company's credit relationships with its suppliers. The general term "trade credit" refers to credit provided to a company by its vendors. Trade credit is a source of financing that allows the company to make purchases and then pay for those purchases at a later date.

Financial liabilities that are due within one year or the operating cycle, whichever is longer, appear in the current liability section of the balance sheet. Financial liabilities include borrowings such as bank loans, notes payable (which refers to financial liabilities owed by a company to creditors, including trade creditors and banks, through a formal loan agreement), and commercial paper. In addition, any portions of long-term liabilities that are due within one year (i.e., the current portion of long-term liabilities) are also shown in the current liability section of the balance sheet. According to its footnote disclosures, most of SAP's €1,561 million of current financial liabilities is for bonds payable due in the next year. Apple shows \$11,977 million of commercial paper borrowing (short-term promissory notes issued by companies) and \$6,496 million of long-term debt due within the next year.

Accrued expenses (also called accrued expenses payable, accrued liabilities, and other non-financial liabilities) are expenses that have been recognized on a company's income statement but not yet been paid as of the balance sheet date. For example, SAP's 2017 balance sheet shows €597 million of tax liabilities. In addition to income taxes payable, other common examples of accrued expenses are accrued interest

payable, accrued warranty costs, and accrued employee compensation (i.e., wages payable). SAP's notes disclose that the €3,946 million line item of other non-financial liabilities in 2017, for example, includes €2,565 million of employee-related liabilities.

Deferred income (also called **deferred revenue** or **unearned revenue**) arises when a company receives payment in advance of delivery of the goods and services associated with the payment. The company has an obligation either to provide the goods or services or to return the cash received. Examples include lease payments received at the beginning of a lease, fees for servicing office equipment received at the beginning of the service period, and payments for magazine subscriptions received at the beginning of the subscription period. SAP's balance sheet shows deferred income of €2,771 million at the end of 2017, up slightly from €2,383 million at the end of 2016. Apple's balance sheet shows deferred revenue of \$7,548 million at the end of fiscal 2017, down slightly from \$8,080 million at the end of fiscal 2016. Example 3 presents each company's disclosures about deferred revenue and discusses some of the implications.

EXAMPLE 2

Analysis of Deferred Revenue

In the notes to its 2017 financial statements, SAP describes its deferred income as follows:

Deferred income consists mainly of prepayments made by our customers for cloud subscriptions and support; software support and services; fees from multiple-element arrangements allocated to undelivered elements; and amounts ... for obligations to perform under acquired customer contracts in connection with acquisitions.

Apple's deferred revenue also arises from sales involving multiple elements, some delivered at the time of sale and others to be delivered in the future. In addition, Apple recognizes deferred revenue in connection with sales of gift cards as well as service contracts. In the notes to its 2017 financial statements, Apple describes its deferred revenue as follows:

The Company records deferred revenue when it receives payments in advance of the delivery of products or the performance of services. This includes amounts that have been deferred for unspecified and specified software upgrade rights and non-software services that are attached to hardware and software products. The Company sells gift cards redeemable at its retail and online stores ... The Company records deferred revenue upon the sale of the card, which is relieved upon redemption of the card by the customer. Revenue from AppleCare service and support contracts is deferred and recognized over the service coverage periods. AppleCare service and support contracts typically include extended phone support, repair services, web-based support resources and diagnostic tools offered under the Company's standard limited warranty.

- 1 In general, in the period a transaction occurs, how would a company's balance sheet reflect \$100 of deferred revenue resulting from a sale? (Assume, for simplicity, that the company receives cash for all sales, the company's income tax payable is 30 percent based on cash receipts, and the company pays cash for all relevant income tax obligations as they arise. Ignore any associated deferred costs.)

- 2 In general, how does deferred revenue impact a company's financial statements in the periods following its initial recognition?
- 3 Interpret the amounts shown by SAP as deferred income and by Apple as deferred revenue.
- 4 Both accounts payable and deferred revenue are classified as current liabilities. Discuss the following statements:
 - A When assessing a company's liquidity, the implication of amounts in accounts payable differs from the implication of amounts in deferred revenue.
 - B Some investors monitor amounts in deferred revenue as an indicator of future revenue growth.

Solution to 1:

In the period that deferred revenue arises, the company would record a \$100 increase in the asset Cash and a \$100 increase in the liability Deferred Revenues. In addition, because the company's income tax payable is based on cash receipts and is paid in the current period, the company would record a \$30 decrease in the asset Cash and a \$30 increase in the asset Deferred Tax Assets. Deferred tax assets increase because the company has paid taxes on revenue it has not yet recognized for accounting purposes. In effect, the company has prepaid taxes from an accounting perspective.

Solution to 2:

In subsequent periods, the company will recognize the deferred revenue as it is earned. When the revenue is recognized, the liability Deferred Revenue will decrease. In addition, the tax expense is recognized on the income statement as the revenue is recognized and thus the associated amounts of Deferred Tax Assets will decrease.

Solution to 3:

The deferred income on SAP's balance sheet and deferred revenue on Apple's balance sheet at the end of their respective 2017 fiscal years will be recognized as revenue, sales, or a similar item in income statements subsequent to the 2017 fiscal year, as the goods or services are provided or the obligation is reduced. The costs of delivering the goods or services will also be recognised.

Solution to 4A:

The amount of accounts payable represents a future obligation to pay cash to suppliers. In contrast, the amount of deferred revenue represents payments that the company has already received from its customers, and the future obligation is to deliver the related services. With respect to liquidity, settling accounts payable will require cash outflows whereas settling deferred revenue obligations will not.

Solution to 4B:

Some investors monitor amounts in deferred revenue as an indicator of future growth because the amounts in deferred revenue will be recognized as revenue in the future. Thus, growth in the amount of deferred revenue implies future growth of that component of a company's revenue.

NON-CURRENT ASSETS: PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT AND INVESTMENT PROPERTY

7

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

This section provides an overview of assets other than current assets, sometimes collectively referred to as non-current, long-term, or long-lived assets. The categories discussed are property, plant, and equipment; investment property; intangible assets; goodwill; financial assets; and deferred tax assets. Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9 present balance sheet excerpts for SAP Group and Apple Inc. showing the line items for the companies' non-current assets.

Exhibit 8 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Excerpt: Non-Current Assets Detail) (in millions of €)

Assets	As of 31 December	
	2017	2016
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Goodwill	21,274	23,311
Intangible assets	2,967	3,786
Property, plant and equipment	2,967	2,580
Other financial assets	1,155	1,358
Trade and other receivables	118	126
Other non-financial assets	621	532
Tax assets	443	450
Deferred tax assets	1,022	571
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,674
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,958	17,880
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Total equity and liabilities	€42,497	€44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

Exhibit 9 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (Excerpt: Non-Current Assets Detail)* (in millions of \$)

Assets	30	24
	September 2017	September 2016
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
Long-term marketable securities	194,714	170,430
Property, plant and equipment, net	33,783	27,010

(continued)

Exhibit 9 (Continued)

Assets	30 September 2017	24 September 2016
Goodwill	5,717	5,414
Acquired intangible assets, net	2,298	3,206
Other non-current assets	10,162	8,757
<i>[All other assets]</i>	<i>246,674</i>	<i>214,817</i>
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
<i>[Total non-current liabilities]</i>	<i>140,458</i>	<i>114,431</i>
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	375,319	321,686

*Note: The italicized subtotals presented in this excerpt are not explicitly shown on the face of the financial statement as prepared by the company.

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (Form 10K).

7.1 Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment (PPE) are tangible assets that are used in company operations and expected to be used (provide economic benefits) over more than one fiscal period. Examples of tangible assets treated as property, plant, and equipment, include land, buildings, equipment, machinery, furniture, and natural resources such as mineral and petroleum resources. IFRS permits companies to report PPE using either a cost model or a revaluation model.⁹ While IFRS permits companies to use the cost model for some classes of assets and the revaluation model for others, the company must apply the same model to all assets within a particular class of assets. US GAAP permits only the cost model for reporting PPE.

Under the cost model, PPE is carried at amortised cost (historical cost less any accumulated depreciation or accumulated depletion, and less any impairment losses). Historical cost generally consists of an asset's purchase price, plus its delivery cost, and any other additional costs incurred to make the asset operable (such as costs to install a machine). Depreciation and depletion refer to the process of allocating (recognizing as an expense) the cost of a long-lived asset over its useful life. Land is not depreciated. Because PPE is presented on the balance sheet net of depreciation and depreciation expense is recognised in the income statement, the choice of depreciation method and the related estimates of useful life and salvage value impact both a company's balance sheet and income statement.

Whereas depreciation is the systematic allocation of cost over an asset's useful life, impairment losses reflect an unanticipated decline in value. Impairment occurs when the asset's recoverable amount is less than its carrying amount, with terms defined as follows under IFRS:¹⁰

- Recoverable amount: The higher of an asset's fair value less cost to sell, and its value in use.

⁹ IAS 16, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, paragraphs 29-31.

¹⁰ IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets*, paragraph 6. US GAAP uses a different approach to impairment.

- Fair value less cost to sell: The amount obtainable in a sale of the asset in an arms-length transaction between knowledgeable willing parties, less the costs of the sale.
- Value in use: The present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived from the asset.

When an asset is considered impaired, the company recognizes the impairment loss in the income statement in the period the impairment is identified. Reversals of impairment losses are permitted under IFRS but not under US GAAP.

Under the revaluation model, the reported and carrying value for PPE is the fair value at the date of revaluation less any subsequent accumulated depreciation. Changes in the value of PPE under the revaluation model affect equity directly or profit and loss depending upon the circumstances.

In Exhibits 8 and 9, SAP reports €2,967 million of PPE and Apple reports \$33,783 million of PPE at the end of fiscal year 2017. For SAP, PPE represents approximately 7 percent of total assets and for Apple, PPE represents approximately 9 percent of total assets. Both companies disclose in the notes that PPE are generally depreciated over their expected useful lives using the straight-line method.

7.2 Investment Property

Some property is not used in the production of goods or services or for administrative purposes. Instead, it is used to earn rental income or capital appreciation (or both). Under IFRS, such property is considered to be **investment property**.¹¹ US GAAP does not include a specific definition for investment property. IFRS provides companies with the choice to report investment property using either a cost model or a fair value model. In general, a company must apply its chosen model (cost or fair value) to all of its investment property. The cost model for investment property is identical to the cost model for PPE: In other words, investment property is carried at cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. Under the fair value model, investment property is carried at its fair value. When a company uses the fair value model to measure the value of its investment property, any gain or loss arising from a change in the fair value of the investment property is recognized in profit and loss, i.e., on the income statement, in the period in which it arises.¹²

Neither SAP Group nor Apple disclose ownership of investment property. The types of companies that typically hold investment property are real estate investment companies or property management companies. Entities such as life insurance companies and endowment funds may also hold investment properties as part of their investment portfolio.

NON-CURRENT ASSETS: INTANGIBLE ASSETS

8

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

¹¹ IAS 40, *Investment Property*.

¹² IAS 40, *Investment Property*, paragraph 35.

Intangible assets are identifiable non-monetary assets without physical substance.¹³ An identifiable asset can be acquired singly (can be separated from the entity) or is the result of specific contractual or legal rights or privileges. Examples include patents, licenses, and trademarks. The most common asset that is not a separately identifiable asset is accounting goodwill, which arises in business combinations and is discussed further in Section 9.

IFRS allows companies to report intangible assets using either a cost model or a revaluation model. The revaluation model can only be selected when there is an active market for an intangible asset. These measurement models are essentially the same as described for PPE. US GAAP permits only the cost model.

For each intangible asset, a company assesses whether the useful life of the asset is finite or indefinite. Amortisation and impairment principles apply as follows:

- An intangible asset with a finite useful life is amortised on a systematic basis over the best estimate of its useful life, with the amortisation method and useful life estimate reviewed at least annually.
- Impairment principles for an intangible asset with a finite useful life are the same as for PPE.
- An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is not amortised. Instead, at least annually, the reasonableness of assuming an indefinite useful life for the asset is reviewed and the asset is tested for impairment.

Financial analysts have traditionally viewed the values assigned to intangible assets, particularly goodwill, with caution. Consequently, in assessing financial statements, analysts often exclude the book value assigned to intangibles, reducing net equity by an equal amount and increasing pretax income by any amortisation expense or impairment associated with the intangibles. An arbitrary assignment of zero value to intangibles is not advisable; instead, an analyst should examine each listed intangible and assess whether an adjustment should be made. Note disclosures about intangible assets may provide useful information to the analyst. These disclosures include information about useful lives, amortisation rates and methods, and impairment losses recognised or reversed.

Further, a company may have developed intangible assets internally that can only be recognised in certain circumstances. Companies may also have assets that are never recorded on a balance sheet because they have no physical substance and are non-identifiable. These assets might include management skill, name recognition, a good reputation, and so forth. Such assets are valuable and are, in theory, reflected in the price at which the company's equity securities trade in the market (and the price at which the entirety of the company's equity would be sold in an acquisition transaction). Such assets may be recognised as goodwill if a company is acquired, but are not recognised until an acquisition occurs.

8.1 Identifiable Intangibles

Under IFRS, identifiable intangible assets are recognised on the balance sheet if it is probable that future economic benefits will flow to the company and the cost of the asset can be measured reliably. Examples of identifiable intangible assets include patents, trademarks, copyrights, franchises, licenses, and other rights. Identifiable intangible assets may have been created internally or purchased by a company. Determining the cost of internally created intangible assets can be difficult and subjective. For these reasons, under IFRS and US GAAP, the general requirement is that internally created identifiable intangibles are expensed rather than reported on the balance sheet.

¹³ IAS 38, *Intangible Assets*, paragraph 8.

IFRS provides that for internally created intangible assets, the company must separately identify the research phase and the development phase.¹⁴ The research phase includes activities that seek new knowledge or products. The development phase occurs after the research phase and includes design or testing of prototypes and models. IFRS require that costs to internally generate intangible assets during the research phase must be expensed on the income statement. Costs incurred in the development stage can be capitalized as intangible assets if certain criteria are met, including technological feasibility, the ability to use or sell the resulting asset, and the ability to complete the project.

US GAAP prohibits the capitalization as an asset of most costs of internally developed intangibles and research and development. All such costs usually must be expensed. Costs related to the following categories are typically expensed under IFRS and US GAAP. They include:

- internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists, etc.;
- start-up costs;
- training costs;
- administrative and other general overhead costs;
- advertising and promotion;
- relocation and reorganization expenses; and
- redundancy and other termination costs.

Generally, acquired intangible assets are reported as separately identifiable intangibles (as opposed to goodwill) if they arise from contractual rights (such as a licensing agreement), other legal rights (such as patents), or have the ability to be separated and sold (such as a customer list).

EXAMPLE 3

Measuring Intangible Assets

Alpha Inc., a motor vehicle manufacturer, has a research division that worked on the following projects during the year:

- Project 1 Research aimed at finding a steering mechanism that does not operate like a conventional steering wheel but reacts to the impulses from a driver's fingers.
- Project 2 The design of a prototype welding apparatus that is controlled electronically rather than mechanically. The apparatus has been determined to be technologically feasible, salable, and feasible to produce.

The following is a summary of the expenses of the research division (in thousands of €):

	General	Project 1	Project 2
Material and services	128	935	620
Labor			
• Direct labor	—	630	320

(continued)

¹⁴ IAS 38, *Intangible Assets*, paragraphs 51–67.

	General	Project 1	Project 2
• Administrative personnel	720	—	—
Design, construction, and testing	270	450	470

Five percent of administrative personnel costs can be attributed to each of Projects 1 and 2. Explain the accounting treatment of Alpha's costs for Projects 1 and 2 under IFRS and US GAAP.

Solution:

Under IFRS, the capitalization of development costs for Projects 1 and 2 would be as follows:

	Amount Capitalized as an Asset (€'000)
Project 1: Classified as in the research stage, so all costs are recognized as expenses	NIL
Project 2: Classified as in the development stage, so costs may be capitalized. Note that administrative costs are not capitalized.	(620 + 320 + 470) = 1,410

Under US GAAP, the costs of Projects 1 and 2 are expensed.

As presented in Exhibits 8 and 9, SAP's 2017 balance sheet shows €2,967 million of intangible assets, and Apple's 2017 balance sheet shows acquired intangible assets, net of \$2,298 million. SAP's notes disclose the types of intangible assets (software and database licenses, purchased software to be incorporated into its products, customer contracts, and acquired trademark licenses) and notes that all of its purchased intangible assets other than goodwill have finite useful lives and are amortised either based on expected consumption of economic benefits or on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives which range from two to 20 years. Apple's notes disclose that its acquired intangible assets consist primarily of patents and licenses, and almost the entire amount represents definite-lived and amortisable assets for which the remaining weighted-average amortisation period is 3.4 years as of 2017.

9

NON-CURRENT ASSETS: GOODWILL

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

When one company acquires another, the purchase price is allocated to all the identifiable assets (tangible and intangible) and liabilities acquired, based on fair value. If the purchase price is greater than the acquirer's interest in the fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities acquired, the excess amount is recognized as an asset, described as **goodwill**. To understand why an acquirer would pay more to purchase a company than the fair value of the target company's identifiable assets net of liabilities, consider the following three observations. First, as noted, certain items not recognized

in a company's own financial statements (e.g., its reputation, established distribution system, trained employees) have value. Second, a target company's expenditures in research and development may not have resulted in a separately identifiable asset that meets the criteria for recognition but nonetheless may have created some value. Third, part of the value of an acquisition may arise from strategic positioning versus a competitor or from perceived synergies. The purchase price might not pertain solely to the separately identifiable assets and liabilities acquired and thus may exceed the value of those net assets due to the acquisition's role in protecting the value of all of the acquirer's existing assets or to cost savings and benefits from combining the companies.

The subject of recognizing goodwill in financial statements has found both proponents and opponents among professionals. The proponents of goodwill recognition assert that goodwill is the present value of excess returns that a company is expected to earn. This group claims that determining the present value of these excess returns is analogous to determining the present value of future cash flows associated with other assets and projects. Opponents of goodwill recognition claim that the prices paid for acquisitions often turn out to be based on unrealistic expectations, thereby leading to future write-offs of goodwill.

Analysts should distinguish between accounting goodwill and economic goodwill. Economic goodwill is based on the economic performance of the entity, whereas accounting goodwill is based on accounting standards and is reported only in the case of acquisitions. Economic goodwill is important to analysts and investors, and it is not necessarily reflected on the balance sheet. Instead, economic goodwill is reflected in the stock price (at least in theory). Some financial statement users believe that goodwill should not be listed on the balance sheet, because it cannot be sold separately from the entity. These financial statement users believe that only assets that can be separately identified and sold should be reflected on the balance sheet. Other financial statement users analyze goodwill and any subsequent impairment charges to assess management's performance on prior acquisitions.

Under both IFRS and US GAAP, accounting goodwill arising from acquisitions is capitalized. Goodwill is not amortised but is tested for impairment annually. If goodwill is deemed to be impaired, an impairment loss is charged against income in the current period. An impairment loss reduces current earnings. An impairment loss also reduces total assets, so some performance measures, such as return on assets (net income divided by average total assets), may actually increase in future periods. An impairment loss is a non-cash item.

Accounting standards' requirements for recognizing goodwill can be summarized by the following steps:

- A** The total cost to purchase the target company (the acquiree) is determined.
- B** The acquiree's identifiable assets are measured at fair value. The acquiree's liabilities and contingent liabilities are measured at fair value. The difference between the fair value of identifiable assets and the fair value of the liabilities and contingent liabilities equals the net identifiable assets acquired.
- C** Goodwill arising from the purchase is the excess of a) the cost to purchase the target company over b) the net identifiable assets acquired. Occasionally, a transaction will involve the purchase of net identifiable assets with a value greater than the cost to purchase. Such a transaction is called a "bargain purchase." Any gain from a bargain purchase is recognized in profit and loss in the period in which it arises.¹⁵

¹⁵ IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* and FASB ASC 805 [Business Combinations].

Companies are also required to disclose information that enables users to evaluate the nature and financial effect of business combinations. The required disclosures include, for example, the acquisition date fair value of the total cost to purchase the target company, the acquisition date amount recognized for each major class of assets and liabilities, and a qualitative description of the factors that make up the goodwill recognized.

Despite the guidance incorporated in accounting standards, analysts should be aware that the estimations of fair value involve considerable management judgment. Values for intangible assets, such as computer software, might not be easily validated when analyzing acquisitions. Management judgment about valuation in turn impacts current and future financial statements because identifiable intangible assets with definite lives are amortised over time. In contrast, neither goodwill nor identifiable intangible assets with indefinite lives are amortised; instead, as noted, both are tested annually for impairment.

The recognition and impairment of goodwill can significantly affect the comparability of financial statements between companies. Therefore, analysts often adjust the companies' financial statements by removing the impact of goodwill. Such adjustments include:

- excluding goodwill from balance sheet data used to compute financial ratios, and
- excluding goodwill impairment losses from income data used to examine operating trends.

In addition, analysts can develop expectations about a company's performance following an acquisition by taking into account the purchase price paid relative to the net assets and earnings prospects of the acquired company. Example 4 provides an historical example of goodwill impairment.

EXAMPLE 4

Goodwill Impairment

Safeway, Inc., is a North American food and drug retailer. On 25 February 2010, Safeway issued a press release that included the following information:

Safeway Inc. today reported a net loss of \$1,609.1 million (\$4.06 per diluted share) for the 16-week fourth quarter of 2009. Excluding a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$1,818.2 million, net of tax (\$4.59 per diluted share), net income would have been \$209.1 million (\$0.53 per diluted share). Net income was \$338.0 million (\$0.79 per diluted share) for the 17-week fourth quarter of 2008.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, Safeway recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$1,974.2 million (\$1,818.2 million, net of tax). The impairment was due primarily to Safeway's reduced market capitalization and a weak economy....The goodwill originated from previous acquisitions.

Safeway's balance sheet as of 2 January 2010 showed goodwill of \$426.6 million and total assets of \$14,963.6 million. The company's balance sheet as of 3 January 2009 showed goodwill of \$2,390.2 million and total assets of \$17,484.7 million.

- 1 How significant is this goodwill impairment charge?
- 2 With reference to acquisition prices, what might this goodwill impairment indicate?

Solution to 1:

The goodwill impairment was more than 80 percent of the total value of goodwill and 11 percent of total assets, so it was clearly significant. (The charge of \$1,974.2 million equals 82.6 percent of the \$2,390.2 million of goodwill at the beginning of the year and 11.3 percent of the \$17,484.7 million total assets at the beginning of the year.)

Solution to 2:

The goodwill had originated from previous acquisitions. The impairment charge implies that the acquired operations are now worth less than the price that was paid for their acquisition.

As presented in Exhibits 8 and 9, SAP's 2017 balance sheet shows €21,274 million of goodwill, and Apple's 2017 balance sheet shows goodwill of \$5,717 million. Goodwill represents 50.1 percent of SAP's total assets and only 1.5 percent of Apple's total assets. An analyst may be concerned that goodwill represents such a high proportion of SAP's total assets.

NON-CURRENT ASSETS: FINANCIAL ASSETS

10

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

IFRS define a financial instrument as a contract that gives rise to a financial asset of one entity, and a financial liability or equity instrument of another entity.¹⁶ This section will focus on financial assets such as a company's investments in stocks issued by another company or its investments in the notes, bonds, or other fixed-income instruments issued by another company (or issued by a governmental entity). Financial liabilities such as notes payable and bonds payable issued by the company itself will be discussed in the liability portion of this reading. Some financial instruments may be classified as either an asset or a liability depending on the contractual terms and current market conditions. One example of such a financial instrument is a derivative. **Derivatives** are financial instruments for which the value is derived based on some underlying factor (interest rate, exchange rate, commodity price, security price, or credit rating) and for which little or no initial investment is required.

Financial instruments are generally recognized when the entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument. In general, there are two basic alternative ways that financial instruments are measured subsequent to initial acquisition: fair value or amortised cost. Recall that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly market transaction.¹⁷ The amortised cost of a financial asset (or liability) is the amount at which it was initially recognized, minus any principal repayments, plus or minus any amortisation of discount or premium, and minus any reduction for impairment.

Under IFRS, financial assets are subsequently measured at amortised cost if the asset's cash flows occur on specified dates and consist solely of principal and interest, and if the business model is to hold the asset to maturity. The concept is similar in US GAAP, where this category of asset is referred to as **held-to-maturity**. An example is

¹⁶ IAS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation*, paragraph 11.

¹⁷ IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement* and US GAAP ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurement*.

an investment in a long-term bond issued by another company or by a government; the value of the bond will fluctuate, for example with interest rate movements, but if the bond is classified as a held-to-maturity investment, it will be measured at amortised cost on the balance sheet of the investing company. Other types of financial assets measured at amortised cost are loans to other companies.

Financial assets not measured at amortised cost subsequent to acquisition are measured at fair value as of the reporting date. For financial instruments measured at fair value, there are two basic alternatives in how net changes in fair value are recognized: as profit or loss on the income statement, or as other comprehensive income (loss) which bypasses the income statement. Note that these alternatives refer to *unrealized* changes in fair value, i.e., changes in the value of a financial asset that has not been sold and is still owned at the end of the period. Unrealized gains and losses are also referred to as holding period gains and losses. If a financial asset is sold within the period, a gain is realized if the selling price is greater than the carrying value and a loss is realized if the selling price is less than the carrying value. When a financial asset is sold, any realized gain or loss is reported on the income statement.

Under IFRS, financial assets are subsequently measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (i.e., any unrealized holding gains or losses are recognized in other comprehensive income) if the business model's objective involves both collecting contractual cash flows and selling the financial assets. This IFRS category applies specifically to debt investments, namely assets with cash flows occurring on specified dates and consisting solely of principal and interest. However, IFRS also permits equity investments to be measured at fair value through other comprehensive income if, at the time a company buys an equity investment, the company decides to make an irrevocable election to measure the asset in this manner.¹⁸ The concept is similar to the US GAAP investment category **available-for-sale** in which assets are measured at fair value, with any unrealized holding gains or losses recognized in other comprehensive income. However, unlike IFRS, the US GAAP category available-for-sale applies only to debt securities and is not permitted for investments in equity securities.¹⁹

Under IFRS, financial assets are subsequently measured at fair value through profit or loss (i.e., any unrealized holding gains or losses are recognized in the income statement) if they are not assigned to either of the other two measurement categories described above. In addition, IFRS allows a company to make an irrevocable election at acquisition to measure a financial asset in this category. Under US GAAP, all investments in equity securities (other than investments giving rise to ownership positions that confer significant influence over the investee) are measured at fair value with unrealized holding gains or losses recognized in the income statement. Under US GAAP, debt securities designated as trading securities are also measured at fair value with unrealized holding gains or losses recognized in the income statement. The trading securities category pertains to a debt security that is acquired with the intent of selling it rather than holding it to collect the interest and principal payments.

Exhibit 10 summarizes how various financial assets are classified and measured subsequent to acquisition.

¹⁸ IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures*, paragraph 8(h) and IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*, paragraph 5.7.5.

¹⁹ US GAAP ASU 2016-01 and ASC 32X *Investments*.

Exhibit 10 Measurement of Financial Assets

Measured at Cost or Amortised Cost	Measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income	Measured at Fair Value through Profit and Loss
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Debt securities that are to be held to maturity. ■ Loans and notes receivable ■ Unquoted equity instruments (in limited circumstances where the fair value is not reliably measurable, cost may serve as a proxy (estimate) for fair value) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ “Available-for-sale” debt securities (US GAAP); Debt securities where the business model involves both collecting interest and principal and selling the security (IFRS); ■ Equity investments for which the company irrevocably elects this measurement at acquisition (IFRS only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ All equity securities unless the investment gives the investor significant influence (US GAAP only) ■ “Trading” debt securities (US GAAP) ■ Securities not assigned to either of the other two categories, or investments for which the company irrevocably elects this measurement at acquisition (IFRS only)

To illustrate the different accounting treatments of the gains and losses on financial assets, consider an entity that invests €100,000,000 on 1 January 200X in a fixed-income security investment, with a 5 percent coupon paid semi-annually. After six months, the company receives the first coupon payment of €2,500,000. Additionally, market interest rates have declined such that the value of the fixed-income investment has increased by €2,000,000 as of 30 June 200X. Exhibit 11 illustrates how this situation will be portrayed in the balance sheet and income statement (ignoring taxes) of the entity concerned, under each of the following three measurement categories of financial assets: assets held for trading purposes, assets available for sale, and held-to-maturity assets.

Exhibit 11 Accounting for Gains and Losses on Marketable Securities

IFRS Categories	Measured at Cost or Amortised Cost	Measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income		Measured at Fair Value through Profit and Loss		
		<i>Available-for-Sale Debt Securities</i>	<i>Trading Debt Securities</i>			
US GAAP Comparable Categories						
Income Statement For period 1 January–30 June 200X						
Interest income		2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000		
Unrealized gains		—	—	2,000,000		
Impact on profit and loss		2,500,000	2,500,000	4,500,000		
Balance Sheet As of 30 June 200X						
<i>Assets</i>						
Cash and cash equivalents		2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000		
Cost of securities		100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000		

(continued)

Exhibit 11 (Continued)**Balance Sheet As of 30 June 200X**

Unrealized gains on securities	—	2,000,000	2,000,000
	102,500,000	104,500,000	104,500,000
<i>Liabilities</i>			
<i>Equity</i>			
Paid-in capital	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000
Retained earnings	2,500,000	2,500,000	4,500,000
Accumulated other comprehensive income	—	2,000,000	—
	102,500,000	104,500,000	104,500,000

In the case of held-to-maturity securities, the income statement shows only the interest income (which is then reflected in retained earnings of the ending balance sheet). Because the securities are measured at cost rather than fair value, no unrealized gain is recognized. On the balance sheet, the investment asset is shown at its amortised cost of €100,000,000. In the case of securities classified as Measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income (IFRS) or equivalently as Available-for-sale debt securities (US GAAP), the income statement shows only the interest income (which is then reflected in retained earnings of the balance sheet). The unrealized gain does not appear on the income statement; instead, it would appear on a Statement of Comprehensive Income as Other Comprehensive Income. On the balance sheet, the investment asset is shown at its fair value of €102,000,000. (Exhibit 11 shows the unrealized gain on a separate line solely to highlight the impact of the change in value. In practice, the investments would be shown at their fair value on a single line.) In the case of securities classified as Measured at Fair Value through Profit and Loss (IFRS) or equivalently as trading debt securities (US GAAP), both the interest income and the unrealized gain are included on the income statement and thus reflected in retained earnings on the balance sheet.

In Exhibits 4 and 8, SAP's 2017 balance sheet shows other financial assets of €990 million (current) and €1,155 million (non-current). The company's notes disclose that the largest component of the current financial assets are loans and other financial receivables (€793 million) and the largest component of the non-current financial assets is €827 million of available-for-sale equity investments.

In Exhibits 5 and 9, Apple's 2017 balance sheet shows \$53,892 million of short-term marketable securities and \$194,714 million of long-term marketable securities. In total, marketable securities represent more than 66 percent of Apple's \$375.3 billion in total assets. Marketable securities plus cash and cash equivalents represent around 72 percent of the company's total assets. Apple's notes disclose that most of the company's marketable securities are fixed-income securities issued by the US government or its agencies (\$60,237 million) and by other companies including commercial paper (\$153,451 million). In accordance with its investment policy, Apple invests in highly rated securities (which the company defines as investment grade) and limits its credit exposure to any one issuer. The company classifies its marketable securities as available for sale and reports them on the balance sheet at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reported in other comprehensive income.

NON-CURRENT ASSETS: DEFERRED TAX ASSETS

11

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

Portions of the amounts shown as **deferred tax assets** on SAP's balance sheet represent income taxes incurred prior to the time that the income tax expense will be recognized on the income statement. Deferred tax assets may result when the actual **income tax payable** based on income for tax purposes in a period exceeds the amount of income tax expense based on the reported financial statement income due to temporary timing differences. For example, a company may be required to report certain income for tax purposes in the current period but to defer recognition of that income for financial statement purposes to subsequent periods. In this case, the company will pay income tax as required by tax laws, and the difference between the taxes payable and the tax expense related to the income for which recognition was deferred on the financial statements will be reported as a deferred tax asset. When the income is subsequently recognized on the income statement, the related tax expense is also recognized which will reduce the deferred tax asset.

Also, a company may claim certain expenses for financial statement purposes that it is only allowed to claim in subsequent periods for tax purposes. In this case, as in the previous example, the financial statement income before taxes is less than taxable income. Thus, income taxes payable based on taxable income exceeds income tax expense based on accounting net income before taxes. The difference is expected to reverse in the future when the income reported on the financial statements exceeds the taxable income as a deduction for the expense becomes allowed for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets may also result from carrying forward unused tax losses and credits (these are not temporary timing differences). Deferred tax assets are only to be recognized if there is an expectation that there will be taxable income in the future, against which the temporary difference or carried forward tax losses or credits can be applied to reduce taxes payable.

NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

12

- e describe different types of assets and liabilities and the measurement bases of each

All liabilities that are not classified as current are considered to be non-current or long-term. Exhibits 12 and 13 present balance sheet excerpts for SAP Group and Apple Inc. showing the line items for the companies' non-current liabilities.

Both companies' balance sheets show non-current unearned revenue (deferred income for SAP Group and deferred revenue for Apple). These amounts represent the amounts of unearned revenue relating to goods and services expected to be delivered in periods beyond twelve months following the reporting period. The sections that follow focus on two common types of non-current (long-term) liabilities: long-term financial liabilities and deferred tax liabilities.

**Exhibit 12 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
(Excerpt: Non-Current Liabilities Detail) (in millions of €)**

	as of 31 December	
	2017	2016
Assets		
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,674
Trade and other payables	119	127
Tax liabilities	470	365
Financial liabilities	5,034	6,481
Other non-financial liabilities	503	461
Provisions	303	217
Deferred tax liabilities	240	411
Deferred income	79	143
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,958	17,880
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Total equity and liabilities	€42,497	€44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

Exhibit 13 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (Excerpt: Non-Current Liabilities Detail)* (in millions of \$)

Assets	30	24
	September 2017	September 2016
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
<i>[All other assets]</i>	<i>246,674</i>	<i>214,817</i>
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
Deferred revenue, non-current	2,836	2,930
Long-term debt	97,207	75,427
Other non-current liabilities	40,415	36,074
<i>[Total non-current liabilities]</i>	<i>140,458</i>	<i>114,431</i>
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	375,319	321,686

*Note: The italicized subtotals presented in this excerpt are not explicitly shown on the face of the financial statement as prepared by the company.

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (Form 10K).

12.1 Long-term Financial Liabilities

Typical long-term financial liabilities include loans (i.e., borrowings from banks) and notes or bonds payable (i.e., fixed-income securities issued to investors). Liabilities such as loans payable and bonds payable are usually reported at amortised cost on the balance sheet. At maturity, the amortised cost of the bond (carrying amount) will be equal to the face value of the bond. For example, if a company issues \$10,000,000 of bonds at par, the bonds are reported as a long-term liability of \$10 million. The carrying amount (amortised cost) from the date of issue to the date of maturity remains at \$10 million. As another example, if a company issues \$10,000,000 of bonds at a price of 97.50 (a discount to par), the bonds are reported as a liability of \$9,750,000 at issue date. Over the bond's life, the discount of \$250,000 is amortised so that the bond will be reported as a liability of \$10,000,000 at maturity. Similarly, any bond premium would be amortised for bonds issued at a price in excess of face or par value.

In certain cases, liabilities such as bonds issued by a company are reported at fair value. Those cases include financial liabilities held for trading, derivatives that are a liability to the company, and some non-derivative instruments such as those which are hedged by derivatives.

SAP's balance sheet in Exhibit 12 shows €5,034 million of financial liabilities, and the notes disclose that these liabilities are mostly for bonds payable. Apple's balance sheet shows \$97,207 million of long-term debt, and the notes disclose that this debt includes floating- and fixed-rate notes with varying maturities.

12.2 Deferred Tax Liabilities

Deferred tax liabilities result from temporary timing differences between a company's income as reported for tax purposes (taxable income) and income as reported for financial statement purposes (reported income). Deferred tax liabilities result when taxable income and the actual income tax payable in a period based on it is less than the reported financial statement income before taxes and the income tax expense based on it. Deferred tax liabilities are defined as the amounts of income taxes payable in future periods in respect of taxable temporary differences.²⁰ In contrast, in the previous discussion of unearned revenue, inclusion of revenue in taxable income in an earlier period created a deferred tax asset (essentially prepaid tax).

Deferred tax liabilities typically arise when items of expense are included in taxable income in earlier periods than for financial statement net income. This results in taxable income being less than income before taxes in the earlier periods. As a result, taxes payable based on taxable income are less than income tax expense based on accounting income before taxes. The difference between taxes payable and income tax expense results in a deferred tax liability—for example, when companies use accelerated depreciation methods for tax purposes and straight-line depreciation methods for financial statement purposes. Deferred tax liabilities also arise when items of income are included in taxable income in later periods—for example, when a company's subsidiary has profits that have not yet been distributed and thus have not yet been taxed.

SAP's balance sheet in Exhibit 12 shows €240 million of deferred tax liabilities. Apple's balance sheet in Exhibit 13 does not show a separate line item for deferred tax liabilities, however, note disclosures indicate that most of the \$40,415 million of other non-current liabilities reported on Apple's balance sheet represents deferred tax liabilities, which totaled \$31,504 million.

²⁰ IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, paragraph 5.

13

COMPONENTS OF EQUITY

- f describe the components of shareholders' equity

Equity is the owners' residual claim on a company's assets after subtracting its liabilities.²¹ It represents the claim of the owner against the company. Equity includes funds directly invested in the company by the owners, as well as earnings that have been reinvested over time. Equity can also include items of gain or loss that are not recognized on the company's income statement.

13.1 Components of Equity

Six main components typically comprise total owners' equity. The first five components listed below comprise equity attributable to owners of the parent company. The sixth component is the equity attributable to non-controlling interests.

- 1 *Capital contributed by owners* (or common stock, or issued capital). The amount contributed to the company by owners. Ownership of a corporation is evidenced through the issuance of common shares. Common shares may have a par value (or stated value) or may be issued as no par shares (depending on regulations governing the incorporation). Where par or stated value requirements exist, it must be disclosed in the equity section of the balance sheet. In addition, the number of shares authorized, issued, and outstanding must be disclosed for each class of share issued by the company. The number of authorized shares is the number of shares that may be sold by the company under its articles of incorporation. The number of issued shares refers to those shares that have been sold to investors. The number of outstanding shares consists of the issued shares less treasury shares.
- 2 *Preferred shares*. Classified as equity or financial liabilities based upon their characteristics rather than legal form. For example, perpetual, non-redeemable preferred shares are classified as equity. In contrast, preferred shares with mandatory redemption at a fixed amount at a future date are classified as financial liabilities. Preferred shares have rights that take precedence over the rights of common shareholders—rights that generally pertain to receipt of dividends and receipt of assets if the company is liquidated.
- 3 *Treasury shares* (or treasury stock or own shares repurchased). Shares in the company that have been repurchased by the company and are held as treasury shares, rather than being cancelled. The company is able to sell (reissue) these shares. A company may repurchase its shares when management considers the shares undervalued, needs shares to fulfill employees' stock options, or wants to limit the effects of dilution from various employee stock compensation plans. A repurchase of previously issued shares reduces shareholders' equity by the amount of the cost of repurchasing the shares and reduces the number of total shares outstanding. If treasury shares are subsequently reissued, a company does not recognize any gain or loss from the reissuance on the income statement. Treasury shares are non-voting and do not receive any dividends declared by the company.

²¹ IASB *Conceptual Framework (2018)*, paragraph 4.4 (c) and FASB ASC 505-10-05-3 [Equity—Overview and Background].

- 4** *Retained earnings*. The cumulative amount of earnings recognized in the company's income statements which have not been paid to the owners of the company as dividends.
- 5** *Accumulated other comprehensive income* (or other reserves). The cumulative amount of *other* comprehensive income or loss. The term comprehensive income includes both a) net income, which is recognized on the income statement and is reflected in retained earnings, and b) other comprehensive income which is not recognized as part of net income and is reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income.²²
- 6** *Noncontrolling interest* (or minority interest). The equity interests of minority shareholders in the subsidiary companies that have been consolidated by the parent (controlling) company but that are not wholly owned by the parent company.

Exhibits 14 and 15 present excerpts of the balance sheets of SAP Group and Apple Inc., respectively, with detailed line items for each company's equity section. SAP's balance sheet indicates that the company has €1,229 million issued capital, and the notes to the financial statements disclose that the company has issued 1,229 million no-par common stock with a nominal value of €1 per share. SAP's balance sheet also indicates that the company has €1,591 million of treasury shares, and the notes to the financial statements disclose that the company holds 35 million of its shares as treasury shares. The line item share premium of €570 million includes amounts from treasury share transactions (and certain other transactions). The amount of retained earnings, €24,794 million, represents the cumulative amount of earnings that the company has recognized in its income statements, net of dividends. SAP's €508 million of "Other components of equity" includes the company's accumulated other comprehensive income. The notes disclose that this is composed of €330 million gains on exchange differences in translation, €157 million gains on remeasuring available-for-sale financial assets, and €21 million gains on cash flow hedges. The balance sheet next presents a subtotal for the amount of equity attributable to the parent company €25,509 million followed by the amount of equity attributable to non-controlling interests €31 million. Total equity includes both equity attributable to the parent company and equity attributable to non-controlling interests.

The equity section of Apple's balance sheet consists of only three line items: common stock, retained earnings, and accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss). Although Apple's balance sheet shows no treasury stock, the company does repurchase its own shares but cancels the repurchased shares rather than holding the shares in treasury. Apple's balance sheet shows that 5,126,201 thousand shares were issued and outstanding at the end of fiscal 2017 and 5,336,166 thousand shares were issued and outstanding at the end of fiscal 2016. Details on the change in shares outstanding is presented on the Statement of Shareholders' Equity in Exhibit 16, which shows that in 2017 Apple repurchased 246,496 thousand shares of its previously issued common stock and issued 36,531 thousand shares to employees.

22 IFRS defines Total comprehensive income as "the change in equity during a period resulting from transactions and other events, other than those changes resulting from transactions with owners in their capacity as owners. (IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements, paragraph 7. Similarly, US GAAP defines comprehensive income as "the change in equity [net assets] of a business entity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners." (FASB ASC *Master Glossary*.)

**Exhibit 14 SAP Group Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
(Excerpt: Equity Detail) (in millions of €)**

Assets	as of 31 December	
	2017	2016
Total current assets	11,930	11,564
Total non-current assets	30,567	32,713
Total assets	42,497	44,277
Total current liabilities	10,210	9,674
Total non-current liabilities	6,747	8,205
Total liabilities	16,958	17,880
Issued capital	1,229	1,229
Share premium	570	599
Retained earnings	24,794	22,302
Other components of equity	508	3,346
Treasury shares	(1,591)	(1,099)
Equity attributable to owners of parent	25,509	26,376
Non-controlling interests	31	21
Total equity	25,540	26,397
Total equity and liabilities	€42,497	€44,277

Source: SAP Group 2017 annual report.

**Exhibit 15 Apple Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheet (Excerpt: Equity Detail)
(in millions of \$) (Number of shares are reflected in thousands)**

Assets	30	24
	September 2017	September 2016
Total current assets	128,645	106,869
[All other assets]	246,674	214,817
Total assets	375,319	321,686
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Total current liabilities	100,814	79,006
[Total non-current liabilities]	140,458	114,431
Total liabilities	241,272	193,437
Common stock and additional paid-in capital, \$0.00001 par value: 12,600,000 shares authorized; 5,126,201 and 5,336,166 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	35,867	31,251
Retained earnings	98,330	96,364
Accumulated other comprehensive income/ (loss)	(150)	634

Exhibit 15 (Continued)

Assets	30 September 2017	24 September 2016
Total shareholders' equity	134,047	128,249
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>375,319</u>	<u>321,686</u>

Source: Apple Inc. 2017 annual report (10K).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY**14**

- f describe the components of shareholders' equity

The **statement of changes in equity** (or statement of shareholders' equity) presents information about the increases or decreases in a company's equity over a period. IFRS requires the following information in the statement of changes in equity:

- total comprehensive income for the period;
- the effects of any accounting changes that have been retrospectively applied to previous periods;
- capital transactions with owners and distributions to owners; and
- reconciliation of the carrying amounts of each component of equity at the beginning and end of the year.²³

Under US GAAP, the requirement as specified by the SEC is for companies to provide an analysis of changes in each component of stockholders' equity that is shown in the balance sheet.²⁴

Exhibit 16 presents an excerpt from Apple's Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity. The excerpt shows only one of the years presented on the actual statement. It begins with the balance as of 24 September 2016 (i.e., the beginning of fiscal 2017) and presents the analysis of changes to 30 September 2017 in each component of equity that is shown on Apple's balance sheet. As noted above, the number of shares outstanding decreased from 5,336,166 thousand to 5,126,201 thousand as the company repurchased 246,496 thousand shares of its common stock and issued 36,531 thousand new shares which reduced the dollar balance of Paid-in Capital and Retained earnings by \$913 million and \$581 million, respectively. The dollar balance in common stock also increased by \$ 4,909 million in connection with share-based compensation. Retained earnings increased by \$48,351 million net income, minus \$12,803 million dividends, \$33,001 million for the share repurchase and \$581 million adjustment in connection with the stock issuance. For companies that pay dividends, the amount of dividends are shown separately as a deduction from retained earnings. The statement also provides details on the \$784 million change in Apple's Accumulated other comprehensive income. Note that the statement provides a subtotal for total comprehensive income that includes net income and each of the components of other comprehensive income.

²³ IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, paragraph 106.

²⁴ FASB ASC 505-10-S99 [Equity—Overall—SEC materials] indicates that a company can present the analysis of changes in stockholders' equity either in the notes or in a separate statement.

Exhibit 16 Excerpt from Apple Inc.'s Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (in millions, except share amounts which are reflected in thousands)

	Common Stock and Additional Paid-In Capital		Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount			
Balances as of September 24, 2016	5,336,166	31,251	96,364	634	128,249
Net income	—	—	48,351	—	48,351
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	—	—	—	(784)	(784)
Dividends and dividend equivalents declared	—	—	(12,803)	—	(12,803)
Repurchase of common stock	(246,496)	—	(33,001)	—	(33,001)
Share-based compensation	—	4,909	—	—	4,909
Common stock issued, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	36,531	(913)	(581)	—	(1,494)
Tax benefit from equity awards, including transfer pricing adjustments	—	620	—	—	620
Balances as of September 30, 2017	5,126,201	35,867	98,330	(150)	134,047

15

COMMON SIZE ANALYSIS OF BALANCE SHEET

- g demonstrate the conversion of balance sheets to common-size balance sheets and interpret common-size balance sheets

This section describes two tools for analyzing the balance sheet: common-size analysis and balance sheet ratios. Analysis of a company's balance sheet can provide insight into the company's liquidity and solvency—as of the balance sheet date—as well as the economic resources the company controls. **Liquidity** refers to a company's ability to meet its short-term financial commitments. Assessments of liquidity focus a company's ability to convert assets to cash and to pay for operating needs. **Solvency** refers to a company's ability to meet its financial obligations over the longer term. Assessments of solvency focus on the company's financial structure and its ability to pay long-term financing obligations.

15.1 Common-Size Analysis of the Balance Sheet

The first technique, vertical common-size analysis, involves stating each balance sheet item as a percentage of total assets.²⁵ Common-size statements are useful in comparing a company's balance sheet composition over time (time-series analysis) and across

²⁵ As discussed in the curriculum reading on financial statement analysis, another type of common-size analysis, known as "horizontal common-size analysis," states quantities in terms of a selected base-year value. Unless otherwise indicated, text references to "common-size analysis" refer to vertical analysis.

companies in the same industry. To illustrate, Panel A of Exhibit 17 presents a balance sheet for three hypothetical companies. Company C, with assets of \$9.75 million is much larger than Company A and Company B, each with only \$3.25 million in assets. The common-size balance sheet presented in Panel B facilitates a comparison of these different sized companies.

Exhibit 17**Panel A: Balance Sheets for Companies A, B, and C**

(\$ Thousands)	A	B	C
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	1,000	200	3,000
Short-term marketable securities	900	—	300
Accounts receivable	500	1,050	1,500
Inventory	100	950	300
Total current assets	2,500	2,200	5,100
Property, plant, and equipment, net	750	750	4,650
Intangible assets	—	200	—
Goodwill	—	100	—
Total assets	<u>3,250</u>	<u>3,250</u>	<u>9,750</u>

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	—	2,500	600
Total current liabilities	—	2,500	600
Long term bonds payable	10	10	9,000
Total liabilities	10	2,510	9,600
Total shareholders' equity	3,240	740	150
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>3,250</u>	<u>3,250</u>	<u>9,750</u>

Panel B: Common-Size Balance Sheets for Companies A, B, and C

(Percent)	A	B	C
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	30.8	6.2	30.8
Short-term marketable securities	27.7	0.0	3.1
Accounts receivable	15.4	32.3	15.4
Inventory	3.1	29.2	3.1
Total current assets	76.9	67.7	52.3
Property, plant and equipment, net	23.1	23.1	47.7
Intangible assets	0.0	6.2	0.0
Goodwill	0.0	3.1	0.0
Total assets	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

(continued)

Exhibit 17 (Continued)**Panel B: Common-Size Balance Sheets for Companies A, B, and C**

(Percent)	A	B	C
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	0.0	76.9	6.2
Total current liabilities	0.0	76.9	6.2
Long term bonds payable	0.3	0.3	92.3
Total liabilities	0.3	77.2	98.5
Total shareholders' equity	99.7	22.8	1.5
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	100.0	100.0	100.0

Most of the assets of Company A and B are current assets; however, Company A has nearly 60 percent of its total assets in cash and short-term marketable securities while Company B has only 6 percent of its assets in cash. Company A is more liquid than Company B. Company A shows no current liabilities (its current liabilities round to less than \$10 thousand), and it has cash on hand of \$1.0 million to meet any near-term financial obligations it might have. In contrast, Company B has \$2.5 million of current liabilities which exceed its available cash of only \$200 thousand. To pay those near-term obligations, Company B will need to collect some of its accounts receivables, sell more inventory, borrow from a bank, and/or raise more long-term capital (e.g., by issuing more bonds or more equity). Company C also appears more liquid than Company B. It holds over 30 percent of its total assets in cash and short-term marketable securities, and its current liabilities are only 6.2 percent of the amount of total assets.

Company C's \$3.3 million in cash and short-term marketable securities is substantially more than its current liabilities of \$600 thousand. Turning to the question of solvency, however, note that 98.5 percent of Company C's assets are financed with liabilities. If Company C experiences significant fluctuations in cash flows, it may be unable to pay the interest and principal on its long-term bonds. Company A is far more solvent than Company C, with less than one percent of its assets financed with liabilities.

Note that these examples are hypothetical only. Other than general comparisons, little more can be said without further detail. In practice, a wide range of factors affect a company's liquidity management and capital structure. The study of optimal **capital structure** is a fundamental issue addressed in corporate finance. Capital refers to a company's long-term debt and equity financing; capital structure refers to the proportion of debt versus equity financing.

Common-size balance sheets can also highlight differences in companies' strategies. Comparing the asset composition of the companies, Company C has made a greater proportional investment in property, plant, and equipment—possibly because it manufactures more of its products in-house. The presence of goodwill on Company B's balance sheet signifies that it has made one or more acquisitions in the past. In contrast, the lack of goodwill on the balance sheets of Company A and Company C suggests that these two companies may have pursued a strategy of internal growth rather than growth by acquisition. Company A may be in either a start-up or liquidation

stage of operations as evidenced by the composition of its balance sheet. It has relatively little inventory and no accounts payable. It either has not yet established trade credit or it is in the process of paying off its obligations in the process of liquidating.

EXAMPLE 5**Common-Size Analysis**

Applying common-size analysis to the excerpts of SAP Group's balance sheets presented in Exhibits 4, 6, 8, and 12, answer the following: In 2017 relative to 2016, which of the following line items increased as a percentage of assets?

- A Cash and cash equivalents.
- B Total current assets.
- C Total financial liabilities
- D Total deferred income.

Solution:

A, B, and D are correct. The following items increased as a percentage of total assets:

- Cash and cash equivalents increased from 8.4 percent of total assets in 2016 ($\text{€}3,702 \div \text{€}44,277$) to 9.4 percent in 2017 ($\text{€}4,011 \div \text{€}42,497$).
- Total current assets increased from 26.1 percent of total assets in 2016 ($\text{€}11,564 \div \text{€}44,277$) to 28.1 percent in 2017 ($\text{€}11,930 \div \text{€}42,497$).
- Total deferred income increased from 5.7 percent of total assets in 2016 ($(\text{€}2,383 + \text{€}143) \div \text{€}44,277$) to 6.7 percent in 2017 ($(\text{€}2,771 + \text{€}79) \div \text{€}42,497$).

Total financial liabilities decreased both in absolute Euro amounts and as a percentage of total assets when compared with the previous year.

Note that some amounts of the company's deferred income and financial liabilities are classified as current liabilities (shown in Exhibit 6) and some amounts are classified as non-current liabilities (shown in Exhibit 12). The total amounts—current and non-current—of deferred income and financial liabilities, therefore, are obtained by summing the amounts in Exhibits 6 and 12.

Overall, aspects of the company's liquidity position are somewhat stronger in 2017 compared to 2016. The company's cash balances as a percentage of total assets increased. While current liabilities increased as a percentage of total assets and total liabilities remained approximately the same percentage, the mix of liabilities shifted. Financial liabilities, which represent future cash outlays, decreased as a percentage of total assets, while deferred revenues, which represent cash received in advance of revenue recognition, increased.

Common-size analysis of the balance sheet is particularly useful in cross-sectional analysis—comparing companies to each other for a particular time period or comparing a company with industry or sector data. The analyst could select individual peer companies for comparison, use industry data from published sources, or compile data from databases. When analyzing a company, many analysts prefer to select the peer companies for comparison or to compile their own industry statistics.

Exhibit 18 presents common-size balance sheet data compiled for the 10 sectors of the S&P 500 using 2017 data. The sector classification follows the S&P/MSCI Global Industrial Classification System (GICS). The exhibit presents mean and median common-size balance sheet data for those companies in the S&P 500 for which 2017 data was available in the Compustat database.²⁶

Some interesting general observations can be made from these data:

- Energy and utility companies have the largest amounts of property, plant, and equipment (PPE). Telecommunication services, followed by utilities, have the highest level of long-term debt. Utilities also use some preferred stock.
- Financial companies have the greatest percentage of total liabilities. Financial companies typically have relatively high financial leverage.
- Telecommunications services and utility companies have the lowest level of receivables.
- Inventory levels are highest for consumer discretionary. Materials and consumer staples have the next highest inventories.
- Information technology companies use the least amount of leverage as evidenced by the lowest percentages for long-term debt and total liabilities and highest percentages for common and total equity.

Example 6 discusses an analyst using cross-sectional common-size balance sheet data.

EXAMPLE 6

Cross-Sectional Common-Size Analysis

Jason Lu is comparing two companies in the computer industry to evaluate their relative financial position as reflected on their balance sheets. He has compiled the following vertical common-size data for Apple and Microsoft.

Cross-Sectional Analysis: Consolidated Balance Sheets (as Percent of Total Assets)

	Apple	Microsoft
ASSETS:	30 September 2017	30 June 2017
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	5.4	3.2
Short-term marketable securities	14.4	52.0
Accounts receivable	4.8	8.2
Inventories	1.3	0.9
Vendor non-trade receivables	4.7	0.0
Other current assets	3.7	2.0
Total current assets	34.3	66.3
Long-term marketable securities	51.9	2.5
Property, plant and equipment, net	9.0	9.8
Goodwill	1.5	14.6

²⁶ An entry of zero for an item (e.g., current assets) was excluded from the data, except in the case of preferred stock. Note that most financial institutions did not provide current asset or current liability data, so these are reported as not available in the database.

**Exhibit 18 Common-Size Balance Sheet Statistics for the S&P 500 Grouped by S&P/MSCI GICS Sector
(in percent except No. of Observations; data for 2017)**

Panel A. Median Data		10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
		Energy	Materials	Industrials	Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Staples	Health Care	Financials	Information Technology	Telecommunication Services	Utilities	Real Estate
Number of observation	34	27	68	81	33	59	64	64	4	29	30	
Cash and short-term investments	6.8%	6.3%	8.1%	8.3%	4.1%	11.2%	6.2%	22.7%	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%	
Receivables	5.8%	8.8%	12.9%	6.8%	6.5%	9.7%	20.4%	9.6%	3.7%	3.6%	2.0%	
Inventories	1.6%	8.9%	6.9%	14.9%	9.6%	4.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%	
Total current assets	16.1%	26.0%	30.5%	41.5%	29.1%	31.4%	N.A.	48.7%	8.6%	7.3%	10.8%	
PPE	73.3%	36.3%	12.5%	19.8%	17.2%	8.1%	0.9%	6.2%	35.0%	72.0%	33.4%	
Intangibles	1.6%	27.9%	33.3%	16.8%	41.9%	37.6%	2.8%	26.4%	49.6%	6.2%	1.0%	
<i>Goodwill</i>	0.7%	20.0%	28.3%	11.3%	26.2%	22.8%	2.2%	22.3%	26.0%	4.8%	0.0%	
Accounts payable	5.7%	7.3%	6.2%	8.0%	8.0%	3.1%	27.0%	2.7%	2.5%	3.0%	1.3%	
Current liabilities	10.9%	16.5%	22.5%	25.8%	25.0%	16.5%	N.A.	21.2%	11.5%	11.5%	7.1%	
LT debt	27.3%	31.4%	28.0%	28.7%	32.3%	24.3%	6.4%	22.9%	46.8%	32.5%	43.4%	
Total liabilities	49.3%	64.2%	65.5%	64.9%	63.8%	59.2%	86.7%	59.9%	75.8%	71.8%	53.3%	
Common equity	47.3%	33.8%	34.5%	34.7%	36.2%	39.4%	12.6%	39.3%	23.9%	27.7%	40.4%	
Preferred stock	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total equity	47.3%	33.8%	34.5%	34.7%	36.2%	39.4%	13.2%	39.3%	23.9%	28.0%	41.8%	

Exhibit 18 (Continued)**Panel B. Mean Data**

	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
	Energy	Materials	Industrials	Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Staples	Health Care	Financials	Information Technology	Telecommunication Services	Utilities	Real Estate
Number of observations	34	27	68	81	33	59	64	64	4	29	30
Cash and short-term investments	6.9%	7.4%	9.2%	12.9%	7.3%	15.4%	11.2%	28.3%	3.6%	1.3%	2.9%
Receivables	6.6%	10.5%	15.2%	9.0%	7.7%	11.2%	31.5%	11.8%	5.0%	3.8%	3.8%
Inventories	3.4%	9.3%	7.8%	18.3%	10.6%	6.3%	3.8%	4.1%	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%
Total current assets	17.7%	28.8%	32.9%	40.6%	27.8%	36.4%	N.A.	49.4%	10.1%	8.6%	16.1%
PPE	68.0%	36.9%	24.5%	25.1%	21.6%	11.2%	2.1%	10.3%	39.0%	69.9%	34.9%
Intangibles	7.8%	26.6%	35.6%	23.0%	43.6%	43.9%	11.4%	31.1%	48.2%	6.8%	10.3%
Goodwill	5.4%	18.4%	26.8%	14.6%	24.6%	27.3%	7.7%	24.5%	25.9%	5.7%	5.7%
Accounts payable	5.9%	8.1%	7.1%	11.8%	9.8%	8.1%	35.9%	5.1%	3.1%	2.9%	2.0%
Current liabilities	11.8%	17.0%	23.0%	26.8%	24.6%	21.2%	N.A.	26.1%	11.9%	11.8%	12.8%
LT debt	28.3%	31.2%	29.4%	31.3%	32.4%	28.5%	10.3%	24.8%	47.5%	35.0%	44.8%
Total liabilities	50.3%	63.4%	67.1%	67.5%	68.3%	60.1%	80.1%	61.8%	77.6%	73.9%	54.5%
Common equity	46.4%	34.2%	32.3%	32.3%	30.9%	38.9%	18.2%	37.5%	22.2%	24.7%	40.2%
Preferred stock	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	2.2%
Total equity	46.4%	34.2%	32.4%	32.3%	30.9%	39.0%	18.5%	37.8%	22.2%	25.0%	42.3%

PPE = Property, plant, and equipment, LT = Long term.

Source: Based on data from Compustat.

(Continued)

	Apple	Microsoft
	30 September 2017	30 June 2017
ASSETS:		
Acquired intangible assets, net	0.6	4.2
Other assets	2.7	2.6
Total assets	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	13.1	3.1
Short-term debt	3.2	3.8
Current portion of long-term debt	1.7	0.4
Accrued expenses	6.9	2.7
Deferred revenue	2.0	14.1
Other current liabilities	0.0	2.6
Total current liabilities	<u>26.9</u>	<u>26.8</u>
Long-term debt	25.9	31.6
Deferred revenue non-current	0.8	4.3
Other non-current liabilities	10.8	7.3
Total liabilities	<u>64.3</u>	<u>70.0</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
Total shareholders' equity	<u>35.7</u>	<u>30.0</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>

Source: Based on data from companies' annual reports.

From this data, Lu learns the following:

- Apple and Microsoft have high levels of cash and short-term marketable securities, consistent with the information technology sector as reported in Exhibit 18. Apple also has a high balance in long-term marketable securities. This may reflect the success of the company's business model, which has generated large operating cash flows in recent years.
- Apple's level of accounts receivable is lower than Microsoft's and lower than the industry average. Further research is necessary to learn the extent to which this is related to Apple's cash sales through its own retail stores. An alternative explanation would be that the company has been selling/factoring receivables to a greater degree than the other companies; however, that explanation is unlikely given Apple's cash position. Additionally, Apple shows vendor non-trade receivables, reflecting arrangements with its contract manufacturers.
- Apple and Microsoft both have low levels of inventory, similar to industry medians as reported in Exhibit 18. Apple uses contract manufacturers and can rely on suppliers to hold inventory until needed. Additionally, in the Management Discussion and Analysis section of their annual report, Apple discloses \$38 billion of noncancelable manufacturing purchase obligations, \$33 billion of which is due within twelve months. These amounts are not currently recorded as inventory and reflect the use of contract manufacturers to assemble and test some finished products. The use of

purchase commitments and contract manufacturers implies that inventory may be “understated.” Microsoft’s low level of inventory is consistent with its business mix which is more heavily weighted to software than to hardware.

- Apple and Microsoft have a level of property, plant, and equipment that is relatively close to the sector median as reported in Exhibit 18.
- Apple has a very low amount of goodwill, reflecting its strategy to grow organically rather than through acquisition. Microsoft’s level of goodwill, while higher than Apple’s, is lower than the industry median and mean. Microsoft made a number of major acquisitions (for example, Nokia in 2014) but subsequently (in 2015) wrote off significant amounts of goodwill as an impairment charge.
- Apple’s level of accounts payable is higher than the industry, but given the company’s high level of cash and investments, it is unlikely that this is a problem.
- Apple’s and Microsoft’s levels of long-term debt are slightly higher than industry averages. Again, given the companies’ high level of cash and investments, it is unlikely that this is a problem.

16

BALANCE SHEET RATIOS

h calculate and interpret liquidity and solvency ratios

Ratios facilitate time-series and cross-sectional analysis of a company’s financial position. **Balance sheet ratios** are those involving balance sheet items only. Each of the line items on a vertical common-size balance sheet is a ratio in that it expresses a balance sheet amount in relation to total assets. Other balance sheet ratios compare one balance sheet item to another. For example, the current ratio expresses current assets in relation to current liabilities as an indicator of a company’s liquidity. Balance sheet ratios include **liquidity ratios** (measuring the company’s ability to meet its short-term obligations) and **solvency ratios** (measuring the company’s ability to meet long-term and other obligations). These ratios and others are discussed in a later reading. Exhibit 19 summarizes the calculation and interpretation of selected balance sheet ratios.

Exhibit 19 Balance Sheet Ratios

Liquidity Ratios	Calculation	Indicates
Current	Current assets ÷ Current liabilities	Ability to meet current liabilities
Quick (acid test)	(Cash + Marketable securities + Receivables) ÷ Current liabilities	Ability to meet current liabilities
Cash	(Cash + Marketable securities) ÷ Current liabilities	Ability to meet current liabilities

Exhibit 19 (Continued)**Solvency Ratios**

Long-term debt-to-equity	Total long-term debt ÷ Total equity	Financial risk and financial leverage
Debt-to-equity	Total debt ÷ Total equity	Financial risk and financial leverage
Total debt	Total debt ÷ Total assets	Financial risk and financial leverage
Financial leverage	Total assets ÷ Total equity	Financial risk and financial leverage

EXAMPLE 7**Ratio Analysis**

For the following ratio questions, refer to the balance sheet information for the SAP Group presented in Exhibits 1, 4, 6, 8, and 12.

- 1 The current ratio for SAP Group at 31 December 2017 is *closest* to:
 - A 1.17.
 - B 1.20.
 - C 2.00.
- 2 Which of the following liquidity ratios decreased in 2017 relative to 2016?
 - A Cash.
 - B Quick.
 - C Current.
- 3 Which of the following leverage ratios decreased in 2017 relative to 2016?
 - A Debt-to-equity.
 - B Financial leverage.
 - C Long-term debt-to-equity.

Solution to 1:

A is correct. SAP Group's current ratio (Current assets ÷ Current liabilities) at 31 December 2017 is 1.17 (€11,930 million ÷ €10,210 million).

Solution to 2:

B and C are correct. The ratios are shown in the table below. The quick ratio and current ratio are lower in 2017 than in 2016. The cash ratio is slightly higher.

Liquidity Ratios	Calculation	2017 € in millions	2016 € in millions
Current	Current assets ÷ Current liabilities	€11,930 ÷ €10,210 = 1.17	€11,564 ÷ €9,674 = 1.20
Quick (acid test)	(Cash + Marketable securities + Receivables) ÷ Current liabilities	(€4,011 + €990 + €5,899) ÷ €10,210 = 1.07	(€3,702 + €1,124 + €5,924) ÷ €9,674 = 1.11
Cash	(Cash + Marketable securities) ÷ Current liabilities	€4,011 ÷ €10,210 = 0.39	€3,702 ÷ €9,674 = 0.38

Solution to 3:

A, B, and C are correct. The ratios are shown in the table below. All three leverage ratios decreased in 2017 relative to 2016.

Solvency Ratios	
Long-term debt-to-equity	Total long-term debt ÷ Total equity $\frac{\text{€}5,034}{\text{€}25,540} = \mathbf{19.7\%}$
Debt-to-equity	Total debt ÷ Total equity $(\frac{\text{€}1,561}{\text{€}25,540} + \frac{\text{€}5,034}{\text{€}25,540}) = \mathbf{25.8\%}$
Financial Leverage	Total assets ÷ Total equity $\frac{\text{€}42,497}{\text{€}25,540} = \mathbf{1.66}$
	$\frac{\text{€}44,277}{\text{€}26,397} = \mathbf{1.68}$

Cross-sectional financial ratio analysis can be limited by differences in accounting methods. In addition, lack of homogeneity of a company's operating activities can limit comparability. For diversified companies operating in different industries, using industry-specific ratios for different lines of business can provide better comparisons. Companies disclose information on operating segments. The financial position and performance of the operating segments can be compared to the relevant industry.

Ratio analysis requires a significant amount of judgment. One key area requiring judgment is understanding the limitations of any ratio. The current ratio, for example, is only a rough measure of liquidity at a specific point in time. The ratio captures only the amount of current assets, but the components of current assets differ significantly in their nearness to cash (e.g., marketable securities versus inventory). Another limitation of the current ratio is its sensitivity to end-of-period financing and operating decisions that can potentially impact current asset and current liability amounts. Another overall area requiring judgment is determining whether a ratio for a company is within a reasonable range for an industry. Yet another area requiring judgment is evaluating whether a ratio signifies a persistent condition or reflects only a temporary condition. Overall, evaluating specific ratios requires an examination of the entire operations of a company, its competitors, and the external economic and industry setting in which it is operating.

SUMMARY

The balance sheet (also referred to as the statement of financial position) discloses what an entity owns (assets) and what it owes (liabilities) at a specific point in time. Equity is the owners' residual interest in the assets of a company, net of its liabilities. The amount of equity is increased by income earned during the year, or by the issuance of new equity. The amount of equity is decreased by losses, by dividend payments, or by share repurchases.

An understanding of the balance sheet enables an analyst to evaluate the liquidity, solvency, and overall financial position of a company.

- The balance sheet distinguishes between current and non-current assets and between current and non-current liabilities unless a presentation based on liquidity provides more relevant and reliable information.

- The concept of liquidity relates to a company's ability to pay for its near-term operating needs. With respect to a company overall, liquidity refers to the availability of cash to pay those near-term needs. With respect to a particular asset or liability, liquidity refers to its "nearness to cash."
- Some assets and liabilities are measured on the basis of fair value and some are measured at historical cost. Notes to financial statements provide information that is helpful in assessing the comparability of measurement bases across companies.
- Assets expected to be liquidated or used up within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, are classified as current assets. Assets not expected to be liquidated or used up within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, are classified as non-current assets.
- Liabilities expected to be settled or paid within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, are classified as current liabilities. Liabilities not expected to be settled or paid within one year or one operating cycle of the business, whichever is greater, are classified as non-current liabilities.
- Trade receivables, also referred to as accounts receivable, are amounts owed to a company by its customers for products and services already delivered. Receivables are reported net of the allowance for doubtful accounts.
- Inventories are physical products that will eventually be sold to the company's customers, either in their current form (finished goods) or as inputs into a process to manufacture a final product (raw materials and work-in-process). Inventories are reported at the lower of cost or net realizable value. If the net realizable value of a company's inventory falls below its carrying amount, the company must write down the value of the inventory and record an expense.
- Inventory cost is based on specific identification or estimated using the first-in, first-out or weighted average cost methods. Some accounting standards (including US GAAP but not IFRS) also allow last-in, first-out as an additional inventory valuation method.
- Accounts payable, also called trade payables, are amounts that a business owes its vendors for purchases of goods and services.
- Deferred revenue (also known as unearned revenue) arises when a company receives payment in advance of delivery of the goods and services associated with the payment received.
- Property, plant, and equipment (PPE) are tangible assets that are used in company operations and expected to be used over more than one fiscal period. Examples of tangible assets include land, buildings, equipment, machinery, furniture, and natural resources such as mineral and petroleum resources.
- IFRS provide companies with the choice to report PPE using either a historical cost model or a revaluation model. US GAAP permit only the historical cost model for reporting PPE.
- Depreciation is the process of recognizing the cost of a long-lived asset over its useful life. (Land is not depreciated.)
- Under IFRS, property used to earn rental income or capital appreciation is considered to be an investment property. IFRS provide companies with the choice to report an investment property using either a historical cost model or a fair value model.

- Intangible assets refer to identifiable non-monetary assets without physical substance. Examples include patents, licenses, and trademarks. For each intangible asset, a company assesses whether the useful life is finite or indefinite.
- An intangible asset with a finite useful life is amortised on a systematic basis over the best estimate of its useful life, with the amortisation method and useful-life estimate reviewed at least annually. Impairment principles for an intangible asset with a finite useful life are the same as for PPE.
- An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is not amortised. Instead, it is tested for impairment at least annually.
- For internally generated intangible assets, IFRS require that costs incurred during the research phase must be expensed. Costs incurred in the development stage can be capitalized as intangible assets if certain criteria are met, including technological feasibility, the ability to use or sell the resulting asset, and the ability to complete the project.
- The most common intangible asset that is not a separately identifiable asset is goodwill, which arises in business combinations. Goodwill is not amortised; instead it is tested for impairment at least annually.
- Financial instruments are contracts that give rise to both a financial asset of one entity and a financial liability or equity instrument of another entity. In general, there are two basic alternative ways that financial instruments are measured: fair value or amortised cost. For financial instruments measured at fair value, there are two basic alternatives in how net changes in fair value are recognized: as profit or loss on the income statement, or as other comprehensive income (loss) which bypasses the income statement.
- Typical long-term financial liabilities include loans (i.e., borrowings from banks) and notes or bonds payable (i.e., fixed-income securities issued to investors). Liabilities such as bonds issued by a company are usually reported at amortised cost on the balance sheet.
- Deferred tax liabilities arise from temporary timing differences between a company's income as reported for tax purposes and income as reported for financial statement purposes.
- Six potential components that comprise the owners' equity section of the balance sheet include: contributed capital, preferred shares, treasury shares, retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income, and non-controlling interest.
- The statement of changes in equity reflects information about the increases or decreases in each component of a company's equity over a period.
- Vertical common-size analysis of the balance sheet involves stating each balance sheet item as a percentage of total assets.
- Balance sheet ratios include liquidity ratios (measuring the company's ability to meet its short-term obligations) and solvency ratios (measuring the company's ability to meet long-term and other obligations).

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Resources controlled by a company as a result of past events are:
 - A equity.
 - B assets.
 - C liabilities.
- 2 Equity equals:
 - A Assets – Liabilities.
 - B Liabilities – Assets.
 - C Assets + Liabilities.
- 3 Distinguishing between current and non-current items on the balance sheet and presenting a subtotal for current assets and liabilities is referred to as:
 - A a classified balance sheet.
 - B an unclassified balance sheet.
 - C a liquidity-based balance sheet.
- 4 Shareholders' equity reported on the balance sheet is *most likely* to differ from the market value of shareholders' equity because:
 - A historical cost basis is used for all assets and liabilities.
 - B some factors that affect the generation of future cash flows are excluded.
 - C shareholders' equity reported on the balance sheet is updated continuously.
- 5 The information provided by a balance sheet item is limited because of uncertainty regarding:
 - A measurement of its cost or value with reliability.
 - B the change in current value following the end of the reporting period.
 - C the probability that any future economic benefit will flow to or from the entity.
- 6 Which of the following is *most likely* classified as a current liability?
 - A Payment received for a product due to be delivered at least one year after the balance sheet date
 - B Payments for merchandise due at least one year after the balance sheet date but still within a normal operating cycle
 - C Payment on debt due in six months for which the company has the unconditional right to defer settlement for at least one year after the balance sheet date
- 7 The *most likely* company to use a liquidity-based balance sheet presentation is a:
 - A bank.
 - B computer manufacturer holding inventories.
 - C software company with trade receivables and payables.
- 8 All of the following are current assets *except*:
 - A cash.
 - B goodwill.
 - C inventories.

- 9 The *most* likely costs included in both the cost of inventory and property, plant, and equipment are:
- A selling costs.
 - B storage costs.
 - C delivery costs.
- 10 Debt due within one year is considered:
- A current.
 - B preferred.
 - C convertible.
- 11 Money received from customers for products to be delivered in the future is recorded as:
- A revenue and an asset.
 - B an asset and a liability.
 - C revenue and a liability.
- 12 An example of a contra asset account is:
- A depreciation expense.
 - B sales returns and allowances.
 - C allowance for doubtful accounts.
- 13 The carrying value of inventories reflects:
- A their historical cost.
 - B their current value.
 - C the lower of historical cost or net realizable value.
- 14 When a company pays its rent in advance, its balance sheet will reflect a reduction in:
- A assets and liabilities.
 - B assets and shareholders' equity.
 - C one category of assets and an increase in another.
- 15 Accrued expenses (accrued liabilities) are:
- A expenses that have been paid.
 - B created when another liability is reduced.
 - C expenses that have been reported on the income statement but not yet paid.
- 16 The initial measurement of goodwill is *most likely* affected by:
- A an acquisition's purchase price.
 - B the acquired company's book value.
 - C the fair value of the acquirer's assets and liabilities.
- 17 Defining total asset turnover as revenue divided by average total assets, all else equal, impairment write-downs of long-lived assets owned by a company will *most likely* result in an increase for that company in:
- A the debt-to-equity ratio but not the total asset turnover.
 - B the total asset turnover but not the debt-to-equity ratio.
 - C both the debt-to-equity ratio and the total asset turnover.
- 18 A company has total liabilities of £35 million and total stockholders' equity of £55 million. Total liabilities are represented on a vertical common-size balance sheet by a percentage *closest* to:
- A 35%.

- B** 39%.
- C** 64%.
- 19** For financial assets classified as trading securities, how are unrealized gains and losses reflected in shareholders' equity?
- A** They are not recognized.
- B** They flow through income into retained earnings.
- C** They are a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.
- 20** For financial assets classified as available for sale, how are unrealized gains and losses reflected in shareholders' equity?
- A** They are not recognized.
- B** They flow through retained earnings.
- C** They are a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.
- 21** For financial assets classified as held to maturity, how are unrealized gains and losses reflected in shareholders' equity?
- A** They are not recognized.
- B** They flow through retained earnings.
- C** They are a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.
- 22** The non-controlling (minority) interest in consolidated subsidiaries is presented on the balance sheet:
- A** as a long-term liability.
- B** separately, but as a part of shareholders' equity.
- C** as a mezzanine item between liabilities and shareholders' equity.
- 23** The item "retained earnings" is a component of:
- A** assets.
- B** liabilities.
- C** shareholders' equity.
- 24** When a company buys shares of its own stock to be held in treasury, it records a reduction in:
- A** both assets and liabilities.
- B** both assets and shareholders' equity.
- C** assets and an increase in shareholders' equity.
- 25** Which of the following would an analyst *most likely* be able to determine from a common-size analysis of a company's balance sheet over several periods?
- A** An increase or decrease in sales.
- B** An increase or decrease in financial leverage.
- C** A more efficient or less efficient use of assets.
- 26** An investor concerned whether a company can meet its near-term obligations is *most likely* to calculate the:
- A** current ratio.
- B** return on total capital.
- C** financial leverage ratio.
- 27** The most stringent test of a company's liquidity is its:
- A** cash ratio.
- B** quick ratio.
- C** current ratio.

- 28** An investor worried about a company's long-term solvency would *most likely* examine its:
- current ratio.
 - return on equity.
 - debt-to-equity ratio.
- 29** Using the information presented in Exhibit 4 of the reading, the quick ratio for SAP Group at 31 December 2017 is *closest* to:
- 1.00.
 - 1.07.
 - 1.17.
- 30** Using the information presented in Exhibit 14 of the reading, the financial leverage ratio for SAP Group at 31 December 2017 is *closest* to:
- 1.50.
 - 1.66.
 - 2.00.

Questions 31 through 34 refer to Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1 Common-Size Balance Sheets for Company A, Company B, and Sector Average

	Company A	Company B	Sector Average
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	5	5	7
Marketable securities	5	0	2
Accounts receivable, net	5	15	12
Inventories	15	20	16
Prepaid expenses	5	15	11
Total current assets	35	55	48
Property, plant, and equipment, net	40	35	37
Goodwill	25	0	8
Other assets	0	10	7
Total assets	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	10	10	10
Short-term debt	25	10	15
Accrued expenses	0	5	3
Total current liabilities	35	25	28

Exhibit 1 (Continued)**LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Long-term debt	45	20	28
Other non-current liabilities	0	10	7
Total liabilities	80	55	63
Total shareholders' equity	20	45	37
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>

- 31** Based on Exhibit 1, which statement is *most likely* correct?
- A** Company A has below-average liquidity risk.
 - B** Company B has above-average solvency risk.
 - C** Company A has made one or more acquisitions.
- 32** The quick ratio for Company A is *closest* to:
- A** 0.43.
 - B** 0.57.
 - C** 1.00.
- 33** Based on Exhibit 1, the financial leverage ratio for Company B is *closest* to:
- A** 0.55.
 - B** 1.22.
 - C** 2.22.
- 34** Based on Exhibit 1, which ratio indicates lower liquidity risk for Company A compared with Company B?
- A** Cash ratio
 - B** Quick ratio
 - C** Current ratio

SOLUTIONS

- 1 B is correct. Assets are resources controlled by a company as a result of past events.
- 2 A is correct. Assets = Liabilities + Equity and, therefore, Assets – Liabilities = Equity.
- 3 A is correct. A classified balance sheet is one that classifies assets and liabilities as current or non-current and provides a subtotal for current assets and current liabilities. A liquidity-based balance sheet broadly presents assets and liabilities in order of liquidity.
- 4 B is correct. The balance sheet omits important aspects of a company's ability to generate future cash flows, such as its reputation and management skills. The balance sheet measures some assets and liabilities based on historical cost and measures others based on current value. Market value of shareholders' equity is updated continuously. Shareholders' equity reported on the balance sheet is updated for reporting purposes and represents the value that was current at the end of the reporting period.
- 5 B is correct. Balance sheet information is as of a specific point in time, and items measured at current value reflect the value that was current at the end of the reporting period. For all financial statement items, an item should be recognized in the financial statements only if it is probable that any future economic benefit associated with the item will flow to or from the entity and if the item has a cost or value that can be measured with reliability.
- 6 B is correct. Payments due within one operating cycle of the business, even if they will be settled more than one year after the balance sheet date, are classified as current liabilities. Payment received in advance of the delivery of a good or service creates an obligation or liability. If the obligation is to be fulfilled at least one year after the balance sheet date, it is recorded as a non-current liability, such as deferred revenue or deferred income. Payments that the company has the unconditional right to defer for at least one year after the balance sheet may be classified as non-current liabilities.
- 7 A is correct. A liquidity-based presentation, rather than a current/non-current presentation, may be used by such entities as banks if broadly presenting assets and liabilities in order of liquidity is reliable and more relevant.
- 8 B is correct. Goodwill is a long-term asset, and the others are all current assets.
- 9 C is correct. Both the cost of inventory and property, plant, and equipment include delivery costs, or costs incurred in bringing them to the location for use or resale.
- 10 A is correct. Current liabilities are those liabilities, including debt, due within one year. Preferred refers to a class of stock. Convertible refers to a feature of bonds (or preferred stock) allowing the holder to convert the instrument into common stock.
- 11 B is correct. The cash received from customers represents an asset. The obligation to provide a product in the future is a liability called "unearned income" or "unearned revenue." As the product is delivered, revenue will be recognized and the liability will be reduced.

- 12** C is correct. A contra asset account is netted against (i.e., reduces) the balance of an asset account. The allowance for doubtful accounts reduces the balance of accounts receivable. Accumulated depreciation, not depreciation expense, is a contra asset account. Sales returns and allowances create a contra account that reduce sales, not an asset.
- 13** C is correct. Under IFRS, inventories are carried at historical cost, unless net realizable value of the inventory is less. Under US GAAP, inventories are carried at the lower of cost or market.
- 14** C is correct. Paying rent in advance will reduce cash and increase prepaid expenses, both of which are assets.
- 15** C is correct. Accrued liabilities are expenses that have been reported on a company's income statement but have not yet been paid.
- 16** A is correct. Initially, goodwill is measured as the difference between the purchase price paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the acquired, not acquiring, company's net assets (identifiable assets less liabilities).
- 17** C is correct. Impairment write-downs reduce equity in the denominator of the debt-to-equity ratio but do not affect debt, so the debt-to-equity ratio is expected to increase. Impairment write-downs reduce total assets but do not affect revenue. Thus, total asset turnover is expected to increase.
- 18** B is correct. Vertical common-size analysis involves stating each balance sheet item as a percentage of total assets. Total assets are the sum of total liabilities (£35 million) and total stockholders' equity (£55 million), or £90 million. Total liabilities are shown on a vertical common-size balance sheet as (£35 million/£90 million) \approx 39%.
- 19** B is correct. For financial assets classified as trading securities, unrealized gains and losses are reported on the income statement and flow to shareholders' equity as part of retained earnings.
- 20** C is correct. For financial assets classified as available for sale, unrealized gains and losses are not recorded on the income statement and instead are part of *other* comprehensive income. Accumulated other comprehensive income is a component of Shareholders' equity
- 21** A is correct. Financial assets classified as held to maturity are measured at amortised cost. Gains and losses are recognized only when realized.
- 22** B is correct. The non-controlling interest in consolidated subsidiaries is shown separately as part of shareholders' equity.
- 23** C is correct. The item "retained earnings" is a component of shareholders' equity.
- 24** B is correct. Share repurchases reduce the company's cash (an asset). Shareholders' equity is reduced because there are fewer shares outstanding and treasury stock is an offset to owners' equity.
- 25** B is correct. Common-size analysis (as presented in the reading) provides information about composition of the balance sheet and changes over time. As a result, it can provide information about an increase or decrease in a company's financial leverage.
- 26** A is correct. The current ratio provides a comparison of assets that can be turned into cash relatively quickly and liabilities that must be paid within one year. The other ratios are more suited to longer-term concerns.
- 27** A is correct. The cash ratio determines how much of a company's near-term obligations can be settled with existing amounts of cash and marketable securities.

- 28 C is correct. The debt-to-equity ratio, a solvency ratio, is an indicator of financial risk.
- 29 B is correct. The quick ratio ($[\text{Cash} + \text{Marketable securities} + \text{Receivables}] \div \text{Current liabilities}$) is $1.07 ([= €4,011 + €990 + €5,899] \div €10,210)$. As noted in the text, the largest component of the current financial assets are loans and other financial receivables. Thus, financial assets are included in the quick ratio but not the cash ratio.
- 30 B is correct. The financial leverage ratio ($\text{Total assets} \div \text{Total equity}$) is $1.66 (= €42,497 \div €25,540)$.
- 31 C is correct. The presence of goodwill on Company A's balance sheet signifies that it has made one or more acquisitions in the past. The current, cash, and quick ratios are lower for Company A than for the sector average. These lower liquidity ratios imply above-average liquidity risk. The total debt, long-term debt-to-equity, debt-to-equity, and financial leverage ratios are lower for Company B than for the sector average. These lower solvency ratios imply below-average solvency risk.

Current ratio is $(35/35) = 1.00$ for Company A, versus $(48/28) = 1.71$ for the sector average.

Cash ratio is $(5 + 5)/35 = 0.29$ for Company A, versus $(7 + 2)/28 = 0.32$ for the sector average.

Quick ratio is $(5 + 5 + 5)/35 = 0.43$ for Company A, versus $(7 + 2 + 12)/28 = 0.75$ for the sector average.

Total debt ratio is $(55/100) = 0.55$ for Company B, versus $(63/100) = 0.63$ for the sector average.

Long-term debt-to-equity ratio is $(20/45) = 0.44$ for Company B, versus $(28/37) = 0.76$ for the sector average.

Debt-to-equity ratio is $(55/45) = 1.22$ for Company B, versus $(63/37) = 1.70$ for the sector average.

Financial leverage ratio is $(100/45) = 2.22$ for Company B, versus $(100/37) = 2.70$ for the sector average.

- 32 A is correct. The quick ratio is defined as $(\text{Cash and cash equivalents} + \text{Marketable securities} + \text{receivables}) \div \text{Current liabilities}$. For Company A, this calculation is $(5 + 5 + 5)/35 = 0.43$.
- 33 C is correct. The financial leverage ratio is defined as $\text{Total assets} \div \text{Total equity}$. For Company B, total assets are 100 and total equity is 45; hence, the financial leverage ratio is $100/45 = 2.22$.
- 34 A is correct. The cash ratio is defined as $(\text{Cash} + \text{Marketable securities})/\text{Current liabilities}$. Company A's cash ratio, $(5 + 5)/35 = 0.29$, is higher than $(5 + 0)/25 = 0.20$ for Company B.

READING

19

Understanding Cash Flow Statements

by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA,
J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, and
Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, is at AACSB International (USA). J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, is at BIBD (Brunei). Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA, is at Barry University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. compare cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities and classify cash flow items as relating to one of those three categories given a description of the items;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe how non-cash investing and financing activities are reported;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP);
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe how the cash flow statement is linked to the income statement and the balance sheet;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. demonstrate the conversion of cash flows from the indirect to direct method;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. analyze and interpret both reported and common-size cash flow statements;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. calculate and interpret free cash flow to the firm, free cash flow to equity, and performance and coverage cash flow ratios.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

The cash flow statement provides information about a company's *cash receipts* and *cash payments* during an accounting period. The cash-based information provided by the cash flow statement contrasts with the accrual-based information from the income statement. For example, the income statement reflects revenues when earned rather than when cash is collected; in contrast, the cash flow statement reflects cash receipts when collected as opposed to when the revenue was earned. A reconciliation between reported income and cash flows from operating activities provides useful information about when, whether, and how a company is able to generate cash from its operating activities. Although income is an important measure of the results of a company's activities, cash flow is also essential. As an extreme illustration, a hypothetical company that makes all sales on account, without regard to whether it will ever collect its accounts receivable, would report healthy sales on its income statement and might well report significant income; however, with zero cash inflow, the company would not survive. The cash flow statement also provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending cash on the balance sheet.

In addition to information about cash generated (or, alternatively, cash used) in operating activities, the cash flow statement provides information about cash provided (or used) in a company's investing and financing activities. This information allows the analyst to answer such questions as:

- Does the company generate enough cash from its operations to pay for its new investments, or is the company relying on new debt issuance to finance them?
- Does the company pay its dividends to common stockholders using cash generated from operations, from selling assets, or from issuing debt?

Answers to these questions are important because, in theory, generating cash from operations can continue indefinitely, but generating cash from selling assets, for example, is possible only as long as there are assets to sell. Similarly, generating cash from debt financing is possible only as long as lenders are willing to lend, and the lending decision depends on expectations that the company will ultimately have adequate cash to repay its obligations. In summary, information about the sources and uses of cash helps creditors, investors, and other statement users evaluate the company's liquidity, solvency, and financial flexibility.

This reading explains how cash flow activities are reflected in a company's cash flow statement. The reading is organized as follows. Sections 2–8 describe the components and format of the cash flow statement, including the classification of cash flows under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and the direct and indirect formats for presenting the cash flow statement. Sections 9–15 discuss the linkages of the cash flow statement with the income statement and balance sheet and the steps in the preparation of the cash flow statement. Sections 16–19 demonstrate the analysis of cash flow statements, including the conversion of an indirect cash flow statement to the direct method and how to use common-size cash flow analysis, free cash flow measures, and cash flow ratios used in security analysis. A summary of the key points and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple-choice format conclude the reading.

CLASSIFICATION OF CASH FLOWS AND NON-CASH ACTIVITIES

2

- a compare cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities and classify cash flow items as relating to one of those three categories given a description of the items
- b describe how non-cash investing and financing activities are reported

The analyst needs to be able to extract and interpret information on cash flows from financial statements. The basic components and allowable formats of the cash flow statement are well established.

- The cash flow statement has subsections relating specific items to the operating, investing, and financing activities of the company.
- Two presentation formats for the operating section are allowable: direct and indirect.

The following discussion presents these topics in greater detail.

2.1 Classification of Cash Flows and Non-Cash Activities

All companies engage in operating, investing, and financing activities. These activities are the classifications used in the cash flow statement under both IFRS and US GAAP and are described as follows:¹

- **Operating activities** include the company's day-to-day activities that create revenues, such as selling inventory and providing services, and other activities not classified as investing or financing. Cash inflows result from cash sales and from collection of accounts receivable. Examples include cash receipts from the provision of services and royalties, commissions, and other revenue. To generate revenue, companies undertake such activities as manufacturing inventory, purchasing inventory from suppliers, and paying employees. Cash outflows result from cash payments for inventory, salaries, taxes, and other operating-related expenses and from paying accounts payable. Additionally, operating activities include cash receipts and payments related to **dealing securities** or **trading securities** (as opposed to buying or selling securities as investments, as discussed below).
- **Investing activities** include purchasing and selling long-term assets and other investments. These long-term assets and other investments include property, plant, and equipment; intangible assets; other long-term assets; and both long-term and short-term investments in the equity and debt (bonds and loans) issued by other companies. For this purpose, investments in equity and debt securities exclude securities held for dealing or trading purposes, the purchase and sale of which are considered operating activities even for companies where this is not a primary business activity. Cash inflows in the investing category include cash receipts from the sale of non-trading securities; property, plant, and equipment; intangibles; and other long-term assets. Cash outflows include cash payments for the purchase of these assets.

¹ IAS 7 Statement of Cash Flows.

- **Financing activities** include obtaining or repaying capital, such as equity and long-term debt. The two primary sources of capital are shareholders and creditors. Cash inflows in this category include cash receipts from issuing stock (common or preferred) or bonds and cash receipts from borrowing. Cash outflows include cash payments to repurchase stock (e.g., treasury stock) and to repay bonds and other borrowings. Note that indirect borrowing using accounts payable is not considered a financing activity—such borrowing is classified as an operating activity. The new IFRS standard relating to lease accounting (IFRS 16) affects how operating leases are represented in the cash flow statement.² Under IFRS 16, operating leases are treated similarly to finance leases—that is, the interest component of lease payments will be reflected in either the operating or financing section, and the principal component of lease payments is included in the financing section.

EXAMPLE 1

Net Cash Flow from Investing Activities

A company recorded the following in Year 1:

Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	€300,000
Purchase of equipment	€200,000
Loss on sale of equipment	€70,000
Proceeds from sale of equipment	€120,000
Equity in earnings of affiliate	€10,000

On the Year 1 statement of cash flows, the company would report net cash flow from investing activities *closest* to:

- A (€150,000).
- B (€80,000).
- C €200,000.

Solution:

B is correct. The only two items that would affect the investing section are the purchase of equipment and the proceeds from sale of equipment: (€200,000) + €120,000 = (€80,000). The loss on sale of equipment and the equity in earnings of affiliate affect net income but are not cash flows. The issuance of debt is a financing cash flow.

IFRS provide companies with choices in reporting some items of cash flow, particularly interest and dividends. IFRS explain that although for a financial institution interest paid and received would normally be classified as operating activities, for other entities, alternative classifications may be appropriate. For this reason, under IFRS, interest received may be classified either as an operating activity or as an investing activity. Under IFRS, interest paid may be classified as either an operating activity or a financing activity. Furthermore, under IFRS, dividends received may be classified as either an operating activity or an investing activity and dividends paid may be classified

² IFRS 16 is effective for fiscal years beginning 1 January 2019, with earlier voluntary adoption allowed.

as either an operating activity or a financing activity. Companies must use a consistent classification from year to year and disclose separately the amounts of interest and dividends received and paid and where the amounts are reported.

Under US GAAP, discretion is not permitted in classifying interest and dividends. Interest received and interest paid are reported as operating activities for all companies.³ Under US GAAP, dividends received are always reported as operating activities and dividends paid are always reported as financing activities.

EXAMPLE 2

Operating versus Financing Cash Flows

On 31 December 2018, a company issued a £30,000 180-day note at 8 percent and used the cash received to pay for inventory and issued £110,000 long-term debt at 11 percent annually and used the cash received to pay for new equipment. Which of the following *most* accurately reflects the combined effect of both transactions on the company's cash flows for the year ended 31 December 2018 under IFRS? Cash flows from:

- A operations are unchanged.
- B financing increase £110,000.
- C operations decrease £30,000.

Solution:

C is correct. The payment for inventory would decrease cash flows from operations. The issuance of debt (both short-term and long-term debt) is part of financing activities and would increase cash flows from financing activities by £140,000. The purchase of equipment is an investing activity. Note that the treatment under US GAAP would be the same for these transactions.

Companies may also engage in non-cash investing and financing transactions. A non-cash transaction is any transaction that does not involve an inflow or outflow of cash. For example, if a company exchanges one non-monetary asset for another non-monetary asset, no cash is involved. Similarly, no cash is involved when a company issues common stock either for dividends or in connection with conversion of a convertible bond or convertible preferred stock. Because no cash is involved in non-cash transactions (by definition), these transactions are not incorporated in the cash flow statement. However, because such transactions may affect a company's capital or asset structures, any significant non-cash transaction is required to be disclosed, either in a separate note or a supplementary schedule to the cash flow statement.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: DIFFERENCES BETWEEN IFRS AND US GAAP

3

- contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP)

³ FASB ASC Topic 230 [Statement of Cash Flows].

As highlighted in the previous section, there are some differences in cash flow statements prepared under IFRS and US GAAP that the analyst should be aware of when comparing the cash flow statements of companies prepared in accordance with different sets of standards. The key differences are summarized in Exhibit 1. Most significantly, IFRS allow more flexibility in the reporting of such items as interest paid or received and dividends paid or received and in how income tax expense is classified.

US GAAP classify interest and dividends received from investments as operating activities, whereas IFRS allow companies to classify those items as either operating or investing cash flows. Likewise, US GAAP classify interest expense as an operating activity, even though the principal amount of the debt issued is classified as a financing activity. IFRS allow companies to classify interest expense as either an operating activity or a financing activity. US GAAP classify dividends paid to stockholders as a financing activity, whereas IFRS allow companies to classify dividends paid as either an operating activity or a financing activity.

US GAAP classify all income tax expenses as an operating activity. IFRS also classify income tax expense as an operating activity, unless the tax expense can be specifically identified with an investing or financing activity (e.g., the tax effect of the sale of a discontinued operation could be classified under investing activities).

Exhibit 1 Cash Flow Statements: Differences between IFRS and US GAAP

Topic	IFRS	US GAAP
Classification of cash flows:		
■ Interest received	Operating or investing	Operating
■ Interest paid	Operating or financing	Operating
■ Dividends received	Operating or investing	Operating
■ Dividends paid	Operating or financing	Financing
■ Bank overdrafts	Considered part of cash equivalents	Not considered part of cash and cash equivalents and classified as financing
■ Taxes paid	Generally operating, but a portion can be allocated to investing or financing if it can be specifically identified with these categories	Operating
Format of statement	Direct or indirect; direct is encouraged	Direct or indirect; direct is encouraged. A reconciliation of net income to cash flow from operating activities must be provided regardless of method used

Sources: IAS 7; FASB ASC Topic 230; and "IFRS and US GAAP: Similarities and Differences," PricewaterhouseCoopers (November 2017), available at www.pwc.com.

Under either set of standards, companies currently have a choice of formats for presenting cash flow statements, as discussed in the next section.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: DIRECT AND INDIRECT METHODS FOR REPORTING CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

4

- ↳ compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method

There are two acceptable formats for reporting **cash flow from operating activities** (also known as **cash flow from operations** or **operating cash flow**), defined as the net amount of cash provided from operating activities: the direct and the indirect methods. The *amount* of operating cash flow is identical under both methods; only the *presentation format* of the operating cash flow section differs. The presentation format of the cash flows from investing and financing is exactly the same, regardless of which method is used to present operating cash flows.

The **direct method** shows the specific cash inflows and outflows that result in reported cash flow from operating activities. It shows each cash inflow and outflow related to a company's cash receipts and disbursements. In other words, the direct method eliminates any impact of accruals and shows only cash receipts and cash payments. The primary argument in favor of the direct method is that it provides information on the specific sources of operating cash receipts and payments. This is in contrast to the indirect method, which shows only the net result of these receipts and payments. Just as information on the specific sources of revenues and expenses is more useful than knowing only the net result—net income—the analyst gets additional information from a direct-format cash flow statement. The additional information is useful in understanding historical performance and in predicting future operating cash flows.

The **indirect method** shows how cash flow from operations can be obtained from reported net income as the result of a series of adjustments. The **indirect format** begins with net income. To reconcile net income with operating cash flow, adjustments are made for non-cash items, for non-operating items, and for the net changes in operating accruals. The main argument for the indirect approach is that it shows the reasons for differences between net income and operating cash flows. (However, the differences between net income and operating cash flows are equally visible on an indirect-format cash flow statement and in the supplementary reconciliation required under US GAAP if the company uses the direct method.) Another argument for the indirect method is that it mirrors a forecasting approach that begins by forecasting future income and then derives cash flows by adjusting for changes in balance sheet accounts that occur because of the timing differences between accrual and cash accounting.

IFRS and US GAAP both encourage the use of the direct method but permit either method. US GAAP encourage the use of the direct method but also require companies to present a reconciliation between net income and cash flow (which is equivalent to the indirect method).⁴ If the indirect method is chosen, no direct-format disclosures are required. The majority of companies, reporting under IFRS or US GAAP, present using the indirect method for operating cash flows.

Many users of financial statements prefer the **direct format**, particularly analysts and commercial lenders, because of the importance of information about operating receipts and payments in assessing a company's financing needs and capacity to repay existing obligations. Preparers argue that adjusting net income to operating cash flow, as in the indirect format, is easier and less costly than reporting gross operating cash receipts and payments, as in the direct format. With advances in accounting systems

⁴ FASB ASC Section 230-10-45 [Statement of Cash Flows—Overall—Other Presentation Matters].

and technology, it is not clear that gathering the information required to use the direct method is difficult or costly. CFA Institute has advocated that standard setters require the use of the direct format for the main presentation of the cash flow statement, with indirect cash flows as supplementary disclosure.⁵

5

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: INDIRECT METHOD UNDER IFRS

- c contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP)
- d compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method

Exhibit 2 presents the consolidated cash flow statement prepared under IFRS from Unilever Group's 2017 annual report. The statement, covering the fiscal years ended 31 December 2017, 2016, and 2015, shows the use of the indirect method. Unilever is an Anglo-Dutch consumer products company with headquarters in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands.⁶

Exhibit 2 Unilever Group Consolidated Cash Flow Statement (€ millions)

	For the year ended 31 December		
	2017	2016	2015
Cash flow from operating activities			
Net profit	6,486	5,547	5,259
Taxation	1,667	1,922	1,961
Share of net profit of joint ventures/associates and other income (loss) from non-current investments and associates	(173)	(231)	(198)
Net finance costs:	877	563	493
Operating profit	8,857	7,801	7,515
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment	1,538	1,464	1,370
Changes in working capital:			
Inventories	(104)	190	(129)
Trade and other current receivables	(506)	142	2
Trade payables and other liabilities	542	(281)	847
Pensions and similar obligations less payments	(904)	(327)	(385)
Provisions less payments	200	65	(94)
Elimination of (profits)/losses on disposals	(298)	127	26
Non-cash charge for share-based compensation	284	198	150
Other adjustments	(153)	(81)	49

⁵ A Comprehensive Business Reporting Model: Financial Reporting for Investors, CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity (July 2007), p. 13.

⁶ Unilever NV and Unilever PLC have independent legal structures, but a series of agreements enable the companies to operate as a single economic entity.

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

	For the year ended 31 December		
	2017	2016	2015
Cash flow from operating activities	9,456	9,298	9,351
Income tax paid	(2,164)	(2,251)	(2,021)
Net cash flow from operating activities	7,292	7,047	7,330
Interest received	154	105	119
Purchase of intangible assets	(158)	(232)	(334)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(1,509)	(1,804)	(1,867)
Disposal of property, plant and equipment	46	158	127
Acquisition of group companies, joint ventures and associates	(4,896)	(1,731)	(1,897)
Disposal of group companies, joint ventures and associates	561	30	199
Acquisition of other non-current investments	(317)	(208)	(78)
Disposal of other non-current investments	251	173	127
Dividends from joint ventures, associates and other non-current investments	138	186	176
(Purchase)/sale of financial assets	(149)	135	(111)
Net cash flow (used in)/from investing activities	(5,879)	(3,188)	(3,539)
Dividends paid on ordinary share capital	(3,916)	(3,609)	(3,331)
Interest and preference dividends paid	(470)	(472)	(579)
Net change in short-term borrowings	2,695	258	245
	8,851	6,761	7,566
Additional financial liabilities			
Repayment of financial liabilities	(2,604)	(5,213)	(6,270)
Capital element of finance lease rental payments	(14)	(35)	(14)
Buy back of preference shares	(448)	—	—
Repurchase of shares	(5,014)	—	—
Other movements on treasury stock	(204)	(257)	(276)
Other financing activities	(309)	(506)	(373)
Net cash flow (used in)/from financing activities	(1,433)	(3,073)	(3,032)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(20)	786	759
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	3,198	2,128	1,910
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes	(9)	284	(541)
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	3,169	3,198	2,128

Beginning first at the bottom of the statement, we note that cash increased from €1,910 million at the beginning of 2015 to €3,169 million at the end of 2017, with the largest increase occurring in 2016. To understand the changes, we next examine the sections of the statement. In each year, the primary cash inflow derived from operating activities, as would be expected for a mature company in a relatively stable industry. In each year, the operating cash flow was more than the reported net profit, again, as would be expected from a mature company, with the largest differences primarily arising from the add-back of depreciation. Also, in each year, the operating cash flow

was more than enough to cover the company's capital expenditures. For example, in 2017, the company generated €7,292 million in net cash from operating activities and—as shown in the investing section—spent €1,509 million on property, plant, and equipment. The operating cash flow was also sufficient to cover acquisitions of other companies.

The financing section of the statement shows that each year the company returned more than €3.3 billion to its common shareholders through dividends and around €500 million to its debt holders and preferred shareholders via interest and dividends. In 2017, the company used cash to repurchase about €5 billion in common stock in and generated cash from increased borrowing. The increase in short-term borrowings (€2,695 million) and additional financial liabilities (€8,851 million) exceeded the cash repayment of liabilities (€2,604 million).

Having examined each section of the statement, we return to the operating activities section of Unilever's cash flow statement, which presents a reconciliation of net profit to net cash flow from operating activities (i.e., uses the indirect method). The following discussion of certain adjustments to reconcile net profit to operating cash flows explains some of the main reconciliation adjustments and refers to the amounts in 2017. The first adjustment adds back the €1,667 million income tax expense (labeled "Taxation") that had been recognized as an expense in the computation of net profit. A €2,164 million deduction for the (cash) income taxes paid is then shown separately, as the last item in the operating activities section, consistent with the IFRS requirement that cash flows arising from income taxes be separately disclosed. The classification of taxes on income paid should be indicated. The classification is in operating activities unless the taxes can be specifically identified with financing or investing activities.

The next adjustment "removes" from the operating cash flow section the €173 million representing Unilever's share of joint ventures' income that had been included in the computation of net profit. A €138 million inflow of (cash) dividends received from those joint ventures is then shown in the investing activities section. Similarly, a €877 million adjustment removes the net finance costs from the operating activities section. Unilever then reports its €154 million (cash) interest received in the investing activities section and its €470 million (cash) interest paid (and preference dividends paid) in the financing activities section. The next adjustment in the operating section of this indirect-method statement adds back €1,538 million depreciation, amortisation, and impairment, all of which are expenses that had been deducted in the computation of net income but which did not involve any outflow of cash in the period. The €68 million adjustment for changes in working capital is necessary because these changes result from applying accrual accounting and thus do not necessarily correspond to the actual cash movement. These adjustments are described in greater detail in a later section.

In summary, some observations from an analysis of Unilever's cash flow statement include:

- Total cash increased from €1,910 million at the beginning of 2015 to €3,169 million at the end of 2017, with the largest increase occurring in 2016.
- In each year, the operating cash flow was more than the reported net profit, as would generally be expected from a mature company.
- In each year, the operating cash flow was more than enough to cover the company's capital expenditures.
- The company returned cash to its equity investors through dividends in each year and through share buybacks in 2017.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: DIRECT METHOD UNDER IFRS

6

- c contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP)
- d compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method

In the direct format of the cash flow statement, the cash received from customers, as well as other operating items, is clearly shown.

Exhibit 3 presents a direct-method format cash flow statement prepared under IFRS for Telefónica Group, a diversified telecommunications company based in Madrid.⁷

Exhibit 3 Telefónica Group Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (€ millions)

for the years ended 31 December	2017	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities			
Cash received from operations	63,456	63,514	67,582
Cash paid from operations	(46,929)	(47,384)	(50,833)
Net interest and other financial expenses net of dividends received	(1,726)	(2,143)	(2,445)
Taxes paid	(1,005)	(649)	(689)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	13,796	13,338	13,615
Cash flows from investing activities			
(Payments on investments)/proceeds from the sale in property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, net	(8,992)	(9,187)	(10,256)
Proceeds on disposals of companies, net of cash and cash equivalents disposed	40	767	354
Payments on investments in companies, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired	(128)	(54)	(3,181)
Proceeds on financial investments not included under cash equivalents	296	489	1,142
Payments made on financial investments not included under cash equivalents	(1,106)	(265)	(426)
(Payments)/proceeds on placements of cash surpluses not included under cash equivalents	(357)	42	(557)
Government grants received	2	—	7
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,245)	(8,208)	(12,917)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Dividends paid	(2,459)	(2,906)	(2,775)
Proceeds from share capital increase	2	—	4,255
Proceeds/(payments) of treasury shares and other operations with shareholders and with minority interests	1,269	(660)	(1,772)

(continued)

⁷ This statement excludes the supplemental cash flow reconciliation provided at the bottom of the original cash flow statement by the company.

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

Operations with other equity holders	646	656	83
Proceeds on issue of debentures and bonds, and other debts	8,390	5,693	1,602
Proceeds on loans, borrowings and promissory notes	4,844	10,332	8,784
Repayments of debentures and bonds and other debts	(6,687)	(6,873)	(3,805)
Repayments of loans, borrowings and promissory notes	(6,711)	(8,506)	(9,858)
Financed operating payments and investments in property, plant and equipment and intangible assets payments	(1,046)	(1,956)	(126)
Net cash flow used in financing activities	(1,752)	(4,220)	(3,612)
Effect of changes in exchange rates	(341)	185	(1,000)
Effect of changes in consolidation methods and others	(2)	26	—
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents during the period	1,456	1,121	(3,914)
Cash and cash equivalents at 1 January	3,736	2,615	6,529
Cash and cash equivalents at 31 December	5,192	3,736	2,615

As shown at the bottom of the statement, cash and cash equivalents decreased from €6,529 million at the beginning of 2015 to €5,192 million at the end of 2017. The largest decrease in cash occurred in 2015. Cash from operations was the primary source of cash, consistent with the profile of a mature company in a relatively stable industry. Each year, the company generated significantly more cash from operations than it required for its capital expenditures. For example, in 2017, the company generated €13.8 billion cash from operations and spent—as shown in the investing section—only €9 billion on property, plant, and equipment, net of proceeds from sales. Another notable item from the investing section is the company's limited acquisition activity in 2017 and 2016 compared with 2015. In 2015, the company made over €3 billion of acquisitions. As shown in the financing section, cash flows from financing was negative in all three years, although the components of the negative cash flows differed. In 2015, for example, the company generated cash with an equity issuance of €4.2 billion but made significant net repayments of debts resulting in negative cash from financing activities.

In summary, some observations from an analysis of Telefónica's cash flow statement include

- Total cash and cash equivalents decreased over the three-year period, with 2015 showing the biggest decrease.
- Cash from operating activities was large enough in each year to cover the company's capital expenditures.
- The amount paid for property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets was the largest investing expenditure each year.
- The company had a significant amount of acquisition activity in 2015.
- The company paid dividends each year although the amount in 2017 is somewhat lower than in prior years.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: DIRECT METHOD UNDER US GAAP

7

- c contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP)
- d compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method

Previously, we presented cash flow statements prepared under IFRS. In this section, we illustrate cash flow statements prepared under US GAAP. This section presents the cash flow statements of two companies, Tech Data Corporation and Walmart. Tech Data reports its operating activities using the direct method, whereas Walmart reports its operating activities using the more common indirect method.

Tech Data Corporation is a leading distributor of information technology products. Exhibit 4 presents comparative cash flow statements from the company's annual report for the fiscal years ended 31 January 2016 through 2018.

Exhibit 4 Tech Data Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Cash Flow Statements (in Thousands)

Years Ended 31 January	2018	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Cash received from customers	\$42,981,601	\$29,427,357	\$28,119,687
Cash paid to vendors and employees	(41,666,356)	(28,664,222)	(27,819,886)
Interest paid, net	(86,544)	(22,020)	(20,264)
Income taxes paid	(131,632)	(84,272)	(85,645)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,097,069	656,843	193,892
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	(2,249,849)	(2,916)	(27,848)
Expenditures for property and equipment	(192,235)	(24,971)	(20,917)
Software and software development costs	(39,702)	(14,364)	(13,055)
Proceeds from sale of subsidiaries	0	0	20,020
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,481,786)	(42,251)	(41,800)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings on long-term debt	1,008,148	998,405	—
Principal payments on long-term debt	(861,394)	—	(319)
Cash paid for debt issuance costs	(6,348)	(21,581)	—
Net borrowings on revolving credit loans	(16,028)	3,417	5,912
Cash paid for purchase of treasury stock	—	—	(147,003)
Payments for employee withholdings on equity awards	(6,027)	(4,479)	(4,662)
Proceeds from the reissuance of treasury stock	1,543	733	561
Acquisition of earn-out payments	—	—	(2,736)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	119,894	976,495	(148,247)

(continued)

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

Years Ended 31 January	2018	2017	2016
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	94,860	3,335	(15,671)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,169,963)	1,594,422	(11,826)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,125,591	531,169	542,995
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$955,628	\$2,125,591	\$531,169
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net income	\$116,641	\$195,095	\$265,736
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	150,046	54,437	57,253
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	21,022	5,026	6,061
Stock-based compensation expense	29,381	13,947	14,890
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries	—	—	699
Accretion of debt discount and debt issuance costs	3,326	835	839
Deferred income taxes	(4,261)	(11,002)	2,387
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(554,627)	(91,961)	(297,637)
Inventories	(502,352)	(20,838)	(219,482)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	32,963	66,027	(44,384)
Accounts payable	1,704,307	459,146	426,412
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	100,623	(13,869)	(18,882)
Total adjustments	980,428	461,748	(71,844)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$1,097,069	\$656,843	\$193,892

Tech Data Corporation prepares its cash flow statements under the direct method. The company's cash increased from \$543 million at the beginning of 2016 to \$956 million at the end of January 2018, with the biggest increase occurring in 2017. The 2017 increase was driven by changes in both operating cash flow and financing cash flow. In the cash flows from operating activities section of Tech Data's cash flow statements, the company identifies the amount of cash it received from customers, \$43 billion for 2018, and the amount of cash that it paid to suppliers and employees, \$41.7 billion for 2018. Cash receipts increased from \$29.4 billion in the prior year and cash paid also increased substantially. Net cash provided by operating activities was adequate to cover the company's investing activities in 2016 and 2017 but not in 2018, primarily because of increased amounts of cash used for acquisition of business. Related to this investing cash outflow for an acquisition, footnotes disclose that the major acquisition in 2018 accounted for the large increase in cash receipts and cash payments in the operating section. Also related to the 2018 acquisition, the financing section shows

that the company borrowed more debt than it repaid in both 2017 and 2018. In 2017, borrowings on long-term debt were \$998.4 million, and net borrowings on revolving credit loans were \$3.4 million. In 2018, the company generated cash by borrowing more long-term debt than it repaid but used cash to pay down its revolving credit loans. There are no dividend payments, although in 2016, the company paid \$147 million to repurchase its common stock.

Whenever the direct method is used, US GAAP require a disclosure note and a schedule that reconciles net income with the net cash flow from operating activities. Tech Data shows this reconciliation at the bottom of its consolidated statements of cash flows. The disclosure note and reconciliation schedule are exactly the information that would have been presented in the body of the cash flow statement if the company had elected to use the indirect method rather than the direct method. For 2018, the reconciliation highlights an increase in the company's accounts receivable, inventory, and payables.

In summary, some observations from an analysis of Tech Data's cash flow statement include:

- The company's cash increased by over \$412 million over the three years ending in January 2018, with the biggest increase occurring in 2017.
- The company's operating cash was adequate to cover the company's investments in 2016 and 2017, but not in 2018 primarily because of a major acquisition.
- Related to the 2018 acquisition, the financing section shows an increase in long-term borrowings in 2017 and 2018, including a \$998 million increase in 2017.
- The company has not paid dividends in the past three years, but the financing section shows that in 2016 the company repurchased stock.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT: INDIRECT METHOD UNDER US GAAP

8

- c contrast cash flow statements prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP)
- d compare and contrast the direct and indirect methods of presenting cash from operating activities and describe arguments in favor of each method

Walmart is a global retailer that conducts business under the names of Walmart and Sam's Club. Exhibit 5 presents the comparative cash flow statements from the company's annual report for the fiscal years ended 31 January 2018, 2017, and 2016.

Exhibit 5 Walmart Cash Flow Statements Fiscal Years Ended 31 January (\$ millions)

Fiscal Year Ended 31 January	2018	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Consolidated net income	10,523	14,293	15,080
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	10,529	10,080	9,454
Deferred income taxes	(304)	761	(672)

(continued)

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended 31 January	2018	2017	2016
Loss on extinguishment of debt	3,136	—	—
Other operating activities	1,210	206	1,410
Changes in certain assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:			
Receivables, net	(1,074)	(402)	(19)
Inventories	(140)	1,021	(703)
Accounts payable	4,086	3,942	2,008
Accrued liabilities	928	1,280	1,466
Accrued income taxes	(557)	492	(472)
Net cash provided by operating activities	28,337	31,673	27,552
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Payments for property and equipment	(10,051)	(10,619)	(11,477)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	378	456	635
Proceeds from the disposal of certain operations	1,046	662	246
Purchase of available for sale securities	—	(1,901)	—
Investment and business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(375)	(2,463)	—
Other investing activities	(58)	(122)	(79)
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,060)	(13,987)	(10,675)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net change in short-term borrowings	4,148	(1,673)	1,235
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	7,476	137	39
Payments of long-term debt	(13,061)	(2,055)	(4,432)
Payment for debt extinguishment or debt prepayment cost	(3,059)	—	—
Dividends paid	(6,124)	(6,216)	(6,294)
Purchase of Company stock	(8,296)	(8,298)	(4,112)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(690)	(479)	(719)
Purchase of noncontrolling interest	(8)	(90)	(1,326)
Other financing activities	(261)	(398)	(676)
Net cash used in financing activities	(19,875)	(19,072)	(16,285)
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	487	(452)	(1,022)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(111)	(1,838)	(430)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	6,867	8,705	9,135
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	6,756	6,867	8,705
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Income taxes paid	6,179	4,507	8,111
Interest paid	2,450	2,351	2,540

Walmart's cash flow statement indicates the following:

- Cash and cash equivalents declined over the three years, from \$9.1 billion at the beginning of fiscal 2016 to \$6.8 billion at the end of fiscal 2018.

- Operating cash flow was relatively steady at \$27.6 billion, \$31.7 billion, and \$28.3 billion in fiscal 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively. Further, operating cash flow was significantly greater than the company's expenditures on property and equipment in every year.
- Over the three years, the company used significant amounts of cash to pay dividends and to repurchase its common stock. The company also repaid borrowing, particularly in fiscal 2018.

Walmart prepares its cash flow statements under the indirect method. In the cash flows from operating activities section of Walmart's cash flow statement, the company reconciles its net income for 2018 of \$10.5 billion to net cash provided by operating activities of \$28.3 billion. The largest adjustment is for depreciation and amortization of \$10.5 billion. Depreciation and amortization expense requires an adjustment because it was a non-cash expense on the income statement. As illustrated in previous examples, depreciation is the largest or one of the largest adjustments made by many companies in the reconciliation of net income to operating cash flow.

Whenever the indirect method is used, US GAAP mandate disclosure of how much cash was paid for interest and income taxes. Note that these are line items in cash flow statements using the direct method, so disclosure does not have to be mandated. Walmart discloses the amount of cash paid for income tax (\$6.2 billion) and interest (\$2.5 billion) at the bottom of its cash flow statements.

LINKAGES OF CASH FLOW STATEMENT WITH THE INCOME STATEMENT AND BALANCE SHEET

9

- e describe how the cash flow statement is linked to the income statement and the balance sheet

The indirect format of the cash flow statement demonstrates that changes in balance sheet accounts are an important factor in determining cash flows. The next section addresses the linkages between the cash flow statement and other financial statements.

9.1 Linkages of the Cash Flow Statement with the Income Statement and Balance Sheet

Recall the accounting equation that summarizes the balance sheet:

$$\text{Assets} = \text{Liabilities} + \text{Equity}$$

Cash is an asset. The statement of cash flows ultimately shows the change in cash during an accounting period. The beginning and ending balances of cash are shown on the company's balance sheets for the previous and current years, and the bottom of the cash flow statement reconciles beginning cash with ending cash. The relationship, stated in general terms, is as shown below.

Beginning Balance Sheet at 31 December 20X8	Statement of Cash Flows for Year Ended 31 December 20X9	Ending Balance Sheet at 31 December 20X9
Beginning cash	Plus: Cash receipts (from operating, investing, and financing activities)	Less: Cash payments (for operating, investing, and financing activities)

In the case of cash held in foreign currencies, there would also be an impact from changes in exchange rates. For example, Walmart's cash flow statement for 2018, presented in Exhibit 5, shows overall cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities that total \$(111) million during the year, including \$487 million net effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents.

The body of Walmart's cash flow statement shows why the change in cash occurred; in other words, it shows the company's operating, investing, and financing activities (as well as the impact of foreign currency translation). The beginning and ending balance sheet values of cash and cash equivalents are linked through the cash flow statement.

The current assets and current liabilities sections of the balance sheet typically reflect a company's operating decisions and activities. Because a company's operating activities are reported on an accrual basis in the income statement, any differences between the accrual basis and the cash basis of accounting for an operating transaction result in an increase or decrease in some (usually) short-term asset or liability on the balance sheet. For example, if revenue reported using accrual accounting is higher than the cash actually collected, the result will typically be an increase in accounts receivable. If expenses reported using accrual accounting are lower than cash actually paid, the result will typically be a decrease in accounts payable or another accrued liability account⁸. As an example of how items on the balance sheet are related to the income statement and/or cash flow statement through the change in the beginning and ending balances, consider accounts receivable:

Beginning Balance Sheet at 31 December 20X8	Income Statement for Year Ended 31 December 20X9	Statement of Cash Flows for Year Ended 31 December 20X9	Ending Balance Sheet at 31 December 20X9
Beginning accounts receivable	Plus: Revenues	Minus: Cash collected from customers	Equals: Ending accounts receivable

Knowing any three of these four items makes it easy to compute the fourth. For example, if you know beginning accounts receivable, revenues, and cash collected from customers, you can compute ending accounts receivable. Understanding the interrelationships among the balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement is useful not only in evaluating the company's financial health but also in detecting accounting irregularities. Recall the extreme illustration of a hypothetical company that makes sales on account without regard to future collections and thus reports healthy sales and significant income on its income statement yet lacks cash inflow. Such a pattern would occur if a company improperly recognized revenue.

A company's investing activities typically relate to the long-term asset section of the balance sheet, and its financing activities typically relate to the equity and long-term debt sections of the balance sheet. The next section demonstrates the preparation of cash flow information based on income statement and balance sheet information.

⁸ There are other less typical explanations of the differences. For example, if revenue reported using accrual accounting is higher than the cash actually collected, it is possible that it is the result of a decrease in an unearned revenue liability account. If expenses reported using accrual accounting are lower than cash actually paid, it is possible that it is the result of an increase in prepaid expenses, inventory, or another asset account.

PREPARING THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT: THE DIRECT METHOD FOR OPERATING ACTIVITIES

10

- f describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data

The preparation of the cash flow statement uses data from both the income statement and the comparative balance sheets.

As noted earlier, companies often only disclose indirect operating cash flow information, whereas analysts prefer direct-format information. Understanding how cash flow information is put together will enable you to take an indirect statement apart and reconfigure it in a more useful manner. The result is an approximation of a direct cash flow statement, which—while not perfectly accurate—can be helpful to an analyst. The following demonstration of how an approximation of a direct cash flow statement is prepared uses the income statement and the comparative balance sheets for Acme Corporation (a fictitious retail company) shown in Exhibits 6 and 7.

Exhibit 6 Acme Corporation Income Statement Year Ended 31 December 2018

Revenue (net)	\$23,598
Cost of goods sold	11,456
Gross profit	12,142
Salary and wage expense	\$4,123
Depreciation expense	1,052
Other operating expenses	3,577
Total operating expenses	8,752
Operating profit	3,390
Other revenues (expenses):	
Gain on sale of equipment	205
Interest expense	(246)
Income before tax	(41)
Income tax expense	3,349
Net income	1,139
	<u><u>\$2,210</u></u>

Exhibit 7 Acme Corporation Comparative Balance Sheets 31 December 2018 and 2017

	2018	2017	Net Change
Cash	\$1,011	\$1,163	\$(152)
Accounts receivable	1,012	957	55
Inventory	3,984	3,277	707
Prepaid expenses	155	178	(23)

(continued)

Exhibit 7 (Continued)

	2018	2017	Net Change
Total current assets	6,162	5,575	587
Land	510	510	—
Buildings	3,680	3,680	—
Equipment*	8,798	8,555	243
Less: accumulated depreciation	(3,443)	(2,891)	(552)
Total long-term assets	9,545	9,854	(309)
Total assets	<u>\$15,707</u>	<u>\$15,429</u>	<u>\$278</u>
Accounts payable	\$3,588	\$3,325	\$263
Salary and wage payable	85	75	10
Interest payable	62	74	(12)
Income tax payable	55	50	5
Other accrued liabilities	1,126	1,104	22
Total current liabilities	<u>4,916</u>	<u>4,628</u>	<u>288</u>
Long-term debt	3,075	3,575	(500)
Common stock	3,750	4,350	(600)
Retained earnings	3,966	2,876	1,090
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$15,707</u>	<u>\$15,429</u>	<u>\$278</u>

* During 2018, Acme purchased new equipment for a total cost of \$1,300. No items impacted retained earnings other than net income and dividends.

The first step in preparing the cash flow statement is to determine the total cash flows from operating activities. The direct method of presenting cash from operating activities is illustrated in sections 10–13. Section 14 illustrates the indirect method of presenting cash flows from operating activities. Cash flows from investing activities and from financing activities are identical regardless of whether the direct or indirect method is used to present operating cash flows.

10.1 Operating Activities: Direct Method

We first determine how much cash Acme received from its customers, followed by how much cash was paid to suppliers and to employees as well as how much cash was paid for other operating expenses, interest, and income taxes.

10.1.1 Cash Received from Customers

The income statement for Acme reported revenue of \$23,598 for the year ended 31 December 2018. To determine the approximate cash receipts from its customers, it is necessary to adjust this revenue amount by the net change in accounts receivable for the year. If accounts receivable increase during the year, revenue on an accrual basis is higher than cash receipts from customers, and vice versa. For Acme Corporation, accounts receivable increased by \$55, so cash received from customers was \$23,543, as follows:

Revenue	\$23,598
Less: Increase in accounts receivable	(55)
Cash received from customers	<u><u>\$23,543</u></u>

Cash received from customers affects the accounts receivable account as follows:

Beginning accounts receivable	957
Plus revenue	23,598
Minus cash collected from customers	<u>(23,543)</u>
Ending accounts receivable	<u><u>\$1,012</u></u>

The accounts receivable account information can also be presented as follows:

Beginning accounts receivable	\$957
Plus revenue	23,598
Minus ending accounts receivable	<u>(1,012)</u>
Cash collected from customers	<u><u>\$23,543</u></u>

EXAMPLE 3

Computing Cash Received from Customers

Blue Bayou, a fictitious advertising company, reported revenues of \$50 million, total expenses of \$35 million, and net income of \$15 million in the most recent year. If accounts receivable decreased by \$12 million, how much cash did the company receive from customers?

- A \$38 million.
- B \$50 million.
- C \$62 million.

Solution:

C is correct. Revenues of \$50 million plus the decrease in accounts receivable of \$12 million equals \$62 million cash received from customers. The decrease in accounts receivable means that the company received more in cash than the amount of revenue it reported.

“Cash received from customers” is sometimes referred to as “cash collections from customers” or “cash collections.”

10.1.2 Cash Paid to Suppliers

For Acme, the cash paid to suppliers was \$11,900, determined as follows:

Cost of goods sold	\$11,456
Plus: Increase in inventory	707
Equals purchases from suppliers	<u>\$12,163</u>
Less: Increase in accounts payable	(263)
Cash paid to suppliers	<u><u>\$11,900</u></u>

There are two pieces to this calculation: the amount of inventory purchased and the amount paid for it. To determine purchases from suppliers, cost of goods sold is adjusted for the change in inventory. If inventory increased during the year, then

purchases during the year exceeded cost of goods sold, and vice versa. Acme reported cost of goods sold of \$11,456 for the year ended 31 December 2018. For Acme Corporation, inventory increased by \$707, so purchases from suppliers was \$12,163. Purchases from suppliers affect the inventory account, as shown below:

Beginning inventory	\$3,277
Plus purchases	12,163
Minus cost of goods sold	(11,456)
Ending inventory	<u><u>\$3,984</u></u>

Acme purchased \$12,163 of inventory from suppliers in 2018, but is this the amount of cash that Acme paid to its suppliers during the year? Not necessarily. Acme may not have yet paid for all of these purchases and may yet owe for some of the purchases made this year. In other words, Acme may have paid less cash to its suppliers than the amount of this year's purchases, in which case Acme's liability (accounts payable) will have increased by the difference. Alternatively, Acme may have paid even more to its suppliers than the amount of this year's purchases, in which case Acme's accounts payable will have decreased.

Therefore, once purchases have been determined, cash paid to suppliers can be calculated by adjusting purchases for the change in accounts payable. If the company made all purchases with cash, then accounts payable would not change and cash outflows would equal purchases. If accounts payable increased during the year, then purchases on an accrual basis would be higher than they would be on a cash basis, and vice versa. In this example, Acme made more purchases than it paid in cash, so the balance in accounts payable increased. For Acme, the cash paid to suppliers was \$11,900, determined as follows:

Purchases from suppliers	\$12,163
Less: Increase in accounts payable	(263)
Cash paid to suppliers	<u><u>\$11,900</u></u>

The amount of cash paid to suppliers is reflected in the accounts payable account, as shown below:

Beginning accounts payable	\$3,325
Plus purchases	12,163
Minus cash paid to suppliers	(11,900)
Ending accounts payable	<u><u>\$3,588</u></u>

EXAMPLE 4

Computing Cash Paid to Suppliers

Orange Beverages Plc., a fictitious manufacturer of tropical drinks, reported cost of goods sold for the year of \$100 million. Total assets increased by \$55 million, but inventory declined by \$6 million. Total liabilities increased by \$45 million, but accounts payable decreased by \$2 million. How much cash did the company pay to its suppliers during the year?

- A \$96 million.
- B \$104 million.
- C \$108 million.

Solution:

A is correct. Cost of goods sold of \$100 million less the decrease in inventory of \$6 million equals purchases from suppliers of \$94 million. The decrease in accounts payable of \$2 million means that the company paid \$96 million in cash (\$94 million plus \$2 million).

10.1.3 Cash Paid to Employees

To determine the cash paid to employees, it is necessary to adjust salary and wages expense by the net change in salary and wages payable for the year. If salary and wages payable increased during the year, then salary and wages expense on an accrual basis would be higher than the amount of cash paid for this expense, and vice versa. For Acme, salary and wages payable increased by \$10, so cash paid for salary and wages was \$4,113, as follows:

Salary and wages expense	\$4,123
Less: Increase in salary and wages payable	<u>(10)</u>
Cash paid to employees	<u><u>\$4,113</u></u>

The amount of cash paid to employees is reflected in the salary and wages payable account, as shown below:

Beginning salary and wages payable	\$75
Plus salary and wages expense	4,123
Minus cash paid to employees	<u>(4,113)</u>
Ending salary and wages payable	<u><u>\$85</u></u>

10.1.4 Cash Paid for Other Operating Expenses

To determine the cash paid for other operating expenses, it is necessary to adjust the other operating expenses amount on the income statement by the net changes in prepaid expenses and accrued expense liabilities for the year. If prepaid expenses increased during the year, other operating expenses on a cash basis would be higher than on an accrual basis, and vice versa. Likewise, if accrued expense liabilities increased during the year, other operating expenses on a cash basis would be lower than on an accrual basis, and vice versa. For Acme Corporation, the amount of cash paid for operating expenses in 2018 was \$3,532, as follows:

Other operating expenses	\$3,577
Less: Decrease in prepaid expenses	<u>(23)</u>
Less: Increase in other accrued liabilities	<u>(22)</u>
Cash paid for other operating expenses	<u><u>\$3,532</u></u>

EXAMPLE 5**Computing Cash Paid for Other Operating Expenses**

Black Ice, a fictitious sportswear manufacturer, reported other operating expenses of \$30 million. Prepaid insurance expense increased by \$4 million, and accrued utilities payable decreased by \$7 million. Insurance and utilities are the only two components of other operating expenses. How much cash did the company pay in other operating expenses?

- A \$19 million.

- B** \$33 million.
C \$41 million.

Solution:

C is correct. Other operating expenses of \$30 million plus the increase in prepaid insurance expense of \$4 million plus the decrease in accrued utilities payable of \$7 million equals \$41 million.

10.1.5 Cash Paid for Interest

The cash paid for interest is included in operating cash flows under US GAAP and may be included in operating or financing cash flows under IFRS. To determine the cash paid for interest, it is necessary to adjust interest expense by the net change in interest payable for the year. If interest payable increases during the year, then interest expense on an accrual basis will be higher than the amount of cash paid for interest, and vice versa. For Acme Corporation, interest payable decreased by \$12, and cash paid for interest was \$258, as follows:

Interest expense	\$246
Plus: Decrease in interest payable	12
Cash paid for interest	\$258

Alternatively, cash paid for interest may also be determined by an analysis of the interest payable account, as shown below:

Beginning interest payable	\$74
Plus interest expense	246
Minus cash paid for interest	(258)
Ending interest payable	\$62

10.1.6 Cash Paid for Income Taxes

To determine the cash paid for income taxes, it is necessary to adjust the income tax expense amount on the income statement by the net changes in taxes receivable, taxes payable, and deferred income taxes for the year. If taxes receivable or deferred tax assets increase during the year, income taxes on a cash basis will be higher than on an accrual basis, and vice versa. Likewise, if taxes payable or deferred tax liabilities increase during the year, income tax expense on a cash basis will be lower than on an accrual basis, and vice versa. For Acme Corporation, the amount of cash paid for income taxes in 2018 was \$1,134, as follows:

Income tax expense	\$1,139
Less: Increase in income tax payable	(5)
Cash paid for income taxes	\$1,134

11**PREPARING THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT: INVESTING ACTIVITIES**

- f** describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data

The second and third steps in preparing the cash flow statement are to determine the total cash flows from investing activities and from financing activities. The presentation of this information is identical, regardless of whether the direct or indirect method is used for operating cash flows.

Purchases and sales of equipment were the only investing activities undertaken by Acme in 2018, as evidenced by the fact that the amounts reported for land and buildings were unchanged during the year. An informational note in Exhibit 7 tells us that Acme *purchased* new equipment in 2018 for a total cost of \$1,300. However, the amount of equipment shown on Acme's balance sheet increased by only \$243 (ending balance of \$8,798 minus beginning balance of \$8,555); therefore, Acme must have also *sold or otherwise disposed* of some equipment during the year. To determine the cash inflow from the sale of equipment, we analyze the equipment and accumulated depreciation accounts as well as the gain on the sale of equipment from Exhibits 6 and 7. Assuming that the entire accumulated depreciation is related to equipment, the cash received from sale of equipment is determined as follows.

The historical cost of the equipment sold was \$1,057. This amount is determined as follows:

Beginning balance equipment (from balance sheet)	\$8,555
Plus equipment purchased (from informational note)	1,300
Minus ending balance equipment (from balance sheet)	(8,798)
Equals historical cost of equipment sold	<u><u>\$1,057</u></u>

The accumulated depreciation on the equipment sold was \$500, determined as follows:

Beginning balance accumulated depreciation (from balance sheet)	\$2,891
Plus depreciation expense (from income statement)	1,052
Minus ending balance accumulated depreciation (from balance sheet)	(3,443)
Equals accumulated depreciation on equipment sold	<u><u>\$500</u></u>

The historical cost information, accumulated depreciation information, and information from the income statement about the gain on the sale of equipment can be used to determine the cash received from the sale.

Historical cost of equipment sold (calculated above)	\$1,057
Less accumulated depreciation on equipment sold (calculated above)	(500)
Equals book value of equipment sold	\$557
Plus gain on sale of equipment (from the income statement)	205
Equals cash received from sale of equipment	<u><u>\$762</u></u>

EXAMPLE 6

Computing Cash Received from the Sale of Equipment

Copper, Inc., a fictitious brewery and restaurant chain, reported a gain on the sale of equipment of \$12 million. In addition, the company's income statement shows depreciation expense of \$8 million and the cash flow statement shows capital expenditure of \$15 million, all of which was for the purchase of new equipment.

Balance sheet item	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	Change
Equipment	\$100 million	\$109 million	\$9 million
Accumulated depreciation—equipment	\$30 million	\$36 million	\$6 million

Using the above information from the comparative balance sheets, how much cash did the company receive from the equipment sale?

- A \$12 million.
- B \$16 million.
- C \$18 million.

Solution:

B is correct. Selling price (cash inflow) minus book value equals gain or loss on sale; therefore, gain or loss on sale plus book value equals selling price (cash inflow). The amount of gain is given, \$12 million. To calculate the book value of the equipment sold, find the historical cost of the equipment and the accumulated depreciation on the equipment.

- Beginning balance of equipment of \$100 million plus equipment purchased of \$15 million minus ending balance of equipment of \$109 million equals historical cost of equipment sold, or \$6 million.
- Beginning accumulated depreciation on equipment of \$30 million plus depreciation expense for the year of \$8 million minus ending balance of accumulated depreciation of \$36 million equals accumulated depreciation on the equipment sold, or \$2 million.
- Therefore, the book value of the equipment sold was \$6 million minus \$2 million, or \$4 million.
- Because the gain on the sale of equipment was \$12 million, the amount of cash received must have been \$16 million.

12

PREPARING THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT: FINANCING ACTIVITIES

- f describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data

As with investing activities, the presentation of financing activities is identical, regardless of whether the direct or indirect method is used for operating cash flows.

12.1 Long-Term Debt and Common Stock

The change in long-term debt, based on the beginning 2018 (ending 2017) and ending 2018 balances in Exhibit 7, was a decrease of \$500. Absent other information, this indicates that Acme retired \$500 of long-term debt. Retiring long-term debt is a cash outflow relating to financing activities.

Similarly, the change in common stock during 2018 was a decrease of \$600. Absent other information, this indicates that Acme repurchased \$600 of its common stock. Repurchase of common stock is also a cash outflow related to financing activity.

12.2 Dividends

Recall the following relationship:

$$\text{Beginning retained earnings} + \text{Net income} - \text{Dividends} = \text{Ending retained earnings}$$

Based on this relationship, the amount of cash dividends paid in 2018 can be determined from an analysis of retained earnings, as follows:

Beginning balance of retained earnings (from the balance sheet)	\$2,876
Plus net income (from the income statement)	2,210
Minus ending balance of retained earnings (from the balance sheet)	(3,966)
Equals dividends paid	\$1,120

Note that dividends paid are presented in the statement of changes in equity.

PREPARING THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT: OVERALL STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS UNDER THE DIRECT METHOD

13

- f describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data

Exhibit 8 summarizes the information about Acme's operating, investing, and financing cash flows in the statement of cash flows. At the bottom of the statement, the total net change in cash is shown to be a decrease of \$152 (from \$1,163 to \$1,011). This decrease can also be seen on the comparative balance sheet in Exhibit 7. The cash provided by operating activities of \$2,606 was adequate to cover the net cash used in investing activities of \$538; however, the company's debt repayments, cash payments for dividends, and repurchase of common stock (i.e., its financing activities) of \$2,220 resulted in an overall decrease in cash of \$152.

Exhibit 8 Acme Corporation Cash Flow Statement (Direct Method) for Year Ended 31 December 2018

Cash flow from operating activities:

Cash received from customers	\$23,543
Cash paid to suppliers	(11,900)
Cash paid to employees	(4,113)
Cash paid for other operating expenses	(3,532)
Cash paid for interest	(258)
Cash paid for income tax	(1,134)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,606

Cash flow from investing activities:

Cash received from sale of equipment	762
Cash paid for purchase of equipment	(1,300)
Net cash used for investing activities	(538)

(continued)

Exhibit 8 (Continued)

Cash flow from financing activities:	
Cash paid to retire long-term debt	(500)
Cash paid to retire common stock	(600)
Cash paid for dividends	(1,120)
Net cash used for financing activities	(2,220)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(152)
Cash balance, 31 December 2017	1,163
Cash balance, 31 December 2018	\$1,011

14**PREPARING THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT: OVERALL STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS UNDER THE INDIRECT METHOD**

- f describe the steps in the preparation of direct and indirect cash flow statements, including how cash flows can be computed using income statement and balance sheet data

Using the alternative approach to reporting cash from operating activities, the indirect method, we will present the same amount of cash provided by operating activities. Under this approach, we reconcile Acme's net income of \$2,210 to its operating cash flow of \$2,606.

To perform this reconciliation, net income is adjusted for the following: a) any non-operating activities, b) any non-cash expenses, and c) changes in operating working capital items.

The only non-operating activity in Acme's income statement, the sale of equipment, resulted in a gain of \$205. This amount is removed from the operating cash flow section; the cash effects of the sale are shown in the investing section.

Acme's only non-cash expense was depreciation expense of \$1,052. Under the indirect method, depreciation expense must be added back to net income because it was a non-cash deduction in the calculation of net income.

Changes in working capital accounts include increases and decreases in the current operating asset and liability accounts. The changes in these accounts arise from applying accrual accounting; that is, recognizing revenues when they are earned and expenses when they are incurred instead of when the cash is received or paid. To make the working capital adjustments under the indirect method, any increase in a current operating asset account is subtracted from net income and a net decrease is added to net income. As described above, the increase in accounts receivable, for example, resulted from Acme recording income statement revenue higher than the amount of cash received from customers; therefore, to reconcile back to operating cash flow, that increase in accounts receivable must be deducted from net income. For current operating liabilities, a net increase is added to net income and a net decrease is subtracted from net income. As described above, the increase in wages payable, for example, resulted from Acme recording income statement expenses higher than the amount of cash paid to employees.

Exhibit 9 presents a tabulation of the most common types of adjustments that are made to net income when using the indirect method to determine net cash flow from operating activities.

Exhibit 9 Adjustments to Net Income Using the Indirect Method

Additions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Non-cash items <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Depreciation expense of tangible assets ● Amortisation expense of intangible assets ● Depletion expense of natural resources ● Amortisation of bond discount ■ Non-operating losses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Loss on sale or write-down of assets ● Loss on retirement of debt ● Loss on investments accounted for under the equity method ■ Increase in deferred income tax liability ■ Changes in working capital resulting from accruing higher amounts for expenses than the amounts of cash payments or lower amounts for revenues than the amounts of cash receipts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Decrease in current operating assets (e.g., accounts receivable, inventory, and prepaid expenses) ● Increase in current operating liabilities (e.g., accounts payable and accrued expense liabilities)
Subtractions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Non-cash items (e.g., amortisation of bond premium) ■ Non-operating items <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Gain on sale of assets ● Gain on retirement of debt ● Income on investments accounted for under the equity method ■ Decrease in deferred income tax liability ■ Changes in working capital resulting from accruing lower amounts for expenses than for cash payments or higher amounts for revenues than for cash receipts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Increase in current operating assets (e.g., accounts receivable, inventory, and prepaid expenses) ● Decrease in current operating liabilities (e.g., accounts payable and accrued expense liabilities)

Accordingly, for Acme Corporation, the \$55 increase in accounts receivable and the \$707 increase in inventory are subtracted from net income and the \$23 decrease in prepaid expenses is added to net income. For Acme's current liabilities, the increases in accounts payable, salary and wage payable, income tax payable, and other accrued liabilities (\$263, \$10, \$5, and \$22, respectively) are added to net income and the \$12 decrease in interest payable is subtracted from net income. Exhibit 10 presents the cash flow statement for Acme Corporation under the indirect method by using the information that we have determined from our analysis of the income statement and the comparative balance sheets. Note that the investing and financing sections are identical to the statement of cash flows prepared using the direct method.

Exhibit 10 Acme Corporation Cash Flow Statement (Indirect Method) Year Ended 31 December 2018

Cash flow from operating activities:	
Net income	\$2,210
Depreciation expense	1,052
Gain on sale of equipment	(205)
Increase in accounts receivable	(55)
Increase in inventory	(707)
Decrease in prepaid expenses	23
Increase in accounts payable	263
Increase in salary and wage payable	10
Decrease in interest payable	(12)
Increase in income tax payable	5
Increase in other accrued liabilities	22
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>2,606</u>
Cash flow from investing activities:	
Cash received from sale of equipment	762
Cash paid for purchase of equipment	(1,300)
Net cash used for investing activities	<u>(538)</u>
Cash flow from financing activities:	
Cash paid to retire long-term debt	(500)
Cash paid to retire common stock	(600)
Cash paid for dividends	<u>(1,120)</u>
Net cash used for financing activities	<u>(2,220)</u>
Net decrease in cash	(152)
Cash balance, 31 December 2017	1,163
Cash balance, 31 December 2018	<u><u>\$1,011</u></u>

EXAMPLE 7

Adjusting Net Income to Compute Operating Cash Flow

Based on the following information for Pinkerly Inc., a fictitious company, what are the total adjustments that the company would make to net income in order to derive operating cash flow?

Year Ended			
Income statement item		12/31/2018	
Net income		\$30 million	
Depreciation		\$7 million	
Balance sheet item	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	Change
Accounts receivable	\$15 million	\$30 million	\$15 million

Inventory	\$16 million	\$13 million	(\$3 million)
Accounts payable	\$10 million	\$20 million	\$10 million

- A Add \$5 million.
- B Add \$21 million.
- C Subtract \$9 million.

Solution:

A is correct. To derive operating cash flow, the company would make the following adjustments to net income: add depreciation (a non-cash expense) of \$7 million; add the decrease in inventory of \$3 million; add the increase in accounts payable of \$10 million; and subtract the increase in accounts receivable of \$15 million. Total additions of \$20 million and total subtractions of \$15 million result in net total additions of \$5 million.

CONVERSION OF CASH FLOWS FROM THE INDIRECT TO DIRECT METHOD

15

- g demonstrate the conversion of cash flows from the indirect to direct method

An analyst may desire to review direct-format operating cash flow to review trends in cash receipts and payments (such as cash received from customers or cash paid to suppliers). If a direct-format statement is not available, cash flows from operating activities reported under the indirect method can be converted to the direct method. Accuracy of conversion depends on adjustments using data available in published financial reports. The method described here is sufficiently accurate for most analytical purposes.

The three-step conversion process is demonstrated for Acme Corporation in Exhibit 11. Referring again to Exhibits 6 and 7 for Acme Corporation's income statement and balance sheet information, begin by disaggregating net income of \$2,210 into total revenues and total expenses (Step 1). Next, remove any non-operating and non-cash items (Step 2). For Acme, we therefore remove the non-operating gain on the sale of equipment of \$205 and the non-cash depreciation expense of \$1,052. Then, convert accrual amounts of revenues and expenses to cash flow amounts of receipts and payments by adjusting for changes in working capital accounts (Step 3). The results of these adjustments are the items of information for the direct format of operating cash flows. These line items are shown as the results of Step 3.

Exhibit 11 Conversion from the Indirect to the Direct Method

<i>Step 1</i>	Total revenues	\$23,803
Aggregate all revenue and all expenses	Total expenses	21,593
	Net income	\$2,210

<i>Step 2</i>	Total revenue less noncash item revenues:
---------------	---

(continued)

Exhibit 11 (Continued)

Remove all noncash items from aggregated revenues and expenses and break out remaining items into relevant cash flow items	$(\$23,803 - \$205) =$	\$23,598
	Revenue	<u>\$23,598</u>
Total expenses less noncash item expenses:		
	$(\$21,593 - \$1,052) =$	\$20,541
Cost of goods sold		\$11,456
Salary and wage expenses		4,123
Other operating expenses		3,577
Interest expense		246
Income tax expense		1,139
Total		<u>\$20,541</u>
<hr/>		
<i>Step 3</i>	Cash received from customers ^a	\$23,543
Convert accrual amounts to cash flow amounts by adjusting for working capital changes	Cash paid to suppliers ^b	(11,900)
	Cash paid to employees ^c	(4,113)
	Cash paid for other operating expenses ^d	(3,532)
	Cash paid for interest ^e	(258)
	Cash paid for income tax ^f	(1,134)
	Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$2,606</u>

Calculations for Step 3:

^a Revenue of \$23,598 less increase in accounts receivable of \$55.

^b Cost of goods sold of \$11,456 plus increase in inventory of \$707 less increase in accounts payable of \$263.

^c Salary and wage expense of \$4,123 less increase in salary and wage payable of \$10.

^d Other operating expenses of \$3,577 less decrease in prepaid expenses of \$23 less increase in other accrued liabilities of \$22.

^e Interest expense of \$246 plus decrease in interest payable of \$12.

^f Income tax expense of \$1,139 less increase in income tax payable of \$5.

16

CASH FLOW STATEMENT ANALYSIS: EVALUATION OF SOURCES AND USES OF CASH

analyze and interpret both reported and common-size cash flow statements

The analysis of a company's cash flows can provide useful information for understanding a company's business and earnings and for predicting its future cash flows. This section describes tools and techniques for analyzing the statement of cash flows, including the analysis of sources and uses of cash and cash flow, common-size analysis, and calculation of free cash flow measures and cash flow ratios.

16.1 Evaluation of the Sources and Uses of Cash

Evaluation of the cash flow statement should involve an overall assessment of the sources and uses of cash between the three main categories as well as an assessment of the main drivers of cash flow within each category, as follows:

- Evaluate where the major sources and uses of cash flow are between operating, investing, and financing activities.

- 2 Evaluate the primary determinants of operating cash flow.
- 3 Evaluate the primary determinants of investing cash flow.
- 4 Evaluate the primary determinants of financing cash flow.

Step 1

The major sources of cash for a company can vary with its stage of growth. For a mature company, it is expected and desirable that operating activities are the primary source of cash flows. Over the long term, a company must generate cash from its operating activities. If operating cash flow were consistently negative, a company would need to borrow money or issue stock (financing activities) to fund the shortfall. Eventually, these providers of capital need to be repaid from operations or they will no longer be willing to provide capital. Cash generated from operating activities can be used in either investing or financing activities. If the company has good opportunities to grow the business or other investment opportunities, it is desirable to use the cash in investing activities. If the company does not have profitable investment opportunities, the cash should be returned to capital providers, a financing activity. For a new or growth stage company, operating cash flow may be negative for some period of time as it invests in such assets as inventory and receivables (extending credit to new customers) in order to grow the business. This situation is not sustainable over the long term, so eventually the cash must start to come primarily from operating activities so that capital can be returned to the providers of capital. Lastly, it is desirable that operating cash flows are sufficient to cover capital expenditures (in other words, the company has free cash flow as discussed further in Section 18). In summary, major points to consider at this step are:

- What are the major sources and uses of cash flow?
- Is operating cash flow positive and sufficient to cover capital expenditures?

Step 2

Turning to the operating section, the analysts should examine the most significant determinants of operating cash flow. Companies need cash for use in operations (for example, to hold receivables and inventory and to pay employees and suppliers) and receive cash from operating activities (for example, payments from customers). Under the indirect method, the increases and decreases in receivables, inventory, payables, and so on can be examined to determine whether the company is using or generating cash in operations and why. It is also useful to compare operating cash flow with net income. For a mature company, because net income includes non-cash expenses (depreciation and amortisation), it is expected and desirable that operating cash flow exceeds net income. The relationship between net income and operating cash flow is also an indicator of earnings quality. If a company has large net income but poor operating cash flow, it may be a sign of poor earnings quality. The company may be making aggressive accounting choices to increase net income but not be generating cash for its business. You should also examine the variability of both earnings and cash flow and consider the impact of this variability on the company's risk as well as the ability to forecast future cash flows for valuation purposes. In summary:

- What are the major determinants of operating cash flow?
- Is operating cash flow higher or lower than net income? Why?
- How consistent are operating cash flows?

Step 3

Within the investing section, you should evaluate each line item. Each line item represents either a source or use of cash. This enables you to understand where the cash is being spent (or received). This section will tell you how much cash is being invested for the future in property, plant, and equipment; how much is used to acquire entire companies; and how much is put aside in liquid investments, such as stocks and bonds. It will also tell you how much cash is being raised by selling these types of assets. If the company is making major capital investments, you should consider where the cash is coming from to cover these investments (e.g., is the cash coming from excess operating cash flow or from the financing activities described in Step 4). If assets are being sold, it is important to determine why and to assess the effects on the company.

Step 4

Within the financing section, you should examine each line item to understand whether the company is raising capital or repaying capital and what the nature of its capital sources are. If the company is borrowing each year, you should consider when repayment may be required. This section will also present dividend payments and repurchases of stock that are alternative means of returning capital to owners. It is important to assess why capital is being raised or repaid.

We now provide an example of a cash flow statement evaluation.

EXAMPLE 8**Analysis of the Cash Flow Statement**

Derek Yee, CFA, is preparing to forecast cash flow for Groupe Danone as an input into his valuation model. He has asked you to evaluate the historical cash flow statement of Groupe Danone, which is presented in Exhibit 12. Groupe Danone prepares its financial statements in conformity with IFRS. Note that Groupe Danone presents the most recent period on the right. Exhibit 13 presents excerpts from Danone's 2017 Registration Document.

Yee would like answers to the following questions:

- What are the major sources of cash for Groupe Danone?
- What are the major uses of cash for Groupe Danone?
- Is cash flow from operating activities sufficient to cover capital expenditures?
- What is the relationship between net income and cash flow from operating activities?
- What types of financing cash flows does Groupe Danone have?

Exhibit 12 Groupe Danone Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in € Millions)

Years Ended 31 December	2016	2017
Net income	1,827	2,563
Share of profits of associates net of dividends received	52	(54)
Depreciation, amortization and impairment of tangible and intangible assets	786	974
Increases in (reversals of) provisions	51	153
Change in deferred taxes	(65)	(353)

Exhibit 12 (Continued)

Years Ended 31 December	2016	2017
(Gains) losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment and financial investments	(74)	(284)
Expense related to Group performance shares	24	22
Cost of net financial debt	149	265
Net interest paid	(148)	(186)
Net change in interest income (expense)	—	80
Other components with no cash impact	13	(15)
Cash flows provided by operating activities, before changes in net working capital	2,615	3,085
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(24)	(122)
(Increase) decrease in trade receivables	(110)	(190)
Increase (decrease) in trade payables	298	145
Changes in other receivables and payables	(127)	40
Change in other working capital requirements	37	(127)
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	2,652	2,958
Capital expenditure	(925)	(969)
Proceeds from the disposal of property, plant and equipment	27	45
Net cash outflows on purchases of subsidiaries and financial investments	(66)	(10,949)
Net cash inflows on disposal of subsidiaries and financial investments	110	441
(Increase) decrease in long-term loans and other long-term financial assets	6	(4)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	(848)	(11,437)
Increase in capital and additional paid-in capital	46	47
Purchases of treasury stock (net of disposals) and DANONE call options	32	13
Issue of perpetual subordinated debt securities	—	1,245
Interest on perpetual subordinated debt securities	—	—
Dividends paid to Danone shareholders	(985)	(279)
Buyout of non-controlling interests	(295)	(107)
Dividends paid	(94)	(86)
Contribution from non-controlling interests to capital increases	6	1
Transactions with non-controlling interests	(383)	(193)
Net cash flows on hedging derivatives	50	(52)
Bonds issued during the period	11,237	—
Bonds repaid during the period	(638)	(1,487)
Net cash flows from other current and non-current financial debt	(442)	(564)
Net cash flows from short-term investments	(10,531)	9,559
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,616)	8,289
Effect of exchange rate and other changes	(151)	272
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	38	81
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	519	557
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	557	638
Supplemental disclosures		

(continued)

Exhibit 12 (Continued)

Years Ended 31 December	2016	2017
Income tax payments during the year	(891)	(1,116)

Note: the numbers in the consolidated statement of cash flows were derived straight from company filings; some sub-totals may not sum exactly due to rounding by the company.

Exhibit 13 Groupe Danone Excerpt from 2017 Registration Statement**Excerpt from Footnote 2 to the financial statements:**

... On July 7, 2016, Danone announced the signing of an agreement to acquire The WhiteWave Foods Company ("WhiteWave"), the global leader in plant-based foods and beverages and organic produce. The acquisition in cash, for USD 56.25 per share, represented, as of the date of the agreement, a total enterprise value of approximately USD 12.5 billion, including debt and certain other WhiteWave liabilities. ...

"Acquisition expenses recognized in Danone's consolidated financial statements totaled €51 million before tax, of which €48 million was recognized in 2016 in Other operating income (expense), with the balance recognized in 2017.

"WhiteWave's contribution to 2017 consolidated sales totaled €2.7 billion. Had the transaction been completed on January 1, 2017, the Group's 2017 consolidated sales would have been €25.7 billion, with recurring operating income of €3.6 billion.

"Meanwhile, integration expenses for the period totaled €91 million, recognized under Other operating income (expense)..."

Excerpt from Overview of Activities:

"... As part of its transformation plan aimed at ensuring a safe journey to deliver strong, profitable and sustainable growth, Danone set objectives for 2020 that include like-for-like sales growth between 4% and 5% a recurring operating margin of over 16% in 2020 ... Finally, Danone will continue to focus on growing its free cash flow, which will contribute to financial deleverage with an objective of a ratio of Net debt/EBITDA below 3x in 2020. Danone is committed to reaching a ROIC level around 12% in 2020."

Solution:

The major categories of cash flows can be summarized as follows (in € millions):

	2016	2017
Cash flows provided by operating activities	2,652	2,958
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	(848)	(11,437)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,616)	8,289

Exchange rate effects on cash	(151)	272
Increase in cash	38	81
	<hr/>	<hr/>

The primary source of cash for Groupe Danone in 2016 is operating activities. In both 2016 and 2017, there was sufficient operating cash flow to cover usual capital expenditures, and operating cash flow exceeded net income. Evaluating the five prior years [not shown in this Example], you confirm that Danone typically derives most of its cash from operating activities, reports operating cash flow greater than net income, and generates sufficient operating cash flow to cover capital expenditures.

The fact that the primary source of cash is from operations is positive and desirable for a mature company. Additionally, the fact that operating cash flow exceeds net income in both years is a positive sign. Finally, operating cash flows exceed normal capital expenditures, indicating that the company can fund capital expenditures from operations.

In 2017, however, the primary source of cash was financing activities, and the investing section shows significant use of cash for purchase of subsidiaries within investing activities. Footnotes disclose a major acquisition with an aggregate value of €12.5 billion, some of which was funded through proceeds from an earlier bond issuance, which appears as a financing cash flow in the financing section for 2016.

For purposes of Yee's cash flow forecast, the company's targets for free cash flow and debt reduction—as well as disclosures concerning the acquisition's impact on 2017 operating results—are potentially helpful.

CASH FLOW STATEMENT ANALYSIS: COMMON SIZE ANALYSIS

17

LO 17 Analyze and interpret both reported and common-size cash flow statements

In common-size analysis of a company's income statement, each income and expense line item is expressed as a percentage of net revenues (net sales). For the common-size balance sheet, each asset, liability, and equity line item is expressed as a percentage of total assets. For the common-size cash flow statement, there are two alternative approaches. The first approach is to express each line item of cash inflow (outflow) as a percentage of total inflows (outflows) of cash, and the second approach is to express each line item as a percentage of net revenue.

Exhibit 14 demonstrates the total cash inflows/total cash outflows method for Acme Corporation. Under this approach, each of the cash inflows is expressed as a percentage of the total cash inflows, whereas each of the cash outflows is expressed as a percentage of the total cash outflows. In Panel A, Acme's common-size statement is based on a cash flow statement using the direct method of presenting operating cash flows. Operating cash inflows and outflows are separately presented on the cash flow statement, and therefore, the common-size cash flow statement shows each of these operating inflows (outflows) as a percentage of total inflows (outflows). In Panel B, Acme's common-size statement is based on a cash flow statement using the indirect method of presenting operating cash flows. When a cash flow statement has been presented using the indirect method, operating cash inflows and outflows are not separately presented; therefore, the common-size cash flow statement shows only the net operating cash flow (net cash provided by or used in operating activities) as a

percentage of total inflows or outflows, depending on whether the net amount was a cash inflow or outflow. Because Acme's net operating cash flow is positive, it is shown as a percentage of total inflows.

Exhibit 14 Acme Corporation Common-Size Cash Flow Statement Year Ended 31 December 2018

Panel A. Direct Format for Cash Flow

Inflows		Percentage of Total Inflows
Receipts from customers	\$23,543	96.86%
Sale of equipment	762	3.14
Total	<u>\$24,305</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Outflows		Percentage of Total Outflows
Payments to suppliers	\$11,900	48.66%
Payments to employees	4,113	16.82
Payments for other operating expenses	3,532	14.44
Payments for interest	258	1.05
Payments for income tax	1,134	4.64
Purchase of equipment	1,300	5.32
Retirement of long-term debt	500	2.04
Retirement of common stock	600	2.45
Dividend payments	1,120	4.58
Total	<u>\$24,457</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	<u>(\$152)</u>	<u> </u>

Panel B. Indirect Format for Cash Flow

Inflows		Percentage of Total Inflows
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$2,606	77.38%
Sale of equipment	762	22.62
Total	<u>\$3,368</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Outflows		Percentage of Total Outflows
Purchase of equipment	\$1,300	36.93%
Retirement of long-term debt	500	14.20
Retirement of common stock	600	17.05
Dividend payments	1,120	31.82

Exhibit 14 (Continued)

Outflows	Percentage of Total Outflows	
Total	\$3,520	100.00%
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(-\$152)	

Exhibit 15 demonstrates the net revenue common-size cash flow statement for Acme Corporation. Under the net revenue approach, each line item in the cash flow statement is shown as a percentage of net revenue. The common-size statement in this exhibit has been developed based on Acme's cash flow statement using the indirect method for operating cash flows and using net revenue of \$23,598 as shown in Exhibit 6. Each line item of the reconciliation between net income and net operating cash flows is expressed as a percentage of net revenue. The common-size format makes it easier to see trends in cash flow rather than just looking at the total amount. This method is also useful to the analyst in forecasting future cash flows because individual items in the common-size statement (e.g., depreciation, fixed capital expenditures, debt borrowing, and repayment) are expressed as a percentage of net revenue. Thus, once the analyst has forecast revenue, the common-size statement provides a basis for forecasting cash flows for those items with an expected relation to net revenue.

Exhibit 15 Acme Corporation Common-Size Cash Flow Statement: Indirect Format Year Ended 31 December 2018

	Percentage of Net Revenue	
Cash flow from operating activities:		
Net income	\$2,210	9.37%
Depreciation expense	1,052	4.46
Gain on sale of equipment	(205)	(0.87)
Increase in accounts receivable	(55)	(0.23)
Increase in inventory	(707)	(3.00)
Decrease in prepaid expenses	23	0.10
Increase in accounts payable	263	1.11
Increase in salary and wage payable	10	0.04
Decrease in interest payable	(12)	(0.05)
Increase in income tax payable	5	0.02
Increase in other accrued liabilities	22	0.09
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$2,606	11.04%
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Cash received from sale of equipment	\$762	3.23%
Cash paid for purchase of equipment	(1,300)	(5.51)
Net cash used for investing activities	\$(-538)	(2.28)%
Cash flow from financing activities:		

(continued)

Exhibit 15 (Continued)

	Percentage of Net Revenue
Cash paid to retire long-term debt	\$(500) (2.12)%
Cash paid to retire common stock	(600) (2.54)
Cash paid for dividends	(1,120) (4.75)
Net cash used for financing activities	\$(2,220) (9.41)%
Net decrease in cash	\$(152) (0.64)%

EXAMPLE 9**Analysis of a Common-Size Cash Flow Statement**

Andrew Potter is examining an abbreviated common-size cash flow statement for Apple Inc., a multinational technology company. The common-size cash flow statement was prepared by dividing each line item by total net sales for the same year.

Apple Inc. Common Size Statements OF Cash Flows as Percentage of Total Net Sales

	12 Months Ended		
	30 Sep. 2017	24 Sep. 2016	26 Sep. 2015
Statement of Cash Flows [Abstract]			
Operating activities:			
Net income	21.1%	21.2%	22.8%
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash generated by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	4.4%	4.9%	4.8%
Share-based compensation expense	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%
Deferred income tax expense	2.6%	2.3%	0.6%
Other	-0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	-0.9%	0.2%	0.2%
Inventories	-1.2%	0.1%	-0.1%
Vendor non-trade receivables	-1.9%	0.0%	-1.6%
Other current and non-current assets	-2.3%	0.5%	-0.1%
Accounts payable	4.2%	0.9%	2.1%
Deferred revenue	-0.3%	-0.7%	0.4%

(Continued)

	12 Months Ended		
	30 Sep. 2017	24 Sep. 2016	26 Sep. 2015
Other current and non-current liabilities	-0.1%	-0.9%	3.9%
Cash generated by operating activities	27.7%	30.5%	34.8%
Investing activities:			
Purchases of marketable securities	-69.6%	-66.0%	-71.2%
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	13.9%	9.9%	6.2%
Proceeds from sales of marketable securities	41.3%	42.0%	46.0%
Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%
Payments for acquisition of property, plant and equipment	-5.4%	-5.9%	-4.8%
Payments for acquisition of intangible assets	-0.2%	-0.4%	-0.1%
Payments for strategic investments, net	-0.2%	-0.6%	0.0%
Other	0.1%	-0.1%	0.0%
Cash used in investing activities	-20.3%	-21.3%	-24.1%
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Excess tax benefits from equity awards	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
Payments for taxes related to net share settlement of equity awards	-0.8%	-0.7%	-0.6%
Payments for dividends and dividend equivalents	-5.6%	-5.6%	-4.9%
Repurchases of common stock	-14.4%	-13.8%	-15.1%
Proceeds from issuance of term debt, net	12.5%	11.6%	—
Repayments of term debt	-1.5%	-1.2%	0.0%
Change in commercial paper, net	1.7%	-0.2%	0.9%
Cash used in financing activities	-7.6%	-9.5%	-7.6%
Increase/(Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	-0.1%	-0.3%	3.1%

Based on the information in the above exhibit:

- 1 Discuss the significance of

- A depreciation and amortization.
- B capital expenditures.
- 2 Compare Apple's operating cash flow as a percentage of revenue with Apple's net profit margin.
- 3 Discuss Apple's use of its positive operating cash flow.

Solution to 1:

- A Apple's depreciation and amortization expense was consistently just less than 5% of total net revenue in 2015 and 2016, declining to 4.4% in 2017.
- B Apple's level of capital expenditures is greater than depreciation and amortization in 2016 and 2017 whereas it was at about the same level as depreciation and amortization in 2015. In 2017 capital expenditures approached 6%. This is an indication that Apple is doing more than replacing property, plant, and equipment, and is expanding those investments. With cash generated from operating activities exceeding 27% of sales in every year, however, Apple has more than enough cash flow from operations to fund these expenditures.

Solution to 2:

Apple's operating cash flow as a percentage of sales is much higher than net profit margin in every year. This gap appears to be declining however over the three year period. In 2015 net profit margin was 22.8% while operating cash flow as a percentage of sales was 34.8%. By 2017 the net profit margin declined slightly to 21.1% while the operating cash flow as a percentage of sales declined more to 27.7%. The primary difference appears to have been an increase in the level of receivables and inventory purchases, somewhat offset by an increase in accounts payable.

Solution to 3:

Apple has a very strong cash flow statement. Apple generates a large amount of operating cash flow in every year, exceeding net income. This cash flow is used for relatively modest purchases of property, plant and equipment, substantial purchases of marketable securities (investments), dividend payments and repurchases of its own stock.

18

CASH FLOW STATEMENT ANALYSIS: FREE CASH FLOW TO FIRM AND FREE CASH FLOW TO EQUITY

- i. calculate and interpret free cash flow to the firm, free cash flow to equity, and performance and coverage cash flow ratios

It was mentioned earlier that it is desirable that operating cash flows are sufficient to cover capital expenditures. The excess of operating cash flow over capital expenditures is known generically as **free cash flow**. For purposes of valuing a company or its equity securities, an analyst may want to determine and use other cash flow measures, such as free cash flow to the firm (FCFF) or free cash flow to equity (FCFE).

FCFF is the cash flow available to the company's suppliers of debt and equity capital after all operating expenses (including income taxes) have been paid and necessary investments in working capital and fixed capital have been made. FCFF can be computed starting with net income as

$$\text{FCFF} = \text{NI} + \text{NCC} + \text{Int}(1 - \text{Tax rate}) - \text{FCInv} - \text{WCInv}$$

where

NI = Net income

NCC = Non-cash charges (such as depreciation and amortisation)

Int = Interest expense

FCInv = Capital expenditures (fixed capital, such as equipment)

WCInv = Working capital expenditures

The reason for adding back interest is that FCFF is the cash flow available to the suppliers of debt capital as well as equity capital. Conveniently, FCFF can also be computed from cash flow from operating activities as

$$\text{FCFF} = \text{CFO} + \text{Int}(1 - \text{Tax rate}) - \text{FCInv}$$

CFO represents cash flow from operating activities under US GAAP or under IFRS where the company has included interest paid in operating activities. If interest paid was included in financing activities, then CFO does not have to be adjusted for $\text{Int}(1 - \text{Tax rate})$. Under IFRS, if the company has placed interest and dividends received in investing activities, these should be added back to CFO to determine FCFF. Additionally, if dividends paid were subtracted in the operating section, these should be added back in to compute FCFF.

The computation of FCFF for Acme Corporation (based on the data from Exhibits 6, 7, and 8) is as follows:

CFO	\$2,606
Plus: Interest paid times (1 – income tax rate)	
{\$258 [1 – 0.34 ^a]}	170
Less: Net investments in fixed capital	
(\$1,300 – \$762)	(538)
FCFF	<u><u>\$2,238</u></u>

^a Income tax rate of 0.34 = (Tax expense ÷ Pretax income) = (\$1,139 ÷ \$3,349).

FCFE is the cash flow available to the company's common stockholders after all operating expenses and borrowing costs (principal and interest) have been paid and necessary investments in working capital and fixed capital have been made. FCFE can be computed as

$$\text{FCFE} = \text{CFO} - \text{FCInv} + \text{Net borrowing}$$

When net borrowing is negative, debt repayments exceed receipts of borrowed funds. In this case, FCFE can be expressed as

$$\text{FCFE} = \text{CFO} - \text{FCInv} - \text{Net debt repayment}$$

The computation of FCFE for Acme Corporation (based on the data from Exhibits 6, 7, and 8) is as follows:

CFO	\$2,606
Less: Net investments in fixed capital (\$1,300 – \$762)	(538)
Less: Debt repayment	(500)
FCFE	<u><u>\$1,568</u></u>

Positive FCFE means that the company has an excess of operating cash flow over amounts needed for capital expenditures and repayment of debt. This cash would be available for distribution to owners.

19

CASH FLOW STATEMENT ANALYSIS: CASH FLOW RATIOS

- i. calculate and interpret free cash flow to the firm, free cash flow to equity, and performance and coverage cash flow ratios

The statement of cash flows provides information that can be analyzed over time to obtain a better understanding of the past performance of a company and its future prospects. This information can also be effectively used to compare the performance and prospects of different companies in an industry and of different industries. There are several ratios based on cash flow from operating activities that are useful in this analysis. These ratios generally fall into cash flow performance (profitability) ratios and cash flow coverage (solvency) ratios. Exhibit 15 summarizes the calculation and interpretation of some of these ratios.

Exhibit 16 Cash Flow Ratios

Performance Ratios	Calculation	What It Measures
Cash flow to revenue	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Net revenue}$	Operating cash generated per dollar of revenue
Cash return on assets	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Average total assets}$	Operating cash generated per dollar of asset investment
Cash return on equity	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Average shareholders' equity}$	Operating cash generated per dollar of owner investment
Cash to income	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Operating income}$	Cash generating ability of operations
Cash flow per share ^a	$(\text{CFO} - \text{Preferred dividends}) \div \text{Number of common shares outstanding}$	Operating cash flow on a per-share basis

Coverage Ratios	Calculation	What It Measures
Debt coverage	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Total debt}$	Financial risk and financial leverage
Interest coverage ^b	$(\text{CFO} + \text{Interest paid} + \text{Taxes paid}) \div \text{Interest paid}$	Ability to meet interest obligations
Reinvestment	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Cash paid for long-term assets}$	Ability to acquire assets with operating cash flows
Debt payment	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Cash paid for long-term debt repayment}$	Ability to pay debts with operating cash flows

Exhibit 16 (Continued)

Coverage Ratios	Calculation	What It Measures
Dividend payment	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Dividends paid}$	Ability to pay dividends with operating cash flows
Investing and financing	$\text{CFO} \div \text{Cash outflows for investing and financing activities}$	Ability to acquire assets, pay debts, and make distributions to owners

Notes:

^a If the company reports under IFRS and includes total dividends paid as a use of cash in the operating section, total dividends should be added back to CFO as reported and then preferred dividends should be subtracted. Recall that CFO reported under US GAAP and IFRS may differ depending on the treatment of interest and dividends, received and paid.

^b If the company reports under IFRS and included interest paid as a use of cash in the financing section, then interest paid should not be added back to the numerator.

EXAMPLE 10**A Cash Flow Analysis of Comparables**

Andrew Potter is comparing the cash-flow-generating ability of Microsoft with that of Apple Inc. He collects information from the companies' annual reports and prepares the following table.

Cash Flow from Operating Activities as a Percentage of Total Net Revenue

	2017 (%)	2016 (%)	2015 (%)
Microsoft	43.9	39.1	31.7
Apple Inc.	27.7	30.5	34.8

As a Percentage of Average Total Assets

	2017 (%)	2016 (%)	2015 (%)
Microsoft	18.2	18.1	17.1
Apple Inc.	18.2	21.5	31.1

What is Potter likely to conclude about the relative cash-flow-generating ability of these two companies?

Solution:

On both measures—operating cash flow divided by revenue and operating cash flow divided by assets—both companies have overall strong results. However, Microsoft has higher cash flow from operating activities as a percentage of revenues in both 2016 and 2017. Further, Microsoft has an increasing trend. While Apple had a higher operating cash flow as a percent of revenue in 2015 compared to Microsoft, it has had a declining trend and was below Microsoft in the two more recent years. Microsoft's operating cash flow relative to assets is the same

as Apple's in 2017 and relatively stable with a slight increase since 2015. Apple started the three years with a much stronger ratio but saw a declining trend such that its ratio is now at the same level as Microsoft. We should note that this ratio is heavily influenced by substantial investments in financial instruments that Apple has made over the years due to its strong historic cash flow.

SUMMARY

The cash flow statement provides important information about a company's cash receipts and cash payments during an accounting period as well as information about a company's operating, investing, and financing activities. Although the income statement provides a measure of a company's success, cash and cash flow are also vital to a company's long-term success. Information on the sources and uses of cash helps creditors, investors, and other statement users evaluate the company's liquidity, solvency, and financial flexibility. Key concepts are as follows:

- Cash flow activities are classified into three categories: operating activities, investing activities, and financing activities. Significant non-cash transaction activities (if present) are reported by using a supplemental disclosure note to the cash flow statement.
- Cash flow statements under IFRS and US GAAP are similar; however, IFRS provide companies with more choices in classifying some cash flow items as operating, investing, or financing activities.
- Companies can use either the direct or the indirect method for reporting their operating cash flow:
 - The direct method discloses operating cash inflows by source (e.g., cash received from customers, cash received from investment income) and operating cash outflows by use (e.g., cash paid to suppliers, cash paid for interest) in the operating activities section of the cash flow statement.
 - The indirect method reconciles net income to operating cash flow by adjusting net income for all non-cash items and the net changes in the operating working capital accounts.
- The cash flow statement is linked to a company's income statement and comparative balance sheets and to data on those statements.
- Although the indirect method is most commonly used by companies, an analyst can generally convert it to an approximation of the direct format by following a simple three-step process.
- An evaluation of a cash flow statement should involve an assessment of the sources and uses of cash and the main drivers of cash flow within each category of activities.
- The analyst can use common-size statement analysis for the cash flow statement. Two approaches to developing the common-size statements are the total cash inflows/total cash outflows method and the percentage of net revenues method.
- The cash flow statement can be used to determine free cash flow to the firm (FCFF) and free cash flow to equity (FCFE).
- The cash flow statement may also be used in financial ratios that measure a company's profitability, performance, and financial strength.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 The three major classifications of activities in a cash flow statement are:
 - A inflows, outflows, and net flows.
 - B operating, investing, and financing.
 - C revenues, expenses, and net income.
- 2 The sale of a building for cash would be classified as what type of activity on the cash flow statement?
 - A Operating.
 - B Investing.
 - C Financing.
- 3 Under which section of a manufacturing company's cash flow statement are the following activities reported?

Item 1: Purchases of securities held for trading
Item 2: Purchases of securities held for investment

 - A Both items are investing activities.
 - B Only Item 1 is an operating activity.
 - C Only Item 2 is an operating activity.
- 4 Which of the following is an example of a financing activity on the cash flow statement under US GAAP?
 - A Payment of interest.
 - B Receipt of dividends.
 - C Payment of dividends.
- 5 A conversion of a face value \$1 million convertible bond for \$1 million of common stock would most likely be:
 - A reported as a \$1 million investing cash inflow and outflow.
 - B reported as a \$1 million financing cash outflow and inflow.
 - C reported as supplementary information to the cash flow statement.
- 6 A company recently engaged in a non-cash transaction that significantly affected its property, plant, and equipment. The transaction is:
 - A reported under the investing section of the cash flow statement.
 - B reported differently in cash flow from operations under the direct and indirect methods.
 - C disclosed as a separate note or in a supplementary schedule to the cash flow statement.
- 7 Interest paid is classified as an operating cash flow under:
 - A US GAAP but may be classified as either operating or investing cash flows under IFRS.
 - B IFRS but may be classified as either operating or investing cash flows under US GAAP.
 - C US GAAP but may be classified as either operating or financing cash flows under IFRS.
- 8 Cash flows from taxes on income must be separately disclosed under:

- A** IFRS only.
B US GAAP only.
C both IFRS and US GAAP.
- 9** Which of the following components of the cash flow statement may be prepared under the indirect method under both IFRS and US GAAP?
- A** Operating.
B Investing.
C Financing.
- 10** Which of the following is *most likely* to appear in the operating section of a cash flow statement under the indirect method?
- A** Net income.
B Cash paid to suppliers.
C Cash received from customers.
- 11** A benefit of using the direct method rather than the indirect method when reporting operating cash flows is that the direct method:
- A** mirrors a forecasting approach.
B is easier and less costly.
C provides specific information on the sources of operating cash flows.
- 12** Mabel Corporation (MC) reported accounts receivable of \$66 million at the end of its second fiscal quarter. MC had revenues of \$72 million for its third fiscal quarter and reported accounts receivable of \$55 million at the end of its third fiscal quarter. Based on this information, the amount of cash MC collected from customers during the third fiscal quarter is:
- A** \$61 million.
B \$72 million.
C \$83 million.
- 13** When computing net cash flow from operating activities using the indirect method, an addition to net income is *most likely* to occur when there is a:
- A** gain on the sale of an asset.
B loss on the retirement of debt.
C decrease in a deferred tax liability.
- 14** Red Road Company, a consulting company, reported total revenues of \$100 million, total expenses of \$80 million, and net income of \$20 million in the most recent year. If accounts receivable increased by \$10 million, how much cash did the company receive from customers?
- A** \$90 million.
B \$100 million.
C \$110 million.
- 15** In 2018, a company using US GAAP made cash payments of \$6 million for salaries, \$2 million for interest expense, and \$4 million for income taxes. Additional information for the company is provided in the table:

(\$ millions)	2017	2018
Revenue	42	37
Cost of goods sold	18	16
Inventory	36	40

(\$ millions)	2017	2018
Accounts receivable	22	19
Accounts payable	14	12

Based only on the information given, the company's operating cash flow for 2018 is *closest to*:

- A \$6 million.
 - B \$10 million.
 - C \$14 million.
- 16 Green Glory Corp., a garden supply wholesaler, reported cost of goods sold for the year of \$80 million. Total assets increased by \$55 million, including an increase of \$5 million in inventory. Total liabilities increased by \$45 million, including an increase of \$2 million in accounts payable. The cash paid by the company to its suppliers is most likely *closest to*:
- A \$73 million.
 - B \$77 million.
 - C \$83 million.
- 17 Purple Fleur S.A., a retailer of floral products, reported cost of goods sold for the year of \$75 million. Total assets increased by \$55 million, but inventory declined by \$6 million. Total liabilities increased by \$45 million, and accounts payable increased by \$2 million. The cash paid by the company to its suppliers is most likely *closest to*:
- A \$67 million.
 - B \$79 million.
 - C \$83 million.
- 18 White Flag, a women's clothing manufacturer, reported salaries expense of \$20 million. The beginning balance of salaries payable was \$3 million, and the ending balance of salaries payable was \$1 million. How much cash did the company pay in salaries?
- A \$18 million.
 - B \$21 million.
 - C \$22 million.
- 19 An analyst gathered the following information from a company's 2018 financial statements (in \$ millions):

Year ended 31 December	2017	2018
Net sales	245.8	254.6
Cost of goods sold	168.3	175.9
Accounts receivable	73.2	68.3
Inventory	39.0	47.8
Accounts payable	20.3	22.9

Based only on the information above, the company's 2018 statement of cash flows in the direct format would include amounts (in \$ millions) for cash received from customers and cash paid to suppliers, respectively, that are *closest to*:

	cash received from customers	cash paid to suppliers
A	249.7	169.7
B	259.5	174.5
C	259.5	182.1

- 20 Golden Cumulus Corp., a commodities trading company, reported interest expense of \$19 million and taxes of \$6 million. Interest payable increased by \$3 million, and taxes payable decreased by \$4 million over the period. How much cash did the company pay for interest and taxes?
- A \$22 million for interest and \$10 million for taxes.
 B \$16 million for interest and \$2 million for taxes.
 C \$16 million for interest and \$10 million for taxes.
- 21 An analyst gathered the following information from a company's 2018 financial statements (in \$ millions):

Balances as of Year Ended 31 December	2017	2018
Retained earnings	120	145
Accounts receivable	38	43
Inventory	45	48
Accounts payable	36	29

In 2018, the company declared and paid cash dividends of \$10 million and recorded depreciation expense in the amount of \$25 million. The company considers dividends paid a financing activity. The company's 2018 cash flow from operations (in \$ millions) was closest to

- A 25.
 B 45.
 C 75.
- 22 Silverago Incorporated, an international metals company, reported a loss on the sale of equipment of \$2 million in 2018. In addition, the company's income statement shows depreciation expense of \$8 million and the cash flow statement shows capital expenditure of \$10 million, all of which was for the purchase of new equipment. Using the following information from the comparative balance sheets, how much cash did the company receive from the equipment sale?

Balance Sheet Item	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	Change
Equipment	\$100 million	\$105 million	\$5 million
Accumulated depreciation—equipment	\$40 million	\$46 million	\$6 million

- A \$1 million.
 B \$2 million.
 C \$3 million.
- 23 Jaderong Plinkett Stores reported net income of \$25 million. The company has no outstanding debt. Using the following information from the comparative balance sheets (in millions), what should the company report in the financing section of the statement of cash flows in 2018?

Balance Sheet Item	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	Change
Common stock	\$100	\$102	\$2
Additional paid-in capital common stock	\$100	\$140	\$40
Retained earnings	\$100	\$115	\$15
Total stockholders' equity	\$300	\$357	\$57

- A Issuance of common stock of \$42 million; dividends paid of \$10 million.
 B Issuance of common stock of \$38 million; dividends paid of \$10 million.
 C Issuance of common stock of \$42 million; dividends paid of \$40 million.
- 24 Based on the following information for Star Inc., what are the total net adjustments that the company would make to net income in order to derive operating cash flow?

Income Statement Item	Year Ended		
	12/31/2018		
Net income		\$20 million	
Depreciation		\$2 million	
Balance Sheet Item	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	Change
Accounts receivable	\$25 million	\$22 million	(\$3 million)
Inventory	\$10 million	\$14 million	\$4 million
Accounts payable	\$8 million	\$13 million	\$5 million

- A Add \$2 million.
 B Add \$6 million.
 C Subtract \$6 million.
- 25 The first step in cash flow statement analysis should be to:
- A evaluate consistency of cash flows.
 B determine operating cash flow drivers.
 C identify the major sources and uses of cash.
- 26 Which of the following would be valid conclusions from an analysis of the cash flow statement for Telefónica Group presented in Exhibit 3?
- A The primary use of cash is financing activities.
 B The primary source of cash is operating activities.
 C Telefónica classifies dividends paid as an operating activity.
- 27 The following information is extracted from Sweetfall Incorporated's financial statements.

Income Statement	Balance Sheet Changes		
Revenue	\$56,800	Decrease in accounts receivable	\$1,324
Cost of goods sold	27,264	Decrease in inventory	501
Other operating expense	562	Increase in prepaid expense	6
Depreciation expense	2,500	Increase in accounts payable	1,063

The amount of cash Sweetfall Inc. paid to suppliers is:

- A \$25,700.
B \$26,702.
C \$27,826.
- 28 Which is an appropriate method of preparing a common-size cash flow statement?
- A Show each item of revenue and expense as a percentage of net revenue.
B Show each line item on the cash flow statement as a percentage of net revenue.
C Show each line item on the cash flow statement as a percentage of total cash outflows.
- 29 Which of the following is an appropriate method of computing free cash flow to the firm?
- A Add operating cash flows to capital expenditures and deduct after-tax interest payments.
B Add operating cash flows to after-tax interest payments and deduct capital expenditures.
C Deduct both after-tax interest payments and capital expenditures from operating cash flows.
- 30 An analyst has calculated a ratio using as the numerator the sum of operating cash flow, interest, and taxes and as the denominator the amount of interest. What is this ratio, what does it measure, and what does it indicate?
- A This ratio is an interest coverage ratio, measuring a company's ability to meet its interest obligations and indicating a company's solvency.
B This ratio is an effective tax ratio, measuring the amount of a company's operating cash flow used for taxes and indicating a company's efficiency in tax management.
C This ratio is an operating profitability ratio, measuring the operating cash flow generated accounting for taxes and interest and indicating a company's liquidity.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 B is correct. Operating, investing, and financing are the three major classifications of activities in a cash flow statement. Revenues, expenses, and net income are elements of the income statement. Inflows, outflows, and net flows are items of information in the statement of cash flows.
- 2 B is correct. Purchases and sales of long-term assets are considered investing activities. Note that if the transaction had involved the exchange of a building for other than cash (for example, for another building, common stock of another company, or a long-term note receivable), it would have been considered a significant non-cash activity.
- 3 B is correct. The purchase and sale of securities held for trading are considered operating activities even for companies in which this activity is not a primary business activity.
- 4 C is correct. Payment of dividends is a financing activity under US GAAP. Payment of interest and receipt of dividends are included in operating cash flows under US GAAP. Note that IFRS allow companies to include receipt of interest and dividends as either operating or investing cash flows and to include payment of interest and dividends as either operating or financing cash flows.
- 5 C is correct. Non-cash transactions, if significant, are reported as supplementary information, not in the investing or financing sections of the cash flow statement.
- 6 C is correct. Because no cash is involved in non-cash transactions, these transactions are not incorporated in the cash flow statement. However, non-cash transactions that significantly affect capital or asset structures are required to be disclosed either in a separate note or a supplementary schedule to the cash flow statement.
- 7 C is correct. Interest expense is always classified as an operating cash flow under US GAAP but may be classified as either an operating or financing cash flow under IFRS.
- 8 C is correct. Taxes on income are required to be separately disclosed under IFRS and US GAAP. The disclosure may be in the cash flow statement or elsewhere.
- 9 A is correct. The operating section may be prepared under the indirect method. The other sections are always prepared under the direct method.
- 10 A is correct. Under the indirect method, the operating section would begin with net income and adjust it to arrive at operating cash flow. The other two items would appear in the operating section under the direct method.
- 11 C is correct. The primary argument in favor of the direct method is that it provides information on the specific sources of operating cash receipts and payments. Arguments for the indirect method include that it mirrors a forecasting approach and it is easier and less costly.
- 12 C is correct. The amount of cash collected from customers during the quarter is equal to beginning accounts receivable plus revenues minus ending accounts receivable: $\$66 \text{ million} + \$72 \text{ million} - \$55 \text{ million} = \83 million . A reduction in accounts receivable indicates that cash collected during the quarter was greater than revenue on an accrual basis.

- 13** B is correct. An addition to net income is made when there is a loss on the retirement of debt, which is a non-operating loss. A gain on the sale of an asset and a decrease in deferred tax liability are both subtracted from net-income.
- 14** A is correct. Revenues of \$100 million minus the increase in accounts receivable of \$10 million equal \$90 million cash received from customers. The increase in accounts receivable means that the company received less in cash than it reported as revenue.
- 15** A is correct.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating cash flows} &= \text{Cash received from customers} - (\text{Cash paid to suppliers} + \text{Cash paid to employees} \\ &\quad + \text{Cash paid for other operating expenses} \\ &\quad + \text{Cash paid for interest} + \text{Cash paid for income taxes})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cash received from customers} &= \text{Revenue} + \text{Decrease in accounts receivable} \\ &= \$37 + \$3 = \$40 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cash paid to suppliers} &= \text{Cost of goods sold} + \text{Increase in inventory} + \text{Decrease in accounts payable} \\ &= \$16 + \$4 + \$2 = \$22 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the company's operating cash flow = \$40 – \$22 – Cash paid for salaries – Cash paid for interest – Cash paid for taxes = \$40 – \$22 – \$6 – \$2 – \$4 = \$6 million.

- 16** C is correct. Cost of goods sold of \$80 million plus the increase in inventory of \$5 million equals purchases from suppliers of \$85 million. The increase in accounts payable of \$2 million means that the company paid \$83 million in cash (\$85 million minus \$2 million) to its suppliers.
- 17** A is correct. Cost of goods sold of \$75 million less the decrease in inventory of \$6 million equals purchases from suppliers of \$69 million. The increase in accounts payable of \$2 million means that the company paid \$67 million in cash (\$69 million minus \$2 million).
- 18** C is correct. Beginning salaries payable of \$3 million plus salaries expense of \$20 million minus ending salaries payable of \$1 million equals \$22 million. Alternatively, the expense of \$20 million plus the \$2 million decrease in salaries payable equals \$22 million.
- 19** C is correct. Cash received from customers = Sales + Decrease in accounts receivable = 254.6 + 4.9 = 259.5. Cash paid to suppliers = Cost of goods sold + Increase in inventory – Increase in accounts payable = 175.9 + 8.8 – 2.6 = 182.1.
- 20** C is correct. Interest expense of \$19 million less the increase in interest payable of \$3 million equals interest paid of \$16 million. Tax expense of \$6 million plus the decrease in taxes payable of \$4 million equals taxes paid of \$10 million.
- 21** B is correct. All dollar amounts are in millions. Net income (NI) for 2018 is \$35. This amount is the increase in retained earnings, \$25, plus the dividends paid, \$10. Depreciation of \$25 is added back to net income, and the increases in accounts receivable, \$5, and in inventory, \$3, are subtracted from net income because they are uses of cash. The decrease in accounts payable is also a use of cash and, therefore, a subtraction from net income. Thus, cash flow from operations is \$25 + \$10 + \$25 – \$5 – \$3 – \$7 = \$45.

- 22** A is correct. Selling price (cash inflow) minus book value equals gain or loss on sale; therefore, gain or loss on sale plus book value equals selling price (cash inflow). The amount of loss is given—\$2 million. To calculate the book value of the equipment sold, find the historical cost of the equipment and the accumulated depreciation on the equipment.
- Beginning balance of equipment of \$100 million plus equipment purchased of \$10 million minus ending balance of equipment of \$105 million equals the historical cost of equipment sold, or \$5 million.
 - Beginning accumulated depreciation of \$40 million plus depreciation expense for the year of \$8 million minus ending balance of accumulated depreciation of \$46 million equals accumulated depreciation on the equipment sold, or \$2 million.
 - Therefore, the book value of the equipment sold was \$5 million minus \$2 million, or \$3 million.
 - Because the loss on the sale of equipment was \$2 million, the amount of cash received must have been \$1 million.
- 23** A is correct. The increase of \$42 million in common stock and additional paid-in capital indicates that the company issued stock during the year. The increase in retained earnings of \$15 million indicates that the company paid \$10 million in cash dividends during the year, determined as beginning retained earnings of \$100 million plus net income of \$25 million minus ending retained earnings of \$115 million, which equals \$10 million in cash dividends.
- 24** B is correct. To derive operating cash flow, the company would make the following adjustments to net income: Add depreciation (a non-cash expense) of \$2 million; add the decrease in accounts receivable of \$3 million; add the increase in accounts payable of \$5 million; and subtract the increase in inventory of \$4 million. Total additions would be \$10 million, and total subtractions would be \$4 million, which gives net additions of \$6 million.
- 25** C is correct. An overall assessment of the major sources and uses of cash should be the first step in evaluating a cash flow statement.
- 26** B is correct. The primary source of cash is operating activities. Cash flow provided by operating activity totaled €13,796 million in the most recent year. The primary use of cash is investing activities (total of €10,245 million). Dividends paid are classified as a financing activity.
- 27** A is correct. The amount of cash paid to suppliers is calculated as follows:
- $$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Cost of goods sold} - \text{Decrease in inventory} - \text{Increase in accounts payable} \\
 &= \$27,264 - \$501 - \$1,063 \\
 &= \$25,700.
 \end{aligned}$$
- 28** B is correct. An appropriate method to prepare a common-size cash flow statement is to show each line item on the cash flow statement as a percentage of net revenue. An alternative way to prepare a statement of cash flows is to show each item of cash inflow as a percentage of total inflows and each item of cash outflows as a percentage of total outflows.
- 29** B is correct. Free cash flow to the firm can be computed as operating cash flows plus after-tax interest expense less capital expenditures.
- 30** A is correct. This ratio is an interest coverage ratio, measuring a company's ability to meet its interest obligations and indicating a company's solvency. This coverage ratio is based on cash flow information; another common coverage ratio uses a measure based on the income statement (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortisation).

READING

20

Financial Analysis Techniques

by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, and
J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA

Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, is at AACSB International (USA). J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, is at BIBD (Brunei).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. identify, calculate, and interpret activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. describe relationships among ratios and evaluate a company using ratio analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. demonstrate the application of DuPont analysis of return on equity and calculate and interpret effects of changes in its components;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. calculate and interpret ratios used in equity analysis and credit analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. explain the requirements for segment reporting and calculate and interpret segment ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe how ratio analysis and other techniques can be used to model and forecast earnings.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations

Financial analysis tools can be useful in assessing a company's performance and trends in that performance. In essence, an analyst converts data into financial metrics that assist in decision making. Analysts seek to answer such questions as: How successfully has the company performed, relative to its own past performance and relative to its competitors? How is the company likely to perform in the future? Based on expectations about future performance, what is the value of this company or the securities it issues?

A primary source of data is a company's annual report, including the financial statements and notes, and management commentary (operating and financial review or management's discussion and analysis). This reading focuses on data presented in financial reports prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and United States generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP). However, financial reports do not contain all the information needed to perform effective financial analysis. Although financial statements do contain data about the *past* performance of a company (its income and cash flows) as well as its *current* financial condition (assets, liabilities, and owners' equity), such statements do not necessarily provide all the information useful for analysis nor do they forecast *future* results. The financial analyst must be capable of using financial statements in conjunction with other information to make projections and reach valid conclusions. Accordingly, an analyst typically needs to supplement the information found in a company's financial reports with other information, including information on the economy, industry, comparable companies, and the company itself.

This reading describes various techniques used to analyze a company's financial statements. Financial analysis of a company may be performed for a variety of reasons, such as valuing equity securities, assessing credit risk, conducting due diligence related to an acquisition, or assessing a subsidiary's performance. This reading will describe techniques common to any financial analysis and then discuss more specific aspects for the two most common categories: equity analysis and credit analysis.

Equity analysis incorporates an owner's perspective, either for valuation or performance evaluation. Credit analysis incorporates a creditor's (such as a banker or bondholder) perspective. In either case, there is a need to gather and analyze information to make a decision (ownership or credit); the focus of analysis varies because of the differing interest of owners and creditors. Both equity and credit analyses assess the entity's ability to generate and grow earnings, and cash flow, as well as any associated risks. Equity analysis usually places a greater emphasis on growth, whereas credit analysis usually places a greater emphasis on risks. The difference in emphasis reflects the different fundamentals of these types of investments: The value of a company's equity generally increases as the company's earnings and cash flow increase, whereas the value of a company's debt has an upper limit.¹

The balance of this reading is organized as follows: Section 1 recaps the framework for financial statements and the place of financial analysis techniques within the framework. Sections 2–6 provide a description of analytical tools and techniques. Sections 7–13 explain how to compute, analyze, and interpret common financial ratios. Sections 14–19 explain the use of ratios and other analytical data in equity

¹ The upper limit is equal to the undiscounted sum of the principal and remaining interest payments (i.e., the present value of these contractual payments at a zero percent discount rate).

analysis, credit analysis, segment analysis, and forecasting, respectively. A summary of the key points and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple-choice format conclude the reading.

1.1 The Financial Analysis Process

In financial analysis, it is essential to clearly identify and understand the final objective and the steps required to reach that objective. In addition, the analyst needs to know where to find relevant data, how to process and analyze the data (in other words, know the typical questions to address when interpreting data), and how to communicate the analysis and conclusions.

1.1.1 *The Objectives of the Financial Analysis Process*

Because of the variety of reasons for performing financial analysis, the numerous available techniques, and the often substantial amount of data, it is important that the analytical approach be tailored to the specific situation. Prior to beginning any financial analysis, the analyst should clarify the purpose and context, and clearly understand the following:

- What is the purpose of the analysis? What questions will this analysis answer?
- What level of detail will be needed to accomplish this purpose?
- What data are available for the analysis?
- What are the factors or relationships that will influence the analysis?
- What are the analytical limitations, and will these limitations potentially impair the analysis?

Having clarified the purpose and context of the analysis, the analyst can select the set of techniques (e.g., ratios) that will best assist in making a decision. Although there is no single approach to structuring the analysis process, a general framework is set forth in Exhibit 1.² The steps in this process were discussed in more detail in an earlier reading; the primary focus of this reading is on Phases 3 and 4, processing and analyzing data.

² Components of this framework have been adapted from van Greuning and Bratanovic (2003, p. 300) and Benninga and Sarig (1997, pp. 134–156).

Exhibit 1 A Financial Statement Analysis Framework

Phase	Sources of Information	Output
1 Articulate the purpose and context of the analysis.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The nature of the analyst's function, such as evaluating an equity or debt investment or issuing a credit rating. ■ Communication with client or supervisor on needs and concerns. ■ Institutional guidelines related to developing specific work product. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Statement of the purpose or objective of analysis. ■ A list (written or unwritten) of specific questions to be answered by the analysis. ■ Nature and content of report to be provided. ■ Timetable and budgeted resources for completion.
2 Collect input data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Financial statements, other financial data, questionnaires, and industry/economic data. ■ Discussions with management, suppliers, customers, and competitors. ■ Company site visits (e.g., to production facilities or retail stores). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Organized financial statements. ■ Financial data tables. ■ Completed questionnaires, if applicable.
3 Process data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Data from the previous phase. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Adjusted financial statements. ■ Common-size statements. ■ Ratios and graphs. ■ Forecasts.
4 Analyze/interpret the processed data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Input data as well as processed data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Analytical results.
5 Develop and communicate conclusions and recommendations (e.g., with an analysis report).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Analytical results and previous reports. ■ Institutional guidelines for published reports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Analytical report answering questions posed in Phase 1. ■ Recommendation regarding the purpose of the analysis, such as whether to make an investment or grant credit.
6 Follow-up.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Information gathered by periodically repeating above steps as necessary to determine whether changes to holdings or recommendations are necessary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Updated reports and recommendations.

1.1.2 Distinguishing between Computations and Analysis

An effective analysis encompasses both computations and interpretations. A well-reasoned analysis differs from a mere compilation of various pieces of information, computations, tables, and graphs by integrating the data collected into a cohesive whole. Analysis of past performance, for example, should address not only what happened but also why it happened and whether it advanced the company's strategy. Some of the key questions to address include:

- What aspects of performance are critical for this company to successfully compete in this industry?

- How well did the company's performance meet these critical aspects? (Established through computation and comparison with appropriate benchmarks, such as the company's own historical performance or competitors' performance.)
- What were the key causes of this performance, and how does this performance reflect the company's strategy? (Established through analysis.)

If the analysis is forward looking, additional questions include:

- What is the likely impact of an event or trend? (Established through interpretation of analysis.)
- What is the likely response of management to this trend? (Established through evaluation of quality of management and corporate governance.)
- What is the likely impact of trends in the company, industry, and economy on future cash flows? (Established through assessment of corporate strategy and through forecasts.)
- What are the recommendations of the analyst? (Established through interpretation and forecasting of results of analysis.)
- What risks should be highlighted? (Established by an evaluation of major uncertainties in the forecast and in the environment within which the company operates.)

Example 1 demonstrates how a company's financial data can be analyzed in the context of its business strategy and changes in that strategy. An analyst must be able to understand the "why" behind the numbers and ratios, not just what the numbers and ratios are.

EXAMPLE 1

Strategy Reflected in Financial Performance

Apple Inc. engages in the design, manufacture, and sale of computer hardware, mobile devices, operating systems and related products, and services. It also operates retail and online stores. Microsoft develops, licenses, and supports software products, services, and technology devices through a variety of channels including retail stores in recent years. Selected financial data for 2015 through 2017 for these two companies are given below. Apple's fiscal year (FY) ends on the final Saturday in September (for example, FY2017 ended on 30 September 2017). Microsoft's fiscal year ends on 30 June (for example, FY2017 ended on 30 June 2017).

Selected Financial Data for Apple (Dollars in Millions)

Fiscal year	2017	2016	2015
Net sales (or Revenue)	229,234	215,639	233,715
Gross margin	88,186	84,263	93,626
Operating income	61,344	60,024	71,230

Selected Financial Data for Microsoft (Dollars in Millions)*

Fiscal year	2017	2016	2015
Net sales (or Revenue)	89,950	85,320	93,580
Gross margin	55,689	52,540	60,542
Operating income	22,326	20,182	18,161

* Microsoft revenue for 2017 and 2016 were subsequently revised in the company's 2018 10-K report due to changes in revenue recognition and lease accounting standards.

Source: 10-K reports for Apple and Microsoft.

Apple reported a 7.7 percent decrease in net sales from FY2015 to FY2016 and an increase of 6.3 percent from FY2016 to FY2017 for an overall slight decline over the three-year period. Gross margin decreased 10.0 percent from FY2015 to FY2016 and increased 4.7 percent from FY2016 to FY2017. This also represented an overall decline in gross margin over the three-year period. The company's operating income exhibited similar trends.

Microsoft reported an 8.8 percent decrease in net sales from FY2015 to FY2016 and an increase of 5.4 percent from FY2016 to FY2017 for an overall slight decline over the three-year period. Gross margin decreased 13.2 percent from FY2015 to FY2016 and increased 6.0 percent from FY2016 to FY2017. Similar to Apple, this represented an overall decline in gross margin over the three-year period. Microsoft's operating income on the other hand exhibited growth each year and for the three-year period. Overall growth in operating income was 23%.

What caused Microsoft's growth in operating income while Apple and Microsoft had similar negative trends in sales and gross margin? Apple's decline in sales, gross margin, and operating income from FY2015 to FY2016 was caused by declines in iPhone sales and weakness in foreign currencies relative to the US dollar. FY2017 saw a rebound in sales of iPhones, Mac computers, and services offset somewhat by continued weaknesses in foreign currencies. Microsoft similarly had declines in revenue and gross margin from sales of its devices and Windows software in FY2016, as well as negative impacts from foreign currency weakness. Microsoft's increase in revenue and gross margin in FY2017 was driven by the acquisition of LinkedIn, higher sales of Microsoft Office software, and higher sales of cloud services. The driver in the continuous increase in operating income for Microsoft was a large decline over the three-year period in impairment, integration, and restructuring charges. Microsoft recorded a \$10 billion charge in FY2015 related to its phone business, and there were further charges of \$1.1 billion in FY2016 and \$306 million in FY2017. Absent these large write-offs, Microsoft would have had a trend similar to Apple's in operating income over the three-year period.

Analysts often need to communicate the findings of their analysis in a written report. Their reports should communicate how conclusions were reached and why recommendations were made. For example, a report might present the following:

- the purpose of the report, unless it is readily apparent;
- relevant aspects of the business context:
 - economic environment (country/region, macro economy, sector);
 - financial and other infrastructure (accounting, auditing, rating agencies);

- legal and regulatory environment (and any other material limitations on the company being analyzed);
- evaluation of corporate governance and assessment of management strategy, including the company's competitive advantage(s);
- assessment of financial and operational data, including key assumptions in the analysis; and
- conclusions and recommendations, including limitations of the analysis and risks.

An effective narrative and well supported conclusions and recommendations are normally enhanced by using 3–10 years of data, as well as analytic techniques appropriate to the purpose of the report.

ANALYTICAL TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES

2

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations

The tools and techniques presented in this section facilitate evaluations of company data. Evaluations require comparisons. It is difficult to say that a company's financial performance was "good" without clarifying the basis for comparison. In assessing a company's ability to generate and grow earnings and cash flow, and the risks related to those earnings and cash flows, the analyst draws comparisons to other companies (cross-sectional analysis) and over time (trend or time-series analysis).

For example, an analyst may wish to compare the profitability of companies competing in a global industry. If the companies differ significantly in size and/or report their financial data in different currencies, comparing net income as reported is not useful. Ratios (which express one number in relation to another) and common-size financial statements can remove size as a factor and enable a more relevant comparison. To achieve comparability across companies reporting in different currencies, one approach is to translate all reported numbers into a common currency using exchange rates at the end of a period. Others may prefer to translate reported numbers using the average exchange rates during the period. Alternatively, if the focus is primarily on ratios, comparability can be achieved without translating the currencies.

The analyst may also want to examine comparable performance over time. Again, the nominal currency amounts of sales or net income may not highlight significant changes. To address this challenge, horizontal financial statements (whereby quantities are stated in terms of a selected base year value) can make such changes more apparent. Another obstacle to comparison is differences in fiscal year end. To achieve comparability, one approach is to develop trailing twelve months data, which will be described in a section below. Finally, it should be noted that differences in accounting standards can limit comparability.

EXAMPLE 2

Ratio Analysis

An analyst is examining the profitability of two international companies with large shares of the global personal computer market: Acer Inc. and Lenovo Group Limited. Acer has pursued a strategy of selling its products at affordable prices. In contrast, Lenovo aims to achieve higher selling prices by stressing the high

engineering quality of its personal computers for business use. Acer reports in TWD,³ and Lenovo reports in USD. For Acer, fiscal year end is 31 December. For Lenovo, fiscal year end is 31 March; thus, FY2017 ended 31 March 2018.

The analyst collects the data shown in Exhibit 2 below. Use this information to answer the following questions:

- 1 Which company is larger based on the amount of revenue, in US\$, reported in fiscal year 2017? For FY2017, assume the relevant, average exchange rate was 30.95 TWD/USD.
- 2 Which company had the higher revenue growth from FY2016 to FY2017? FY2013 to FY2017?
- 3 How do the companies compare, based on profitability?

Exhibit 2

Acer

TWD Millions	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Revenue	360,132	329,684	263,775	232,724	237,275
Gross profit	22,550	28,942	24,884	23,212	25,361
Net income	(20,519)	1,791	604	(4,901)	2,797

Lenovo

USD Millions	FY2013*	FY2014*	FY2015*	FY2016*	FY2017*
Revenue	38,707	46,296	44,912	43,035	45,350
Gross profit	5,064	6,682	6,624	6,105	6,272
Net income (Loss)	817	837	(145)	530	(127)

* Fiscal years for Lenovo end 31 March. Thus FY2017 represents the fiscal year ended 31 March 2018; the same applies respectively for prior years.

Solution to 1:

Lenovo is much larger than Acer based on FY2017 revenues in USD terms. Lenovo's FY2017 revenues of \$USD45.35 billion are considerably higher than Acer's USD7.67 billion (= TWD237.275 million/30.95).

Acer: At the assumed average exchange rate of 30.95 TWD/USD, Acer's FY2017 revenues are equivalent to USD7.67 billion (= TWD237.275 million ÷ 30.95 TWD/USD).

Lenovo: Lenovo's FY2017 revenues totaled USD45.35 billion.

Note: Comparing the size of companies reporting in different currencies requires translating reported numbers into a common currency using exchange rates at some point in time. This solution converts the revenues of Acer to billions of USD using the average exchange rate of the fiscal period. It would be equally informative (and would yield the same conclusion) to convert the revenues of Lenovo to TWD.

Solution to 2:

The growth in Lenovo's revenue was much higher than Acer's in the most recent fiscal year and for the five-year period.

³ TWD is the three-letter ISO 4217 currency code for Taiwan New Dollar.

	Change in Revenue FY2016 versus FY2017 (%)	Change in Revenue FY2013 to FY2017 (%)
Acer	1.96	(34.11)
Lenovo	5.38	17.16

The table shows two growth metrics. Calculations are illustrated using the revenue data for Acer:

The change in Acer's revenue for FY2016 versus FY2017 is 1.96% percent calculated as $(237,275 - 232,724) \div 232,724$ or equivalently $(237,275 \div 232,724) - 1$. The change in Acer's revenue from FY2013 to FY2017 is a decline of 34.11%.

Solution to 3:

Profitability can be assessed by comparing the amount of gross profit to revenue and the amount of net income to revenue. The following table presents these two profitability ratios—**gross profit margin** (gross profit divided by revenue) and **net profit margin** (net income divided by revenue)—for each year.

	FY2013 (%)	FY2014 (%)	FY2015 (%)	FY2016 (%)	FY2017 (%)
Acer					
Gross profit margin	6.26	8.78	9.43	9.97	10.69
Net profit margin	(5.70)	0.54	0.23	(2.11)	1.18
Lenovo	FY2013 (%)	FY2014 (%)	FY2015 (%)	FY2016 (%)	FY2017 (%)
Gross profit margin	13.08	14.43	14.75	14.19	13.83
Net profit margin	2.11	1.81	(0.32)	1.23	(0.28)

The net profit margins indicate that both companies' profitability is relatively low. Acer's net profit margin is lower than Lenovo's in three out of the five years. Acer's gross profit margin increased each year but remains significantly below that of Lenovo. Lenovo's gross profit margin grew from FY2013 to FY2015 and then declined in FY2016 and FY2017. Overall, Lenovo is the more profitable company, likely attributable to its larger size and commensurate economies of scale. (Lenovo has the largest share of the personal computer market relative to other personal computer companies.)

Section 3 describes the tools and techniques of ratio analysis in more detail. Sections 4 to 6 describe other tools and techniques.

FINANCIAL RATIO ANALYSIS

3

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations

There are many relationships among financial accounts and various expected relationships from one point in time to another. Ratios are a useful way of expressing these relationships. Ratios express one quantity in relation to another (usually as a quotient).

Extensive academic research has examined the importance of ratios in predicting stock returns (Ou and Penman, 1989; Abarbanell and Bushee, 1998) or credit failure (Altman, 1968; Ohlson, 1980; Hopwood et al., 1994). This research has found that

financial statement ratios are effective in selecting investments and in predicting financial distress. Practitioners routinely use ratios to derive and communicate the value of companies and securities.

Several aspects of ratio analysis are important to understand. First, the computed ratio is not “the answer.” The ratio is an *indicator* of some aspect of a company’s performance, telling what happened but not why it happened. For example, an analyst might want to answer the question: Which of two companies was more profitable? As demonstrated in the previous example, the net profit margin, which expresses profit relative to revenue, can provide insight into this question. Net profit margin is calculated by dividing net income by revenue:⁴

$$\frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Revenue}}$$

Assume Company A has €100,000 of net income and Company B has €200,000 of net income. Company B generated twice as much income as Company A, but was it more profitable? Assume further that Company A has €2,000,000 of revenue, and thus a net profit margin of 5 percent, and Company B has €6,000,000 of revenue, and thus a net profit margin of 3.33 percent. Expressing net income as a percentage of revenue clarifies the relationship: For each €100 of revenue, Company A earns €5 in net income, whereas Company B earns only €3.33 for each €100 of revenue. So, we can now answer the question of which company was more profitable in percentage terms: Company A was more profitable, as indicated by its higher net profit margin of 5 percent. Note that Company A was more *profitable* despite the fact that Company B reported higher absolute amounts of net income and revenue. However, this ratio by itself does not tell us *why* Company A has a higher profit margin. Further analysis is required to determine the reason (perhaps higher relative sales prices or better cost control or lower effective tax rates).

Company size sometimes confers economies of scale, so the absolute amounts of net income and revenue are useful in financial analysis. However, ratios control for the effect of size, which enhances comparisons between companies and over time.

A second important aspect of ratio analysis is that differences in accounting policies (across companies and across time) can distort ratios, and a meaningful comparison may, therefore, involve adjustments to the financial data. Third, not all ratios are necessarily relevant to a particular analysis. The ability to select a relevant ratio or ratios to answer the research question is an analytical skill. Finally, as with financial analysis in general, ratio analysis does not stop with computation; interpretation of the result is essential. In practice, differences in ratios across time and across companies can be subtle, and interpretation is situation specific.

3.1 The Universe of Ratios

There are no authoritative bodies specifying exact formulas for computing ratios or providing a standard, comprehensive list of ratios. Formulas and even names of ratios often differ from analyst to analyst or from database to database. The number of different ratios that can be created is practically limitless. There are, however, widely accepted ratios that have been found to be useful. Sections 7–13 of this reading focus primarily on these broad classes and commonly accepted definitions of key ratios. However, the analyst should be aware that different ratios may be used in practice

⁴ The term “sales” is often used interchangeably with the term “revenues.” Other times it is used to refer to revenues derived from sales of products versus services. The income statement usually reflects “revenues” or “sales” after returns and allowances (e.g., returns of products or discounts offered after a sale to induce the customer to not return a product). Additionally, in some countries, including the United Kingdom and South Africa, the term “turnover” is used in the sense of “revenue.”

and that certain industries have unique ratios tailored to the characteristics of that industry. When faced with an unfamiliar ratio, the analyst can examine the underlying formula to gain insight into what the ratio is measuring. For example, consider the following ratio formula:

$$\frac{\text{Operating income}}{\text{Average total assets}}$$

Never having seen this ratio, an analyst might question whether a result of 12 percent is better than 8 percent. The answer can be found in the ratio itself. The numerator is operating income and the denominator is average total assets, so the ratio can be interpreted as the amount of operating income generated per unit of assets. For every €100 of average total assets, generating €12 of operating income is better than generating €8 of operating income. Furthermore, it is apparent that this particular ratio is an indicator of profitability (and, to a lesser extent, efficiency in use of assets in generating operating profits). When encountering a ratio for the first time, the analyst should evaluate the numerator and denominator to assess what the ratio is attempting to measure and how it should be interpreted. This is demonstrated in Example 3.

EXAMPLE 3

Interpreting a Financial Ratio

A US insurance company reports that its “combined ratio” is determined by dividing losses and expenses incurred by net premiums earned. It reports the following combined ratios:

Fiscal Year	5	4	3	2	1
Combined ratio	90.1%	104.0%	98.5%	104.1%	101.1%

Explain what this ratio is measuring and compare the results reported for each of the years shown in the chart. What other information might an analyst want to review before making any conclusions on this information?

Solution:

The combined ratio is a profitability measure. The ratio is explaining how much costs (losses and expenses) were incurred for every dollar of revenue (net premiums earned). The underlying formula indicates that a *lower* value for this ratio is better. The Year 5 ratio of 90.1 percent means that for every dollar of net premiums earned, the costs were \$0.901, yielding a gross profit of \$0.099. Ratios greater than 100 percent indicate an overall loss. A review of the data indicates that there does not seem to be a consistent trend in this ratio. Profits were achieved in Years 5 and 3. The results for Years 4 and 2 show the most significant costs at approximately 104 percent.

The analyst would want to discuss this data further with management and understand the characteristics of the underlying business. He or she would want to understand why the results are so volatile. The analyst would also want to determine what should be used as a benchmark for this ratio.

The Operating income/Average total assets ratio shown above is one of many versions of the **return on assets (ROA)** ratio. Note that there are other ways of specifying this formula based on how assets are defined. Some financial ratio databases compute ROA using the ending value of assets rather than average assets. In limited cases, one may also see beginning assets in the denominator. Which one is right? It depends on what you are trying to measure and the underlying company trends. If

the company has a stable level of assets, the answer will not differ greatly under the three measures of assets (beginning, average, and ending). However, if the assets are growing (or shrinking), the results will differ among the three measures. When assets are growing, operating income divided by ending assets may not make sense because some of the income would have been generated before some assets were purchased, and this would underestimate the company's performance. Similarly, if beginning assets are used, some of the operating income later in the year may have been generated only because of the addition of assets; therefore, the ratio would overstate the company's performance. Because operating income occurs throughout the period, it generally makes sense to use some average measure of assets. A good general rule is that when an income statement or cash flow statement number is in the numerator of a ratio and a balance sheet number is in the denominator, then an average should be used for the denominator. It is generally not necessary to use averages when only balance sheet numbers are used in both the numerator and denominator because both are determined as of the same date. However, in some instances, even ratios that only use balance sheet data may use averages. For example, **return on equity (ROE)**, which is defined as net income divided by average shareholders' equity, can be decomposed into other ratios, some of which only use balance sheet data. In decomposing ROE into component ratios, if an average is used in one of the component ratios then it should be used in the other component ratios. The decomposition of ROE is discussed further in Section 13.

If an average is used, judgment is also required about what average should be used. For simplicity, most ratio databases use a simple average of the beginning and end-of-year balance sheet amounts. If the company's business is seasonal so that levels of assets vary by interim period (semiannual or quarterly), then it may be beneficial to take an average over all interim periods, if available. (If the analyst is working within a company and has access to monthly data, this can also be used.)

3.2 Value, Purposes, and Limitations of Ratio Analysis

The value of ratio analysis is that it enables a financial analyst to evaluate past performance, assess the current financial position of the company, and gain insights useful for projecting future results. As noted previously, the ratio itself is not "the answer" but is an indicator of some aspect of a company's performance. Financial ratios provide insights into:

- economic relationships within a company that help analysts project earnings and free cash flow;
- a company's financial flexibility, or ability to obtain the cash required to grow and meet its obligations, even if unexpected circumstances develop;
- management's ability;
- changes in the company and/or industry over time; and
- comparability with peer companies or the relevant industry(ies).

There are also limitations to ratio analysis. Factors to consider include:

- *The heterogeneity or homogeneity of a company's operating activities.* Companies may have divisions operating in many different industries. This can make it difficult to find comparable industry ratios to use for comparison purposes.
- *The need to determine whether the results of the ratio analysis are consistent.* One set of ratios may indicate a problem, whereas another set may indicate that the potential problem is only short term in nature.

- *The need to use judgment.* A key issue is whether a ratio for a company is within a reasonable range. Although financial ratios are used to help assess the growth potential and risk of a company, they cannot be used alone to directly value a company or its securities, or to determine its creditworthiness. The entire operation of the company must be examined, and the external economic and industry setting in which it is operating must be considered when interpreting financial ratios.
- *The use of alternative accounting methods.* Companies frequently have latitude when choosing certain accounting methods. Ratios taken from financial statements that employ different accounting choices may not be comparable unless adjustments are made. Some important accounting considerations include the following:
 - FIFO (first in, first out), LIFO (last in, first out), or average cost inventory valuation methods (IFRS does not allow LIFO);
 - Cost or equity methods of accounting for unconsolidated affiliates;
 - Straight line or accelerated methods of depreciation; and
 - Operating or finance lease treatment for lessors (under US GAAP, the type of lease affects classifications of expenses; under IFRS, operating lease treatment for lessors is not applicable).

The expanding use of IFRS and past convergence efforts between IFRS and US GAAP make the financial statements of different companies more comparable and may overcome some of these difficulties. Nonetheless, there will remain accounting choices that the analyst must consider.

3.3 Sources of Ratios

Ratios may be computed using data obtained directly from companies' financial statements or from a database such as Bloomberg, Compustat, FactSet, or Thomson Reuters. The information provided by the database may include information as reported in companies' financial statements and ratios calculated based on the information. These databases are popular because they provide easy access to many years of historical data so that trends over time can be examined. They also allow for ratio calculations based on periods other than the company's fiscal year, such as for the trailing 12 months (TTM) or most recent quarter (MRQ).

EXAMPLE 4

Trailing Twelve Months

On 15 July, an analyst is examining a company with a fiscal year ending on 31 December. Use the following data to calculate the company's trailing 12 month earnings (for the period ended 30 June 2018):

- Earnings for the year ended 31 December, 2017: \$1,200;
- Earnings for the six months ended 30 June 2017: \$550; and
- Earnings for the six months ended 30 June 2018: \$750.

Solution:

The company's trailing 12 months earnings is \$1,400, calculated as \$1,200 – \$550 + \$750.

Analysts should be aware that the underlying formulas for ratios may differ by vendor. The formula used should be obtained from the vendor, and the analyst should determine whether any adjustments are necessary. Furthermore, database providers often exercise judgment when classifying items. For example, operating income may not appear directly on a company's income statement, and the vendor may use judgment to classify income statement items as "operating" or "non-operating." Variation in such judgments would affect any computation involving operating income. It is therefore a good practice to use the same source for data when comparing different companies or when evaluating the historical record of a single company. Analysts should verify the consistency of formulas and data classifications by the data source. Analysts should also be mindful of the judgments made by a vendor in data classifications and refer back to the source financial statements until they are comfortable that the classifications are appropriate.

Collection of financial data from regulatory filings and calculation of ratios can be automated. The eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) is a mechanism that attaches "smart tags" to financial information (e.g., total assets), so that software can automatically collect the data and perform desired computations. The organization developing XBRL (www.xbrl.org) is an international nonprofit consortium of over 600 members from companies, associations, and agencies, including the International Accounting Standards Board. Many stock exchanges and regulatory agencies around the world now use XBRL for receiving and distributing public financial reports from listed companies.

Analysts can compare a subject company to similar (peer) companies in these databases or use aggregate industry data. For non-public companies, aggregate industry data can be obtained from such sources as Annual Statement Studies by the Risk Management Association or Dun & Bradstreet. These publications typically provide industry data with companies sorted into quartiles. By definition, twenty-five percent of companies' ratios fall within the lowest quartile, 25 percent have ratios between the lower quartile and median value, and so on. Analysts can then determine a company's relative standing in the industry.

4

COMMON SIZE BALANCE SHEETS AND INCOME STATEMENTS

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations

Common-size analysis involves expressing financial data, including entire financial statements, in relation to a single financial statement item, or base. Items used most frequently as the bases are total assets or revenue. In essence, common-size analysis creates a ratio between every financial statement item and the base item.

Common-size analysis was demonstrated in readings for the income statement, balance sheet, and cash flow statement. In this section, we present common-size analysis of financial statements in greater detail and include further discussion of their interpretation.

4.1 Common-Size Analysis of the Balance Sheet

A vertical⁵ common-size balance sheet, prepared by dividing each item on the balance sheet by the same period's total assets and expressing the results as percentages, highlights the composition of the balance sheet. What is the mix of assets being used? How is the company financing itself? How does one company's balance sheet composition compare with that of peer companies, and what are the reasons for any differences?

A horizontal common-size balance sheet, prepared by computing the increase or decrease in percentage terms of each balance sheet item from the prior year or prepared by dividing the quantity of each item by a base year quantity of the item, highlights changes in items. These changes can be compared to expectations. The section on trend analysis below will illustrate a horizontal common-size balance sheet.

Exhibit 3 presents a vertical common-size (partial) balance sheet for a hypothetical company in two time periods. In this example, receivables have increased from 35 percent to 57 percent of total assets and the ratio has increased by 63 percent from Period 1 to Period 2. What are possible reasons for such an increase? The increase might indicate that the company is making more of its sales on a credit basis rather than a cash basis, perhaps in response to some action taken by a competitor. Alternatively, the increase in receivables as a percentage of assets may have occurred because of a change in another current asset category, for example, a decrease in the level of inventory; the analyst would then need to investigate why that asset category has changed. Another possible reason for the increase in receivables as a percentage of assets is that the company has lowered its credit standards, relaxed its collection procedures, or adopted more aggressive revenue recognition policies. The analyst can turn to other comparisons and ratios (e.g., comparing the rate of growth in accounts receivable with the rate of growth in sales) to help determine which explanation is most likely.

Exhibit 3 Vertical Common-Size (Partial) Balance Sheet for a Hypothetical Company

	Period 1 Percent of Total Assets	Period 2 Percent of Total Assets
Cash	25	15
Receivables	35	57
Inventory	35	20
Fixed assets, net of depreciation	5	8
Total assets	100	100

4.2 Common-Size Analysis of the Income Statement

A vertical common-size income statement divides each income statement item by revenue, or sometimes by total assets (especially in the case of financial institutions). If there are multiple revenue sources, a decomposition of revenue in percentage terms is useful. Exhibit 4 presents a hypothetical company's vertical common-size income statement in two time periods. Revenue is separated into the company's four services, each shown as a percentage of total revenue.

⁵ The term **vertical analysis** is used to denote a common-size analysis using only one reporting period or one base financial statement, whereas **horizontal analysis** refers to an analysis comparing a specific financial statement with prior or future time periods or to a cross-sectional analysis of one company with another.

In this example, revenues from Service A have become a far greater percentage of the company's total revenue (30 percent in Period 1 and 45 percent in Period 2). What are possible reasons for and implications of this change in business mix? Did the company make a strategic decision to sell more of Service A, perhaps because it is more profitable? Apparently not, because the company's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortisation (EBITDA) declined from 53 percent of sales to 45 percent, so other possible explanations should be examined. In addition, we note from the composition of operating expenses that the main reason for this decline in profitability is that salaries and employee benefits have increased from 15 percent to 25 percent of total revenue. Are more highly compensated employees required for Service A? Were higher training costs incurred in order to increase revenues from Service A? If the analyst wants to predict future performance, the causes of these changes must be understood.

In addition, Exhibit 4 shows that the company's income tax as a percentage of sales has declined dramatically (from 15 percent to 8 percent). Furthermore, taxes as a percentage of earnings before tax (EBT) (the effective tax rate, which is usually the more relevant comparison), have decreased from 36 percent ($= 15/42$) to 24 percent ($= 8/34$). Is Service A, which in Period 2 is a greater percentage of total revenue, provided in a jurisdiction with lower tax rates? If not, what is the explanation for the change in effective tax rate?

The observations based on Exhibit 4 summarize the issues that can be raised through analysis of the vertical common-size income statement.

Exhibit 4 Vertical Common-Size Income Statement for Hypothetical Company

	Period 1 Percent of Total Revenue	Period 2 Percent of Total Revenue
Revenue source: Service A	30	45
Revenue source: Service B	23	20
Revenue source: Service C	30	30
Revenue source: Service D	17	5
Total revenue	100	100
Operating expenses (excluding depreciation)		
Salaries and employee benefits	15	25
Administrative expenses	22	20
Rent expense	10	10
EBITDA	53	45
Depreciation and amortisation	4	4
EBIT	49	41
Interest paid	7	7
EBT	42	34
Income tax provision	15	8
Net income	27	26

EBIT = earnings before interest and tax.

CROSS-SECTIONAL, TREND ANALYSIS & RELATIONSHIPS IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations

As noted previously, ratios and common-size statements derive part of their meaning through comparison to some benchmark. **Cross-sectional analysis** (sometimes called “relative analysis”) compares a specific metric for one company with the same metric for another company or group of companies, allowing comparisons even though the companies might be of significantly different sizes and/or operate in different currencies. This is illustrated in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5 Vertical Common-Size (Partial) Balance Sheet for Two Hypothetical Companies

Assets	Company 1	Company 2
	Percent of Total Assets	Percent of Total Assets
Cash	38	12
Receivables	33	55
Inventory	27	24
Fixed assets net of depreciation	1	2
Investments	1	7
Total Assets	100	100

Exhibit 5 presents a vertical common-size (partial) balance sheet for two hypothetical companies at the same point in time. Company 1 is clearly more liquid (liquidity is a function of how quickly assets can be converted into cash) than Company 2, which has only 12 percent of assets available as cash, compared with the highly liquid Company 1, which has 38 percent of assets available as cash. Given that cash is generally a relatively low-yielding asset and thus not a particularly efficient use of excess funds, why does Company 1 hold such a large percentage of total assets in cash? Perhaps the company is preparing for an acquisition, or maintains a large cash position as insulation from a particularly volatile operating environment. Another issue highlighted by the comparison in this example is the relatively high percentage of receivables in Company 2’s assets, which may indicate a greater proportion of credit sales, overall changes in asset composition, lower credit or collection standards, or aggressive accounting policies.

5.1 Trend Analysis⁶

When looking at financial statements and ratios, trends in the data, whether they are improving or deteriorating, are as important as the current absolute or relative levels. Trend analysis provides important information regarding historical performance and growth and, given a sufficiently long history of accurate seasonal information, can be of great assistance as a planning and forecasting tool for management and analysts.

Exhibit 6A presents a partial balance sheet for a hypothetical company over five periods. The last two columns of the table show the changes for Period 5 compared with Period 4, expressed both in absolute currency (in this case, dollars) and in percentages. A small percentage change could hide a significant currency change and vice versa, prompting the analyst to investigate the reasons despite one of the changes being relatively small. In this example, the largest percentage change was in investments, which decreased by 33.3 percent.⁷ However, an examination of the absolute currency amount of changes shows that investments changed by only \$2 million, and the more significant change was the \$12 million increase in receivables.

Another way to present data covering a period of time is to show each item in relation to the same item in a base year (i.e., a horizontal common-size balance sheet). Exhibits 6B and 6C illustrate alternative presentations of horizontal common-size balance sheets. Exhibit 6B presents the information from the same partial balance sheet as in Exhibit 6A, but indexes each item relative to the same item in Period 1. For example, in Period 2, the company had \$29 million cash, which is 74 percent or 0.74 of the amount of cash it had in Period 1. Expressed as an index relative to Period 1, where each item in Period 1 is given a value of 1.00, the value in Period 2 would be 0.74 ($\$29/\$39 = 0.74$). In Period 3, the company had \$27 million cash, which is 69 percent of the amount of cash it had in Period 1 ($\$27/\$39 = 0.69$).

Exhibit 6C presents the percentage change in each item, relative to the previous year. For example, the change in cash from Period 1 to Period 2 was -25.6 percent ($\$29/\$39 - 1 = -0.256$), and the change in cash from Period 2 to Period 3 was -6.9 percent ($\$27/\$29 - 1 = -0.069$). An analyst will select the horizontal common-size balance that addresses the particular period of interest. Exhibit 6B clearly highlights that in Period 5 compared to Period 1, the company has less than half the amount of cash, four times the amount of investments, and eight times the amount of property, plant, and equipment. Exhibit 6C highlights year-to-year changes: For example, cash has declined in each period. Presenting data this way highlights significant changes. Again, note that a mathematically big change is not necessarily an important change. For example, fixed assets increased 100 percent, i.e., doubled between Period 1 and 2; however, as a proportion of total assets, fixed assets increased from 1 percent of total assets to 2 percent of total assets. The company's working capital assets (receivables and inventory) are a far higher proportion of total assets and would likely warrant more attention from an analyst.

An analysis of horizontal common-size balance sheets highlights structural changes that have occurred in a business. Past trends are obviously not necessarily an accurate predictor of the future, especially when the economic or competitive environment changes. An examination of past trends is more valuable when the macroeconomic and competitive environments are relatively stable and when the analyst is reviewing a stable or mature business. However, even in less stable contexts, historical analysis

⁶ In financial statement analysis, the term “trend analysis” usually refers to comparisons across time periods of 3–10 years not involving statistical tools. This differs from the use of the term in the quantitative methods portion of the CFA curriculum, where “trend analysis” refers to statistical methods of measuring patterns in time-series data.

⁷ Percentage change is calculated as $(\text{Ending value} - \text{Beginning value})/\text{Beginning value}$, or equivalently, $(\text{Ending value}/\text{Beginning value}) - 1$.

can serve as a basis for developing expectations. Understanding of past trends is helpful in assessing whether these trends are likely to continue or if the trend is likely to change direction.

Exhibit 6A Partial Balance Sheet for a Hypothetical Company over Five Periods

Assets (\$ Millions)	Period					Change 4 to 5 (\$ Million)	Change 4 to 5 (Percent)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Cash	39	29	27	19	16	-3	-15.8
Investments	1	7	7	6	4	-2	-33.3
Receivables	44	41	37	67	79	12	17.9
Inventory	15	25	36	25	27	2	8.0
Fixed assets net of depreciation	1	2	6	9	8	-1	-11.1
Total assets	100	104	113	126	134	8	6.3

Exhibit 6B Horizontal Common-Size (Partial) Balance Sheet for a Hypothetical Company over Five Periods, with Each Item Expressed Relative to the Same Item in Period One

Assets	Period				
	1	2	3	4	5
Cash	1.00	0.74	0.69	0.49	0.41
Investments	1.00	7.00	7.00	6.00	4.00
Receivables	1.00	0.93	0.84	1.52	1.80
Inventory	1.00	1.67	2.40	1.67	1.80
Fixed assets net of depreciation	1.00	2.00	6.00	9.00	8.00
Total assets	1.00	1.04	1.13	1.26	1.34

Exhibit 6C Horizontal Common-Size (Partial) Balance Sheet for a Hypothetical Company over Five Periods, with Percent Change in Each Item Relative to the Prior Period

Assets	Period			
	2 (%)	3 (%)	4 (%)	5 (%)
Cash	-25.6	-6.9	-29.6	-15.8
Investments	600.0	0.0	-14.3	-33.3
Receivables	-6.8	-9.8	81.1	17.9
Inventory	66.7	44.0	-30.6	8.0

(continued)

Exhibit 6C (Continued)

Assets	Period			
	2 (%)	3 (%)	4 (%)	5 (%)
Fixed assets net of depreciation	100.0	200.0	50.0	-11.1
Total assets	4.0	8.7	11.5	6.3

One measure of success is for a company to grow at a rate greater than the rate of the overall market in which it operates. Companies that grow slowly may find themselves unable to attract equity capital. Conversely, companies that grow too quickly may find that their administrative and management information systems cannot keep up with the rate of expansion.

5.2 Relationships among Financial Statements

Trend data generated by a horizontal common-size analysis can be compared across financial statements. For example, the growth rate of assets for the hypothetical company in Exhibit 6 can be compared with the company's growth in revenue over the same period of time. If revenue is growing more quickly than assets, the company may be increasing its efficiency (i.e., generating more revenue for every dollar invested in assets).

As another example, consider the following year-over-year percentage changes for a hypothetical company:

Revenue	+20%
Net income	+25%
Operating cash flow	-10%
Total assets	+30%

Net income is growing faster than revenue, which indicates increasing profitability. However, the analyst would need to determine whether the faster growth in net income resulted from continuing operations or from non-operating, non-recurring items. In addition, the 10 percent decline in operating cash flow despite increasing revenue and net income clearly warrants further investigation because it could indicate a problem with earnings quality (perhaps aggressive reporting of revenue). Lastly, the fact that assets have grown faster than revenue indicates the company's efficiency may be declining. The analyst should examine the composition of the increase in assets and the reasons for the changes. Example 5 illustrates a historical example of a company where comparisons of trend data from different financial statements were actually indicative of aggressive accounting policies.

EXAMPLE 5

Use of Comparative Growth Information⁸

In July 1996, Sunbeam, a US company, brought in new management to turn the company around. In the following year, 1997, using 1996 as the base, the following was observed based on reported numbers:

⁸ Adapted from Robinson and Munter (2004, pp. 2–15).

Revenue	+19%
Inventory	+58%
Receivables	+38%

It is generally more desirable to observe inventory and receivables growing at a slower (or similar) rate compared to revenue growth. Receivables growing faster than revenue can indicate operational issues, such as lower credit standards or aggressive accounting policies for revenue recognition. Similarly, inventory growing faster than revenue can indicate an operational problem with obsolescence or aggressive accounting policies, such as an improper overstatement of inventory to increase profits.

In this case, the explanation lay in aggressive accounting policies. Sunbeam was later charged by the US Securities and Exchange Commission with improperly accelerating the recognition of revenue and engaging in other practices, such as billing customers for inventory prior to shipment.

THE USE OF GRAPHS AND REGRESSION ANALYSIS


6

- a describe tools and techniques used in financial analysis, including their uses and limitations


Graphs facilitate comparison of performance and financial structure over time, highlighting changes in significant aspects of business operations. In addition, graphs provide the analyst (and management) with a visual overview of risk trends in a business. Graphs may also be used effectively to communicate the analyst's conclusions regarding financial condition and risk management aspects.

Exhibit 7 presents the information from Exhibit 6A in a stacked column format. The graph makes the significant decline in cash and growth in receivables (both in absolute terms and as a percentage of assets) readily apparent. In Exhibit 7, the vertical axis shows US\$ millions and the horizontal axis denotes the period.

Choosing the appropriate graph to communicate the most significant conclusions of a financial analysis is a skill. In general, pie graphs are most useful to communicate the composition of a total value (e.g., assets over a limited amount of time, say one or two periods). Line graphs are useful when the focus is on the change in amount for a limited number of items over a relatively longer time period. When the composition and amounts, as well as their change over time, are all important, a stacked column graph can be useful.

Exhibit 7 Stacked Column Graph of Asset Composition of Hypothetical Company over Five Periods

When comparing Period 5 with Period 4, the growth in receivables appears to be within normal bounds; but when comparing Period 5 with earlier periods, the dramatic growth becomes apparent. In the same manner, a simple line graph will also illustrate the growth trends in key financial variables. Exhibit 8 presents the information from Exhibit 6A as a line graph, illustrating the growth of assets of a hypothetical company over five periods. The steady decline in cash, volatile movements of inventory, and dramatic growth of receivables is clearly illustrated. Again, the vertical axis is shown in US\$ millions and the horizontal axis denotes periods.

Exhibit 8 Line Graph of Growth of Assets of Hypothetical Company over Five Periods**6.1 Regression Analysis**

When analyzing the trend in a specific line item or ratio, frequently it is possible simply to visually evaluate the changes. For more complex situations, regression analysis can help identify relationships (or correlation) between variables. For example, a regression analysis could relate a company's sales to GDP over time, providing insight into whether the company is cyclical. In addition, the statistical relationship between sales and GDP could be used as a basis for forecasting sales.

Other examples where regression analysis may be useful include the relationship between a company's sales and inventory over time, or the relationship between hotel occupancy and a company's hotel revenues. In addition to providing a basis for forecasting, regression analysis facilitates identification of items or ratios that are not behaving as expected, given historical statistical relationships.

**COMMON RATIO CATEGORIES & INTERPRETATION
AND CONTEXT**

7

- b** identify, calculate, and interpret activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation ratios

In the previous section, we focused on ratios resulting from common-size analysis. In this section, we expand the discussion to include other commonly used financial ratios and the broad classes into which they are categorized. There is some overlap with common-size financial statement ratios. For example, a common indicator of profitability is the net profit margin, which is calculated as net income divided by

sales. This ratio appears on a vertical common-size income statement. Other ratios involve information from multiple financial statements or even data from outside the financial statements.

Because of the large number of ratios, it is helpful to think about ratios in terms of broad categories based on what aspects of performance a ratio is intended to detect. Financial analysts and data vendors use a variety of categories to classify ratios. The category names and the ratios included in each category can differ. Common ratio categories include activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation. These categories are summarized in Exhibit 9. Each category measures a different aspect of the company's business, but all are useful in evaluating a company's overall ability to generate cash flows from operating its business and the associated risks.

Exhibit 9 Categories of Financial Ratios

Category	Description
Activity	Activity ratios measure how efficiently a company performs day-to-day tasks, such as the collection of receivables and management of inventory.
Liquidity	Liquidity ratios measure the company's ability to meet its short-term obligations.
Solvency	Solvency ratios measure a company's ability to meet long-term obligations. Subsets of these ratios are also known as "leverage" and "long-term debt" ratios.
Profitability	Profitability ratios measure the company's ability to generate profits from its resources (assets).
Valuation	Valuation ratios measure the quantity of an asset or flow (e.g., earnings) associated with ownership of a specified claim (e.g., a share or ownership of the enterprise).

These categories are not mutually exclusive; some ratios are useful in measuring multiple aspects of the business. For example, an activity ratio measuring how quickly a company collects accounts receivable is also useful in assessing the company's liquidity because collection of revenues increases cash. Some profitability ratios also reflect the operating efficiency of the business. In summary, analysts appropriately use certain ratios to evaluate multiple aspects of the business. Analysts also need to be aware of variations in industry practice in the calculation of financial ratios. In the text that follows, alternative views on ratio calculations are often provided.

7.1 Interpretation and Context

Financial ratios can only be interpreted in the context of other information, including benchmarks. In general, the financial ratios of a company are compared with those of its major competitors (cross-sectional and trend analysis) and to the company's prior periods (trend analysis). The goal is to understand the underlying causes of divergence between a company's ratios and those of the industry. Even ratios that remain consistent require understanding because consistency can sometimes indicate accounting policies selected to smooth earnings. An analyst should evaluate financial ratios based on the following:

- 1 *Company goals and strategy.* Actual ratios can be compared with company objectives to determine whether objectives are being attained and whether the results are consistent with the company's strategy.

- 2** *Industry norms (cross-sectional analysis).* A company can be compared with others in its industry by relating its financial ratios to industry norms or to a subset of the companies in an industry. When industry norms are used to make judgments, care must be taken because:
- Many ratios are industry specific, and not all ratios are important to all industries.
 - Companies may have several different lines of business. This will cause aggregate financial ratios to be distorted. It is better to examine industry-specific ratios by lines of business.
 - Differences in accounting methods used by companies can distort financial ratios.
 - Differences in corporate strategies can affect certain financial ratios.
- 3** *Economic conditions.* For cyclical companies, financial ratios tend to improve when the economy is strong and weaken during recessions. Therefore, financial ratios should be examined in light of the current phase of the business cycle.

The following sections discuss activity, liquidity, solvency, and profitability ratios in turn. Selected valuation ratios are presented later in the section on equity analysis.

ACTIVITY RATIOS

8

Activity ratios are also known as **asset utilization ratios** or **operating efficiency ratios**. This category is intended to measure how well a company manages various activities, particularly how efficiently it manages its various assets. Activity ratios are analyzed as indicators of ongoing operational performance—how effectively assets are used by a company. These ratios reflect the efficient management of both working capital and longer term assets. As noted, efficiency has a direct impact on liquidity (the ability of a company to meet its short-term obligations), so some activity ratios are also useful in assessing liquidity.

8.1 Calculation of Activity Ratios

Exhibit 10 presents the most commonly used activity ratios. The exhibit shows the numerator and denominator of each ratio.

Exhibit 10 Definitions of Commonly Used Activity Ratios

Activity Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Inventory turnover	Cost of sales or cost of goods sold	Average inventory
Days of inventory on hand (DOH)	Number of days in period	Inventory turnover
Receivables turnover	Revenue	Average receivables
Days of sales outstanding (DSO)	Number of days in period	Receivables turnover
Payables turnover	Purchases	Average trade payables
Number of days of payables	Number of days in period	Payables turnover
Working capital turnover	Revenue	Average working capital

(continued)

Exhibit 10 (Continued)

Activity Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Fixed asset turnover	Revenue	Average net fixed assets
Total asset turnover	Revenue	Average total assets

Activity ratios measure how efficiently the company utilizes assets. They generally combine information from the income statement in the numerator with balance sheet items in the denominator. Because the income statement measures what happened *during* a period whereas the balance sheet shows the condition only at the end of the period, average balance sheet data are normally used for consistency. For example, to measure inventory management efficiency, cost of sales or cost of goods sold (from the income statement) is divided by average inventory (from the balance sheet). Most databases, such as Bloomberg and Baseline, use this averaging convention when income statement and balance sheet data are combined. These databases typically average only two points: the beginning of the year and the end of the year. The examples that follow based on annual financial statements illustrate that practice. However, some analysts prefer to average more observations if they are available, especially if the business is seasonal. If a semiannual report is prepared, an average can be taken over three data points (beginning, middle, and end of year). If quarterly data are available, a five-point average can be computed (beginning of year and end of each quarterly period) or a four-point average using the end of each quarterly period. Note that if the company's year ends at a low or high point for inventory for the year, there can still be bias in using three or five data points, because the beginning and end of year occur at the same time of the year and are effectively double counted.

Because cost of goods sold measures the cost of inventory that has been sold, this ratio measures how many times per year the entire inventory was theoretically turned over, or sold. (We say that the entire inventory was "theoretically" sold because in practice companies do not generally sell out their entire inventory.) If, for example, a company's cost of goods sold for a recent year was €120,000 and its average inventory was €10,000, the inventory turnover ratio would be 12. The company theoretically turns over (i.e., sells) its entire inventory 12 times per year (i.e., once a month). (Again, we say "theoretically" because in practice the company likely carries some inventory from one month into another.) Turnover can then be converted to days of inventory on hand (DOH) by dividing inventory turnover into the number of days in the accounting period. In this example, the result is a DOH of 30.42 (365/12), meaning that, on average, the company's inventory was on hand for about 30 days, or, equivalently, the company kept on hand about 30 days' worth of inventory, on average, during the period.

Activity ratios can be computed for any annual or interim period, but care must be taken in the interpretation and comparison across periods. For example, if the same company had cost of goods sold for the first quarter (90 days) of the following year of €35,000 and average inventory of €11,000, the inventory turnover would be 3.18 times. However, this turnover rate is 3.18 times per quarter, which is not directly comparable to the 12 times per year in the preceding year. In this case, we can annualize the quarterly inventory turnover rate by multiplying the quarterly turnover by 4 (12 months/3 months; or by 4.06, using 365 days/90 days) for comparison to the annual turnover rate. So, the quarterly inventory turnover is equivalent to a 12.72 annual inventory turnover (or 12.91 if we annualize the ratio using a 90-day quarter and a 365-day year). To compute the DOH using quarterly data, we can use the quarterly turnover rate and the number of days in the quarter for the numerator—or, we can use the annualized turnover rate and 365 days; either results in DOH of around 28.3, with

slight differences due to rounding ($90/3.18 = 28.30$ and $365/12.91 = 28.27$). Another time-related computational detail is that for companies using a 52/53-week annual period and for leap years, the actual days in the year should be used rather than 365.

In some cases, an analyst may want to know how many days of inventory are on hand at the end of the year rather than the average for the year. In this case, it would be appropriate to use the year-end inventory balance in the computation rather than the average. If the company is growing rapidly or if costs are increasing rapidly, analysts should consider using cost of goods sold just for the fourth quarter in this computation because the cost of goods sold of earlier quarters may not be relevant. Example 6 further demonstrates computation of activity ratios using Hong Kong Stock Exchange(HKEX)-listed Lenovo Group Limited.

EXAMPLE 6

Computation of Activity Ratios

An analyst would like to evaluate Lenovo Group's efficiency in collecting its trade accounts receivable during the fiscal year ended 31 March 2018 (FY2017). The analyst gathers the following information from Lenovo's annual and interim reports:

US\$ in Thousands	
Trade receivables as of 31 March 2017	4,468,392
Trade receivables as of 31 March 2018	4,972,722
Revenue for year ended 31 March 2018	45,349,943

Calculate Lenovo's receivables turnover and number of days of sales outstanding (DSO) for the fiscal year ended 31 March 2018.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Receivables turnover} &= \text{Revenue}/\text{Average receivables} \\
 &= 45,349,943/[(4,468,392 + 4,972,722)/2] \\
 &= 45,349,943/4,720,557 \\
 &= 9.6069 \text{ times, or } 9.6 \text{ rounded} \\
 \text{DSO} &= \text{Number of days in period}/\text{Receivables turnover} \\
 &= 365/9.6 \\
 &= 38.0 \text{ days}
 \end{aligned}$$

On average, it took Lenovo 38 days to collect receivables during the fiscal year ended 31 March 2018.

8.2 Interpretation of Activity Ratios

In the following section, we further discuss the activity ratios that were defined in Exhibit 10.

Inventory Turnover and DOH

Inventory turnover lies at the heart of operations for many entities. It indicates the resources tied up in inventory (i.e., the carrying costs) and can, therefore, be used to indicate inventory management effectiveness. A higher inventory turnover ratio implies a shorter period that inventory is held, and thus a lower DOH. In general, inventory turnover and DOH should be benchmarked against industry norms.

A high inventory turnover ratio relative to industry norms might indicate highly effective inventory management. Alternatively, a high inventory turnover ratio (and commensurately low DOH) could possibly indicate the company does not carry adequate inventory, so shortages could potentially hurt revenue. To assess which explanation is more likely, the analyst can compare the company's revenue growth with that of the industry. Slower growth combined with higher inventory turnover could indicate inadequate inventory levels. Revenue growth at or above the industry's growth supports the interpretation that the higher turnover reflects greater inventory management efficiency.

A low inventory turnover ratio (and commensurately high DOH) relative to the rest of the industry could be an indicator of slow-moving inventory, perhaps due to technological obsolescence or a change in fashion. Again, comparing the company's sales growth with the industry can offer insight.

Receivables Turnover and DSO.

The number of DSO represents the elapsed time between a sale and cash collection, reflecting how fast the company collects cash from customers to whom it offers credit. Although limiting the numerator to sales made on credit in the receivables turnover would be more appropriate, credit sales information is not always available to analysts; therefore, revenue as reported in the income statement is generally used as an approximation.

A relatively high receivables turnover ratio (and commensurately low DSO) might indicate highly efficient credit and collection. Alternatively, a high receivables turnover ratio could indicate that the company's credit or collection policies are too stringent, suggesting the possibility of sales being lost to competitors offering more lenient terms. A relatively low receivables turnover ratio would typically raise questions about the efficiency of the company's credit and collections procedures. As with inventory management, comparison of the company's sales growth relative to the industry can help the analyst assess whether sales are being lost due to stringent credit policies. In addition, comparing the company's estimates of uncollectible accounts receivable and actual credit losses with past experience and with peer companies can help assess whether low turnover reflects credit management issues. Companies often provide details of receivables aging (how much receivables have been outstanding by age). This can be used along with DSO to understand trends in collection, as demonstrated in Example 7.

EXAMPLE 7

Evaluation of an Activity Ratio

An analyst has computed the average DSO for Lenovo for fiscal years ended 31 March 2018 and 2017:

	FY2017	FY2016
Days of sales outstanding	38.0	37.6

Revenue increased from US\$43.035 billion for fiscal year ended 31 March 2017 (FY2016) to US\$45.350 billion for fiscal year ended 31 March 2018 (FY2017). The analyst would like to better understand the change in the company's DSO from FY2016 to FY2017 and whether the increase is indicative of any issues with the customers' credit quality. The analyst collects accounts receivable aging information from Lenovo's annual reports and computes the percentage of accounts receivable by days outstanding. This information is presented in Exhibit 11:

Exhibit 11

	FY2017		FY2016		FY2015	
	US\$000	Percent	US\$000	Percent	US\$000	Percent
Accounts receivable						
0–30 days	3,046,240	59.95	2,923,083	63.92	3,246,600	71.99
31–60 days	1,169,286	23.01	985,251	21.55	617,199	13.69
61–90 days	320,183	6.30	283,050	6.19	240,470	5.33
Over 90 days	545,629	10.74	381,387	8.34	405,410	8.99
Total	5,081,338	100.00	4,572,771	100.00	4,509,679	100.00
Less: Provision for impairment	-108,616	-2.14	-104,379	-2.28	-106,172	-2.35
Trade receivables, net	4,972,722	97.86	4,468,392	97.72	4,403,507	97.65
<i>Total sales</i>	<i>45,349,943</i>		<i>43,034,731</i>		<i>44,912,097</i>	

Note: Lenovo's footnotes disclose that general trade customers are provided with credit terms ranging from 0 to 120 days.

These data indicate that total accounts receivable increased by about 11.3% in FY2017 versus FY2016, while total sales increased by only 5.4%. Further, the percentage of receivables in all categories older than 30 days has increased over the three-year period, indicating that customers are indeed taking longer to pay. On the other hand, the provision for impairment (estimate of uncollectible accounts) has declined as a percent of total receivables. Considering all this information, the company may be increasing customer financing purposely to drive its sales growth. They also may be underestimating the impairment. This should be investigated further by the analyst.

Payables Turnover and the Number of Days of Payables

The number of days of payables reflects the average number of days the company takes to pay its suppliers, and the payables turnover ratio measures how many times per year the company theoretically pays off all its creditors. For purposes of calculating these ratios, an implicit assumption is that the company makes all its purchases using credit. If the amount of purchases is not directly available, it can be computed as cost of goods sold plus ending inventory less beginning inventory. Alternatively, cost of goods sold is sometimes used as an approximation of purchases.

A payables turnover ratio that is high (low days payable) relative to the industry could indicate that the company is not making full use of available credit facilities; alternatively, it could result from a company taking advantage of early payment discounts. An excessively low turnover ratio (high days payable) could indicate trouble making payments on time, or alternatively, exploitation of lenient supplier terms. This is another example where it is useful to look simultaneously at other ratios. If liquidity

ratios indicate that the company has sufficient cash and other short-term assets to pay obligations and yet the days payable ratio is relatively high, the analyst would favor the lenient supplier credit and collection policies as an explanation.

Working Capital Turnover

Working capital is defined as current assets minus current liabilities. Working capital turnover indicates how efficiently the company generates revenue with its working capital. For example, a working capital turnover ratio of 4.0 indicates that the company generates €4 of revenue for every €1 of working capital. A high working capital turnover ratio indicates greater efficiency (i.e., the company is generating a high level of revenues relative to working capital). For some companies, working capital can be near zero or negative, rendering this ratio incapable of being interpreted. The following two ratios are more useful in those circumstances.

Fixed Asset Turnover

This ratio measures how efficiently the company generates revenues from its investments in fixed assets. Generally, a higher fixed asset turnover ratio indicates more efficient use of fixed assets in generating revenue. A low ratio can indicate inefficiency, a capital-intensive business environment, or a new business not yet operating at full capacity—in which case the analyst will not be able to link the ratio directly to efficiency. In addition, asset turnover can be affected by factors other than a company's efficiency. The fixed asset turnover ratio would be lower for a company whose assets are newer (and, therefore, less depreciated and so reflected in the financial statements at a higher carrying value) than the ratio for a company with older assets (that are thus more depreciated and so reflected at a lower carrying value). The fixed asset ratio can be erratic because, although revenue may have a steady growth rate, increases in fixed assets may not follow a smooth pattern; so, every year-to-year change in the ratio does not necessarily indicate important changes in the company's efficiency.

Total Asset Turnover

The total asset turnover ratio measures the company's overall ability to generate revenues with a given level of assets. A ratio of 1.20 would indicate that the company is generating €1.20 of revenues for every €1 of average assets. A higher ratio indicates greater efficiency. Because this ratio includes both fixed and current assets, inefficient working capital management can distort overall interpretations. It is therefore helpful to analyze working capital and fixed asset turnover ratios separately.

A low asset turnover ratio can be an indicator of inefficiency or of relative capital intensity of the business. The ratio also reflects strategic decisions by management—for example, the decision whether to use a more labor-intensive (and less capital-intensive) approach to its business or a more capital-intensive (and less labor-intensive) approach.

When interpreting activity ratios, the analysts should examine not only the individual ratios but also the collection of relevant ratios to determine the overall efficiency of a company. Example 8 demonstrates the evaluation of activity ratios, both narrow (e.g., days of inventory on hand) and broad (e.g., total asset turnover) for a hypothetical manufacturer.

EXAMPLE 8

Evaluation of Activity Ratios

ZZZ Company is a hypothetical manufacturing company. As part of an analysis of management's operating efficiency, an analyst collects the following activity ratios from a data provider:

Ratio	2018	2017	2016	2015
DOH	35.68	40.70	40.47	48.51
DSO	45.07	58.28	51.27	76.98
Total asset turnover	0.36	0.28	0.23	0.22

These ratios indicate that the company has improved on all three measures of activity over the four-year period. The company appears to be managing its inventory more efficiently, is collecting receivables faster, and is generating a higher level of revenues relative to total assets. The overall trend appears good, but thus far, the analyst has only determined *what* happened. A more important question is *why* the ratios improved, because understanding good changes as well as bad ones facilitates judgments about the company's future performance. To answer this question, the analyst examines company financial reports as well as external information about the industry and economy. In examining the annual report, the analyst notes that in the fourth quarter of 2018, the company experienced an "inventory correction" and that the company recorded an allowance for the decline in market value and obsolescence of inventory of about 15 percent of year-end inventory value (compared with about a 6 percent allowance in the prior year). This reduction in the value of inventory accounts for a large portion of the decline in DOH from 40.70 in 2017 to 35.68 in 2018. Management claims that this inventory obsolescence is a short-term issue; analysts can watch DOH in future interim periods to confirm this assertion. In any event, all else being equal, the analyst would likely expect DOH to return to a level closer to 40 days going forward.

More positive interpretations can be drawn from the total asset turnover. The analyst finds that the company's revenues increased more than 35 percent while total assets only increased by about 6 percent. Based on external information about the industry and economy, the analyst attributes the increased revenues both to overall growth in the industry and to the company's increased market share. Management was able to achieve growth in revenues with a comparatively modest increase in assets, leading to an improvement in total asset turnover. Note further that part of the reason for the increase in asset turnover is lower DOH and DSO.

LIQUIDITY RATIOS

9

- b** identify, calculate, and interpret activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation ratios

Liquidity analysis, which focuses on cash flows, measures a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations. Liquidity measures how quickly assets are converted into cash. Liquidity ratios also measure the ability to pay off short-term obligations. In day-to-day operations, liquidity management is typically achieved through efficient use of assets. In the medium term, liquidity in the non-financial sector is also addressed by managing the structure of liabilities. (See the discussion on financial sector below.)

The level of liquidity needed differs from one industry to another. A particular company's liquidity position may vary according to the anticipated need for funds at any given time. Judging whether a company has adequate liquidity requires analysis

of its historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, anticipated future funding needs, and options for reducing funding needs or attracting additional funds (including actual and potential sources of such funding).

Larger companies are usually better able to control the level and composition of their liabilities than smaller companies. Therefore, they may have more potential funding sources, including public capital and money markets. Greater discretionary access to capital markets also reduces the size of the liquidity buffer needed relative to companies without such access.

Contingent liabilities, such as letters of credit or financial guarantees, can also be relevant when assessing liquidity. The importance of contingent liabilities varies for the non-banking and banking sector. In the non-banking sector, contingent liabilities (usually disclosed in the footnotes to the company's financial statements) represent potential cash outflows, and when appropriate, should be included in an assessment of a company's liquidity. In the banking sector, contingent liabilities represent potentially significant cash outflows that are not dependent on the bank's financial condition. Although outflows in normal market circumstances typically may be low, a general macroeconomic or market crisis can trigger a substantial increase in cash outflows related to contingent liabilities because of the increase in defaults and business bankruptcies that often accompany such events. In addition, such crises are usually characterized by diminished levels of overall liquidity, which can further exacerbate funding shortfalls. Therefore, for the banking sector, the effect of contingent liabilities on liquidity warrants particular attention.

9.1 Calculation of Liquidity Ratios

Common liquidity ratios are presented in Exhibit 12. These liquidity ratios reflect a company's position at a point in time and, therefore, typically use data from the ending balance sheet rather than averages. The current, quick, and cash ratios reflect three measures of a company's ability to pay current liabilities. Each uses a progressively stricter definition of liquid assets.

The **defensive interval ratio** measures how long a company can pay its daily cash expenditures using only its existing liquid assets, without additional cash flow coming in. This ratio is similar to the "burn rate" often computed for start-up internet companies in the late 1990s or for biotechnology companies. The numerator of this ratio includes the same liquid assets used in the quick ratio, and the denominator is an estimate of daily cash expenditures. To obtain daily cash expenditures, the total of cash expenditures for the period is divided by the number of days in the period. Total cash expenditures for a period can be approximated by summing all expenses on the income statement—such as cost of goods sold; selling, general, and administrative expenses; and research and development expenses—and then subtracting any non-cash expenses, such as depreciation and amortisation. (Typically, taxes are not included.)

The **cash conversion cycle**, a financial metric not in ratio form, measures the length of time required for a company to go from cash paid (used in its operations) to cash received (as a result of its operations). The cash conversion cycle is sometimes expressed as the length of time funds are tied up in working capital. During this period of time, the company needs to finance its investment in operations through other sources (i.e., through debt or equity).

Exhibit 12 Definitions of Commonly Used Liquidity Ratios

Liquidity Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Current ratio	Current assets	Current liabilities
Quick ratio	Cash + Short-term marketable investments + Receivables	Current liabilities
Cash ratio	Cash + Short-term marketable investments	Current liabilities
Defensive interval ratio	Cash + Short-term marketable investments + Receivables	Daily cash expenditures
Additional Liquidity Measure		
Cash conversion cycle (net operating cycle)	DOH + DSO – Number of days of payables	

9.2 Interpretation of Liquidity Ratios

In the following, we discuss the interpretation of the five basic liquidity measures presented in Exhibit 12.

Current Ratio

This ratio expresses current assets in relation to current liabilities. A higher ratio indicates a higher level of liquidity (i.e., a greater ability to meet short-term obligations). A current ratio of 1.0 would indicate that the book value of its current assets exactly equals the book value of its current liabilities.

A lower ratio indicates less liquidity, implying a greater reliance on operating cash flow and outside financing to meet short-term obligations. Liquidity affects the company's capacity to take on debt. The current ratio implicitly assumes that inventories and accounts receivable are indeed liquid (which is presumably not the case when related turnover ratios are low).

Quick Ratio

The quick ratio is more conservative than the current ratio because it includes only the more liquid current assets (sometimes referred to as "quick assets") in relation to current liabilities. Like the current ratio, a higher quick ratio indicates greater liquidity.

The quick ratio reflects the fact that certain current assets—such as prepaid expenses, some taxes, and employee-related prepayments—represent costs of the current period that have been paid in advance and cannot usually be converted back into cash. This ratio also reflects the fact that inventory might not be easily and quickly converted into cash, and furthermore, that a company would probably not be able to sell all of its inventory for an amount equal to its carrying value, especially if it were required to sell the inventory quickly. In situations where inventories are illiquid (as indicated, for example, by low inventory turnover ratios), the quick ratio may be a better indicator of liquidity than is the current ratio.

Cash Ratio

The cash ratio normally represents a reliable measure of an entity's liquidity in a crisis situation. Only highly marketable short-term investments and cash are included. In a general market crisis, the fair value of marketable securities could decrease significantly as a result of market factors, in which case even this ratio might not provide reliable information.

Defensive Interval Ratio

This ratio measures how long the company can continue to pay its expenses from its existing liquid assets without receiving any additional cash inflow. A defensive interval ratio of 50 would indicate that the company can continue to pay its operating expenses for 50 days before running out of quick assets, assuming no additional cash inflows. A higher defensive interval ratio indicates greater liquidity. If a company's defensive interval ratio is very low relative to peer companies or to the company's own history, the analyst would want to ascertain whether there is sufficient cash inflow expected to mitigate the low defensive interval ratio.

Cash Conversion Cycle (Net Operating Cycle)

This metric indicates the amount of time that elapses from the point when a company invests in working capital until the point at which the company collects cash. In the typical course of events, a merchandising company acquires inventory on credit, incurring accounts payable. The company then sells that inventory on credit, increasing accounts receivable. Afterwards, it pays out cash to settle its accounts payable, and it collects cash in settlement of its accounts receivable. The time between the outlay of cash and the collection of cash is called the "cash conversion cycle." A shorter cash conversion cycle indicates greater liquidity. A short cash conversion cycle implies that the company only needs to finance its inventory and accounts receivable for a short period of time. A longer cash conversion cycle indicates lower liquidity; it implies that the company must finance its inventory and accounts receivable for a longer period of time, possibly indicating a need for a higher level of capital to fund current assets. Example 9 demonstrates the advantages of a short cash conversion cycle as well as how a company's business strategies are reflected in financial ratios.

EXAMPLE 9

Evaluation of Liquidity Measures

An analyst is evaluating the liquidity of Apple and calculates the number of days of receivables, inventory, and accounts payable, as well as the overall cash conversion cycle, as follows:

	FY2017	FY2016	FY2015
DSO	27	28	27
DOH	9	6	6
Less: Number of days of payables	112	101	86
Equals: Cash conver- sion cycle	(76)	(67)	(53)

The minimal DOH indicates that Apple maintains lean inventories, which is attributable to key aspects of the company's business model where manufacturing is outsourced. In isolation, the increase in number of days payable (from 86 days

in FY2015 to 112 days in FY2017) might suggest an inability to pay suppliers; however, in Apple's case, the balance sheet indicates that the company has more than \$70 billion of cash and short-term investments, which would be more than enough to pay suppliers sooner if Apple chose to do so. Instead, Apple takes advantage of the favorable credit terms granted by its suppliers. The overall effect is a negative cash cycle, a somewhat unusual result. Instead of requiring additional capital to fund working capital as is the case for most companies, Apple has excess cash to invest for over 50 days during that three-year period (reflected on the balance sheet as short-term investments) on which it is earning, rather than paying, interest.

EXAMPLE 10

Bounds and Context of Financial Measures

The previous example focused on the cash conversion cycle, which many companies identify as a key performance metric. The less positive the number of days in the cash conversion cycle, typically, the better it is considered to be. However, is this always true?

This example considers the following question: If a larger negative number of days in a cash conversion cycle is considered to be a desirable performance metric, does identifying a company with a large negative cash conversion cycle necessarily imply good performance?

Using a historical example, National Datacomputer, a technology company, had large negative number of days in its cash conversion cycle during the 2005 to 2009 period. In 2008 its cash conversion cycle was 275.5 days.

Exhibit 13 National Datacomputer Inc. (\$ millions)

Fiscal year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Sales	3.248	2.672	2.045	1.761	1.820	1.723
Cost of goods sold	1.919	1.491	0.898	1.201	1.316	1.228
Receivables, Total	0.281	0.139	0.099	0.076	0.115	0.045
Inventories, Total	0.194	0.176	0.010	0.002	0.000	0.000
Accounts payable	0.223	0.317	0.366	1.423	0.704	0.674
DSO		28.69	21.24	18.14	19.15	16.95
DOH		45.29	37.80	1.82	0.28	0.00
<i>Less: Number of days of payables*</i>	66.10	138.81	271.85	294.97	204.79	
Equals: Cash conversion cycle	7.88	-79.77	-251.89	-275.54	-187.84	

*Notes: Calculated using Cost of goods sold as an approximation of purchases. Ending inventories 2008 and 2009 are reported as \$0 million; therefore, inventory turnover for 2009 cannot be measured. However, given inventory and average sales per day, DOH in 2009 is 0.00.

Source: Raw data from Compustat. Ratios calculated.

The reason for the negative cash conversion cycle is that the company's accounts payable increased substantially over the period. An increase from approximately 66 days in 2005 to 295 days in 2008 to pay trade creditors is clearly

a negative signal. In addition, the company's inventories disappeared, most likely because the company did not have enough cash to purchase new inventory and was unable to get additional credit from its suppliers.

Of course, an analyst would have immediately noted the negative trends in these data, as well as additional data throughout the company's financial statements. In its MD&A, the company clearly reports the risks as follows:

Because we have historically had losses and only a limited amount of cash has been generated from operations, we have funded our operating activities to date primarily from the sale of securities and from the sale of a product line in 2009. In order to continue to fund our operations, we may need to raise additional capital, through the sale of securities. We cannot be certain that any such financing will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Moreover, additional equity financing, if available, would likely be dilutive to the holders of our common stock, and debt financing, if available, would likely involve restrictive covenants and a security interest in all or substantially all of our assets. If we fail to obtain acceptable financing when needed, we may not have sufficient resources to fund our normal operations which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO GENERATE ADEQUATE WORKING CAPITAL FROM OPERATIONS OR RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT THE COMPANY'S ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN. (emphasis added by company)

Source: National Datacomputer Inc., 2009 Form 10-K, page 7.

Subsequently, the company's 2010 Form 10K reported:

"In January 2011, due to our inability to meet our financial obligations and the impending loss of a critical distribution agreement granting us the right to distribute certain products, our secured lenders ("Secured Parties") acting upon an event of default, sold certain of our assets (other than cash and accounts receivable) to Micronet, Ltd. ("Micronet"), an unaffiliated corporation pursuant to the terms of an asset purchase agreement between the Secured Parties and Micronet dated January 10, 2010 (the "Asset Purchase Agreement"). In order to induce Micronet to enter into the agreement, the Company also provided certain representations and warranties regarding certain business matters."

In summary, it is always necessary to consider ratios within bounds of reasonability and to understand the reasons underlying changes in ratios. Ratios must not only be calculated but must also be interpreted by an analyst.

10

SOLVENCY RATIOS

- b identify, calculate, and interpret activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation ratios

Solvency refers to a company's ability to fulfill its long-term debt obligations. Assessment of a company's ability to pay its long-term obligations (i.e., to make interest and principal payments) generally includes an in-depth analysis of the components of its financial structure. Solvency ratios provide information regarding the relative amount of debt in the company's capital structure and the adequacy of earnings and cash flow to cover interest expenses and other fixed charges (such as lease or rental payments) as they come due.

Analysts seek to understand a company's use of debt for several main reasons. One reason is that the amount of debt in a company's capital structure is important for assessing the company's risk and return characteristics, specifically its financial leverage. Leverage is a magnifying effect that results from the use of **fixed costs**—costs that stay the same within some range of activity—and can take two forms: operating leverage and financial leverage.

Operating leverage results from the use of fixed costs in conducting the company's business. Operating leverage magnifies the effect of changes in sales on operating income. Profitable companies may use operating leverage because when revenues increase, with operating leverage, their operating income increases at a faster rate. The explanation is that, although **variable costs** will rise proportionally with revenue, fixed costs will not.

When financing a company (i.e., raising capital for it), the use of debt constitutes **financial leverage** because interest payments are essentially fixed financing costs. As a result of interest payments, a given percent change in EBIT results in a larger percent change in earnings before taxes (EBT). Thus, financial leverage tends to magnify the effect of changes in EBIT on returns flowing to equity holders. Assuming that a company can earn more on funds than it pays in interest, the inclusion of some level of debt in a company's capital structure may lower a company's overall cost of capital and increase returns to equity holders. However, a higher level of debt in a company's capital structure increases the risk of default and results in higher borrowing costs for the company to compensate lenders for assuming greater credit risk. Starting with Modigliani and Miller (1958, 1963), a substantial amount of research has focused on determining a company's optimal capital structure and the subject remains an important one in corporate finance.

In analyzing financial statements, an analyst aims to understand levels and trends in a company's use of financial leverage in relation to past practices and the practices of peer companies. Analysts also need to be aware of the relationship between operating leverage (results from the use of non-current assets with fixed costs) and financial leverage (results from the use of long-term debt with fixed costs). The greater a company's operating leverage, the greater the risk of the operating income stream available to cover debt payments; operating leverage can thus limit a company's capacity to use financial leverage.

A company's relative solvency is fundamental to valuation of its debt securities and its creditworthiness. Finally, understanding a company's use of debt can provide analysts with insight into the company's future business prospects because management's decisions about financing may signal their beliefs about a company's future. For example, the issuance of long-term debt to repurchase common shares may indicate that management believes the market is underestimating the company's prospects and that the shares are undervalued.

10.1 Calculation of Solvency Ratios

Solvency ratios are primarily of two types. Debt ratios, the first type, focus on the balance sheet and measure the amount of debt capital relative to equity capital. Coverage ratios, the second type, focus on the income statement and measure the

ability of a company to cover its debt payments. These ratios are useful in assessing a company's solvency and, therefore, in evaluating the quality of a company's bonds and other debt obligations.

Exhibit 14 describes commonly used solvency ratios. The first three of the debt ratios presented use total debt in the numerator. The definition of total debt used in these ratios varies among informed analysts and financial data vendors, with some using the total of interest-bearing short-term and long-term debt, excluding liabilities such as accrued expenses and accounts payable. (For calculations in this reading, we use this definition.) Other analysts use definitions that are more inclusive (e.g., all liabilities) or restrictive (e.g., long-term debt only, in which case the ratio is sometimes qualified as "long-term," as in "long-term debt-to-equity ratio"). If using different definitions of total debt materially changes conclusions about a company's solvency, the reasons for the discrepancies warrant further investigation.

Exhibit 14 Definitions of Commonly Used Solvency Ratios

Solvency Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Debt Ratios		
Debt-to-assets ratio ^a	Total debt ^b	Total assets
Debt-to-capital ratio	Total debt ^b	Total debt ^b + Total shareholders' equity
Debt-to-equity ratio	Total debt ^b	Total shareholders' equity
Financial leverage ratio ^c	Average total assets	Average total equity
Debt-to-EBITDA	Total debt	EBITDA
Coverage Ratios		
Interest coverage	EBIT	Interest payments
Fixed charge coverage	EBIT + Lease payments	Interest payments + Lease payments

^a "Total debt ratio" is another name sometimes used for this ratio.

^b In this reading, total debt is the sum of interest-bearing short-term and long-term debt.

^c Average total assets divided by average total equity is used for the purposes of this reading (in particular, Dupont analysis covered later). In practice, period-end total assets divided by period-end total equity is often used.

10.2 Interpretation of Solvency Ratios

In the following, we discuss the interpretation of the basic solvency ratios presented in Exhibit 14.

Debt-to-Assets Ratio

This ratio measures the percentage of total assets financed with debt. For example, a **debt-to-assets ratio** of 0.40 or 40 percent indicates that 40 percent of the company's assets are financed with debt. Generally, higher debt means higher financial risk and thus weaker solvency.

Debt-to-Capital Ratio

The **debt-to-capital ratio** measures the percentage of a company's capital (debt plus equity) represented by debt. As with the previous ratio, a higher ratio generally means higher financial risk and thus indicates weaker solvency.

Debt-to-Equity Ratio

The **debt-to-equity ratio** measures the amount of debt capital relative to equity capital. Interpretation is similar to the preceding two ratios (i.e., a higher ratio indicates weaker solvency). A ratio of 1.0 would indicate equal amounts of debt and equity, which is equivalent to a debt-to-capital ratio of 50 percent. Alternative definitions of this ratio use the market value of stockholders' equity rather than its book value (or use the market values of both stockholders' equity and debt).

Financial Leverage Ratio

This ratio (often called simply the “leverage ratio”) measures the amount of total assets supported for each one money unit of equity. For example, a value of 3 for this ratio means that each €1 of equity supports €3 of total assets. The higher the **financial leverage ratio**, the more leveraged the company is in the sense of using debt and other liabilities to finance assets. This ratio is often defined in terms of average total assets and average total equity and plays an important role in the DuPont decomposition of return on equity that will be presented in Section 13.

Debt-to-EBITDA Ratio

This ratio estimates how many years it would take to repay total debt based on earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization (an approximation of operating cash flow).

Interest Coverage

This ratio measures the number of times a company's EBIT could cover its interest payments. Thus, it is sometimes referred to as “times interest earned.” A higher **interest coverage** ratio indicates stronger solvency, offering greater assurance that the company can service its debt (i.e., bank debt, bonds, notes) from operating earnings.

Fixed Charge Coverage

This ratio relates fixed charges, or obligations, to the cash flow generated by the company. It measures the number of times a company's earnings (before interest, taxes, and lease payments) can cover the company's interest and lease payments.⁹ Similar to the interest coverage ratio, a higher **fixed charge coverage** ratio implies stronger solvency, offering greater assurance that the company can service its debt (i.e., bank debt, bonds, notes, and leases) from normal earnings. The ratio is sometimes used as an indication of the quality of the preferred dividend, with a higher ratio indicating a more secure preferred dividend.

Example 11 demonstrates the use of solvency ratios in evaluating the creditworthiness of a company.

EXAMPLE 11

Evaluation of Solvency Ratios

A credit analyst is evaluating the solvency of Eskom, a South African public utility based on financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2017. The following data are gathered from the company's 2017 annual report:

⁹ For computing this ratio, an assumption sometimes made is that one-third of the lease payment amount represents interest on the lease obligation and that the rest is a repayment of principal on the obligation. For this variant of the fixed charge coverage ratio, the numerator is EBIT plus one-third of lease payments and the denominator is interest payments plus one-third of lease payments.

South African Rand, millions	2017	2016	2015
Total Assets	710,009	663,170	559,688
Short Term Debt	18,530	15,688	19,976
Long Term Debt	336,770	306,970	277,458
Total Liabilities	534,067	480,818	441,269
Total Equity	175,942	182,352	118,419

- 1 A** Calculate the company's financial leverage ratio for 2016 and 2017.
B Interpret the financial leverage ratio calculated in Part A.
- 2 A** What are the company's debt-to-assets, debt-to-capital, and debt-to-equity ratios for the three years?
B Is there any discernable trend over the three years?

Solutions to 1:

(Amounts are millions of Rand.)

- A** For 2017, average total assets were $(710,009 + 663,170)/2 = 686,590$, and average total equity was $(175,942 + 182,352)/2 = 179,147$. Thus, financial leverage was $686,590/179,147 = 3.83$. For 2016, financial leverage was 4.07.

	2017	2016
Average Assets	686,590	611,429
Average Equity	179,147	150,386
Financial Leverage	3.83	4.07

- B** For 2017, every Rand in total equity supported R3.83 in total assets, on average. Financial leverage decreased from 2016 to 2017 on this measure.

Solutions to 2:

(Amounts are millions of Rand other than ratios)

A

	2017	2016	2015
Total Debt	355,300	322,658	297,434
Total Capital	531,242	505,010	415,853
Debt/Assets	50.0%	48.7%	53.1%
Debt/Capital	66.9%	63.9%	71.5%
Debt/Equity	2.02	1.77	2.51

- B** On all three metrics, the company's leverage decreased from 2015 to 2016 and increased from 2016 to 2017. For 2016 the decrease in leverage resulted from a conversion of subordinated debt into equity as well as additional issuance of equity. However, in 2017 debt levels increased again relative to assets, capital and equity indicating that the company's solvency has weakened. From a creditor's perspective, lower solvency (higher debt) indicates higher risk of default on obligations.

As with all ratio analysis, it is important to consider leverage ratios in a broader context. In general, companies with lower business risk and operations that generate steady cash flows are better positioned to take on more leverage without a commensurate increase in the risk of insolvency. In other words, a higher proportion of debt financing poses less risk of non-payment of interest and debt principal to a company with steady cash flows than to a company with volatile cash flows.

PROFITABILITY RATIOS

11

- b** identify, calculate, and interpret activity, liquidity, solvency, profitability, and valuation ratios;

The ability to generate profit on capital invested is a key determinant of a company's overall value and the value of the securities it issues. Consequently, many equity analysts would consider profitability to be a key focus of their analytical efforts.

Profitability reflects a company's competitive position in the market, and by extension, the quality of its management. The income statement reveals the sources of earnings and the components of revenue and expenses. Earnings can be distributed to shareholders or reinvested in the company. Reinvested earnings enhance solvency and provide a cushion against short-term problems.

11.1 Calculation of Profitability Ratios

Profitability ratios measure the return earned by the company during a period. Exhibit 15 provides the definitions of a selection of commonly used profitability ratios. Return-on-sales profitability ratios express various subtotals on the income statement (e.g., gross profit, operating profit, net profit) as a percentage of revenue. Essentially, these ratios constitute part of a common-size income statement discussed earlier. Return on investment profitability ratios measure income relative to assets, equity, or total capital employed by the company. For operating ROA, returns are measured as operating income, i.e., prior to deducting interest on debt capital. For ROA and ROE, returns are measured as net income, i.e., after deducting interest paid on debt capital. For return on common equity, returns are measured as net income minus preferred dividends (because preferred dividends are a return to preferred equity).

Exhibit 15 Definitions of Commonly Used Profitability Ratios

Profitability Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Return on Sales^a		
Gross profit margin	Gross profit	Revenue
Operating profit margin	Operating income ^b	Revenue
Pretax margin	EBT (earnings before tax but after interest)	Revenue
Net profit margin	Net income	Revenue
Return on Investment		
Operating ROA	Operating income	Average total assets
ROA	Net income	Average total assets

(continued)

Exhibit 15 (Continued)**Return on Investment**

Return on total capital	EBIT	Average short- and long-term debt and equity
ROE	Net income	Average total equity
Return on common equity	Net income – Preferred dividends	Average common equity

^a “Sales” is being used as a synonym for “revenue.”

^b Some analysts use EBIT as a shortcut representation of operating income. Note that EBIT, strictly speaking, includes non-operating items such as dividends received and gains and losses on investment securities. Of utmost importance is that the analyst compute ratios consistently whether comparing different companies or analyzing one company over time.

11.2 Interpretation of Profitability Ratios

In the following, we discuss the interpretation of the profitability ratios presented in Exhibit 15. For each of the profitability ratios, a higher ratio indicates greater profitability.

Gross Profit Margin

Gross profit margin indicates the percentage of revenue available to cover operating and other expenses and to generate profit. Higher gross profit margin indicates some combination of higher product pricing and lower product costs. The ability to charge a higher price is constrained by competition, so gross profits are affected by (and usually inversely related to) competition. If a product has a competitive advantage (e.g., superior branding, better quality, or exclusive technology), the company is better able to charge more for it. On the cost side, higher gross profit margin can also indicate that a company has a competitive advantage in product costs.

Operating Profit Margin

Operating profit is calculated as gross profit minus operating costs. So, an **operating profit margin** increasing faster than the gross profit margin can indicate improvements in controlling operating costs, such as administrative overheads. In contrast, a declining operating profit margin could be an indicator of deteriorating control over operating costs.

Pretax Margin

Pretax income (also called “earnings before tax” or “EBT”) is calculated as operating profit minus interest, and the **pretax margin** is the ratio of pretax income to revenue. The pretax margin reflects the effects on profitability of leverage and other (non-operating) income and expenses. If a company’s pretax margin is increasing primarily as a result of increasing amounts of non-operating income, the analyst should evaluate whether this increase reflects a deliberate change in a company’s business focus and, therefore, the likelihood that the increase will continue.

Net Profit Margin

Net profit, or net income, is calculated as revenue minus all expenses. Net income includes both recurring and non-recurring components. Generally, the net income used in calculating the net profit margin is adjusted for non-recurring items to offer a better view of a company’s potential future profitability.

ROA

ROA measures the return earned by a company on its assets. The higher the ratio, the more income is generated by a given level of assets. Most databases compute this ratio as:

$$\frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Average total assets}}$$

An issue with this computation is that net income is the return to equity holders, whereas assets are financed by both equity holders and creditors. Interest expense (the return to creditors) has already been subtracted in the numerator. Some analysts, therefore, prefer to add back interest expense in the numerator. In such cases, interest must be adjusted for income taxes because net income is determined after taxes. With this adjustment, the ratio would be computed as:

$$\frac{\text{Net income} + \text{Interest expense}(1 - \text{Tax rate})}{\text{Average total assets}}$$

Alternatively, some analysts elect to compute ROA on a pre-interest and pre-tax basis (operating ROA in Exhibit 15) as:

$$\frac{\text{Operating income or EBIT}}{\text{Average total assets}}$$

In this ROA calculation, returns are measured prior to deducting interest on debt capital (i.e., as operating income or EBIT). This measure reflects the return on all assets invested in the company, whether financed with liabilities, debt, or equity. Whichever form of ROA is chosen, the analyst must use it consistently in comparisons to other companies or time periods.

Return on Total Capital

Return on total capital measures the profits a company earns on all of the capital that it employs (short-term debt, long-term debt, and equity). As with operating ROA, returns are measured prior to deducting interest on debt capital (i.e., as operating income or EBIT).

ROE

ROE measures the return earned by a company on its equity capital, including minority equity, preferred equity, and common equity. As noted, return is measured as net income (i.e., interest on debt capital is not included in the return on equity capital). A variation of ROE is return on common equity, which measures the return earned by a company only on its common equity.

Both ROA and ROE are important measures of profitability and will be explored in more detail in section 13. As with other ratios, profitability ratios should be evaluated individually and as a group to gain an understanding of what is driving profitability (operating versus non-operating activities). Example 12 demonstrates the evaluation of profitability ratios and the use of the management report (sometimes called management's discussion and analysis or management commentary) that accompanies financial statements to explain the trend in ratios.

EXAMPLE 12**Evaluation of Profitability Ratios**

Recall from Example 1 that an analysis found that Apple's gross margin declined over the three-year period FY2015 to FY2017. An analyst would like to further explore Apple's profitability using a five-year period. He gathers the following revenue data and calculates the following profitability ratios from information in Apple's annual reports:

Dollars in millions	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Sales	229,234	215,639	233,715	182,795	170,910
Gross Profit	88,186	84,263	93,626	70,537	64,304
Operating Income	61,344	60,024	71,230	52,503	48,999
Pre-tax Income	64,089	61,372	72,515	53,483	50,155
Net Income	48,351	45,687	53,394	39,510	37,037
Gross profit margin	38.47%	39.08%	40.06%	38.59%	37.62%
Operating income margin	26.76%	27.84%	30.48%	28.72%	28.67%
Pre-tax income	27.96%	28.46%	31.03%	29.26%	29.35%
Net profit margin	21.09%	21.19%	22.85%	21.61%	21.67%

Evaluate the overall trend in Apple's profitability ratios for the five-year period.

Solution:

Sales had increased steadily through 2015, dropped in 2016, and rebounded somewhat in 2017. As noted in Example 1, the sales decline in 2016 was related to a decline in iPhone sales and weakness in foreign currencies. Margins also rose from 2013 to 2015 and declined in 2016. However, in spite of the increase in sales in 2017, all margins declined slightly indicating costs were rising faster than sales. In spite of the fluctuations, Apple's bottom line net profit margin was relatively stable over the five-year period.

12**INTEGRATED FINANCIAL RATIO ANALYSIS**

- c describe relationships among ratios and evaluate a company using ratio analysis

In prior sections, the text presented separately activity, liquidity, solvency, and profitability ratios. Prior to discussing valuation ratios, the following sections demonstrate the importance of examining a variety of financial ratios—not a single ratio or category of ratios in isolation—to ascertain the overall position and performance of a company. Experience shows that the information from one ratio category can be helpful in answering questions raised by another category and that the most accurate overall picture comes from integrating information from all sources. Section 12 provides some

introductory examples of such analysis and Section 13 shows how return on equity can be analyzed into components related to profit margin, asset utilization (activity), and financial leverage.

12.1 The Overall Ratio Picture: Examples

This section presents two simple illustrations to introduce the use of a variety of ratios to address an analytical task. Example 13 shows how the analysis of a pair of activity ratios resolves an issue concerning a company's liquidity. Example 14 shows that examining the overall ratios of multiple companies can assist an analyst in drawing conclusions about their relative performances.

EXAMPLE 13

A Variety of Ratios

An analyst is evaluating the liquidity of a Canadian manufacturing company and obtains the following liquidity ratios:

Fiscal Year	10	9	8
Current ratio	2.1	1.9	1.6
Quick ratio	0.8	0.9	1.0

The ratios present a contradictory picture of the company's liquidity. Based on the increase in its current ratio from 1.6 to 2.1, the company appears to have strong and improving liquidity; however, based on the decline of the quick ratio from 1.0 to 0.8, its liquidity appears to be deteriorating. Because both ratios have exactly the same denominator, current liabilities, the difference must be the result of changes in some asset that is included in the current ratio but not in the quick ratio (e.g., inventories). The analyst collects the following activity ratios:

DOH	55	45	30
DSO	24	28	30

The company's DOH has deteriorated from 30 days to 55 days, meaning that the company is holding increasingly larger amounts of inventory relative to sales. The decrease in DSO implies that the company is collecting receivables faster. If the proceeds from these collections were held as cash, there would be no effect on either the current ratio or the quick ratio. However, if the proceeds from the collections were used to purchase inventory, there would be no effect on the current ratio and a decline in the quick ratio (i.e., the pattern shown in this example). Collectively, the ratios suggest that liquidity is declining and that the company may have an inventory problem that needs to be addressed.

EXAMPLE 14**A Comparison of Two Companies (1)**

An analyst collects the information¹⁰ shown in Exhibit 16 for two hypothetical companies:

Exhibit 16

Anson Industries	Fiscal Year			
	5	4	3	2
Inventory turnover	76.69	89.09	147.82	187.64
DOH	4.76	4.10	2.47	1.95
Receivables turnover	10.75	9.33	11.14	7.56
DSO	33.95	39.13	32.77	48.29
Accounts payable turnover	4.62	4.36	4.84	4.22
Days payable	78.97	83.77	75.49	86.56
Cash from operations/Total liabilities	31.41%	11.15%	4.04%	8.81%
ROE	5.92%	1.66%	1.62%	-0.62%
ROA	3.70%	1.05%	1.05%	-0.39%
Net profit margin (Net income/ Revenue)	3.33%	1.11%	1.13%	-0.47%
Total asset turnover (Revenue/Average assets)	1.11	0.95	0.93	0.84
Leverage (Average assets/Average equity)	1.60	1.58	1.54	1.60

Clarence Corporation	Fiscal Year			
	5	4	3	2
Inventory turnover	9.19	9.08	7.52	14.84
DOH	39.73	40.20	48.51	24.59
Receivables turnover	8.35	7.01	6.09	5.16
DSO	43.73	52.03	59.92	70.79
Accounts payable turnover	6.47	6.61	7.66	6.52
Days payable	56.44	55.22	47.64	56.00
Cash from operations/Total liabilities	13.19%	16.39%	15.80%	11.79%
ROE	9.28%	6.82%	-3.63%	-6.75%
ROA	4.64%	3.48%	-1.76%	-3.23%
Net profit margin (Net income/ Revenue)	4.38%	3.48%	-1.60%	-2.34%
Total asset turnover (Revenue/Average assets)	1.06	1.00	1.10	1.38
Leverage (Average assets/Average equity)	2.00	1.96	2.06	2.09

¹⁰ Note that ratios are expressed in terms of two decimal places and are rounded. Therefore, expected relationships may not hold perfectly.

Which of the following choices best describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the companies' efficiency?

- A Over the past four years, Anson has shown greater improvement in efficiency than Clarence, as indicated by its total asset turnover ratio increasing from 0.84 to 1.11.
- B In FY5, Anson's DOH of only 4.76 indicated that it was less efficient at inventory management than Clarence, which had DOH of 39.73.
- C In FY5, Clarence's receivables turnover of 8.35 times indicated that it was more efficient at receivables management than Anson, which had receivables turnover of 10.75.

Solution:

A is correct. Over the past four years, Anson has shown greater improvement in efficiency than Clarence, as indicated by its total asset turnover ratio increasing from 0.84 to 1.11. Over the same period of time, Clarence's total asset turnover ratio has declined from 1.38 to 1.06. Choices B and C are incorrect because DOH and receivables turnover are misinterpreted.

DUPONT ANALYSIS: THE DECOMPOSITION OF ROE

13

- d demonstrate the application of DuPont analysis of return on equity and calculate and interpret effects of changes in its components

As noted earlier, ROE measures the return a company generates on its equity capital. To understand what drives a company's ROE, a useful technique is to decompose ROE into its component parts. (Decomposition of ROE is sometimes referred to as **DuPont analysis** because it was developed originally at that company.) Decomposing ROE involves expressing the basic ratio (i.e., net income divided by average shareholders' equity) as the product of component ratios. Because each of these component ratios is an indicator of a distinct aspect of a company's performance that affects ROE, the decomposition allows us to evaluate how these different aspects of performance affected the company's profitability as measured by ROE.¹¹

Decomposing ROE is useful in determining the reasons for changes in ROE over time for a given company and for differences in ROE for different companies in a given time period. The information gained can also be used by management to determine which areas they should focus on to improve ROE. This decomposition will also show why a company's overall profitability, measured by ROE, is a function of its efficiency, operating profitability, taxes, and use of financial leverage. DuPont analysis shows the relationship between the various categories of ratios discussed in this reading and how they all influence the return to the investment of the owners.

Analysts have developed several different methods of decomposing ROE. The decomposition presented here is one of the most commonly used and the one found in popular research databases, such as Bloomberg. Return on equity is calculated as:

$$\text{ROE} = \text{Net income}/\text{Average shareholders' equity}$$

¹¹ For purposes of analyzing ROE, this method usually uses average balance sheet factors; however, the math will work out if beginning or ending balances are used throughout. For certain purposes, these alternative methods may be appropriate.

The decomposition of ROE makes use of simple algebra and illustrates the relationship between ROE and ROA. Expressing ROE as a product of only two of its components, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ROE} &= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Average total assets}} \times \frac{\text{Average total assets}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}} \end{aligned} \quad (1a)$$

which can be interpreted as:

$$\text{ROE} = \text{ROA} \times \text{Leverage}$$

In other words, ROE is a function of a company's ROA and its use of financial leverage ("leverage" for short, in this discussion). A company can improve its ROE by improving ROA or making more effective use of leverage. Consistent with the definition given earlier, leverage is measured as average total assets divided by average shareholders' equity. If a company had no leverage (no liabilities), its leverage ratio would equal 1.0 and ROE would exactly equal ROA. As a company takes on liabilities, its leverage increases. As long as a company is able to borrow at a rate lower than the marginal rate it can earn investing the borrowed money in its business, the company is making an effective use of leverage and ROE would increase as leverage increases. If a company's borrowing cost exceeds the marginal rate it can earn on investing in the business, ROE would decline as leverage increased because the effect of borrowing would be to depress ROA.

Using the data from Example 14 for Anson Industries, an analyst can examine the trend in ROE and determine whether the increase from an ROE of -0.625 percent in FY2 to 5.925 percent in FY5 is a function of ROA or the use of leverage:

	ROE	=	ROA	×	Leverage
FY5	5.92%		3.70%		1.60
FY4	1.66%		1.05%		1.58
FY3	1.62%		1.05%		1.54
FY2	-0.62%		-0.39%		1.60

Over the four-year period, the company's leverage factor was relatively stable. The primary reason for the increase in ROE is the increase in profitability measured by ROA.

Just as ROE can be decomposed, the individual components such as ROA can be decomposed. Further decomposing ROA, we can express ROE as a product of three component ratios:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}} &= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Revenue}} \times \frac{\text{Revenue}}{\text{Average total assets}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\text{Average total assets}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}} \end{aligned} \quad (1b)$$

which can be interpreted as:

$$\text{ROE} = \text{Net profit margin} \times \text{Total asset turnover} \times \text{Leverage}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of this equation is the net profit margin, an indicator of profitability: how much income a company derives per one monetary unit (e.g., euro or dollar) of sales. The second term on the right is the asset turnover ratio, an indicator of efficiency: how much revenue a company generates per one money unit of assets. Note that ROA is decomposed into these two components: net profit margin and total asset turnover. A company's ROA is a function of profitability (net profit margin) and efficiency (total asset turnover). The third term on the right-hand side of Equation 1b is a measure of financial leverage, an indicator of solvency: the

total amount of a company's assets relative to its equity capital. This decomposition illustrates that a company's ROE is a function of its net profit margin, its efficiency, and its leverage. Again, using the data from Example 14 for Anson Industries, the analyst can evaluate in more detail the reasons behind the trend in ROE:¹²

	ROE	=	Net profit margin	×	Total asset turnover	×	Leverage
FY5	5.92%		3.33%		1.11		1.60
FY4	1.66%		1.11%		0.95		1.58
FY3	1.62%		1.13%		0.93		1.54
FY2	-0.62%		-0.47%		0.84		1.60

This further decomposition confirms that increases in profitability (measured here as net profit margin) are indeed an important contributor to the increase in ROE over the four-year period. However, Anson's asset turnover has also increased steadily. The increase in ROE is, therefore, a function of improving profitability and improving efficiency. As noted above, ROE decomposition can also be used to compare the ROEs of peer companies, as demonstrated in Example 15.

EXAMPLE 15

A Comparison of Two Companies (2)

Referring to the data for Anson Industries and Clarence Corporation in Example 14, which of the following choices best describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the companies' ROE?

- A** Anson's inventory turnover of 76.69 indicates it is more profitable than Clarence.
- B** The main driver of Clarence's superior ROE in FY5 is its more efficient use of assets.
- C** The main drivers of Clarence's superior ROE in FY5 are its greater use of debt financing and higher net profit margin.

Solution:

C is correct. The main driver of Clarence's superior ROE (9.28 percent compared with only 5.92 percent for Anson) in FY5 is its greater use of debt financing (leverage of 2.00 compared with Anson's leverage of 1.60) and higher net profit margin (4.38 percent compared with only 3.33 percent for Anson). A is incorrect because inventory turnover is not a direct indicator of profitability. An increase in inventory turnover may indicate more efficient use of inventory which in turn could affect profitability; however, an increase in inventory turnover would also be observed if a company was selling more goods even if it was not selling those goods at a profit. B is incorrect because Clarence has less efficient use of assets than Anson, indicated by turnover of 1.06 for Clarence compared with Anson's turnover of 1.11.

¹² Ratios are expressed in terms of two decimal places and are rounded. Therefore, ROE may not be the exact product of the three ratios.

To separate the effects of taxes and interest, we can further decompose the net profit margin and write:

$$\frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{EBT}} \times \frac{\text{EBT}}{\text{EBIT}} \times \frac{\text{EBIT}}{\text{Revenue}} \\ \times \frac{\text{Revenue}}{\text{Average total assets}} \times \frac{\text{Average total assets}}{\text{Average shareholders' equity}}$$
(1c)

which can be interpreted as:

$$\text{ROE} = \text{Tax burden} \times \text{Interest burden} \times \text{EBIT margin} \times \text{Total asset turnover} \times \text{Leverage}$$

This five-way decomposition is the one found in financial databases such as Bloomberg. The first term on the right-hand side of this equation measures the effect of taxes on ROE. Essentially, it reflects one minus the average tax rate, or how much of a company's pretax profits it gets to keep. This can be expressed in decimal or percentage form. So, a 30 percent tax rate would yield a factor of 0.70 or 70 percent. A higher value for the tax burden implies that the company can keep a higher percentage of its pretax profits, indicating a lower tax rate. A decrease in the tax burden ratio implies the opposite (i.e., a higher tax rate leaving the company with less of its pretax profits).

The second term on the right-hand side captures the effect of interest on ROE. Higher borrowing costs reduce ROE. Some analysts prefer to use operating income instead of EBIT for this term and the following term. Either operating income or EBIT is acceptable as long as it is applied consistently. In such a case, the second term would measure both the effect of interest expense and non-operating income on ROE.


The third term on the right-hand side captures the effect of operating margin (if operating income is used in the numerator) or EBIT margin (if EBIT is used) on ROE. In either case, this term primarily measures the effect of operating profitability on ROE.

The fourth term on the right-hand side is again the total asset turnover ratio, an indicator of the overall efficiency of the company (i.e., how much revenue it generates per unit of total assets). The fifth term on the right-hand side is the financial leverage ratio described above—the total amount of a company's assets relative to its equity capital.

This decomposition expresses a company's ROE as a function of its tax rate, interest burden, operating profitability, efficiency, and leverage. An analyst can use this framework to determine what factors are driving a company's ROE. The decomposition of ROE can also be useful in forecasting ROE based upon expected efficiency, profitability, financing activities, and tax rates. The relationship of the individual factors, such as ROA to the overall ROE, can also be expressed in the form of an ROE tree to study the contribution of each of the five factors, as shown in Exhibit 17 for Anson Industries.¹³

Exhibit 17 shows that Anson's ROE of 5.92 percent in FY5 can be decomposed into ROA of 3.70 percent and leverage of 1.60. ROA can further be decomposed into a net profit margin of 3.33 percent and total asset turnover of 1.11. Net profit margin can be decomposed into a tax burden of 0.70 (an average tax rate of 30 percent), an interest burden of 0.90, and an EBIT margin of 5.29 percent. Overall ROE is decomposed into five components.

¹³ Note that a breakdown of net profit margin was not provided in Example 14, but is added here.

Exhibit 17 DuPont Analysis of Anson Industries' ROE: Fiscal Year 5

Example 16 demonstrates how the five-component decomposition can be used to determine reasons behind the trend in a company's ROE.

EXAMPLE 16**Five-Way Decomposition of ROE**

An analyst examining Amsterdam PLC (a hypothetical company) wishes to understand the factors driving the trend in ROE over a four-year period. The analyst obtains and calculates the following data from Amsterdam's annual reports:

	2017	2016	2015	2014
ROE	9.53%	20.78%	26.50%	24.72%
Tax burden	60.50%	52.10%	63.12%	58.96%
Interest burden	97.49%	97.73%	97.86%	97.49%
EBIT margin	7.56%	11.04%	13.98%	13.98%
Asset turnover	0.99	1.71	1.47	1.44
Leverage	2.15	2.17	2.10	2.14

What might the analyst conclude?

Solution:

The tax burden measure has varied, with no obvious trend. In the most recent year, 2017, taxes declined as a percentage of pretax profit. (Because the tax burden reflects the relation of after-tax profits to pretax profits, the increase from 52.10 percent in 2016 to 60.50 percent in 2017 indicates that taxes declined as a percentage of pretax profits.) This decline in average tax rates could be a result

of lower tax rates from new legislation or revenue in a lower tax jurisdiction. The interest burden has remained fairly constant over the four-year period indicating that the company maintains a fairly constant capital structure. Operating margin (EBIT margin) declined over the period, indicating the company's operations were less profitable. This decline is generally consistent with declines in oil prices in 2017 and declines in refining industry gross margins in 2016 and 2017. The company's efficiency (asset turnover) decreased in 2017. The company's leverage remained constant, consistent with the constant interest burden. Overall, the trend in ROE (declining substantially over the recent years) resulted from decreases in operating profits and a lower asset turnover. Additional research on the causes of these changes is required in order to develop expectations about the company's future performance.

The most detailed decomposition of ROE that we have presented is a five-way decomposition. Nevertheless, an analyst could further decompose individual components of a five-way analysis. For example, EBIT margin (EBIT/Revenue) could be further decomposed into a non-operating component (EBIT/Operating income) and an operating component (Operating income/Revenue). The analyst can also examine which other factors contributed to these five components. For example, an improvement in efficiency (total asset turnover) may have resulted from better management of inventory (DOH) or better collection of receivables (DSO).

14

EQUITY ANALYSIS AND VALUATION RATIOS

- e calculate and interpret ratios used in equity analysis and credit analysis

One application of financial analysis is to select securities as part of the equity portfolio management process. Analysts are interested in valuing a security to assess its merits for inclusion or retention in a portfolio. The valuation process has several steps, including:

- 1 understanding the business and the existing financial profile
- 2 forecasting company performance
- 3 selecting the appropriate valuation model
- 4 converting forecasts to a valuation
- 5 making the investment decision

Financial analysis assists in providing the core information to complete the first two steps of this valuation process: understanding the business and forecasting performance.

Fundamental equity analysis involves evaluating a company's performance and valuing its equity in order to assess its relative attractiveness as an investment. Analysts use a variety of methods to value a company's equity, including valuation ratios (e.g., the price-to-earnings or P/E ratio), discounted cash flow approaches, and residual income approaches (ROE compared with the cost of capital), among others. The following section addresses the first of these approaches—the use of valuation ratios.

14.1 Valuation Ratios

Valuation ratios have long been used in investment decision making. A well known example is the **price to earnings ratio** (P/E ratio)—probably the most widely cited indicator in discussing the value of equity securities—which relates share price to the

earnings per share (EPS). Additionally, some analysts use other market multiples, such as price to book value (P/B) and price to cash flow (P/CF). The following sections explore valuation ratios and other quantities related to valuing equities.

14.1.1 Calculation of Valuation Ratios and Related Quantities

Exhibit 18 describes the calculation of some common valuation ratios and related quantities.

Exhibit 18 Definitions of Selected Valuation Ratios and Related Quantities

Valuation Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
P/E	Price per share	Earnings per share
P/CF	Price per share	Cash flow per share
P/S	Price per share	Sales per share
P/BV	Price per share	Book value per share
Per-Share Quantities	Numerator	Denominator
Basic EPS	Net income minus preferred dividends	Weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding
Diluted EPS	Adjusted income available for ordinary shares, reflecting conversion of dilutive securities	Weighted average number of ordinary and potential ordinary shares outstanding
Cash flow per share	Cash flow from operations	Weighted average number of shares outstanding
EBITDA per share	EBITDA	Weighted average number of shares outstanding
Dividends per share	Common dividends declared	Weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding
Dividend-Related Quantities	Numerator	Denominator
Dividend payout ratio	Common share dividends	Net income attributable to common shares
Retention rate (b)	Net income attributable to common shares – Common share dividends	Net income attributable to common shares
Sustainable growth rate	$b \times ROE$	

The P/E ratio expresses the relationship between the price per share and the amount of earnings attributable to a single share. In other words, the P/E ratio tells us how much an investor in common stock pays per dollar of earnings.

Because P/E ratios are calculated using net income, the ratios can be sensitive to non-recurring earnings or one-time earnings events. In addition, because net income is generally considered to be more susceptible to manipulation than are cash flows, analysts may use **price to cash flow** as an alternative measure—particularly in situations where earnings quality may be an issue. EBITDA per share, because it is calculated using income before interest, taxes, and depreciation, can be used to eliminate the

effect of different levels of fixed asset investment across companies. It facilitates comparison between companies in the same sector but at different stages of infrastructure maturity. **Price to sales** is calculated in a similar manner and is sometimes used as a comparative price metric when a company does not have positive net income.

Another price-based ratio that facilitates useful comparisons of companies' stock prices is **price to book value**, or P/B, which is the ratio of price to book value per share. This ratio is often interpreted as an indicator of market judgment about the relationship between a company's required rate of return and its actual rate of return. Assuming that book values reflect the fair values of the assets, a price to book ratio of one can be interpreted as an indicator that the company's future returns are expected to be exactly equal to the returns required by the market. A ratio greater than one would indicate that the future profitability of the company is expected to exceed the required rate of return, and values of this ratio less than one indicate that the company is not expected to earn excess returns.¹⁴

14.1.2 Interpretation of Earnings per Share

Exhibit 18 presented a number of per-share quantities that can be used in valuation ratios. In this section, we discuss the interpretation of one such critical quantity, earnings per share or EPS.¹⁵

EPS is simply the amount of earnings attributable to each share of common stock. In isolation, EPS does not provide adequate information for comparison of one company with another. For example, assume that two companies have only common stock outstanding and no dilutive securities outstanding. In addition, assume the two companies have identical net income of \$10 million, identical book equity of \$100 million and, therefore, identical profitability (10 percent, using ending equity in this case for simplicity). Furthermore, assume that Company A has 100 million weighted average common shares outstanding, whereas Company B has 10 million weighted average common shares outstanding. So, Company A will report EPS of \$0.10 per share, and Company B will report EPS of \$1 per share. The difference in EPS does not reflect a difference in profitability—the companies have identical profits and profitability. The difference reflects only a different number of common shares outstanding. Analysts should understand in detail the types of EPS information that companies report:

Basic EPS provides information regarding the earnings attributable to each share of common stock.¹⁶ To calculate basic EPS, the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period is first calculated. The weighted average number of shares consists of the number of ordinary shares outstanding at the beginning of the period, adjusted by those bought back or issued during the period, multiplied by a time-weighting factor.

Accounting standards generally require the disclosure of basic as well as **diluted EPS** (diluted EPS includes the effect of all the company's securities whose conversion or exercise would result in a reduction of basic EPS; dilutive securities include convertible debt, convertible preferred, warrants, and options). Basic EPS and diluted EPS must be shown with equal prominence on the face of the income statement for each class of ordinary share. Disclosure includes the amounts used as the numerators in calculating basic and diluted EPS, and a reconciliation of those amounts to the company's profit or loss for the period. Because both basic and diluted EPS are presented in a company's financial statements, an analyst does not need to calculate these measures for reported financial statements. Understanding the calculations is, however, helpful for situations requiring an analyst to calculate expected future EPS.

¹⁴ For more detail on valuation ratios as used in equity analysis, see the curriculum reading "Equity Valuation: Concepts and Basic Tools."

¹⁵ For more detail on EPS calculation, see the reading "Understanding Income Statements."

¹⁶ IAS 33, *Earnings per Share* and FASB ASC Topic 260 [Earnings per Share].

To calculate diluted EPS, earnings are adjusted for the after-tax effects assuming conversion, and the following adjustments are made to the weighted number of shares:

- The weighted average number of shares for basic EPS, *plus* those that would be issued on conversion of all potentially dilutive ordinary shares. Potential ordinary shares are treated as dilutive when their conversion would decrease net profit per share from continuing ordinary operations.
- These shares are deemed to have been converted into ordinary shares at the beginning of the period or, if later, at the date of the issue of the shares.
- Options, warrants (and their equivalents), convertible instruments, contingently issuable shares, contracts that can be settled in ordinary shares or cash, purchased options, and written put options should be considered.

14.1.3 Dividend-Related Quantities

In this section, we discuss the interpretation of the dividend-related quantities presented in Exhibit 18. These quantities play a role in some present value models for valuing equities.

Dividend Payout Ratio The **dividend payout ratio** measures the percentage of earnings that the company pays out as dividends to shareholders. The amount of dividends per share tends to be relatively fixed because any reduction in dividends has been shown to result in a disproportionately large reduction in share price. Because dividend amounts are relatively fixed, the dividend payout ratio tends to fluctuate with earnings. Therefore, conclusions about a company's dividend payout policies should be based on examination of payout over a number of periods. Optimal dividend policy, similar to optimal capital structure, has been examined in academic research and continues to be a topic of significant interest in corporate finance.

Retention Rate The retention rate, or earnings retention rate, is the complement of the payout ratio or dividend payout ratio (i.e., $1 - \text{payout ratio}$). Whereas the payout ratio measures the percentage of earnings that a company pays out as dividends, the retention rate is the percentage of earnings that a company retains. (Note that both the payout ratio and retention rate are both percentages of earnings. The difference in terminology—"ratio" versus "rate" versus "percentage"—reflects common usage rather than any substantive differences.)

Sustainable Growth Rate A company's **sustainable growth rate** is viewed as a function of its profitability (measured as ROE) and its ability to finance itself from internally generated funds (measured as the retention rate). The sustainable growth rate is ROE times the retention rate. A higher ROE and a higher retention rate result in a higher sustainable growth rate. This calculation can be used to estimate a company's growth rate, a factor commonly used in equity valuation.

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC FINANCIAL RATIOS

15

- e calculate and interpret ratios used in equity analysis and credit analysis

As stated earlier in this reading, a universally accepted definition and classification of ratios does not exist. The purpose of ratios is to serve as indicators of important aspects of a company's performance and value. Aspects of performance that are considered important in one industry may be irrelevant in another, and industry-specific ratios reflect these differences. For example, companies in the retail industry may report

same-store sales changes because, in the retail industry, it is important to distinguish between growth that results from opening new stores and growth that results from generating more sales at existing stores. Industry-specific metrics can be especially important to the value of equity in early stage industries, where companies are not yet profitable.

In addition, regulated industries—especially in the financial sector—often are required to comply with specific regulatory ratios. For example, the banking sector's liquidity and cash reserve ratios provide an indication of banking liquidity and reflect monetary and regulatory requirements. Banking capital adequacy requirements attempt to relate banks' solvency requirements directly to their specific levels of risk exposure.

Exhibit 19 presents, for illustrative purposes only, some industry-specific and task-specific ratios.¹⁷

Exhibit 19 Definitions of Some Common Industry- and Task-Specific Ratios

Ratio	Numerator	Denominator
Business Risk		
Coefficient of variation of operating income	Standard deviation of operating income	Average operating income
Coefficient of variation of net income	Standard deviation of net income	Average net income
Coefficient of variation of revenues	Standard deviation of revenue	Average revenue
Financial Sector Ratios		
Capital adequacy—banks	Various components of capital	Various measures such as risk-weighted assets, market risk exposure, or level of operational risk assumed
Monetary reserve requirement (Cash reserve ratio)	Reserves held at central bank	Specified deposit liabilities
Liquid asset requirement	Approved “readily marketable” securities	Specified deposit liabilities
Net interest margin	Net interest income	Total interest-earning assets
Retail Ratios		
Same (or comparable) store sales	Average revenue growth year over year for stores open in both periods	Not applicable
Sales per square meter (or square foot)	Revenue	Total retail space in square meters (or square feet)

¹⁷ There are many other industry- and task-specific ratios that are outside the scope of this reading. Resources such as Standard and Poor's Industry Surveys present useful ratios for each industry. Industry organizations may present useful ratios for the industry or a task specific to the industry.

Exhibit 19 (Continued)

Service Companies	Numerator	Denominator
Revenue per employee	Revenue	Total number of employees
Net income per employee	Net income	Total number of employees
Hotel	Numerator	Denominator
Average daily rate	Room revenue	Number of rooms sold
Occupancy rate	Number of rooms sold	Number of rooms available

RESEARCH ON FINANCIAL RATIOS IN CREDIT AND EQUITY ANALYSIS**16**

- e calculate and interpret ratios used in equity analysis and credit analysis

Some ratios may be particularly useful in equity analysis. The end product of equity analysis is often a valuation and investment recommendation. Theoretical valuation models are useful in selecting ratios that would be useful in this process. For example, a company's P/B is theoretically linked to ROE, growth, and the required return. ROE is also a primary determinant of residual income in a residual income valuation model. In both cases, higher ROE relative to the required return denotes a higher valuation. Similarly, profit margin is related to justified price-to-sales (P/S) ratios. Another common valuation method involves forecasts of future cash flows that are discounted back to the present. Trends in ratios can be useful in forecasting future earnings and cash flows (e.g., trends in operating profit margin and collection of customer receivables). Future growth expectations are a key component of all of these valuation models. Trends may be useful in assessing growth prospects (when used in conjunction with overall economic and industry trends). The variability in ratios and common-size data can be useful in assessing risk, an important component of the required rate of return in valuation models. A great deal of academic research has focused on the use of these fundamental ratios in evaluating equity investments.

A classic study, Ou and Penman (1989a and 1989b), found that ratios and common-size metrics generated from accounting data were useful in forecasting earnings and stock returns. Ou and Penman examined 68 such metrics and found that these could be reduced to a more parsimonious list of relevant variables, including percentage changes in a variety of measures such as current ratio, inventory, and sales; gross and pretax margins; and returns on assets and equity. These variables were found to be useful in forecasting earnings and stock returns.

Subsequent studies have also demonstrated the usefulness of ratios in evaluation of equity investments and valuation. Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) examined fundamental financial variables used by analysts to assess whether they are useful in security valuation. They found that fundamental variables add about 70 percent to the explanatory power of earnings alone in predicting excess returns (stock returns in excess of those expected). The fundamental variables they found useful included percentage changes in inventory and receivables relative to sales, gross margin, sales per employee, and

the change in bad debts relative to the change in accounts receivable, among others. Abarbanell and Bushee (1997) found some of the same variables useful in predicting future accounting earnings. Abarbanell and Bushee (1998) devised an investment strategy using these same variables and found that they can generate excess returns under this strategy.

Piotroski (2000) used financial ratios to supplement a value investing strategy and found that he can generate significant excess returns. Variables used by Piotroski include ROA, cash flow ROA, change in ROA, change in leverage, change in liquidity, change in gross margin, and change in inventory turnover.

This research shows that in addition to being useful in evaluating the past performance of a company, ratios can be useful in predicting future earnings and equity returns.

17

CREDIT ANALYSIS

- e calculate and interpret ratios used in equity analysis and credit analysis

Credit risk is the risk of loss caused by a counterparty's or debtor's failure to make a promised payment. For example, credit risk with respect to a bond is the risk that the obligor (the issuer of the bond) is not able to pay interest and principal according to the terms of the bond indenture (contract). **Credit analysis** is the evaluation of credit risk.

Approaches to credit analysis vary and, as with all financial analysis, depend on the purpose of the analysis and the context in which it is done. Credit analysis for specific types of debt (e.g., acquisition financing and other highly leveraged financing) often involves projections of period-by-period cash flows similar to projections made by equity analysts. Whereas the equity analyst may discount projected cash flows to determine the value of the company's equity, a credit analyst would use the projected cash flows to assess the likelihood of a company complying with its financial covenants in each period and paying interest and principal as due.¹⁸ The analysis would also include expectations about asset sales and refinancing options open to the company.

Credit analysis may relate to the borrower's credit risk in a particular transaction or to its overall creditworthiness. In assessing overall creditworthiness, one general approach is credit scoring, a statistical analysis of the determinants of credit default.

Another general approach to credit analysis is the credit rating process that is used, for example, by credit rating agencies to assess and communicate the probability of default by an issuer on its debt obligations (e.g., commercial paper, notes, and bonds). A credit rating can be either long term or short term and is an indication of the rating agency's opinion of the creditworthiness of a debt issuer with respect to a specific debt security or other obligation. Where a company has no debt outstanding, a rating agency can also provide an issuer credit rating that expresses an opinion of the issuer's overall capacity and willingness to meet its financial obligations. The following sections review research on the use of ratios in credit analysis and the ratios commonly used in credit analysis.

¹⁸ Financial covenants are clauses in bond indentures relating to the financial condition of the bond issuer.

17.1 The Credit Rating Process

The credit rating process involves both the analysis of a company's financial reports as well as a broad assessment of a company's operations. In assigning credit ratings, rating agencies emphasize the importance of the relationship between a company's business risk profile and its financial risk.

For corporate entities, credit ratings typically reflect a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors. Qualitative factors generally include an industry's growth prospects, volatility, technological change, and competitive environment. At the individual company level, qualitative factors may include operational effectiveness, strategy, governance, financial policies, risk management practices, and risk tolerance. In contrast, quantitative factors generally include profitability, leverage, cash flow adequacy, and liquidity.¹⁹

When analyzing financial ratios, rating agencies normally investigate deviations of ratios from the median ratios of the universe of companies for which such ratios have been calculated and also use the median ratings as an indicator for the ratings grade given to a specific debt issuer. This so-called universe of rated companies frequently changes, and any calculations are obviously affected by economic factors as well as by mergers and acquisitions. International ratings include the influence of country and economic risk factors. Exhibit 20 presents a few key financial ratios used by Standard & Poor's in evaluating industrial companies. Note that before calculating ratios, rating agencies make certain adjustments to reported financials such as adjusting debt to include off-balance sheet debt in a company's total debt.

Exhibit 20 Selected Credit Ratios

Credit Ratio	Numerator ^a	Denominator ^a
EBITDA interest coverage	EBITDA ^b	Interest expense, including non-cash interest on conventional debt instruments
FFO ^c (Funds from operations) to debt	FFO	Total debt
Free operating cash flow to debt	CFO ^d (adjusted) minus capital expenditures	Total debt
EBIT margin	EBIT ^e	Total revenues
EBITDA margin	EBITDA	Total revenues
Debt to EBITDA	Total debt	EBITDA
Return on capital	EBIT	Average beginning-of-year and end-of-year capital ^f

^a Note that both the numerator and the denominator definitions are adjusted from ratio to ratio and may not correspond to the definitions used elsewhere in this reading.

^b EBITDA = earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization.

^c FFO = funds from operations, defined as EBITDA minus net interest expense minus current tax expense (plus or minus all applicable adjustments).

^d CFO = cash flow from operations.

^e EBIT = earnings before interest and taxes.

^f Capital = debt plus noncurrent deferred taxes plus equity (plus or minus all applicable adjustments).

Source: Based on data from Standard & Poor's *Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments* (2013). This represents the last updated version at the time of publication.

¹⁹ Concepts in this paragraph are based on Standard & Poor's *General Criteria: Principles of Credit Ratings* (2011). This represents the last updated version at the time of publication.

17.2 Historical Research on Ratios in Credit Analysis

A great deal of academic and practitioner research has focused on determining which ratios are useful in assessing the credit risk of a company, including the risk of bankruptcy.

One of the earliest studies examined individual ratios to assess their ability to predict failure of a company up to five years in advance. Beaver (1967) found that six ratios could correctly predict company failure one year in advance 90 percent of the time and five years in advance at least 65 percent of the time. The ratios found effective by Beaver were cash flow to total debt, ROA, total debt to total assets, working capital to total assets, the current ratio, and the no-credit interval ratio (the length of time a company could go without borrowing). Altman (1968) and Altman, Haldeman, and Narayanan (1977) found that financial ratios could be combined in an effective model for predicting bankruptcy. Altman's initial work involved creation of a Z-score that was able to correctly predict financial distress. The Z-score was computed as

$$\begin{aligned} Z = & 1.2 \times (\text{Current assets} - \text{Current liabilities})/\text{Total assets} \\ & + 1.4 \times (\text{Retained earnings}/\text{Total assets}) \\ & + 3.3 \times (\text{EBIT}/\text{Total assets}) \\ & + 0.6 \times (\text{Market value of stock}/\text{Book value of liabilities}) \\ & + 1.0 \times (\text{Sales}/\text{Total assets}) \end{aligned}$$

In his initial study, a Z-score of lower than 1.81 predicted failure and the model was able to accurately classify 95 percent of companies studied into a failure group and a non-failure group. The original model was designed for manufacturing companies. Subsequent refinements to the models allow for other company types and time periods. Generally, the variables found to be useful in prediction include profitability ratios, coverage ratios, liquidity ratios, capitalization ratios, and earnings variability (Altman 2000).

Similar research has been performed on the ability of ratios to predict bond ratings and bond yields. For example, Ederington, Yawitz, and Roberts (1987) found that a small number of variables (total assets, interest coverage, leverage, variability of coverage, and subordination status) were effective in explaining bond yields. Similarly, Ederington (1986) found that nine variables in combination could correctly classify more than 70 percent of bond ratings. These variables included ROA, long-term debt to assets, interest coverage, cash flow to debt, variability of coverage and cash flow, total assets, and subordination status. These studies have shown that ratios are effective in evaluating credit risk, bond yields, and bond ratings.

18

BUSINESS AND GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS

- f explain the requirements for segment reporting and calculate and interpret segment ratios

Analysts often need to evaluate the performance underlying business segments (subsidiary companies, operating units, or simply operations in different geographic areas) to understand in detail the company as a whole. Although companies are not required to provide full financial statements for segments, they are required to provide segment information under both IFRS and US GAAP.²⁰

²⁰ IFRS 8, *Operating Segments* and FASB ASC Topic 280 [Segment Reporting].

18.1 Segment Reporting Requirements

An operating segment is defined as a component of a company: a) that engages in activities that may generate revenue and create expenses, including a start-up segment that has yet to earn revenues, b) whose results are regularly reviewed by the company's senior management, and c) for which discrete financial information is available.²¹ A company must disclose separate information about any operating segment which meets certain quantitative criteria—namely, the segment constitutes 10 percent or more of the combined operating segments' revenue, assets, or profit. (For purposes of determining whether a segment constitutes 10 percent or more of combined profits or losses, the criteria is expressed in terms of the absolute value of the segment's profit or loss as a percentage of the greater of (i) the combined profits of all profitable segments and (ii) the absolute amount of the combined losses of all loss-making segments.) If, after applying these quantitative criteria, the combined revenue from external customers for all reportable segments combined is less than 75 percent of the total company revenue, the company must identify additional reportable segments until the 75 percent level is reached. Small segments might be combined as one if they share a substantial number of factors that define a business or geographical segment, or they might be combined with a similar significant reportable segment. Information about operating segments and businesses that are not reportable is combined in an “all other segments” category.

Companies may internally report business results in a variety of ways (e.g., product segments and geographical segments). Companies identify the segments for external reporting purposes considering the definition of an operating segment and using factors such as what information is reported to the board of directors and whether a manager is responsible for each segment. Companies must disclose the factors used to identify reportable segments and the types of products and services sold by each reportable segment.

For each reportable segment, the following should also be disclosed:

- a measure of profit or loss;
- a measure of total assets and liabilities²² (if these amounts are regularly reviewed by the company's chief decision-making officer);
- segment revenue, distinguishing between revenue to external customers and revenue from other segments;
- interest revenue and interest expense;
- cost of property, plant, and equipment, and intangible assets acquired;
- depreciation and amortisation expense;
- other non-cash expenses;
- income tax expense or income; and
- share of the net profit or loss of an investment accounted for under the equity method.

Companies also must provide a reconciliation between the information of reportable segments and the consolidated financial statements in terms of segment revenue, profit or loss, assets, and liabilities.

²¹ IFRS 8, *Operating Segments*, paragraph 5.

²² IFRS 8 and FASB ASC Topic 280 are largely converged. One notable difference is that US GAAP does not require disclosure of segment liabilities, while IFRS requires disclosure of segment liabilities if that information is regularly provided to the company's “chief operating decision maker.”

Another disclosure required is the company's reliance on any single customer. If any single customer represents 10 percent or more of the company's total revenues, the company must disclose that fact. From an analysts' perspective, information about a concentrated customer base can be useful in assessing the risks faced by the company.

18.2 Segment Ratios

Based on the segment information that companies are required to present, a variety of useful ratios can be computed, as shown in Exhibit 21.

Exhibit 21 Definitions of Segment Ratios

Segment Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Segment margin	Segment profit (loss)	Segment revenue
Segment turnover	Segment revenue	Segment assets
Segment ROA	Segment profit (loss)	Segment assets
Segment debt ratio	Segment liabilities	Segment assets

The segment margin measures the operating profitability of the segment relative to revenues, whereas the segment ROA measures the operating profitability relative to assets. Segment turnover measures the overall efficiency of the segment: how much revenue is generated per unit of assets. The segment debt ratio examines the level of liabilities (hence solvency) of the segment. Example 17 demonstrates the evaluation of segment ratios.

EXAMPLE 17

The Evaluation of Segment Ratios

The information contained in Exhibit 22 relates to the business segments of Groupe Danone for 2016 and 2017 in millions of euro. According to the company's 2017 annual report the company operates in four business segments which are primarily evaluated on operating income and operating margin and in two geographic segments for which they also provide information on assets deployed.

Evaluate the performance of the segments using the relative proportion of sales of each segment, the segment margins, segment ROA where available, and segment turnover where available.

Exhibit 22 Group Danone Segment Disclosures (in € millions)

Business Segments	2016		2017	
	Sales	Recurring Operating Income	Sales	Recurring Operating Income
Fresh Dairy Products – International	8,229	731	8,424	760
Fresh Dairy Products – North America	2,506	351	4,530	556
Specialized Nutrition	6,634	1,419	7,102	1,685
Waters	4,574	521	4,621	541
Group Total	21,944	3,022	24,677	3,542

Exhibit 22 (Continued)

Geographic Segments	2016			2017		
	Sales	Recurring Operating Income	Non- Current Assets	Sales	Recurring Operating Income	Non- Current Assets
Europe and North America	10,933	1,842	11,532	13,193	2,048	22,517
Rest of World	11,011	1,180	9,307	11,484	1,495	8,433
Group Total	21,944	3,022	20,839	24,677	3,543	30,950

Source: Company's 2017 Annual Report.

Solution:

Business Segments	2016		2017	
	Segment Revenue Percent	Recurring Operating Margin	Segment Revenue Percent	Recurring Operating Margin
Fresh Dairy Products – International	37.5%	8.9%	34.1%	9.0%
Fresh Dairy Products – North America	11.4%	14.0%	18.4%	12.3%
Specialized Nutrition	30.2%	21.4%	28.8%	23.7%
Waters	20.8%	11.4%	18.7%	11.7%
Group Total	100.0%	13.8%	100.0%	14.4%

Business Segments	2017 % change in revenue
Fresh Dairy Products – International	2.4%
Fresh Dairy Products – North America	80.8%
Specialized Nutrition	7.1%
Waters	1.0%
Group Total	12.5%

The business segment analysis shows that the largest proportion of the company's revenues occurs in the Fresh Dairy Products – International segment: 37.5% and 34.1% of the total in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The greatest increase in relative revenue, however, came from the Fresh Dairy Products – North America segment which grew by 80.8% and increased from 11.4% of total revenues in 2016 to 18.4% of total revenues in 2017. Examination of the company's full annual report reveals that Danone Group acquired a large health-oriented North American food company, Whitewave, in 2017. This caused the shift in the relative proportion of sales. The highest segment operating margin in both years comes from the Specialized Nutrition segment with operating margins of 21.4% in 2016 increasing to 23.7% in 2017. Margins increased slightly in the Fresh Dairy Products – International and Waters segments, while margins declined in Fresh Dairy Products – North America. The latter is likely due to costs associated with the Whitewave acquisition.

Geographic Segments	2016				2017			
	Segment Revenue Percent	Recurring Operating Margin	Segment ROA	Segment Asset Turnover	Segment Revenue Percent	Recurring Operating Margin	Segment ROA	Segment Asset Turnover
	Europe and North America	49.8%	16.8%	16.0%	0.9	53.5%	15.5%	9.1%
Rest of World	50.2%	10.7%	12.7%	1.2	46.5%	13.0%	17.7%	1.4
Group Total	100.0%	13.8%	14.5%	1.1	100.0%	14.4%	11.4%	0.8

As used in this table, ROA refers to operating income divided by ending assets, and Asset Turnover is defined as Revenue divided by non-current assets.

The geographic segment analysis shows that the company's sales are split roughly evenly between the two geographic segments. Operating margins were higher in the Europe and North America segment in both years but declined from 16.8% in 2016 to 15.5% in 2017, likely in connection with the North American acquisition of Whitewave. Operating margins in the rest of the world, however, increased in 2017. Segment return on assets and segment asset turnover declined significantly for the Europe and North America segment in 2017, again largely due to the acquisition of Whitewave. An examination of the annual report disclosures reveals that the large increase in segment assets came from intangible assets (mainly goodwill) recorded in the Whitewave acquisition. In contrast, segment return on assets and turnover improved significantly in the Rest of World segment.

19

MODEL BUILDING AND FORECASTING

- g describe how ratio analysis and other techniques can be used to model and forecast earnings

Analysts often need to forecast future financial performance. For example, analysts' EPS forecasts and related equity valuations are widely followed by Wall Street. Analysts use data about the economy, industry, and company in arriving at a company's forecast. The results of an analyst's financial analysis, including common-size and ratio analyses, are integral to this process, along with the judgment of the analysts.

Based upon forecasts of growth and expected relationships among the financial statement data, the analyst can build a model (sometimes referred to as an "earnings model") to forecast future performance. In addition to budgets, pro forma financial statements are widely used in financial forecasting within companies, especially for use by senior executives and boards of directors. Last but not least, these budgets and forecasts are also used in presentations to credit analysts and others in obtaining external financing.

For example, based on a revenue forecast, an analyst may budget expenses based on expected common-size data. Forecasts of balance sheet and cash flow statements can be derived from expected ratio data, such as DSO. Forecasts are not limited to a single point estimate but should involve a range of possibilities. This can involve several techniques:

- **Sensitivity analysis:** Also known as “what if” analysis, sensitivity analysis shows the range of possible outcomes as specific assumptions are changed; this could, in turn, influence financing needs or investment in fixed assets.
- **Scenario analysis:** This type of analysis shows the changes in key financial quantities that result from given (economic) events, such as the loss of customers, the loss of a supply source, or a catastrophic event. If the list of events is mutually exclusive and exhaustive and the events can be assigned probabilities, the analyst can evaluate not only the range of outcomes but also standard statistical measures such as the mean and median value for various quantities of interest.
- **Simulation:** This is computer-generated sensitivity or scenario analysis based on probability models for the factors that drive outcomes. Each event or possible outcome is assigned a probability. Multiple scenarios are then run using the probability factors assigned to the possible values of a variable.

SUMMARY

Financial analysis techniques, including common-size financial statements and ratio analysis, are useful in summarizing financial reporting data and evaluating the performance and financial position of a company. The results of financial analysis techniques provide important inputs into security valuation. Key facets of financial analysis include the following:

- Common-size financial statements and financial ratios remove the effect of size, allowing comparisons of a company with peer companies (cross-sectional analysis) and comparison of a company’s results over time (trend or time-series analysis).
- Activity ratios measure the efficiency of a company’s operations, such as collection of receivables or management of inventory. Major activity ratios include inventory turnover, days of inventory on hand, receivables turnover, days of sales outstanding, payables turnover, number of days of payables, working capital turnover, fixed asset turnover, and total asset turnover.
- Liquidity ratios measure the ability of a company to meet short-term obligations. Major liquidity ratios include the current ratio, quick ratio, cash ratio, and defensive interval ratio.
- Solvency ratios measure the ability of a company to meet long-term obligations. Major solvency ratios include debt ratios (including the debt-to-assets ratio, debt-to-capital ratio, debt-to-equity ratio, and financial leverage ratio) and coverage ratios (including interest coverage and fixed charge coverage).
- Profitability ratios measure the ability of a company to generate profits from revenue and assets. Major profitability ratios include return on sales ratios (including gross profit margin, operating profit margin, pretax margin, and net profit margin) and return on investment ratios (including operating ROA, ROA, return on total capital, ROE, and return on common equity).

- Ratios can also be combined and evaluated as a group to better understand how they fit together and how efficiency and leverage are tied to profitability.
- ROE can be analyzed as the product of the net profit margin, asset turnover, and financial leverage. This decomposition is sometimes referred to as DuPont analysis.
- Valuation ratios express the relation between the market value of a company or its equity (for example, price per share) and some fundamental financial metric (for example, earnings per share).
- Ratio analysis is useful in the selection and valuation of debt and equity securities and is a part of the credit rating process.
- Ratios can also be computed for business segments to evaluate how units within a business are performing.
- The results of financial analysis provide valuable inputs into forecasts of future earnings and cash flow.

REFERENCES

- Abarbanell, J.S., and B. J. Bushee. 1997. "Fundamental Analysis, Future Earnings, and Stock Prices." *Journal of Accounting Research*, vol. 35, no. 1:1–24.
- Abarbanell, J.S., and B.J. Bushee. 1998. "Abnormal Returns to a Fundamental Analysis Strategy." *Accounting Review*, vol. 73, no. 1:19–46.
- Altman, E. 1968. "Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 23, no. 4:589–609.
- Altman, E.I. 2013, "Predicting Financial Distress of Companies: Revisiting the Z-Score and Zeta Models," in Prokopczuk, Marcel; Brooks, Chris; Bell, Adrian R., *Handbook of Research Methods and Applications in Empirical Finance*: 428-456.
- Altman, E., R. Haldeman, and P. Narayanan. 1977. "Zeta Analysis: A New Model to Identify Bankruptcy Risk of Corporations." *Journal of Banking & Finance*, vol. 1, no. 1.
- Beaver, W. 1967. "Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failures." *Empirical Research in Accounting*, selected studies supplement to *Journal of Accounting Research*, 4 (1).
- Benninga, Simon Z., and Oded H. Sarig. 1997. *Corporate Finance: A Valuation Approach*. New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing.
- Ederington, L.H. 1986. "Why Split Ratings Occur." *Financial Management*, vol. 15, no. 1:37–47.
- Ederington, L.H., J.B. Yawitz, and B.E. Robert. 1987. "The Information Content of Bond Ratings." *Journal of Financial Research*, vol. 10, no. 3:211–226.
- Lev, B., and S.R. Thiagarajan. 1993. "Fundamental Information Analysis." *Journal of Accounting Research*, vol. 31, no. 2:190–215.
- Modigliani, F., and M. Miller. 1958. "The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and the Theory of Investment." *American Economic Review*, vol. 48:261–298.
- Modigliani, F., and M. Miller. 1963. "Corporate Income Taxes and the Cost of Capital: A Correction." *American Economic Review*, vol. 53:433–444.
- Ou, J.A., and S.H. Penman. 1989a. "Financial Statement Analysis and the Prediction of Stock Returns." *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, vol. 11, no. 4:295–329.
- Ou, J.A., and S.H. Penman. 1989b. "Accounting Measurement, Price-Earnings Ratio, and the Information Content of Security Prices." *Journal of Accounting Research*, vol. 27, no. Supplement:111–144.
- Piotroski, J.D. 2000. "Value Investing: The Use of Historical Financial Statement Information to Separate Winners from Losers." *Journal of Accounting Research*, vol. 38, no. Supplement:1–41.
- Robinson, T., and P. Munter. 2004. "Financial Reporting Quality: Red Flags and Accounting Warning Signs." *Commercial Lending Review*, vol. 19, no. 1:2–15.
- van Greuning, H., and S. Brajovic Bratanovic. 2003. *Analyzing and Managing Banking Risk: A Framework for Assessing Corporate Governance and Financial Risk*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Comparison of a company's financial results to other peer companies for the same time period is called:
 - A technical analysis.
 - B time-series analysis.
 - C cross-sectional analysis.
- 2 In order to assess a company's ability to fulfill its long-term obligations, an analyst would *most likely* examine:
 - A activity ratios.
 - B liquidity ratios.
 - C solvency ratios.
- 3 Which ratio would a company *most likely* use to measure its ability to meet short-term obligations?
 - A Current ratio.
 - B Payables turnover.
 - C Gross profit margin.
- 4 Which of the following ratios would be *most* useful in determining a company's ability to cover its lease and interest payments?
 - A ROA.
 - B Total asset turnover.
 - C Fixed charge coverage.
- 5 An analyst is interested in assessing both the efficiency and liquidity of Spherion PLC. The analyst has collected the following data for Spherion:

	FY3	FY2	FY1
Days of inventory on hand	32	34	40
Days sales outstanding	28	25	23
Number of days of payables	40	35	35

- Based on this data, what is the analyst *least likely* to conclude?
- A Inventory management has contributed to improved liquidity.
 - B Management of payables has contributed to improved liquidity.
 - C Management of receivables has contributed to improved liquidity.
- 6 An analyst is evaluating the solvency and liquidity of Apex Manufacturing and has collected the following data (in millions of euro):

	FY5 (€)	FY4 (€)	FY3 (€)
Total debt	2,000	1,900	1,750
Total equity	4,000	4,500	5,000

- Which of the following would be the analyst's *most likely* conclusion?
- A The company is becoming increasingly less solvent, as evidenced by the increase in its debt-to-equity ratio from 0.35 to 0.50 from FY3 to FY5.

- B** The company is becoming less liquid, as evidenced by the increase in its debt-to-equity ratio from 0.35 to 0.50 from FY3 to FY5.
- C** The company is becoming increasingly more liquid, as evidenced by the increase in its debt-to-equity ratio from 0.35 to 0.50 from FY3 to FY5.
- 7** With regard to the data in Problem 6, what would be the *most* reasonable explanation of the financial data?
- A** The decline in the company's equity results from a decline in the market value of this company's common shares.
- B** The €250 increase in the company's debt from FY3 to FY5 indicates that lenders are viewing the company as increasingly creditworthy.
- C** The decline in the company's equity indicates that the company may be incurring losses, paying dividends greater than income, and/or repurchasing shares.
- 8** An analyst observes a decrease in a company's inventory turnover. Which of the following would *most likely* explain this trend?
- A** The company installed a new inventory management system, allowing more efficient inventory management.
- B** Due to problems with obsolescent inventory last year, the company wrote off a large amount of its inventory at the beginning of the period.
- C** The company installed a new inventory management system but experienced some operational difficulties resulting in duplicate orders being placed with suppliers.
- 9** Which of the following would *best* explain an increase in receivables turnover?
- A** The company adopted new credit policies last year and began offering credit to customers with weak credit histories.
- B** Due to problems with an error in its old credit scoring system, the company had accumulated a substantial amount of uncollectible accounts and wrote off a large amount of its receivables.
- C** To match the terms offered by its closest competitor, the company adopted new payment terms now requiring net payment within 30 days rather than 15 days, which had been its previous requirement.
- 10** Brown Corporation had average days of sales outstanding of 19 days in the most recent fiscal year. Brown wants to improve its credit policies and collection practices and decrease its collection period in the next fiscal year to match the industry average of 15 days. Credit sales in the most recent fiscal year were \$300 million, and Brown expects credit sales to increase to \$390 million in the next fiscal year. To achieve Brown's goal of decreasing the collection period, the change in the average accounts receivable balance that must occur is *closest* to:
- A** +\$0.41 million.
- B** -\$0.41 million.
- C** -\$1.22 million.
- 11** An analyst observes the following data for two companies:

	Company A (\$)	Company B (\$)
Revenue	4,500	6,000
Net income	50	1,000
Current assets	40,000	60,000
Total assets	100,000	700,000

	Company A (\$)	Company B (\$)
Current liabilities	10,000	50,000
Total debt	60,000	150,000
Shareholders' equity	30,000	500,000

Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions that the analyst might make about the two companies' ability to pay their current and long-term obligations?

- A Company A's current ratio of 4.0 indicates it is more liquid than Company B, whose current ratio is only 1.2, but Company B is more solvent, as indicated by its lower debt-to-equity ratio.
- B Company A's current ratio of 0.25 indicates it is less liquid than Company B, whose current ratio is 0.83, and Company A is also less solvent, as indicated by a debt-to-equity ratio of 200 percent compared with Company B's debt-to-equity ratio of only 30 percent.
- C Company A's current ratio of 4.0 indicates it is more liquid than Company B, whose current ratio is only 1.2, and Company A is also more solvent, as indicated by a debt-to-equity ratio of 200 percent compared with Company B's debt-to-equity ratio of only 30 percent.

The following information relates to Questions 12–15

The data in Exhibit 1 appear in the five-year summary of a major international company. A business combination with another major manufacturer took place in FY13.

Exhibit 1

	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
Financial statements	GBP m				
Income statements					
Revenue	4,390	3,624	3,717	8,167	11,366
Profit before interest and taxation (EBIT)	844	700	704	933	1,579
Net interest payable	-80	-54	-98	-163	-188
Taxation	-186	-195	-208	-349	-579
Minorities	-94	-99	-105	-125	-167
Profit for the year	484	352	293	296	645
Balance sheets					
Fixed assets	3,510	3,667	4,758	10,431	11,483
Current asset investments, cash at bank and in hand	316	218	290	561	682
Other current assets	558	514	643	1,258	1,634
Total assets	4,384	4,399	5,691	12,250	13,799
Interest bearing debt (long term)	-602	-1,053	-1,535	-3,523	-3,707

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
Other creditors and provisions (current)	−1,223	−1,054	−1,102	−2,377	−3,108
Total liabilities	−1,825	−2,107	−2,637	−5,900	−6,815
Net assets	2,559	2,292	3,054	6,350	6,984
Shareholders' funds	2,161	2,006	2,309	5,572	6,165
Equity minority interests	398	286	745	778	819
Capital employed	2,559	2,292	3,054	6,350	6,984
Cash flow					
Working capital movements	−53	5	71	85	107
Net cash inflow from operating activities	864	859	975	1,568	2,292

- 12** The company's total assets at year-end FY9 were GBP 3,500 million. Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the company's efficiency?
- A Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's efficiency improved, as indicated by a total asset turnover ratio of 0.86 compared with 0.64.
 - B Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's efficiency deteriorated, as indicated by its current ratio.
 - C Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's efficiency deteriorated due to asset growth faster than turnover revenue growth.
- 13** Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the company's solvency?
- A Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's solvency improved, as indicated by an increase in its debt-to-assets ratio from 0.14 to 0.27.
 - B Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's solvency deteriorated, as indicated by a decrease in interest coverage from 10.6 to 8.4.
 - C Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's solvency improved, as indicated by the growth in its profits to GBP 645 million.
- 14** Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the company's liquidity?
- A Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's liquidity improved, as indicated by an increase in its debt-to-assets ratio from 0.14 to 0.27.
 - B Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's liquidity deteriorated, as indicated by a decrease in interest coverage from 10.6 to 8.4.
 - C Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's liquidity improved, as indicated by an increase in its current ratio from 0.71 to 0.75.
- 15** Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make about the company's profitability?
- A Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's profitability improved, as indicated by an increase in its debt-to-assets ratio from 0.14 to 0.27.

- B** Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's profitability deteriorated, as indicated by a decrease in its net profit margin from 11.0 percent to 5.7 percent.
- C** Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's profitability improved, as indicated by the growth in its shareholders' equity to GBP 6,165 million.
-

- 16** Assuming no changes in other variables, which of the following would decrease ROA?
- A** A decrease in the effective tax rate.
- B** A decrease in interest expense.
- C** An increase in average assets.
- 17** An analyst compiles the following data for a company:

	FY13	FY14	FY15
ROE	19.8%	20.0%	22.0%
Return on total assets	8.1%	8.0%	7.9%
Total asset turnover	2.0	2.0	2.1

Based only on the information above, the *most* appropriate conclusion is that, over the period FY13 to FY15, the company's:

- A** net profit margin and financial leverage have decreased.
- B** net profit margin and financial leverage have increased.
- C** net profit margin has decreased but its financial leverage has increased.
- 18** A decomposition of ROE for Integra SA is as follows:

	FY12	FY11
ROE	18.90%	18.90%
Tax burden	0.70	0.75
Interest burden	0.90	0.90
EBIT margin	10.00%	10.00%
Asset turnover	1.50	1.40
Leverage	2.00	2.00

Which of the following choices *best* describes reasonable conclusions an analyst might make based on this ROE decomposition?

- A** Profitability and the liquidity position both improved in FY12.
- B** The higher average tax rate in FY12 offset the improvement in profitability, leaving ROE unchanged.
- C** The higher average tax rate in FY12 offset the improvement in efficiency, leaving ROE unchanged.
- 19** A decomposition of ROE for Company A and Company B is as follows:

	Company A		Company B	
	FY15	FY14	FY15	FY14
ROE	26.46%	18.90%	26.33%	18.90%
Tax burden	0.7	0.75	0.75	0.75
Interest burden	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9

(continued)

	Company A		Company B	
	FY15	FY14	FY15	FY14
EBIT margin	7.00%	10.00%	13.00%	10.00%
Asset turnover	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4
Leverage	4	2	2	2

An analyst is *most likely* to conclude that:

- A Company A's ROE is higher than Company B's in FY15, and one explanation consistent with the data is that Company A may have purchased new, more efficient equipment.
 - B Company A's ROE is higher than Company B's in FY15, and one explanation consistent with the data is that Company A has made a strategic shift to a product mix with higher profit margins.
 - C The difference between the two companies' ROE in FY15 is very small and Company A's ROE remains similar to Company B's ROE mainly due to Company A increasing its financial leverage.
- 20 What does the P/E ratio measure?
- A The "multiple" that the stock market places on a company's EPS.
 - B The relationship between dividends and market prices.
 - C The earnings for one common share of stock.
- 21 A creditor *most likely* would consider a decrease in which of the following ratios to be positive news?
- A Interest coverage (times interest earned).
 - B Debt-to-total assets.
 - C Return on assets.
- 22 When developing forecasts, analysts should *most likely*:
- A develop possibilities relying exclusively on the results of financial analysis.
 - B use the results of financial analysis, analysis of other information, and judgment.
 - C aim to develop extremely precise forecasts using the results of financial analysis.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. Cross-sectional analysis involves the comparison of companies with each other for the same time period. Technical analysis uses price and volume data as the basis for investment decisions. Time-series or trend analysis is the comparison of financial data across different time periods.
- 2 C is correct. Solvency ratios are used to evaluate the ability of a company to meet its long-term obligations. An analyst is more likely to use activity ratios to evaluate how efficiently a company uses its assets. An analyst is more likely to use liquidity ratios to evaluate the ability of a company to meet its short-term obligations.
- 3 A is correct. The current ratio is a liquidity ratio. It compares the net amount of current assets expected to be converted into cash within the year with liabilities falling due in the same period. A current ratio of 1.0 would indicate that the company would have just enough current assets to pay current liabilities.
- 4 C is correct. The fixed charge coverage ratio is a coverage ratio that relates known fixed charges or obligations to a measure of operating profit or cash flow generated by the company. Coverage ratios, a category of solvency ratios, measure the ability of a company to cover its payments related to debt and leases.
- 5 C is correct. The analyst is *unlikely* to reach the conclusion given in Statement C because days of sales outstanding increased from 23 days in FY1 to 25 days in FY2 to 28 days in FY3, indicating that the time required to collect receivables has increased over the period. This is a negative factor for Spherion's liquidity. By contrast, days of inventory on hand dropped over the period FY1 to FY3, a positive for liquidity. The company's increase in days payable, from 35 days to 40 days, shortened its cash conversion cycle, thus also contributing to improved liquidity.
- 6 A is correct. The company is becoming increasingly less solvent, as evidenced by its debt-to-equity ratio increasing from 0.35 to 0.50 from FY3 to FY5. The amount of a company's debt and equity do not provide direct information about the company's liquidity position.
Debt to equity:
FY5: $2,000/4,000 = 0.5000$
FY4: $1,900/4,500 = 0.4222$
FY3: $1,750/5,000 = 0.3500$
- 7 C is correct. The decline in the company's equity indicates that the company may be incurring losses, paying dividends greater than income, or repurchasing shares. Recall that Beginning equity + New shares issuance – Shares repurchased + Comprehensive income – Dividends = Ending equity. The book value of a company's equity is not affected by changes in the market value of its common stock. An increased amount of lending does not necessarily indicate that lenders view a company as increasingly creditworthy. Creditworthiness is not evaluated based on how much a company has increased its debt but rather on its willingness and ability to pay its obligations. (Its financial strength is indicated by its solvency, liquidity, profitability, efficiency, and other aspects of credit analysis.)
- 8 C is correct. The company's problems with its inventory management system causing duplicate orders would likely result in a higher amount of inventory and would, therefore, result in a decrease in inventory turnover. A more efficient inventory management system and a write off of inventory at the beginning of

the period would both likely decrease the average inventory for the period (the denominator of the inventory turnover ratio), thus increasing the ratio rather than decreasing it.

- 9 B is correct. A write off of receivables would decrease the average amount of accounts receivable (the denominator of the receivables turnover ratio), thus increasing this ratio. Customers with weaker credit are more likely to make payments more slowly or to pose collection difficulties, which would likely increase the average amount of accounts receivable and thus decrease receivables turnover. Longer payment terms would likely increase the average amount of accounts receivable and thus decrease receivables turnover.
- 10 A is correct. The average accounts receivable balances (actual and desired) must be calculated to determine the desired change. The average accounts receivable balance can be calculated as an average day's credit sales times the DSO. For the most recent fiscal year, the average accounts receivable balance is \$15.62 million [$= (\$300,000,000/365) \times 19$]. The desired average accounts receivable balance for the next fiscal year is \$16.03 million [$= (\$390,000,000/365) \times 15$]. This is an increase of \$0.41 million ($= 16.03 \text{ million} - 15.62 \text{ million}$). An alternative approach is to calculate the turnover and divide sales by turnover to determine the average accounts receivable balance. Turnover equals 365 divided by DSO. Turnover is 19.21 ($= 365/19$) for the most recent fiscal year and is targeted to be 24.33 ($= 365/15$) for the next fiscal year. The average accounts receivable balances are \$15.62 million ($= \$300,000,000/19.21$), and \$16.03 million ($= \$390,000,000/24.33$). The change is an increase in receivables of \$0.41 million
- 11 A is correct. Company A's current ratio of 4.0 ($= \$40,000/\$10,000$) indicates it is more liquid than Company B, whose current ratio is only 1.2 ($= \$60,000/\$50,000$). Company B is more solvent, as indicated by its lower debt-to-equity ratio of 30 percent ($= \$150,000/\$500,000$) compared with Company A's debt-to-equity ratio of 200 percent ($= \$60,000/\$30,000$).
- 12 C is correct. The company's efficiency deteriorated, as indicated by the decline in its total asset turnover ratio from 1.11 [$= 4,390/[(4,384 + 3,500)/2]$] for FY10 to 0.87 [$= 11,366/[(12,250 + 13,799)/2]$] for FY14. The decline in the total asset turnover ratio resulted from an increase in average total assets from GBP3,942 [$= (4,384 + 3,500)/2$] for FY10 to GBP13,024.5 for FY14, an increase of 230 percent, compared with an increase in revenue from GBP4,390 in FY10 to GBP11,366 in FY14, an increase of only 159 percent. The current ratio is not an indicator of efficiency.
- 13 B is correct. Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's solvency deteriorated, as indicated by a decrease in interest coverage from 10.6 ($= 844/80$) in FY10 to 8.4 ($= 1,579/188$) in FY14. The debt-to-asset ratio increased from 0.14 ($= 602/4,384$) in FY10 to 0.27 ($= 3,707/13,799$) in FY14. This is also indicative of deteriorating solvency. In isolation, the amount of profits does not provide enough information to assess solvency.
- 14 C is correct. Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's liquidity improved, as indicated by an increase in its current ratio from 0.71 [$= (316 + 558)/1,223$] in FY10 to 0.75 [$= (682 + 1,634)/3,108$] in FY14. Note, however, comparing only current investments with the level of current liabilities shows a decline in liquidity from 0.26 ($= 316/1,223$) in FY10 to 0.22 ($= 682/3,108$) in FY14. Debt-to-assets ratio and interest coverage are measures of solvency not liquidity.

- 15** B is correct. Comparing FY14 with FY10, the company's profitability deteriorated, as indicated by a decrease in its net profit margin from 11.0 percent ($= 484/4,390$) to 5.7 percent ($= 645/11,366$). Debt-to-assets ratio is a measure of solvency not an indicator of profitability. Growth in shareholders' equity, in isolation, does not provide enough information to assess profitability.
- 16** C is correct. Assuming no changes in other variables, an increase in average assets (an increase in the denominator) would decrease ROA. A decrease in either the effective tax rate or interest expense, assuming no changes in other variables, would increase ROA.
- 17** C is correct. The company's net profit margin has decreased and its financial leverage has increased. $ROA = \text{Net profit margin} \times \text{Total asset turnover}$. ROA decreased over the period despite the increase in total asset turnover; therefore, the net profit margin must have decreased.
 $ROE = \text{Return on assets} \times \text{Financial leverage}$. ROE increased over the period despite the drop in ROA; therefore, financial leverage must have increased.
- 18** C is correct. The increase in the average tax rate in FY12, as indicated by the decrease in the value of the tax burden (the tax burden equals one minus the average tax rate), offset the improvement in efficiency indicated by higher asset turnover leaving ROE unchanged. The EBIT margin, measuring profitability, was unchanged in FY12 and no information is given on liquidity.
- 19** C is correct. The difference between the two companies' ROE in 2010 is very small and is mainly the result of Company A's increase in its financial leverage, indicated by the increase in its Assets/Equity ratio from 2 to 4. The impact of efficiency on ROE is identical for the two companies, as indicated by both companies' asset turnover ratios of 1.5. Furthermore, if Company A had purchased newer equipment to replace older, depreciated equipment, then the company's asset turnover ratio (computed as sales/assets) would have declined, assuming constant sales. Company A has experienced a significant decline in its operating margin, from 10 percent to 7 percent which, all else equal, would not suggest that it is selling more products with higher profit margins.
- 20** A is correct. The P/E ratio measures the "multiple" that the stock market places on a company's EPS.
- 21** B is correct. In general, a creditor would consider a decrease in debt to total assets as positive news. A higher level of debt in a company's capital structure increases the risk of default and will, in general, result in higher borrowing costs for the company to compensate lenders for assuming greater credit risk. A decrease in either interest coverage or return on assets is likely to be considered negative news.
- 22** B is correct. The results of an analyst's financial analysis are integral to the process of developing forecasts, along with the analysis of other information and judgment of the analysts. Forecasts are not limited to a single point estimate but should involve a range of possibilities.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS STUDY SESSION

7

Financial Statement Analysis (3)

This study session examines financial reporting for specific categories of assets and liabilities. Inventories, long-lived assets, income taxes, and non-current liabilities are examined in greater detail because of their effect on financial statements and reported measures of profitability, liquidity, and solvency. For these items in particular, the analyst should be attentive to chosen accounting treatment, corresponding effect on reported performance, and the potential for financial statement manipulation.

READING ASSIGNMENTS

Reading 21

Inventories
by Michael Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Reading 22

Long-lived Assets
by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA

Reading 23

Income Taxes
by Elbie Louw, PhD, CFA, CIPM, and Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Reading 24

Non-current (Long-term) Liabilities
by Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA, and Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

READING

21

Inventories

by Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA, is at Barry University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. contrast costs included in inventories and costs recognised as expenses in the period in which they are incurred;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe different inventory valuation methods (cost formulas);
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. calculate and compare cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory using different inventory valuation methods and using perpetual and periodic inventory systems;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. calculate and explain how inflation and deflation of inventory costs affect the financial statements and ratios of companies that use different inventory valuation methods;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. explain LIFO reserve and LIFO liquidation and their effects on financial statements and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. demonstrate the conversion of a company's reported financial statements from LIFO to FIFO for purposes of comparison;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe the measurement of inventory at the lower of cost and net realisable value;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. describe implications of valuing inventory at net realisable value for financial statements and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to inventories;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. explain issues that analysts should consider when examining a company's inventory disclosures and other sources of information;
<input type="checkbox"/>	k. calculate and compare ratios of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods;
<input type="checkbox"/>	l. analyze and compare the financial statements of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

Merchandising and manufacturing companies generate revenues and profits through the sale of inventory. Further, inventory may represent a significant asset on these companies' balance sheets. Merchandisers (wholesalers and retailers) purchase inventory, ready for sale, from manufacturers and thus account for only one type of inventory—finished goods inventory. Manufacturers, however, purchase raw materials from suppliers and then add value by transforming the raw materials into finished goods. They typically classify inventory into three different categories:¹ raw materials, work in progress,² and finished goods. Work-in-progress inventories have started the conversion process from raw materials but are not yet finished goods ready for sale. Manufacturers may report either the separate carrying amounts of their raw materials, work-in-progress, and finished goods inventories on the balance sheet or simply the total inventory amount. If the latter approach is used, the company must then disclose the carrying amounts of its raw materials, work-in-progress, and finished goods inventories in a footnote to the financial statements.

Inventories and cost of sales (cost of goods sold)³ are significant items in the financial statements of many companies. Comparing the performance of these companies is challenging because of the allowable choices for valuing inventories: Differences in the choice of inventory valuation method can result in significantly different amounts being assigned to inventory and cost of sales. Financial statement analysis would be much easier if all companies used the same inventory valuation method or if inventory price levels remained constant over time. If there was no inflation or deflation with respect to inventory costs and thus unit costs were unchanged, the choice of inventory valuation method would be irrelevant. However, inventory price levels typically do change over time.

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) permit the assignment of inventory costs (costs of goods available for sale) to inventories and cost of sales by three cost formulas: specific identification, first-in, first-out (FIFO), and weighted average cost.⁴ US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) allow the same three inventory valuation methods, referred to as cost flow assumptions in US GAAP, but also include a fourth method called last-in, first-out (LIFO).⁵ The choice of inventory valuation method affects the allocation of the cost of goods available for sale to ending inventory and cost of sales. Analysts must understand the various inventory valuation methods and the related impact on financial statements and financial ratios in order to evaluate a company's performance over time and relative to industry peers. The company's financial statements and related notes provide important information that the analyst can use in assessing the impact of the choice of inventory valuation method on financial statements and financial ratios.

This reading is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the costs that are included in inventory and the costs that are recognised as expenses in the period in which they are incurred. Sections 3–6 describe inventory valuation methods and compare the measurement of ending inventory, cost of sales and gross profit under each method, and when using periodic versus perpetual inventory systems. Sections 7 and 8 describe the LIFO method, LIFO reserve, and effects of LIFO liquidations, and demonstrate the adjustments required to compare a company that uses LIFO with one that uses

¹ Other classifications are possible. Inventory classifications should be appropriate to the entity.

² This category is commonly referred to as *work in process* under US GAAP.

³ Typically, *cost of sales* is IFRS terminology and *cost of goods sold* is US GAAP terminology.

⁴ International Accounting Standard (IAS) 2 [Inventories].

⁵ Financial Accounting Standards Board *Accounting Standards Codification* (FASB ASC) Topic 330 [Inventory].

FIFO. Section 9 describes the financial statement effects of a change in inventory valuation method. Section 10 discusses the measurement and reporting of inventory when its value changes. Sections 11–13 describe the presentation of inventories on the financial statements and related disclosures, discuss inventory ratios and their interpretation, and show examples of financial analysis with respect to inventories. A summary and practice problems conclude the reading.

COST OF INVENTORIES

2

- a contrast costs included in inventories and costs recognised as expenses in the period in which they are incurred

Under IFRS, the costs to include in inventories are “all costs of purchase, costs of conversion, and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition.”⁶ The costs of purchase include the purchase price, import and tax-related duties, transport, insurance during transport, handling, and other costs directly attributable to the acquisition of finished goods, materials, and services. Trade discounts, rebates, and similar items reduce the price paid and the costs of purchase. The costs of conversion include costs directly related to the units produced, such as direct labour, and fixed and variable overhead costs.⁷ Including these product-related costs in inventory (i.e., as an asset) means that they will not be recognised as an expense (i.e., as cost of sales) on the income statement until the inventory is sold. US GAAP provide a similar description of the costs to be included in inventory.⁸

Both IFRS and US GAAP exclude the following costs from inventory: abnormal costs incurred as a result of waste of materials, labour or other production conversion inputs, any storage costs (unless required as part of the production process), and all administrative overhead and selling costs. These excluded costs are treated as expenses and recognised on the income statement in the period in which they are incurred. Including costs in inventory defers their recognition as an expense on the income statement until the inventory is sold. Therefore, including costs in inventory that should be expensed will overstate profitability on the income statement (because of the inappropriate deferral of cost recognition) and create an overstated inventory value on the balance sheet.

EXAMPLE 1

Treatment of Inventory-Related Costs

Acme Enterprises, a hypothetical company that prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS, manufactures tables. In 2018, the factory produced 900,000 finished tables and scrapped 1,000 tables. For the finished tables, raw material costs were €9 million, direct labour conversion costs were €18 million, and production overhead costs were €1.8 million. The 1,000 scrapped tables (attributable to abnormal waste) had a total production cost of €30,000 (€10,000 raw material costs and €20,000 conversion costs; these costs are not included in

6 International Accounting Standard (IAS) 2 [Inventories].

7 Fixed production overhead costs (depreciation, factory maintenance, and factory management and administration) represent indirect costs of production that remain relatively constant regardless of the volume of production. Variable production overhead costs are indirect production costs (indirect labour and materials) that vary with the volume of production.

8 FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ (ASC) Topic 330 [Inventory].

the €9 million raw material and €19.8 million total conversion costs of the finished tables). During the year, Acme spent €1 million for freight delivery charges on raw materials and €500,000 for storing finished goods inventory. Acme does not have any work-in-progress inventory at the end of the year.

- 1 What costs should be included in inventory in 2018?
- 2 What costs should be expensed in 2018?

Solution to 1:

Total inventory costs for 2018 are as follows:

Raw materials	€9,000,000
Direct labour	18,000,000
Production overhead	1,800,000
Transportation for raw materials	1,000,000
Total inventory costs	€29,800,000

Solution to 2:

Total costs that should be expensed (not included in inventory) are as follows:

Abnormal waste	€30,000
Storage of finished goods inventory	500,000
Total	€530,000

3

INVENTORY VALUATION METHODS

- b** describe different inventory valuation methods (cost formulas)

Generally, inventory purchase costs and manufacturing conversion costs change over time. As a result, the allocation of total inventory costs (i.e., cost of goods available for sale) between cost of sales on the income statement and inventory on the balance sheet will vary depending on the inventory valuation method used by the company. As mentioned in the introduction, inventory valuation methods are referred to as cost formulas and cost flow assumptions under IFRS and US GAAP, respectively. If the choice of method results in more cost being allocated to cost of sales and less cost being allocated to inventory than would be the case with other methods, the chosen method will cause, in the current year, reported gross profit, net income, and inventory carrying amount to be lower than if alternative methods had been used. Accounting for inventory, and consequently the allocation of costs, thus has a direct impact on financial statements and their comparability.

Both IFRS and US GAAP allow companies to use the following inventory valuation methods: specific identification; first-in, first-out (FIFO); and weighted average cost. US GAAP allow companies to use an additional method: last-in, first-out (LIFO). A company must use the same inventory valuation method for all items that have a similar nature and use. For items with a different nature or use, a different inventory

valuation method can be used.⁹ When items are sold, the carrying amount of the inventory is recognised as an expense (cost of sales) according to the cost formula (cost flow assumption) in use.

Specific identification is used for inventory items that are not ordinarily interchangeable, whereas FIFO, weighted average cost, and LIFO are typically used when there are large numbers of interchangeable items in inventory. Specific identification matches the actual historical costs of the specific inventory items to their physical flow; the costs remain in inventory until the actual identifiable inventory is sold. FIFO, weighted average cost, and LIFO are based on cost flow assumptions. Under these methods, companies must make certain assumptions about which goods are sold and which goods remain in ending inventory. As a result, the allocation of costs to the units sold and to the units in ending inventory can be different from the physical movement of the items.

The choice of inventory valuation method would be largely irrelevant if inventory costs remained constant or relatively constant over time. Given relatively constant prices, the allocation of costs between cost of goods sold and ending inventory would be very similar under each of the four methods. Given changing price levels, however, the choice of inventory valuation method can have a significant impact on the amount of reported cost of sales and inventory. And the reported cost of sales and inventory balances affect other items, such as gross profit, net income, current assets, and total assets.

3.1 Specific Identification

The specific identification method is used for inventory items that are not ordinarily interchangeable and for goods that have been produced and segregated for specific projects. This method is also commonly used for expensive goods that are uniquely identifiable, such as precious gemstones. Under this method, the cost of sales and the cost of ending inventory reflect the actual costs incurred to purchase (or manufacture) the items specifically identified as sold and the items specifically identified as remaining in inventory. Therefore, this method matches the physical flow of the specific items sold and remaining in inventory to their actual cost.

3.2 First-In, First-Out (FIFO)

FIFO assumes that the oldest goods purchased (or manufactured) are sold first and the newest goods purchased (or manufactured) remain in ending inventory. In other words, the first units included in inventory are assumed to be the first units sold from inventory. Therefore, cost of sales reflects the cost of goods in beginning inventory plus the cost of items purchased (or manufactured) earliest in the accounting period, and the value of ending inventory reflects the costs of goods purchased (or manufactured) more recently. In periods of rising prices, the costs assigned to the units in ending inventory are higher than the costs assigned to the units sold. Conversely, in periods of declining prices, the costs assigned to the units in ending inventory are lower than the costs assigned to the units sold.

⁹ For example, if a clothing manufacturer produces both a retail line and one-of-a-kind designer garments, the retail line might be valued using FIFO and the designer garments using specific identification.

3.3 Weighted Average Cost

Weighted average cost assigns the average cost of the goods available for sale (beginning inventory plus purchase, conversion, and other costs) during the accounting period to the units that are sold as well as to the units in ending inventory. In an accounting period, the weighted average cost per unit is calculated as the total cost of the units available for sale divided by the total number of units available for sale in the period (Total cost of goods available for sale/Total units available for sale).

3.4 Last-In, First-Out (LIFO)

LIFO is permitted only under US GAAP. This method assumes that the newest goods purchased (or manufactured) are sold first and the oldest goods purchased (or manufactured), including beginning inventory, remain in ending inventory. In other words, the last units included in inventory are assumed to be the first units sold from inventory. Therefore, cost of sales reflects the cost of goods purchased (or manufactured) more recently, and the value of ending inventory reflects the cost of older goods. In periods of rising prices, the costs assigned to the units in ending inventory are lower than the costs assigned to the units sold. Conversely, in periods of declining prices, the costs assigned to the units in ending inventory are higher than the costs assigned to the units sold.

4

CALCULATIONS OF COST OF SALES, GROSS PROFIT, AND ENDING INVENTORY

- c calculate and compare cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory using different inventory valuation methods and using perpetual and periodic inventory systems

In periods of changing prices, the allocation of total inventory costs (i.e., cost of goods available for sale) between cost of sales on the income statement and inventory on the balance sheet will vary depending on the inventory valuation method used by the company. The following example illustrates how cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory differ based on the choice of inventory valuation method.

EXAMPLE 2

Inventory Cost Flow Illustration for the Specific Identification, Weighted Average Cost, FIFO, and LIFO Methods

Global Sales, Inc. (GSI) is a hypothetical Dubai-based distributor of consumer products, including bars of luxury soap. The soap is sold by the kilogram. GSI began operations in 2018, during which it purchased and received initially 100,000 kg of soap at 110 dirham (AED)/kg, then 200,000 kg of soap at 100 AED/kg, and finally 300,000 kg of soap at 90 AED/kg. GSI sold 520,000 kg of soap at 240 AED/kg. GSI stores its soap in its warehouse so that soap from each shipment received is readily identifiable. During 2018, the entire 100,000 kg from the first

shipment received, 180,000 kg of the second shipment received, and 240,000 kg of the final shipment received was sent to customers. Answers to the following questions should be rounded to the nearest 1,000 AED.

- 1** What are the reported cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory balances for 2018 under the specific identification method?
- 2** What are the reported cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory balances for 2018 under the weighted average cost method?
- 3** What are the reported cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory balances for 2018 under the FIFO method?
- 4** What are the reported cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory balances for 2018 under the LIFO method?

Solution to 1:

Under the specific identification method, the physical flow of the specific inventory items sold is matched to their actual cost.

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = (100,000 \times 110) + (180,000 \times 100) + (240,000 \times 90) = 50,600,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 50,600,000 = 74,200,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = (20,000 \times 100) + (60,000 \times 90) = 7,400,000 \text{ AED}$$

Note that in spite of the segregation of inventory within the warehouse, it would be inappropriate to use specific identification for this inventory of interchangeable items. The use of specific identification could potentially result in earnings manipulation through the shipment decision.

Solution to 2:

Under the weighted average cost method, costs are allocated to cost of sales and ending inventory by using a weighted average mix of the actual costs incurred for all inventory items. The weighted average cost per unit is determined by dividing the total cost of goods available for sale by the number of units available for sale.

$$\text{Weighted average cost} = [(100,000 \times 110) + (200,000 \times 100) + (300,000 \times 90)]/600,000 = 96.667 \text{ AED/kg}$$

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = 520,000 \times 96.667 = 50,267,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 50,267,000 = 74,533,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = 80,000 \times 96.667 = 7,733,360 \text{ AED}$$

Solution to 3:

Under the FIFO method, the oldest inventory units acquired are assumed to be the first units sold. Ending inventory, therefore, is assumed to consist of those inventory units most recently acquired.

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = (100,000 \times 110) + (200,000 \times 100) + (220,000 \times 90) = 50,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 50,800,000 = 74,000,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = 80,000 \times 90 = 7,200,000 \text{ AED}$$

Solution to 4:

Under the LIFO method, the newest inventory units acquired are assumed to be the first units sold. Ending inventory, therefore, is assumed to consist of the oldest inventory units.

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = (20,000 \times 110) + (200,000 \times 100) + (300,000 \times 90) = \\ 49,200,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 49,200,000 = 75,600,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = 80,000 \times 110 = 8,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

The following table (in thousands of AED) summarizes the cost of sales, the ending inventory, and the cost of goods available for sale that were calculated for each of the four inventory valuation methods. Note that in the first year of operation, the total cost of goods available for sale is the same under all four methods. Subsequently, the cost of goods available for sale will typically differ because beginning inventories will differ. Also shown is the gross profit figure for each of the four methods. Because the cost of a kg of soap declined over the period, LIFO had the highest ending inventory amount, the lowest cost of sales, and the highest gross profit. FIFO had the lowest ending inventory amount, the highest cost of sales, and the lowest gross profit.

Inventory Valuation Method	Weighted			
	Specific ID	Average Cost	FIFO	LIFO
Cost of sales	50,600	50,267	50,800	49,200
Ending inventory	7,400	7,733	7,200	8,800
Total cost of goods available for sale	58,000	58,000	58,000	58,000
Gross profit	74,200	74,533	74,000	75,600

5**PERIODIC VERSUS PERPETUAL INVENTORY SYSTEMS**

- c calculate and compare cost of sales, gross profit, and ending inventory using different inventory valuation methods and using perpetual and periodic inventory systems

Companies typically record changes to inventory using either a periodic inventory system or a perpetual inventory system. Under a periodic inventory system, inventory values and costs of sales are determined at the end of an accounting period. Purchases are recorded in a purchases account. The total of purchases and beginning inventory is the amount of goods available for sale during the period. The ending inventory amount is subtracted from the goods available for sale to arrive at the cost of sales. The quantity of goods in ending inventory is usually obtained or verified through a physical count of the units in inventory. Under a perpetual inventory system, inventory values and cost of sales are continuously updated to reflect purchases and sales.

Under either system, the allocation of goods available for sale to cost of sales and ending inventory is the same if the inventory valuation method used is either specific identification or FIFO. This is not generally true for the weighted average cost method.

Under a periodic inventory system, the amount of cost of goods available for sale allocated to cost of sales and ending inventory may be quite different using the FIFO method compared to the weighted average cost method. Under a perpetual inventory system, inventory values and cost of sales are continuously updated to reflect purchases and sales. As a result, the amount of cost of goods available for sale allocated to cost of sales and ending inventory is similar under the FIFO and weighted average cost methods. Because of lack of disclosure and the dominance of perpetual inventory systems, analysts typically do not make adjustments when comparing a company using the weighted average cost method with a company using the FIFO method.

Using the LIFO method, the periodic and perpetual inventory systems will generally result in different allocations to cost of sales and ending inventory. Under either a perpetual or periodic inventory system, the use of the LIFO method will generally result in significantly different allocations to cost of sales and ending inventory compared to other inventory valuation methods. When inventory costs are increasing and inventory unit levels are stable or increasing, using the LIFO method will result in higher cost of sales and lower inventory carrying amounts than using the FIFO method. The higher cost of sales under LIFO will result in lower gross profit, operating income, income before taxes, and net income. Income tax expense will be lower under LIFO, causing the company's net operating cash flow to be higher. On the balance sheet, the lower inventory carrying amount will result in lower reported current assets, working capital, and total assets. Analysts must carefully assess the financial statement implications of the choice of inventory valuation method when comparing companies that use the LIFO method with companies that use the FIFO method.

Example 3 illustrates the impact of the choice of system under LIFO.

EXAMPLE 3

Perpetual versus Periodic Inventory Systems

If GSI (the company in Example 2) had used a perpetual inventory system, the timing of purchases and sales would affect the amounts of cost of sales and inventory. Below is a record of the purchases, sales, and quantity of inventory on hand after the transaction in 2018.

Date	Purchased	Sold	Inventory on Hand
5 January	100,000 kg at 110 AED/kg		100,000 kg
1 February		80,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	20,000 kg
8 March	200,000 kg at 100 AED/kg		220,000 kg
6 April		100,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	120,000 kg
23 May		60,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	60,000 kg
7 July		40,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	20,000 kg
2 August	300,000 kg at 90 AED/kg		320,000 kg
5 September		70,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	250,000 kg
17 November		90,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	160,000 kg
8 December		80,000 kg at 240 AED/kg	80,000 kg
	Total goods available for sale = 58,000,000 AED	Total sales = 124,800,000 AED	

The amounts for total goods available for sale and sales are the same under either the perpetual or periodic system in this first year of operation. The carrying amount of the ending inventory, however, may differ because the perpetual

system will apply LIFO continuously throughout the year. Under the periodic system, it was assumed that the ending inventory was composed of 80,000 units of the oldest inventory, which cost 110 AED/kg.

What are the ending inventory, cost of sales, and gross profit amounts using the perpetual system and the LIFO method? How do these compare with the amounts using the periodic system and the LIFO method, as in Example 2?

Solution:

The carrying amounts of the inventory at the different time points using the perpetual inventory system are as follows:

Date	Quantity on Hand	Quantities and Cost	Carrying Amount
5 January	100,000 kg	100,000 kg at 110 AED/kg	11,000,000 AED
1 February	20,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg	2,200,000 AED
8 March	220,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 200,000 kg at 100 AED/kg	22,200,000 AED
6 April	120,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 100,000 kg at 100 AED/kg	12,200,000 AED
23 May	60,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 40,000 kg at 100 AED/kg	6,200,000 AED
7 July	20,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg	2,200,000 AED
2 August	320,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 300,000 kg at 90 AED/kg	29,200,000 AED
5 September	250,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 230,000 kg at 90 AED/kg	22,900,000 AED
17 November	160,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 140,000 kg at 90 AED/kg	14,800,000 AED
8 December	80,000 kg	20,000 kg at 110 AED/kg + 60,000 kg at 90 AED/kg	7,600,000 AED

Perpetual system

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = 58,000,000 - 7,600,000 = 50,400,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 50,400,000 = 74,400,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = 7,600,000 \text{ AED}$$

Periodic system from Example 2

$$\text{Sales} = 520,000 \times 240 = 124,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = (20,000 \times 110) + (200,000 \times 100) + (300,000 \times 90) = 49,200,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = 124,800,000 - 49,200,000 = 75,600,000 \text{ AED}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory} = 80,000 \times 110 = 8,800,000 \text{ AED}$$

In this example, the ending inventory amount is lower under the perpetual system because only 20,000 kg of the oldest inventory with the highest cost is assumed to remain in inventory. The cost of sales is higher and the gross profit is lower under the perpetual system compared to the periodic system.

COMPARISON OF INVENTORY VALUATION METHODS

6

- d calculate and explain how inflation and deflation of inventory costs affect the financial statements and ratios of companies that use different inventory valuation methods

As shown in Example 2, the allocation of the total cost of goods available for sale to cost of sales on the income statement and to ending inventory on the balance sheet varies under the different inventory valuation methods. In an environment of declining inventory unit costs and constant or increasing inventory quantities, FIFO (in comparison with weighted average cost or LIFO) will allocate a higher amount of the total cost of goods available for sale to cost of sales on the income statement and a lower amount to ending inventory on the balance sheet. Accordingly, because cost of sales will be higher under FIFO, a company's gross profit, operating profit, and income before taxes will be lower.

Conversely, in an environment of rising inventory unit costs and constant or increasing inventory quantities, FIFO (in comparison with weighted average cost or LIFO) will allocate a lower amount of the total cost of goods available for sale to cost of sales on the income statement and a higher amount to ending inventory on the balance sheet. Accordingly, because cost of sales will be lower under FIFO, a company's gross profit, operating profit, and income before taxes will be higher.

The carrying amount of inventories under FIFO will more closely reflect current replacement values because inventories are assumed to consist of the most recently purchased items. The cost of sales under LIFO will more closely reflect current replacement value. LIFO ending inventory amounts are typically not reflective of current replacement value because the ending inventory is assumed to be the oldest inventory and costs are allocated accordingly. Example 4 illustrates the different results obtained by using either the FIFO or LIFO methods to account for inventory.

EXAMPLE 4

Impact of Inflation Using LIFO Compared to FIFO

Company L and Company F are identical in all respects except that Company L uses the LIFO method and Company F uses the FIFO method. Each company has been in business for five years and maintains a base inventory of 2,000 units each year. Each year, except the first year, the number of units purchased equaled the number of units sold. Over the five year period, unit sales increased 10 percent each year and the unit purchase and selling prices increased at the beginning of each year to reflect inflation of 4 percent per year. In the first year, 20,000 units were sold at a price of \$15.00 per unit and the unit purchase price was \$8.00.

- 1 What was the end of year inventory, sales, cost of sales, and gross profit for each company for each of the five years?
- 2 Compare the inventory turnover ratios (based on ending inventory carrying amounts) and gross profit margins over the five year period and between companies.

Solution to 1:

Company L using		LIFO	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Ending inventory ^a		\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
Sales ^b		\$300,000	\$343,200	\$392,621	\$449,158	\$513,837	
Cost of sales ^c		160,000	183,040	209,398	239,551	274,046	
Gross profit		\$140,000	\$160,160	\$183,223	\$209,607	\$239,791	

^a Inventory is unchanged at \$16,000 each year (2,000 units × \$8). 2,000 of the units acquired in the first year are assumed to remain in inventory.

^b Sales Year X = $(20,000 \times \$15)(1.10)^{X-1}(1.04)^{X-1}$. The quantity sold increases by 10 percent each year and the selling price increases by 4 percent each year.

^c Cost of sales Year X = $(20,000 \times \$8)(1.10)^{X-1}(1.04)^{X-1}$. In Year 1, 20,000 units are sold with a cost of \$8. In subsequent years, the number of units purchased equals the number of units sold and the units sold are assumed to be those purchased in the year. The quantity purchased increases by 10 percent each year and the purchase price increases by 4 percent each year.

Note that if the company sold more units than it purchased in a year, inventory would decrease. This is referred to as LIFO liquidation. The cost of sales of the units sold in excess of those purchased would reflect the inventory carrying amount. In this example, each unit sold in excess of those purchased would have a cost of sales of \$8 and a higher gross profit.

Company F using		FIFO	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Ending inventory ^a		\$16,000	\$16,640	\$17,306	\$17,998	\$18,718	
Sales ^b		\$300,000	\$343,200	\$392,621	\$449,158	\$513,837	
Cost of sales ^c		160,000	182,400	208,732	238,859	273,326	
Gross profit		\$140,000	\$160,800	\$183,889	\$210,299	\$240,511	

^a Ending Inventory Year X = 2,000 units × Cost in Year X = 2,000 units [$\$8 \times (1.04)^{X-1}$]. 2,000 units of the units acquired in Year X are assumed to remain in inventory.

^b Sales Year X = $(20,000 \times \$15)(1.10)^{X-1}(1.04)^{X-1}$

^c Cost of sales Year 1 = \$160,000 (= 20,000 units × \$8). There was no beginning inventory.

Cost of sales Year X (where X ≠ 1) = Beginning inventory plus purchases less ending inventory

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\text{Inventory at Year } X-1) + [(20,000 \times \$8)(1.10)^{X-1}(1.04)^{X-1}] - (\text{Inventory at Year } X) \\
 &= 2,000(\$8)(1.04)^{X-2} + [(20,000 \times \$8)(1.10)^{X-1}(1.04)^{X-1}] - [2,000 (\$8)(1.04)^{X-1}]
 \end{aligned}$$

For example, cost of sales Year 2 = $2,000(\$8) + [(20,000 \times \$8)(1.10)(1.04)] - [2,000 (\$8)(1.04)] = \$16,000 + 183,040 - 16,640 = \$182,400$

Solution to 2:

Year	Company L					Company F				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Inventory turnover	10.0	11.4	13.1	15.0	17.1	10.0	11.0	12.1	13.3	14.6
Gross profit margin (%)	46.7	46.7	46.7	46.7	46.7	46.7	46.9	46.8	46.8	46.8

Inventory turnover ratio = Cost of sales ÷ Ending inventory. The inventory turnover ratio increased each year for both companies because the units sold increased, whereas the units in ending inventory remained unchanged. The increase in the inventory turnover ratio is higher for Company L because Company L's cost of sales is increasing for inflation but the inventory carrying amount is unaffected by inflation. It might appear that a company using the LIFO method manages its inventory more effectively, but this is deceptive. Both companies have identical quantities and prices of purchases and sales and only differ in the inventory valuation method used.

Gross profit margin = Gross profit ÷ Sales. The gross profit margin is stable under LIFO because both sales and cost of sales increase at the same rate of inflation. The gross profit margin is slightly higher under the FIFO method after the first year because a proportion of the cost of sales reflects an older purchase price.

THE LIFO METHOD AND LIFO RESERVE

7

- e explain LIFO reserve and LIFO liquidation and their effects on financial statements and ratios
- f demonstrate the conversion of a company's reported financial statements from LIFO to FIFO for purposes of comparison

The potential income tax savings are a benefit of using the LIFO method when inventory costs are increasing. The higher cash flows due to lower income taxes may make the company more valuable because the value of a company is based on the present value of its future cash flows. Under the LIFO method, ending inventory is assumed to consist of those units that have been held the longest. This generally results in ending inventories with carrying amounts lower than current replacement costs because inventory costs typically increase over time. Cost of sales will more closely reflect current replacement costs.

If the purchase prices (purchase costs) or production costs of inventory are increasing, the income statement consequences of using the LIFO method compared to other methods will include higher cost of sales, and lower gross profit, operating profit, income tax expense, and net income. The balance sheet consequences include lower ending inventory, working capital, total assets, retained earnings, and shareholders' equity. The lower income tax paid will result in higher net cash flow from operating activities. Some of the financial ratio effects are a lower current ratio, higher debt-to-equity ratios, and lower profitability ratios.

If the purchase prices or production costs of inventory are decreasing, it is unlikely that a company will use the LIFO method for tax purposes (and therefore for financial reporting purposes due to the LIFO conformity rule) because this will result in lower cost of sales, and higher taxable income and income taxes. However, if the company

had elected to use the LIFO method and cannot justify changing the inventory valuation method for tax and financial reporting purposes when inventory costs begin to decrease, the income statement, balance sheet, and ratio effects will be opposite to the effects during a period of increasing costs.

7.1 LIFO Reserve

For companies using the LIFO method, US GAAP requires disclosure, in the notes to the financial statements or on the balance sheet, of the amount of the LIFO reserve. The **LIFO reserve** is the difference between the reported LIFO inventory carrying amount and the inventory amount that would have been reported if the FIFO method had been used (in other words, the FIFO inventory value less the LIFO inventory value). The disclosure provides the information that analysts need to adjust a company's cost of sales (cost of goods sold) and ending inventory balance based on the LIFO method, to the FIFO method.

To compare companies using LIFO with companies not using LIFO, inventory is adjusted by adding the disclosed LIFO reserve to the inventory balance that is reported on the balance sheet. The reported inventory balance, using LIFO, plus the LIFO reserve equals the inventory that would have been reported under FIFO. Cost of sales is adjusted by subtracting the increase in the LIFO reserve during the period from the cost of sales amount that is reported on the income statement. If the LIFO reserve has declined during the period,¹⁰ the decrease in the reserve is added to the cost of sales amount that is reported on the income statement. The LIFO reserve disclosure can be used to adjust the financial statements of a US company using the LIFO method to make them comparable with a similar company using the FIFO method.

8

LIFO LIQUIDATIONS

- e explain LIFO reserve and LIFO liquidation and their effects on financial statements and ratios

In periods of rising inventory unit costs, the carrying amount of inventory under FIFO will always exceed the carrying amount of inventory under LIFO. The LIFO reserve may increase over time as the result of the increasing difference between the older costs used to value inventory under LIFO and the more recent costs used to value inventory under FIFO. Also, when the number of inventory units manufactured or purchased exceeds the number of units sold, the LIFO reserve may increase as the result of the addition of new LIFO layers (the quantity of inventory units is increasing and each increase in quantity creates a new LIFO layer).

When the number of units sold exceeds the number of units purchased or manufactured, the number of units in ending inventory is lower than the number of units in beginning inventory and a company using LIFO will experience a LIFO liquidation (some of the older units held in inventory are assumed to have been sold). If inventory unit costs have been rising from period to period and LIFO liquidation occurs, this will produce an inventory-related increase in gross profits. The increase in gross profits occurs because of the lower inventory carrying amounts of the liquidated units. The lower inventory carrying amounts are used for cost of sales and the sales are at

¹⁰ This typically results from a reduction in inventory units and is referred to as LIFO liquidation. LIFO liquidation is discussed in the next section.

the current prices. The gross profit on these units is higher than the gross profit that would be recognised using more current costs. These inventory profits caused by a LIFO liquidation, however, are one-time events and are not sustainable.

LIFO liquidations can occur for a variety of reasons. The reduction in inventory levels may be outside of management's control; for example, labour strikes at a supplier may force a company to reduce inventory levels to meet customer demands. In periods of economic recession or when customer demand is declining, a company may choose to reduce existing inventory levels rather than invest in new inventory. Analysts should be aware that management can potentially manipulate and inflate their company's reported gross profits and net income at critical times by intentionally reducing inventory quantities and liquidating older layers of LIFO inventory (selling some units of beginning inventory). During economic downturns, LIFO liquidation may result in higher gross profit than would otherwise be realised. If LIFO layers of inventory are temporarily depleted and not replaced by fiscal year-end, LIFO liquidation will occur resulting in unsustainable higher gross profits. Therefore, it is imperative to review the LIFO reserve footnote disclosures to determine if LIFO liquidation has occurred. A decline in the LIFO reserve from the prior period may be indicative of LIFO liquidation.

EXAMPLE 5**Inventory Conversion from LIFO to FIFO**

Caterpillar Inc. (CAT), based in Peoria, Illinois, USA, is the largest maker of construction and mining equipment, diesel and natural gas engines, and industrial gas turbines in the world. Excerpts from CAT's consolidated financial statements are shown in Exhibits 1 and 2; notes pertaining to CAT's inventories are presented in Exhibit 3. CAT's Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) disclosure states that effective income tax rates were 28 percent for 2017 and 36 percent for 2016.

- 1 What inventory values would CAT report for 2017, 2016, and 2015 if it had used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 2 What amount would CAT's cost of goods sold for 2017 and 2016 be if it had used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 3 What net income (profit) would CAT report for 2017 and 2016 if it had used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 4 By what amount would CAT's 2017 and 2016 net cash flow from operating activities decline if CAT used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 5 What is the cumulative amount of income tax savings that CAT has generated through 2017 by using the LIFO method instead of the FIFO method?
- 6 What amount would be added to CAT's retained earnings (profit employed in the business) at 31 December 2017 if CAT had used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 7 What would be the change in Cat's cash balance if CAT had used the FIFO method instead of the LIFO method?
- 8 Calculate and compare the following for 2017 under the LIFO method and the FIFO method: inventory turnover ratio, days of inventory on hand, gross profit margin, net profit margin, return on assets, current ratio, and total liabilities-to-equity ratio.

Exhibit 1 Caterpillar Inc. Consolidated Results of Operation (US\$ millions)

For the years ended 31 December	2017	2016	2015
Sales and revenues:			
Sales of Machinery and Engines	42,676	35,773	44,147
Revenue of Financial Products	2,786	2,764	2,864
Total sales and revenues	45,462	38,537	47,011
Operating costs:			
Cost of goods sold	31,049	28,309	33,546
Interest expense of Financial Products	646	596	587
Total operating costs	41,056	38,039	43,226
Operating profit			
Interest expense excluding Financial Products	531	505	507
Other income (expense)	207	146	161
Consolidated profit before taxes			
Provision for income taxes	3,339	192	916
Profit (loss) of consolidated companies	743	(53)	2,523
Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies	16	(6)	—
Profit attributable to noncontrolling interests	5	8	11
Profit (loss)	754	(67)	2,512

Exhibit 2 Caterpillar Inc. Consolidated Financial Position (US\$ millions)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and short-term investments	8,261	7,168	6,460
Inventories	10,018	8,614	9,700
Total current assets	36,244	31,967	33,508
Total assets	76,962	74,704	78,342
Liabilities			
Total current liabilities			
Total current liabilities	26,931	26,132	26,242
Total liabilities	63,196	61,491	63,457
Stockholders' equity			

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
Common stock of \$1.00 par value:			
Authorized shares: 2,000,000,000			
Issued shares (2017, 2016 and 2015 – 814,894,624) at paid-in amount	5,593	5,277	5,238
Treasury stock (2017 – 217,268,852 shares; 2016 – 228,408,600 shares and 2015 – 232,572,734 shares) at cost	(17,005)	(17,478)	(17,640)
Profit employed in the business	26,301	27,377	29,246
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,192)	(2,039)	(2,035)
Noncontrolling interests	69	76	76
Total stockholders' equity	13,766	13,213	14,885
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	76,962	74,704	78,342

Exhibit 3 Caterpillar Inc. Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**Note 1. Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**
D. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is principally determined using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. The value of inventories on the LIFO basis represented about 65% of total inventories at December 31, 2017 and about 60% of total inventories at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

If the FIFO (first-in, first-out) method had been in use, inventories would have been \$1,924 million, \$2,139 million and \$2,498 million higher than reported at December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Note 7. Inventories

31 December (millions of dollars)	2017	2016	2015
Raw Materials	2,802	2,102	2,467
Work-in-process	2,254	1,719	1,857
Finished goods	4,761	4,576	5,122
Supplies	201	217	254
Total inventories	10,018	8,614	9,700

We had long-term material purchase obligations of approximately \$813 million at December 31, 2017.

Solution to 1:

31 December (millions of dollars)	2017	2016	2015
Total inventories (LIFO method)	10,018	8,614	9,700
From Note 1.D (LIFO reserve)	1,924	2,139	2,498
Total inventories (FIFO method)	11,942	10,753	12,198

Note that the decrease in the LIFO reserve from 2015–2016 and again from 2016–2017 likely indicates a LIFO liquidation for both 2016 and 2017.

Solution to 2:

31 December (millions of dollars)	2017	2016
Cost of goods sold (LIFO method)	31,049	28,309
Plus: Decrease in LIFO reserve*	215	359
Cost of goods sold (FIFO method)	31,264	28,668

* From Note 1.D, the decrease in LIFO reserve for 2017 is 215 ($1,924 - 2,139$) and for 2016 is 359 ($2,139 - 2,498$).

Solution to 3:

31 December (millions of dollars)	2017	2016
Net income (loss) (LIFO method)	754	-67
Less: Increase in cost of goods sold (decrease in operating profit)	-215	-359
Tax reduction on decreased operating profit*	60	129
Net income (loss) (FIFO method)	599	-297

* The reduction in taxes on the decreased operating profit are 60 ($215 \times 28\%$) for 2017 and 129 ($359 \times 36\%$) for 2016.

Solution to 4:

The effect on a company's net cash flow from operating activities is limited to the impact of the change on income taxes paid; changes in allocating inventory costs to ending inventory and cost of goods sold does not change any cash flows except income taxes. Consequently, the effect of using FIFO on CAT's net operating cash flow from operating activities would be an increase of \$60 million in 2017 and an increase of \$129 million in 2016. These are the approximate incremental decreases in income taxes that CAT would have incurred if the FIFO method were used instead of the LIFO method (see solution to 3 above).

Solution to 5:

Using the previously mentioned effective tax rates of 28 percent for 2017 and 36 percent for 2016 (as well as for earlier years), the cumulative amount of income tax savings that CAT has generated by using the LIFO method instead of FIFO is approximately \$710 million ($-215 \times 28\% + 2,139 \times 36\%$). Note 1.D indicates a LIFO reserve of \$2,139 million at the end of 2016 and a decrease in

the LIFO reserve of \$215 million in 2017. Therefore, under the FIFO method, cumulative gross profits would have been \$2,139 million higher as of the end of 2016 and \$1,924 million higher as of the end of 2017. The estimated tax savings would be higher (lower) if income tax rates were assumed to be higher (lower).

Solution to 6:

The amount that would be added to CAT's retained earnings is \$1,214 million ($1,924 - 710$) or $(-215 \times 72\%) + 2,139 \times 64\%$). This represents the cumulative increase in operating profit due to the decrease in cost of goods sold (LIFO reserve of \$1,924 million) less the assumed taxes on that profit (\$710 million, see solution to 5 above). Some analysts advocate ignoring the tax consequences and suggest simply adjusting inventory and equity by the same amount. They argue that the reported equity of the firm is understated by the difference between the current value of its inventory (approximated by the value under FIFO) and its carrying value (value under LIFO).

Solution to 7:

Under the FIFO method, an additional \$710 million is assumed to have been incurred for tax expenses. If CAT switched to FIFO, it would have an additional tax liability of \$710 million as a consequence of the restatement of financial statements to the FIFO method. This illustrates the significant immediate income tax liabilities that may arise in the year of transition from the LIFO method to the FIFO method. If CAT switched to FIFO for tax purposes, there would be a cash outflow of \$710 million for the additional taxes. However, because the company is not actually converting at this point for either tax or reporting purposes, it is appropriate to reflect a deferred tax liability rather than a reduction in cash. In this case for analysis purposes, under FIFO, inventory would increase by \$1,924 million, equity by \$1,214 million, and non-current liabilities by \$710 million.

Solution to 8:

CAT's ratios for 2017 under the LIFO and FIFO methods are as follows:

	LIFO	FIFO
Inventory turnover	3.33	2.76
Days of inventory on hand	109.6 days	132.2 days
Gross profit margin	27.24%	26.74%
Net profit margin	1.66%	1.32%
Return on assets	0.99%	0.77%
Current ratio	1.35	1.42
Total liabilities-to-equity ratio	4.59	4.27

Inventory turnover ratio = Cost of goods sold ÷ Average inventory

$$\text{LIFO} = 3.33 = 31,049 \div [(10,018 + 8,614) \div 2]$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 2.76 = 31,264 \div [(11,942 + 10,753) \div 2]$$

The ratio is higher under LIFO because, given rising inventory costs, cost of goods sold will be higher and inventory carrying amounts will be lower under LIFO. If an analyst made no adjustment for the difference in inventory methods, it might appear that a company using the LIFO method manages its inventory more effectively.

Days of inventory on hand = Number of days in period ÷ Inventory turnover ratio

$$\text{LIFO} = 109.6 \text{ days} = (365 \text{ days} \div 3.33)$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 132.2 \text{ days} = (365 \text{ days} \div 2.76)$$

Without adjustment, a company using the LIFO method might appear to manage its inventory more effectively. This is primarily the result of the lower inventory carrying amounts under LIFO.

$$\text{Gross profit margin} = \text{Gross profit} \div \text{Total revenue}$$

$$\text{LIFO} = 27.24 \text{ percent} = [(42,676 - 31,049) \div 42,676]$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 26.74 \text{ percent} = [(42,676 - 31,264) \div 42,676]$$

Revenue of financial products is excluded from the calculation of gross profit. Gross profit is sales of machinery and engines less cost of goods sold. The gross profit margin is lower under FIFO because the cost of goods sold is higher from the LIFO reserve reduction.

$$\text{Net profit margin} = \text{Net income} \div \text{Total revenue}$$

$$\text{LIFO} = 1.66 \text{ percent} = (754 \div 45,462)$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 1.32 \text{ percent} = (599 \div 45,462)$$

The net profit margin is higher under LIFO because the cost of goods sold is lower due to the LIFO liquidation. The absolute percentage difference is less than that of the gross profit margin because of lower income taxes on the decreased income reported under FIFO and because net income is divided by total revenue including sales of machinery and engines and revenue of financial products. The company appears to be more profitable under LIFO.

$$\text{Return on assets} = \text{Net income} \div \text{Average total assets}$$

$$\text{LIFO} = 0.99 \text{ percent} = 754 \div [(76,962 + 74,704) \div 2]$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 0.77 \text{ percent} = 599 \div [(76,962 + 1,924) + (74,704 + 2,139) \div 2]$$

The total assets under FIFO are the LIFO total assets increased by the LIFO reserve. The return on assets is lower under FIFO because of the lower net income due to the higher cost of goods sold as well as higher total assets due to the LIFO reserve adjustment. The company appears to be less profitable under FIFO.

$$\text{Current ratio} = \text{Current assets} \div \text{Current liabilities}$$

$$\text{LIFO} = 1.35 = (36,244 \div 26,931)$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 1.42 = [(36,244 + 1,924) \div 26,931]$$

The current ratio is lower under LIFO primarily because of lower inventory carrying amount. The company appears to be less liquid under LIFO.

$$\text{Total liabilities-to-equity ratio} = \text{Total liabilities} \div \text{Total shareholders' equity}$$

$$\text{LIFO} = 4.59 = (63,196 \div 13,766)$$

$$\text{FIFO} = 4.27 = [(63,196 + 710) \div (13,766 + 1,214)]$$

The ratio is higher under LIFO because the addition to retained earnings under FIFO reduces the ratio. The company appears to be more highly leveraged under LIFO.

In summary, the company appears to be more profitable, less liquid, and more highly leveraged under LIFO. Yet, because a company's value is based on the present value of future cash flows, LIFO will increase the company's value because the cash flows are higher in earlier years due to lower taxes. LIFO is primarily used for the tax benefits it provides.

EXAMPLE 6**LIFO Liquidation Illustration**

Reliable Fans, Inc. (RF), a hypothetical company, sells high quality fans and has been in business since 2015. Exhibit 4 provides relevant data and financial statement information about RF's inventory purchases and sales of fan inventory for the years 2015 through 2018. RF uses the LIFO method and a periodic inventory system. What amount of RF's 2018 gross profit is due to LIFO liquidation?

Exhibit 4 RF Financial Statement Information under LIFO

	2015	2016	2017	2018
Fans units purchased	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Purchase cost per fan	\$100	\$105	\$110	\$115
Fans units sold	10,000	12,000	12,000	13,000
Sales price per fan	\$200	\$205	\$210	\$215
LIFO Method				
Beginning inventory	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000
Purchases	1,200,000	1,260,000	1,320,000	1,380,000
Goods available for sale	1,200,000	1,460,000	1,520,000	1,580,000
Ending inventory*	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	(100,000)
Cost of goods sold	\$1,000,000	1,260,000	1,320,000	\$1,480,000
Income Statement				
Sales	\$2,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$2,520,000	\$2,795,000
Cost of goods sold	1,000,000	1,260,000	1,320,000	1,480,000
Gross profit	\$1,000,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,315,000
Balance Sheet				
Inventory	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$100,000

* Ending inventory 2015, 2016, and 2017 = $(2,000 \times \$100)$; Ending inventory 2018 = $(1,000 \times \$100)$.

Solution:

RF's reported gross profit for 2018 is \$1,315,000. RF's 2018 gross profit due to LIFO liquidation is \$15,000. If RF had purchased 13,000 fans in 2018 rather than 12,000 fans, the cost of goods sold under the LIFO method would have been \$1,495,000 (13,000 fans sold at \$115.00 purchase cost per fan), and the reported gross profit would have been \$1,300,000 (\$2,795,000 less \$1,495,000). The gross profit due to LIFO liquidation is \$15,000 (\$1,315,000 reported gross profit less the \$1,300,000 gross profit that would have been reported without the LIFO

liquidation). The gross profit due to LIFO liquidation may also be determined by multiplying the number of units liquidated times the difference between the replacement cost of the units liquidated and their historical purchase cost. For RF, 1,000 units times \$15 (\$115 replacement cost per fan less the \$100 historical cost per fan) equals the \$15,000 gross profit due to LIFO liquidation.

9

INVENTORY METHOD CHANGES

- b** describe different inventory valuation methods (cost formulas)
- f** demonstrate the conversion of a company's reported financial statements from LIFO to FIFO for purposes of comparison

Companies on rare occasion change inventory valuation methods. Under IFRS, a change in method is acceptable only if the change "results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events, or conditions on the business entity's financial position, financial performance, or cash flows."¹¹ If the change is justifiable, then it is applied retrospectively.

This means that the change is applied to comparative information for prior periods as far back as is practicable. The cumulative amount of the adjustments relating to periods prior to those presented in the current financial statements is made to the opening balance of each affected component of equity (i.e., retained earnings or comprehensive income) of the earliest period presented. For example, if a company changes its inventory method in 2018 and it presents three years of comparative financial statements (2016, 2017, and 2018) in its annual report, it would retrospectively reflect this change as far back as possible. The change would be reflected in the three years of financial statements presented; the financial statements for 2016 and 2017 would be restated as if the new method had been used in these periods, and the cumulative effect of the change on periods prior to 2016 would be reflected in the 2016 opening balance of each affected component of equity. An exemption to the restatement applies when it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change.

Under US GAAP, the conditions to make a change in accounting policy and the accounting for a change in inventory policy are similar to IFRS.¹² US GAAP, however, requires companies to thoroughly explain why the newly adopted inventory accounting method is superior and preferable to the old method. If a company decides to change from LIFO to another inventory method, US GAAP requires a retrospective restatement as described above. However, if a company decides to change to the LIFO method, it must do so on a prospective basis and retrospective adjustments are not made to the financial statements. The carrying amount of inventory under the old method becomes the initial LIFO layer in the year of LIFO adoption.

Analysts should carefully evaluate changes in inventory valuation methods. Although the stated reason for the inventory change may be to better match inventory costs with sales revenue (or some other plausible business explanation), the real underlying (and unstated) purpose may be to reduce income tax expense (if changing to LIFO from FIFO or average cost), or to increase reported profits (if changing from LIFO to FIFO or average cost). As always, the choice of inventory valuation method can have a significant impact on financial statements and the financial ratios that are

¹¹ IAS 8 [Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors].

¹² FASB ASC Topic 250 [Accounting Changes and Error Corrections].

derived from them. As a consequence, analysts must carefully consider the impact of the change in inventory valuation methods and the differences in inventory valuation methods when comparing a company's performance with that of its industry or its competitors.

INVENTORY ADJUSTMENTS

10

- g describe the measurement of inventory at the lower of cost and net realisable value
- h describe implications of valuing inventory at net realisable value for financial statements and ratios

Significant financial risk can result from the holding of inventory. The cost of inventory may not be recoverable due to spoilage, obsolescence, or declines in selling prices. IFRS state that inventories shall be measured (and carried on the balance sheet) at the lower of cost and net realisable value.¹³ **Net realisable value** is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs necessary to make the sale and estimated costs to get the inventory in condition for sale. The assessment of net realisable value is typically done item by item or by groups of similar or related items. In the event that the value of inventory declines below the carrying amount on the balance sheet, the inventory carrying amount must be written down to its net realisable value¹⁴ and the loss (reduction in value) recognised as an expense on the income statement. This expense may be included as part of cost of sales or reported separately.

In each subsequent period, a new assessment of net realisable value is made. Reversal (limited to the amount of the original write-down) is required for a subsequent increase in value of inventory previously written down. The reversal of any write-down of inventories is recognised as a reduction in cost of sales (reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense).

US GAAP used to specify the lower of cost or market to value inventories.¹⁵ For fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, inventories measured using other than LIFO and retail inventory methods are measured at the lower of cost or net realisable value. This is broadly consistent with IFRS with one major difference: US GAAP prohibit the reversal of write-downs. For inventories measured using LIFO and retail inventory methods, market value is defined as current replacement cost subject to upper and lower limits. Market value cannot exceed net realisable value (selling price less reasonably estimated costs of completion and disposal). The lower limit of market value is net realisable value less a normal profit margin. Any write-down to market value or net realisable value reduces the value of the inventory, and the loss in value (expense) is generally reflected in the income statement in cost of goods sold.

An inventory write-down reduces both profit and the carrying amount of inventory on the balance sheet and thus has a negative effect on profitability, liquidity, and solvency ratios. However, activity ratios (for example, inventory turnover and total asset turnover) will be positively affected by a write-down because the asset base (denominator) is reduced. The negative impact on some key ratios, due to the decrease in profit, may result in the reluctance by some companies to record inventory

¹³ IAS 2 paragraphs 28–33 [Inventories – Net realisable value].

¹⁴ Frequently, rather than writing inventory down directly, an inventory valuation allowance account is used. The allowance account is netted with the inventory accounts to arrive at the carrying amount that appears on the balance sheet.

¹⁵ FASB ASC Section 330-10-35 [Inventory – Overall – Subsequent Measurement].

write-downs unless there is strong evidence that the decline in the value of inventory is permanent. This is especially true under US GAAP where reversal of a write-down is prohibited.

IAS 2 [Inventories] does not apply to the inventories of producers of agricultural and forest products and minerals and mineral products, nor to commodity broker-traders. These inventories may be measured at net realisable value (fair value less costs to sell and complete) according to well-established industry practices. If an active market exists for these products, the quoted market price in that market is the appropriate basis for determining the fair value of that asset. If an active market does not exist, a company may use market determined prices or values (such as the most recent market transaction price) when available for determining fair value. Changes in the value of inventory (increase or decrease) are recognised in profit or loss in the period of the change. US GAAP is similar to IFRS in its treatment of inventories of agricultural and forest products and mineral ores. Mark-to-market inventory accounting is allowed for bullion.

EXAMPLE 7

Accounting for Declines and Recoveries of Inventory Value

Hatsumei Enterprises, a hypothetical company, manufactures computers and prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS. In 2017, the cost of ending inventory was €5.2 million but its net realisable value was €4.9 million. The current replacement cost of the inventory is €4.7 million. This figure exceeds the net realisable value less a normal profit margin. In 2018, the net realisable value of Hatsumei's inventory was €0.5 million greater than the carrying amount.

- 1 What was the effect of the write-down on Hatsumei's 2017 financial statements? What was the effect of the recovery on Hatsumei's 2018 financial statements?
- 2 Under US GAAP, if Hatsumei used the LIFO method, what would be the effects of the write-down on Hatsumei's 2017 financial statements and of the recovery on Hatsumei's 2018 financial statements?
- 3 What would be the effect of the recovery on Hatsumei's 2018 financial statements if Hatsumei's inventory were agricultural products instead of computers?

Solution to 1:

For 2017, Hatsumei would write its inventory down to €4.9 million and record the change in value of €0.3 million as an expense on the income statement. For 2018, Hatsumei would increase the carrying amount of its inventory and reduce the cost of sales by €0.3 million (the recovery is limited to the amount of the original write-down).

Solution to 2:

Under US GAAP, for 2017, Hatsumei would write its inventory down to €4.7 million and typically include the change in value of €0.5 million in cost of goods sold on the income statement. For 2018, Hatsumei would not reverse the write-down.

Solution to 3:

If Hatsumei's inventory were agricultural products instead of computers, inventory would be measured at net realisable value and Hatsumei would, therefore, increase inventory by and record a gain of €0.5 million for 2018.

Analysts should consider the possibility of an inventory write-down because the impact on a company's financial ratios may be substantial. The potential for inventory write-downs can be high for companies in industries where technological obsolescence of inventories is a significant risk. Analysts should carefully evaluate prospective inventory impairments (as well as other potential asset impairments) and their potential effects on the financial ratios when debt covenants include financial ratio requirements. The breaching of debt covenants can have a significant impact on a company.

Companies that use specific identification, weighted average cost, or FIFO methods are more likely to incur inventory write-downs than companies that use the LIFO method. Under the LIFO method, the *oldest* costs are reflected in the inventory carrying amount on the balance sheet. Given increasing inventory costs, the inventory carrying amounts under the LIFO method are already conservatively presented at the oldest and lowest costs. Thus, it is far less likely that inventory write-downs will occur under LIFO—and if a write-down does occur, it is likely to be of a lesser magnitude.

EXAMPLE 8**Effect of Inventory Write-downs on Financial Ratios**

The Volvo Group, based in Göteborg, Sweden, is a leading supplier of commercial transport products such as construction equipment, trucks, busses, and drive systems for marine and industrial applications as well as aircraft engine components.¹⁶ Excerpts from Volvo's consolidated financial statements are shown in Exhibits 5 and 6. Notes pertaining to Volvo's inventories are presented in Exhibit 7.

- 1** What inventory values would Volvo have reported for 2017, 2016, and 2015 if it had no allowance for inventory obsolescence?
- 2** Assuming that any changes to the allowance for inventory obsolescence are reflected in the cost of sales, what amount would Volvo's cost of sales be for 2017 and 2016 if it had not recorded inventory write-downs in 2017 and 2016?
- 3** What amount would Volvo's profit (net income) be for 2017 and 2016 if it had not recorded inventory write-downs in 2017 and 2016? Volvo's effective income tax rate was reported as 25 percent for 2017 and 31 percent for 2016.
- 4** What would Volvo's 2017 profit (net income) have been if it had reversed all past inventory write-downs in 2017? This question is independent of 1, 2, and 3. The effective income tax rate was 25 percent for 2017.
- 5** Compare the following for 2017 based on the numbers as reported and those assuming no allowance for inventory obsolescence as in questions 1, 2, and 3: inventory turnover ratio, days of inventory on hand, gross profit margin, and net profit margin.

¹⁶ The Volvo line of automobiles has not been under the control and management of the Volvo Group since 1999.

- 6 CAT (Example 5) has no disclosures indicative of either inventory write-downs or a cumulative allowance for inventory obsolescence in its 2017 financial statements. Provide a conceptual explanation as to why Volvo incurred inventory write-downs for 2017 but CAT did not.

Exhibit 5 Volvo Group Consolidated Income Statements (Swedish Krona in millions, except per share data)

For the years ended 31 December	2017	2016	2015
Net sales	334,748	301,914	312,515
Cost of sales	(254,581)	(231,602)	(240,653)
Gross income	80,167	70,312	71,862
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Operating income	30,327	20,826	23,318
Interest income and similar credits	164	240	257
Income expenses and similar charges	(1,852)	(1,847)	(2,366)
Other financial income and expenses	(386)	11	(792)
Income after financial items	28,254	19,230	20,418
Income taxes	(6,971)	(6,008)	(5,320)
Income for the period	21,283	13,223	15,099
Attributable to:			
Equity holders of the parent company	20,981	13,147	15,058
Minority interests	302	76	41
Profit	21,283	13,223	15,099

Exhibit 6 Volvo Group Consolidated Balance Sheets (Swedish Krona in millions)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
Assets			
Total non-current assets	213,455	218,465	203,478
Current assets:			
Inventories	52,701	48,287	44,390
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Cash and cash equivalents	36,092	23,949	21,048
Total current assets	199,039	180,301	170,687
Total assets	412,494	398,916	374,165
Shareholders' equity and liabilities			
Equity attributable to equity holders of the parent company	107,069	96,061	83,810
Minority interests	1,941	1,703	1,801
Total shareholders' equity	109,011	97,764	85,610
Total non-current provisions	29,147	29,744	26,704

Exhibit 6 (Continued)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
Total non-current liabilities	96,213	104,873	91,814
Total current provisions	10,806	11,333	14,176
Total current liabilities	167,317	155,202	155,860
Total shareholders' equity and liabilities	412,404	398,916	374,165

Exhibit 7 Volvo Group Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**Note 17. Inventories****Accounting Policy**

Inventories are reported at the lower of cost and net realisable value. The cost is established using the first-in, first-out principle (FIFO) and is based on the standard cost method, including costs for all direct manufacturing expenses and the attributable share of capacity and other related manufacturing-related costs. The standard costs are tested regularly and adjustments are made based on current conditions. Costs for research and development, selling, administration and financial expenses are not included. Net realisable value is calculated as the selling price less costs attributable to the sale.

Sources of Estimation Uncertainty**Inventory obsolescence**

If the net realisable value is lower than cost, a valuation allowance is established for inventory obsolescence. The total inventory value, net of inventory obsolescence allowance, was: SEK (in millions) 52,701 as of December 2017 and 48,287 as of 31 December 2016.

Inventory

31 December (millions of Krona)	2017	2016	2015
Finished products	32,304	31,012	27,496
Production materials, etc.	20,397	17,275	16,894
Total	52,701	48,287	44,390

Increase (decrease) in allowance for inventory obsolescence

31 December (millions of Krona)	2017	2016	2015
Opening balance	3,683	3,624	3,394
Change in allowance for inventory obsolescence charged to income	304	480	675
Scraping	(391)	(576)	(435)
Translation differences	(116)	177	(29)

(continued)

31 December (millions of Krona)	2017	2016	2015
Reclassifications, etc.	8	(23)	20
Allowance for inventory obsolescence as of 31 December	3,489	3,683	3,624

Solution to 1:

31 December (Swedish krona in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Total inventories, net	52,701	48,287	44,390
From Note 17. (Allowance for obsolescence)	3,489	3,683	3,624
Total inventories (without allowance)	56,190	51,970	48,014

Solution to 2:

31 December (Swedish krona in millions)	2017	2016
Cost of sales	254,581	231,602
(Increase) decrease in allowance for obsolescence*	194	(59)
Cost of sales without allowance	254,775	231,543

* From Note 17, the decrease in allowance for obsolescence for 2017 is 194 (3,489 – 3,683) and the increase for 2016 is 59 (3,683 – 3,624).

Solution to 3:

31 December (Swedish krona in millions)	2017	2016
Profit (Net income)	21,283	13,223
Increase (reduction) in cost of sales	(194)	59
Taxes (tax reduction) on operating profit*	49	(18)
Profit (without allowance)	21,138	13,264

* Taxes (tax reductions) on the operating profit are assumed to be 49 ($194 \times 25\%$) for 2017 and -18 ($-59 \times 31\%$) for 2016.

Solution to 4:

31 December (Swedish krona in millions)	2017
Profit (Net income)	21,283
Reduction in cost of sales (increase in operating profit)	3,489

31 December (Swedish krona in millions)	2017
Taxes on increased operating profit*	-872
Profit (after recovery of previous write-downs)	23,900

* Taxes on the increased operating profit are assumed to be 872 ($3,489 \times 25\%$) for 2017.

Solution to 5:

The Volvo Group's financial ratios for 2017 with the allowance for inventory obsolescence and without the allowance for inventory obsolescence are as follows:

	With Allowance (As Reported)	Without Allowance (Adjusted)
Inventory turnover ratio	5.04	4.71
Days of inventory on hand	72.4	77.5
Gross profit margin	23.95%	23.89%
Net profit margin	6.36%	6.31%

Inventory turnover ratio = Cost of sales ÷ Average inventory

$$\text{With allowance (as reported)} = 5.04 = 254,581 \div [(52,701 + 48,287) \div 2]$$

$$\text{Without allowance (adjusted)} = 4.71 = 254,775 \div [(56,190 + 51,970) \div 2]$$

Inventory turnover is higher based on the numbers as reported because inventory carrying amounts will be lower with an allowance for inventory obsolescence. The company might appear to manage its inventory more efficiently when it has inventory write-downs.

Days of inventory on hand = Number of days in period ÷ Inventory turnover ratio

$$\text{With allowance (as reported)} = 72.4 \text{ days} = (365 \text{ days} \div 5.04)$$

$$\text{Without allowance (adjusted)} = 77.5 \text{ days} = (365 \text{ days} \div 4.71)$$

Days of inventory on hand are lower based on the numbers as reported because the inventory turnover is higher. A company with inventory write-downs might appear to manage its inventory more effectively. This is primarily the result of the lower inventory carrying amounts.

Gross profit margin = Gross income ÷ Net sales

$$\text{With allowance (as reported)} = 23.95 \text{ percent} = (80,167 \div 334,748)$$

$$\text{Without allowance (adjusted)} = 23.89 \text{ percent} = [(80,167 - 194) \div 334,748]$$

In this instance, the gross profit margin is slightly higher with inventory write-downs because the cost of sales is lower (due to the reduction in the allowance for inventory obsolescence). This assumes that inventory write-downs (and inventory write-down recoveries) are reported as part of cost of sales.

Net profit margin = Profit ÷ Net sales

$$\text{With allowance (as reported)} = 6.36 \text{ percent} = (21,283 \div 334,748)$$

$$\text{Without allowance (adjusted)} = 6.31 \text{ percent} = (21,138 \div 334,748)$$

In this instance, the net profit margin is higher with inventory write-downs because the cost of sales is lower (due to the reduction in the allowance for inventory obsolescence). The absolute percentage difference is less than that of the gross profit margin because of the income tax reduction on the decreased income without write-downs.

The profitability ratios (gross profit margin and net profit margin) for Volvo Group would have been slightly lower for 2017 if the company had not recorded inventory write-downs. The activity ratio (inventory turnover ratio) would appear less attractive without the write-downs. The inventory turnover ratio is slightly better (higher) with inventory write-downs because inventory write-downs decrease the average inventory (denominator), making inventory management appear more efficient with write-downs.

Solution to 6:

CAT uses the LIFO method whereas Volvo uses the FIFO method. Given increasing inventory costs, companies that use the FIFO inventory method are far more likely to incur inventory write-downs than those companies that use the LIFO method. This is because under the LIFO method, the inventory carrying amounts reflect the *oldest* costs and therefore the *lowest* costs given increasing inventory costs. Because inventory carrying amounts under the LIFO method are already conservatively presented, it is less likely that inventory write-downs will occur.

11

EVALUATION OF INVENTORY MANAGEMENT: DISCLOSURES & RATIOS

- i. describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to inventories
- j. explain issues that analysts should consider when examining a company's inventory disclosures and other sources of information

The choice of inventory valuation method impacts the financial statements. The financial statement items impacted include cost of sales, gross profit, net income, inventories, current assets, and total assets. Therefore, the choice of inventory valuation method also affects financial ratios that contain these items. Ratios such as current ratio, return on assets, gross profit margin, and inventory turnover are impacted. As a consequence, analysts must carefully consider inventory valuation method differences when evaluating a company's performance over time or when comparing its performance with the performance of the industry or industry competitors. Additionally, the financial statement items and ratios may be impacted by adjustments of inventory carrying amounts to net realisable value or current replacement cost.

11.1 Presentation and Disclosure

Disclosures are useful when analyzing a company. IFRS require the following financial statement disclosures concerning inventory:

- a the accounting policies adopted in measuring inventories, including the cost formula (inventory valuation method) used;
- b the total carrying amount of inventories and the carrying amount in classifications (for example, merchandise, raw materials, production supplies, work in progress, and finished goods) appropriate to the entity;

- c the carrying amount of inventories carried at fair value less costs to sell;
- d the amount of inventories recognised as an expense during the period (cost of sales);
- e the amount of any write-down of inventories recognised as an expense in the period;
- f the amount of any reversal of any write-down that is recognised as a reduction in cost of sales in the period;
- g the circumstances or events that led to the reversal of a write-down of inventories; and
- h the carrying amount of inventories pledged as security for liabilities.

Inventory-related disclosures under US GAAP are very similar to the disclosures above, except that requirements (f) and (g) are not relevant because US GAAP do not permit the reversal of prior-year inventory write-downs. US GAAP also require disclosure of significant estimates applicable to inventories and of any material amount of income resulting from the liquidation of LIFO inventory.

11.2 Inventory Ratios

Three ratios often used to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of inventory management are **inventory turnover**, **days of inventory on hand**, and **gross profit margin**.¹⁷ These ratios are directly impacted by a company's choice of inventory valuation method. Analysts should be aware, however, that many other ratios are also affected by the choice of inventory valuation method, although less directly. These include the current ratio, because inventory is a component of current assets; the return-on-assets ratio, because cost of sales is a key component in deriving net income and inventory is a component of total assets; and even the debt-to-equity ratio, because the cumulative measured net income from the inception of a business is an aggregate component of retained earnings.

The inventory turnover ratio measures the number of times during the year a company sells (i.e., turns over) its inventory. The higher the turnover ratio, the more times that inventory is sold during the year and the lower the relative investment of resources in inventory. Days of inventory on hand can be calculated as days in the period divided by inventory turnover. Thus, inventory turnover and days of inventory on hand are inversely related. It may be that inventory turnover, however, is calculated using average inventory in the year whereas days of inventory on hand is based on the ending inventory amount. In general, inventory turnover and the number of days of inventory on hand should be benchmarked against industry norms and compared across years.

A high inventory turnover ratio and a low number of days of inventory on hand might indicate highly effective inventory management. Alternatively, a high inventory ratio and a low number of days of inventory on hand could indicate that the company does not carry an adequate amount of inventory or that the company has written down inventory values. Inventory shortages could potentially result in lost sales or production problems in the case of the raw materials inventory of a manufacturer. To assess which explanation is more likely, analysts can compare the company's inventory turnover and sales growth rate with those of the industry and review financial statement disclosures. Slower growth combined with higher inventory turnover could indicate inadequate inventory levels. Write-downs of inventory could reflect poor

¹⁷ *Days of inventory on hand* is also referred to as *days in inventory* and *average inventory days outstanding*.

inventory management. Minimal write-downs and sales growth rates at or above the industry's growth rates would support the interpretation that the higher turnover reflects greater efficiency in managing inventory.

A low inventory turnover ratio and a high number of days of inventory on hand relative to industry norms could be an indicator of slow-moving or obsolete inventory. Again, comparing the company's sales growth across years and with the industry and reviewing financial statement disclosures can provide additional insight.

The gross profit margin, the ratio of gross profit to sales, indicates the percentage of sales being contributed to net income as opposed to covering the cost of sales. Firms in highly competitive industries generally have lower gross profit margins than firms in industries with fewer competitors. A company's gross profit margin may be a function of its type of product. A company selling luxury products will generally have higher gross profit margins than a company selling staple products. The inventory turnover of the company selling luxury products, however, is likely to be much lower than the inventory turnover of the company selling staple products.

12

ILLUSTRATIONS OF INVENTORY ANALYSIS: ADJUSTING LIFO TO FIFO

- k** calculate and compare ratios of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods
- l** analyze and compare the financial statements of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods

IFRS and US GAAP require companies to disclose, either on the balance sheet or in the notes to the financial statements, the carrying amounts of inventories in classifications suitable to the company. For manufacturing companies, these classifications might include production supplies, raw materials, work in progress, and finished goods. For a retailer, these classifications might include significant categories of merchandise or the grouping of inventories with similar attributes. These disclosures may provide signals about a company's future sales and profits.

For example, a significant increase (attributable to increases in unit volume rather than increases in unit cost) in raw materials and/or work-in-progress inventories may signal that the company expects an increase in demand for its products. This suggests an anticipated increase in sales and profit. However, a substantial increase in finished goods inventories while raw materials and work-in-progress inventories are declining may signal a decrease in demand for the company's products and hence lower future sales and profit. This may also signal a potential future write down of finished goods inventory. Irrespective of the signal, an analyst should thoroughly investigate the underlying reasons for any significant changes in a company's raw materials, work-in-progress, and finished goods inventories.

Analysts also should compare the growth rate of a company's sales to the growth rate of its finished goods inventories, because this could also provide a signal about future sales and profits. For example, if the growth of inventories is greater than the growth of sales, this could indicate a decline in demand and a decrease in future earnings. The company may have to lower (mark down) the selling price of its products to reduce its inventory balances, or it may have to write down the value of its inventory because of obsolescence, both of which would negatively affect profits. Besides the potential for mark-downs or write-downs, having too much inventory on hand or the wrong type of inventory can have a negative financial effect on a company because

it increases inventory related expenses such as insurance, storage costs, and taxes. In addition, it means that the company has less cash and working capital available to use for other purposes.

Inventory write-downs may have a substantial impact on a company's activity, profitability, liquidity, and solvency ratios. It is critical for the analyst to be aware of industry trends toward product obsolescence and to analyze the financial ratios for their sensitivity to potential inventory impairment. Companies can minimise the impact of inventory write-downs by better matching their inventory composition and growth with prospective customer demand. To obtain additional information about a company's inventory and its future sales, a variety of sources of information are available. Analysts should consider the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) or similar sections of the company's financial reports, industry related news and publications, and industry economic data.

When conducting comparisons, differences in the choice of inventory valuation method can significantly affect the comparability of financial ratios between companies. A restatement from the LIFO method to the FIFO method is critical to make a valid comparison with companies using a method other than the LIFO method such as those companies reporting under IFRS. Analysts should seek out as much information as feasible when analyzing the performance of companies.

EXAMPLE 9

Comparative Illustration

- 1 Using CAT's LIFO numbers as reported and FIFO adjusted numbers (Example 5) and Volvo's numbers as reported (Example 8), compare the following for 2017: inventory turnover ratio, days of inventory on hand, gross profit margin, net profit margin, return on assets, current ratio, total liabilities-to-equity ratio, and return on equity. For the current ratio, include current provisions as part of current liabilities. For the total liabilities-to-equity ratio, include provisions in total liabilities.
- 2 How much do inventories represent as a component of total assets for CAT using LIFO numbers as reported and FIFO adjusted numbers, and for Volvo using reported numbers in 2017 and 2016? Discuss any changes that would concern an analyst.
- 3 Using the reported numbers, compare the 2016 and 2017 growth rates of CAT and Volvo for sales, finished goods inventory, and inventories other than finished goods.

Solution to 1:

The comparisons between Caterpillar and Volvo for 2017 are as follows:

	CAT (LIFO)	CAT (FIFO)	Volvo
Inventory turnover ratio	3.33	2.76	5.04
Days of inventory on hand	109.6 days	132.2 days	72.4 days
Gross profit margin	27.24%	26.74%	23.95%
Net profit margin	1.66%	1.32%	6.36%
Return on assets ^a	0.99%	0.77%	5.25%
Current ratio ^b	1.35	1.42	1.12

(continued)

	CAT (LIFO)	CAT (FIFO)	Volvo
Total liabilities-to-equity ratio ^c	4.59	4.27	2.78
Return on equity ^d	5.59%	4.05%	20.59%

Calculations for ratios previously calculated (see Examples 5 and 8) are not shown again.

^a Return on assets = Net income ÷ Average total assets

$$\text{Volvo} = 5.25 \text{ percent} = 21,283 \div [(412,494 + 398,916) \div 2]$$

^b Current ratio = Current assets ÷ Current liabilities

$$\text{Volvo} = 1.12 = [199,039 \div (10,806 + 167,317)]$$

The question indicates to include current provisions in current liabilities.

^c Total liabilities-to-equity ratio = Total liabilities ÷ Total shareholders' equity

$$\text{Volvo} = 2.78 = [(29,147 + 96,213 + 10,806 + 167,317) \div 109,011]$$

The question indicates to include provisions in total liabilities.

^d Return on equity = Net income ÷ Average shareholders' equity

$$\text{CAT (LIFO)} = 5.59 \text{ percent} = 754 \div [(13,766 + 13,213) \div 2]$$

$$\text{CAT (FIFO)} = 4.05 \text{ percent} = 599 \div \{[(13,766 + 1,924 - 710) + (13,213 + 2,139 - 770)] \div 2\}$$

$$\text{Volvo} = 20.59 \text{ percent} = 21,283 \div [(109,011 + 97,764) \div 2]$$

Comparing CAT (FIFO) and Volvo, it appears that Volvo manages its inventory more effectively. It has higher inventory turnover and fewer days of inventory on hand. Volvo appears to have superior profitability based on net profit margin. A primary reason for CAT's low profitability in 2017 was due to a substantial increase in the provision for income taxes. An analyst would likely further investigate CAT's increase in provision for income taxes, as well as other reported numbers, rather than reaching a conclusion based on ratios alone (in other words, try to identify the underlying causes of changes or differences in ratios).

Solution to 2:

The 2017 and 2016 inventory to total assets ratios for CAT using LIFO and adjusted to FIFO and for Volvo as reported, are as follows:

	CAT (LIFO)	CAT (FIFO)	Volvo
2017	13.02%	15.28%	12.78%
2016	11.53%	14.14%	12.10%

Inventory to total assets

$$\text{CAT (LIFO) 2017} = 13.02 \text{ percent} = 10,018 \div 76,962$$

$$\text{CAT (LIFO) 2016} = 11.53 \text{ percent} = 8,614 \div 74,704$$

$$\text{CAT (FIFO) 2017} = 15.28 \text{ percent} = 11,942 \div (76,962 + 1,924 - 710)$$

$$\text{CAT (FIFO) 2016} = 14.14 \text{ percent} = 10,753 \div (74,704 + 2,139 - 770)$$

$$\text{Volvo 2017} = 12.78 \text{ percent} = 52,701 \div 412,494$$

$$\text{Volvo 2016} = 12.10 \text{ percent} = 48,287 \div 398,916$$

Based on the numbers as reported, CAT appears to have a similar percentage of assets tied up in inventory as Volvo. However, when CAT's inventory is adjusted to FIFO, it has a higher percentage of its assets tied up in inventory than Volvo.

The increase in inventory as a percentage of total assets is cause for some concern. Higher inventory typically results in higher maintenance costs (for example, storage and financing costs). A build-up of slow moving or obsolete

inventories may result in future inventory write-downs. In Volvo's Note 17, the breakdown by inventory classification shows a significant increase in the inventory of production materials. Volvo may be planning on increasing production of more finished goods inventory (which has also increased). Looking at CAT's Note 7, all classifications of inventory seem to be increasing and because these are valued using the LIFO method, there is some cause for concern. The company must be increasing inventory quantities and adding new LIFO layers.

Solution to 3:

CAT's and Volvo's 2017 and 2016 growth rates for sales ("Sales of machinery and engines" for CAT and "Net sales" for Volvo), finished goods, and inventories other than finished goods" are as follows:

2017	CAT	Volvo
Sales	19.3%	10.9%
Finished goods	4.0%	4.2%
Inventories other than finished goods	30.2%	18.1%

2016	CAT	Volvo
Sales	-19.0%	-3.4%
Finished goods	-10.7%	12.8%
Inventories other than finished goods	-11.8%	2.3%

Growth rate = (Value for year – Value for previous year)/Value for previous year

2017 CAT

$$\text{Sales} = 19.3 \text{ percent} = (42,676 - 35,773) \div 35,773$$

$$\text{Finished goods} = 4.0 \text{ percent} = (4,761 - 4,576) \div 4,576$$

$$\text{Inventories other than finished goods} = 30.2 \text{ percent} = [(2,802 + 2,254 + 201) - (2,102 + 1,719 + 217)] \div (2,102 + 1,719 + 217)$$

2017 Volvo

$$\text{Sales} = 10.9 \text{ percent} = (334,748 - 301,914) \div 301,914$$

$$\text{Finished products} = 4.2 \text{ percent} = (32,304 - 31,012) \div 31,012$$

$$\text{Inventories other than finished products} = 18.1 \text{ percent} = (20,397 - 17,275) \div 17,275$$

2016 CAT

$$\text{Sales} = -19.0 \text{ percent} = (35,773 - 44,147) \div 44,147$$

$$\text{Finished goods} = -10.7 \text{ percent} = (4,576 - 5,122) \div 5,122$$

$$\text{Inventories other than finished goods} = -11.8 \text{ percent} = [(2,102 + 1,719 + 217) - (2,467 + 1,857 + 254)] \div (2,467 + 1,857 + 254)$$

2016 Volvo

$$\text{Sales} = -3.4 \text{ percent} = (301,914 - 312,515) \div 312,515$$

$$\text{Finished products} = 12.8 \text{ percent} = (31,012 - 27,496) \div 27,496$$

$$\text{Inventories other than finished products} = 2.3 \text{ percent} = (17,275 - 16,894) \div 16,894$$

For both companies, the growth rates in finished goods inventory exceeds the growth rate in sales; this could be indicative of accumulating excess inventory. Volvo's growth rate in finished goods compared to its growth rate in sales is significantly higher but the lower growth rates in finished goods inventory for

CAT is potentially a result of using the LIFO method versus the FIFO method. It appears Volvo is aware that an issue exists and is planning on cutting back production given the relatively small increase in inventories other than finished products. Regardless, an analyst should do further investigation before reaching any conclusion about a company's future prospects for sales and profit.

13

ILLUSTRATIONS OF INVENTORY ANALYSIS: IMPACTS OF WRITEDOWNS

- k calculate and compare ratios of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods
- l analyze and compare the financial statements of companies, including companies that use different inventory methods

EXAMPLE 10

Single Company Illustration

Selected excerpts from the consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements for Jollof Inc., a hypothetical telecommunications company providing networking and communications solutions, are presented in Exhibits 8, 9, and 10. Exhibit 8 contains excerpts from the consolidated income statements, and Exhibit 9 contains excerpts from the consolidated balance sheets. Exhibit 10 contains excerpts from three of the notes to consolidated financial statements.

Note 1 (a) discloses that Jollof's finished goods inventories and work in progress are valued at the lower of cost or net realisable value. Note 2 (a) discloses that the impact of inventory and work in progress write-downs on Jollof's income before tax was a net reduction of €239 million in 2017, a net reduction of €156 million in 2016, and a net reduction of €65 million in 2015.¹⁸ The inventory impairment loss amounts steadily increased from 2015 to 2017 and are included as a component, (additions)/reversals, of Jollof's change in valuation allowance as disclosed in Note 3 (b) from Exhibit 10. Observe also that Jollof discloses its valuation allowance at 31 December 2017, 2016, and 2015 in Note 3 (b) and details on the allocation of the allowance are included in Note 3 (a). The €549 million valuation allowance is the total of a €528 million allowance for inventories and a €21 million allowance for work in progress on construction contracts. Finally, observe that the €1,845 million net value for inventories (excluding construction contracts) at 31 December 2017 in Note 3 (a) reconciles with the balance sheet amount for inventories and work in progress, net, on 31 December 2017, as presented in Exhibit 9.

The inventory valuation allowance represents the total amount of inventory write-downs taken for the inventory reported on the balance sheet (which is measured at the lower of cost or net realisable value). Therefore, an analyst can determine the historical cost of the company's inventory by adding the inventory

¹⁸ This reduction is often referred to as a *charge*. An accounting charge is the recognition of a loss or expense. In this case, the charge is attributable to the impairment of assets.

valuation allowance to the reported inventory carrying amount on the balance sheet. The valuation allowance increased in magnitude and as a percentage of gross inventory values from 2015 to 2017.

Exhibit 8 Alcatel-Lucent Consolidated Income Statements (€ millions)

For years ended 31 December	2017	2016	2015
Revenues	14,267	14,945	10,317
Cost of sales	(9,400)	(10,150)	(6,900)
Gross profit	4,867	4,795	3,417
Administrative and selling expenses	(2,598)	(2,908)	(1,605)
Research and development costs	(2,316)	(2,481)	(1,235)
Income from operating activities before restructuring costs, impairment of assets, gain/(loss) on disposal of consolidated entities, and post-retirement benefit plan amendments	(47)	(594)	577
Restructuring costs	(472)	(719)	(594)
Impairment of assets	(3,969)	(2,473)	(118)
Gain/(loss) on disposal of consolidated entities	(6)	—	13
Post-retirement benefit plan amendments	39	217	—
Income (loss) from operating activities	(4,455)	(3,569)	(122)
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(4,373)	(3,433)	(184)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	28	512	133
Net income (loss)	(4,345)	(2,921)	51

Exhibit 9 Alcatel-Lucent Consolidated Balance Sheets (€ millions)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total non-current assets	10,703	16,913	21,559
Inventories and work in progress, net	1,845	1,877	1,898
Amounts due from customers on construction contracts	416	591	517
Trade receivables and related accounts, net	3,637	3,497	3,257
Advances and progress payments	83	92	73
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total current assets	12,238	11,504	13,629
Total assets	22,941	28,417	35,188
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Retained earnings, fair value, and other reserves	(7,409)	(3,210)	(2,890)
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total shareholders' equity	4,388	9,830	13,711
Pensions, retirement indemnities, and other post-retirement benefits	4,038	3,735	4,577
Bonds and notes issued, long-term	3,302	3,794	4,117

(continued)

Exhibit 9 (Continued)

31 December	2017	2016	2015
Other long-term debt	56	40	123
Deferred tax liabilities	968	1,593	2,170
Other non-current liabilities	372	307	232
Total non-current liabilities	8,736	9,471	11,219
Provisions	2,036	2,155	1,987
Current portion of long-term debt	921	406	975
Customers' deposits and advances	780	711	654
Amounts due to customers on construction contracts	158	342	229
Trade payables and related accounts	3,840	3,792	3,383
Liabilities related to disposal groups held for sale	—	—	1,349
Current income tax liabilities	155	59	55
Other current liabilities	1,926	1,651	1,625
Total current liabilities	9,817	9,117	10,257
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	22,941	28,417	35,188

Exhibit 10 Jollof Inc. Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies****(a) Inventories and work in progress**

Inventories and work in progress are valued at the lower of cost (including indirect production costs where applicable) or net realizable value.¹⁹ Net realizable value is the estimated sales revenue for a normal period of activity less expected completion and selling costs.

Note 2. Principal uncertainties regarding the use of estimates**(a) Valuation allowance for inventories and work in progress**

Inventories and work in progress are measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Valuation allowances for inventories and work in progress are calculated based on an analysis of foreseeable changes in demand, technology, or the market, in order to determine obsolete or excess inventories and work in progress.

The valuation allowances are accounted for in cost of sales or in restructuring costs, depending on the nature of the amounts concerned.

¹⁹ Cost approximates cost on a first-in, first-out basis.

Exhibit 10 (Continued)

(€ millions)	31 December		
	2017	2016	2015
Valuation allowance for inventories and work in progress on construction contracts	(549)	(432)	318
Impact of inventory and work in progress write-downs on income (loss) before income tax related reduction of goodwill and discounted operations	(239)	(156)	(65)

Note 3. Inventories and work in progress**(a) Analysis of net value**

(€ millions)	2017	2016	2015
Raw materials and goods	545	474	455
Work in progress excluding construction contracts	816	805	632
Finished goods	1,011	995	1,109
Gross value (excluding construction contracts)	2,373	2,274	2,196
Valuation allowance	(528)	(396)	(298)
Net value (excluding construction contracts)	1,845	1,877	1,898
Work in progress on construction contracts, gross*	184	228	291
Valuation allowance	(21)	(35)	(19)
Work in progress on construction contracts, net	163	193	272
Total, net	2,008	2,071	2,170

* Included in the amounts due from/to construction contracts.

(b) Change in valuation allowance

(€ millions)	2017	2016	2015
At 1 January	(432)	(318)	(355)
(Additions)/reversals	(239)	(156)	(65)
Utilization	58	32	45
Changes in consolidation group	—	—	45

(continued)

(€ millions)	2017	2016	2015
Net effect of exchange rate changes and other changes	63	10	12
At 31 December	(549)	(432)	(318)

Rounding differences may result in totals that are slightly different from the sum and from corresponding numbers in the note.

- 1 Calculate Jollof's inventory turnover, number of days of inventory on hand, gross profit margin, current ratio, debt-to-equity ratio, and return on total assets for 2017 and 2016 based on the numbers reported. Use an average for inventory and total asset amounts and year-end numbers for other ratio items. For debt, include only bonds and notes issued, long-term; other long-term debt; and current portion of long-term debt.
- 2 Based on the answer to Question 1, comment on the changes from 2016 to 2017.
- 3 If Jollof had used the weighted average cost method instead of the FIFO method during 2017, 2016, and 2015, what would be the effect on Jollof's reported cost of sales and inventory carrying amounts? What would be the directional impact on the financial ratios that were calculated for Jollof in Question 1?

Solution to 1:

The financial ratios are as follows:

	2017	2016
Inventory turnover ratio	5.05	5.38
Number of days of inventory on hand	72.3 days	67.8 days
Gross profit margin	34.1%	32.1%
Current ratio	1.25	1.26
Debt-to-equity ratio	0.98	0.43
Return on total assets	-16.9%	-9.2%

Inventory turnover ratio = Cost of sales ÷ Average inventory

$$2017 \text{ inventory turnover ratio} = 5.05 = 9,400 \div [(1,845 + 1,877) \div 2]$$

$$2016 \text{ inventory turnover ratio} = 5.38 = 10,150 \div [(1,877 + 1,898) \div 2]$$

Number of days of inventory = 365 days ÷ Inventory turnover ratio

$$2017 \text{ number of days of inventory} = 72.3 \text{ days} = 365 \text{ days} \div 5.05$$

$$2016 \text{ number of days of inventory} = 67.8 \text{ days} = 365 \text{ days} \div 5.38$$

Gross profit margin = Gross profit ÷ Total revenue

$$2017 \text{ gross profit margin} = 34.1\% = 4,867 \div 14,267$$

$$2016 \text{ gross profit margin} = 32.1\% = 4,795 \div 14,945$$

Current ratio = Current assets ÷ Current liabilities

$$2017 \text{ current ratio} = 1.25 = 12,238 \div 9,817$$

$$2016 \text{ current ratio} = 1.26 = 11,504 \div 9,117$$

Debt-to-equity ratio = Total debt ÷ Total shareholders' equity

$$2017 \text{ debt-to-equity ratio} = 0.98 = (3,302 + 56 + 921) \div 4,388$$

$$2016 \text{ debt-to-equity ratio} = 0.43 = (3,794 + 40 + 406) \div 9,830$$

Return on assets = Net income ÷ Average total assets

$$2017 \text{ return on assets} = -16.9\% = -4,345 \div [(22,941 + 28,417) \div 2]$$

$$2016 \text{ return on assets} = -9.2\% = -2,921 \div [(28,417 + 35,188) \div 2]$$

Solution to 2:

From 2016 to 2017, the inventory turnover ratio declined and the number of days of inventory increased by 4.5 days. Jollof appears to be managing inventory less efficiently. The gross profit margin improved by 2.0 percent, from 32.1 percent in 2016 to 34.1 percent in 2017. The current ratio is relatively unchanged from 2016 to 2017. The debt-to-equity ratio has risen significantly in 2017 compared to 2016. Although Jollofn's total debt has been relatively stable during this time period, the company's equity has been declining rapidly because of the cumulative effect of its net losses on retained earnings.

The return on assets is negative and deteriorated in 2017 compared to 2016. A larger net loss and lower total assets in 2017 resulted in a higher negative return on assets. The analyst should investigate the underlying reasons for the sharp decline in Jollof's return on assets. From Exhibit 8, it is apparent that Jollof's gross profit margins were insufficient to cover the administrative and selling expenses and research and development costs in 2016 and 2017. Large restructuring costs and asset impairment losses contributed to the loss from operating activities in both 2016 and 2017.

Solution to 3:

If inventory replacement costs were increasing during 2015, 2016, and 2017 (and inventory quantity levels were stable or increasing), Jollof's cost of sales would have been higher and its gross profit margin would have been lower under the weighted average cost inventory method than what was reported under the FIFO method (assuming no inventory write-downs that would otherwise neutralize the differences between the inventory valuation methods). FIFO allocates the oldest inventory costs to cost of sales; the reported cost of sales would be lower under FIFO given increasing inventory costs. Inventory carrying amounts would be higher under the FIFO method than under the weighted average cost method because the more recently purchased inventory items would be included in inventory at their higher costs (again assuming no inventory write-downs that would otherwise neutralize the differences between the inventory valuation methods). Consequently, Jollof's reported gross profit, net income, and retained earnings would also be higher for those years under the FIFO method.

The effects on ratios are as follows:

- The inventory turnover ratios would all be higher under the weighted average cost method because the numerator (cost of sales) would be higher and the denominator (inventory) would be lower than what was reported by Jollof under the FIFO method.

- The number of days of inventory would be lower under the weighted average cost method because the inventory turnover ratios would be higher.
- The gross profit margin ratios would all be lower under the weighted average cost method because cost of sales would be higher under the weighted average cost method than under the FIFO method.
- The current ratios would all be lower under the weighted average cost method because inventory carrying values would be lower than under the FIFO method (current liabilities would be the same under both methods).
- The return-on-assets ratios would all be lower under the weighted average cost method because the incremental profit added to the numerator (net income) has a greater impact than the incremental increase to the denominator (total assets). By way of example, assume that a company has €3 million in net income and €100 million in total assets using the weighted average cost method. If the company reports another €1 million in net income by using FIFO instead of weighted average cost, it would then also report an additional €1 million in total assets (after tax). Based on this example, the return on assets is 3.00 percent ($\text{€3}/\text{€100}$) under the weighted average cost method and 3.96 percent ($\text{€4}/\text{€101}$) under the FIFO method.
- The debt-to-equity ratios would all be higher under the weighted average cost method because retained earnings would be lower than under the FIFO method (again assuming no inventory write-downs that would otherwise neutralize the differences between the inventory valuation methods).

Conversely, if inventory replacement costs were decreasing during 2015, 2016, and 2017 (and inventory quantity levels were stable or increasing), Jollof's cost of sales would have been lower and its gross profit and inventory would have been higher under the weighted average cost method than were reported under the FIFO method (assuming no inventory write-downs that would otherwise neutralize the differences between the inventory valuation methods). As a result, the ratio assessment that was performed above would result in directly opposite conclusions.

SUMMARY

The choice of inventory valuation method (cost formula or cost flow assumption) can have a potentially significant impact on inventory carrying amounts and cost of sales. These in turn impact other financial statement items, such as current assets, total assets, gross profit, and net income. The financial statements and accompanying notes provide important information about a company's inventory accounting policies that the analyst needs to correctly assess financial performance and compare it with that of other companies. Key concepts in this reading are as follows:

- Inventories are a major factor in the analysis of merchandising and manufacturing companies. Such companies generate their sales and profits through inventory transactions on a regular basis. An important consideration in determining profits for these companies is measuring the cost of sales when inventories are sold.

- The total cost of inventories comprises all costs of purchase, costs of conversion, and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. Storage costs of finished inventory and abnormal costs due to waste are typically treated as expenses in the period in which they occurred.
- The allowable inventory valuation methods implicitly involve different assumptions about cost flows. The choice of inventory valuation method determines how the cost of goods available for sale during the period is allocated between inventory and cost of sales.
- IFRS allow three inventory valuation methods (cost formulas): first-in, first-out (FIFO); weighted average cost; and specific identification. The specific identification method is used for inventories of items that are not ordinarily interchangeable and for goods or services produced and segregated for specific projects. US GAAP allow the three methods above plus the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. The LIFO method is widely used in the United States for both tax and financial reporting purposes because of potential income tax savings.
- The choice of inventory method affects the financial statements and any financial ratios that are based on them. As a consequence, the analyst must carefully consider inventory valuation method differences when evaluating a company's performance over time or in comparison to industry data or industry competitors.
- A company must use the same cost formula for all inventories having a similar nature and use to the entity.
- The inventory accounting system (perpetual or periodic) may result in different values for cost of sales and ending inventory when the weighted average cost or LIFO inventory valuation method is used.
- Under US GAAP, companies that use the LIFO method must disclose in their financial notes the amount of the LIFO reserve or the amount that would have been reported in inventory if the FIFO method had been used. This information can be used to adjust reported LIFO inventory and cost of goods sold balances to the FIFO method for comparison purposes.
- LIFO liquidation occurs when the number of units in ending inventory declines from the number of units that were present at the beginning of the year. If inventory unit costs have generally risen from year to year, this will produce an inventory-related increase in gross profits.
- Consistency of inventory costing is required under both IFRS and US GAAP. If a company changes an accounting policy, the change must be justifiable and applied retrospectively to the financial statements. An exception to the retrospective restatement is when a company reporting under US GAAP changes to the LIFO method.
- Under IFRS, inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs necessary to make the sale. Under US GAAP, inventories are measured at the lower of cost, market value, or net realisable value depending upon the inventory method used. Market value is defined as current replacement cost subject to an upper limit of net realizable value and a lower limit of net realizable value less a normal profit margin. Reversals of previous write-downs are permissible under IFRS but not under US GAAP.
- Reversals of inventory write-downs may occur under IFRS but are not allowed under US GAAP.

- Changes in the carrying amounts within inventory classifications (such as raw materials, work-in-process, and finished goods) may provide signals about a company's future sales and profits. Relevant information with respect to inventory management and future sales may be found in the Management Discussion and Analysis or similar items within the annual or quarterly reports, industry news and publications, and industry economic data.
- The inventory turnover ratio, number of days of inventory ratio, and gross profit margin ratio are useful in evaluating the management of a company's inventory.
- Inventory management may have a substantial impact on a company's activity, profitability, liquidity, and solvency ratios. It is critical for the analyst to be aware of industry trends and management's intentions.
- Financial statement disclosures provide information regarding the accounting policies adopted in measuring inventories, the principal uncertainties regarding the use of estimates related to inventories, and details of the inventory carrying amounts and costs. This information can greatly assist analysts in their evaluation of a company's inventory management.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Inventory cost is *least likely* to include:
 - A production-related storage costs.
 - B costs incurred as a result of normal waste of materials.
 - C transportation costs of shipping inventory to customers.
- 2 Mustard Seed PLC adheres to IFRS. It recently purchased inventory for €100 million and spent €5 million for storage prior to selling the goods. The amount it charged to inventory expense (€ millions) was *closest* to:
 - A €95.
 - B €100.
 - C €105.
- 3 Carrying inventory at a value above its historical cost would *most likely* be permitted if:
 - A the inventory was held by a producer of agricultural products.
 - B financial statements were prepared using US GAAP.
 - C the change resulted from a reversal of a previous write-down.

The following information relates to Questions 4 and 5

A retail company is comparing different approaches to valuing inventory. The company has one product that it sells for \$50.

Table 1 Units Purchased and Sold (first quarter)

Date	Units Purchased	Purchase Price	Units Sold	Selling Price	Inventory Units on Hand
2 Jan	1,000	\$20.00			1,000
17 Jan			500	\$50.00	500
16 Feb	1,000	\$18.00			1,500
3 Mar			1,200	\$50.00	300
13 Mar	1,000	\$17.00			1,300
23 Mar			500	\$50.00	800
End of quarter totals:	3,000	\$55,000	2,200	\$110,000	

Table 2 Comparison of Inventory Methods and Models

End of Quarter Valuations	31 March	Perpetual LIFO	Periodic LIFO	Perpetual FIFO
Sales	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$110,000	
Ending inventory		\$16,000	\$13,600	
Cost of goods sold		\$39,000	\$41,400	
Gross profit		\$71,000	\$68,600	
Inventory turnover ratio	279%			

Note: LIFO is last in, first out and FIFO is first in, first out.

-
- 4 What is the value of ending inventory for the first quarter if the company uses a perpetual LIFO inventory valuation method?
- A \$14,500
B \$15,000
C \$16,000
- 5 Which inventory accounting method results in the lowest inventory turnover ratio for the first quarter?
- A Periodic LIFO
B Perpetual LIFO
C Perpetual FIFO
-
- 6 During periods of rising inventory unit costs, a company using the FIFO method rather than the LIFO method will report a lower:
- A current ratio.
B inventory turnover.
C gross profit margin.
- 7 LIFO reserve is *most likely* to increase when inventory unit:
- A costs are increasing.
B costs are decreasing.
C levels are decreasing.
- 8 If inventory unit costs are increasing from period-to-period, a LIFO liquidation is *most likely* to result in an increase in:
- A gross profit.
B LIFO reserve.
C inventory carrying amounts.
- 9 A company using the LIFO method reports the following in £:

	2018	2017
Cost of goods sold (COGS)	50,800	48,500
Ending inventories	10,550	10,000
LIFO reserve	4,320	2,600

Cost of goods sold for 2018 under the FIFO method is *closest* to:

- A** £48,530.
B £49,080.
C £52,520.
- 10** Eric's Used Book Store prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS. Inventory was purchased for £1 million and later marked down to £550,000. One of the books, however, was later discovered to be a rare collectible item, and the inventory is now worth an estimated £3 million. The inventory is *most likely* reported on the balance sheet at:
- A** £550,000.
B £1,000,000.
C £3,000,000.
- 11** Fernando's Pasta purchased inventory and later wrote it down. The current net realisable value is higher than the value when written down. Fernando's inventory balance will *most likely* be:
- A** higher if it complies with IFRS.
B higher if it complies with US GAAP.
C the same under US GAAP and IFRS.
- 12** A write down of the value of inventory to its net realizable value will have a positive effect on the:
- A** balance sheet.
B income statement.
C inventory turnover ratio.

For questions 13–24, assume the companies use a periodic inventory system

- 13** Cinnamon Corp. started business in 2017 and uses the weighted average cost method. During 2017, it purchased 45,000 units of inventory at €10 each and sold 40,000 units for €20 each. In 2018, it purchased another 50,000 units at €11 each and sold 45,000 units for €22 each. Its 2018 cost of sales (€ thousands) was *closest* to:
- A** €490.
B €491.
C €495.
- 14** Zimt AG started business in 2017 and uses the FIFO method. During 2017, it purchased 45,000 units of inventory at €10 each and sold 40,000 units for €20 each. In 2018, it purchased another 50,000 units at €11 each and sold 45,000 units for €22 each. Its 2018 ending inventory balance (€ thousands) was *closest* to:
- A** €105.
B €109.
C €110.
- 15** Zimt AG uses the FIFO method, and Nutmeg Inc. uses the LIFO method. Compared to the cost of replacing the inventory, during periods of rising prices, the cost of sales reported by:

- A Zimt is too low.
B Nutmeg is too low.
C Nutmeg is too high.
- 16 Zimt AG uses the FIFO method, and Nutmeg Inc. uses the LIFO method. Compared to the cost of replacing the inventory, during periods of rising prices the ending inventory balance reported by:
- A Zimt is too high.
B Nutmeg is too low.
C Nutmeg is too high.
- 17 Like many technology companies, TechnoTools operates in an environment of declining prices. Its reported profits will tend to be *highest* if it accounts for inventory using the:
- A FIFO method.
B LIFO method.
C weighted average cost method.
- 18 Compared to using the weighted average cost method to account for inventory, during a period in which prices are generally rising, the current ratio of a company using the FIFO method would *most likely* be:
- A lower.
B higher.
C dependent upon the interaction with accounts payable.
- 19 Zimt AG wrote down the value of its inventory in 2017 and reversed the write-down in 2018. Compared to the ratios that would have been calculated if the write-down had never occurred, Zimt's reported 2017:
- A current ratio was too high.
B gross margin was too high.
C inventory turnover was too high.
- 20 Zimt AG wrote down the value of its inventory in 2017 and reversed the write-down in 2018. Compared to the results the company would have reported if the write-down had never occurred, Zimt's reported 2018:
- A profit was overstated.
B cash flow from operations was overstated.
C year-end inventory balance was overstated.
- 21 Compared to a company that uses the FIFO method, during periods of rising prices a company that uses the LIFO method will *most likely* appear more:
- A liquid.
B efficient.
C profitable.
- 22 Nutmeg, Inc. uses the LIFO method to account for inventory. During years in which inventory unit costs are generally rising and in which the company purchases more inventory than it sells to customers, its reported gross profit margin will *most likely* be:
- A lower than it would be if the company used the FIFO method.
B higher than it would be if the company used the FIFO method.
C about the same as it would be if the company used the FIFO method.

- 23** Compared to using the FIFO method to account for inventory, during periods of rising prices, a company using the LIFO method is *most likely* to report higher:
- A net income.
 - B cost of sales.
 - C income taxes.
- 24** Carey Company adheres to US GAAP, whereas Jonathan Company adheres to IFRS. It is *least likely* that:
- A Carey has reversed an inventory write-down.
 - B Jonathan has reversed an inventory write-down.
 - C Jonathan and Carey both use the FIFO inventory accounting method.
-
- 25** Company A adheres to US GAAP and Company B adheres to IFRS. Which of the following is *most likely* to be disclosed on the financial statements of both companies?
- A Any material income resulting from the liquidation of LIFO inventory
 - B The amount of inventories recognized as an expense during the period
 - C The circumstances that led to the reversal of a write down of inventories
- 26** Which of the following *most likely* signals that a manufacturing company expects demand for its product to increase?
- A Finished goods inventory growth rate higher than the sales growth rate
 - B Higher unit volumes of work in progress and raw material inventories
 - C Substantially higher finished goods, with lower raw materials and work-in-process
- 27** Compared with a company that uses the FIFO method, during a period of rising unit inventory costs, a company using the LIFO method will *most likely* appear more:
- A liquid.
 - B efficient.
 - C profitable.
- 28** In a period of declining inventory unit costs and constant or increasing inventory quantities, which inventory method is *most likely* to result in a higher debt-to-equity ratio?
- A LIFO
 - B FIFO
 - C Weighted average cost

The following information relates to Questions 29–36

Hans Annan, CFA, a food and beverage analyst, is reviewing Century Chocolate's inventory policies as part of his evaluation of the company. Century Chocolate, based in Switzerland, manufactures chocolate products and purchases and resells other confectionery products to complement its chocolate line. Annan visited Century Chocolate's manufacturing facility last year. He learned that cacao beans, imported

from Brazil, represent the most significant raw material and that the work-in-progress inventory consists primarily of three items: roasted cacao beans, a thick paste produced from the beans (called chocolate liquor), and a sweetened mixture that needs to be “conched” to produce chocolate. On the tour, Annan learned that the conching process ranges from a few hours for lower-quality products to six days for the highest-quality chocolates. While there, Annan saw the facility’s climate-controlled area where manufactured finished products (cocoa and chocolate) and purchased finished goods are stored prior to shipment to customers. After touring the facility, Annan had a discussion with Century Chocolate’s CFO regarding the types of costs that were included in each inventory category.

Annan has asked his assistant, Joanna Kern, to gather some preliminary information regarding Century Chocolate’s financial statements and inventories. He also asked Kern to calculate the inventory turnover ratios for Century Chocolate and another chocolate manufacturer for the most recent five years. Annan does not know Century Chocolate’s most direct competitor, so he asks Kern to do some research and select the most appropriate company for the ratio comparison.

Kern reports back that Century Chocolate prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS. She tells Annan that the policy footnote states that raw materials and purchased finished goods are valued at purchase cost whereas work in progress and manufactured finished goods are valued at production cost. Raw material inventories and purchased finished goods are accounted for using the FIFO (first-in, first-out) method, and the weighted average cost method is used for other inventories. An allowance is established when the net realisable value of any inventory item is lower than the value calculated above.

Kern provides Annan with the selected financial statements and inventory data for Century Chocolate shown in Exhibits 1 through 5. The ratio exhibit Kern prepared compares Century Chocolate’s inventory turnover ratios to those of Gordon’s Goodies, a US-based company. Annan returns the exhibit and tells Kern to select a different competitor that reports using IFRS rather than US GAAP. During this initial review, Annan asks Kern why she has not indicated whether Century Chocolate uses a perpetual or a periodic inventory system. Kern replies that she learned that Century Chocolate uses a perpetual system but did not include this information in her report because inventory values would be the same under either a perpetual or periodic inventory system. Annan tells Kern she is wrong and directs her to research the matter.

While Kern is revising her analysis, Annan reviews the most recent month’s Cocoa Market Review from the International Cocoa Organization. He is drawn to the statement that “the ICCO daily price, averaging prices in both futures markets, reached a 29-year high in US\$ terms and a 23-year high in SDRs terms (the SDR unit comprises a basket of major currencies used in international trade: US\$, euro, pound sterling and yen).” Annan makes a note that he will need to factor the potential continuation of this trend into his analysis.

Exhibit 1 Century Chocolate Income Statements (CHF Millions)

For Years Ended 31 December	2018	2017
Sales	95,290	93,248
Cost of sales	−41,043	−39,047
Marketing, administration, and other expenses	−35,318	−42,481
Profit before taxes	18,929	11,720
Taxes	−3,283	−2,962
Profit for the period	15,646	8,758

Exhibit 2 Century Chocolate Balance Sheets (CHF Millions)

31 December	2018	2017
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	6,190	8,252
Trade receivables and related accounts, net	11,654	12,910
Inventories, net	8,100	7,039
Other current assets	2,709	2,812
Total current assets	28,653	31,013
Property, plant, and equipment, net	18,291	19,130
Other non-current assets	45,144	49,875
Total assets	92,088	100,018
Trade and other payables	10,931	12,299
Other current liabilities	17,873	25,265
Total current liabilities	28,804	37,564
Non-current liabilities	15,672	14,963
Total liabilities	44,476	52,527
Equity		
Share capital	332	341
Retained earnings and other reserves	47,280	47,150
Total equity	47,612	47,491
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	92,088	100,018

**Exhibit 3 Century Chocolate Supplementary Footnote Disclosures:
Inventories (CHF Millions)**

31 December	2018	2017
Raw Materials	2,154	1,585
Work in Progress	1,061	1,027
Finished Goods	5,116	4,665
Total inventories before allowance	8,331	7,277
Allowance for write-downs to net realisable value	-231	-238
Total inventories net of allowance	8,100	7,039

Exhibit 4 Century Chocolate Inventory Record for Purchased Lemon Drops

Date	Cartons	Per Unit Amount	
		(CHF)	
Beginning inventory	100	22	
4 Feb 09 Purchase	40	25	

(continued)

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

Date		Cartons	Per Unit Amount (CHF)
3 Apr 09	Sale	50	32
23 Jul 09	Purchase	70	30
16 Aug 09	Sale	100	32
9 Sep 09	Sale	35	32
15 Nov 09	Purchase	100	28

Exhibit 5 Century Chocolate Net Realisable Value Information for Black Licorice Jelly Beans

	2018	2017
FIFO cost of inventory at 31 December (CHF)	314,890	374,870
Ending inventory at 31 December (Kilograms)	77,750	92,560
Cost per unit (CHF)	4.05	4.05
Net Realisable Value (CHF per Kilograms)	4.20	3.95

- 29** The costs *least likely* to be included by the CFO as inventory are:
- A storage costs for the chocolate liquor.
 - B excise taxes paid to the government of Brazil for the cacao beans.
 - C storage costs for chocolate and purchased finished goods awaiting shipment to customers.
- 30** What is the *most likely* justification for Century Chocolate's choice of inventory valuation method for its purchased finished goods?
- A It is the preferred method under IFRS.
 - B It allocates the same per unit cost to both cost of sales and inventory.
 - C Ending inventory reflects the cost of goods purchased most recently.
- 31** In Kern's comparative ratio analysis, the 2018 inventory turnover ratio for Century Chocolate is *closest* to:
- A 5.07.
 - B 5.42.
 - C 5.55.
- 32** The *most accurate* statement regarding Annan's reasoning for requiring Kern to select a competitor that reports under IFRS for comparative purposes is that under US GAAP:
- A fair values are used to value inventory.
 - B the LIFO method is permitted to value inventory.
 - C the specific identification method is permitted to value inventory.
- 33** Annan's statement regarding the perpetual and periodic inventory systems is most significant when which of the following costing systems is used?
- A LIFO.

- B** FIFO.
- C** Specific identification.
- 34** Using the inventory record for purchased lemon drops shown in Exhibit 4, the cost of sales for 2018 will be *closest* to:
- A** CHF 3,550.
- B** CHF 4,550.
- C** CHF 4,850.
- 35** Ignoring any tax effect, the 2018 net realisable value reassessment for the black licorice jelly beans will *most likely* result in:
- A** an increase in gross profit of CHF 7,775.
- B** an increase in gross profit of CHF 11,670.
- C** no impact on cost of sales because under IFRS, write-downs cannot be reversed.
- 36** If the trend noted in the ICCO report continues and Century Chocolate plans to maintain constant or increasing inventory quantities, the *most likely* impact on Century Chocolate's financial statements related to its raw materials inventory will be:
- A** a cost of sales that more closely reflects current replacement values.
- B** a higher allocation of the total cost of goods available for sale to cost of sales.
- C** a higher allocation of the total cost of goods available for sale to ending inventory.
-

The following information relates to Questions

37–42

John Martinson, CFA, is an equity analyst with a large pension fund. His supervisor, Linda Packard, asks him to write a report on Karp Inc. Karp prepares its financial statements in accordance with US GAAP. Packard is particularly interested in the effects of the company's use of the LIFO method to account for its inventory. For this purpose, Martinson collects the financial data presented in Exhibits 1 and 2.

Exhibit 1 Balance Sheet Information (US\$ Millions)

As of 31 December	2018	2017
Cash and cash equivalents	172	157
Accounts receivable	626	458
Inventories	620	539
Other current assets	125	65
Total current assets	1,543	1,219
Property and equipment, net	3,035	2,972
Total assets	4,578	4,191
Total current liabilities	1,495	1,395
Long-term debt	644	604

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

As of 31 December	2018	2017
Total liabilities	2,139	1,999
Common stock and paid in capital	1,652	1,652
Retained earnings	787	540
Total shareholders' equity	2,439	2,192
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	4,578	4,191

Exhibit 2 Income Statement Information (US\$ Millions)

For the Year Ended 31 December	2018	2017
Sales	4,346	4,161
Cost of goods sold	2,211	2,147
Depreciation and amortisation expense	139	119
Selling, general, and administrative expense	1,656	1,637
Interest expense	31	18
Income tax expense	62	48
Net income	247	192

Martinson finds the following information in the notes to the financial statements:

- The LIFO reserves as of 31 December 2018 and 2017 are \$155 million and \$117 million respectively, and
 - The effective income tax rate applicable to Karp for 2018 and earlier periods is 20 percent.
- 37 If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, the amount of inventory reported as of 31 December 2018 would have been *closest to*:
- \$465 million.
 - \$658 million.
 - \$775 million.
- 38 If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, the amount of cost of goods sold reported by Karp for the year ended 31 December 2018 would have been *closest to*:
- \$2,056 million.
 - \$2,173 million.
 - \$2,249 million.
- 39 If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, its reported net income for the year ended 31 December 2018 would have been higher by an amount *closest to*:
- \$30 million.
 - \$38 million.
 - \$155 million.

- 40** If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, Karp's retained earnings as of 31 December 2018 would have been higher by an amount *closest to*:
- \$117 million.
 - \$124 million.
 - \$155 million.
- 41** If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, which of the following ratios computed as of 31 December 2018 would *most likely* have been lower?
- Cash ratio.
 - Current ratio.
 - Gross profit margin.
- 42** If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, its debt to equity ratio computed as of 31 December 2018 would have:
- increased.
 - decreased.
 - remained unchanged.
-

The following information relates to Questions 43–48

Robert Groff, an equity analyst, is preparing a report on Crux Corp. As part of his report, Groff makes a comparative financial analysis between Crux and its two main competitors, Rolby Corp. and Mikko Inc. Crux and Mikko report under US GAAP and Rolby reports under IFRS.

Groff gathers information on Crux, Rolby, and Mikko. The relevant financial information he compiles is in Exhibit 1. Some information on the industry is in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 1 Selected Financial Information (US\$ Millions)

	Crux	Rolby	Mikko
Inventory valuation method	LIFO	FIFO	LIFO
<u>From the Balance Sheets</u>			
As of 31 December 2018			
Inventory, gross	480	620	510
Valuation allowance	20	25	14
Inventory, net	460	595	496
Total debt	1,122	850	732
Total shareholders' equity	2,543	2,403	2,091
As of 31 December 2017			
Inventory, gross	465	602	401
Valuation allowance	23	15	12
Inventory, net	442	587	389

From the Income Statements

Year Ended 31 December 2018

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

	Crux	Rolby	Mikko
Revenues	4,609	5,442	3,503
Cost of goods sold ^a	3,120	3,782	2,550
Net income	229	327	205
^a Charges included in cost of goods sold for inventory write-downs*	13	15	15

* This does not match the change in the inventory valuation allowance because the valuation allowance is reduced to reflect the valuation allowance attached to items sold and increased for additional necessary write-downs.

LIFO Reserve

As of 31 December 2018	55	0	77
As of 31 December 2017	72	0	50
As of 31 December 2016	96	0	43

Tax Rate

Effective tax rate	30%	30%	30%
--------------------	-----	-----	-----

Exhibit 2 Industry Information

	2018	2017	2016
Raw materials price index	112	105	100
Finished goods price index	114	106	100

To compare the financial performance of the three companies, Groff decides to convert LIFO figures into FIFO figures, and adjust figures to assume no valuation allowance is recognized by any company.

After reading Groff's draft report, his supervisor, Rachel Borghi, asks him the following questions:

- Question 1 Which company's gross profit margin would best reflect current costs of the industry?
 - Question 2 Would Rolby's valuation method show a higher gross profit margin than Crux's under an inflationary, a deflationary, or a stable price scenario?
 - Question 3 Which group of ratios usually appears more favorable with an inventory write-down?
- 43** Crux's inventory turnover ratio computed as of 31 December 2018, after the adjustments suggested by Groff, is *closest* to:
- A 5.67.
 - B 5.83.
 - C 6.13.

- 44** Rolby's net profit margin for the year ended 31 December 2018, after the adjustments suggested by Groff, is *closest* to:
- A 6.01%.
 - B 6.20%.
 - C 6.28%.
- 45** Compared with its unadjusted debt-to-equity ratio, Mikko's debt-to-equity ratio as of 31 December 2018, after the adjustments suggested by Groff, is:
- A lower.
 - B higher.
 - C the same.
- 46** The *best* answer to Borghi's Question 1 is:
- A Crux's.
 - B Rolby's.
 - C Mikko's.
- 47** The *best* answer to Borghi's Question 2 is:
- A Stable.
 - B Inflationary.
 - C Deflationary.
- 48** The *best* answer to Borghi's Question 3 is:
- A Activity ratios.
 - B Solvency ratios.
 - C Profitability ratios.

The following information relates to Questions 49–55

ZP Corporation is a (hypothetical) multinational corporation headquartered in Japan that trades on numerous stock exchanges. ZP prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with US GAAP. Excerpts from ZP's 2018 annual report are shown in Exhibits 1–3.

Exhibit 1 Consolidated Balance Sheets (¥ Millions)

31 December	2017	2018
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	¥542,849	¥814,760
⋮	⋮	⋮
Inventories	608,572	486,465
⋮	⋮	⋮
Total current assets	4,028,742	3,766,309
⋮	⋮	⋮
Total assets	¥10,819,440	¥9,687,346

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

31 December	2017	2018
Total current liabilities	¥3,980,247	¥3,529,765
Total long-term liabilities	2,663,795	2,624,002
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries	218,889	179,843
Total shareholders' equity	3,956,509	3,353,736
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	¥10,819,440	¥9,687,346

Exhibit 2 Consolidated Statements of Income (¥ Millions)

For the years ended 31 December	2016	2017	2018
Net revenues			
Sales of products	¥7,556,699	¥8,273,503	¥6,391,240
Financing operations	425,998	489,577	451,950
	7,982,697	8,763,080	6,843,190
Cost and expenses			
Cost of products sold	6,118,742	6,817,446	5,822,805
Cost of financing operations	290,713	356,005	329,128
Selling, general and administrative	827,005	832,837	844,927
Operating income (loss)	746,237	756,792	-153,670
Net income	¥548,011	¥572,626	-¥145,646

Exhibit 3 Selected Disclosures in the 2018 Annual Report**Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition
and Results of Operations**

Cost reduction efforts were offset by increased prices of raw materials, other production materials and parts ... Inventories decreased during fiscal 2018 by ¥122.1 billion, or 20.1%, to ¥486.5 billion. This reflects the impacts of decreased sales volumes and fluctuations in foreign currency translation rates.

Management & Corporate Information**Risk Factors****Industry and Business Risks**

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

The worldwide market for our products is highly competitive. ZP faces intense competition from other manufacturers in the respective markets in which it operates. Competition has intensified due to the worldwide deterioration in economic conditions. In addition, competition is likely to further intensify because of continuing globalization, possibly resulting in industry reorganization. Factors affecting competition include product quality and features, the amount of time required for innovation and development, pricing, reliability, safety, economy in use, customer service and financing terms. Increased competition may lead to lower unit sales and excess production capacity and excess inventory. This may result in a further downward price pressure.

ZP's ability to adequately respond to the recent rapid changes in the industry and to maintain its competitiveness will be fundamental to its future success in maintaining and expanding its market share in existing and new markets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**2. Summary of significant accounting policies:**

Inventories. Inventories are valued at cost, not in excess of market. Cost is determined on the "average-cost" basis, except for the cost of finished products carried by certain subsidiary companies which is determined "last-in, first-out" ("LIFO") basis. Inventories valued on the LIFO basis totaled ¥94,578 million and ¥50,037 million at December 31, 2017 and 2018, respectively. Had the "first-in, first-out" basis been used for those companies using the LIFO basis, inventories would have been ¥10,120 million and ¥19,660 million higher than reported at December 31, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

9. Inventories:

Inventories consist of the following:

31 December (¥ Millions)	2017	2018
Finished goods	¥ 403,856	¥ 291,977
Raw materials	99,869	85,966
Work in process	79,979	83,890
Supplies and other	24,868	24,632
	¥ 608,572	¥ 486,465

- 49 The MD&A indicated that the prices of raw material, other production materials, and parts increased. Based on the inventory valuation methods described in Note 2, which inventory classification would *least accurately* reflect current prices?
- A Raw materials.
 B Finished goods.
 C Work in process.

- 50 The 2017 inventory value as reported on the 2018 Annual Report if the company had used the FIFO inventory valuation method instead of the LIFO inventory valuation method for a portion of its inventory would be *closest* to:
- A ¥104,698 million.
 - B ¥506,125 million.
 - C ¥618,692 million.
- 51 If ZP had prepared its financial statement in accordance with IFRS, the inventory turnover ratio (using average inventory) for 2018 would be:
- A lower.
 - B higher.
 - C the same.
- 52 Inventory levels decreased from 2017 to 2018 for all of the following reasons *except*:
- A LIFO liquidation.
 - B decreased sales volume.
 - C fluctuations in foreign currency translation rates.
- 53 Which observation is *most likely* a result of looking only at the information reported in Note 9?
- A Increased competition has led to lower unit sales.
 - B There have been significant price increases in supplies.
 - C Management expects a further downturn in sales during 2019.
- 54 Note 2 indicates that, "Inventories valued on the LIFO basis totaled ¥94,578 million and ¥50,037 million at December 31, 2017 and 2018, respectively." Based on this, the LIFO reserve should *most likely*:
- A increase.
 - B decrease.
 - C remain the same.
- 55 The Industry and Business Risk excerpt states that, "Increased competition may lead to lower unit sales and excess production capacity and excess inventory. This may result in a further downward price pressure." The downward price pressure could lead to inventory that is valued above current market prices or net realisable value. Any write-downs of inventory are *least likely* to have a significant effect on the inventory valued using:
- A weighted average cost.
 - B first-in, first-out (FIFO).
 - C last-in, first-out (LIFO).
-

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. Transportation costs incurred to ship inventory to customers are an expense and may not be capitalized in inventory. (Transportation costs incurred to bring inventory to the business location can be capitalized in inventory.) Storage costs required as part of production, as well as costs incurred as a result of normal waste of materials, can be capitalized in inventory. (Costs incurred as a result of abnormal waste must be expensed.)
- 2 B is correct. Inventory expense includes costs of purchase, costs of conversion, and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. It does not include storage costs not required as part of production.
- 3 A is correct. IFRS allow the inventories of producers and dealers of agricultural and forest products, agricultural produce after harvest, and minerals and mineral products to be carried at net realisable value even if above historical cost. (US GAAP treatment is similar.)
- 4 A is correct. A perpetual inventory system updates inventory values and quantities and cost of goods sold continuously to reflect purchases and sales. The ending inventory of 800 units consists of 300 units at \$20 and 500 units at \$17.

$$(300 \times \$20) + (500 \times \$17) = \$14,500$$

- 5 A is correct. In an environment with falling inventory costs and declining inventory levels, periodic LIFO will result in a higher ending inventory value and lower cost of goods sold versus perpetual LIFO and perpetual FIFO methods. This results in a lower inventory turnover ratio, which is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Inventory turnover ratio} = \text{Cost of goods sold}/\text{Ending inventory}$$

The inventory turnover ratio using periodic LIFO is $\$39,000/\$16,000 = 244\%$ or 2.44 times.

The inventory turnover ratio using perpetual LIFO is 279% or 2.79 times, which is provided in Table 2 ($= 40,500/14,500$ from previous question).

The inventory turnover for perpetual FIFO is $\$41,400/\$13,600 = 304\%$ or 3.04 times.

- 6 B is correct. During a period of rising inventory costs, a company using the FIFO method will allocate a lower amount to cost of goods sold and a higher amount to ending inventory as compared with the LIFO method. The inventory turnover ratio is the ratio of cost of sales to ending inventory. A company using the FIFO method will produce a lower inventory turnover ratio as compared with the LIFO method. The current ratio (current assets/current liabilities) and the gross profit margin [gross profit/sales = (sales less cost of goods sold)/sales] will be higher under the FIFO method than under the LIFO method in periods of rising inventory unit costs.
- 7 A is correct. LIFO reserve is the FIFO inventory value less the LIFO inventory value. In periods of rising inventory unit costs, the carrying amount of inventory under FIFO will always exceed the carrying amount of inventory under LIFO. The LIFO reserve may increase over time as a result of the increasing difference between the older costs used to value inventory under LIFO and the

more recent costs used to value inventory under FIFO. When inventory unit levels are decreasing, the company will experience a LIFO liquidation, reducing the LIFO reserve.

- 8 A is correct. When the number of units sold exceeds the number of units purchased, a company using LIFO will experience a LIFO liquidation. If inventory unit costs have been rising from period-to-period and a LIFO liquidation occurs, it will produce an increase in gross profit as a result of the lower inventory carrying amounts of the liquidated units (lower cost per unit of the liquidated units).
- 9 B is correct. The adjusted COGS under the FIFO method is equal to COGS under the LIFO method less the increase in LIFO reserve:

$$\text{COGS (FIFO)} = \text{COGS (LIFO)} - \text{Increase in LIFO reserve}$$

$$\text{COGS (FIFO)} = £50,800 - (£4,320 - £2,600)$$

$$\text{COGS (FIFO)} = £49,080$$

- 10 B is correct. Under IFRS, the reversal of write-downs is required if net realisable value increases. The inventory will be reported on the balance sheet at £1,000,000. The inventory is reported at the lower of cost or net realisable value. Under US GAAP, inventory is carried at the lower of cost or market value. After a write-down, a new cost basis is determined and additional revisions may only reduce the value further. The reversal of write-downs is not permitted.
- 11 A is correct. IFRS require the reversal of inventory write-downs if net realisable values increase; US GAAP do not permit the reversal of write-downs.
- 12 C is correct. Activity ratios (for example, inventory turnover and total asset turnover) will be positively affected by a write down to net realizable value because the asset base (denominator) is reduced. On the balance sheet, the inventory carrying amount is written down to its net realizable value and the loss in value (expense) is generally reflected on the income statement in cost of goods sold, thus reducing gross profit, operating profit, and net income.
- 13 B is correct. Cinnamon uses the weighted average cost method, so in 2018, 5,000 units of inventory were 2017 units at €10 each and 50,000 were 2008 purchases at €11. The weighted average cost of inventory during 2008 was thus $(5,000 \times 10) + (50,000 \times 11) = 50,000 + 550,000 = €600,000$, and the weighted average cost was approximately $€10.91 = €600,000/55,000$. Cost of sales was $€10.91 \times 45,000$, which is approximately €490,950.
- 14 C is correct. Zimt uses the FIFO method, and thus the first 5,000 units sold in 2018 depleted the 2017 inventory. Of the inventory purchased in 2018, 40,000 units were sold and 10,000 remain, valued at €11 each, for a total of €110,000.
- 15 A is correct. Zimt uses the FIFO method, so its cost of sales represents units purchased at a (no longer available) lower price. Nutmeg uses the LIFO method, so its cost of sales is approximately equal to the current replacement cost of inventory.
- 16 B is correct. Nutmeg uses the LIFO method, and thus some of the inventory on the balance sheet was purchased at a (no longer available) lower price. Zimt uses the FIFO method, so the carrying value on the balance sheet represents the most recently purchased units and thus approximates the current replacement cost.
- 17 B is correct. In a declining price environment, the newest inventory is the lowest-cost inventory. In such circumstances, using the LIFO method (selling the newer, cheaper inventory first) will result in lower cost of sales and higher profit.

- 18** B is correct. In a rising price environment, inventory balances will be higher for the company using the FIFO method. Accounts payable are based on amounts due to suppliers, not the amounts accrued based on inventory accounting.
- 19** C is correct. The write-down reduced the value of inventory and increased cost of sales in 2017. The higher numerator and lower denominator mean that the inventory turnover ratio as reported was too high. Gross margin and the current ratio were both too low.
- 20** A is correct. The reversal of the write-down shifted cost of sales from 2018 to 2017. The 2017 cost of sales was higher because of the write-down, and the 2018 cost of sales was lower because of the reversal of the write-down. As a result, the reported 2018 profits were overstated. Inventory balance in 2018 is the same because the write-down and reversal cancel each other out. Cash flow from operations is not affected by the non-cash write-down, but the higher profits in 2018 likely resulted in higher taxes and thus lower cash flow from operations.
- 21** B is correct. LIFO will result in lower inventory and higher cost of sales. Gross margin (a profitability ratio) will be lower, the current ratio (a liquidity ratio) will be lower, and inventory turnover (an efficiency ratio) will be higher.
- 22** A is correct. LIFO will result in lower inventory and higher cost of sales in periods of rising costs compared to FIFO. Consequently, LIFO results in a lower gross profit margin than FIFO.
- 23** B is correct. The LIFO method increases cost of sales, thus reducing profits and the taxes thereon.
- 24** A is correct. US GAAP do not permit inventory write-downs to be reversed.
- 25** B is correct. Both US GAAP and IFRS require disclosure of the amount of inventories recognized as an expense during the period. Only US GAAP allows the LIFO method and requires disclosure of any material amount of income resulting from the liquidation of LIFO inventory. US GAAP does not permit the reversal of prior-year inventory write downs.
- 26** B is correct. A significant increase (attributable to increases in unit volume rather than increases in unit cost) in raw materials and/or work-in-progress inventories may signal that the company expects an increase in demand for its products. If the growth of finished goods inventories is greater than the growth of sales, it could indicate a decrease in demand and a decrease in future earnings. A substantial increase in finished goods inventories while raw materials and work-in-progress inventories are declining may signal a decrease in demand for the company's products.
- 27** B is correct. During a period of rising inventory prices, a company using the LIFO method will have higher cost of goods sold and lower inventory compared with a company using the FIFO method. The inventory turnover ratio will be higher for the company using the LIFO method, thus making it appear more efficient. Current assets and gross profit margin will be lower for the company using the LIFO method, thus making it appear less liquid and less profitable.
- 28** B is correct. In an environment of declining inventory unit costs and constant or increasing inventory quantities, FIFO (in comparison with weighted average cost or LIFO) will have higher cost of goods sold and lower net income and inventory. Because both inventory and net income are lower, total equity is lower, resulting in a higher debt-to-equity ratio.

- 29** C is correct. The storage costs for inventory awaiting shipment to customers are not costs of purchase, costs of conversion, or other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition and are not included in inventory. The storage costs for the chocolate liquor occur during the production process and are thus part of the conversion costs. Excise taxes are part of the purchase cost.
- 30** C is correct. The carrying amount of inventories under FIFO will more closely reflect current replacement values because inventories are assumed to consist of the most recently purchased items. FIFO is an acceptable, but not preferred, method under IFRS. Weighted average cost, not FIFO, is the cost formula that allocates the same per unit cost to both cost of sales and inventory.
- 31** B is correct. Inventory turnover = Cost of sales/Average inventory = $41,043/7,569.5 = 5.42$. Average inventory is $(8,100 + 7,039)/2 = 7,569.5$.
- 32** B is correct. For comparative purposes, the choice of a competitor that reports under IFRS is requested because LIFO is permitted under US GAAP.
- 33** A is correct. The carrying amount of the ending inventory may differ because the perpetual system will apply LIFO continuously throughout the year, liquidating layers as sales are made. Under the periodic system, the sales will start from the last layer in the year. Under FIFO, the sales will occur from the same layers regardless of whether a perpetual or periodic system is used. Specific identification identifies the actual products sold and remaining in inventory, and there will be no difference under a perpetual or periodic system.
- 34** B is correct. The cost of sales is closest to CHF 4,550. Under FIFO, the inventory acquired first is sold first. Using Exhibit 4, a total of 310 cartons were available for sale ($100 + 40 + 70 + 100$) and 185 cartons were sold ($50 + 100 + 35$), leaving 125 in ending inventory. The FIFO cost would be as follows:

$$100 \text{ (beginning inventory)} \times 22 = 2,200$$

$$40 \text{ (4 February 2009)} \times 25 = 1,000$$

$$45 \text{ (23 July 2009)} \times 30 = 1,350$$

$$\text{Cost of sales} = 2,200 + 1,000 + 1,350 = \text{CHF } 4,550$$

- 35** A is correct. Gross profit will most likely increase by CHF 7,775. The net realisable value has increased and now exceeds the cost. The write-down from 2017 can be reversed. The write-down in 2017 was 9,256 [$92,560 \times (4.05 - 3.95)$]. IFRS require the reversal of any write-downs for a subsequent increase in value of inventory previously written down. The reversal is limited to the lower of the subsequent increase or the original write-down. Only 77,750 kilograms remain in inventory; the reversal is $77,750 \times (4.05 - 3.95) = 7,775$. The amount of any reversal of a write-down is recognised as a reduction in cost of sales. This reduction results in an increase in gross profit.
- 36** C is correct. Using the FIFO method to value inventories when prices are rising will allocate more of the cost of goods available for sale to ending inventories (the most recent purchases, which are at higher costs, are assumed to remain in inventory) and less to cost of sales (the oldest purchases, which are at lower costs, are assumed to be sold first).

- 37** C is correct. Karp's inventory under FIFO equals Karp's inventory under LIFO plus the LIFO reserve. Therefore, as of 31 December 2018, Karp's inventory under FIFO equals:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Inventory (FIFO method)} &= \text{Inventory (LIFO method)} + \text{LIFO} \\ &\quad \text{reserve} \\ &= \$620 \text{ million} + 155 \text{ million} \\ &= \$775 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

- 38** B is correct. Karp's cost of goods sold (COGS) under FIFO equals Karp's cost of goods sold under LIFO minus the increase in the LIFO reserve. Therefore, for the year ended 31 December 2018, Karp's cost of goods sold under FIFO equals:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{COGS (FIFO method)} &= \text{COGS (LIFO method)} - \text{Increase in LIFO} \\ &\quad \text{reserve} \\ &= \$2,211 \text{ million} - (155 \text{ million} - 117 \text{ million}) \\ &= \$2,173 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

- 39** A is correct. Karp's net income (NI) under FIFO equals Karp's net income under LIFO plus the after-tax increase in the LIFO reserve. For the year ended 31 December 2018, Karp's net income under FIFO equals:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NI (FIFO method)} &= \text{NI (LIFO method)} + \text{Increase in LIFO reserve} \times \\ &\quad (1 - \text{Tax rate}) \\ &= \$247 \text{ million} + 38 \text{ million} \times (1 - 20\%) \\ &= \$277.4 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the increase in net income is:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Increase in NI} &= \text{NI (FIFO method)} - \text{NI (LIFO method)} \\ &= \$277 \text{ million} - 247 \text{ million} \\ &= \$30.4 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

- 40** B is correct. Karp's retained earnings (RE) under FIFO equals Karp's retained earnings under LIFO plus the after-tax LIFO reserve. Therefore, for the year ended 31 December 2018, Karp's retained earnings under FIFO equals:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RE (FIFO method)} &= \text{RE (LIFO method)} + \text{LIFO reserve} \times (1 - \text{Tax} \\ &\quad \text{rate}) \\ &= \$787 \text{ million} + 155 \text{ million} \times (1 - 20\%) \\ &= \$911 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the increase in retained earnings is:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Increase in RE} &= \text{RE (FIFO method)} - \text{RE (LIFO method)} \\ &= \$911 \text{ million} - 787 \text{ million} \\ &= \$124 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

- 41** A is correct. The cash ratio (cash and cash equivalents ÷ current liabilities) would be lower because cash would have been less under FIFO. Karp's income before taxes would have been higher under FIFO, and consequently taxes paid by Karp would have also been higher and cash would have been lower. There is no impact on current liabilities. Both Karp's current ratio and gross profit margin would have been higher if FIFO had been used. The current ratio would have been higher because inventory under FIFO increases by a larger amount than the cash decreases for taxes paid. Because the cost of goods sold under FIFO is lower than under LIFO, the gross profit margin would have been higher.

- 42** B is correct. If Karp had used FIFO instead of LIFO, the debt-to-equity ratio would have decreased. No change in debt would have occurred, but shareholders' equity would have increased as a result of higher retained earnings.

- 43** B is correct. Crux's adjusted inventory turnover ratio must be computed using cost of goods sold (COGS) under FIFO and excluding charges for increases in valuation allowances.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{COGS (adjusted)} &= \text{COGS (LIFO method)} - \text{Charges included in} \\ &\quad \text{cost of goods sold for inventory write-downs} - \text{Change} \\ &\quad \text{in LIFO reserve} \\ &= \$3,120 \text{ million} - 13 \text{ million} - (55 \text{ million} - 72 \text{ million}) \\ &= \$3,124 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Note: Minus the change in LIFO reserve is equivalent to plus the decrease in LIFO reserve. The adjusted inventory turnover ratio is computed using average inventory under FIFO.

$$\text{Ending inventory (FIFO)} = \text{Ending inventory (LIFO)} + \text{LIFO reserve}$$

$$\text{Ending inventory 2018 (FIFO)} = \$480 + 55 = \$535$$

$$\text{Ending inventory 2017 (FIFO)} = \$465 + 72 = \$537$$

$$\text{Average inventory} = (\$535 + 537)/2 = \$536$$

Therefore, adjusted inventory turnover ratio equals:

$$\text{Inventory turnover ratio} = \text{COGS}/\text{Average inventory} = \$3,124/\$536 = 5.83$$

- 44** B is correct. Rolby's adjusted net profit margin must be computed using net income (NI) under FIFO and excluding charges for increases in valuation allowances.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NI (adjusted)} &= \text{NI (FIFO method)} + \text{Charges, included in cost of goods} \\ &\quad \text{sold for inventory write-downs, after tax} \\ &= \$327 \text{ million} + 15 \text{ million} \times (1 - 30\%) \\ &= \$337.5 \text{ million}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, adjusted net profit margin equals:

$$\text{Net profit margin} = \text{NI}/\text{Revenues} = \$337.5/\$5,442 = 6.20\%$$

- 45** A is correct. Mikko's adjusted debt-to-equity ratio is lower because the debt (numerator) is unchanged and the adjusted shareholders' equity (denominator) is higher. The adjusted shareholders' equity corresponds to shareholders' equity under FIFO, excluding charges for increases in valuation allowances. Therefore, adjusted shareholders' equity is higher than reported (unadjusted) shareholders' equity.

- 46** C is correct. Mikko's and Crux's gross margin ratios would better reflect the current gross margin of the industry than Rolby because both use LIFO. LIFO recognizes as cost of goods sold the cost of the most recently purchased units, therefore, it better reflects replacement cost. However, Mikko's gross margin ratio best reflects the current gross margin of the industry because Crux's LIFO reserve is decreasing. This could reflect a LIFO liquidation by Crux which would distort gross profit margin.

- 47** B is correct. The FIFO method shows a higher gross profit margin than the LIFO method in an inflationary scenario, because FIFO allocates to cost of goods sold the cost of the oldest units available for sale. In an inflationary environment, these units are the ones with the lowest cost.

- 48** A is correct. An inventory write-down increases cost of sales and reduces profit and reduces the carrying value of inventory and assets. This has a negative effect on profitability and solvency ratios. However, activity ratios appear positively affected by a write-down because the asset base, whether total assets or inventory (denominator), is reduced. The numerator, sales, in total asset turnover is unchanged, and the numerator, cost of sales, in inventory turnover is increased. Thus, turnover ratios are higher and appear more favorable as the result of the write-down.
- 49** B is correct. Finished goods least accurately reflect current prices because some of the finished goods are valued under the “last-in, first-out” (“LIFO”) basis. The costs of the newest units available for sale are allocated to cost of goods sold, leaving the oldest units (at lower costs) in inventory. ZP values raw materials and work in process using the weighted average cost method. While not fully reflecting current prices, some inflationary effect will be included in the inventory values.
- 50** C is correct. FIFO inventory = Reported inventory + LIFO reserve = ¥608,572 + 10,120 = ¥618,692. The LIFO reserve is disclosed in Note 2 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.
- 51** A is correct. The inventory turnover ratio would be lower. The average inventory would be higher under FIFO and cost of products sold would be lower by the increase in LIFO reserve. LIFO is not permitted under IFRS.

Inventory turnover ratio = Cost of products sold ÷ Average inventory

2018 inventory turnover ratio as reported = 10.63 = ¥5,822,805/[(608,572 + 486,465)/2].

2018 inventory turnover ratio adjusted to FIFO as necessary = 10.34 = [¥5,822,805 – (19,660 – 10,120)]/[(608,572 + 10,120 + 486,465 + 19,660)/2].

- 52** A is correct. No LIFO liquidation occurred during 2018; the LIFO reserve increased from ¥10,120 million in 2008 to ¥19,660 million in 2018. Management stated in the MD&A that the decrease in inventories reflected the impacts of decreased sales volumes and fluctuations in foreign currency translation rates.
- 53** C is correct. Finished goods and raw materials inventories are lower in 2018 when compared to 2017. Reduced levels of inventory typically indicate an anticipated business contraction.
- 54** B is correct. The decrease in LIFO inventory in 2018 would typically indicate that more inventory units were sold than produced or purchased. Accordingly, one would expect a liquidation of some of the older LIFO layers and the LIFO reserve to decrease. In actuality, the LIFO reserve *increased* from ¥10,120 million in 2017 to ¥19,660 million in 2018. This is not to be expected and is likely caused by the increase in prices of raw materials, other production materials, and parts of foreign currencies as noted in the MD&A. An analyst should seek to confirm this explanation.
- 55** B is correct. If prices have been decreasing, write-downs under FIFO are least likely to have a significant effect because the inventory is valued at closer to the new, lower prices. Typically, inventories valued using LIFO are less likely to incur inventory write-downs than inventories valued using weighted average cost or FIFO. Under LIFO, the *oldest* costs are reflected in the inventory carrying value on the balance sheet. Given increasing inventory costs, the inventory carrying values under the LIFO method are already conservatively presented at the oldest and lowest costs. Thus, it is far less likely that inventory write-downs will occur under LIFO; and if a write-down does occur, it is likely to be of a lesser magnitude.

READING

22

Long-Lived Assets

by Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA

Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA, is at Temple University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. identify and contrast costs that are capitalised and costs that are expensed in the period in which they are incurred;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. compare the financial reporting of the following types of intangible assets: purchased, internally developed, acquired in a business combination;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. explain and evaluate how capitalising versus expensing costs in the period in which they are incurred affects financial statements and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe the different depreciation methods for property, plant, and equipment and calculate depreciation expense;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe how the choice of depreciation method and assumptions concerning useful life and residual value affect depreciation expense, financial statements, and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. describe the different amortisation methods for intangible assets with finite lives and calculate amortisation expense;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe how the choice of amortisation method and assumptions concerning useful life and residual value affect amortisation expense, financial statements, and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. describe the revaluation model;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. explain the impairment of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. explain the derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
<input type="checkbox"/>	k. explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

(continued)

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	I. describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
<input type="checkbox"/>	m. analyze and interpret financial statement disclosures regarding property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
<input type="checkbox"/>	n. compare the financial reporting of investment property with that of property, plant, and equipment.

1

INTRODUCTION & ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

- a identify and contrast costs that are capitalised and costs that are expensed in the period in which they are incurred;

1.1 Introduction

Long-lived assets, also referred to as non-current assets or long-term assets, are assets that are expected to provide economic benefits over a future period of time, typically greater than one year.¹ Long-lived assets may be tangible, intangible, or financial assets. Examples of long-lived tangible assets, typically referred to as **property, plant, and equipment** and sometimes as fixed assets, include land, buildings, furniture and fixtures, machinery and equipment, and vehicles; examples of long-lived **intangible assets** (assets lacking physical substance) include patents and trademarks; and examples of long-lived financial assets include investments in equity or debt securities issued by other entities. The scope of this reading is limited to long-lived tangible and intangible assets (hereafter, referred to for simplicity as long-lived assets).

The first issue in accounting for a long-lived asset is determining its cost at acquisition. The second issue is how to allocate the cost to expense over time. The costs of most long-lived assets are capitalised and then allocated as expenses in the profit or loss (income) statement over the period of time during which they are expected to provide economic benefits. The two main types of long-lived assets with costs that are typically *not* allocated over time are land, which is not depreciated, and those intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. Additional issues that arise are the treatment of subsequent costs incurred related to the asset, the use of the cost model versus the revaluation model, unexpected declines in the value of the asset, classification of the asset with respect to intent (for example, held for use or held for sale), and the derecognition of the asset.

This reading is organised as follows. Sections 1–5 describe and illustrate accounting for the acquisition of long-lived assets, with particular attention to the impact of capitalizing versus expensing expenditures. Sections 6–7 describe the allocation of the costs of long-lived assets over their useful lives. Section 8 discusses the revaluation model that is based on changes in the fair value of an asset. Section 9 covers the concepts of impairment (unexpected decline in the value of an asset). Section 10 describes accounting for the derecognition of long-lived assets. Sections 11–12 describe

¹ In some industries, inventory is held longer than one year but is nonetheless reported as a current asset.

financial statement presentation, disclosures, and analysis of long-lived assets. Section 13 discusses differences in financial reporting of investment property compared with property, plant, and equipment. A summary is followed by practice problems.

1.2 Acquisition of Long-Lived Assets

Upon acquisition, property, plant, and equipment (tangible assets with an economic life of longer than one year and intended to be held for the company's own use) are recorded on the balance sheet at cost, which is typically the same as their fair value.² Accounting for an intangible asset depends on how the asset is acquired. If several assets are acquired as part of a group, the purchase price is allocated to each asset on the basis of its fair value. An asset's cost potentially includes expenditures additional to the purchase price.

A key concept in accounting for expenditures related to long-lived assets is whether and when such expenditures are capitalised (i.e., included in the asset shown on the balance sheet) versus expensed (i.e., treated as an expense of the period on the income statement). After examining the specific treatment of certain expenditures, we will consider the general financial statement impact of capitalising versus expensing and two analytical issues related to the decision—namely, the effects on an individual company's trend analysis and on comparability across companies.

1.3 Property, Plant, and Equipment

This section primarily discusses the accounting treatment for the acquisition of long-lived tangible assets (property, plant, and equipment) through purchase. Assets can be acquired by methods other than purchase.³ When an asset is exchanged for another asset, the asset acquired is recorded at fair value if reliable measures of fair value exist. Fair value is the fair value of the asset given up unless the fair value of the asset acquired is more clearly evident. If there is no reliable measure of fair value, the acquired asset is measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up. In this case, the carrying amount of the assets is unchanged, and no gain or loss is reported.

Typically, accounting for the exchange involves removing the carrying amount of the asset given up, adding a fair value for the asset acquired, and reporting any difference between the carrying amount and the fair value as a gain or loss. A gain would be reported when the fair value used for the newly acquired asset exceeds the carrying amount of the asset given up. A loss would be reported when the fair value used for the newly acquired asset is less than the carrying amount of the asset given up.

When property, plant, or equipment is purchased, the buyer records the asset at cost. In addition to the purchase price, the buyer also includes, as part of the cost of an asset, all the expenditures necessary to get the asset ready for its intended use. For example, freight costs borne by the purchaser to get the asset to the purchaser's place of business and special installation and testing costs required to make the asset usable are included in the total cost of the asset.

² Fair value is defined in International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and under US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) as "the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date." [IFRS 13 and FASB ASC Topic 820]

³ IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment*, paragraphs 24–26 [Measurement of Cost]; IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*, paragraphs 45–47 [Exchange of Assets]; and FASB ASC Section 845-10-30 [Nonmonetary Transactions – Overall – Initial Measurement].

Subsequent expenditures related to long-lived assets are included as part of the recorded value of the assets on the balance sheet (i.e., capitalised) if they are expected to provide benefits beyond one year in the future and are expensed if they are not expected to provide benefits in future periods. Expenditures that extend the original life of the asset are typically capitalised. Example 1 illustrates the difference between costs that are capitalised and costs that are expensed in a period.

EXAMPLE 1

Acquisition of PPE

Assume a (hypothetical) company, Trofferini S.A., incurred the following expenditures to purchase a towel and tissue roll machine: €10,900 purchase price including taxes, €200 for delivery of the machine, €300 for installation and testing of the machine, and €100 to train staff on maintaining the machine. In addition, the company paid a construction team €350 to reinforce the factory floor and ceiling joists to accommodate the machine's weight. The company also paid €1,500 to repair the factory roof (a repair expected to extend the useful life of the factory by five years) and €1,000 to have the exterior of the factory and adjoining offices repainted for maintenance reasons. The repainting neither extends the life of factory and offices nor improves their usability.

- 1 Which of these expenditures will be capitalised and which will be expensed?
- 2 How will the treatment of these expenditures affect the company's financial statements?

Solution to 1:

The company will capitalise as part of the cost of the machine all costs that are necessary to get the new machine ready for its intended use: €10,900 purchase price, €200 for delivery, €300 for installation and testing, and €350 to reinforce the factory floor and ceiling joists to accommodate the machine's weight (which was necessary to use the machine and does not increase the value of the factory). The €100 to train staff is not necessary to get the asset ready for its intended use and will be expensed.

The company will capitalise the expenditure of €1,500 to repair the factory roof because the repair is expected to extend the useful life of the factory. The company will expense the €1,000 to have the exterior of the factory and adjoining offices repainted because the painting does not extend the life or alter the productive capacity of the buildings.

Solution to 2:

The costs related to the machine that are capitalised—€10,900 purchase price, €200 for delivery, €300 for installation and testing, and €350 to prepare the factory—will increase the carrying amount of the machine asset as shown on the balance sheet and will be included as investing cash outflows. The item related to the factory that is capitalised—the €1,500 roof repair—will increase the carrying amount of the factory asset as shown on the balance sheet and is an investing cash outflow. The expenditures of €100 to train staff and €1,000 to paint are expensed in the period and will reduce the amount of income reported on the company's income statement (and thus reduce retained earnings on the balance sheet) and the operating cash flow.

Example 1 describes capitalising versus expensing in the context of purchasing property, plant, and equipment. When a company constructs an asset (or acquires an asset that requires a long period of time to get ready for its intended use), borrowing costs incurred directly related to the construction are generally capitalised. Constructing a building, whether for sale (in which case, the building is classified as inventory) or for the company's own use (in which case, the building is classified as a long-lived asset), typically requires a substantial amount of time. To finance construction, any borrowing costs incurred prior to the asset being ready for its intended use are capitalised as part of the cost of the asset. The company determines the interest rate to use on the basis of its existing borrowings or, if applicable, on a borrowing specifically incurred for constructing the asset. If a company takes out a loan specifically to construct a building, the interest cost on that loan during the time of construction would be capitalised as part of the building's cost. Under IFRS, but not under US GAAP, income earned on temporarily investing the borrowed monies decreases the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation.

Thus, a company's interest costs for a period are included either on the balance sheet (to the extent they are capitalised as part of an asset) or on the income statement (to the extent they are expensed). If the interest expenditure is incurred in connection with constructing an asset for the company's own use, the capitalised interest appears on the balance sheet as a part of the relevant long-lived asset (i.e., property, plant, and equipment). The capitalised interest is expensed over time as the property is depreciated and is thus part of subsequent years' depreciation expense rather than interest expense of the current period. If the interest expenditure is incurred in connection with constructing an asset to sell (for example, by a home builder), the capitalised interest appears on the company's balance sheet as part of inventory. The capitalised interest is expensed as part of the cost of goods sold when the asset is sold. Interest payments made prior to completion of construction that are capitalised are classified as an investing cash outflow. Expensed interest may be classified as an operating or financing cash outflow under IFRS and is classified as an operating cash outflow under US GAAP.

EXAMPLE 2

Capitalised Borrowing Costs

BILDA S.A., a hypothetical company, borrows €1,000,000 at an interest rate of 10 percent per year on 1 January 2010 to finance the construction of a factory that will have a useful life of 40 years. Construction is completed after two years, during which time the company earns €20,000 by temporarily investing the loan proceeds.

- 1 What is the amount of interest that will be capitalised under IFRS, and how would that amount differ from the amount that would be capitalised under US GAAP?
- 2 Where will the capitalised borrowing cost appear on the company's financial statements?

Solution to 1:

The total amount of interest paid on the loan during construction is €200,000 (= $\text{€1,000,000} \times 10\% \times 2 \text{ years}$). Under IFRS, the amount of borrowing cost eligible for capitalisation is reduced by the €20,000 interest income from temporarily investing the loan proceeds, so the amount to be capitalised is €180,000. Under US GAAP, the amount to be capitalised is €200,000.

Solution to 2:

The capitalised borrowing costs will appear on the company's balance sheet as a component of property, plant, and equipment. In the years prior to completion of construction, the interest paid will appear on the statement of cash flows as an investment activity. Over time, as the property is depreciated, the capitalised interest component is part of subsequent years' depreciation expense on the company's income statement.

2**ACQUISITION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

- b** compare the financial reporting of the following types of intangible assets: purchased, internally developed, acquired in a business combination;

Intangible assets are assets lacking physical substance. Intangible assets include items that involve exclusive rights, such as patents, copyrights, trademarks, and franchises. Under IFRS, identifiable intangible assets must meet three definitional criteria. They must be (1) identifiable (either capable of being separated from the entity or arising from contractual or legal rights), (2) under the control of the company, and (3) expected to generate future economic benefits. In addition, two recognition criteria must be met: (1) It is probable that the expected future economic benefits of the asset will flow to the company, and (2) the cost of the asset can be reliably measured. Goodwill, which is not considered an identifiable intangible asset,⁴ arises when one company purchases another and the acquisition price exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets (both the tangible assets and the identifiable intangible assets, minus liabilities) acquired.

Accounting for an intangible asset depends on how it is acquired. The following sections describe accounting for intangible assets obtained in three ways: purchased in situations other than business combinations, developed internally, and acquired in business combinations.

2.1 Intangible Assets Purchased in Situations Other Than Business Combinations

Intangible assets purchased in situations other than business combinations, such as buying a patent, are treated at acquisition the same as long-lived tangible assets; they are recorded at their fair value when acquired, which is assumed to be equivalent to the purchase price. If several intangible assets are acquired as part of a group, the purchase price is allocated to each asset on the basis of its fair value.

In deciding how to treat individual intangible assets for analytical purposes, analysts are particularly aware that companies must use a substantial amount of judgment and numerous assumptions to determine the fair value of individual intangible assets. For analysis, therefore, understanding the types of intangible assets acquired can often be more useful than focusing on the values assigned to the individual assets. In other words, an analyst would typically be more interested in understanding what assets a company acquired (for example, franchise rights) than in the precise portion of the

⁴ The IFRS definition of an intangible asset as an "identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance" applies to intangible assets not specifically dealt with in standards other than IAS 38. The definition of intangible assets under US GAAP—"assets (other than financial assets) that lack physical substance"—includes goodwill in the definition of an intangible asset.

purchase price a company allocated to each asset. Understanding the types of assets a company acquires can offer insights into the company's strategic direction and future operating potential.

2.2 Intangible Assets Developed Internally

In contrast with the treatment of construction costs of tangible assets, the costs to internally develop intangible assets are generally expensed when incurred. There are some situations, however, in which the costs incurred to internally develop an intangible asset are capitalised. The general analytical issues related to the capitalising-versus-expensing decision apply here—namely, comparability across companies and the effect on an individual company's trend analysis.

The general requirement that costs to internally develop intangible assets be expensed should be compared with capitalising the cost of acquiring intangible assets in situations other than business combinations. Because costs associated with internally developing intangible assets are usually expensed, a company that has internally developed such intangible assets as patents, copyrights, or brands through expenditures on R&D or advertising will recognise a lower amount of assets than a company that has obtained intangible assets through external purchase. In addition, on the statement of cash flows, costs of internally developing intangible assets are classified as operating cash outflows whereas costs of acquiring intangible assets are classified as investing cash outflows. Differences in strategy (developing versus acquiring intangible assets) can thus impact financial ratios.

IFRS require that expenditures on research (or during the research phase of an internal project) be expensed rather than capitalised as an intangible asset.⁵ Research is defined as “original and planned investigation undertaken with the prospect of gaining new scientific or technical knowledge and understanding.”⁶ The “research phase of an internal project” refers to the period during which a company cannot demonstrate that an intangible asset is being created—for example, the search for alternative materials or systems to use in a production process. In contrast with the treatment of research-phase expenditures, IFRS allow companies to recognise an intangible asset arising from development expenditures (or the development phase of an internal project) if certain criteria are met, including a demonstration of the technical feasibility of completing the intangible asset and the intent to use or sell the asset. Development is defined as “the application of research findings or other knowledge to a plan or design for the production of new or substantially improved materials, devices, products, processes, systems or services before the start of commercial production or use.”⁷

Generally, US GAAP require that both research and development costs be expensed as incurred but require capitalisation of certain costs related to software development.⁸ Costs incurred to develop a software product for sale are expensed until the product's technological feasibility is established and are capitalised thereafter. Similarly, companies expense costs related to the development of software for internal use until it is probable that the project will be completed and that the software will be used as intended. Thereafter, development costs are capitalised. The probability that the project will be completed is easier to demonstrate than is technological feasibility. The capitalised costs, related directly to developing software for sale or internal use,

⁵ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*.

⁶ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*, paragraph 8 [Definitions].

⁷ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*, paragraph 8 [Definitions].

⁸ FASB ASC Section 350-40-25 [Intangibles—Goodwill and Other – Internal-Use Software – Recognition] and FASB ASC Section 985-20-25 [Software – Costs of Software to be Sold, Leased, or Marketed – Recognition] specify US GAAP accounting for software development costs for software for internal use and for software to be sold, respectively.

include the costs of employees who help build and test the software. The treatment of software development costs under US GAAP is similar to the treatment of all costs of internally developed intangible assets under IFRS.

EXAMPLE 3

Software Development Costs

Assume REH AG, a hypothetical company, incurs expenditures of €1,000 per month during the fiscal year ended 31 December 2019 to develop software for internal use. Under IFRS, the company must treat the expenditures as an expense until the software meets the criteria for recognition as an intangible asset, after which time the expenditures can be capitalised as an intangible asset.

- 1 What is the accounting impact of the company being able to demonstrate that the software met the criteria for recognition as an intangible asset on 1 February versus 1 December?
- 2 How would the treatment of expenditures differ if the company reported under US GAAP and it had established in 2018 that the project was likely to be completed and the software used to perform the function intended?

Solution to 1:

If the company is able to demonstrate that the software met the criteria for recognition as an intangible asset on 1 February, the company would recognise the €1,000 expended in January as an expense on the income statement for the fiscal year ended 31 December 2019. The other €11,000 of expenditures would be recognised as an intangible asset (on the balance sheet). Alternatively, if the company is not able to demonstrate that the software met the criteria for recognition as an intangible asset until 1 December, the company would recognise the €11,000 expended in January through November as an expense on the income statement for the fiscal year ended 31 December 2019, with the other €1,000 of expenditures recognised as an intangible asset.

Solution to 2:

Under US GAAP, the company would capitalise the entire €12,000 spent to develop software for internal use.

2.3 Intangible Assets Acquired in a Business Combination

When one company acquires another company, the transaction is accounted for using the **acquisition method** of accounting.⁹ Under the acquisition method, the company identified as the acquirer allocates the purchase price to each asset acquired (and each liability assumed) on the basis of its fair value. If the purchase price exceeds the sum of the amounts that can be allocated to individual identifiable assets and liabilities, the excess is recorded as goodwill. Goodwill cannot be identified separately from the business as a whole.

⁹ Both IFRS and US GAAP require the use of the acquisition method in accounting for business combinations (IFRS 3 and FASB ASC Section 805).

Under IFRS, the acquired individual assets include identifiable intangible assets that meet the definitional and recognition criteria.¹⁰ Otherwise, if the item is acquired in a business combination and cannot be recognised as a tangible or identifiable intangible asset, it is recognised as goodwill. Under US GAAP, there are two criteria to judge whether an intangible asset acquired in a business combination should be recognised separately from goodwill: The asset must be either an item arising from contractual or legal rights or an item that can be separated from the acquired company. Examples of intangible assets treated separately from goodwill include the intangible assets previously mentioned that involve exclusive rights (patents, copyrights, franchises, licenses), as well as such items as internet domain names and video and audiovisual materials.

Exhibit 1 describes how AB InBev allocated the \$103 billion purchase consideration in its 2016 acquisition of SABMiller Group. The combined company was renamed Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV. The majority of the intangible asset valuation relates to brands with indefinite life (\$19.9 billion of the \$20.0 billion total). Of \$63.0 billion total assets acquired, assets to be divested were valued at \$24.8 billion and assets to be held for were valued at \$38.2 billion. In total, intangible assets represent 52 percent of the total assets to be held for use. In addition, \$74.1 billion of goodwill was recognized in the transaction.

Exhibit 1 Acquisition of Intangible Assets through a Business Combination

Excerpt from the 2016 annual report of AB InBev:

“On 10 October 2016, AB InBev announced the ... successful completion of the business combination with the former SABMiller Group (“SAB”).

“The transaction resulted in 74.1 billion US dollar of goodwill provisionally allocated primarily to the businesses in Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Australia, South Africa and other African, Asia Pacific and Latin American countries. The factors that contributed to the recognition of goodwill include the acquisition of an assembled workforce and the premiums paid for cost synergies expected to be achieved in SABMiller. Management’s assessment of the future economic benefits supporting recognition of this goodwill is in part based on expected savings through the implementation of AB InBev best practices such as, among others, a zero based budgeting program and initiatives that are expected to bring greater efficiency and standardization, generate cost savings and maximize purchasing power. Goodwill also arises due to the recognition of deferred tax liabilities in relation to the preliminary fair value adjustments on acquired intangible assets for which the amortization does not qualify as a tax deductible expense. None of the goodwill recognized is deductible for tax purposes.

“The majority of the intangible asset valuation relates to brands with indefinite life, valued for a total amount of 19.9 billion US dollar. The valuation of the brands with indefinite life is based on a series of factors, including the brand history, the operating plan and the countries in which the brands are sold. The fair value of brands was estimated by applying a combination of known valuation methodologies, such as the royalty relief and excess earnings valuation approaches.

(continued)

¹⁰ As previously described, the definitional criteria are identifiability, control by the company, and expected future benefits. The recognition criteria are probable flows of the expected economic benefits to the company and measurability.

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

"The intangibles with an indefinite life mainly include the Castle and Carling brand families in Africa, the Aguila and Poker brand families in Colombia, the Cristal and Pilsner brand families in Ecuador, and the Carlton brand family in Australia.

"Assets held for sale were recognized in relation to the divestiture of SABMiller's interests in the MillerCoors LLC joint venture and certain of SABMiller's portfolio of Miller brands outside of the U.S. to Molson Coors Brewing company; the divestiture of SABMiller's European premium brands to Asahi Group Holdings, Ltd and the divestiture of SABMiller's interest in China Resources Snow Breweries Ltd. to China Resources Beer (Holdings) Co. Ltd." [Excerpt]

The following is a summary of the provisional allocation of AB InBev's purchase price of SABMiller:

Assets	\$ million
Property, plant and equipment	9,060
Intangible assets	20,040
Investment in associates	4,386
Inventories	977
Trade and other receivables	1,257
Cash and cash equivalents	1,410
Assets held for sale	24,805
<i>All other assets</i>	<i>1,087</i>
<i>Total assets</i>	<i>63,022</i>
<i>Total liabilities</i>	<i>-27,769</i>
Net identified assets and liabilities	35,253
Non-controlling interests	-6,200
Goodwill on acquisition	74,083
Purchase consideration	103,136

Table is excerpted from the company's 2016 Annual Report. Portions of detail are omitted, and subtotals are shown in italics.

Source: AB InBev 2016 Annual Report, pp. 82-85.

3**CAPITALIZATION VERSUS EXPENSING: IMPACT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RATIOS**

- c explain and evaluate how capitalising versus expensing costs in the period in which they are incurred affects financial statements and ratios;

This section discusses the implications for financial statements and ratios of capitalising versus expensing costs in the period in which they are incurred. We first summarize the general financial statement impact of capitalising versus expensing and two analytical issues related to the decision—namely the effect on an individual company's trend analysis and on comparability across companies.

In the period of the expenditure, an expenditure that is capitalised increases the amount of assets on the balance sheet and appears as an investing cash outflow on the statement of cash flows. After initial recognition, a company allocates the capitalised amount over the asset's useful life as depreciation or amortisation expense (except assets that are not depreciated, i.e., land, or amortised, e.g., intangible assets with indefinite lives). This expense reduces net income on the income statement and reduces the value of the asset on the balance sheet. Depreciation and amortisation are non-cash expenses and therefore, apart from their effect on taxable income and taxes payable, have no impact on the cash flow statement. In the section of the statement of cash flows that reconciles net income to operating cash flow, depreciation and amortisation expenses are added back to net income.

Alternatively, an expenditure that is expensed reduces net income by the after-tax amount of the expenditure in the period it is made. No asset is recorded on the balance sheet and thus no depreciation or amortisation occurs in subsequent periods. The lower amount of net income is reflected in lower retained earnings on the balance sheet. An expenditure that is expensed appears as an operating cash outflow in the period it is made. There is no effect on the financial statements of subsequent periods.

Example 4 illustrates the impact on the financial statements of capitalising versus expensing an expenditure.

EXAMPLE 4

General Financial Statement Impact of Capitalising Versus Expensing

Assume two identical (hypothetical) companies, CAP Inc. (CAP) and NOW Inc. (NOW), start with €1,000 cash and €1,000 common stock. Each year the companies recognise total revenues of €1,500 cash and make cash expenditures, excluding an equipment purchase, of €500. At the beginning of operations, each company pays €900 to purchase equipment. CAP estimates the equipment will have a useful life of three years and an estimated salvage value of €0 at the end of the three years. NOW estimates a much shorter useful life and expenses the equipment immediately. The companies have no other assets and make no other asset purchases during the three-year period. Assume the companies pay no dividends, earn zero interest on cash balances, have a tax rate of 30 percent, and use the same accounting method for financial and tax purposes.

The left side of Exhibit 2 shows CAP's financial statements; i.e., with the expenditure capitalised and depreciated at €300 per year based on the straight-line method of depreciation (€900 cost minus €0 salvage value equals €900, divided by a three-year life equals €300 per year). The right side of the exhibit shows NOW's financial statements, with the entire €900 expenditure treated as an expense in the first year. All amounts are in euro.

Exhibit 2 Capitalising versus Expensing

CAP Inc.				NOW Inc.			
Capitalise €900 as asset and depreciate				Expense €900 immediately			
For Year	1	2	3	For Year	1	2	3
Revenue	1,500	1,500	1,500	Revenue	1,500	1,500	1,500
Cash expenses	500	500	500	Cash expenses	1,400	500	500
Depreciation	300	300	300	Depreciation	0	0	0

(continued)

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

CAP Inc.				NOW Inc.			
Capitalise €900 as asset and depreciate				Expense €900 immediately			
For Year	1	2	3	For Year	1	2	3
Income before tax				Income before tax			
	700	700	700		100	1,000	1,000
Tax at 30%	210	210	210	Tax at 30%	30	300	300
Net income	490	490	490	Net income	70	700	700
Cash from operations	790	790	790	Cash from operations	70	700	700
Cash used in investing	(900)	0	0	Cash used in investing	0	0	0
Total change in cash	(110)	790	790	Total change in cash	70	700	700

As of	Time 0	End of Year 1	End of Year2	End of Year 3	Time	Time 0	End of Year 1	End of Year 2	End of Year 3
Cash	1,000	890	1,680	2,470	Cash	1,000	1,070	1,770	2,470
PP & E (net)	—	600	300	—	PP & E (net)	—	—	—	—
Total Assets	1,000	1,490	1,980	2,470	Total Assets	1,000	1,070	1,770	2,470
Retained earnings	0	490	980	1,470	Retained earnings	0	70	770	1,470
Common stock	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	Common stock	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Total shareholders' equity	1,000	1,490	1,980	2,470	Total shareholders' equity	1,000	1,070	1,770	2,470

- 1 Which company reports higher net income over the three years? Total cash flow? Cash from operations?
- 2 Based on ROE and net profit margin, how does the profitability of the two companies compare?
- 3 Why does NOW report change in cash of €70 in Year 1, while CAP reports total change in cash of (€110)?

Solution to 1:

Neither company reports higher total net income or cash flow over the three years. The sum of net income over the three years is identical (€1,470 total) whether the €900 is capitalised or expensed. Also, the sum of the change in cash (€1,470 total) is identical under either scenario. CAP reports higher cash from operations by an amount of €900 because, under the capitalisation scenario, the €900 purchase is treated as an investing cash flow.

Note: Because the companies use the same accounting method for both financial and taxable income, absent the assumption of zero interest on cash balances, expensing the €900 would have resulted in higher income and cash flow for NOW because the lower taxes paid in the first year (€30 versus €210) would have allowed NOW to earn interest income on the tax savings.

Solution to 2:

In general, Ending shareholders' equity = Beginning shareholders' equity + Net income + Other comprehensive income – Dividends + Net capital contributions from shareholders. Because the companies in this example do not have other comprehensive income, did not pay dividends, and reported no capital contributions from shareholders, Ending retained earnings = Beginning retained earnings + Net income, and Ending shareholders' equity = Beginning shareholders' equity + Net income.

ROE is calculated as Net income divided by Average shareholders' equity, and Net profit margin is calculated as Net income divided by Total revenue. For example, CAP had Year 1 ROE of 39 percent ($\text{€490}/[(\text{€1,000} + \text{€1,490})/2]$), and Year 1 net profit margin of 33 percent ($\text{€490}/\text{€1,500}$).

CAP Inc.				NOW Inc.			
Capitalise €900 as asset and depreciate				Expense €900 immediately			
For year	1	2	3	For year	1	2	3
ROE	39%	28%	22%	ROE	7%	49%	33%
Net profit margin	33%	33%	33%	Net profit margin	5%	47%	47%

As shown, compared to expensing, capitalising results in higher profitability ratios (ROE and net profit margin) in the first year, and lower profitability ratios in subsequent years. For example, CAP's Year 1 ROE of 39 percent was higher than NOW's Year 1 ROE of 7 percent, but in Years 2 and 3, NOW reports superior profitability.

Note also that NOW's superior growth in net income between Year 1 and Year 2 is not attributable to superior performance compared to CAP but rather to the accounting decision to recognise the expense sooner than CAP. In general, all else equal, accounting decisions that result in recognising expenses sooner will give the appearance of greater subsequent growth. Comparison of the growth of the two companies' net incomes without an awareness of the difference in accounting methods would be misleading. As a corollary, NOW's income and profitability exhibit greater volatility across the three years, not because of more volatile performance but rather because of the different accounting decision.

Solution to 3:

NOW reports an increase in cash of €70 in Year 1, while CAP reports a decrease in cash of €110 because NOW's taxes were €180 lower than CAP's taxes (€30 versus €210).

Note that this problem assumes the accounting method used by each company for its tax purposes is identical to the accounting method used by the company for its financial reporting. In many countries, companies are allowed to use different depreciation methods for financial reporting and taxes, which may give rise to deferred taxes.

As shown, discretion regarding whether to expense or capitalise expenditures can impede comparability across companies. Example 4 assumes the companies purchase a single asset in one year. Because the sum of net income over the three-year period is identical whether the asset is capitalised or expensed, it illustrates that although capitalising results in higher profitability compared to expensing in the first year, it results in lower profitability in the subsequent years. Conversely, expensing results in lower profitability in the first year but higher profitability in later years, indicating a favorable trend.

Similarly, shareholders' equity for a company that capitalises the expenditure will be higher in the early years because the initially higher profits result in initially higher retained earnings. Example 4 assumes the companies purchase a single asset in one year and report identical amounts of total net income over the three-year period, so shareholders' equity (and retained earnings) for the firm that expenses will be identical to shareholders' equity (and retained earnings) for the capitalising firm at the end of the three-year period.

Although Example 4 shows companies purchasing an asset only in the first year, if a company continues to purchase similar or increasing amounts of assets each year, the profitability-enhancing effect of capitalising continues if the amount of the expenditures in a period continues to be more than the depreciation expense. Example 5 illustrates this point.

EXAMPLE 5

Impact of Capitalising Versus Expensing for Ongoing Purchases

A company buys a £300 computer in Year 1 and capitalises the expenditure. The computer has a useful life of three years and an expected salvage value of £0, so the annual depreciation expense using the straight-line method is £100 per year. Compared to expensing the entire £300 immediately, the company's pre-tax profit in Year 1 is £200 greater.

- 1 Assume that the company continues to buy an identical computer each year at the same price. If the company uses the same accounting treatment for each of the computers, when does the profit-enhancing effect of capitalising versus expensing end?
- 2 If the company buys another identical computer in Year 4, using the same accounting treatment as the prior years, what is the effect on Year 4 profits of capitalising versus expensing these expenditures?

Solution to 1:

The profit-enhancing effect of capitalising versus expensing would end in Year 3. In Year 3, the depreciation expense on each of the three computers bought in Years 1, 2, and 3 would total £300 (£100 + £100 + £100). Therefore, the total depreciation expense for Year 3 will be exactly equal to the capital expenditure in Year 3. The expense in Year 3 would be £300, regardless of whether the company capitalised or expensed the annual computer purchases.

Solution to 2:

There is no impact on Year 4 profits. As in the previous year, the depreciation expense on each of the three computers bought in Years 2, 3, and 4 would total £300 (£100 + £100 + £100). Therefore, the total depreciation expense for Year 4

will be exactly equal to the capital expenditure in Year 4. Pre-tax profits would be reduced by £300, regardless of whether the company capitalised or expensed the annual computer purchases.

Compared to expensing an expenditure, capitalising the expenditure typically results in greater amounts reported as cash from operations. Capitalised expenditures are typically treated as an investment cash outflow whereas expenses reduce operating cash flows. Because cash flow from operating activities is an important consideration in some valuation models, companies may try to maximise reported cash flow from operations by capitalising expenditures that should be expensed. Valuation models that use free cash flow will consider not only operating cash flows but also investing cash flows. Analysts should be alert to evidence of companies manipulating reported cash flow from operations by capitalising expenditures that should be expensed.

In summary, holding all else constant, capitalising an expenditure enhances current profitability and increases reported cash flow from operations. The profitability-enhancing effect of capitalising continues so long as capital expenditures exceed the depreciation expense. Profitability-enhancing motivations for decisions to capitalise should be considered when analyzing performance. For example, a company may choose to capitalise more expenditures (within the allowable bounds of accounting standards) to achieve earnings targets for a given period. Expensing a cost in the period reduces current period profits but enhances future profitability and thus enhances the profit trend. Profit trend-enhancing motivations should also be considered when analyzing performance. If the company is in a reporting environment which requires identical accounting methods for financial reporting and taxes (unlike the United States, which permits companies to use depreciation methods for reporting purposes that differ from the depreciation method required by tax purposes), then expensing will have a more favorable cash flow impact because paying lower taxes in an earlier period creates an opportunity to earn interest income on the cash saved.

In contrast with the relatively simple examples above, it is generally neither possible nor desirable to identify individual instances involving discretion about whether to capitalise or expense expenditures. An analyst can, however, typically identify significant items of expenditure treated differently across companies. The items of expenditure giving rise to the most relevant differences across companies will vary by industry. This cross-industry variation is apparent in the following discussion of the capitalisation of expenditures.

CAPITALISATION OF INTEREST COSTS

4

- ◆ explain and evaluate how capitalising versus expensing costs in the period in which they are incurred affects financial statements and ratios;

As noted above, companies generally must capitalise interest costs associated with acquiring or constructing an asset that requires a long period of time to get ready for its intended use.¹¹

As a consequence of this accounting treatment, a company's interest costs for a period can appear either on the balance sheet (to the extent they are capitalised) or on the income statement (to the extent they are expensed).

¹¹ IAS 23 [Borrowing Costs] and FASB ASC Subtopic 835-20 [Interest – Capitalization of Interest] specify respectively IFRS and US GAAP for capitalisation of interest costs. Although the standards are not completely converged, the standards are in general agreement.

If the interest expenditure is incurred in connection with constructing an asset for the company's own use, the capitalised interest appears on the balance sheet as a part of the relevant long-lived asset. The capitalised interest is expensed over time as the property is depreciated—and is thus part of depreciation expense rather than interest expense. If the interest expenditure is incurred in connection with constructing an asset to sell, for example by a real estate construction company, the capitalised interest appears on the company's balance sheet as part of inventory. The capitalised interest is then expensed as part of the cost of sales when the asset is sold.

The treatment of capitalised interest poses certain issues that analysts should consider. First, capitalised interest appears as part of investing cash outflows, whereas expensed interest typically reduces operating cash flow. US GAAP reporting companies are required to categorize interest in operating cash flow, and IFRS reporting companies can categorize interest in operating, investing, or financing cash flows. Although the treatment is consistent with accounting standards, an analyst may want to examine the impact on reported cash flows. Second, interest coverage ratios are solvency indicators measuring the extent to which a company's earnings (or cash flow) in a period covered its interest costs. To provide a true picture of a company's interest coverage, the entire amount of interest expenditure, both the capitalised portion and the expensed portion, should be used in calculating interest coverage ratios. Additionally, if a company is depreciating interest that it capitalised in a previous period, income should be adjusted to eliminate the effect of that depreciation. Example 6 illustrates the calculations.

EXAMPLE 6

Effect of Capitalised Interest Costs on Coverage Ratios and Cash Flow

Melco Resorts & Entertainment Limited (NASDAQ: MLCO), a Hong Kong SAR based casino company which is listed on the NASDAQ stock exchange and prepares financial reports under US GAAP, disclosed the following information in one of the footnotes to its 2017 financial statements: "Interest and amortization of deferred financing costs associated with major development and construction projects is capitalized and included in the cost of the project. Total interest expenses incurred amounted to \$267,065, \$252,600, and \$253,168, of which \$37,483, \$29,033, and \$134,838 were capitalized during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs of \$26,182, \$48,345, and \$38,511, net of amortization capitalized of nil, nil, and \$5,458, were recorded during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively." (Form 20-F filed 12 April 2018). Cash payments for deferred financing costs were reported in cash flows from financing activities.

Exhibit 3 Melco Resorts & Entertainment Limited Selected Data, as Reported (Dollars in thousands)

	2017	2016	2015
EBIT (from income statement)	544,865	298,663	58,553
Interest expense (from income statement)	229,582	223,567	118,330
Capitalized interest (from footnote)	37,483	29,033	134,838
Amortization of deferred financing costs (from footnote)	26,182	48,345	38,511

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

	2017	2016	2015
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,162,500	1,158,128	522,026
Net cash from (used) in investing activities	(410,226)	280,604	(469,656)
Net cash from (used) in financing activities	(1,046,041)	(1,339,717)	(29,688)

Notes: EBIT represents "Income (Loss) Before Income Tax" plus "Interest expenses, net of capitalized interest" from the income statement.

- 1 Calculate and interpret Melco's interest coverage ratio with and without capitalised interest.
- 2 Calculate Melco's percentage change in operating cash flow from 2016 to 2017. Assuming the financial reporting does not affect reporting for income taxes, what were the effects of capitalised interest on operating and investing cash flows?

Solution to 1:

Interest coverage ratios with and without capitalised interest were as follows:

For 2017

2.37 ($\$544,865 \div \$229,582$) without adjusting for capitalised interest; and

2.14 [$(\$544,865 + \$26,182) \div (\$229,582 + \$37,483)$] including an adjustment to EBIT for depreciation of previously capitalised interest and an adjustment to interest expense for the amount of interest capitalised in 2017.

For 2016

1.34 ($\$298,663 \div \$223,567$) without adjusting for capitalised interest; and

1.37 [$(\$298,663 + \$48,345) \div (\$223,567 + \$29,033)$] including an adjustment to EBIT for depreciation of previously capitalised interest and an adjustment to interest expense for the amount of interest capitalised in 2016.

For 2015

0.49 ($\$58,533 \div \$118,330$) without adjusting for capitalised interest; and

0.38 [$(\$58,533 + \$38,511) \div (\$118,330 + \$134,838)$] including an adjustment to EBIT for depreciation of previously capitalised interest and an adjustment to interest expense for the amount of interest capitalised in 2015.

The above calculations indicate that Melco's interest coverage improved in 2017 compared to the previous two years. In both 2017 and 2015, the coverage ratio is lower when adjusted for capitalised interest.

Solution to 2:

If the interest had been expensed rather than capitalised, operating cash flows would have been lower in all three years. On an adjusted basis, but not an unadjusted basis, the company's operating cash flow declined in 2017 compared to 2016. On an unadjusted basis, for 2017 compared with 2016, Melco's operating cash flow increased by 0.4 percent in 2017 [$(\$1,162,500 \div \$1,158,128) - 1$]. Including adjustments to expense all interest costs, Melco's operating cash flow also decreased by 0.4 percent in 2017 $\{[\$1,162,500 - \$37,483] \div (\$1,158,128 - \$29,033)\} - 1\}$.

If the interest had been expensed rather than capitalised, financing cash flows would have been higher in all three years.

The treatment of capitalised interest raises issues for consideration by an analyst. First, capitalised interest appears as part of investing cash outflows, whereas expensed interest reduces operating or financing cash flow under IFRS and operating cash flow under US GAAP. An analyst may want to examine the impact on reported cash flows of interest expenditures when comparing companies. Second, interest coverage ratios are solvency indicators measuring the extent to which a company's earnings (or cash flow) in a period covered its interest costs. To provide a true picture of a company's interest coverage, the entire amount of interest, both the capitalised portion and the expensed portion, should be used in calculating interest coverage ratios.

Generally, including capitalised interest in the calculation of interest coverage ratios provides a better assessment of a company's solvency. In assigning credit ratings, rating agencies include capitalised interest in coverage ratios. For example, Standard & Poor's calculates the EBIT interest coverage ratio as EBIT divided by gross interest (defined as interest prior to deductions for capitalised interest or interest income).

Maintaining a minimum interest coverage ratio is a financial covenant often included in lending agreements, e.g., bank loans and bond indentures. The definition of the coverage ratio can be found in the company's credit agreement. The definition is relevant because treatment of capitalised interest in calculating coverage ratios would affect an assessment of how close a company's actual ratios are to the levels specified by its financial covenants and thus the probability of breaching those covenants.

5

CAPITALISATION OF INTEREST AND INTERNAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS

- explain and evaluate how capitalising versus expensing costs in the period in which they are incurred affects financial statements and ratios;

As noted above, accounting standards require companies to capitalise software development costs after a product's feasibility is established. Despite this requirement, judgment in determining feasibility means that companies' capitalisation practices may differ. For example, as illustrated in Exhibit 4, Microsoft judges product feasibility to be established very shortly before manufacturing begins and, therefore, effectively expenses—rather than capitalises—research and development costs.

Exhibit 4 Disclosure on Software Development Costs

Excerpt from Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of Microsoft Corporation, Application of Critical Accounting Policies, Research and Development Costs:

"Costs incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product are charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, all software costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. We have determined that technological feasibility for our software products is reached after all high-risk development issues have been

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

resolved through coding and testing. Generally, this occurs shortly before the products are released to production. The amortization of these costs is included in cost of revenue over the estimated life of the products.”

Source: Microsoft Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K 2017, p. 45.

Expensing rather than capitalising development costs results in lower net income in the current period. Expensing rather than capitalising will continue to result in lower net income so long as the amount of the current-period development expenses is higher than the amortisation expense that would have resulted from amortising prior periods’ capitalised development costs—the typical situation when a company’s development costs are increasing. On the statement of cash flows, expensing rather than capitalising development costs results in lower net operating cash flows and higher net investing cash flows. This is because the development costs are reflected as operating cash outflows rather than investing cash outflows.

In comparing the financial performance of a company that expenses most or all software development costs, such as Microsoft, with another company that capitalises software development costs, adjustments can be made to make the two comparable. For the company that capitalises software development costs, an analyst can adjust (a) the income statement to include software development costs as an expense and to exclude amortisation of prior years’ software development costs; (b) the balance sheet to exclude capitalised software (decrease assets and equity); and (c) the statement of cash flows to decrease operating cash flows and decrease cash used in investing by the amount of the current period development costs. Any ratios that include income, long-lived assets, or cash flow from operations—such as return on equity—will also be affected.

EXAMPLE 7**Software Development Costs**

You are working on a project involving the analysis of JHH Software, a (hypothetical) software development company that established technical feasibility for its first product in 2017. Part of your analysis involves computing certain market-based ratios, which you will use to compare JHH to another company that expenses all of its software development expenditures. Relevant data and excerpts from the company’s annual report are included in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5 JHH SOFTWARE (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per-Share Amounts)**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS—abbreviated**

For year ended 31 December:	2018	2017	2016
Total revenue	\$91,424	\$91,134	\$96,293
Total operating expenses	78,107	78,908	85,624
Operating income	13,317	12,226	10,669
Provision for income taxes	3,825	4,232	3,172

(continued)

Exhibit 5 (Continued)**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS—abbreviated**

For year ended 31 December:	2018	2017	2016
Net income	\$9,492	\$7,994	\$7,479
Earnings per share (EPS)	\$1.40	\$0.82	\$0.68

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS—abbreviated

For year ended 31 December:	2018	2017	2016
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$15,007	\$14,874	\$15,266
Net cash used in investing activities*	(11,549)	(4,423)	(5,346)
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,003)	(7,936)	(7,157)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(\$4,545)	\$2,515	\$2,763
	=====	=====	=====

**Includes software development expenses of and includes capital expenditures of*

Additional information:

For year ended 31 December:	2018	2017	2016
Market value of outstanding debt	0	0	0
Amortisation of capitalised software development expenses	(\$2,000)	(\$667)	0
Depreciation expense	(\$2,200)	(\$1,440)	(\$1,320)
Market price per share of common stock	\$42	\$26	\$17
Shares of common stock outstanding (thousands)	6,780	9,765	10,999

Footnote disclosure of accounting policy for software development:

Expenses that are related to the conceptual formulation and design of software products are expensed to research and development as incurred. The company capitalises expenses that are incurred to produce the finished product after technological feasibility has been established.

- 1 Compute the following ratios for JHH based on the reported financial statements for fiscal year ended 31 December 2018, with no adjustments. Next, determine the approximate impact on these ratios if the company had expensed rather than capitalised its investments in software. (Assume the financial reporting does not affect reporting for income taxes. There would be no change in the effective tax rate.)

A P/E: Price/Earnings per share

B P/CFO: Price/Operating cash flow per share

- C** EV/EBITDA: Enterprise value/EBITDA, where enterprise value is defined as the total market value of all sources of a company's financing, including equity and debt, and EBITDA is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortisation.

- 2** Interpret the changes in the ratios.

Solution to 1:

(Dollars are in thousands, except per-share amounts.) JHH's 2019 ratios are presented in the following table:

	Ratios	As reported	As adjusted
A	P/E ratio	30.0	42.9
B	P/CFO	19.0	31.6
C	EV/EBITDA	16.3	24.7

- A** Based on the information as reported, the P/E ratio was 30.0 ($\$42 \div \1.40). Based on EPS adjusted to expense software development costs, the P/E ratio was 42.9 ($\$42 \div \0.98).
- Price: Assuming that the market value of the company's equity is based on its fundamentals, the price per share is \$42, regardless of a difference in accounting.
 - EPS: As reported, EPS was \$1.40. Adjusted EPS was \$0.98. Expensing software development costs would have reduced JHH's 2018 operating income by \$6,000, but the company would have reported no amortisation of prior years' software costs, which would have increased operating income by \$2,000. The net change of \$4,000 would have reduced operating income from the reported \$13,317 to \$9,317. The effective tax rate for 2018 ($\$3,825 \div \$13,317$) is 28.72%, and using this effective tax rate would give an adjusted net income of \$6,641 [$\$9,317 \times (1 - 0.2872)$], compared to \$9,492 before the adjustment. The EPS would therefore be reduced from the reported \$1.40 to \$0.98 (adjusted net income of \$6,641 divided by 6,780 shares).
- B** Based on information as reported, the P/CFO was 19.0 ($\$42 \div \2.21). Based on CFO adjusted to expense software development costs, the P/CFO was 31.6 ($\$42 \div \1.33).
- Price: Assuming that the market value of the company's equity is based on its fundamentals, the price per share is \$42, regardless of a difference in accounting.
 - CFO per share, as reported, was \$2.21 (total operating cash flows $\$15,007 \div 6,780$ shares).
 - CFO per share, as adjusted, was \$1.33. The company's \$6,000 expenditure on software development costs was reported as a cash outflow from investing activities, so expensing those costs would reduce cash from operating activities by \$6,000, from the reported \$15,007 to \$9,007. Dividing adjusted total operating cash flow of \$9,007 by 6,780 shares results in cash flow per share of \$1.33.
- C** Based on information as reported, the EV/EBITDA was 16.3 ($\$284,760 \div \$17,517$). Based on EBITDA adjusted to expense software development costs, the EV/EBITDA was 24.7 ($\$284,760 \div \$11,517$).

- Enterprise Value: Enterprise value is the sum of the market value of the company's equity and debt. JHH has no debt, and therefore the enterprise value is equal to the market value of its equity. The market value of its equity is \$284,760 ($\$42 \text{ per share} \times 6,780 \text{ shares}$).
- EBITDA, as reported, was \$17,517 (earnings before interest and taxes of \$13,317 plus \$2,200 depreciation plus \$2,000 amortisation).
- EBITDA, adjusted for expensing software development costs by the inclusion of \$6,000 development expense and the exclusion of \$2,000 amortisation of prior expense, would be \$11,517 (earnings before interest and taxes of \$9,317 plus \$2,200 depreciation plus \$0 amortisation).

Solution to 2:

Expensing software development costs would decrease historical profits, operating cash flow, and EBITDA, and would thus increase all market multiples. So JHH's stock would appear more expensive if it expensed rather than capitalised the software development costs.

If the unadjusted market-based ratios were used in the comparison of JHH to its competitor that expenses all software development expenditures, then JHH might appear to be under-priced when the difference is solely related to accounting factors. JHH's adjusted market-based ratios provide a better basis for comparison.

For the company in Example 7, current period software development expenditures exceed the amortisation of prior periods' capitalised software development expenditures. As a result, expensing rather than capitalising software development costs would have the effect of lowering income. If, however, software development expenditures slowed such that current expenditures were lower than the amortisation of prior periods' capitalised software development expenditures, then expensing software development costs would have the effect of increasing income relative to capitalising it.

This section illustrated how decisions about capitalising versus expensing impact financial statements and ratios. Earlier expensing lowers current profits but enhances trends, whereas capitalising now and expensing later enhances current profits. Having described the accounting for acquisition of long-lived assets, we now turn to the topic of measuring long-lived assets in subsequent periods.

6

DEPRECIATION OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS: METHODS AND CALCULATION

- d** describe the different depreciation methods for property, plant, and equipment and calculate depreciation expense;
- e** describe how the choice of depreciation method and assumptions concerning useful life and residual value affect depreciation expense, financial statements, and ratios;
- k** explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

Under the cost model of reporting long-lived assets, which is permitted under IFRS and required under US GAAP, the capitalised costs of long-lived tangible assets (other than land, which is not depreciated) and intangible assets with finite useful lives are allocated to subsequent periods as depreciation and amortisation expenses. Depreciation and amortisation are effectively the same concept, with the term depreciation referring to the process of allocating tangible assets' costs and the term amortisation referring to the process of allocating intangible assets' costs.¹² The alternative model of reporting long-lived assets is the **revaluation model**, which is permitted under IFRS but not under US GAAP. Under the revaluation model, a company reports the long-lived asset at fair value rather than at acquisition cost (historical cost) less accumulated depreciation or amortisation, as in the cost model.

An asset's carrying amount is the amount at which the asset is reported on the balance sheet. Under the cost model, at any point in time, the carrying amount (also called carrying value or net book value) of a long-lived asset is equal to its historical cost minus the amount of depreciation or amortisation that has been accumulated since the asset's purchase (assuming that the asset has not been impaired, a topic which will be addressed in Section 9). Companies may present on the balance sheet the total net amount of property, plant, and equipment and the total net amount of intangible assets. However, more detail is disclosed in the notes to financial statements. The details disclosed typically include the acquisition costs, the depreciation and amortisation expenses, the accumulated depreciation and amortisation amounts, the depreciation and amortisation methods used, and information on the assumptions used to depreciate and amortise long-lived assets.

6.1 Depreciation Methods and Calculation of Depreciation Expense

Depreciation methods include the **straight-line method**, in which the cost of an asset is allocated to expense evenly over its useful life; **accelerated methods**, in which the allocation of cost is greater in earlier years; and the **units-of-production method**, in which the allocation of cost corresponds to the actual use of an asset in a particular period. The choice of depreciation method affects the amounts reported on the financial statements, including the amounts for reported assets and operating and net income. This, in turn, affects a variety of financial ratios, including fixed asset turnover, total asset turnover, operating profit margin, operating return on assets, and return on assets.

Using the straight-line method, depreciation expense is calculated as depreciable cost divided by estimated useful life and is the same for each period. Depreciable cost is the historical cost of the tangible asset minus the estimated residual (salvage) value.¹³ A commonly used accelerated method is the declining balance method, in which the amount of depreciation expense for a period is calculated as some percentage of the carrying amount (i.e., cost net of accumulated depreciation at the beginning of the period). When an accelerated method is used, depreciable cost is not used to calculate the depreciation expense but the carrying amount should not be reduced below the estimated residual value. In the units-of-production method, the amount of depreciation expense for a period is based on the proportion of the asset's production during the period compared with the total estimated productive capacity of the asset over its useful life. The depreciation expense is calculated as depreciable

¹² Depletion is the term applied to a similar concept for natural resources; costs associated with those resources are allocated to a period on the basis of the usage or extraction of those resources.

¹³ The residual value is the estimated amount that an entity will obtain from disposal of the asset at the end of its useful life.

cost times production in the period divided by estimated productive capacity over the life of the asset. Equivalently, the company may estimate a depreciation cost per unit (depreciable cost divided by estimated productive capacity) and calculate depreciation expense as depreciation cost per unit times production in the period. Regardless of the depreciation method used, the carrying amount of the asset is not reduced below the estimated residual value. Example 8 provides an example of these depreciation methods.

EXAMPLE 8

Alternative Depreciation Methods

You are analyzing three hypothetical companies: EVEN-LI Co., SOONER Inc., and AZUSED Co. At the beginning of Year 1, each company buys an identical piece of box manufacturing equipment for \$2,300 and has the same assumptions about useful life, estimated residual value, and productive capacity. The annual production of each company is the same, but each company uses a different method of depreciation. As disclosed in each company's notes to the financial statements, each company's depreciation method, assumptions, and production are as follows:

Depreciation method

- EVEN-LI Co.: straight-line method
- SOONER Inc.: double-declining balance method (the rate applied to the carrying amount is double the depreciation rate for the straight-line method)
- AZUSED Co.: units-of-production method

Assumptions and production

- Estimated residual value: \$100
- Estimated useful life: 4 years
- Total estimated productive capacity: 800 boxes
- Production in each of the four years: 200 boxes in the first year, 300 in the second year, 200 in the third year, and 100 in the fourth year

- 1 Using the following template for each company, record its beginning and ending net book value (carrying amount), end-of-year accumulated depreciation, and annual depreciation expense for the box manufacturing equipment.

Template:

	Beginning Net Book Value	Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Depreciation	Ending Net Book Value
Year 1				
Year 2				
Year 3				
Year 4				

- 2 Explain the significant differences in the timing of the recognition of the depreciation expense.

- 3** For each company, assume that sales, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization, and assets other than the box manufacturing equipment are as shown in the following table. Calculate the total asset turnover ratio, the operating profit margin, and the operating return on assets for each company for each of the four years. Discuss the ratios, comparing results within and across companies.

	Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization	Carrying Amount of Total Assets, Excluding the Box Manufacturing Equipment, at Year End*
Sales		
Year 1	\$300,000	\$30,000
Year 2	320,000	32,000
Year 3	340,000	34,000
Year 4	360,000	36,000

* Assume that total assets at the beginning of Year 1, *including* the box manufacturing equipment, had a value of \$30,300. Assume that depreciation expense on assets other than the box manufacturing equipment totaled \$1,000 per year.

Solution to 1:

For *each* company, the following information applies: Beginning net book value in Year 1 equals the purchase price of \$2,300; accumulated year-end depreciation equals the balance from the previous year plus the current year's depreciation expense; ending net book value (carrying amount) equals original cost minus accumulated year-end depreciation (which is the same as beginning net book value minus depreciation expense); and beginning net book value in Years 2, 3, and 4 equals the ending net book value of the prior year. The following text and filled-in templates describe how depreciation *expense* is calculated for each company.

EVEN-LI Co. uses the straight-line method, so depreciation expense in each year equals \$550, which is calculated as (\$2,300 original cost – \$100 residual value)/4 years. The net book value at the end of Year 4 is the estimated residual value of \$100.

EVEN-LI Co.	Beginning Net Book Value	Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Year-End Depreciation		Ending Net Book Value
Year 1	\$2,300	\$550	\$550	\$550	\$1,750
Year 2	1,750	550	1,100	1,100	1,200
Year 3	1,200	550	1,650	1,650	650
Year 4	650	550	2,200	2,200	100

SOONER Inc. uses the double-declining balance method. The depreciation rate for the double-declining balance method is double the depreciation rate for the straight-line method. The depreciation rate under the straight-line method is 25 percent (100 percent divided by 4 years). Thus, the depreciation rate for the double-declining balance method is 50 percent (2 times 25 percent). The depreciation expense for the first year is \$1,150 (50 percent of \$2,300). Note that under this method, the depreciation rate of 50 percent is applied to the carrying amount (net book value) of the asset, without adjustment for expected residual value. Because the carrying amount of the asset is not depreciated below its

estimated residual value, however, the depreciation expense in the final year of depreciation decreases the ending net book value (carrying amount) to the estimated residual value.

SOONER Inc.	Beginning Net Book Value	Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Year-End Depreciation	Ending Net Book Value
Year 1	\$2,300	\$1,150	\$1,150	\$1,150
Year 2	1,150	575	1,725	575
Year 3	575	288	2,013	287
Year 4	287	187	2,200	100

Another common approach (not required in this question) is to use an accelerated method, such as the double-declining method, for some period (a year or more) and then to change to the straight-line method for the remaining life of the asset. If SOONER had used the double-declining method for the first year and then switched to the straight-line method for Years 2, 3, and 4, the depreciation expense would be \$350 $[(\$1,150 - \$100 \text{ estimated residual value})/3 \text{ years}]$ a year for Years 2, 3, and 4. The results for SOONER under this alternative approach are shown below.

SOONER Inc.	Beginning Net Book Value	Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Year-End Depreciation	Ending Net Book Value
Year 1	\$2,300	\$1,150	\$1,150	\$1,150
Year 2	1,150	350	1,500	800
Year 3	800	350	1,850	450
Year 4	450	350	2,200	100

AZUSED Co. uses the units-of-production method. Dividing the equipment's total depreciable cost by its total productive capacity gives a cost per unit of \$2.75, calculated as $(\$2,300 \text{ original cost} - \$100 \text{ residual value})/800$. The depreciation expense recognised each year is the number of units produced times \$2.75. For Year 1, the amount of depreciation expense is \$550 (200 units times \$2.75). For Year 2, the amount is \$825 (300 units times \$2.75). For Year 3, the amount is \$550. For Year 4, the amount is \$275.

AZUSED Co.	Beginning Net Book Value	Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Year-End Depreciation	Ending Net Book Value
Year 1	\$2,300	\$550	\$550	\$1,750
Year 2	1,750	825	1,375	925
Year 3	925	550	1,925	375
Year 4	375	275	2,200	100

Solution to 2:

All three methods result in the same total amount of accumulated depreciation over the life of the equipment. The significant differences are simply in the timing of the recognition of the depreciation expense. The straight-line method recognises the expense evenly, the accelerated method recognises most of the expense in the first year, and the units-of-production method recognises the expense on the basis of production (or use of the asset). Under all three methods, the ending net book value is \$100.

Solution to 3:

Total asset turnover ratio = Total revenue ÷ Average total assets

Operating profit margin = Earnings before interest and taxes ÷ Total revenue

Operating return on assets = Earnings before interest and taxes ÷ Average total assets

Ratios are shown in the table below, and details of the calculations for Years 1 and 2 are described after discussion of the ratios.

Ratio*	EVEN-LI Co.			SOONER Inc.			AZUSED Co.		
	AT	PM (%)	ROA (%)	AT	PM (%)	ROA (%)	AT	PM (%)	ROA (%)
Year 1	9.67	11.48	111.04	9.76	11.28	110.17	9.67	11.48	111.04
Year 2	9.85	11.52	113.47	10.04	11.51	115.57	9.90	11.43	113.10
Year 3	10.02	11.54	115.70	10.17	11.62	118.21	10.10	11.54	116.64
Year 4	10.18	11.57	117.74	10.23	11.67	119.42	10.22	11.65	118.98

* AT = Total asset turnover ratio. PM = Operating profit margin. ROA = Operating return on assets.

For all companies, the asset turnover ratio increased over time because sales grew at a faster rate than that of the assets. SOONER had consistently higher asset turnover ratios than the other two companies, however, because higher depreciation expense in the earlier periods decreased its average total assets. In addition, the higher depreciation in earlier periods resulted in SOONER having lower operating profit margin and operating ROA in the first year and higher operating profit margin and operating ROA in the later periods. SOONER appears to be more efficiently run, on the basis of its higher asset turnover and greater increases in profit margin and ROA over time; however, these comparisons reflect differences in the companies' choice of depreciation method. In addition, an analyst might question the sustainability of the extremely high ROAs for all three companies because such high profitability levels would probably attract new competitors, which would likely put downward pressure on the ratios.

EVEN-LI Co.

Year 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 300,000 / [(30,300 + 30,000 + 1,750) / 2] \\ &= 300,000 / 31,025 = 9.67\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (36,000 - 1,000 - 550) / 300,000 \\ &= 34,450 / 300,000 = 11.48\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 34,450 / 31,025 = 111.04\%$$

Year 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 320,000 / [(30,000 + 1,750 + 32,000 + 1,200) / 2] \\ &= 320,000 / 32,475 = 9.85\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (38,400 - 1,000 - 550) / 320,000 \\ &= 36,850 / 320,000 = 11.52\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 36,850 / 32,475 = 113.47\%$$

SOONER Inc.

Year 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 300,000 / [(30,300 + 30,000 + 1,150) / 2] \\ &= 300,000 / 30,725 = 9.76\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (36,000 - 1,000 - 1,150) / 300,000 \\ &= 33,850 / 300,000 = 11.28\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 33,850 / 30,725 = 110.17\%$$

Year 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 320,000 / [(30,000 + 1,150 + 32,000 + 575) / 2] \\ &= 320,000 / 31,862.50 = 10.04\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (38,400 - 1,000 - 575) / 320,000 \\ &= 36,825 / 320,000 = 11.51\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 36,825 / 31,862.50 = 115.57\%$$

AZUSED Co.

Year 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 300,000 / [(30,300 + 30,000 + 1,750) / 2] \\ &= 300,000 / 31,025 = 9.67\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (36,000 - 1,000 - 550) / 300,000 \\ &= 34,450 / 300,000 = 11.48\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 34,450 / 31,025 = 111.04\%$$

Year 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total asset turnover ratio} &= 320,000 / [(30,000 + 1,750 + 32,000 + 925) / 2] \\ &= 320,000 / 32,337.50 = 9.90\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Operating profit margin} &= (38,400 - 1,000 - 825) / 320,000 \\ &= 36,575 / 320,000 = 11.43\%\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Operating ROA} = 36,575 / 32,337.50 = 113.10\%$$

In many countries, a company must use the same depreciation methods for both financial and tax reporting. In other countries, including the United States, a company need not use the same depreciation method for financial reporting and taxes. As a result of using different depreciation methods for financial and tax reporting, pre-tax income on the income statement and taxable income on the tax return may differ. Thus, the amount of tax expense computed on the basis of pre-tax income and the amount of taxes actually owed on the basis of taxable income may differ. Although these differences eventually reverse because the total depreciation is the same regardless of the timing of its recognition in financial statements versus on tax returns, during the period of the difference, the balance sheet will show what is known as deferred taxes. For instance, if a company uses straight-line depreciation for financial reporting and an accelerated depreciation method for tax purposes, the company's financial statements will report lower depreciation expense and higher pre-tax income in the first year, compared with the amount of depreciation expense and taxable income in its tax reporting. (Compare the depreciation expense in Year 1 for EVEN-LI Co. and SOONER Inc. in the previous example.) Tax expense calculated on the basis of the financial statements' pre-tax income will be higher than taxes payable on the basis of taxable income; the difference between the two amounts represents a deferred tax

liability. The deferred tax liability will be reduced as the difference reverses (i.e., when depreciation for financial reporting is higher than the depreciation for tax purposes) and the income tax is paid.

Significant estimates required for calculating depreciation include the useful life of the asset (or its total lifetime productive capacity) and its expected residual value at the end of that useful life. A longer useful life and higher expected residual value decrease the amount of annual depreciation expense relative to a shorter useful life and lower expected residual value. Companies should review their estimates periodically to ensure they remain reasonable. IFRS require companies to review estimates annually.

Although no significant differences exist between IFRS and US GAAP with respect to the definition of depreciation and the acceptable depreciation methods, IFRS require companies to use a component method of depreciation.¹⁴ Companies are required to separately depreciate the significant components of an asset (parts of an item with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost and/or with different useful lives) and thus require additional estimates for the various components. For instance, it may be appropriate to depreciate separately the engine, frame, and interior furnishings of an aircraft. Under US GAAP, the component method of depreciation is allowed but is seldom used in practice.¹⁵ The following example illustrates depreciating components of an asset.

EXAMPLE 9

Illustration of Depreciating Components of an Asset

CUTITUP Co., a hypothetical company, purchases a milling machine, a type of machine used for shaping metal, at a total cost of \$10,000. \$2,000 was estimated to represent the cost of the rotating cutter, a significant component of the machine. The company expects the machine to have a useful life of eight years and a residual value of \$3,000 and that the rotating cutter will need to be replaced every two years. Assume the entire residual value is attributable to the milling machine itself, and assume the company uses straight-line depreciation for all assets.

- 1 How much depreciation expense would the company report in Year 1 if it uses the component method of depreciation, and how much depreciation expense would the company report in Year 1 if it does not use the component method?
- 2 Assuming a new cutter with an estimated two-year useful life is purchased at the end of Year 2 for \$2,000, what depreciation expenses would the company report in Year 3 if it uses the component method and if it does not use the component method?
- 3 Assuming replacement of the cutter every two years at a price of \$2,000, what is the total depreciation expense over the eight years if the company uses the component method compared with the total depreciation expense if the company does not use the component method?
- 4 How many different items must the company estimate in the first year to compute depreciation expense for the milling machine if it uses the component method, and how does this compare with what would be required if it does not use the component method?

¹⁴ IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment*, paragraphs 43–47 [Depreciation].

¹⁵ According to KPMG's *IFRS Compared to US GAAP*, December 2017, kpmg.com.

Solution to 1:

Depreciation expense in Year 1 under the component method would be \$1,625. For the portion of the machine excluding the cutter, the depreciable base is total cost minus the cost attributable to the cutter minus the estimated residual value = $\$10,000 - \$2,000 - \$3,000 = \$5,000$. Depreciation expense for the machine excluding the cutter in the first year equals \$625 (depreciable cost divided by the useful life of the machine = $\$5,000/8$ years). For the cutter, the depreciation expense equals \$1,000 (depreciable cost divided by the useful life of the cutter = $\$2,000/2$ years). Thus, the total depreciation expense for Year 1 under the component method is \$1,625 (the sum of the depreciation expenses of the two components = $\$625 + \$1,000$). Depreciation expense in Year 2 would also be \$1,625.

If the company does not use the component method, depreciation expense in Year 1 is \$875 (the depreciable cost of the total milling machine divided by its useful life = $[\$10,000 - \$3,000]/8$ years). Depreciation expense in Year 2 would also be \$875.

Solution to 2:

Assuming that at the end of Year 2, the company purchases a new cutter for \$2,000 with an estimated two-year life, under the component method, the depreciation expense in Year 3 will remain at \$1,625. If the company does not use the component method and purchases a new cutter with an estimated two-year life for \$2,000 at the end of Year 2, the depreciation expense in Year 3 will be \$1,875 [$\$875 + (\$2,000/2) = \$875 + \$1,000$].

Solution to 3:

Over the eight years, assuming replacement of the cutters every two years at a price of \$2,000, the total depreciation expense will be \$13,000 [$\$1,625 \times 8$ years] when the component method is used. When the component method is not used, the total depreciation expense will also be \$13,000 [$\875×2 years + $\$1,875 \times 6$ years]. This amount equals the total expenditures of \$16,000 [$\$10,000 + 3$ cutters $\times \$2,000$] less the residual value of \$3,000.

Solution to 4:

The following table summarizes the estimates required in the first year to compute depreciation expense if the company does or does not use the component method:

Estimate	Required using component method?	Required if not using component method?
Useful life of milling machine	Yes	Yes
Residual value of milling machine	Yes	Yes
Portion of machine cost attributable to cutter	Yes	No
Portion of residual value attributable to cutter	Yes	No
Useful life of cutter	Yes	No

Total depreciation expense may be allocated between the cost of sales and other expenses. Within the income statement, depreciation expense of assets used in production is usually allocated to the cost of sales, and the depreciation expense of assets not used in production may be allocated to some other expense category. For

instance, depreciation expense may be allocated to selling, general, and administrative expenses if depreciable assets are used in those functional areas. Notes to the financial statements sometimes disclose information regarding which income statement line items include depreciation expense, although the exact amount of detail disclosed by individual companies varies.

AMORTISATION OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS: METHODS AND CALCULATION

7

- f** describe the different amortisation methods for intangible assets with finite lives and calculate amortisation expense;
- g** describe how the choice of amortisation method and assumptions concerning useful life and residual value affect amortisation expense, financial statements, and ratios;
- k** explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

Amortisation is similar in concept to depreciation. The term amortisation applies to intangible assets, and the term depreciation applies to tangible assets. Both terms refer to the process of allocating the cost of an asset over the asset's useful life. Only those intangible assets assumed to have finite useful lives are amortised over their useful lives, following the pattern in which the benefits are used up. Acceptable amortisation methods are the same as the methods acceptable for depreciation. Assets assumed to have an indefinite useful life (in other words, without a finite useful life) are not amortised. An intangible asset is considered to have an indefinite useful life when there is "no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows" for the company.¹⁶

Intangible assets with finite useful lives include an acquired customer list expected to provide benefits to a direct-mail marketing company for two to three years, an acquired patent or copyright with a specific expiration date, an acquired license with a specific expiration date and no right to renew the license, and an acquired trademark for a product that a company plans to phase out over a specific number of years. Examples of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives include an acquired license that, although it has a specific expiration date, can be renewed at little or no cost and an acquired trademark that, although it has a specific expiration, can be renewed at a minimal cost and relates to a product that a company plans to continue selling for the foreseeable future.

As with depreciation for a tangible asset, the calculation of amortisation for an intangible asset requires the original amount at which the intangible asset is recognised and estimates of the length of its useful life and its residual value at the end of its useful life. Useful lives are estimated on the basis of the expected use of the asset, considering any factors that may limit the life of the asset, such as legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, or economic factors.

¹⁶ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*, paragraph 88.

EXAMPLE 10**Amortisation Expense**

IAS 38 *Intangible Assets* provides illustrative examples regarding the accounting for intangible assets, including the following:

A direct-mail marketing company acquires a customer list and expects that it will be able to derive benefit from the information on the list for at least one year, but no more than three years. The customer list would be amortised over management's best estimate of its useful life, say 18 months. Although the direct-mail marketing company may intend to add customer names and other information to the list in the future, the expected benefits of the acquired customer list relate only to the customers on that list at the date it was acquired.

In this example, in what ways would management's decisions and estimates affect the company's financial statements?

Solution:

Because the acquired customer list is expected to generate future economic benefits for a period greater than one year, the cost of the list should be capitalised and not expensed. The acquired customer list is determined to not have an indefinite life and must be amortised. Management must estimate the useful life of the customer list and must select an amortisation method. In this example, the list appears to have no residual value. Both the amortisation method and the estimated useful life affect the amount of the amortisation expense in each period. A shorter estimated useful life, compared with a longer estimated useful life, results in a higher amortisation expense each year over a shorter period, but the *total* accumulated amortisation expense over the life of the intangible asset is unaffected by the estimate of the useful life. Similarly, the total accumulated amortisation expense over the life of the intangible asset is unaffected by the choice of amortisation method. The amortisation expense per period depends on the amortisation method. If the straight-line method is used, the amortisation expense is the same for each year of useful life. If an accelerated method is used, the amortisation expense will be higher in earlier years.

8**THE REVALUATION MODEL**

- h describe the revaluation model;
- k explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

The revaluation model is an alternative to the cost model for the periodic valuation and reporting of long-lived assets. IFRS permit the use of either the revaluation model or the cost model, but the revaluation model is not allowed under US GAAP. Revaluation changes the carrying amounts of classes of long-lived assets to fair value (the fair value must be measured reliably). Under the cost model, carrying amounts are historical costs less accumulated depreciation or amortisation. Under the revaluation model, carrying amounts are the fair values at the date of revaluation less any subsequent accumulated depreciation or amortisation.

IFRS allow companies to value long-lived assets either under a cost model at historical cost minus accumulated depreciation or amortisation or under a revaluation model at fair value. In contrast, US accounting standards require that the cost model be used. A key difference between the two models is that the cost model allows only decreases in the values of long-lived assets compared with historical costs but the revaluation model may result in increases in the values of long-lived assets to amounts greater than historical costs.

IFRS allow a company to use the cost model for some classes of assets and the revaluation model for others, but the company must apply the same model to all assets within a particular class of assets and must revalue all items within a class to avoid selective revaluation. Examples of different classes of assets include land, land and buildings, machinery, motor vehicles, furniture and fixtures, and office equipment. The revaluation model may be used for classes of intangible assets but only if an active market for the assets exists, because the revaluation model may only be used if the fair values of the assets can be measured reliably. For practical purposes, the revaluation model is rarely used for either tangible or intangible assets, but its use is especially rare for intangible assets.

Under the revaluation model, whether an asset revaluation affects earnings depends on whether the revaluation initially increases or decreases an asset class' carrying amount. If a revaluation initially decreases the carrying amount of the asset class, the decrease is recognised in profit or loss. Later, if the carrying amount of the asset class increases, the increase is recognised in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset class previously recognised in profit or loss. Any increase in excess of the reversal amount will not be recognised in the income statement but will be recorded directly to equity in a revaluation surplus account. An upward revaluation is treated the same as the amount in excess of the reversal amount. In other words, if a revaluation initially increases the carrying amount of the asset class, the increase in the carrying amount of the asset class bypasses the income statement and goes directly to equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. Any subsequent decrease in the asset's value first decreases the revaluation surplus and then goes to income. When an asset is retired or disposed of, any related amount of revaluation surplus included in equity is transferred directly to retained earnings.

Asset revaluations offer several considerations for financial statement analyses. First, an increase in the carrying amount of depreciable long-lived assets increases total assets and shareholders' equity, so asset revaluations that increase the carrying amount of an asset can be used to reduce reported leverage. Defining leverage as average total assets divided by average shareholders' equity, increasing both the numerator (assets) and denominator (equity) by the same amount leads to a decline in the ratio. (Mathematically, when a ratio is greater than one, as in this case, an increase in both the numerator and the denominator by the same amount leads to a decline in the ratio.) Therefore, the leverage motivation for the revaluation should be considered in analysis. For example, a company may revalue assets up if it is seeking new capital or approaching leverage limitations set by financial covenants.

Second, assets revaluations that decrease the carrying amount of the assets reduce net income. In the year of the revaluation, profitability measures such as return on assets and return on equity decline. However, because total assets and shareholders' equity are also lower, the company may appear more profitable in future years. Additionally, reversals of downward revaluations also go through income, thus increasing earnings. Managers can then opportunistically time the reversals to manage earnings and increase income. Third, asset revaluations that increase the carrying amount of an asset initially increase depreciation expense, total assets, and shareholders' equity. Therefore, profitability measures, such as return on assets and return on equity, would decline. Although upward asset revaluations also generally decrease income (through higher

depreciation expense), the increase in the value of the long-lived asset is presumably based on increases in the operating capacity of the asset, which will likely be evidenced in increased future revenues.

Finally, an analyst should consider who did the appraisal—i.e. an independent external appraiser or management—and how often revaluations are made. Appraisals of the fair value of long-lived assets involve considerable judgment and discretion. Presumably, appraisals of assets from independent external sources are more reliable. How often assets are revalued can provide an indicator of whether their reported value continues to be representative of their fair values.

The next two examples illustrate revaluation of long-lived assets under IFRS.

EXAMPLE 11

Revaluation Resulting in an Increase in Carrying Amount Followed by Subsequent Revaluation Resulting in a Decrease in Carrying Amount

UPFIRST, a hypothetical manufacturing company, has elected to use the revaluation model for its machinery. Assume for simplicity that the company owns a single machine, which it purchased for €10,000 on the first day of its fiscal period, and that the measurement date occurs simultaneously with the company's fiscal period end.

- 1 At the end of the first fiscal period after acquisition, assume the fair value of the machine is determined to be €11,000. How will the company's financial statements reflect the asset?
- 2 At the end of the second fiscal period after acquisition, assume the fair value of the machine is determined to be €7,500. How will the company's financial statements reflect the asset?

Solution to 1:

At the end of the first fiscal period, the company's balance sheet will show the asset at a value of €11,000. The €1,000 increase in the value of the asset will appear in other comprehensive income and be accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

Solution to 2:

At the end of the second fiscal period, the company's balance sheet will show the asset at a value of €7,500. The total decrease in the carrying amount of the asset is €3,500 ($\text{€}11,000 - \text{€}7,500$). Of the €3,500 decrease, the first €1,000 will reduce the amount previously accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. The other €2,500 will be shown as a loss on the income statement.

EXAMPLE 12

Revaluation Resulting in a Decrease in Asset's Carrying Amount Followed by Subsequent Revaluation Resulting in an Increase in Asset's Carrying Amount

DOWNFIRST, a hypothetical manufacturing company, has elected to use the revaluation model for its machinery. Assume for simplicity that the company owns a single machine, which it purchased for €10,000 on the first day of its fiscal period, and that the measurement date occurs simultaneously with the company's fiscal period end.

- 1 At the end of the first fiscal period after acquisition, assume the fair value of the machine is determined to be €7,500. How will the company's financial statements reflect the asset?
- 2 At the end of the second fiscal period after acquisition, assume the fair value of the machine is determined to be €11,000. How will the company's financial statements reflect the asset?

Solution to 1:

At the end of the first fiscal period, the company's balance sheet will show the asset at a value of €7,500. The €2,500 decrease in the value of the asset will appear as a loss on the company's income statement.

Solution to 2:

At the end of the second fiscal period, the company's balance sheet will show the asset at a value of €11,000. The total increase in the carrying amount of the asset is an increase of €3,500 ($\text{€}11,000 - \text{€}7,500$). Of the €3,500 increase, the first €2,500 reverses a previously reported loss and will be reported as a gain on the income statement. The other €1,000 will bypass profit or loss and be reported as other comprehensive income and be accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

Exhibit 6 provides two examples of disclosures concerning the revaluation model. The first disclosure is an excerpt from the 2006 annual report of KPN, a Dutch telecommunications and multimedia company. The report was produced at a time during which any IFRS-reporting company with a US stock exchange listing was required to explain differences between its reporting under IFRS and its reporting if it had used US GAAP.¹⁷ One of these differences, as previously noted, is that US GAAP do not allow revaluation of fixed assets held for use. KPN's disclosure states that the company elected to report a class of fixed assets (cables) at fair value and explained that under US GAAP, using the cost model, the value of the asset class would have been €350 million lower. The second disclosure is an excerpt from the 2017 annual report of Avianca Holdings S.A., a Latin American airline that reports under IFRS and uses the revaluation model for one component of its fixed assets.

¹⁷ On 15 November 2007, the SEC approved rule amendments under which financial statements from foreign private issuers in the United States will be accepted without reconciliation to US GAAP if the financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. The rule took effect for the 2007 fiscal year. As a result, companies such as KPN no longer need to provide reconciliations to US GAAP.

Exhibit 6 Impact of Revaluation

- 1** Excerpt from the annual report of Koninklijke KPN N.V. explaining certain differences between IFRS and US GAAP regarding “Deemed cost fixed assets”:

KPN elected the exemption to revalue certain of its fixed assets upon the transition to IFRS to fair value and to use this fair value as their deemed cost. KPN applied the depreciated replacement cost method to determine this fair value. The revalued assets pertain to certain cables, which form part of property, plant & equipment. Under US GAAP, this revaluation is not allowed and therefore results in a reconciling item. As a result, the value of these assets as of December 31, 2006 under US GAAP is EUR 350 million lower (2005: EUR 415 million; 2004: EUR 487 million) than under IFRS.

Source: KPN’s Form 20-F, p. 168, filed 1 March 2007.

- 2** The 2017 annual report of Avianca Holdings S.A. and Subsidiaries shows \$58.4 million of “Revaluation and Other Reserves” as a component of Equity on its balance sheet and \$31.0 million in Other Comprehensive Income for the current year’s “Revaluation of Administrative Property”. The relevant footnote disclosure explains:

“Administrative property in Bogota, Medellín, El Salvador, and San Jose is recorded at fair value less accumulated depreciation on buildings and impairment losses recognized at the date of revaluation. Valuations are performed with sufficient frequency to ensure that the fair value of a revalued asset does not differ materially from its carrying amount. A revaluation reserve is recorded in other comprehensive income and credited to the asset revaluation reserve in equity. However, to the extent that it reverses a revaluation deficit of the same asset previously recognized in profit or loss, the increase is recognized in profit and loss. A revaluation deficit is recognized in the income statement, except to the extent that it offsets an existing surplus on the same asset recognized in the asset revaluation reserve. Upon disposal, any revaluation reserve relating to the particular asset being sold is transferred to retained earnings.”

Source: AVIANCA HOLDINGS S.A. Form 20-F filed 01 May 2018.

Clearly, the use of the revaluation model as opposed to the cost model can have a significant impact on the financial statements of companies. This has potential consequences for comparing financial performance using financial ratios of companies that use different models.

9**IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS**

- i. explain the impairment of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
- k. explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

In contrast with depreciation and amortisation charges, which serve to allocate the depreciable cost of a long-lived asset over its useful life, impairment charges reflect an unanticipated decline in the value of an asset. Both IFRS and US GAAP require companies to write down the carrying amount of impaired assets. Impairment reversals for identifiable, long-lived assets are permitted under IFRS but typically not under US GAAP.

An asset is considered to be impaired when its carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. Although IFRS and US GAAP define recoverability differently (as described below), in general, impairment losses are recognised when the asset's carrying amount is not recoverable. The following paragraphs describe accounting for impairment for different categories of assets.

9.1 Impairment of Property, Plant, and Equipment

Accounting standards do not require that property, plant, and equipment be tested annually for impairment. Rather, at the end of each reporting period (generally, a fiscal year), a company assesses whether there are indications of asset impairment. If there is no indication of impairment, the asset is not tested for impairment. If there is an indication of impairment, such as evidence of obsolescence, decline in demand for products, or technological advancements, the recoverable amount of the asset should be measured in order to test for impairment. For property, plant, and equipment, impairment losses are recognised when the asset's carrying amount is not recoverable; the carrying amount is more than the recoverable amount. The amount of the impairment loss will reduce the carrying amount of the asset on the balance sheet and will reduce net income on the income statement. The impairment loss is a non-cash item and will not affect cash from operations.

IFRS and US GAAP differ somewhat both in the guidelines for determining that impairment has occurred and in the measurement of an impairment loss. Under IAS 36, an impairment loss is measured as the excess of carrying amount over the recoverable amount of the asset. The recoverable amount of an asset is defined as "the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use." Value in use is based on the present value of expected future cash flows. Under US GAAP, assessing recoverability is separate from measuring the impairment loss. The carrying amount of an asset "group" is considered not recoverable when it exceeds the undiscounted expected future cash flows of the group. If the asset's carrying amount is considered not recoverable, the impairment loss is measured as the difference between the asset's fair value and carrying amount.

EXAMPLE 13

Impairment of Property, Plant, and Equipment

Sussex, a hypothetical manufacturing company in the United Kingdom, has a machine it uses to produce a single product. The demand for the product has declined substantially since the introduction of a competing product. The company has assembled the following information with respect to the machine:

Carrying amount	£18,000
Undiscounted expected future cash flows	£19,000
Present value of expected future cash flows	£16,000
Fair value if sold	£17,000
Costs to sell	£2,000

- 1 Under IFRS, what would the company report for the machine?
- 2 Under US GAAP, what would the company report for the machine?

Solution to 1:

Under IFRS, the company would compare the carrying amount (£18,000) with the higher of its fair value less costs to sell (£15,000) and its value in use (£16,000). The carrying amount exceeds the value in use, the higher of the two amounts, by £2,000. The machine would be written down to the recoverable amount of £16,000, and a loss of £2,000 would be reported in the income statement. The carrying amount of the machine is now £16,000. A new depreciation schedule based on the carrying amount of £16,000 would be developed.

Solution to 2:

Under US GAAP, the carrying amount (£18,000) is compared with the undiscounted expected future cash flows (£19,000). The carrying amount is less than the undiscounted expected future cash flows, so the carrying amount is considered recoverable. The machine would continue to be carried at £18,000, and no loss would be reported.

In Example 13, a write down in the value of a piece of property, plant, and equipment occurred under IFRS but not under US GAAP. In Example 14, a write down occurs under both IFRS and US GAAP.

EXAMPLE 14

Impairment of Property, Plant, and Equipment

Essex, a hypothetical manufacturing company, has a machine it uses to produce a single product. The demand for the product has declined substantially since the introduction of a competing product. The company has assembled the following information with respect to the machine:

Carrying amount	£18,000
Undiscounted expected future cash flows	£16,000
Present value of expected future cash flows	£14,000
Fair value if sold	£10,000
Costs to sell	£2,000

- 1 Under IFRS, what would the company report for the machine?
- 2 Under US GAAP, what would the company report for the machine?

Solution to 1:

Under IFRS, the company would compare the carrying amount (£18,000) with the higher of its fair value less costs to sell (£8,000) and its value in use (£14,000). The carrying amount exceeds the value in use, the higher of the two amounts,

by £4,000. The machine would be written down to the recoverable amount of £14,000, and a loss of £4,000 would be reported in the income statement. The carrying amount of the machine is now £14,000. A new depreciation schedule based on the carrying amount of £14,000 would be developed.

Solution to 2:

Under US GAAP, the carrying amount (£18,000) is compared with the undiscounted expected future cash flows (£16,000). The carrying amount exceeds the undiscounted expected future cash flows, so the carrying amount is considered not recoverable. The machine would be written down to fair value of £10,000, and a loss of £8,000 would be reported in the income statement. The carrying amount of the machine is now £10,000. A new depreciation schedule based on the carrying amount of £10,000 would be developed.

Example 14 shows that the write down to value in use under IFRS can be less than the write down to fair value under US GAAP. The difference in recognition of impairment losses is ultimately reflected in difference in book value of equity.

9.2 Impairment of Intangible Assets with a Finite Life

Intangible assets with a finite life are amortised (carrying amount decreases over time) and may become impaired. As is the case with property, plant, and equipment, the assets are not tested annually for impairment. Instead, they are tested only when significant events suggest the need to test. The company assesses at the end of each reporting period whether a significant event suggesting the need to test for impairment has occurred. Examples of such events include a significant decrease in the market price or a significant adverse change in legal or economic factors. Impairment accounting for intangible assets with a finite life is essentially the same as for tangible assets; the amount of the impairment loss will reduce the carrying amount of the asset on the balance sheet and will reduce net income on the income statement.

9.3 Impairment of Intangibles with Indefinite Lives

Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortised. Instead, they are carried on the balance sheet at historical cost but are tested at least annually for impairment. Impairment exists when the carrying amount exceeds its fair value.

9.4 Impairment of Long-Lived Assets Held for Sale

A long-lived (non-current) asset is reclassified as held for sale rather than held for use when management's intent is to sell it and its sale is highly probable. (Additionally, accounting standards require that the asset must be available for immediate sale in its present condition.)¹⁸ For instance, assume a building is no longer needed by a company and management's intent is to sell it, if the transaction meets the accounting criteria, the building is reclassified from property, plant, and equipment to non-current assets held for sale. At the time of reclassification, assets previously held for use are tested for impairment. If the carrying amount at the time of reclassification exceeds the fair value less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognised and the asset is written down to fair value less costs to sell. Long-lived assets held for sale cease to be depreciated or amortised.

¹⁸ IFRS 5 *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*.

9.5 Reversals of Impairments of Long-Lived Assets

After an asset has been deemed impaired and an impairment loss has been reported, the asset's recoverable amount could potentially increase. For instance, a lawsuit appeal may successfully challenge a patent infringement by another company, with the result that a patent previously written down has a higher recoverable amount. IFRS permit impairment losses to be reversed if the recoverable amount of an asset increases regardless of whether the asset is classified as held for use or held for sale. Note that IFRS permit the reversal of impairment losses only. IFRS do not permit the revaluation to the recoverable amount if the recoverable amount exceeds the previous carrying amount. Under US GAAP, the accounting for reversals of impairments depends on whether the asset is classified as held for use or held for sale.¹⁹ Under US GAAP, once an impairment loss has been recognised for assets held for use, it cannot be reversed. In other words, once the value of an asset held for use has been decreased by an impairment charge, it cannot be increased. For assets held for sale, if the fair value increases after an impairment loss, the loss can be reversed.

10

DERECOGNITION

- j explain the derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;
- k explain and evaluate how impairment, revaluation, and derecognition of property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets affect financial statements and ratios;

A company derecognises an asset (i.e., removes it from the financial statements) when the asset is disposed of or is expected to provide no future benefits from either use or disposal. A company may dispose of a long-lived operating asset by selling it, exchanging it, abandoning it, or distributing it to existing shareholders. As previously described, non-current assets that management intends to sell or to distribute to existing shareholders and which meet the accounting criteria (immediately available for sale in current condition and the sale is highly probable) are reclassified as non-current assets held for sale.

10.1 Sale of Long-Lived Assets

The gain or loss on the sale of long-lived assets is computed as the sales proceeds minus the carrying amount of the asset at the time of sale. An asset's carrying amount is typically the net book value (i.e., the historical cost minus accumulated depreciation), unless the asset's carrying amount has been changed to reflect impairment and/or revaluation, as previously discussed.

¹⁹ FASB ASC Section 360-10-35 [Property, Plant, and Equipment – Overall – Subsequent Measurement].

EXAMPLE 15**Calculation of Gain or Loss on the Sale of Long-Lived Assets**

Moussilauke Diners Inc., a hypothetical company, as a result of revamping its menus to focus on healthier food items, sells 450 used pizza ovens and reports a gain on the sale of \$1.2 million. The ovens had a carrying amount of \$1.9 million (original cost of \$5.1 million less \$3.2 million of accumulated depreciation). At what price did Moussilauke sell the ovens?

- A** \$0.7 million
- B** \$3.1 million
- C** \$6.3 million

Solution:

B is correct. The ovens had a carrying amount of \$1.9 million, and Moussilauke recognised a gain of \$1.2 million. Therefore, Moussilauke sold the ovens at a price of \$3.1 million. The gain on the sale of \$1.2 million is the selling price of \$3.1 million minus the carrying amount of \$1.9 million. Ignoring taxes, the cash flow from the sale is \$3.1 million, which would appear as a cash inflow from investing.

A gain or loss on the sale of an asset is disclosed on the income statement, either as a component of other gains and losses or in a separate line item when the amount is material. A company typically discloses further detail about the sale in the management discussion and analysis and/or financial statement footnotes. In addition, a statement of cash flows prepared using the indirect method adjusts net income to remove any gain or loss on the sale from operating cash flow and to include the amount of proceeds from the sale in cash from investing activities. Recall that the indirect method of the statement of cash flows begins with net income and makes all adjustments to arrive at cash from operations, including removal of gains or losses from non-operating activities.

10.2 Long-Lived Assets Disposed of Other Than by a Sale

Long-lived assets to be disposed of other than by a sale (e.g., abandoned, exchanged for another asset, or distributed to owners in a spin-off) are classified as held for use until disposal or until they meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale or held for distribution.²⁰ Thus, the long-lived assets continue to be depreciated and tested for impairment, unless their carrying amount is zero, as required for other long-lived assets owned by the company.

When an asset is retired or abandoned, the accounting is similar to a sale, except that the company does not record cash proceeds. Assets are reduced by the carrying amount of the asset at the time of retirement or abandonment, and a loss equal to the asset's carrying amount is recorded.

When an asset is exchanged, accounting for the exchange typically involves removing the carrying amount of the asset given up, adding a fair value for the asset acquired, and reporting any difference between the carrying amount and the fair value

²⁰ In a spin-off, shareholders of the parent company receive a proportional number of shares in a new, separate entity.

as a gain or loss. The fair value used is the fair value of the asset given up unless the fair value of the asset acquired is more clearly evident. If no reliable measure of fair value exists, the acquired asset is measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up. A gain is reported when the fair value used for the newly acquired asset exceeds the carrying amount of the asset given up. A loss is reported when the fair value used for the newly acquired asset is less than the carrying amount of the asset given up. If the acquired asset is valued at the carrying amount of the asset given up because no reliable measure of fair value exists, no gain or loss is reported.

When a spin-off occurs, typically, an entire cash generating unit of a company with all its assets is spun off. As an illustration of a spin-off, Fiat Chrysler Automobiles (FCA) spun off its ownership of Ferrari in 2016. Prior to the spinoff, FCA had sold 10 percent of its ownership of Ferrari in an IPO and recognized an increase in Shareholders' equity of € 873 million (the difference between the consideration it received in the IPO of € 866 million and the carrying amount of the equity interest sold of € 7 million.) In contrast, the spin-off, in which FCA distributed its ownership in Ferrari to the existing FCA shareholders, did not result in any gain or loss.

FCA's spinoff was completed on 3 January 2016, with each FCA shareholder receiving one common share of Ferrari N.V. for every ten common shares of FCA. In its financial statements for the prior fiscal year, FCA shows the assets and liabilities of Ferrari as held for distribution. Specifically, its balance sheet includes € 3,650 million Assets Held for Distribution as a component of current assets and € 3,584 million Liabilities Held for Distribution. Exhibit 7 includes excerpts from the company's 31 December 2015 annual report.

Exhibit 7 Fiat Chrysler Automobiles (FCA) Excerpts from Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - 2015 Annual Report

Ferrari Spin-off and Discontinued Operations

"As the spin-off of Ferrari N.V. became highly probable with the aforementioned shareholders' approval and since it was available for immediate distribution at that date, the Ferrari segment met the criteria to be classified as a disposal group held for distribution to owners and a discontinued operation pursuant to IFRS 5 - *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*."

The following assets and liabilities of the Ferrari segment were classified as held for distribution at December 31, 2015:

	At December 31, 2015
Assets classified as held for distribution	(€ million)
Goodwill	786
Other intangible assets	297
Property, plant and equipment	627
Other non-current assets	134
Receivables from financing activities	1,176
Cash and cash equivalents	182
Other current assets	448
Total Assets held for distribution	3,650

Liabilities classified as held for distribution

Provisions

224

Exhibit 7 (Continued)

	At December 31, 2015
Debt	2,256
Other current liabilities	624
Trade payables	480
Total Liabilities held for distribution	3,584

Source: Fiat Chrysler Automobiles (FCA)'s Form 20-F for the year ending 31 December 2015.

PRESENTATION AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

11

- I. describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;

Under IFRS, for each class of property, plant, and equipment, a company must disclose the measurement bases, the depreciation method, the useful lives (or, equivalently, the depreciation rate) used, the gross carrying amount and the accumulated depreciation at the beginning and end of the period, and a reconciliation of the carrying amount at the beginning and end of the period.²¹ In addition, disclosures of restrictions on title and pledges as security of property, plant, and equipment and contractual agreements to acquire property, plant, and equipment are required. If the revaluation model is used, the date of revaluation, details of how the fair value was obtained, the carrying amount under the cost model, and the revaluation surplus must be disclosed.

The disclosure requirements under US GAAP are less exhaustive.²² A company must disclose the depreciation expense for the period, the balances of major classes of depreciable assets, accumulated depreciation by major classes or in total, and a general description of the depreciation method(s) used in computing depreciation expense with respect to the major classes of depreciable assets.

Under IFRS, for each class of intangible assets, a company must disclose whether the useful lives are indefinite or finite. If finite, for each class of intangible asset, a company must disclose the useful lives (or, equivalently, the amortisation rate) used, the amortisation methods used, the gross carrying amount and the accumulated amortisation at the beginning and end of the period, where amortisation is included on the income statement, and a reconciliation of the carrying amount at the beginning and end of the period.²³ If an asset has an indefinite life, the company must disclose the carrying amount of the asset and why it is considered to have an indefinite life. Similar to property, plant, and equipment, disclosures of restrictions on title and pledges as security of intangible assets and contractual agreements to acquire intangible assets are required. If the revaluation model is used, the date of revaluation, details of how the fair value was obtained, the carrying amount under the cost model, and the revaluation surplus must be disclosed.

²¹ IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment*, paragraphs 73–79 [Disclosure].

²² FASB ASC Section 360-10-50 [Property, Plant, and Equipment – Overall – Disclosure].

²³ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*, paragraphs 118–128 [Disclosure].

Under US GAAP, companies are required to disclose the gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortisation in total and by major class of intangible assets, the aggregate amortisation expense for the period, and the estimated amortisation expense for the next five fiscal years.²⁴

The disclosures related to impairment losses also differ under IFRS and US GAAP. Under IFRS, a company must disclose for each class of assets the amounts of impairment losses and reversals of impairment losses recognised in the period and where those are recognised on the financial statements.²⁵ The company must also disclose in aggregate the main classes of assets affected by impairment losses and reversals of impairment losses and the main events and circumstances leading to recognition of these impairment losses and reversals of impairment losses. Under US GAAP, there is no reversal of impairment losses for assets held for use. The company must disclose a description of the impaired asset, what led to the impairment, the method of determining fair value, the amount of the impairment loss, and where the loss is recognised on the financial statements.²⁶

Disclosures about long-lived assets appear throughout the financial statements: in the balance sheet, the income statement, the statement of cash flows, and the notes. The balance sheet reports the carrying value of the asset. For the income statement, depreciation expense may or may not appear as a separate line item. Under IFRS, whether the income statement discloses depreciation expense separately depends on whether the company is using a ‘nature of expense’ method or a ‘function of expense’ method. Under the nature of expense method, a company aggregates expenses “according to their nature (for example, depreciation, purchases of materials, transport costs, employee benefits and advertising costs), and does not reallocate them among functions within the entity.”²⁷ Under the function of expense method, a company classifies expenses according to the function, for example as part of cost of sales or of SG&A (selling, general, and administrative expenses). At a minimum, a company using the function of expense method must disclose cost of sales, but the other line items vary.

The statement of cash flows reflects acquisitions and disposals of fixed assets in the investing section. In addition, when prepared using the indirect method, the statement of cash flows typically shows depreciation expense (or depreciation plus amortisation) as a line item in the adjustments of net income to cash flow from operations. The notes to the financial statements describe the company’s accounting method(s), the range of estimated useful lives, historical cost by main category of fixed asset, accumulated depreciation, and annual depreciation expense.

To illustrate financial statement presentation and disclosures, the following example provides excerpts relating to intangible assets and property, plant, and equipment from the annual report of Orange SA for the year ended 31 December 2017.

EXAMPLE 16

Financial Statement Presentation and Disclosures for Long-Lived Assets

The following exhibits include excerpts from the annual report for the year ended 31 December 2017 of Orange SA, an international telecommunications company based in France.

24 FASB ASC Section 350-30-50 [Intangibles – General – Disclosure].

25 IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*, paragraphs 126–137 [Disclosure].

26 FASB ASC Section 360-10-50 [Property, Plant, and Equipment – Overall – Disclosure] and FASB ASC Section 350-30-50 [Intangibles – General – Disclosure].

27 IAS 1 paragraph 102.

Exhibit 8 Orange SA
Excerpts from the 2017 Consolidated Financial Statements

(Note that only selected line items/data are shown for illustrative purposes)

Excerpt from Consolidated income statement

EUR (€) € in Millions

	12 Months Ended		
	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
Revenues	€41,096	€40,918	€40,236
...
Depreciation and amortization	(6,846)	(6,728)	(6,465)
...
Impairment of goodwill	(20)	(772)	
Impairment of fixed assets	(190)	(207)	(38)
...
Operating income	4,917	4,077	4,742
...
Consolidated net income of continuing operations	2,114	1,010	2,510
Consolidated net income of discontinued operations (EE)	29	2,253	448
Consolidated net income	2,143	3,263	2,958
Net income attributable to owners of the parent company	1,906	2,935	2,652
Non-controlling interests	€237	€328	€306

Excerpt from the Consolidated statement of financial position

EUR (€) € in Millions

Assets	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
Goodwill	€27,095	€27,156	€27,071
Other intangible assets	14,339	14,602	14,327
Property, plant and equipment	26,665	25,912	25,123
...
Total non-current assets	74,035	74,819	71,330
...
Total current assets	20,679	19,849	14,312
Assets held for sale			5,788
Total assets	94,714	94,668	91,430

Equity and liabilities

...
Total equity	32,942	33,174	33,267
...
Total non-current liabilities	32,736	35,590	36,537

(continued)

Exhibit 8 (Continued)
**Excerpt from the Consolidated statement of financial position
EUR (€) € in Millions**

Assets	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
...
Total current liabilities	29,036	25,904	21,626
Total equity and liabilities	94,714	94,668	91,430

Exhibit 9 Orange
Excerpts from the 2017 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
Excerpt from Note 7.2 Goodwill
[Excerpt] Reconciliation of Changes in Goodwill (€ in Millions)

	12 Months Ended		
	Dec. 31, 2017	Dec. 31, 2016	Dec. 31, 2015
Gross Value in the opening balance	€32,689	€32,606	€30,271
Acquisitions	38	904	2,333
Disposals	0	(6)	(69)
Translation adjustment	(40)	(815)	73
Reclassifications and other items	0	0	(2)
Reclassification to assets held for sale	0	0	0
Gross Value in Closing Balance	32,687	32,689	32,606
Accumulated Impairment losses in the opening balance	(5,533)	(5,535)	(5,487)
Impairment	(20)	(772)	0
Disposals	0	0	0
Translation adjustment	(39)	774	(48)
Reclassifications and other items	0	0	0
Reclassification to assets held for sale	0	0	0
Accumulated Impairment losses in the closing balance	€(5,592)	€(5,533)	€(5,535)
Net book value of goodwill	27,095	27,156	27,071

Excerpt* from Note 7.3 Key assumptions used to determine recoverable amounts as of 31 December 2017

The parameters used for the determination of recoverable amount of the main consolidated operations are set forth below:

	France	Spain	Poland	Belgium	Romania
Perpetuity growth rate	0.8%	1.5%	1.0%	0.5%	2.3%
Post-tax discount rate	5.5%	8.6%	8.3%	6.8%	8.8%

Excerpt* from Note 7.4 Sensitivity of recoverable amounts as of 31 December 2017

The level of sensitivity presented allows readers of the financial statements to estimate the impact in their own assessment.

(in billions of euros)	France	Spain	Poland	Belgium	Romania
Decrease by 1% in perpetuity growth rate	10.4	1.6	0.6	0.3	0.3
An increase by 1% in post-tax discount rate	11.4	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.3

* Table extracted presents only selected assumptions and selected countries.

The company's annual report provides more detail.

Goodwill is not amortized. It is tested for impairment at least annually and more frequently when there is an indication that it may be impaired These tests are performed at the level of each Cash Generating Unit (CGU) (or group of CGUs)... To determine whether an impairment loss should be recognized, the carrying value of the assets and liabilities of the CGUs or groups of CGUs is compared to recoverable amount, for which Orange uses mostly the value in use.... Value in use is the present value of the future expected cash flows. Cash flow projections are based on economic and regulatory assumptions, license renewal assumptions and forecast trading and investment activity drawn up by the Group's management...

Excerpt from Note 8.3 Other intangible assets – Net book value

(in millions of euros)	December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Telecommunications licenses	6,233	6,440	5,842
Orange brand	3,133	3,133	3,133
Other brands	88	102	137
Customer bases	555	703	729
Software	3,946	3,781	3,815
Other intangible assets	384	443	671
Total	€14,339	€14,602	€14,327

Excerpt from Note 8.4 Property, plant and equipment – Net book value

<i>(in millions of euros)</i>	December 31		
	2017	2016	2015
Land and buildings	2,535	2,661	2,733
Network and terminals	22,880	21,984	21,194
IT equipment	802	784	787
Other property, plant and equipment	448	483	409
Total	€26,665	€25,912	€25,123

Exhibit 10 Orange

Excerpt from the 2017 Analysis of the Group's financial position and earnings

"Orange group operating income stood at 4,077 million euros in 2016, compared with 4,742 million euros in 2015 on a historical basis, a drop of 14.0% or 665 million euros. This drop on a historical basis was largely attributable to:

- the recognition, in 2016, of 772 million euros in impairment loss of goodwill ... and 207 million euros in impairment loss of fixed assets ... primarily relating to:
 - Poland for 507 million euros. This impairment loss mainly reflects a decline in competitiveness in the ADSL market, a deterioration in revenue assumptions in the mobile market and an increase in the post-tax discount rate due to the downgrading of the country's sovereign rating by the rating agencies,
 - Egypt for 232 million euros. This impairment loss reflects the financial terms of the 4G license awarded in 2016, the sharp depreciation of the Egyptian pound and increased political and economic uncertainty,
 - in the Congo (DRC), for 109 million euros. This impairment loss reflects political and economic uncertainty, a decline in purchasing power with a knock-on effect on the consumption of telecommunications products and services and an increased regulatory burden (particularly connected with the implementation of customer identification),
 - Cameroon for 90 million euros. This impairment loss reflects a decline in voice revenues following the surge in messaging services and in VoIP of Over-The-Top (OTT) providers and heightened competition in the mobile market,

Exhibit 10 (Continued)

- and Niger for 26 million euros;
- and the 263 million euro increase in depreciation and amortization
- ...

- 1 What proportion of Orange's total assets as of December 31, 2017, is represented by goodwill and other intangible assets?
- 2 What is the largest component of the company's impairment losses during the year ending December 2016?
- 3 The company discloses that it determines whether an impairment loss should be recognized by comparing the carrying value of a unit's assets and liabilities to the "recoverable amount" for which the company uses mostly the value in use. How does the company determine value in use?
- 4 By what amount would the estimated recoverable value of the company's operations in France, Spain, Poland, Belgium and Romania change if the company decreased its estimate of the perpetuity growth rate by 1%? By what amount would the estimated recoverable value of these operations change if the company increased its estimate of the post-tax discount rate by 1%?
- 5 What are the largest components of other intangible assets as of December 31, 2017? What is the largest component of property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2017?

Solution to 1:

As of 31 December 2017, goodwill represents 28% ($= 27,095 \div 97,714$) of Orange's total assets. Other intangible assets represent 15% ($= 14,339 \div 97,714$). Data are from the company's balance sheet in Exhibit 8.

Solution to 2:

The largest component of the € 772 impairment loss on goodwill and the € 207 million impairment loss of fixed assets related to a € 507 million loss in Poland. The company attributed the loss to a decline in the competitiveness of the market for its ADSL technology, a reduction in revenue assumptions, and an increase in the discount rate resulting from the downgrading of the country's debt rating. From Exhibit 10.

[The company's financial statements define ADSL (Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line) as a "broadband data transmission technology on the traditional telephone network. It enables broadband data transmission (first and foremost Internet access) via twisted paired copper cable (the most common type of telephone line found in buildings)."]

Solution to 3:

The company determines value in use – which it uses as a unit's assets and liabilities "recoverable amount" in impairment testing – as the present value of the future expected cash flows. The cash flow projections are based on management's assumptions. From Note 7.4 in Exhibit 9.

Solution to 4:

If the company decreased its estimate of the perpetuity growth rate by 1%, the estimated recoverable value of the company's operations in France, Spain, Poland, Belgium and Romania would change by €13.2 billion ($= 10.4 + 1.6 +$

$0.6 + 0.3 + 0.3$). A decrease in estimated growth decreases the present value of the cash flows. If the company increased its estimate of the post-tax discount rate by 1%, the estimated recoverable value of these operations would change by €14.6 billion ($=11.4 + 2.0 + 0.6 + 0.3 + 0.3$). An increase in the discount rate decreases the present value of cash flows. Data are from Note 7.4 in Exhibit 9.

Solution to 5:

The largest components of other intangible assets as of December 31, 2017, are telecommunications licenses, software, and the Orange brand, reported at €6,233 million, €3,946 million, and €3,133 million, respectively. The largest component of property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2017, is network and terminals (€22,880 million.) Data are from Note 8.3 and 8.4 in Exhibit 9.

Note that the exhibits in the previous example contain relatively brief excerpts from the company's disclosures. The complete text of the disclosures concerning the company's non-current assets spans numerous different footnotes, some of which are several pages long. Overall, an analyst can use the disclosures to understand a company's investments in tangible and intangible assets, how those investments changed during a reporting period, how those changes affected current performance, and what those changes might indicate about future performance.

12

USING DISCLOSURES IN ANALYSIS

- analyze and interpret financial statement disclosures regarding property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets;

Ratios used in analyzing fixed assets include the fixed asset turnover ratio and several asset age ratios. The fixed asset turnover ratio (total revenue divided by average net fixed assets) reflects the relationship between total revenues and investment in PPE. The higher this ratio, the higher the amount of sales a company is able to generate with a given amount of investment in fixed assets. A higher asset turnover ratio is often interpreted as an indicator of greater efficiency.

Asset age ratios generally rely on the relationship between historical cost and depreciation. Under the revaluation model (permitted under IFRS but not US GAAP), the relationship between carrying amount, accumulated depreciation, and depreciation expense will differ when the carrying amount differs significantly from the depreciated historical cost. Therefore, the following discussion of asset age ratios applies primarily to PPE reported under the cost model.

Asset age and remaining useful life, two asset age ratios, are important indicators of a company's need to reinvest in productive capacity. The older the assets and the shorter the remaining life, the more a company may need to reinvest to maintain productive capacity. The average age of a company's asset base can be estimated as accumulated depreciation divided by depreciation expense. The average remaining life of a company's asset base can be estimated as net PPE divided by depreciation expense. These estimates simply reflect the following relationships for assets accounted for on a historical cost basis: total historical cost minus accumulated depreciation equals net PPE; and, under straight-line depreciation, total historical cost less salvage value divided by estimated useful life equals annual depreciation expense. Equivalently, total historical cost less salvage value divided by annual depreciation expense equals estimated useful life. Assuming straight-line depreciation and no salvage value (for simplicity), we have the following:

Estimated total useful life	=	Time elapsed since purchase (Age)	+	Estimated remaining life
Historical cost ÷ annual depreciation expense	=	Estimated total useful life		
Historical cost	=	Accumulated depreciation	+	Net PPE

Equivalently,

Estimated total useful life	=	Estimated age of equipment	+	Estimated remaining life
Historical cost ÷ annual depreciation expense	=	Accumulated depreciation ÷ annual depreciation expense	+	Net PPE ÷ annual depreciation expense

The application of these estimates can be illustrated by a hypothetical example of a company with a single depreciable asset. Assume the asset initially cost \$100, had an estimated useful life of 10 years, and an estimated salvage value of \$0. Each year, the company records a depreciation expense of \$10, so accumulated depreciation will equal \$10 times the number of years since the asset was acquired (when the asset is 7 years old, accumulated depreciation will be \$70). Equivalently, the age of the asset will equal accumulated depreciation divided by the annual depreciation expense.

In practice, such estimates are difficult to make with great precision. Companies use depreciation methods other than the straight-line method and have numerous assets with varying useful lives and salvage values, including some assets that are fully depreciated, so this approach produces an estimate only. Moreover, fixed asset disclosures are often quite general. Consequently, these estimates may be primarily useful to identify areas for further investigation.

One further measure compares a company's current reinvestment in productive capacity. Comparing annual capital expenditures to annual depreciation expense provides an indication of whether productive capacity is being maintained. It is a very general indicator of the rate at which a company is replacing its PPE relative to the rate at which PPE is being depreciated.

EXAMPLE 17

Using Fixed Asset Disclosure to Compare Companies' Fixed Asset Turnover and Average Age of Depreciable Assets

You are analyzing the property, plant, and equipment of three international telecommunications companies:

- Orange SA, which we discussed previously, has been listed on Euronext Paris (symbol ORA) and on the New York Stock Exchange (symbol ORAN) since 1997. At December 31, 2017, the French government retained 22.95% of the share capital.

- BCE Inc., Canada's largest communications company, provides wireless, wireline, Internet, TV and business communications across Canada. BCE's shares are publicly traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange and on the New York Stock Exchange (TSX, NYSE: BCE).
- Verizon Communications Inc. is a US-based global provider of communications, information and entertainment products and services to consumers, businesses and governmental agencies. Verizon's shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ Global Select Market (symbol VZ).

Exhibit 11 presents selected information from the companies' financial statements.

Exhibit 11

Currency, Millions of:	Orange	BCE Inc	Verizon
	Euro €	Canadian \$	US \$
Historical cost total PPE, end of year	€97,092	\$69,230	\$246,498
Accumulated depreciation, end of year	70,427	45,197	157,930
Net PPE, end of year	26,665	24,033	88,568
Net PPE, beginning of year	25,912	22,346	84,751
Revenues	41,096	22,719	126,034
Annual depreciation expense	4,708	3,037	14,741
Capital expenditure	5,677	4,149	17,247
Land included in PPE	Not separated	Not separated	806
Accounting standards	IFRS	IFRS	US GAAP
PPE measurement	Historical cost	Historical cost	Historical cost
Depreciation method	Straight-line	Straight-line	Straight-line

Sources: Companies' 2017 Annual Financial Reports.

- 1 Based on the above data for each company, estimate the total useful life, age, and remaining useful life of PPE.
- 2 Interpret the estimates. What items might affect comparisons across these companies?
- 3 How does each company's 2017 depreciation expense compare to its capital expenditures for the year?
- 4 Calculate and compare fixed asset turnover for each company.

Solution to 1:

The following table presents the estimated total useful life, estimated age, and estimated remaining useful life of PPE for each of the companies.

Estimates	Orange	BCE Inc	Verizon
Estimated total useful life (years)	20.6	22.8	16.7
Estimated age (years)	15.0	14.9	10.7
Estimated remaining life (years)	5.7	7.9	6.0

The computations are demonstrated using Verizon's data (\$ millions). The estimated total useful life of PPE is total historical cost of PPE of \$246,498 divided by annual depreciation expense of \$14,741, giving 16.7 years. Estimated age and estimated remaining life are obtained by dividing accumulated depreciation of \$157,930 and net PPE of \$88,568 by the annual depreciation expense of \$14,741, giving 10.7 years and 6.0 years, respectively.

Ideally, the estimates of asset lives illustrated in this example should exclude land, which is not depreciable, when the information is available; however, both Orange and BCE report Land and Buildings as a combined amount. We will use Verizon, for which land appeared to be disclosed separately in the above table, to illustrate the estimates with adjusting for land. As an illustration of the calculations to exclude land, excluding Verizon's land would give an estimated total useful life for the non-land PPE of 16.7 years [(total cost €246,498 minus land cost of \$806) divided by annual depreciation expense of €14,741 million]. The estimate is essentially unchanged from the estimate including land because land represents such a small component of Verizon's PPE.

Solution to 2:

The estimated total useful life suggests that Orange and BCE depreciate PPE over a much longer period than Verizon: 20.6 and 22.8 years for Orange and BCE, respectively, versus 16.7 years for Verizon.

The estimated age of the equipment suggests that Verizon has the newest PPE with an estimated age of 10.7 years. Additionally, the estimates suggest that around 73 percent of Orange's assets' useful lives have passed (15.0 years \div 20.6 years, or equivalently, €70,427 million \div €97,092 million). In comparison, around 65 and 64 percent of the useful lives of the PPE of BCE and Verizon, respectively, have passed.

Items that can affect comparisons across the companies include business differences, such as differences in composition of the companies' operations and differences in acquisition and divestiture activity. This result can be compared, to an extent, to the useful lives and asset mix disclosed in the companies' footnotes; however, differences in disclosures, e.g. in the categories of assets disclosed, can affect comparisons.

Solution to 3:

All three companies' capital expenditure exceeds its depreciation expense. Rounding to the nearest 10%, capital expenditure as a percentage of depreciation is 120 percent for Orange, 140 percent for BCE, and 120 percent for Verizon. All three companies are replacing PPE at a faster rate than the PPE is being depreciated, consistent with the companies' somewhat older asset base.

Solution to 4:

Fixed asset turnover is calculated as total revenues divided by average net PPE. Orange's fixed asset turnover is 1.6 ($= 41,096 / ((26,665 + 25,912) / 2)$). BCE's fixed asset turnover is 1.0, and Verizon's fixed asset turnover is 1.5.

Orange's and Verizon's higher levels of fixed asset turnover indicate these companies, compared to BCE, are able to generate more sales per unit of investment in fixed assets.

13

INVESTMENT PROPERTY

- compare the financial reporting of investment property with that of property, plant, and equipment.

Investment property is defined under IFRS as property that is owned (or, in some cases, leased under a **finance lease**) for the purpose of earning rentals or capital appreciation or both.²⁸ An example of investment property is a building owned by a company and leased out to tenants. In contrast, other long-lived tangible assets (i.e., property considered to be property, plant, and equipment) are owner-occupied properties used for producing the company's goods and services or for housing the company's administrative activities. Investment properties do not include long-lived tangible assets held for sale in the ordinary course of business. For example, the houses and property owned by a housing construction company are considered to be its inventory.

Under IFRS, companies are allowed to value investment properties using either a cost model or a fair value model. The cost model is identical to the cost model used for property, plant, and equipment. If the cost model is used, the fair value of investment property must be disclosed.²⁹ The fair value model, however, differs from the revaluation model used for property, plant, and equipment. Under the revaluation model, whether an asset revaluation affects net income depends on whether the revaluation initially increases or decreases the carrying amount of the asset. In contrast, under the fair value model, all changes in the fair value of the asset affect net income. To use the fair value model, a company must be able to reliably determine the property's fair value on a continuing basis.

Example 18 presents an excerpt from the annual report of a property company reporting under IFRS.

EXAMPLE 18

Financial Statement Presentation and Disclosures for Long-Lived Assets

The following exhibit presents information and excerpts from the annual report for the year ended 31 December 2017 of intu properties plc, a property company headquartered in London that owns, develops and manages shopping centres in the United Kingdom and Spain. Its common stock is listed in London and Johannesburg.

Exhibit 12 Information and excerpts from the Annual Report of intu properties plc (Currency in £ millions)

Financial Information

Financial Statement	Item Label	Amount 2017	Amount 2016
Balance Sheet	Investment and development property	9,179.4	9,212.1
Balance Sheet	Plant and equipment	12.2	7.6

²⁸ IAS 40 *Investment Property* prescribes the accounting treatment for investment property.

²⁹ Ibid., paragraph 32.

Exhibit 12 (Continued)

Financial Statement	Item Label	Amount 2017	Amount 2016
Balance Sheet	Total assets	10,794.5	10,369.2
Income Statement	Net rental income	423.4	406.1
Income Statement	Revaluation of investment and development property	30.8	(78.0)

Excerpt from Note 2 Accounting policies**Investment and development property**

Investment and development property is owned or leased by the Group and held for long-term rental income and capital appreciation.

The Group has elected to use the fair value model. Properties are initially recognised at cost and subsequently revalued at the balance sheet date to fair value as determined by professionally qualified external valuers on the basis of market value with the exception of certain development land where an assessment of fair value may be made internally. External valuations are received for significant development land once required planning permissions are obtained. The cost of investment and development property includes capitalised interest and other directly attributable outgoings incurred during development. Interest is capitalised on the basis of the average interest rate on the relevant debt outstanding. Interest ceases to be capitalised on the date of practical completion.

Gains or losses arising from changes in the fair value of investment and development property are recognised in the income statement. Depreciation is not provided in respect of investment and development property. Gains or losses arising on the sale of investment and development property are recognised when the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the buyer. The gain or loss recognised is the proceeds received less the carrying value of the property and costs directly associated with the sale.

Plant and equipment

Plant and equipment consists of vehicles, fixtures, fittings and other equipment. Plant and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. Depreciation is charged to the income statement on a straight-line basis over an asset's estimated useful life up to a maximum of five years.

Excerpt from Note 14 Investment and development property

The market value of investment and development property at 31 December 2017 includes £8,831.9 million (31 December 2016: £9,088.6 million) in respect of investment property and £376.5 million (31 December 2016: £153.2 million) in respect of development property. ...All the Group's significant investment and development property relates to prime shopping centres which are of a similar nature and share characteristics and risks....

Valuation methodology

The fair value of the Group's investment and development property at 31 December 2017 was determined by independent external valuers ... Fair values for investment properties are calculated using the present value income approach. ...The key driver of the property valuations is the terms

of the leases in place at the valuation date. These determine the majority of the cash flow profile of the property for a number of years and therefore form the base of the valuation...

- 1 How do the assets included in the balance sheet line item “Investment and development property” differ from the assets included in the balance sheet line item “Plant and equipment”?
- 2 How does the valuation model used by the company for its investment and development property differ from the valuation model used for its plant and equipment?
- 3 How does accounting for depreciation differ for investment and development property versus plant and equipment?
- 4 Do the revaluation gains and losses on investment and development properties indicate that the properties have been sold?

Solution to 1:

The assets included in the balance sheet line item “Investment and development property” are shopping centres which the company holds for long-term rental income and capital appreciation. In 2017, the company reported net rental income of £423.4 million. The balance sheet line item “Plant and equipment” includes vehicles, fixtures, fittings, and other equipment used by the company in its operations.

Solution to 2:

The valuation model used by the company for its investment and development property is the fair value model, in which properties are initially recognised at cost and subsequently revalued and shown on the balance sheet at fair value. All changes in the fair value of the asset affect net income. The company employs external valuation experts to determine the fair value, which is based on expected future cash flow from rental income.

The valuation model used for its plant and equipment is the historical cost model in which properties are shown on the balance sheet at cost minus accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses.

Solution to 3:

Depreciation in accounting refers to the allocation of the cost of a long-lived asset over its useful life. No depreciation is recorded for investment and development property. Depreciation expense for plant and equipment is calculated on a straight-line basis over the asset’s estimated useful life.

Solution to 4:

No. The revaluation gains and losses on investment properties arise from changes in the fair value of properties that are owned by the company. The company reported a revaluation gain of £30.8 million in 2017 and a revaluation loss of £78.0 million in 2016.

Sales of property would have resulted in a gain or loss on disposal, calculated as the proceeds minus the carrying value of the property and related selling costs.

In general, a company must apply its chosen model (cost or fair value) to all of its investment property. If a company chooses the fair value model for its investment property, it must continue to use the fair value model until it disposes of the property or changes its use such that it is no longer considered investment property (e.g., it

becomes owner-occupied property or part of inventory). The company must continue to use the fair value model for that property even if transactions on comparable properties, used to estimate fair value, become less frequent.

Certain valuation issues arise when a company changes the use of property such that it moves from being an investment property to owner-occupied property or part of inventory. If a company's chosen model for investment property is the cost model, such transfers do not change the carrying amount of the property transferred. If a company's chosen model is the fair value model, transfers from investment property to owner-occupied property or to inventory are made at fair value. In other words, the property's fair value at the time of transfer is considered to be its cost for ongoing accounting for the property. If a company's chosen model for investment property is the fair value model and it transfers a property from owner-occupied to investment property, the change in measurement of the property from depreciated cost to fair value is treated like a revaluation. If a company's chosen model is the fair value model and it transfers a property from inventory to investment property, any difference between the inventory carrying amount and the property's fair value at the time of transfer is recognised as profit or loss.

Investment property appears as a separate line item on the balance sheet. Companies are required to disclose whether they use the fair value model or the cost model for their investment property. If the company uses the fair value model, it must make additional disclosures about how it determines fair value and must provide reconciliation between the beginning and ending carrying amounts of investment property. If the company uses the cost model, it must make additional disclosures similar to those for property, plant, and equipment—for example, the depreciation method and useful lives must be disclosed. In addition, if the company uses the cost model, it must also disclose the fair value of investment property.

Under US GAAP, there is no specific definition of investment property. Most operating companies and real estate companies in the United States that hold investment-type property use the historical cost model.

SUMMARY

Understanding the reporting of long-lived assets at inception requires distinguishing between expenditures that are capitalised (i.e., reported as long-lived assets) and those that are expensed. Once a long-lived asset is recognised, it is reported under the cost model at its historical cost less accumulated depreciation (amortisation) and less any impairment or under the revaluation model at its fair value. IFRS permit the use of either the cost model or the revaluation model, whereas US GAAP require the use of the cost model. Most companies reporting under IFRS use the cost model. The choice of different methods to depreciate (amortise) long-lived assets can create challenges for analysts comparing companies.

Key points include the following:

- Expenditures related to long-lived assets are capitalised as part of the cost of assets if they are expected to provide future benefits, typically beyond one year. Otherwise, expenditures related to long-lived assets are expensed as incurred.
- Although capitalising expenditures, rather than expensing them, results in higher reported profitability in the initial year, it results in lower profitability in subsequent years; however, if a company continues to purchase similar or increasing amounts of assets each year, the profitability-enhancing effect of capitalisation continues.

- Capitalising an expenditure rather than expensing it results in a greater amount reported as cash from operations because capitalised expenditures are classified as an investing cash outflow rather than an operating cash outflow.
- Companies must capitalise interest costs associated with acquiring or constructing an asset that requires a long period of time to prepare for its intended use.
- Including capitalised interest in the calculation of interest coverage ratios provides a better assessment of a company's solvency.
- IFRS require research costs be expensed but allow all development costs (not only software development costs) to be capitalised under certain conditions. Generally, US accounting standards require that research and development costs be expensed; however, certain costs related to software development are required to be capitalised.
- When one company acquires another company, the transaction is accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting in which the company identified as the acquirer allocates the purchase price to each asset acquired (and each liability assumed) on the basis of its fair value. Under acquisition accounting, if the purchase price of an acquisition exceeds the sum of the amounts that can be allocated to individual identifiable assets and liabilities, the excess is recorded as goodwill.
- The capitalised costs of long-lived tangible assets and of intangible assets with finite useful lives are allocated to expense in subsequent periods over their useful lives. For tangible assets, this process is referred to as depreciation, and for intangible assets, it is referred to as amortisation.
- Long-lived tangible assets and intangible assets with finite useful lives are reviewed for impairment whenever changes in events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.
- Intangible assets with an indefinite useful life are not amortised but are reviewed for impairment annually.
- Impairment disclosures can provide useful information about a company's expected cash flows.
- Methods of calculating depreciation or amortisation expense include the straight-line method, in which the cost of an asset is allocated to expense in equal amounts each year over its useful life; accelerated methods, in which the allocation of cost is greater in earlier years; and the units-of-production method, in which the allocation of cost corresponds to the actual use of an asset in a particular period.
- Estimates required for depreciation and amortisation calculations include the useful life of the equipment (or its total lifetime productive capacity) and its expected residual value at the end of that useful life. A longer useful life and higher expected residual value result in a smaller amount of annual depreciation relative to a shorter useful life and lower expected residual value.
- IFRS permit the use of either the cost model or the revaluation model for the valuation and reporting of long-lived assets, but the revaluation model is not allowed under US GAAP.
- Under the revaluation model, carrying amounts are the fair values at the date of revaluation less any subsequent accumulated depreciation or amortisation.
- In contrast with depreciation and amortisation charges, which serve to allocate the cost of a long-lived asset over its useful life, impairment charges reflect an unexpected decline in the fair value of an asset to an amount lower than its carrying amount.

- IFRS permit impairment losses to be reversed, with the reversal reported in profit. US GAAP do not permit the reversal of impairment losses.
- The gain or loss on the sale of long-lived assets is computed as the sales proceeds minus the carrying amount of the asset at the time of sale.
- Estimates of average age and remaining useful life of a company's assets reflect the relationship between assets accounted for on a historical cost basis and depreciation amounts.
- The average remaining useful life of a company's assets can be estimated as net PPE divided by depreciation expense, although the accounting useful life may not necessarily correspond to the economic useful life.
- Long-lived assets reclassified as held for sale cease to be depreciated or amortised. Long-lived assets to be disposed of other than by a sale (e.g., by abandonment, exchange for another asset, or distribution to owners in a spin-off) are classified as held for use until disposal. Thus, they continue to be depreciated and tested for impairment.
- Investment property is defined as property that is owned (or, in some cases, leased under a finance lease) for the purpose of earning rentals, capital appreciation, or both.
- Under IFRS, companies are allowed to value investment properties using either a cost model or a fair value model. The cost model is identical to the cost model used for property, plant, and equipment, but the fair value model differs from the revaluation model used for property, plant, and equipment. Unlike the revaluation model, under the fair value model, all changes in the fair value of investment property affect net income.
- Under US GAAP, investment properties are generally measured using the cost model.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 JOOVI Inc. has recently purchased and installed a new machine for its manufacturing plant. The company incurred the following costs:

Purchase price	\$12,980
Freight and insurance	\$1,200
Installation	\$700
Testing	\$100
Maintenance staff training costs	\$500

The total cost of the machine to be shown on JOOVI's balance sheet is *closest* to:

- A \$14,180.
 - B \$14,980.
 - C \$15,480.
- 2 Which costs incurred with the purchase of property and equipment are expensed?
- A Delivery charges
 - B Installation and testing
 - C Training required to use the property and equipment
- 3 When constructing an asset for sale, directly related borrowing costs are *most likely*:
- A expensed as incurred.
 - B capitalized as part of inventory.
 - C capitalized as part of property, plant, and equipment.
- 4 BAURU, S.A., a Brazilian corporation, borrows capital from a local bank to finance the construction of its manufacturing plant. The loan has the following conditions:

Borrowing date	1 January 2009
Amount borrowed	500 million Brazilian real (BRL)
Annual interest rate	14 percent
Term of the loan	3 years
Payment method	Annual payment of interest only. Principal amortization is due at the end of the loan term.

The construction of the plant takes two years, during which time BAURU earned BRL 10 million by temporarily investing the loan proceeds. Which of the following is the amount of interest related to the plant construction (in BRL million) that can be capitalized in BAURU's balance sheet?

- A 130.
 - B 140.
 - C 210.
- 5 After reading the financial statements and footnotes of a company that follows IFRS, an analyst identified the following intangible assets:
- product patent expiring in 40 years;

- copyright with no expiration date; and
- goodwill acquired 2 years ago in a business combination.

Which of these assets is an intangible asset with a finite useful life?

	Product Patent	Copyright	Goodwill
A	Yes	Yes	No
B	Yes	No	No
C	No	Yes	Yes

- 6 Intangible assets with finite useful lives *mostly* differ from intangible assets with infinite useful lives with respect to accounting treatment of:
 - A revaluation.
 - B impairment.
 - C amortization.
- 7 Costs incurred for intangible assets are generally expensed when they are:
 - A internally developed.
 - B individually acquired.
 - C acquired in a business combination.
- 8 Under US GAAP, when assets are acquired in a business combination, goodwill *most likely* arises from:
 - A contractual or legal rights.
 - B assets that can be separated from the acquired company.
 - C assets that are neither tangible nor identifiable intangible assets.
- 9 All else equal, in the fiscal year when long-lived equipment is purchased:
 - A depreciation expense increases.
 - B cash from operations decreases.
 - C net income is reduced by the amount of the purchase.
- 10 Companies X and Z have the same beginning-of-the-year book value of equity and the same tax rate. The companies have identical transactions throughout the year and report all transactions similarly except for one. Both companies acquire a £300,000 printer with a three-year useful life and a salvage value of £0 on 1 January of the new year. Company X capitalizes the printer and depreciates it on a straight-line basis, and Company Z expenses the printer. The following year-end information is gathered for Company X.

Company X As of 31 December	
Ending shareholders' equity	£10,000,000
Tax rate	25%
Dividends	£0.00
Net income	£750,000

Based on the information given, Company Z's return on equity using year-end equity will be *closest* to:

- A 5.4%.
- B 6.1%.
- C 7.5%.

- 11** A financial analyst is studying the income statement effect of two alternative depreciation methods for a recently acquired piece of equipment. She gathers the following information about the equipment's expected production life and use:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
Units of production	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,500	10,500

Compared with the units-of-production method of depreciation, if the company uses the straight-line method to depreciate the equipment, its net income in Year 1 will *most likely* be:

- A lower.
 - B higher.
 - C the same.
- 12** A company purchases a piece of equipment for €1,500. The equipment is expected to have a useful life of five years and no residual value. In the first year of use, the units of production are expected to be 15% of the equipment's lifetime production capacity and the equipment is expected to generate €1,500 of revenue and incur €500 of cash expenses.
- The depreciation method yielding the lowest operating profit on the equipment in the first year of use is:
- A straight line.
 - B units of production.
 - C double-declining balance.
- 13** Juan Martinez, CFO of VIRMIN, S.A., is selecting the depreciation method to use for a new machine. The machine has an expected useful life of six years. Production is expected to be relatively low initially but to increase over time. The method chosen for tax reporting must be the same as the method used for financial reporting. If Martinez wants to minimize tax payments in the first year of the machine's life, which of the following depreciation methods is Martinez *most likely* to use?
- A Straight-line method.
 - B Units-of-production method.
 - C Double-declining balance method.

The following information relates to Questions 14–15

Miguel Rodriguez of MARIO S.A., an Uruguayan corporation, is computing the depreciation expense of a piece of manufacturing equipment for the fiscal year ended 31 December 2009. The equipment was acquired on 1 January 2009. Rodriguez gathers the following information (currency in Uruguayan pesos, UYP):

Cost of the equipment	UYP 1,200,000
Estimated residual value	UYP 200,000
Expected useful life	8 years
Total productive capacity	800,000 units

Production in FY 2009	135,000 units
Expected production for the next 7 years	95,000 units each year

- 14** If MARIO uses the straight-line method, the amount of depreciation expense on MARIO's income statement related to the manufacturing equipment is *closest* to:
- A** 125,000.
 - B** 150,000.
 - C** 168,750.
- 15** If MARIO uses the units-of-production method, the amount of depreciation expense (in UYP) on MARIO's income statement related to the manufacturing equipment is *closest* to:
- A** 118,750.
 - B** 168,750.
 - C** 202,500.
-
- 16** Which of the following amortization methods is *most likely* to evenly distribute the cost of an intangible asset over its useful life?
- A** Straight-line method.
 - B** Units-of-production method.
 - C** Double-declining balance method.
- 17** Which of the following will cause a company to show a lower amount of amortization of intangible assets in the first year after acquisition?
- A** A higher residual value.
 - B** A higher amortization rate.
 - C** A shorter useful life.
- 18** A company purchases equipment for \$200,000 with a five-year useful life and salvage value of zero. It uses the double-declining balance method of depreciation for two years, then shifts to straight-line depreciation at the beginning of Year 3. Compared with annual depreciation expense under the double-declining balance method, the resulting annual depreciation expense in Year 4 is:
- A** smaller.
 - B** the same.
 - C** greater.
- 19** An analyst in the finance department of BOOLDO S.A., a French corporation, is computing the amortization of a customer list, an intangible asset, for the fiscal year ended 31 December 2009. She gathers the following information about the asset:

Acquisition cost	€2,300,000
Acquisition date	1 January 2008
Expected residual value at time of acquisition	€500,000

The customer list is expected to result in extra sales for three years after acquisition. The present value of these expected extra sales exceeds the cost of the list.

If the analyst uses the straight-line method, the amount of accumulated amortization related to the customer list as of 31 December 2009 is *closest* to:

- A €600,000.
- B €1,200,000.
- C €1,533,333.

- 20** A financial analyst is analyzing the amortization of a product patent acquired by MAKETTI S.p.A., an Italian corporation. He gathers the following information about the patent:

Acquisition cost	€5,800,000
Acquisition date	1 January 2009
Patent expiration date	31 December 2015
Total plant capacity of patented product	40,000 units per year
Production of patented product in fiscal year ended 31 December 2009	20,000 units
Expected production of patented product during life of the patent	175,000 units

If the analyst uses the units-of-production method, the amortization expense on the patent for fiscal year 2009 is *closest to*:

- A €414,286.
- B €662,857.
- C €828,571.

- 21** A company acquires a patent with an expiration date in six years for ¥100 million. The company assumes that the patent will generate economic benefits that will decline over time and decides to amortize the patent using the double-declining balance method. The annual amortization expense in Year 4 is closest to:

- A ¥6.6 million.
- B ¥9.9 million.
- C ¥19.8 million.

- 22** A company is comparing straight-line and double-declining balance amortization methods for a non-renewable six-year license, acquired for €600,000. The difference between the Year 4 ending net book values using the two methods is *closest to*:

- A €81,400.
- B €118,600.
- C €200,000.

- 23** MARU S.A. de C.V., a Mexican corporation that follows IFRS, has elected to use the revaluation model for its property, plant, and equipment. One of MARU's machines was purchased for 2,500,000 Mexican pesos (MXN) at the beginning of the fiscal year ended 31 March 2010. As of 31 March 2010, the machine has a fair value of MXN 3,000,000. Should MARU show a profit for the revaluation of the machine?

- A Yes.
- B No, because this revaluation is recorded directly in equity.
- C No, because value increases resulting from revaluation can never be recognized as a profit.

- 24** An analyst is studying the impairment of the manufacturing equipment of WLP Corp., a UK-based corporation that follows IFRS. He gathers the following information about the equipment:

Fair value	£16,800,000
Costs to sell	£800,000
Value in use	£14,500,000
Net carrying amount	£19,100,000

The amount of the impairment loss on WLP Corp.'s income statement related to its manufacturing equipment is *closest* to:

- A £2,300,000.
 - B £3,100,000.
 - C £4,600,000.
- 25 Under IFRS, an impairment loss on a property, plant, and equipment asset is measured as the excess of the carrying amount over the asset's:
- A fair value.
 - B recoverable amount.
 - C undiscounted expected future cash flows.
- 26 A financial analyst at BETTO S.A. is analyzing the result of the sale of a vehicle for 85,000 Argentine pesos (ARP) on 31 December 2009. The analyst compiles the following information about the vehicle:

Acquisition cost of the vehicle	ARP 100,000
Acquisition date	1 January 2007
Estimated residual value at acquisition date	ARP 10,000
Expected useful life	9 years
Depreciation method	Straight-line

The result of the sale of the vehicle is *most likely*:

- A a loss of ARP 15,000.
 - B a gain of ARP 15,000.
 - C a gain of ARP 18,333.
- 27 CROCO S.p.A sells an intangible asset with a historical acquisition cost of €12 million and an accumulated amortization of €2 million and reports a loss on the sale of €3.2 million. Which of the following amounts is *most likely* the sale price of the asset?
- A €6.8 million
 - B €8.8 million
 - C €13.2 million
- 28 The impairment of intangible assets with finite lives affects:
- A the balance sheet but not the income statement.
 - B the income statement but not the balance sheet.
 - C both the balance sheet and the income statement.
- 29 The gain or loss on a sale of a long-lived asset to which the revaluation model has been applied is *most likely* calculated using sales proceeds less:
- A carrying amount.
 - B carrying amount adjusted for impairment.
 - C historical cost net of accumulated depreciation.

- 30** According to IFRS, all of the following pieces of information about property, plant, and equipment must be disclosed in a company's financial statements and footnotes *except for*:
- A useful lives.
 - B acquisition dates.
 - C amount of disposals.
- 31** According to IFRS, all of the following pieces of information about intangible assets must be disclosed in a company's financial statements and footnotes *except for*:
- A fair value.
 - B impairment loss.
 - C amortization rate.
- 32** Which of the following is a required financial statement disclosure for long-lived intangible assets under US GAAP?
- A The useful lives of assets
 - B The reversal of impairment losses
 - C Estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years
- 33** Which of the following characteristics is *most likely* to differentiate investment property from property, plant, and equipment?
- A It is tangible.
 - B It earns rent.
 - C It is long-lived.
- 34** If a company uses the fair value model to value investment property, changes in the fair value of the asset are *least likely* to affect:
- A net income.
 - B net operating income.
 - C other comprehensive income.
- 35** Investment property is *most likely* to:
- A earn rent.
 - B be held for resale.
 - C be used in the production of goods and services.
- 36** A company is *most likely* to:
- A use a fair value model for some investment property and a cost model for other investment property.
 - B change from the fair value model when transactions on comparable properties become less frequent.
 - C change from the fair value model when the company transfers investment property to property, plant, and equipment.
- 37** Under the revaluation model for property, plant, and equipment and the fair model for investment property:
- A fair value of the asset must be able to be measured reliably.
 - B net income is affected by all changes in the fair value of the asset.
 - C net income is never affected if the asset increases in value from its carrying amount.
- 38** Under IFRS, what must be disclosed under the cost model of valuation for investment properties?

- A Useful lives
- B The method for determining fair value
- C Reconciliation between beginning and ending carrying amounts of investment property

The following information relates to Questions 39–42

Melanie Hart, CFA, is a transportation analyst. Hart has been asked to write a research report on Altai Mountain Rail Company (AMRC). Like other companies in the railroad industry, AMRC's operations are capital intensive, with significant investments in such long-lived tangible assets as property, plant, and equipment. In November of 2008, AMRC's board of directors hired a new team to manage the company. In reviewing the company's 2009 annual report, Hart is concerned about some of the accounting choices that the new management has made. These choices differ from those of the previous management and from common industry practice. Hart has highlighted the following statements from the company's annual report:

- Statement 1 "In 2009, AMRC spent significant amounts on track replacement and similar improvements. AMRC expensed rather than capitalised a significant proportion of these expenditures."
- Statement 2 "AMRC uses the straight-line method of depreciation for both financial and tax reporting purposes to account for plant and equipment."
- Statement 3 "In 2009, AMRC recognized an impairment loss of €50 million on a fleet of locomotives. The impairment loss was reported as 'other income' in the income statement and reduced the carrying amount of the assets on the balance sheet."

Exhibits 1 and 2 contain AMRC's 2009 consolidated income statement and balance sheet. AMRC prepares its financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Exhibit 1 Consolidated Statement of Income

For the Years Ended 31 December	2009		2008	
	€ Millions	% Revenues	€ Millions	% Revenues
Operating revenues	2,600	100.0	2,300	100.0
Operating expenses				
Depreciation	(200)	(7.7)	(190)	(8.3)
Other operating expense	(1,590)	(61.1)	(1,515)	(65.9)
Total operating expenses	(1,790)	(68.8)	(1,705)	(74.2)
Operating income	810	31.2	595	25.8
Other income	(50)	(1.9)	—	0.0
Interest expense	(73)	(2.8)	(69)	(3.0)
Income before taxes	687	26.5	526	22.8

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

For the Years Ended 31 December	2009		2008	
	€ Millions	% Revenues	€ Millions	% Revenues
Income taxes	(272)	(10.5)	(198)	(8.6)
Net income	415	16	328	14.2

Exhibit 2 Consolidated Balance Sheet

As of 31 December	2009		2008	
	€ Millions	% Assets	€ Millions	% Assets
Assets				
Current assets	500	9.4	450	8.5
Property & equipment:				
Land	700	13.1	700	13.2
Plant & equipment	6,000	112.1	5,800	109.4
Total property & equipment	6,700	125.2	6,500	122.6
Accumulated depreciation	(1,850)	(34.6)	(1,650)	(31.1)
Net property & equipment	4,850	90.6	4,850	91.5
Total assets	5,350	100.0	5,300	100.0
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Current liabilities	480	9.0	430	8.1
Long-term debt	1,030	19.3	1,080	20.4
Other long-term provisions and liabilities	1,240	23.1	1,440	27.2
Total liabilities	2,750	51.4	2,950	55.7
Shareholders' equity				
Common stock and paid-in-surplus	760	14.2	760	14.3
Retained earnings	1,888	35.5	1,600	30.2
Other comprehensive losses	(48)	(0.9)	(10)	(0.2)
Total shareholders' equity	2,600	48.6	2,350	44.3
Total liabilities & shareholders' equity	5,350	100.0	5,300	100.0

- 39** With respect to Statement 1, which of the following is the *most likely* effect of management's decision to expense rather than capitalise these expenditures?
- A** 2009 net profit margin is higher than if the expenditures had been capitalised.
 - B** 2009 total asset turnover is lower than if the expenditures had been capitalised.
 - C** Future profit growth will be higher than if the expenditures had been capitalised.
- 40** With respect to Statement 2, what would be the *most likely* effect in 2010 if AMRC were to switch to an accelerated depreciation method for both financial and tax reporting?

- A Net profit margin would increase.
 - B Total asset turnover would decrease.
 - C Cash flow from operating activities would increase.
- 41 With respect to Statement 3, what is the *most likely* effect of the impairment loss?
- A Net income in years prior to 2009 was likely understated.
 - B Net profit margins in years after 2009 will likely exceed the 2009 net profit margin.
 - C Cash flow from operating activities in 2009 was likely lower due to the impairment loss.
- 42 Based on Exhibits 1 and 2, the *best estimate* of the average remaining useful life of the company's plant and equipment at the end of 2009 is:
- A 20.75 years.
 - B 24.25 years.
 - C 30.00 years.
-

The following information relates to Questions 43–48

Brian Jordan is interviewing for a junior equity analyst position at Orion Investment Advisors. As part of the interview process, Mary Benn, Orion's Director of Research, provides Jordan with information about two hypothetical companies, Alpha and Beta, and asks him to comment on the information on their financial statements and ratios. Both companies prepare their financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and are identical in all respects except for their accounting choices.

Jordan is told that at the beginning of the current fiscal year, both companies purchased a major new computer system and began building new manufacturing plants for their own use. Alpha capitalised and Beta expensed the cost of the computer system; Alpha capitalised and Beta expensed the interest costs associated with the construction of the manufacturing plants.

Benn asks Jordan, "What was the impact of these decisions on each company's current fiscal year financial statements and ratios?"

Jordan responds, "Alpha's decision to capitalise the cost of its new computer system instead of expensing it results in lower net income, lower total assets, and higher cash flow from operating activities in the current fiscal year. Alpha's decision to capitalise its interest costs instead of expensing them results in a lower fixed asset turnover ratio and a higher interest coverage ratio."

Jordan is told that Alpha uses the straight-line depreciation method and Beta uses an accelerated depreciation method; both companies estimate the same useful lives for long-lived assets. Many companies in their industry use the units-of-production method.

Benn asks Jordan, "What are the financial statement implications of each depreciation method, and how do you determine a company's need to reinvest in its productive capacity?"

Jordan replies, “All other things being equal, the straight-line depreciation method results in the least variability of net profit margin over time, while an accelerated depreciation method results in a declining trend in net profit margin over time. The units-of-production can result in a net profit margin trend that is quite variable. I use a three-step approach to estimate a company’s need to reinvest in its productive capacity. First, I estimate the average age of the assets by dividing net property, plant, and equipment by annual depreciation expense. Second, I estimate the average remaining useful life of the assets by dividing accumulated depreciation by depreciation expense. Third, I add the estimates of the average remaining useful life and the average age of the assets in order to determine the total useful life.”

Jordan is told that at the end of the current fiscal year, Alpha revalued a manufacturing plant; this increased its reported carrying amount by 15 percent. There was no previous downward revaluation of the plant. Beta recorded an impairment loss on a manufacturing plant; this reduced its carrying by 10 percent.

Benn asks Jordan “What was the impact of these decisions on each company’s current fiscal year financial ratios?”

Jordan responds, “Beta’s impairment loss increases its debt to total assets and fixed asset turnover ratios, and lowers its cash flow from operating activities. Alpha’s revaluation increases its debt to capital and return on assets ratios, and reduces its return on equity.”

At the end of the interview, Benn thanks Jordan for his time and states that a hiring decision will be made shortly.

- 43** Jordan’s response about the financial statement impact of Alpha’s decision to capitalise the cost of its new computer system is most likely *correct* with respect to:
 - A lower net income.
 - B lower total assets.
 - C higher cash flow from operating activities.

- 44** Jordan’s response about the ratio impact of Alpha’s decision to capitalise interest costs is most likely *correct* with respect to the:
 - A interest coverage ratio.
 - B fixed asset turnover ratio.
 - C interest coverage and fixed asset turnover ratios.

- 45** Jordan’s response about the impact of the different depreciation methods on net profit margin is most likely *incorrect* with respect to:
 - A accelerated depreciation.
 - B straight-line depreciation.
 - C units-of-production depreciation.

- 46** Jordan’s response about his approach to estimating a company’s need to reinvest in its productive capacity is most likely *correct* regarding:
 - A estimating the average age of the asset base.
 - B estimating the total useful life of the asset base.
 - C estimating the average remaining useful life of the asset base.

- 47** Jordan’s response about the effect of Beta’s impairment loss is most likely *incorrect* with respect to the impact on its:
 - A debt to total assets.
 - B fixed asset turnover.
 - C cash flow from operating activities.

48 Jordan's response about the effect of Alpha's revaluation is most likely *correct* with respect to the impact on its:

- A** return on equity.
 - B** return on assets.
 - C** debt to capital ratio.
-

SOLUTIONS

- 1** B is correct. Only costs necessary for the machine to be ready to use can be capitalized. Therefore, Total capitalized costs = $12,980 + 1,200 + 700 + 100 = \$14,980$.
- 2** C is correct. When property and equipment are purchased, the assets are recorded on the balance sheet at cost. Costs for the assets include all expenditures required to prepare the assets for their intended use. Any other costs are expensed. Costs to train staff for using the machine are not required to prepare the property and equipment for their intended use, and these costs are expensed.
- 3** B is correct. When a company constructs an asset, borrowing costs incurred directly related to the construction are generally capitalized. If the asset is constructed for sale, the borrowing costs are classified as inventory.
- 4** A is correct. Borrowing costs can be capitalized under IFRS until the tangible asset is ready for use. Also, under IFRS, income earned on temporarily investing the borrowed monies decreases the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization. Therefore, Total capitalized interest = $(500 \text{ million} \times 14\% \times 2 \text{ years}) - 10 \text{ million} = 130 \text{ million}$.
- 5** B is correct. A product patent with a defined expiration date is an intangible asset with a finite useful life. A copyright with no expiration date is an intangible asset with an indefinite useful life. Goodwill is no longer considered an intangible asset under IFRS and is considered to have an indefinite useful life.
- 6** C is correct. An intangible asset with a finite useful life is amortized, whereas an intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is not.
- 7** A is correct. The costs to internally develop intangible assets are generally expensed when incurred.
- 8** C is correct. Under both International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US GAAP, if an item is acquired in a business combination and cannot be recognized as a tangible asset or identifiable intangible asset, it is recognized as goodwill. Under US GAAP, assets arising from contractual or legal rights and assets that can be separated from the acquired company are recognized separately from goodwill.
- 9** A is correct. In the fiscal year when long-lived equipment is purchased, the assets on the balance sheet increase and depreciation expense on the income statement increases because of the new long-lived asset.
- 10** B is correct. Company Z's return on equity based on year-end equity value will be 6.1%. Company Z will have an additional £200,000 of expenses compared with Company X. Company Z expensed the printer for £300,000 rather than capitalizing the printer and having a depreciation expense of £100,000 like Company X. Company Z's net income and shareholders' equity will be £150,000 lower ($= £200,000 \times 0.75$) than that of Company X.

$$\text{ROE} = \left(\frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Shareholders' Equity}} \right)$$

$$= £600,000 / £9,850,000$$

$$= 0.61 = 6.1\%$$

- 11** A is correct. If the company uses the straight-line method, the depreciation expense will be one-fifth (20 percent) of the depreciable cost in Year 1. If it uses the units-of-production method, the depreciation expense will be 19 percent ($2,000/10,500$) of the depreciable cost in Year 1. Therefore, if the company uses the straight-line method, its depreciation expense will be higher and its net income will be lower.
- 12** C is correct. The operating income or earnings before interest and taxes will be lowest for the method that results in the highest depreciation expense. The double-declining balance method results in the highest depreciation expense in the first year of use.

Depreciation expense:

$$\text{Straight line} = €1,500/5 = €300.$$

$$\text{Double-declining balance} = €1,500 \times 0.40 = €600.$$

$$\text{Units of production} = €1,500 \times 0.15 = €225.$$

- 13** C is correct. If Martinez wants to minimize tax payments in the first year of the machine's life, he should use an accelerated method, such as the double-declining balance method.
- 14** A is correct. Using the straight-line method, depreciation expense amounts to

$$\text{Depreciation expense} = (1,200,000 - 200,000)/8 \text{ years} = 125,000.$$

- 15** B is correct. Using the units-of-production method, depreciation expense amounts to

$$\text{Depreciation expense} = (1,200,000 - 200,000) \times (135,000/800,000) = 168,750.$$

- 16** A is correct. The straight-line method is the method that evenly distributes the cost of an asset over its useful life because amortization is the same amount every year.
- 17** A is correct. A higher residual value results in a lower total depreciable cost and, therefore, a lower amount of amortization in the first year after acquisition (and every year after that).
- 18** C is correct. Shifting at the end of Year 2 from double-declining balance to straight-line depreciation methodology results in depreciation expense being the same in each of Years 3, 4, and 5. Shifting to the straight-line methodology at the beginning of Year 3 results in a greater depreciation expense in Year 4 than would have been calculated using the double-declining balance method.

Depreciation expense Year 4 (Using double-declining balance method all five years)

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \times \text{Annual depreciation \% using straight-line method} \times \text{Carrying amount} \\ &\quad \text{at end of Year 3} \\ &= 40\% \times \$43,200 \end{aligned}$$

Depreciation expense Year 4 with switch to straight-line method in Year 3

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{3} \times \text{Remaining depreciable cost at start of Year 3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \times \$72,000 \\ &= \$24,000 \end{aligned}$$

- 19** B is correct. Using the straight-line method, accumulated amortization amounts to

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Accumulated amortization} &= [(2,300,000 - 500,000)/3 \text{ years}] \times 2 \text{ years} \\ &= 1,200,000\end{aligned}$$

- 20** B is correct. Using the units-of-production method, depreciation expense amounts to

$$\text{Depreciation expense} = 5,800,000 \times (20,000/175,000) = 662,857$$

- 21** B is correct. As shown in the following calculations, under the double-declining balance method, the annual amortization expense in Year 4 is closest to ¥9.9 million.

Annual amortization expense = $2 \times \text{Straight-line amortization rate} \times \text{Net book value}$.

Amortization expense Year 4 = $33.3\% \times ¥29.6 \text{ million} = ¥9.9 \text{ million}$.

- 22** A is correct. As shown in the following calculations, at the end of Year 4, the difference between the net book values calculated using straight-line versus double-declining balance is closest to €81,400.

Net book value end of Year 4 using straight-line method = $€600,000 - [4 \times (€600,000/6)] = €200,000$.

Net book value end of Year 4 using double-declining balance method = $€600,000 (1 - 33.33\%)^4 \approx €118,600$.

- 23** B is correct. In this case, the value increase brought about by the revaluation should be recorded directly in equity. The reason is that under IFRS, an increase in value brought about by a revaluation can only be recognized as a profit to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognized in the income statement.

- 24** B is correct. The impairment loss equals £3,100,000.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Impairment} &= \max(\text{Fair value less costs to sell; Value in use}) - \text{Net carrying amount} \\ &= \max(16,800,000 - 800,000; 14,500,000) - 19,100,000 \\ &= -3,100,000.\end{aligned}$$

- 25** B is correct. Under IFRS, an impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount over the asset's recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the higher of the asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. Value in use is a discounted measure of expected future cash flows. Under US GAAP, assessing recoverability is separate from measuring the impairment loss. If the asset's carrying amount exceeds its undiscounted expected future cash flows, the asset's carrying amount is considered unrecoverable and the impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount over the asset's fair value.

- 26** B is correct. The result on the sale of the vehicle equals

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Gain or loss on the sale} &= \text{Sale proceeds} - \text{Carrying amount} \\ &= \text{Sale proceeds} - (\text{Acquisition cost} - \text{Accumulated depreciation}) \\ &= 85,000 - \{100,000 - [((100,000 - 10,000)/9 \text{ years}) \times 3 \text{ years}]\} \\ &= 15,000.\end{aligned}$$

- 27** A is correct. Gain or loss on the sale = Sale proceeds – Carrying amount. Rearranging this equation, Sale proceeds = Carrying amount + Gain or loss on sale. Thus, Sale price = (12 million – 2 million) + (-3.2 million) = 6.8 million.
- 28** C is correct. The carrying amount of the asset on the balance sheet is reduced by the amount of the impairment loss, and the impairment loss is reported on the income statement.
- 29** A is correct. The gain or loss on the sale of long-lived assets is computed as the sales proceeds minus the carrying amount of the asset at the time of sale. This is true under the cost and revaluation models of reporting long-lived assets. In the absence of impairment losses, under the cost model, the carrying amount will equal historical cost net of accumulated depreciation.
- 30** B is correct. IFRS do not require acquisition dates to be disclosed.
- 31** A is correct. IFRS do not require fair value of intangible assets to be disclosed.
- 32** C is correct. Under US GAAP, companies are required to disclose the estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years. Under US GAAP, there is no reversal of impairment losses. Disclosure of the useful lives—finite or indefinite and additional related details—is required under IFRS.
- 33** B is correct. Investment property earns rent. Investment property and property, plant, and equipment are tangible and long-lived.
- 34** C is correct. When a company uses the fair value model to value investment property, changes in the fair value of the property are reported in the income statement—not in other comprehensive income.
- 35** A is correct. Investment property earns rent. Inventory is held for resale, and property, plant, and equipment are used in the production of goods and services.
- 36** C is correct. A company will change from the fair value model to either the cost model or revaluation model when the company transfers investment property to property, plant, and equipment.
- 37** A is correct. Under both the revaluation model for property, plant, and equipment and the fair model for investment property, the asset's fair value must be able to be measured reliably. Under the fair value model, net income is affected by all changes in the asset's fair value. Under the revaluation model, any increase in an asset's value to the extent that it reverses a previous revaluation decrease will be recognized on the income statement and increase net income.
- 38** A is correct. Under IFRS, when using the cost model for its investment properties, a company must disclose useful lives. The method for determining fair value, as well as reconciliation between beginning and ending carrying amounts of investment property, is a required disclosure when the fair value model is used.
- 39** C is correct. Expensing rather than capitalising an investment in long-term assets will result in higher expenses and lower net income and net profit margin in the current year. Future years' incomes will not include depreciation expense related to these expenditures. Consequently, year-to-year growth in profitability will be higher. If the expenses had been capitalised, the carrying amount of the assets would have been higher and the 2009 total asset turnover would have been lower.
- 40** C is correct. In 2010, switching to an accelerated depreciation method would increase depreciation expense and decrease income before taxes, taxes payable, and net income. Cash flow from operating activities would increase because of the resulting tax savings.

- 41** B is correct. 2009 net income and net profit margin are lower because of the impairment loss. Consequently, net profit margins in subsequent years are likely to be higher. An impairment loss suggests that insufficient depreciation expense was recognized in prior years, and net income was overstated in prior years. The impairment loss is a non-cash item and will not affect operating cash flows.

- 42** A is correct. The estimated average remaining useful life is 20.75 years.

$$\text{Estimate of remaining useful life} = \text{Net plant and equipment} \div \text{Annual depreciation expense}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Net plant and equipment} &= \text{Gross P \& E} - \text{Accumulated depreciation} \\ &= €6000 - €1850 = €4150\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Estimate of remaining useful life} &= \text{Net P \& E} \div \text{Depreciation expense} \\ &= €4150 \div €200 = 20.75\end{aligned}$$

- 43** C is correct. The decision to capitalise the costs of the new computer system results in higher cash flow from operating activities; the expenditure is reported as an outflow of investing activities. The company allocates the capitalised amount over the asset's useful life as depreciation or amortisation expense rather than expensing it in the year of expenditure. Net income and total assets are higher in the current fiscal year.

- 44** B is correct. Alpha's fixed asset turnover will be lower because the capitalised interest will appear on the balance sheet as part of the asset being constructed. Therefore, fixed assets will be higher and the fixed asset turnover ratio (total revenue/average net fixed assets) will be lower than if it had expensed these costs. Capitalised interest appears on the balance sheet as part of the asset being constructed instead of being reported as interest expense in the period incurred. However, the interest coverage ratio should be based on interest payments, not interest expense (earnings before interest and taxes/interest payments), and should be unchanged. To provide a true picture of a company's interest coverage, the entire amount of interest expenditure, both the capitalised portion and the expensed portion, should be used in calculating interest coverage ratios.

- 45** A is correct. Accelerated depreciation will result in an improving, not declining, net profit margin over time, because the amount of depreciation expense declines each year. Under straight-line depreciation, the amount of depreciation expense will remain the same each year. Under the units-of-production method, the amount of depreciation expense reported each year varies with the number of units produced.

- 46** B is correct. The estimated average total useful life of a company's assets is calculated by adding the estimates of the average remaining useful life and the average age of the assets. The average age of the assets is estimated by dividing accumulated depreciation by depreciation expense. The average remaining useful life of the asset base is estimated by dividing net property, plant, and equipment by annual depreciation expense.

- 47** C is correct. The impairment loss is a non-cash charge and will not affect cash flow from operating activities. The debt to total assets and fixed asset turnover ratios will increase, because the impairment loss will reduce the carrying amount of fixed assets and therefore total assets.

- 48** A is correct. In an asset revaluation, the carrying amount of the assets increases. The increase in the asset's carrying amount bypasses the income statement and is reported as other comprehensive income and appears in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. Therefore, shareholders' equity will increase but net income will not be affected, so return on equity will decline. Return on assets and debt to capital ratios will also decrease.

READING

23

Income Taxes

by Elbie Louw, PhD, CFA, CIPM, and Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Elbie Louw, PhD, CFA, CIPM (South Africa). Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA, is at Barry University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe the differences between accounting profit and taxable income and define key terms, including deferred tax assets, deferred tax liabilities, valuation allowance, taxes payable, and income tax expense;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. explain how deferred tax liabilities and assets are created and the factors that determine how a company's deferred tax liabilities and assets should be treated for the purposes of financial analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. calculate the tax base of a company's assets and liabilities;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. calculate income tax expense, income taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and deferred tax liabilities, and calculate and interpret the adjustment to the financial statements related to a change in the income tax rate;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. evaluate the effect of tax rate changes on a company's financial statements and ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. identify and contrast temporary versus permanent differences in pre-tax accounting income and taxable income;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets—when it is required and what effect it has on financial statements;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. explain recognition and measurement of current and deferred tax items;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. analyze disclosures relating to deferred tax items and the effective tax rate reconciliation and explain how information included in these disclosures affects a company's financial statements and financial ratios;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. identify the key provisions of and differences between income tax accounting under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

For those companies reporting under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), IAS 12 [Income Taxes] covers accounting for a company's income taxes and the reporting of deferred taxes. For those companies reporting under United States generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP), FASB ASC Topic 740 [Income Taxes] is the primary source for information on accounting for income taxes. Although IFRS and US GAAP follow similar conventions on many income tax issues, there are some key differences that will be discussed in the reading.

Differences between how and when transactions are recognized for financial reporting purposes relative to tax reporting can give rise to differences in tax expense and related tax assets and liabilities. To reconcile these differences, companies that report under either IFRS or US GAAP create a provision on the balance sheet called deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities, depending on the nature of the situation.

Deferred tax assets or liabilities usually arise when accounting standards and tax authorities recognize the timing of revenues and expenses at different times. Because timing differences such as these will eventually reverse over time, they are called "temporary differences." Deferred tax assets represent taxes that have been recognized for tax reporting purposes (or often the carrying forward of losses from previous periods) but have not yet been recognized on the income statement prepared for financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax liabilities represent tax expense that has appeared on the income statement for financial reporting purposes, but has not yet become payable under tax regulations.

This reading provides a primer on the basics of income tax accounting and reporting. The reading is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 describe the differences between taxable income and accounting profit. Sections 4 and 5 explain the determination of tax base, which relates to the valuation of assets and liabilities for tax purposes. Sections 6 and 7 discuss several types of timing differences between the recognition of taxable and accounting profit. Section 8 examines unused tax losses and tax credits. Section 9 describes the recognition and measurement of current and deferred tax. Section 10 discusses the disclosure and presentation of income tax information on companies' financial statements and illustrates its practical implications for financial analysis. Section 11 provides an overview of the similarities and differences for income-tax reporting between IFRS and US GAAP. A summary of the key points and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple-choice format conclude the reading.

2

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PROFIT AND TAXABLE INCOME

- a describe the differences between accounting profit and taxable income and define key terms, including deferred tax assets, deferred tax liabilities, valuation allowance, taxes payable, and income tax expense;

A company's **accounting profit** is reported on its income statement in accordance with prevailing accounting standards. Accounting profit (also referred to as income before taxes or pretax income) does not include a provision for income tax expense.¹ A company's **taxable income** is the portion of its income that is subject to income

¹ As defined under IAS 12, paragraph 5.

taxes under the tax laws of its jurisdiction. Because of different guidelines for how income is reported on a company's financial statements and how it is measured for income tax purposes, accounting profit and taxable income may differ.

A company's taxable income is the basis for its **income tax payable** (a liability) or recoverable (an asset), which is calculated on the basis of the company's tax rate and appears on its balance sheet. A company's **tax expense**, or tax benefit in the case of a recovery, appears on its income statement and is an aggregate of its income tax payable (or recoverable in the case of a tax benefit) and any changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

When a company's taxable income is greater than its accounting profit, then its income taxes payable will be higher than what would have otherwise been the case had the income taxes been determined based on accounting profit. **Deferred tax assets**, which appear on the balance sheet, arise when an excess amount is paid for income taxes (taxable income higher than accounting profit) and the company expects to recover the difference during the course of future operations. Actual income taxes payable will thus exceed the financial accounting income tax expense (which is reported on the income statement and is determined based on accounting profit). Related to deferred tax assets is a **valuation allowance**, which is a reserve created against deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance is based on the likelihood of realizing the deferred tax assets in future accounting periods. **Deferred tax liabilities**, which also appear on the balance sheet, arise when a deficit amount is paid for income taxes and the company expects to eliminate the deficit over the course of future operations. In this case, financial accounting income tax expense exceeds income taxes payable.

Income tax paid in a period is the actual amount paid for income taxes (not a provision, but the actual cash outflow). The income tax paid may be less than the income tax expense because of payments in prior periods or refunds received in the current period. Income tax paid reduces the income tax payable, which is carried on the balance sheet as a liability.

The **tax base** of an asset or liability is the amount at which the asset or liability is valued for tax purposes, whereas the **carrying amount** is the amount at which the asset or liability is valued according to accounting principles.² Differences between the tax base and the carrying amount also result in differences between accounting profit and taxable income. These differences can carry through to future periods. For example, a **tax loss carry forward** occurs when a company experiences a loss in the current period that may be used to reduce future taxable income. The company's tax expense on its income statement must not only reflect the taxes payable based on taxable income, but also the effect of these differences.

CURRENT AND DEFERRED TAX ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

3

- b** explain how deferred tax liabilities and assets are created and the factors that determine how a company's deferred tax liabilities and assets should be treated for the purposes of financial analysis;
- d** calculate income tax expense, income taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and deferred tax liabilities, and calculate and interpret the adjustment to the financial statements related to a change in the income tax rate;

² The terms "tax base" and "tax basis" are interchangeable. "Tax basis" is more commonly used in the United States. Similarly, "carrying amount" and "book value" refer to the same concept.

A company's current tax liability is the amount payable in taxes and is based on current taxable income. If the company expects to receive a refund for some portion previously paid in taxes, the amount recoverable is referred to as a current tax asset. The current tax liability or asset may, however, differ from what the liability would have been if it was based on accounting profit rather than taxable income for the period. Differences in accounting profit and taxable income are the result of the application of different rules. Such differences between accounting profit and taxable income can occur in several ways, including:

- Revenues and expenses may be recognized in one period for accounting purposes and a different period for tax purposes;
- Specific revenues and expenses may be either recognized for accounting purposes and not for tax purposes; or not recognized for accounting purposes but recognized for tax purposes;
- The carrying amount and tax base of assets and/or liabilities may differ;
- The deductibility of gains and losses of assets and liabilities may vary for accounting and income tax purposes;
- Subject to tax rules, tax losses of prior years might be used to reduce taxable income in later years, resulting in differences in accounting and taxable income (tax loss carryforward); and
- Adjustments of reported financial data from prior years might not be recognized equally for accounting and tax purposes or might be recognized in different periods.

3.1 Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Deferred tax assets represent taxes that have been paid (or often the carrying forward of losses from previous periods) but have not yet been recognized on the income statement. Deferred tax liabilities occur when financial accounting income tax expense is greater than regulatory income tax expense. Deferred tax assets and liabilities usually arise when accounting standards and tax authorities recognize the timing of taxes due at different times; for example, when a company uses accelerated depreciation when reporting to the tax authority (to increase expense and lower tax payments in the early years) but uses the straight-line method on the financial statements. Although not similar in treatment on a year-to-year basis (e.g., depreciation of 5 percent on a straight-line basis may be permitted for accounting purposes whereas 10 percent is allowed for tax purposes) over the life of the asset, both approaches allow for the total cost of the asset to be depreciated (or amortized). Because these timing differences will eventually reverse or self-correct over the course of the asset's depreciable life, they are called "temporary differences."

Any deferred tax asset or liability is based on temporary differences that result in an excess or a deficit amount paid for taxes, which the company expects to recover from future operations. Because taxes will be recoverable or payable at a future date, it is only a temporary difference and a deferred tax asset or liability is created. Changes in the deferred tax asset or liability on the balance sheet reflect the difference between the amounts recognized in the previous period and the current period. The changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities are added to income tax payable to determine the company's income tax expense (or credit) as it is reported on the income statement.

At the end of each fiscal year, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recalculated by comparing the tax bases and carrying amounts of the balance sheet items. Identified temporary differences should be assessed on whether the difference will result in future economic benefits. For example, Pinto Construction (a hypothetical company) depreciates equipment on a straight-line basis of 10 percent per year. The tax

authorities allow depreciation of 15 percent per year. At the end of the fiscal year, the carrying amount of the equipment for accounting purposes would be greater than the tax base of the equipment thus resulting in a temporary difference. A deferred tax item may only be created if it is not doubtful that the company will realize economic benefits in the future. In our example, the equipment is used in the core business of Pinto Construction. If the company is a going concern and stable, there should be no doubt that future economic benefits will result from the equipment and it would be appropriate to create the deferred tax item.

Should it be doubtful that future economic benefits will be realized from a temporary difference (such as Pinto Construction being under liquidation), the temporary difference will not lead to the creation of a deferred tax asset or liability. If a deferred tax asset or liability resulted in the past, but the criteria of economic benefits is not met on the current balance sheet date, then, under IFRS, an existing deferred tax asset or liability related to the item will be reversed. Under US GAAP, a valuation allowance is established. In assessing future economic benefits, much is left to the discretion of the auditor in assessing the temporary differences and the issue of future economic benefits.

EXAMPLE 1

The following information pertains to a hypothetical company, Reston Partners:

Reston Partners Consolidated Income Statement			
Period Ending 31 March (£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Revenue	£40,000	£30,000	£25,000
Other net gains	2,000	0	0
Changes in inventories of finished goods and work in progress	400	180	200
Raw materials and consumables used	(5,700)	(4,000)	(8,000)
Depreciation expense	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Other expenses	(6,000)	(5,900)	(4,500)
Interest expense	(2,000)	(3,000)	(6,000)
Profit before tax	£26,700	£15,280	£4,700

The financial performance and accounting profit of Reston Partners on this income statement is based on accounting principles appropriate for the jurisdiction in which Reston Partners operates. The principles used to calculate accounting profit (profit before tax in the example above) may differ from the principles applied for tax purposes (the calculation of taxable income). For illustrative purposes, however, assume that all income and expenses on the income statement are treated identically for tax and accounting purposes *except* depreciation.

The depreciation is related to equipment owned by Reston Partners. For simplicity, assume that the equipment was purchased at the beginning of the Year 1. Depreciation should thus be calculated and expensed for the full year. Assume that accounting standards permit equipment to be depreciated on a straight-line basis over a 10-year period, whereas the tax standards in the jurisdiction specify that equipment should be depreciated on a straight-line basis over a 7-year period. For simplicity, assume a salvage value of £0 at the end of the equipment's useful life. Both methods will result in the full depreciation of the asset over the respective tax or accounting life.

The equipment was originally purchased for £20,000. In accordance with accounting standards, over the next 10 years the company will recognize annual depreciation of £2,000 ($\text{£20,000} \div 10$) as an expense on its income statement and for the determination of accounting profit. For tax purposes, however, the company will recognize £2,857 ($\text{£20,000} \div 7$) in depreciation each year. Each fiscal year the depreciation expense related to the use of the equipment will, therefore, differ for tax and accounting purposes (tax base vs. carrying amount), resulting in a difference between accounting profit and taxable income.

The previous income statement reflects accounting profit (depreciation at £2,000 per year). The following table shows the taxable income for each fiscal year.

Taxable Income (£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Revenue	£40,000	£30,000	£25,000
Other net gains	2,000	0	0
Changes in inventories of finished goods and work in progress	400	180	200
Raw materials and consumables used	(5,700)	(4,000)	(8,000)
Depreciation expense	(2,857)	(2,857)	(2,857)
Other expenses	(6,000)	(5,900)	(4,500)
Interest expense	(2,000)	(3,000)	(6,000)
Taxable income	£25,843	£14,423	£3,843

The carrying amount and tax base for the equipment is as follows:

(£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Equipment value for accounting purposes (<i>carrying amount</i>) (depreciation of £2,000/year)	£14,000	£16,000	£18,000
Equipment value for tax purposes (<i>tax base</i>) (depreciation of £2,857/year)	£11,429	£14,286	£17,143
Difference	£2,571	£1,714	£857

At each balance sheet date, the tax base and carrying amount of all assets and liabilities must be determined. The income tax payable by Reston Partners will be based on the taxable income of each fiscal year. If a tax rate of 30 percent is assumed, then the income taxes payable for Year 1, Year 2, and Year 3 are £1,153 ($30\% \times 3,843$), £4,327 ($30\% \times 14,423$), and £7,753 ($30\% \times 25,843$).

Remember, though, that if the tax obligation is calculated based on accounting profits, it will differ because of the differences between the tax base and the carrying amount of equipment. The difference in each fiscal year is reflected in the table above. In each fiscal year the carrying amount of the equipment exceeds its tax base. For tax purposes, therefore, the asset tax base is less than its carrying value under financial accounting principles. The difference results in a deferred tax liability.

(£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Deferred tax liability	£771	£514	£257
(Difference between tax base and carrying amount) \times tax rate			
Year 1: £(18,000 – 17,143) \times 30% = 257			
Year 2: £(16,000 – 14,286) \times 30% = 514			
Year 3: £(14,000 – 11,429) \times 30% = 771			

The comparison of the tax base and carrying amount of equipment shows what the deferred tax liability should be on a particular balance sheet date. In each fiscal year, only the change in the deferred tax liability should be included in the calculation of the income tax expense reported on the income statement prepared for accounting purposes.

On the income statement, the company's income tax expense will be the sum of change in the deferred tax liability and the income tax payable.

(£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Income tax payable (based on tax accounting)	£7,753	£4,327	£1,153
Change in deferred tax liability	257	257	257
Income tax (based on financial accounting)	£8,010	£4,584	£1,410

Note that because the different treatment of depreciation is a temporary difference, the income tax on the income statement is 30 percent of the accounting profit, although only a part is income tax payable and the rest is a deferred tax liability.

The consolidated income statement of Reston Partners including income tax is presented as follows:

Reston Partners Consolidated Income Statement			
Period Ending 31 March (£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Revenue	£40,000	£30,000	£25,000
Other net gains	2,000	0	0
Changes in inventories of finished goods and work in progress	400	180	200
Raw materials and consumables used	(5,700)	(4,000)	(8,000)
Depreciation expense	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Other expenses	(6,000)	(5,900)	(4,500)
Interest expense	(2,000)	(3,000)	(6,000)
Profit before tax	£26,700	£15,280	£4,700
Income tax	(8,010)	(4,584)	(1,410)
Profit after tax	£18,690	£10,696	£3,290

Any amount paid to the tax authorities will reduce the liability for income tax payable and be reflected on the statement of cash flows of the company.

DETERMINING THE TAX BASE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

4

- c calculate the tax base of a company's assets and liabilities;

As mentioned in Sections 2 and 3, temporary differences arise from a difference in the tax base and carrying amount of assets and liabilities. The tax base of an asset or liability is the amount attributed to the asset or liability for tax purposes, whereas the carrying amount is based on accounting principles. Such a difference is considered temporary if it is expected that the taxes will be recovered or payable at a future date.

4.1 Determining the Tax Base of an Asset

The tax base of an asset is the amount that will be deductible for tax purposes in future periods as the economic benefits become realized and the company recovers the carrying amount of the asset.

For example, our previously mentioned Reston Partners (from Example 1) depreciates equipment on a straight-line basis at a rate of 10 percent per year. The tax authorities allow depreciation of approximately 15 percent per year. At the end of the fiscal year, the carrying amount of equipment for accounting purposes is greater than the asset tax base thus resulting in a temporary difference.

EXAMPLE 2

Determining the Tax Base of an Asset

The following information pertains to Entiguan Sports, a hypothetical developer of products used to treat sports-related injuries. (The treatment of items for accounting and tax purposes is based on hypothetical accounting and tax standards and is not specific to a particular jurisdiction.) Calculate the tax base and carrying amount for each item.

- 1** *Dividends receivable:* On its balance sheet, Entiguan Sports reports dividends of €1 million receivable from a subsidiary. Assume that dividends are not taxable.
- 2** *Development costs:* Entiguan Sports capitalized development costs of €3 million during the year. Entiguan amortized €500,000 of this amount during the year. For tax purposes amortization of 25 percent per year is allowed.
- 3** *Research costs:* Entiguan incurred €500,000 in research costs, which were all expensed in the current fiscal year for financial reporting purposes. Assume that applicable tax legislation requires research costs to be expensed over a four-year period rather than all in one year.
- 4** *Accounts receivable:* Included on the income statement of Entiguan Sports is a provision for doubtful debt of €125,000. The accounts receivable amount reflected on the balance sheet, after taking the provision into account, amounts to €1,500,000. The tax authorities allow a deduction of 25 percent of the gross amount for doubtful debt.

Solutions:

	Carrying Amount (€)	Tax Base (€)	Temporary Difference (€)
1. Dividends receivable	1,000,000	1,000,000	0
2. Development costs	2,500,000	2,250,000	250,000
3. Research costs	0	375,000	(375,000)
4. Accounts receivable	1,500,000	1,218,750	281,250

Comments:

- 1** *Dividends receivable:* Although the dividends received are economic benefits from the subsidiary, we are assuming that dividends are not taxable. Therefore, the carrying amount equals the tax base for dividends receivable.

- 2 Development costs:** First, we assume that development costs will generate economic benefits for Entiguan Sports. Therefore, it may be included as an asset on the balance sheet for the purposes of this example. Second, the amortization allowed by the tax authorities exceeds the amortization accounted for based on accounting rules. Therefore, the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its tax base. The carrying amount is $(€3,000,000 - €500,000) = €2,500,000$ whereas the tax base is $[€3,000,000 - (25\% \times €3,000,000)] = €2,250,000$.
- 3 Research costs:** We assume that research costs will result in future economic benefits for the company. If this were not the case, creation of a deferred tax asset or liability would not be allowed. The tax base of research costs exceeds their carrying amount. The carrying amount is €0 because the full amount has been expensed for financial reporting purposes in the year in which it was incurred. Therefore, there would not have been a balance sheet item “Research costs” for tax purposes, and only a proportion may be deducted in the current fiscal year. The tax base of the asset is $(€500,000 - €500,000/4) = €375,000$.
- 4 Accounts receivable:** The economic benefits that should have been received from accounts receivable have already been included in revenues included in the calculation of the taxable income when the sales occurred. Because the receipt of a portion of the accounts receivable is doubtful, the provision is allowed. The provision, based on tax legislation, results in a greater amount allowed in the current fiscal year than would be the case under accounting principles. This results in the tax base of accounts receivable being lower than its carrying amount. Note that the example specifically states that the balance sheet amount for accounts receivable after the provision for accounting purposes amounts to €1,500,000. Therefore, accounts receivable before any provision was $€1,500,000 + €125,000 = €1,625,000$. The tax base is calculated as $(€1,500,000 + €125,000) - [25\% \times (€1,500,000 + €125,000)] = €1,218,750$.

4.2 Determining the Tax Base of a Liability

The tax base of a liability is the carrying amount of the liability less any amounts that will be deductible for tax purposes in the future. With respect to payments from customers received in advance of providing the goods and services, the tax base of such a liability is the carrying amount less any amount of the revenue that will not be taxable in future. Keep in mind the following fundamental principle: In general, a company will recognize a deferred tax asset or liability when recovery/settlement of the carrying amount will affect future tax payments by either increasing or reducing the taxable profit. Remember, an analyst is not only evaluating the difference between the carrying amount and the tax base, but the relevance of that difference on future profits and losses and thus by implication future taxes.

IFRS offers specific guidelines with regard to revenue received in advance: IAS 12 states that the tax base is the carrying amount less any amount of the revenue that will not be taxed at a future date. Under US GAAP, an analysis of the tax base would result in a similar outcome. The tax legislation within the jurisdiction will determine the amount recognized on the income statement and whether the liability (revenue received in advance) will have a tax base greater than zero. This will depend on how tax legislation recognizes revenue received in advance.

EXAMPLE 3**Determining the Tax Base of a Liability**

The following information pertains to the hypothetical company Entiguan Sports for the fiscal year -end. The treatment of items for accounting and tax purposes is based on fictitious accounting and tax standards and is not specific to a particular jurisdiction. Calculate the tax base and carrying amount for each item.

- 1** *Donations:* Entiguan Sports made donations of €100,000 in the current fiscal year. The donations were expensed for financial reporting purposes, but are not tax deductible based on applicable tax legislation.
- 2** *Interest received in advance:* Entiguan Sports received in advance interest of €300,000. The interest is taxed because tax authorities recognize the interest to accrue to the company (part of taxable income) on the date of receipt.
- 3** *Rent received in advance:* Entiguan recognized €10 million for rent received in advance from a lessee for an unused warehouse building. Rent received in advance is deferred for accounting purposes but taxed on a cash basis.
- 4** *Loan:* Entiguan Sports secured a long-term loan for €550,000 in the current fiscal year. Interest is charged at 13.5 percent per annum and is payable at the end of each fiscal year.

Solutions:

	Carrying Amount (€)	Tax Base (€)	Temporary Difference (€)
1. Donations	0	0	0
2. Interest received in advance	300,000	0	(300,000)
3. Rent received in advance	10,000,000	0	(10,000,000)
4. Loan (capital)	0	0	0
Interest paid	0	0	0

Comments:

- 1** *Donations:* The amount of €100,000 was immediately expensed on Entiguan's income statement; therefore, the carrying amount is €0. Tax legislation does not allow donations to be deducted for tax purposes, so the tax base of the donations equals the carrying amount. Note that while the carrying amount and tax base are the same, the difference in the treatment of donations for accounting and tax purposes (expensed for accounting purposes, but not deductible for tax purposes) represents a permanent difference (a difference that will not be reversed in future). Permanent and temporary differences are elaborated on in Sections 6 and 7 and it will refer to this particular case with an expanded explanation.
- 2** *Interest received in advance:* Based on the information provided, for tax purposes, interest is deemed to accrue to the company on the date of receipt. For tax purposes, it is thus irrelevant whether it is for the current or a future accounting period; it must be included in taxable income in the financial year received. Interest received in advance is, for accounting purposes though, included in the financial period in which it is deemed to have been earned. For this reason, the interest income received in advance

is a balance sheet liability. It was not included on the income statement because the income relates to a future financial year. Because the full €300,000 is included in taxable income in the current fiscal year, the tax base is $\text{€}300,000 - 300,000 = \text{€}0$. Note that although interest received in advance and rent received in advance are both taxed, the timing depends on how the particular item is treated in tax legislation.

- 3 Rent received in advance:** The result is similar to interest received in advance. The carrying amount of rent received in advance would be €10,000,000 while the tax base is €0.
- 4 Loan:** Repayment of the loan has no tax implications. The repayment of the capital amount does not constitute an income or expense. The interest paid is included as an expense in the calculation of taxable income as well as accounting income. Therefore, the tax base and carrying amount is €0. For clarity, the interest paid that would be included on the income statement for the year amounts to $13.5\% \times \text{€}550,000 = \text{€}74,250$ if the loan was acquired at the beginning of the current fiscal year.

CHANGES IN INCOME TAX RATES

5

- d** calculate income tax expense, income taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and deferred tax liabilities, and calculate and interpret the adjustment to the financial statements related to a change in the income tax rate;
- e** evaluate the effect of tax rate changes on a company's financial statements and ratios;

The measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on current tax law. But if there are subsequent changes in tax laws or new income tax rates, existing deferred tax assets and liabilities must be adjusted for the effects of these changes. The resulting effects of the changes are also included in determining accounting profit in the period of change.

When income tax rates change, the deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted to the new tax rate. If income tax rates increase, deferred taxes (that is, the deferred tax assets and liabilities) will also increase. Likewise, if income tax rates decrease, deferred taxes will decrease. A decrease in tax rates decreases deferred tax liabilities, which reduces future tax payments to the taxing authorities. A decrease in tax rates will also decrease deferred tax assets, which reduces their value toward the offset of future tax payments to the taxing authorities.

To illustrate the effect of a change in tax rate, consider Example 1 again. In that illustration, the timing difference that led to the recognition of a deferred tax liability for Reston Partners was attributable to differences in the method of depreciation and the related effects on the accounting carrying value and the asset tax base. The relevant information is restated below.

The carrying amount and tax base for the equipment is:

(£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Equipment value for accounting purposes (<i>carrying amount</i>) (depreciation of £2,000/year)	£14,000	£16,000	£18,000
Equipment value for tax purposes (<i>tax base</i>) (depreciation of £2,857/year)	£11,429	£14,286	£17,143
Difference	£2,571	£1,714	£857

At a 30 percent income tax rate, the deferred tax liability was then determined as follows:

(£ Millions)	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Deferred tax liability	£771	£514	£257
(Difference between tax base and carrying amount)			
Year 1: £(18,000 – 17,143) × 30% = £257			
Year 2: £(16,000 – 14,286) × 30% = £514			
Year 3: £(14,000 – 11,429) × 30% = £771			

For this illustration, assume that the taxing authority has changed the income tax rate to 25 percent for Year 3. Although the difference between the carrying amount and the tax base of the depreciable asset are the same, the deferred tax liability for 2017 will be £643 (instead of £771 or a reduction of £128 in the liability). 2017: £(14,000 – 11,429) × 25% = £643.

Reston Partners' provision for income tax expense is also affected by the change in tax rates. Taxable income for Year 3 will now be taxed at a rate of 25 percent. The benefit of the Year 3 accelerated depreciation tax shield is now only £214 (£857 × 25%) instead of the previous £257 (a reduction of £43). In addition, the reduction in the beginning carrying value of the deferred tax liability for Year 3 (the year of change) further reduces the income tax expense for Year 3. The reduction in income tax expense attributable to the change in tax rate is £86. Year 3: (30% – 25%) × £1,714 = £86. Note that these two components together account for the reduction in the deferred tax liability (£43 + £86 = £129).

As may be seen from this discussion, changes in the income tax rate have an effect on a company's deferred tax asset and liability carrying values as well as an effect on the measurement of income tax expense in the year of change. The analyst must thus note that proposed changes in tax law can have a quantifiable effect on these accounts (and any related financial ratios that are derived from them) if the proposed changes are subsequently enacted into law.

6

TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TAXABLE AND ACCOUNTING PROFIT

- f identify and contrast temporary versus permanent differences in pre-tax accounting income and taxable income;

Temporary differences arise from a difference between the tax base and the carrying amount of assets and liabilities. The creation of a deferred tax asset or liability from a temporary difference is only possible if the difference reverses itself at some future date and to such an extent that the balance sheet item is expected to create future economic benefits for the company. IFRS and US GAAP both prescribe the balance

sheet liability method for recognition of deferred tax. This balance sheet method focuses on the recognition of a deferred tax asset or liability should there be a temporary difference between the carrying amount and tax base of balance sheet items.³

Permanent differences are differences between tax and financial reporting of revenue (expenses) that *will not* be reversed at some future date. Because they will not be reversed at a future date, these differences do not give rise to deferred tax. These items typically include

- Income or expense items not allowed by tax legislation, and
- Tax credits for some expenditures that directly reduce taxes.

Because no deferred tax item is created for permanent differences, all permanent differences result in a difference between the company's effective tax rate and statutory tax rate. The effective tax rate is also influenced by different statutory taxes should an entity conduct business in more than one tax jurisdiction. The formula for the reported effective tax rate is thus equal to:

$$\text{Reported effective tax rate} = \frac{\text{Income tax expense}}{\text{Pretax income (accounting profit)}}$$

The net change in deferred tax during a reporting period is the difference between the balance of the deferred tax asset or liability for the current period and the balance of the previous period.

6.1 Taxable Temporary Differences

Temporary differences are further divided into two categories, namely taxable temporary differences and deductible temporary differences. **Taxable temporary differences** are temporary differences that result in a taxable amount in a future period when determining the taxable profit as the balance sheet item is recovered or settled. Taxable temporary differences result in a deferred tax liability when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its tax base and, in the case of a liability, when the tax base of the liability exceeds its carrying amount.

Under US GAAP, a deferred tax asset or liability is not recognized for unamortizable goodwill. Under IFRS, a deferred tax account is not recognized for goodwill arising in a business combination. Since goodwill is a residual, the recognition of a deferred tax liability would increase the carrying amount of goodwill. Discounting deferred tax assets or liabilities is generally not allowed for temporary differences related to business combinations as it is for other temporary differences.

IFRS provides an exemption (that is, deferred tax is not provided on the temporary difference) for the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction that: a) is not a business combination (e.g., joint ventures, branches and unconsolidated investments); and b) affects neither accounting profit nor taxable profit at the time of the transaction. US GAAP does not provide an exemption for these circumstances.

³ Previously, IAS 12 required recognition of deferred tax based on the deferred method (also known as the income statement method), which focused on timing differences. Timing differences are differences in the recognition of income and expenses for accounting and tax purposes that originate in one period and will reverse in a future period. Given the definition of timing differences, all timing differences are temporary differences, such as the different treatment of depreciation for tax and accounting purposes (although the timing is different with regard to the allowed depreciation for tax and accounting purposes, the asset will eventually be fully depreciated).

As a simple example of a temporary difference with no recognition of deferred tax liability, assume that a holding company of various leisure related businesses and holiday resorts buys an interest in a hotel in the current financial year. The goodwill related to the transaction will be recognized on the financial statements, but the related tax liability will not, as it relates to the initial recognition of goodwill.

6.2 Deductible Temporary Differences

Deductible temporary differences are temporary differences that result in a reduction or deduction of taxable income in a future period when the balance sheet item is recovered or settled. Deductible temporary differences result in a deferred tax asset when the tax base of an asset exceeds its carrying amount and, in the case of a liability, when the carrying amount of the liability exceeds its tax base. The recognition of a deferred tax asset is only allowed to the extent there is a reasonable expectation of future profits against which the asset or liability (that gave rise to the deferred tax asset) can be recovered or settled.

To determine the probability of sufficient future profits for utilization, one must consider the following: 1) Sufficient taxable temporary differences must exist that are related to the same tax authority and the same taxable entity; and 2) The taxable temporary differences that are expected to reverse in the same periods as expected for the reversal of the deductible temporary differences.

As with deferred tax liabilities, IFRS states that deferred tax assets should not be recognized in cases that would arise from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in transactions that are not a business combination and when, at the time of the transaction, there is no impact on either accounting or taxable profit. Subsequent to initial recognition under IFRS and US GAAP, any deferred tax assets that arise from investments in subsidiaries, branches, associates, and interests in joint ventures are recognized as a deferred tax asset.

IFRS and US GAAP allow the creation of a deferred tax asset in the case of tax losses and tax credits. These two unique situations will be further elaborated on in Section 9. IAS 12 *does not* allow the creation of a deferred tax asset arising from negative goodwill. Negative goodwill arises when the amount that an entity pays for an interest in a business is less than the net fair market value of the portion of assets and liabilities of the acquired company, based on the interest of the entity.

6.3 Examples of Taxable and Deductible Temporary Differences

Exhibit 1 summarizes how differences between the tax bases and carrying amounts of assets and liabilities give rise to deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities.

Exhibit 1 Treatment of Temporary Differences

Balance Sheet Item	Carrying Amount vs. Tax Base	Results in Deferred Tax Asset/Liability
Asset	Carrying amount > tax base	Deferred tax liability
Asset	Carrying amount < tax base	Deferred tax asset
Liability	Carrying amount > tax base	Deferred tax asset
Liability	Carrying amount < tax base	Deferred tax liability

EXAMPLE 4**Taxable and Deductible Temporary Differences**

Examples 2 and 3 illustrated how to calculate the tax base of assets and liabilities, respectively. Based on the information provided in Examples 2 and 3, indicate whether the difference in the tax base and carrying amount of the assets and liabilities are temporary or permanent differences and whether a deferred tax asset or liability will be recognized based on the difference identified.

Solution to Example 2:

	Carrying Amount (€)	Tax Base (€)	Temporary Difference (€)	Will Result in Deferred Tax Asset/Liability
1. Dividends receivable	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	N/A
2. Development costs	2,500,000	2,250,000	250,000	<i>Deferred tax liability</i>
3. Research costs	0	375,000	(375,000)	<i>Deferred tax asset</i>
4. Accounts receivable	1,500,000	1,218,750	281,250	<i>Deferred tax liability</i>

Example 2 included comments on the calculation of the carrying amount and tax base of the assets.

- 1 *Dividends receivable*: As a result of non-taxability, the carrying amount equals the tax base of dividends receivable. This constitutes a permanent difference and will not result in the recognition of any deferred tax asset or liability. A temporary difference constitutes a difference that will, at some future date, be reversed. Although the timing of recognition is different for tax and accounting purposes, in the end the full carrying amount will be expensed/recognized as income. A permanent difference will never be reversed. Based on tax legislation, dividends from a subsidiary are not recognized as income. Therefore, no amount will be reflected as dividend income when calculating the taxable income, and the tax base of dividends receivable must be the total amount received, namely €1,000,000. The taxable income and accounting profit will permanently differ with the amount of dividends receivable, even on future financial statements as an effect on the retained earnings reflected on the balance sheet.
- 2 *Development costs*: The difference between the carrying amount and tax base is a temporary difference that, in the future, will reverse. In this fiscal year, it will result in a deferred tax liability.
- 3 *Research costs*: The difference between the carrying amount and tax base is a temporary difference that results in a deferred tax asset. Remember the explanation in Section 3 for deferred tax assets—a deferred tax asset arises because of an excess amount paid for taxes (when taxable income is greater than accounting profit), which is expected to be recovered from future operations. Based on accounting principles, the full amount was deducted resulting in a lower accounting profit, while the taxable income by implication, should be greater because of the lower amount expensed.
- 4 *Accounts receivable*: The difference between the carrying amount and tax base of the asset is a temporary difference that will result in a deferred tax liability.

Solution to Example 3:

	Carrying Amount (€)	Tax Base (€)	Temporary Difference (€)	Will Result in Deferred Tax Asset/Liability
1. Donations	0	0	0	N/A
2. Interest received in advance	300,000	0	(300,000)	Deferred tax asset
3. Rent received in advance	10,000,000	0	(10,000,000)	Deferred tax asset
4. Loan (capital)	550,000	550,000	0	N/A
Interest paid	0	0	0	N/A

Example 3 included extensive comments on the calculation of the carrying amount and tax base of the liabilities.

- 1 *Donations*: It was assumed that tax legislation does not allow donations to be deducted for tax purposes. No temporary difference results from donations, and thus a deferred tax asset or liability will not be recognized. This constitutes a permanent difference.
- 2 *Interest received in advance*: Interest received in advance results in a temporary difference that gives rise to a deferred tax asset. A deferred tax asset arises because of an excess amount paid for taxes (when taxable income is greater than accounting profit), which is expected to be recovered from future operations.
- 3 *Rent received in advance*: The difference between the carrying amount and tax base is a temporary difference that leads to the recognition of a deferred tax asset.
- 4 *Loan*: There are no temporary differences as a result of the loan or interest paid, and thus no deferred tax item is recognized.

7**EXCEPTIONS TO THE USUAL RULES FOR TEMPORARY DIFFERENCES**

- f identify and contrast temporary versus permanent differences in pre-tax accounting income and taxable income;

In some situations the carrying amount and tax base of a balance sheet item may vary at initial recognition. For example, a company may deduct a government grant from the initial carrying amount of an asset or liability that appears on the balance sheet. For tax purposes, such grants may not be deducted when determining the tax base of the balance sheet item. In such circumstances, the carrying amount of the asset or liability will be lower than its tax base. Differences in the tax base of an asset or liability as a result of the circumstances described above may not be recognized as deferred tax assets or liabilities.

For example, a government may offer grants to Small, Medium, and Micro Enterprises (SMME) in an attempt to assist these entrepreneurs in their endeavors that contribute to the country's GDP and job creation. Assume that a particular grant is offered for infrastructure needs (office furniture, property, plant, and equipment, etc.). In these circumstances, although the carrying amount will be lower than the tax base of the asset, the related deferred tax may not be recognized. As mentioned earlier, deferred tax assets and liabilities should not be recognized in cases that would

arise from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in transactions that are not a business combination and when, at the time of the transaction, there is no impact on either accounting or taxable profit.

A deferred tax liability will also not be recognized at the initial recognition of goodwill. Although goodwill may be treated differently across tax jurisdictions, which may lead to differences in the carrying amount and tax base of goodwill, IAS 12 does not allow the recognition of such a deferred tax liability. Any impairment that an entity should, for accounting purposes, impose on goodwill will again result in a temporary difference between its carrying amount and tax base. Any impairment that an entity should, for accounting purposes, impose on goodwill and if part of the goodwill is related to the initial recognition, that part of the difference in tax base and carrying amount should not result in any deferred taxation because the initial deferred tax liability was not recognized. Any future differences between the carrying amount and tax base as a result of amortization and the deductibility of a portion of goodwill constitute a temporary difference for which provision should be made.

7.1 Business Combinations and Deferred Taxes

The fair value of assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination is determined on the acquisition date and may differ from the previous carrying amount. It is highly probable that the values of acquired intangible assets, including goodwill, would differ from their carrying amounts. This temporary difference will affect deferred taxes as well as the amount of goodwill recognized as a result of the acquisition.

7.2 Investments in Subsidiaries, Branches, Associates and Interests in Joint Ventures

Investments in subsidiaries, branches, associates and interests in joint ventures may lead to temporary differences on the consolidated versus the parent's financial statements. The related deferred tax liabilities as a result of temporary differences will be recognized unless both of the following criterion are satisfied:

- The parent is in a position to control the timing of the future reversal of the temporary difference, and
- It is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the future.

With respect to deferred tax assets related to subsidiaries, branches, and associates and interests, deferred tax assets will only be recognized if the following criteria are satisfied:

- The temporary difference will reverse in the future, and
- Sufficient taxable profits exist against which the temporary difference can be used.

UNUSED TAX LOSSES AND TAX CREDITS

8

- h explain recognition and measurement of current and deferred tax items;

IAS 12 allows the recognition of unused tax losses and tax credits only to the extent that it is probable that in the future there will be taxable income against which the unused tax losses and credits can be applied. Under US GAAP, a deferred tax asset is recognized in full but is then reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely

than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The same requirements for creation of a deferred tax asset as a result of deductible temporary differences also apply to unused tax losses and tax credits. The existence of tax losses may indicate that the entity cannot reasonably be expected to generate sufficient future taxable income. All other things held constant, the greater the history of tax losses, the greater the concern regarding the company's ability to generate future taxable profits.

Should there be concerns about the company's future profitability, then the deferred tax asset may not be recognized until it is realized. When assessing the probability that sufficient taxable profit will be generated in the future, the following criteria can serve as a guide:

- If there is uncertainty as to the probability of future taxable profits, a deferred tax asset as a result of unused tax losses or tax credits is only recognized to the extent of the available taxable temporary differences;
- Assess the probability that the entity will in fact generate future taxable profits before the unused tax losses and/or credits expire pursuant to tax rules regarding the carry forward of the unused tax losses;
- Verify that the above is with the same tax authority and based on the same taxable entity;
- Determine whether the past tax losses were a result of specific circumstances that are unlikely to be repeated; and
- Discover if tax planning opportunities are available to the entity that will result in future profits. These may include changes in tax legislation that is phased in over more than one financial period to the benefit of the entity.

It is imperative that the timing of taxable and deductible temporary differences also be considered before creating a deferred tax asset based on unused tax credits.

9

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT OF CURRENT AND DEFERRED TAX

- g describe the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets—when it is required and what effect it has on financial statements;
- h explain recognition and measurement of current and deferred tax items;

Current taxes payable or recoverable from tax authorities are based on the applicable tax rates at the balance sheet date. Deferred taxes should be measured at the tax rate that is expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability settled. With respect to the income tax for a current or prior period not yet paid, it is recognized as a tax liability until paid. Any amount paid in excess of any tax obligation is recognized as an asset. The income tax paid in excess or owed to tax authorities is separate from deferred taxes on the company's balance sheet.

When measuring deferred taxes in a jurisdiction, there are different forms of taxation such as income tax, capital gains tax (any capital gains made), or secondary tax on companies (tax payable on the dividends that a company declares) and possibly different tax bases for a balance sheet item (as in the case of government grants influencing the tax base of an asset such as property). In assessing which tax laws should apply, it is dependent on how the related asset or liability will be settled. It would be prudent to use the tax rate and tax base that is consistent with how it is expected the tax base will be recovered or settled.

Although deferred tax assets and liabilities are related to temporary differences expected to be recovered or settled at some future date, neither are discounted to present value in determining the amounts to be booked. Both must be adjusted for changes in tax rates.

Deferred taxes as well as income taxes should always be recognized on the income statement of an entity unless it pertains to:

- Taxes or deferred taxes charged directly to equity, or
- A possible provision for deferred taxes relates to a business combination.

The carrying amount of the deferred tax assets and liabilities should also be assessed. The carrying amounts may change even though there may have been no change in temporary differences during the period evaluated. This can result from:

- Changes in tax rates;
- Reassessments of the recoverability of deferred tax assets; or
- Changes in the expectations for how an asset will be recovered and what influences the deferred tax asset or liability.

All unrecognized deferred tax assets and liabilities must be reassessed at the balance sheet date and measured against the criteria of probable future economic benefits. If such a deferred asset is likely to be recovered, it may be appropriate to recognize the related deferred tax asset.

Different jurisdictions have different requirements for determining tax obligations that can range from different forms of taxation to different tax rates based on taxable income. When comparing financial statements of entities that conduct business in different jurisdictions subject to different tax legislation, the analyst should be cautious in reaching conclusions because of the potentially complex tax rules that may apply.

9.1 Recognition of a Valuation Allowance

Deferred tax assets must be assessed at each balance sheet date. If there is any doubt whether the deferral will be recovered, then the carrying amount should be reduced to the expected recoverable amount. Should circumstances subsequently change and suggest the future will lead to recovery of the deferral, the reduction may be reversed.

Under US GAAP, deferred tax assets are reduced by creating a valuation allowance. Establishing a valuation allowance reduces the deferred tax asset and income in the period in which the allowance is established. Should circumstances change to such an extent that a deferred tax asset valuation allowance may be reduced, the reversal will increase the deferred tax asset and operating income. Because of the subjective judgment involved, an analyst should carefully scrutinize any such changes.

9.2 Recognition of Current and Deferred Tax Charged Directly to Equity

In general, IFRS and US GAAP require that the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and current income tax should be treated similarly to the asset or liability that gave rise to the deferred tax liability or income tax based on accounting treatment. Should an item that gives rise to a deferred tax liability be taken directly to equity, the same should hold true for the resulting deferred tax.

The following are examples of such items:

- Revaluation of property, plant, and equipment (valuations are not permissible under US GAAP);
- Long-term investments at fair value;

- Changes in accounting policies;
- Errors corrected against the opening balance of retained earnings;
- Initial recognition of an equity component related to complex financial instruments; and
- Exchange rate differences arising from the currency translation procedures for foreign operations.

Whenever it is determined that a deferred tax liability will not be reversed, an adjustment should be made to the liability. The deferred tax liability will be reduced and the amount by which it is reduced should be taken directly to equity. Any deferred taxes related to a business combination must also be recognized in equity.

Depending on the items that gave rise to the deferred tax liabilities, an analyst should exercise judgment regarding whether the taxes should be included with deferred tax liabilities or whether it should be taken directly to equity. It may be more appropriate simply to ignore deferred taxes.

EXAMPLE 5

Taxes Charged Directly to Equity

The following information pertains to Khaleej Company (a hypothetical company). A building owned by Khaleej Company was originally purchased for €1,000,000 on 1 January 20x1. For accounting purposes, buildings are depreciated at 5 percent a year on a straight-line basis, and depreciation for tax purposes is 10 percent a year on a straight-line basis. On the first day of 20x3, the building is revalued at €1,200,000. It is estimated that the remaining useful life of the building from the date of revaluation is 20 years. *Important:* For tax purposes the revaluation of the building is not recognized.

Based on the information provided, the following illustrates the difference in treatment of the building for accounting and tax purposes.

	Carrying Amount of Building	Tax Base of Building
Balance on 1 January 20x1	€1,000,000	€1,000,000
Depreciation 20x1	(50,000)	(100,000)
Balance on 31 December 20x1	€950,000	€900,000
Depreciation 20x2	(50,000)	(100,000)
Balance on 31 December 20x2	€900,000	€800,000
Revaluation on 1 January 20x3	300,000	n/a
Balance on 1 January 20x3	€1,200,000	€800,000
Depreciation 20x3	(60,000)	(100,000)
Balance on 31 December 20x3	€1,140,000	€700,000
<i>Accumulated depreciation</i>		
Balance on 1 January 20x1	€0	€0
Depreciation 20x1	50,000	100,000
Balance on 31 December 20x1	€50,000	€100,000
Depreciation 20x2	50,000	100,000
Balance on 31 December 20x2	€100,000	€200,000
Revaluation at 1 January 20x3	(100,000)	n/a
Balance on 1 January 20x3	€0	€200,000
Depreciation 20x3	60,000	100,000
Balance on 30 November 20x3	€60,000	€300,000

	Carrying Amount	Tax Base
On 31 December 20x1	€950,000	€900,000
On 31 December 20x2	€900,000	€800,000
On 31 December 20x3	€1,140,000	€700,000

31 December 20x1: On 31 December 20x1, different treatments for depreciation expense result in a temporary difference that gives rise to a deferred tax liability. The difference in the tax base and carrying amount of the building was a result of different depreciation amounts for tax and accounting purposes. Depreciation appears on the income statement. For this reason the deferred tax liability will also be reflected on the income statement. If we assume that the applicable tax rate in 20x1 was 40 percent, then the resulting deferred tax liability will be $40\% \times (\text{€}950,000 - \text{€}900,000) = \text{€}20,000$.

31 December 20x2: As of 31 December 20x2, the carrying amount of the building remains greater than the tax base. The temporary difference again gives rise to a deferred tax liability. Again, assuming the applicable tax rate to be 40 percent, the deferred tax liability from the building is $40\% \times (\text{€}900,000 - \text{€}800,000) = \text{€}40,000$.

31 December 20x3: On 31 December 20x3, the carrying amount of the building again exceeds the tax base. This is not the result of disposals or additions, but is a result of the revaluation at the beginning of the 20x3 fiscal year and the different rates of depreciation. The deferred tax liability would seem to be $40\% \times (\text{€}1,140,000 - \text{€}700,000) = \text{€}176,000$, but the treatment is different than it was for the 20x1 and 20x2. In 20x3, revaluation of the building gave rise to a balance sheet equity account, namely "Revaluation Surplus" in the amount of €300,000, which is not recognized for tax purposes.

The deferred tax liability would usually have been calculated as follows:

	20x3	20x2	20x1
Deferred tax liability (closing balance at end of fiscal year)	€176,000	€40,000	€20,000
(Difference between tax base and carrying amount)			
20x1: $(\text{€}950,000 - \text{€}900,000) \times 40\% = 20,000$			
20x2: $(\text{€}900,000 - \text{€}800,000) \times 40\% = 40,000$			
20x3: $(\text{€}1,140,000 - \text{€}700,000) \times 40\% = 176,000$			

The change in the deferred tax liability in 20x1 is €20,000, in 20x2: €20,000 ($\text{€}40,000 - \text{€}20,000$) and, it would seem, in 20x3: €136,000 ($\text{€}176,000 - \text{€}40,000$). In 20x3, although it would seem that the balance for deferred tax liability should be €176,000, the revaluation is not recognized for tax purposes. Only the portion of the difference between the tax base and carrying amount that is not a result of the revaluation is recognized as giving rise to a deferred tax liability.

The effect of the revaluation surplus and the associated tax effects are accounted for in a direct adjustment to equity. The revaluation surplus is reduced by the tax provision associated with the excess of the fair value over the carry value and it affects retained earnings ($\text{€}300,000 \times 40\% = \text{€}120,000$).

The deferred tax liability that should be reflected on the balance sheet is thus not €176,000 but only €56,000 ($\text{€}176,000 - \text{€}120,000$). Given the balance of deferred tax liability at the beginning of the 20x3 fiscal year in the amount of €40,000, the change in the deferred tax liability is only $\text{€}56,000 - \text{€}40,000 = \text{€}16,000$.

In the future, at the end of each year, an amount equal to the depreciation as a result of the revaluation minus the deferred tax effect will be transferred from the revaluation reserve to retained earnings. In 20x3 this will amount to a portion of depreciation resulting from the revaluation, €15,000 ($\text{€}300,000 \div 20$), minus the deferred tax effect of €6,000 ($\text{€}15,000 \times 40\%$), thus €9,000.

10

PRESENTATION AND DISCLOSURE

- i. analyze disclosures relating to deferred tax items and the effective tax rate reconciliation and explain how information included in these disclosures affects a company's financial statements and financial ratios;

We will discuss the presentation and disclosure of income tax related information by way of example. The Consolidated Statements of Operations (Income Statements) and Consolidated Balance Sheets for Micron Technology (MU), a global technology company based in the US, are provided in Exhibits 2 and 3, respectively. Exhibit 4 provides the income tax note disclosures for MU for the 2015, 2016, and 2017 fiscal years.

MU's income tax provision (i.e., income tax expense) for fiscal year 2017 is \$114 million (see Exhibit 2). The income tax note disclosure in Exhibit 4 reconciles how the income tax provision was determined beginning with MU's reported income before taxes (shown in Exhibit 4 as \$5,196 million for fiscal year 2017). The note disclosure then denotes the income tax provision for 2017 that is current (\$153 million), which is then offset by the deferred tax benefit for foreign taxes (\$39 million), for a net income tax provision of \$114 million. Exhibit 4 further shows a reconciliation of how the income tax provision was derived from the US federal statutory rate. Many public companies comply with this required disclosure by displaying the information in percentage terms, but MU has elected to provide the disclosure in absolute dollar amounts. From this knowledge, for 2017 we can see that the dollar amount shown for US federal income tax provision at the statutory rate (\$1,819 million) was determined by multiplying MU's income before taxes by the 35 percent US federal statutory rate ($\$5,196 \times 0.35 = \$1,819$).

In addition, the note disclosure in Exhibit 4 provides detailed information about the derivation of the deferred tax assets (\$766 million for 2017) and deferred tax liabilities (\$17 million for 2017). These deferred tax assets are shown separately on MU's consolidated balance sheet for fiscal year 2017 with noncurrent assets (see Exhibit 3), while the deferred tax liabilities are included in other noncurrent liabilities (also see Exhibit 3).

**Exhibit 2 Micron Technology, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Amounts in US\$ Millions except Per Share)**

For the Year Ended	31 Aug. 2017	1 Sept. 2016	3 Sept. 2015
Net sales	20,322	\$12,399	\$16,192
Cost of goods sold	11,886	9,894	10,977
Gross margin	8,436	2,505	5,215
Selling, general and administrative	743	659	719
Research and development	1,824	1,617	1,540
Restructure and asset impairments	18	67	3

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

For the Year Ended	31 Aug. 2017	1 Sept. 2016	3 Sept. 2015
Other operating (income) expense, net	(17)	(6)	(45)
Operating income	5,868	168	2,998
Interest income (expense), net	(560)	(395)	(336)
Other non-operating income (expense), net	(112)	(54)	(53)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(114)	(19)	(157)
Equity in net income (loss) of equity method investees	8	25	447
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	(1)	(1)	—
Net income (loss) attributable to Micron	<u>\$5,089</u>	<u>\$(276)</u>	<u>\$2,899</u>
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$4.67	\$(0.27)	\$2.71
Diluted	\$4.41	\$(0.27)	\$2.47
Number of shares used in per share calculations:			
Basic	1,089	1,036	1,070
Diluted	1,154	1,036	1,170

Exhibit 3 Micron Technology, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets (Amounts in US\$ Millions)

As of	31 Aug. 2017	1 Sept. 2016
Assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$5,109	\$4,140
Short-term investments	319	258
Receivables	3,759	2,068
Inventories	3,123	2,889
Other current assets	147	140
Total current assets	12,457	9,495
Long-term marketable investments	617	414
Property, plant and equipment, net	19,431	14,686
Equity method investments	16	1,364
Intangible assets, net	387	464
Deferred tax assets	766	657
Other noncurrent assets	1,662	460
Total assets	<u>\$35,336</u>	<u>\$27,540</u>
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$3,664	\$3,879
Deferred income	408	200
Current debt	1,262	756

(continued)

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

As of	31 Aug. 2017	1 Sept. 2016
Total current liabilities	5,334	4,835
Long-term debt	9,872	9,154
Other noncurrent liabilities	639	623
Total liabilities	15,845	14,612
Redeemable convertible notes	21	—
Micron shareholder's equity		
Common stock of \$0.10 par value, 3,000 shares authorized, 1,116 shares issued and 1,112 shares outstanding (1,094 issued and 1,040 outstanding as of September 1, 2016)	112	109
Additional capital	8,287	7,736
Retained earnings	10,260	5,299
Treasury stock, 4 shares held (54 as of September 1, 2016)	(67)	(1,029)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	29	(35)
Total Micron shareholders' equity	18,621	12,080
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	849	848
Total equity	19,470	12,928
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	35,336	\$27,540

Exhibit 4 Micron Technology, Inc. Income Taxes Note to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Income (loss) before taxes and the income tax (provision) benefit consisted of the following:

(in US\$ Millions)	2017	2016	2015
Income (loss) before income taxes, net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, and equity in net income (loss) of equity method investees			
Foreign	\$5,252	\$(353)	\$2,431
US	(56)	72	178
	\$5,196	\$(281)	\$2,609
Income tax (provision) benefit:			
Current:			
Foreign	\$(152)	\$(27)	\$(93)
State	(1)	(1)	(1)
US federal	—	—	6
	(153)	(28)	(88)
Deferred:			

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

(in US\$ Millions)	2017	2016	2015
US federal	—	39	15
State	—	2	1
Foreign	39	(32)	(85)
	39	9	(69)
Income tax (provision)	\$(114)	\$(19)	\$(157)

The company's income tax (provision) computed using the US federal statutory rate and the company's income tax (provision) benefit is reconciled as follows:

(US\$ Millions)	2017	2016	2015
US federal income tax (provision) benefit at statutory rate	\$(1,819)	\$98	\$(913)
Foreign tax rate differential	1,571	(300)	515
Change in valuation allowance	64	63	260
Change in unrecognized tax benefits	12	52	(118)
Tax credits	66	48	53
Noncontrolling investment transactions	—	—	57
Other	(8)	20	(11)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(114)	\$(19)	\$(157)

State taxes reflect investment tax credits of \$233 million as at 31 August 2017. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the bases of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. The company's deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following as of the end of the periods shown below:

(US\$ Millions)	2017	2016
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards	\$3,426	\$3,014
Accrued salaries, wages, and benefits	211	142
Other accrued liabilities	59	76
Other	86	65
Gross deferred assets	3,782	3,297
Less valuation allowance	(2,321)	(2,107)
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	1,461	1,190
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Debt discount	(145)	(170)
Property, plant, and equipment	(300)	(135)
Unremitted earnings on certain subsidiaries	(123)	(121)
Product and process technology	(85)	(81)
Other	(59)	(28)
Deferred tax liabilities	(712)	(535)
Net deferred tax assets	<u>\$749</u>	<u>\$655</u>

Reported as:

(continued)

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

(US\$ Millions)	2017	2016
Current deferred tax assets (included in other current assets)	\$—	\$—
Deferred tax assets	766	657
Current deferred tax liabilities (included in accounts payable and accrued expenses)	—	—
Deferred tax liabilities (included in other noncurrent liabilities)	(17)	(2)
Net deferred tax assets	\$749	\$655

The company has a valuation allowance against substantially all of its US net deferred tax assets. As of 31 August 2017, the company had aggregate US tax net operating loss carryforwards of \$3.88 billion and unused US tax credit carryforwards of \$416 million. The company also has unused state tax net operating loss carryforwards of \$1.95 billion and unused state tax credits of \$233 million. The net operating loss carryforwards and the tax credit carryforwards expire between 2018 to 2037.

The changes in valuation allowance of \$64 million and \$63 million in 2017 and 2016, respectively, are primarily a result of uncertainties of realizing certain US and foreign net operating losses and certain tax credit carryforwards.

Provision has been made for deferred taxes on undistributed earnings of non-US subsidiaries to the extent that dividend payments from such companies are expected to result in additional tax liability. Remaining undistributed earnings of \$12.91 billion as of 31 August 2017 have been indefinitely reinvested. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability on these unremitted earnings is not practicable.

EXAMPLE 6**Financial Analysis Example**

Use the financial statement information and disclosures provided by MU in Exhibits 2, 3, and 4 to answer the following questions:

- 1 MU discloses a valuation allowance of \$2,321 million (see Exhibit 4) against gross deferred assets of \$3,782 million in 2017. Does the existence of this valuation allowance have any implications concerning MU's future earnings prospects?
- 2 How would MU's deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities be affected if the federal statutory tax rate was changed to 21 percent?
- 3 How would reported earnings have been affected if MU were not using a valuation allowance?
- 4 How would MU's \$3.88 billion in net operating loss carryforwards in 2017 (see Exhibit 4) affect the valuation that an acquiring company would be willing to offer?
- 5 Under what circumstances should the analyst consider MU's deferred tax liability as debt or as equity? Under what circumstances should the analyst exclude MU's deferred tax liability from both debt and equity when calculating the debt-to-equity ratio?

Solution to 1:

According to Exhibit 4, MU's deferred tax assets expire gradually until 2037 (2018 to 2037 for the net operating loss carryforwards and the tax credit carryforwards).

Because the company is still relatively young, it is likely that most of these expirations occur toward the end of that period. Because cumulative US tax net operating loss carryforwards total \$3.88 billion, the valuation allowance could imply that MU is not reasonably expected to earn \$3.88 billion over the next 20 years. However, as we can see in Exhibit 2, MU earned a profit for 2017 and 2015, thereby showing that the allowance could be adjusted downward if the company continues to generate profits in the future, and making it more likely than not that the deferred tax asset would be recognized.

Solution to 2:

MU's total deferred tax assets exceed total deferred tax liabilities by \$749 million. A change in the federal statutory tax rate to 21 percent from the current rate of 35 percent would make these net deferred assets less valuable. Also, because it is possible that the deferred tax asset valuation allowance could be adjusted downward in the future (see discussion to solution 1), the impact could be far greater in magnitude.

Solution to 3:

The disclosure in Exhibit 4 shows that the increase in the valuation allowance increased the income tax provision as reported on the income statement by \$64 million in 2017. Additional potential reductions in the valuation allowance could similarly reduce reported income taxes (actual income taxes would not be affected by a valuation allowance established for financial reporting) in future years (see discussion to solution 1).

Solution to 4:

If an acquiring company is profitable, it may be able to use MU's tax loss carryforwards to offset its own tax liabilities. The value to an acquirer would be the present value of the carryforwards, based on the acquirer's tax rate and expected timing of realization. The higher the acquiring company's tax rate, and the more profitable the acquirer, the sooner it would be able to benefit. Therefore, an acquirer with a high current tax rate would theoretically be willing to pay more than an acquirer with a lower tax rate.

Solution to 5:

The analyst should classify the deferred tax liability as debt if the liability is expected to reverse with subsequent tax payment. If the liability is not expected to reverse, there is no expectation of a cash outflow and the liability should be treated as equity. By way of example, future company losses may preclude the payment of any income taxes, or changes in tax laws could result in taxes that are never paid. The deferred tax liability should be excluded from both debt and equity when both the amounts and timing of tax payments resulting from the reversals of temporary differences are uncertain.

11**COMPARISON OF IFRS AND US GAAP**

- j identify the key provisions of and differences between income tax accounting under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

As mentioned earlier, though IFRS and US GAAP follow similar conventions on many tax issues, there are some notable differences. Exhibit 5 summarizes many of the key similarities and differences between IFRS and US GAAP. Though both frameworks require a provision for deferred taxes, there are differences in the methodologies.

Exhibit 5 Deferred Income Tax Issues IFRS and US GAAP Methodology Similarities and Differences

IFRS	US GAAP
Introduction	
The objective in accounting for income taxes is to recognize the amount of taxes +currently payable or refundable and deferred taxes. Income tax expense is the current tax expense (or recovery) plus the period change in deferred taxes (net of tax arising from a business combination or recorded outside profit or loss).	Similar to IFRS.
Unpaid taxes for current and prior periods are recognized as a liability, and an asset is recognised if the amount already paid exceeds the amount due. A prior tax loss benefit used to recover previous period current tax is also an asset.	The approach to calculating current taxes is similar to IFRS with some exceptions, such as the treatment of taxes on the elimination of intercompany profits.
In general, deferred taxes are recognized using an asset and liability approach which focuses on temporary differences arising between the tax base of an asset or liability and its carrying amount in the statement of financial position. Deferred taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns.	US GAAP also follows an asset and liability approach to calculating deferred taxes, although there are some differences in the application relative to IFRS.
Deferred taxes are not recognized for:	Deferred taxes are not recognized for:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The initial recognition of goodwill ■ The initial recognition of an asset or liability in a non-business combination transaction and where accounting profit or taxable profit (tax loss) is not affected ■ Taxable temporary differences from investments in subsidiaries/branches/ associates, and interests in joint ventures in which the parent etc. is able to control the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference, and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Goodwill for which amortization is not deductible for tax purposes ■ Unlike IFRS, US GAAP does not have a similar exception ■ An excess of the amount for the financial reporting over the tax basis of an investment in a foreign subsidiary or a foreign corporate joint venture that is essentially permanent in duration, unless it becomes apparent that those temporary differences will reverse in the foreseeable future. Unlike IFRS, this exception does not apply to domestic subsidiaries and corporate joint venture and investments in equity investees.
	Unlike IFRS, recognition of deferred taxes is prohibited for differences that are remeasured from the local currency into the functional currency using historical exchange rates and that result from changes in exchange rates or indexing for tax purposes.

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

IFRS	US GAAP
Deferred taxes should be recognized for the difference between the carrying amount determined by using the historical exchange rate and the relevant tax base, which may have been affected by exchange rate changes or tax indexing.	
Recognition and measurement	
Current tax liabilities and assets for the current and prior periods are measured at amounts expected to be paid to (recovered from) the taxation authorities based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantially enacted by the end of the reporting period.	Similar to IFRS. Measurement of current and deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on enacted tax law. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rate(s) expected to apply to taxable income in periods in which deferred tax is expected to be settled or realized. Unlike IFRS, use of substantially enacted tax rates is not permitted.
Deferred tax assets are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability is settled, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.	
Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent that it is probable (more likely than not) that taxable profit will be available to utilize the deductible temporary difference or carryforward of unused tax losses or tax credits. End of reporting period reviews may reduce the carrying amount if sufficient taxable profit is no longer probable such as to allow the utilization benefit of all or part of that deferred tax asset, and any such reduction is reversed if it subsequently becomes probable again.	Unlike IFRS, deferred tax assets are recognized in full and reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.
Current and deferred taxes are recognized outside profit or loss if the tax relates to items that are recognized, in the same or a different period, in other comprehensive income (OCI), or directly to equity.	Similar to IFRS, the tax effects of certain items occurring during the year are charged or credited directly to OCI or to related components of shareholders' equity.
Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not discounted.	Similar to IFRS.
Presentation and disclosure	
Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if the entity has a legally enforceable right to offset current tax assets against current tax liabilities and the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities relate to income taxes levied by the same taxing authority on either the same taxable entity, or different taxable entities that intend either to settle current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis or to simultaneously realize/settle the asset/liability.	All deferred taxes are offset and presented as a single amount.

(continued)

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

IFRS	US GAAP
Deferred tax assets and liabilities are presented as separate line items in the statement of financial position. If a classified statement of financial position is used, deferred taxes are classified as noncurrent.	Deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position, which aligns with IFRS.
All entities must disclose an explanation of the relationship between tax expense and accounting profit using either or both of the following formats:	Public companies must disclose a reconciliation using percentages or dollar amounts of the reported amount of income tax expense attributable to continuing operations for the year to that amount of income tax expense that would result from applying domestic federal statutory tax rates to pretax income from continuing operations. Nonpublic enterprises must disclose the nature of significant reconciling items but may omit a numerical reconciliation.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ A numerical reconciliation between tax expense (income) and the product of accounting profit multiplied by the applicable tax rate(s) including disclosure of the basis on which the applicable rate is computed. ■ A numerical reconciliation between the average effective tax rate and the applicable tax rate, including disclosure of the basis on which the applicable tax rate is computed. 	

Sources: IFRS: IAS 12 and 32. US GAAP: ASC 740. "Comparison between US GAAP and IFRS Standards," Section 5.3 Taxation, Grant Thornton, April 2017. "IFRS and US GAAP: similarities and differences", PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC, 2018.

SUMMARY

Income taxes are a significant category of expense for profitable companies. Analyzing income tax expenses is often difficult for the analyst because there are many permanent and temporary timing differences between the accounting that is used for income tax reporting and the accounting that is used for financial reporting on company financial statements. The financial statements and notes to the financial statements of a company provide important information that the analyst needs to assess financial performance and to compare a company's financial performance with other companies. Key concepts in this reading are as follows:

- Differences between the recognition of revenue and expenses for tax and accounting purposes may result in taxable income differing from accounting profit. The discrepancy is a result of different treatments of certain income and expenditure items.
- The tax base of an asset is the amount that will be deductible for tax purposes as an expense in the calculation of taxable income as the company expenses the tax basis of the asset. If the economic benefit will not be taxable, the tax base of the asset will be equal to the carrying amount of the asset.
- The tax base of a liability is the carrying amount of the liability less any amounts that will be deductible for tax purposes in the future. With respect to revenue received in advance, the tax base of such a liability is the carrying amount less any amount of the revenue that will not be taxable in the future.
- Temporary differences arise from recognition of differences in the tax base and carrying amount of assets and liabilities. The creation of a deferred tax asset or liability as a result of a temporary difference will only be allowed if the difference reverses itself at some future date and to the extent that it is expected that the balance sheet item will create future economic benefits for the company.

- Permanent differences result in a difference in tax and financial reporting of revenue (expenses) that will not be reversed at some future date. Because it will not be reversed at a future date, these differences do not constitute temporary differences and do not give rise to a deferred tax asset or liability.
- Current taxes payable or recoverable are based on the applicable tax rates on the balance sheet date of an entity; in contrast, deferred taxes should be measured at the tax rate that is expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability settled.
- All unrecognized deferred tax assets and liabilities must be reassessed on the appropriate balance sheet date and measured against their probable future economic benefit.
- Deferred tax assets must be assessed for their prospective recoverability. If it is probable that they will not be recovered at all or partly, the carrying amount should be reduced. Under US GAAP, this is done through the use of a valuation allowance.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Using the straight-line method of depreciation for reporting purposes and accelerated depreciation for tax purposes would *most likely* result in a:
 - A valuation allowance.
 - B deferred tax asset.
 - C temporary difference.
- 2 In early 2018 Sanborn Company must pay the tax authority €37,000 on the income it earned in 2017. This amount was recorded on the company's 31 December 2017 financial statements as:
 - A taxes payable.
 - B income tax expense.
 - C a deferred tax liability.
- 3 Income tax expense reported on a company's income statement equals taxes payable, plus the net increase in:
 - A deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities.
 - B deferred tax assets, less the net increase in deferred tax liabilities.
 - C deferred tax liabilities, less the net increase in deferred tax assets.
- 4 Analysts should treat deferred tax liabilities that are expected to reverse as:
 - A equity.
 - B liabilities.
 - C neither liabilities nor equity.
- 5 Deferred tax liabilities should be treated as equity when:
 - A they are not expected to reverse.
 - B the timing of tax payments is uncertain.
 - C the amount of tax payments is uncertain.
- 6 When both the timing and amount of tax payments are uncertain, analysts should treat deferred tax liabilities as:
 - A equity.
 - B liabilities.
 - C neither liabilities nor equity.
- 7 When accounting standards require recognition of an expense that is not permitted under tax laws, the result is a:
 - A deferred tax liability.
 - B temporary difference.
 - C permanent difference.
- 8 When certain expenditures result in tax credits that directly reduce taxes, the company will *most likely* record:
 - A a deferred tax asset.
 - B a deferred tax liability.
 - C no deferred tax asset or liability.

- 9** When accounting standards require an asset to be expensed immediately but tax rules require the item to be capitalized and amortized, the company will *most likely* record:
- A** a deferred tax asset.
 - B** a deferred tax liability.
 - C** no deferred tax asset or liability.
- 10** A company incurs a capital expenditure that may be amortized over five years for accounting purposes, but over four years for tax purposes. The company will *most likely* record:
- A** a deferred tax asset.
 - B** a deferred tax liability.
 - C** no deferred tax asset or liability.
- 11** A company receives advance payments from customers that are immediately taxable but will not be recognized for accounting purposes until the company fulfills its obligation. The company will *most likely* record:
- A** a deferred tax asset.
 - B** a deferred tax liability.
 - C** no deferred tax asset or liability.

The following information relates to Questions 12–14

Note I Income Taxes

The components of earnings before income taxes are as follows (\$ thousands):

	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Earnings before income taxes:			
United States	\$88,157	\$75,658	\$59,973
Foreign	116,704	113,509	94,760
Total	\$204,861	\$189,167	\$154,733

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows (\$ thousands):

	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Income taxes			
Current:			
Federal			
Federal	\$30,632	\$22,031	\$18,959
Foreign	28,140	27,961	22,263
	\$58,772	\$49,992	\$41,222
Deferred:			
Federal			
Federal	(\$4,752)	\$5,138	\$2,336
Foreign	124	1,730	621

(continued)

	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
	(4,628)	6,868	2,957
Total	\$54,144	\$56,860	\$44,179

- 12 In Year 3, the company's US GAAP income statement recorded a provision for income taxes *closest* to:
- A \$30,632.
 - B \$54,144.
 - C \$58,772.
- 13 The company's effective tax rate was *highest* in:
- A Year 1.
 - B Year 2.
 - C Year 3.
- 14 Compared to the company's effective tax rate on US income, its effective tax rate on foreign income was:
- A lower in each year presented.
 - B higher in each year presented.
 - C higher in some periods and lower in others.
-
- 15 Zimt AG presents its financial statements in accordance with US GAAP. In Year 3, Zimt discloses a valuation allowance of \$1,101 against total deferred tax assets of \$19,201. In Year 2, Zimt disclosed a valuation allowance of \$1,325 against total deferred tax assets of \$17,325. The change in the valuation allowance *most likely* indicates that Zimt's:
- A deferred tax liabilities were reduced in Year 3.
 - B expectations of future earning power has increased.
 - C expectations of future earning power has decreased.
- 16 Cinnamon, Inc. recorded a total deferred tax asset in Year 3 of \$12,301, offset by a \$12,301 valuation allowance. Cinnamon *most likely*:
- A fully utilized the deferred tax asset in Year 3.
 - B has an equal amount of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities.
 - C expects not to earn any taxable income before the deferred tax asset expires.

The following information relates to Questions 17–19

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (\$ thousands):

	Year 3	Year 2
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses	\$8,613	\$7,927
Tax credit and net operating loss carryforwards	2,288	2,554

	Year 3	Year 2
LIFO and inventory reserves	5,286	4,327
Other	2,664	2,109
Deferred tax assets	18,851	16,917
Valuation allowance	(1,245)	(1,360)
Net deferred tax assets	<u>\$17,606</u>	<u>\$15,557</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and amortization	\$(27,338)	\$(29,313)
Compensation and retirement plans	(3,831)	(8,963)
Other	(1,470)	(764)
Deferred tax liabilities	<u>(32,639)</u>	<u>(39,040)</u>
Net deferred tax liability	<u>(\$15,033)</u>	<u>(\$23,483)</u>

- 17 A reduction in the statutory tax rate would *most likely* benefit the company's:
- A income statement and balance sheet.
 - B income statement but not the balance sheet.
 - C balance sheet but not the income statement.
- 18 If the valuation allowance had been the same in Year 3 as it was in Year 2, the company would have reported \$115 *higher*:
- A net income.
 - B deferred tax assets.
 - C income tax expense.
- 19 Compared to the provision for income taxes in Year 3, the company's cash tax payments were:
- A lower.
 - B higher.
 - C the same.

The following information relates to Questions 20–22

A company's provision for income taxes resulted in effective tax rates attributable to loss from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principles that varied from the statutory federal income tax rate of 34 percent, as summarized in the table below.

Year Ended 30 June	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Expected federal income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations at 34 percent	(\$112,000)	\$768,000	\$685,000
Expenses not deductible for income tax purposes	357,000	32,000	51,000
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	132,000	22,000	100,000

(continued)

Year Ended 30 June	Year 3	Year 2	Year 1
Change in valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(150,000)	(766,000)	(754,000)
Income tax expense	\$227,000	\$56,000	\$82,000

- 20** In Year 3, the company's net income (loss) was *closest* to:
- A (\$217,000).
 - B (\$329,000).
 - C (\$556,000).
- 21** The \$357,000 adjustment in Year 3 *most likely* resulted in:
- A an increase in deferred tax assets.
 - B an increase in deferred tax liabilities.
 - C no change to deferred tax assets and liabilities.
- 22** Over the three years presented, changes in the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets were *most likely* indicative of:
- A decreased prospect for future profitability.
 - B increased prospects for future profitability.
 - C assets being carried at a higher value than their tax base.
-

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. Because the differences between tax and financial accounting will correct over time, the resulting deferred tax liability, for which the expense was charged to the income statement but the tax authority has not yet been paid, will be a temporary difference. A valuation allowance would only arise if there was doubt over the company's ability to earn sufficient income in the future to require paying the tax.
- 2 A is correct. The taxes a company must pay in the immediate future are taxes payable.
- 3 C is correct. Higher reported tax expense relative to taxes paid will increase the deferred tax liability, whereas lower reported tax expense relative to taxes paid increases the deferred tax asset.
- 4 B is correct. If the liability is expected to reverse (and thus require a cash tax payment) the deferred tax represents a future liability.
- 5 A is correct. If the liability will not reverse, there will be no required tax payment in the future and the "liability" should be treated as equity.
- 6 C is correct. The deferred tax liability should be excluded from both debt and equity when both the amounts and timing of tax payments resulting from the reversals of temporary differences are uncertain.
- 7 C is correct. Accounting items that are not deductible for tax purposes will not be reversed and thus result in permanent differences.
- 8 C is correct. Tax credits that directly reduce taxes are a permanent difference, and permanent differences do not give rise to deferred tax.
- 9 A is correct. The capitalization will result in an asset with a positive tax base and zero carrying value. The amortization means the difference is temporary. Because there is a temporary difference on an asset resulting in a higher tax base than carrying value, a deferred tax asset is created.
- 10 B is correct. The difference is temporary, and the tax base will be lower (because of more rapid amortization) than the carrying value of the asset. The result will be a deferred tax liability.
- 11 A is correct. The advances represent a liability for the company. The carrying value of the liability exceeds the tax base (which is now zero). A deferred tax asset arises when the carrying value of a liability exceeds its tax base.
- 12 B is correct. The income tax provision in Year 3 was \$54,144, consisting of \$58,772 in current income taxes, of which \$4,628 were deferred.
- 13 B is correct. The effective tax rate of 30.1 percent ($\$56,860/\$189,167$) was higher than the effective rates in Year 2 and Year 3.
- 14 A is correct. In Year 3 the effective tax rate on foreign operations was 24.2 percent $[(\$28,140 + \$124)/\$116,704]$ and the effective US tax rate was $[(\$30,632 - \$4,752)/\$88,157] = 29.4$ percent. In Year 2 the effective tax rate on foreign operations was 26.2 percent and the US rate was 35.9 percent. In Year 1 the foreign rate was 24.1 percent and the US rate was 35.5 percent.
- 15 B is correct. The valuation allowance is taken against deferred tax assets to represent uncertainty that future taxable income will be sufficient to fully utilize the assets. By decreasing the allowance, Zimt is signaling greater likelihood that future earnings will be offset by the deferred tax asset.

- 16** C is correct. The valuation allowance is taken when the company will “more likely than not” fail to earn sufficient income to offset the deferred tax asset. Because the valuation allowance equals the asset, by extension the company expects *no* taxable income prior to the expiration of the deferred tax assets.
- 17** A is correct. A lower tax rate would increase net income on the income statement, and because the company has a net deferred tax liability, the net liability position on the balance sheet would also improve (be smaller).
- 18** C is correct. The reduction in the valuation allowance resulted in a corresponding reduction in the income tax provision.
- 19** B is correct. The net deferred tax liability was smaller in Year 3 than it was in Year 2, indicating that in addition to meeting the tax payments provided for in Year 3 the company also paid taxes that had been deferred in prior periods.
- 20** C is correct. The income tax provision at the statutory rate of 34 percent is a benefit of \$112,000, suggesting that the pre-tax income was a loss of $\$112,000 / 0.34 = (\$329,412)$. The income tax provision was \$227,000. $(\$329,412) - \$227,000 = (\$556,412)$.
- 21** C is correct. Accounting expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes result in a permanent difference, and thus do not give rise to deferred taxes.
- 22** B is correct. Over the three-year period, changes in the valuation allowance reduced cumulative income taxes by \$1,670,000. The reductions to the valuation allowance were a result of the company being “more likely than not” to earn sufficient taxable income to offset the deferred tax assets.

READING

24

Non-Current (Long-Term) Liabilities

by Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA, and Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA

Elizabeth A. Gordon, PhD, MBA, CPA, is at Temple University (USA). Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. determine the initial recognition, initial measurement and subsequent measurement of bonds;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe the effective interest method and calculate interest expense, amortisation of bond discounts/premiums, and interest payments;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. explain the derecognition of debt;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe the role of debt covenants in protecting creditors;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to debt;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. explain motivations for leasing assets instead of purchasing them;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. explain the financial reporting of leases from a lessee's perspective;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. explain the financial reporting of leases from a lessor's perspective;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. compare the presentation and disclosure of defined contribution and defined benefit pension plans;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. calculate and interpret leverage and coverage ratios.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION

A non-current liability (long-term liability) broadly represents a probable sacrifice of economic benefits in periods generally greater than one year in the future. Common types of **non-current liabilities** reported in a company's financial statements include long-term debt (e.g., bonds payable, long-term notes payable), leases, pension liabilities, and deferred tax liabilities. This reading focuses on bonds payable, leases, and pension liabilities.

This reading is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces bonds and the accounting for their issuance. Section 3 discusses the recording of interest expense and interest payments as well as the amortisation of discount or premium. Section 4 describes fair value accounting for bonds, an alternative to the amortised cost approach. Section 5 discusses the repayment of principal when bonds are redeemed or reach maturity, which requires derecognition from the financial statements. Section 6 covers debt covenants. Section 7 describes the financial statement presentation and disclosures about debt financings. Section 8 discusses leases, including the benefits of leasing and accounting for leases by both lessees and lessors. Section 9 introduces pension accounting and the resulting non-current liabilities. Section 10 discusses the use of leverage and coverage ratios in evaluating solvency. Section 11 concludes and summarises the reading.

2

BONDS PAYABLE & ACCOUNTING FOR BOND ISSUANCE

a determine the initial recognition, initial measurement and subsequent measurement of bonds

This section discusses accounting for bonds payable—a common form of long-term debt. In some contexts (e.g., some government debt obligations), the word “bond” is used only for a debt security with a maturity of 10 years or longer; “note” refers to a debt security with a maturity between 2 and 10 years; and “bill” refers to a debt security with a maturity of less than 2 years. In this reading, we use the terms bond and note interchangeably because the accounting treatments of bonds payable and long-term notes payable are similar. In the following sections, we discuss bond issuance (initial recognition and measurement); bond amortisation, interest expense, and interest payments; market rates and fair value (subsequent measurement); repayment of bonds, including retirements and redemptions (derecognition); and other issues concerning disclosures related to debt. We also discuss debt covenants.

2.1 Accounting for Bond Issuance

Bonds are contractual promises made by a company (or other borrowing entity) to pay cash in the future to its lenders (i.e., bondholders) in exchange for receiving cash in the present. The terms of a bond contract are contained in a document called an indenture. The cash or sales proceeds received by a company when it issues bonds is based on the value (price) of the bonds at the time of issue; the price at the time of issue is determined as the present value of the future cash payments promised by the company in the bond agreement.

Ordinarily, bonds contain promises of two types of future cash payments: 1) the face value of the bonds, and 2) periodic interest payments. The **face value** of the bonds is the amount of cash payable by the company to the bondholders when the bonds mature. The face value is also referred to as the principal, par value, stated value, or maturity value. The date of maturity of the bonds (the date on which the face value is paid to bondholders) is stated in the bond contract and typically is a number of years in the future. Periodic interest payments are made based on the interest rate promised in the bond contract applied to the bonds' face value. The interest rate promised in the contract, which is the rate used to calculate the periodic interest payments, is referred to as the **coupon rate**, nominal rate, or stated rate. Similarly, the periodic interest payment is referred to as the coupon payment or simply the coupon. For fixed rate bonds (the primary focus of our discussion here), the coupon rate remains unchanged throughout the life of the bonds. The frequency with which interest payments are made is also stated in the bond contract. For example, bonds paying interest semi-annually will make two interest payments per year.¹

The future cash payments are discounted to the present to arrive at the market value of the bonds. The **market rate of interest** is the rate demanded by purchasers of the bonds given the risks associated with future cash payment obligations of the particular bond issue. The market rate of interest at the time of issue often differs from the coupon rate because of interest rate fluctuations that occur between the time the issuer establishes the coupon rate and the day the bonds are actually available to investors. If the market rate of interest when the bonds are issued equals the coupon rate, the market value (price) of the bonds will equal the face value of the bonds. Thus, ignoring

issuance costs, the issuing company will receive sales proceeds (cash) equal to the face value of the bonds. When a bond is issued at a price equal to its face value, the bond is said to have been issued at par.

If the coupon rate when the bonds are issued is higher than the market rate, the market value of the bonds—and thus the amount of cash the company receives—will be higher than the face value of the bonds. In other words, the bonds will sell at a premium to face value because they are offering an attractive coupon rate compared to current market rates. If the coupon rate is lower than the market rate, the market value and thus the sale proceeds from the bonds will be less than the face value of the bonds; the bond will sell at a discount to face value. The market rate at the time of issuance is the **effective interest rate** or borrowing rate that the company incurs on the debt. The effective interest rate is the discount rate that equates the present value of the two types of promised future cash payments to their selling price. For the issuing company, interest expense reported for the bonds in the financial statements is based on the effective interest rate.

On the issuing company's statement of cash flows, the cash received (sales proceeds) from issuing bonds is reported as a financing cash inflow. On the issuing company's balance sheet at the time of issue, bonds payable normally are measured and reported at the sales proceeds. In other words, the bonds payable are initially reported at the face value of the bonds minus any discount, or plus any premium.

Using a three-step approach, the following two examples illustrate accounting for bonds issued at face value and then accounting for bonds issued at a discount to face value. Accounting for bonds issued at a premium involves steps similar to the steps followed in the examples below. For simplicity, these examples assume a flat interest rate yield curve (i.e., that the market rate of interest is the same for each period). More-precise bond valuations use the interest rate applicable to each time period in which a payment of interest or principal occurs.

¹ Interest rates are stated on an annual basis regardless of the frequency of payment.

EXAMPLE 1**Bonds Issued at Face Value**

Debond Corp. (a hypothetical company) issues £1,000,000 worth of five-year bonds, dated 1 January 2018, when the market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and terms is 5 percent per annum. The bonds pay 5 percent interest annually on 31 December. What are the sales proceeds of the bonds when issued, and how is the issuance reflected in the financial statements?

Solution:

Calculating the value of the bonds at issuance and thus the sales proceeds involves three steps: 1) identifying key features of the bonds and the market interest rate, 2) determining future cash outflows, and 3) discounting the future cash flows to the present.

First, identify key features of the bonds and the market interest rate necessary to determine sales proceeds:

Face value (principal):	£1,000,000	
Time to maturity:	5 years	
Coupon rate:	5%	
Market rate at issuance:	5%	
Frequency of interest payments:	annual	
Interest payment:	£50,000	Each annual interest payment is the face value times the coupon rate ($£1,000,000 \times 5\%$). If interest is paid other than annually, adjust the interest rate to match the interest payment period (e.g., divide the annual coupon rate by two for semi-annual interest payments).

Second, determine future cash outflows. Debond will pay bondholders £1,000,000 when the bonds mature in five years. On 31 December of each year until the bonds mature, Debond will make an interest payment of £50,000.

Third, sum the present value² of the future payments of interest and principal to obtain the value of the bonds and thus the sales proceeds from issuing the bonds. In this example, the sum is £1,000,000 = (£216,474 + £783,526).

Date	Interest Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (5%)	Face Value Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (5%)	Total Present Value
31 December 2018	£50,000	£47,619			
31 December 2019	50,000	45,352			
31 December 2020	50,000	43,192			
31 December 2021	50,000	41,135			
31 December 2022	50,000	39,176	£1,000,000	£783,526	
Total		£216,474		£783,526	£1,000,000
					Sales Proceeds

² Alternative ways to calculate the present value include 1) to treat the five annual interest payments as an annuity and use the formula for finding the present value of an annuity and then add the present value of the principal payment, or 2) to use a financial calculator to calculate the total present value.

The sales proceeds of the bonds when issued are £1,000,000. There is no discount or premium because these bonds are issued at face value. The issuance is reflected on the balance sheet as an increase of cash and an increase in a long-term liability, bonds payable, of £1,000,000. The issuance is reflected in the statement of cash flows as a financing cash inflow of £1,000,000.

The price of bonds is often expressed as a percentage of face value. For example, the price of bonds issued at par, as in Example 1, is 100 (i.e., 100 percent of face value). In Example 2, in which bonds are issued at a discount, the price is 95.79 (i.e., 95.79 percent of face value).

EXAMPLE 2

Bonds Issued at a Discount

Debond Corp. issues £1,000,000 worth of five-year bonds, dated 1 January 2018, when the market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and terms is 6 percent. The bonds pay 5 percent interest annually on 31 December. What are the sales proceeds of the bonds when issued, and how is the issuance reflected in the financial statements?

Solution:

The key features of the bonds and the market interest rate are:

Face value (principal):	£1,000,000	
Time to maturity:	5 years	
Coupon rate:	5%	
Market rate at issuance:	6%	
Frequency of interest payments:	annual	
Interest payment:	£50,000	Each annual interest payment is the face value times the coupon rate (£1,000,000 × 5%).

The future cash outflows (interest payments and face value payment), the present value of the future cash outflows, and the total present value are:

Date	Interest Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (6%)	Face Value Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (6%)	Total Present Value
31 December 2018	£50,000	£47,170			
31 December 2019	50,000	44,500			
31 December 2020	50,000	41,981			
31 December 2021	50,000	39,605			
31 December 2022	50,000	37,363	£1,000,000	£747,258	
Total		£210,618		£747,258	£957,876
					Sales Proceeds

The sales proceeds of the bonds when issued are £957,876. The bonds sell at a discount of £42,124 = (£1,000,000 – £957,876) because the market rate when the bonds are issued (6 percent) is greater than the bonds' coupon rate (5 percent). The issuance is reflected on the balance sheet as an increase of cash and an increase in a long-term liability, bonds payable, of £957,876. The

bonds payable is composed of the face value of £1,000,000 minus a discount of £42,124. The issuance is reflected in the statement of cash flows as a financing cash inflow of £957,876.

In Example 2, the bonds were issued at a discount to face value because the bonds' coupon rate of 5 percent was less than the market rate. Bonds are issued at a premium to face value when the bonds' coupon rate exceeds the market rate.

Bonds issued with a coupon rate of zero (zero-coupon bonds) are issued at a discount to face value if the market rate is greater than zero. If the market rate is zero or negative, zero-coupon bonds will be issued at par or at premium, respectively. The value of zero-coupon bonds is based on the present value of the principal payment only because there are no periodic interest payments.

Such issuance costs as printing, legal fees, commissions, and other types of charges are costs incurred when bonds are issued. Under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), all debt issuance costs are included in the measurement of the liability, bonds payable. Under US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP), companies generally used to show these debt issuance costs as an asset (a deferred charge), which was amortised on a straight-line basis to the relevant expense (e.g., legal fees) over the life of the bonds. Under US GAAP, debt issuance costs are deducted from the related debt liability. Companies reporting under US GAAP may still report debt issuance costs for lines of credit as an asset because the SEC indicated that it would not object to this treatment. Under IFRS and US GAAP, cash outflows related to bond issuance costs are included in the financing section of the statement of cash flows, usually netted against bond proceeds.

3

ACCOUNTING FOR BOND AMORTISATION, INTEREST EXPENSE, AND INTEREST PAYMENTS

- a determine the initial recognition, initial measurement and subsequent measurement of bonds**
- b describe the effective interest method and calculate interest expense, amortisation of bond discounts/premiums, and interest payments**

In this section, we discuss accounting and reporting for bonds after they are issued. Most companies maintain the historical cost (sales proceeds) of the bonds after issuance, and they amortise any discount or premium over the life of the bond. The amount reported on the balance sheet for bonds is thus the historical cost plus or minus the cumulative amortisation, which is referred to as amortised cost. Companies also have the option to report the bonds at their current fair values.

The rationale for reporting the bonds at amortised historical cost is the company's intention to retain the debt until it matures. Therefore, changes in the underlying economic value of the debt are not relevant from the issuing company's perspective. From an investor's perspective, however, analysis of a company's underlying economic liabilities and solvency is more difficult when debt is reported at amortised historical cost. The rest of this section illustrates accounting and reporting of bonds at amortised historical cost. Section 2.3 discusses the alternative of reporting bonds at fair value.

Companies initially report bonds as a liability on their balance sheet at the amount of the sales proceeds net of issuance costs under both IFRS and US GAAP. The amount at which bonds are reported on the company's balance sheet is referred to as the carrying amount, carrying value, book value, or net book value. If the bonds

are issued at par, the initial carrying amount will be identical to the face value, and usually the carrying amount will not change over the life of the bonds.³ For bonds issued at face value, the amount of periodic interest *expense* will be the same as the amount of periodic interest *payment* to bondholders.

If, however, the market rate differs from the bonds' coupon rate at issuance such that the bonds are issued at a premium or discount, the premium or discount is amortised systematically over the life of the bonds as a component of interest expense. For bonds issued at a premium to face value, the carrying amount of the bonds is initially greater than the face value. As the premium is amortised, the carrying amount (amortised cost) of the bonds will decrease to the face value. The reported interest expense will be less than the coupon payment. For bonds issued at a discount to face value, the carrying amount of the bonds is initially less than the face value. As the discount is amortised, the carrying amount (amortised cost) of the bonds will increase to the face value. The reported interest expense will be higher than the coupon payment.

The accounting treatment for bonds issued at a discount reflects the fact that the company essentially paid some of its borrowing costs at issuance by selling its bonds at a discount. Rather than there being an actual cash transfer in the future, this "payment" was made in the form of accepting less than the face value for the bonds at the date of issuance. The remaining borrowing cost occurs as a cash interest payment to investors each period. The total interest expense reflects both components of the borrowing cost: the periodic interest payments plus the amortisation of the discount. The accounting treatment for bonds issued at a premium reflects the fact that the company essentially received a reduction on its borrowing costs at issuance by selling its bonds at a premium. Rather than there being an actual reduced cash transfer in the future, this "reduction" was made in the form of receiving more than face value for the bonds at the date of issuance. The total interest expense reflects both components of the borrowing cost: the periodic interest payments less the amortisation of the premium. When the bonds mature, the carrying amount will be equal to the face value regardless of whether the bonds were issued at face value, a discount, or a premium.

Two methods for amortising the premium or discount of bonds that were issued at a price other than par are the effective interest rate method and the straight-line method. The effective interest rate method is required under IFRS and preferred under US GAAP because it better reflects the economic substance of the transaction. The effective interest rate method applies the market rate in effect when the bonds were issued (historical market rate or effective interest rate) to the current amortised cost (carrying amount) of the bonds to obtain interest expense for the period. The difference between the interest expense (based on the effective interest rate and amortised cost) and the interest payment (based on the coupon rate and face value) is the **amortisation** of the discount or premium. The straight-line method of amortisation evenly amortises the premium or discount over the life of the bond, similar to straight-line depreciation on long-lived assets. Under either method, as the bond approaches maturity, the amortised cost approaches face value.

Example 3 illustrates both methods of amortisation for bonds issued at a discount. Example 4 shows amortisation for bonds issued at a premium.

³ If a company reports debt at fair value, rather than amortised cost, the carrying value may change.

EXAMPLE 3**Amortising a Bond Discount**

Debond Corp. issues £1,000,000 face value of five-year bonds, dated 1 January 2017, when the market interest rate is 6 percent. The sales proceeds are £957,876. The bonds pay 5 percent interest annually on 31 December.

- 1 What is the interest *payment* on the bonds each year?
- 2 What amount of interest *expense* on the bonds would be reported in 2017 and 2018 using the effective interest rate method?
- 3 Determine the reported value of the bonds (i.e., the carrying amount) at 31 December 2017 and 2018, assuming the effective interest rate method is used to amortise the discount.
- 4 What amount of interest expense on the bonds would be reported under the straight-line method of amortising the discount?

Solution to 1:

The interest payment equals £50,000 annually ($\text{£1,000,000} \times 5\%$).

Solution to 2:

The sales proceeds of £957,876 are less than the face value of £1,000,000; the bonds were issued at a discount of £42,124. The bonds are initially reported as a long-term liability, bonds payable, of £957,876, which comprises the face value of £1,000,000 minus a discount of £42,124. The discount is amortised over time, ultimately, increasing the carrying amount (amortised cost) to face value.

Under the effective interest rate method, interest expense on the bonds is calculated as the bonds' carrying amount times the market rate in effect when the bonds are issued (effective interest rate). For 2017, interest expense is £57,473 = (£957,876 \times 6%). The amount of the discount amortised in 2017 is the difference between the interest expense of £57,473 and the interest payment of £50,000 (i.e., £7,473). The bonds' carrying amount increases by the discount amortisation; at 31 December 2017, the bonds' carrying amount is £965,349 (beginning balance of £957,876 plus £7,473 discount amortisation). At this point, the carrying amount reflects a remaining unamortised discount of £34,651 (£42,124 discount at issuance minus £7,473 amortised).

For 2018, interest expense is £57,921 = (£965,349 \times 6%), the carrying amount of the bonds on 1 January 2018 times the effective interest rate. The amount of the discount amortised in 2018 is the difference between the interest expense of £57,921 and the interest payment of £50,000 (i.e., £7,921). At 31 December 2018, the bonds' carrying amount is £973,270 (beginning balance of £965,349 plus £7,921 discount amortisation).

The following table illustrates interest expense, discount amortisation, and carrying amount (amortised cost) over the life of the bonds.

Year	Carrying Amount (beginning of year)	Interest Expense (at effective interest rate of 6%)	Interest Payment (at coupon rate of 5%)	Amortisation of Discount	Carrying Amount (end of year)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
2017	£957,876	£57,473	£50,000	£7,473	£965,349
2018	965,349	57,921	50,000	7,921	973,270
2019	973,270	58,396	50,000	8,396	981,666

Year	Carrying Amount (beginning of year)	Interest Expense (at effective interest rate of 6%)	Interest Payment (at coupon rate of 5%)	Amortisation of Discount	Carrying Amount (end of year)
2020	981,666	58,900	50,000	8,900	990,566
2021	990,566	59,434	50,000	9,434	1,000,000
Total		£292,124	£250,000	£42,124	

Solution to 3:

The carrying amounts of the bonds at 31 December 2017 and 2018 are £965,349 and £973,270, respectively. Observe that the carrying amount of the bonds issued at a discount increases over the life of the bonds. At maturity, 31 December 2021, the carrying amount of the bonds equals the face value of the bonds. The carrying amount of the bonds will be reduced to zero when the principal payment is made.

Solution to 4:

Under the straight-line method, the discount (or premium) is evenly amortised over the life of the bonds. In this example, the £42,124 discount would be amortised by £8,424.80 (£42,124 divided by 5 years) each year under the straight-line method. So, the annual interest expense under the straight-line method would be £58,424.80 (£50,000 plus £8,424.80).

The accounting and reporting for zero-coupon bonds is similar to the example above except that no interest payments are made; thus, the amount of interest expense each year is the same as the amount of the discount amortisation for the year.

EXAMPLE 4**Amortising a Bond Premium**

Prembond Corp. issues £1,000,000 face value of five-year bonds, dated 1 January 2017, when the market interest rate is 4 percent. The sales proceeds are £1,044,518. The bonds pay 5 percent interest annually on 31 December.

- 1 What is the interest *payment* on the bonds each year?
- 2 What amount of interest *expense* on the bonds would be reported in 2017 and 2018 using the effective interest rate method?
- 3 Determine the reported value of the bonds (i.e., the carrying amount) at 31 December 2017 and 2018, assuming the effective interest rate method is used to amortise the premium.
- 4 What amount of interest expense on the bonds would be reported under the straight-line method of amortising the premium?

Solution to 1:

The interest payment equals £50,000 annually ($\text{£}1,000,000 \times 5\%$).

Solution to 2:

The sales proceeds of £1,044,518 are more than the face value of £1,000,000; the bonds were issued at a premium of £44,518. The bonds are initially reported as a long-term liability, bonds payable, of £1,044,518, which comprises the face value of £1,000,000 plus a premium of £44,518. The premium is amortised over time, ultimately decreasing the carrying amount (amortised cost) to face value.

Under the effective interest rate method, interest expense on the bonds is calculated as the bonds' carrying amount times the market rate in effect when the bonds are issued (effective interest rate). For 2017, interest expense is £41,781 = (£1,044,518 × 4%). The amount of the premium amortised in 2017 is the difference between the interest expense of £41,781 and the interest payment of £50,000 (i.e., £8,219). The bonds' carrying amount decreases by the premium amortisation; at 31 December 2017, the bonds' carrying amount is £1,036,299 (beginning balance of £1,044,518 less £8,219 premium amortisation). At this point, the carrying amount reflects a remaining unamortised premium of £36,299 (£44,518 premium at issuance minus £8,219 amortised).

For 2018, interest expense is £41,452 = (£1,036,299 × 4%). The amount of the premium amortised in 2018 is the difference between the interest expense of £41,452 and the interest payment of £50,000 (i.e., £8,548). At 31 December 2018, the bonds' carrying amount is £1,027,751 (beginning balance of £1,036,299 less £8,548 premium amortisation).

The following table illustrates interest expense, premium amortisation, and carrying amount (amortised cost) over the life of the bonds.

Year	Carrying Amount (beginning of year)	Interest Expense (at effective interest rate of 4%)	Interest Payment (at coupon rate of 5%)	Amortisation of Premium	Carrying Amount (end of year)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
2017	£1,044,518	£41,781	£50,000	£8,219	£1,036,299
2018	1,036,299	41,452	50,000	8,548	1,027,751
2019	1,027,751	41,110	50,000	8,890	1,018,861
2020	1,018,861	40,754	50,000	9,246	1,009,615
2021	1,009,615	40,385	50,000	9,615	1,000,000
Total				£44,518	

Solution to 3:

The carrying amounts of the bonds at 31 December 2017 and 2018 are £1,036,299 and £1,027,751, respectively. Observe that the carrying amount of the bonds issued at a premium decreases over the life of the bonds. At maturity, 31 December 2021, the carrying amount of the bonds equals the face value of the bonds. The carrying amount of the bonds will be reduced to zero when the principal payment is made.

Solution to 4:

Under the straight-line method, the premium is evenly amortised over the life of the bonds. In this example, the £44,518 premium would be amortised by £8,903.64 (£44,518 divided by 5 years) each year under the straight-line method. So, the annual interest expense under the straight-line method would be £41,096.36 (£50,000 less £8,903.64).

The reporting of interest payments on the statement of cash flows can differ under IFRS and US GAAP. Under IFRS, interest payments on bonds can be included as an outflow in either the operating section or the financing section of the statement of cash flows. US GAAP requires interest payments on bonds to be included as an operating cash outflow. (Some financial statement users consider the placement of interest payments in the operating section to be inconsistent with the placement of

bond issue proceeds in the financing section of the statement of cash flows.) Typically, cash interest paid is not shown directly on the statement of cash flows, but companies are required to disclose interest paid separately.

Amortisation of a discount (premium) is a non-cash item and thus, apart from its effect on pretax income, has no effect on cash flow. In the section of the statement of cash flows that reconciles net income to operating cash flow, amortisation of a discount (premium) is added back to (subtracted from) net income.

ACCOUNTING FOR BONDS AT FAIR VALUE

4

- a determine the initial recognition, initial measurement and subsequent measurement of bonds**

Reporting bonds at amortised historical costs (historical cost plus or minus the cumulative amortisation) reflects the market rate at the time the bonds were *issued* (i.e., historical market rate or effective interest rate). As market interest rates change, the bonds' carrying amount diverges from the bonds' fair market value. When market interest rates decline, the fair value of a bond with a fixed coupon rate increases. As a result, a company's economic liabilities may be higher than its reported debt based on amortised historical cost. Conversely, when market interest rates increase, the fair value of a bond with a fixed coupon rate decreases and the company's economic liability may be lower than its reported debt. Using financial statement amounts based on amortised cost may underestimate (or overestimate) a company's debt-to-total-capital ratio and similar leverage ratios.

Companies have the option to report financial liabilities at fair value. Financial liabilities reported at fair value are designated as financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss under IFRS, or, equivalently under US GAAP, as liabilities under the fair value option. Even if a company does not opt to report financial liabilities at fair value, the availability of fair value information in the financial statements has increased. IFRS and US GAAP require fair value disclosures in the financial statements unless the carrying amount approximates fair value or the fair value cannot be reliably measured.⁴

A company electing to measure a liability at fair value will report decreases in the liability's fair value as income and increases in the liability's fair value as losses. Because changes in a liability's fair value can result from changes in market rates and/or changes in the credit quality of the issuing company, accounting standards require companies to present separately the portion of the change resulting from changes in their own credit risk. Specifically, the company will report the portion of the change in value attributable to changes in their credit risk in other comprehensive income. Only the portion of the change in value not attributable to changes in their credit risk will be recognised in profit or loss.⁵

As of the end of 2018, few companies have selected the option to report financial liabilities at fair value. Those that have are primarily companies in the financial sector. Reporting standards for financial investments and derivatives already required these companies to report a significant portion of their assets at fair values. Measuring financial liabilities at other than fair value, when financial assets are measured at fair value, results in earnings volatility. This volatility is the result of using different bases of measurement for financial assets and financial liabilities. Furthermore, when a liability is related to a specific asset, using different measurement bases creates an

⁴ IFRS (IAS 32, IFRS 7, IFRS 9) and US GAAP (FASB ASC 820 and 825).

⁵ IFRS 9, US GAAP (FASB ASC 825 and ASU 2016-01).

accounting mismatch. Goldman Sachs elected to account for some financial liabilities at fair value under the fair value option. In its fiscal year 2017 10-K filing (page 136), Goldman explains this choice:

“The primary reasons for electing the fair value option are to:

- Reflect economic events in earnings on a timely basis;
- Mitigate volatility in earnings from using different measurement attributes (e.g., transfers of financial instruments owned accounted for as financings are recorded at fair value, whereas the related secured financing would be recorded on an accrual basis absent electing the fair value option); and
- Address simplification and cost-benefit considerations (e.g., accounting for hybrid financial instruments at fair value in their entirety versus bifurcation of embedded derivatives and hedge accounting for debt hosts)."

Most companies, as required under IFRS and US GAAP, disclose the fair values of financial liabilities. The primary exception to the disclosure occurs when fair value cannot be reliably measured. Example 5 illustrates ING Group's fair value disclosures, including the fair values of long-term debt.

EXAMPLE 5

Fair Value Disclosures of Debt and Financial Instruments

ING Group 2017 Form 20-F

ING Group [Condensed] Balance Sheet as of 31 December 2017 and 2016 [Liabilities Only]

<i>Amounts in billions of euros</i>	2017	2016
Deposits from banks	36.8	32.0
Customer deposits	539.8	522.9
Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	87.2	99.0
Other liabilities	18.9	20.1
Debt securities in issue/subordinated loans	112.1	120.4
Total liabilities	794.8	794.4

The following are excerpts from the footnotes to ING Group's financial statements.

Excerpt from Note 1 Accounting Policies

Financial assets and liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

... Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss comprise the following sub-categories: trading liabilities, non-trading derivatives, and other financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss by management. Trading liabilities include equity securities, debt securities, funds on deposit, and derivatives.

A financial asset or financial liability is classified at fair value through profit or loss if acquired principally for the purpose of selling in the short term or if designated by management as such.

Management will designate a financial asset or a financial liability as such only if this eliminates a measurement inconsistency or if the related assets and liabilities are managed on a fair value basis....

Financial liabilities at amortised cost

Financial liabilities at amortised cost include the following sub-categories: preference shares classified as debt, debt securities in issue, subordinated loans, and deposits from banks and customer deposits.

Financial liabilities at amortised cost are recognised initially at their issue proceeds (fair value of consideration received) net of transaction costs incurred. Liabilities in this category are subsequently stated at amortised cost; any difference between proceeds, net of transaction costs, and the redemption value is recognised in the statement of profit or loss over the period of the liability using the effective interest method....

Excerpt from Note 16 Debt securities in issue

Debt securities in issue relate to debentures and other issued debt securities with either fixed interest rates or interest rates based on floating interest rate levels, such as certificates of deposit and accepted bills issued by ING Group, except for subordinated items. Debt securities in issue do not include debt securities presented as Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss.

Excerpt from Note 37 Fair value of assets and liabilities

Fair Value of Financial Liabilities as of 31 December 2017 and 2016

Amounts in millions of euros	Estimated Fair Value		Statement of Financial Position Value	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Financial liabilities				
Deposits from banks	36,868	32,352	36,821	31,964
Customer deposits	540,547	523,850	539,828	522,908
Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss				
• trading liabilities	73,596	83,167	73,596	83,167
• non-trading derivatives	2,331	3,541	2,331	3,541
• designated as at fair value through profit or loss	11,215	12,266	11,215	12,266
Other liabilities	14,488	15,247	14,488	15,247
Debt securities in issue	96,736	103,559	96,086	103,234
Subordinated loans	16,457	17,253	15,968	17,223
	792,238	791,235	790,333	789,550

Use the condensed balance sheet and excerpts from the notes to ING Group's financial statements shown above to address the following questions:

- 1 As of 31 December 2017, what proportion of the amount of liabilities on ING Group's balance sheet is reported at fair value through profit or loss?
- 2 As of 31 December 2017 and 2016, what is the percent difference between the carrying amount and fair value of the debt securities that are shown on ING Group's balance sheet at amortised cost?

Solution to 1:

Of ING Group's total €794.8 billion liabilities, 11 percent (=€87.2 billion/€794.8 billion) are reported at fair value through profit or loss.

Solution to 2:

ING's debt securities that are shown on the balance sheet at amortised cost appear in the line labeled "Debt securities in issue". Note 1 states that "Debt securities in issue" are reported at amortised cost. Note 16 indicates that this line item relates to debentures and other issued debt securities, and thus we exclude subordinated loans and deposits from banks and customer deposits in this case (there are no preference shares classified as debt listed in the Note 37 excerpt).

According to the above excerpt from Note 37, in each year the fair value of ING's debt securities is slightly higher than its carrying amount. The difference is 0.7% [= (96,736/96,086) – 1] on 31 December 2017 and 0.3% [= (103,559/103,234) – 1] on 31 December 2016.

5

DERECOGNITION OF DEBT

c explain the derecognition of debt

Once bonds are issued, a company may leave the bonds outstanding until maturity or redeem the bonds before maturity either by calling the bonds (if the bond issue includes a call provision) or by purchasing the bonds in the open market. If the bonds remain outstanding until the maturity date, the company pays bondholders the face value of the bonds at maturity. The discount or premium on the bonds would be fully amortised at maturity; the carrying amount would equal face value. Upon repayment, the bonds payable account is reduced by the carrying amount at maturity (face value) of the bonds, and cash is reduced by an equal amount. Repayment of the bonds appears in the statement of cash flows as a financing cash outflow.

If a company decides to redeem bonds before maturity and thus extinguish the liability early, the bonds payable account is reduced by the carrying amount of the redeemed bonds. The difference between the cash required to redeem the bonds and the carrying amount of the bonds is a gain or loss on the extinguishment of debt. Under IFRS, debt issuance costs are included in the measurement of the liability and are thus part of its carrying amount. Under US GAAP, debt issuance costs are accounted for separately from bonds payable and are amortised over the life of the bonds. Any unamortised debt issuance costs must be written off at the time of redemption and included in the gain or loss on debt extinguishment.

For example, a company reporting under IFRS has a £10 million bond issuance with a carrying amount equal to its face value and five years remaining until maturity. The company redeems the bonds at a call price of 103. The redemption cost is

£10.3 million (= £10 million × 103%). The company's loss on redemption would be £300 thousand (£10 million carrying amount minus £10.3 million cash paid to redeem the callable bonds).

A gain or loss on the extinguishment of debt is reported on the income statement, in a separate line item, when the amount is material. A company typically discloses further detail about the extinguishment in the management discussion and analysis (MD&A) and/or notes to the financial statements.⁶ In addition, in a statement of cash flows prepared using the indirect method, net income is adjusted to remove any gain or loss on the extinguishment of debt from operating cash flows and the cash paid to redeem the bonds is classified as cash used for financing activities. (Recall that the indirect method of the statement of cash flows begins with net income and makes necessary adjustments to arrive at cash from operations, including removal of gains or losses from non-operating activities.)

To illustrate the financial statement impact of the extinguishment of debt, consider the notes payable repurchase in Example 6 below.

EXAMPLE 6

Debt Extinguishment Disclosure

The following excerpts are from the 2018 annual report of Monte Rock Inc. (a hypothetical company). In its statement of cash flows, the company uses the indirect method to reconcile net income with net cash (used in) provided by operations.

**Excerpt from Consolidated Statements of Income
For the years ended 31 December 2018, 2017, and 2016**

	2018	2017	2016
Revenues:			
Total revenues	104,908,900	112,416,800	96,879,000
Total operating expenses	<u>100,279,900</u>	<u>96,140,600</u>	<u>71,018,900</u>
Income from operations	<u>4,629,000</u>	<u>16,276,200</u>	<u>25,860,100</u>
Other income (expense):			
Gain on debt extinguishment	2,345,000	—	—
Total other income (expense), net	<u>11,236,100</u>	<u>(14,257,000)</u>	<u>(7,085,800)</u>
Net income	<u>\$15,865,100</u>	<u>\$2,019,200</u>	<u>\$18,774,300</u>

6 We use the term MD&A generally to refer to any management commentary provided on a company's financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of operations. In the United States, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requires a management discussion and analysis for companies listed on US public markets. Reporting requirements for such a commentary as the SEC-required MD&A vary across exchanges, but some are similar to the SEC requirements. The IASB issued an IFRS Practice Statement, "Management Commentary," in December 2010 to guide all companies reporting under IFRS.

Excerpt from Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the years ended 31 December 2018, 2017, and 2016

	2018	2017	2016
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net Income	\$15,865,100	\$2,019,200	\$18,774,300
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Gain on debt extinguishment	(2,345,000)	—	—
Total adjustments	<u>(16,636,000)</u>	<u>38,842,400</u>	<u>19,815,800</u>
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(770,900)	40,861,600	38,590,100
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Payments for debt financing costs	(294,000)	(1,526,500)	(1,481,500)
Purchase of debt securities	(2,155,000)	—	(5,000,000)
Payments of unsecured debt	—	(31,402,960)	(1,356,000)

Excerpt from NOTE 8: BONDS PAYABLE

On December 12, 2014, the Company issued \$25 million of unsecured bonds... Interest on the bonds is equal to Libor plus 4%, payable quarterly in arrears. ... During the 4th quarter of 2018, the Company repurchased the unsecured bonds with a face value of \$4.5 million and realized a \$2.3 million gain.

- 1 The balance in bonds payable was reduced at redemption by:
 - A \$2,155,000.
 - B \$2,345,000.
 - C \$4,500,000.
- 2 How much cash did the Company pay to redeem the bonds?
 - A \$2,155,000
 - B \$2,345,000
 - C \$4,500,000

Solution to 1:

C is correct. The bonds payable is reduced at redemption by the carrying amount of the bonds redeemed. The cash paid to extinguish the bonds plus the gain on redemption equals the carrying amount of the bonds. The carrying amount of the bonds was \$4,500,000. In this case, the carrying amount equals the face value. The company recognised a gain of \$2,345,000 when it extinguished the debt of \$4,500,000 by paying only \$2,155,000.

Solution to 2:

A is correct. As shown in the Statement of Cash flow, the company paid \$2,155,000 to redeem the bonds. The company recognised a gain of \$2,345,000 when it extinguished the debt of \$4,500,000 by paying only \$2,155,000.

DEBT COVENANTS**6****d describe the role of debt covenants in protecting creditors**

Borrowing agreements (the bond indenture) often include restrictions called covenants that protect creditors by restricting activities of the borrower. Debt covenants benefit borrowers to the extent that they lower the risk to the creditors and thus reduce the cost of borrowing. Affirmative covenants restrict the borrower's activities by requiring certain actions. For instance, covenants may require that the borrower maintain certain ratios above a specified amount or perform regular maintenance on real assets used as collateral. Negative covenants require that the borrower not take certain actions. These covenants may restrict the borrower's ability to invest, pay dividends, or make other operating and strategic decisions that might adversely affect the company's ability to pay interest and principal.

Common covenants include limitations on how borrowed monies can be used, maintenance of collateral pledged as security (if any), restrictions on future borrowings, and requirements that limit dividends. Covenants may also specify minimum acceptable levels of financial ratios, such as debt-to-equity ratio, current ratio, or interest coverage.

When a company violates a debt covenant, it is a breach of contract. Depending on the severity of the breach and the terms of the contract, lenders may choose to waive the covenant, be entitled to a penalty payment or higher interest rate, renegotiate, or call for payment of the debt. Bond contracts typically require that the decision to call for immediate repayment be made, on behalf of all the bondholders, by holders of some minimum percentage of the principal amount of the bond issue.

Example 7 illustrates common disclosures related to debt covenants included in financial statement disclosures (notes to the financial statements).

EXAMPLE 7

The following excerpts are from the 2017 Form 20-F filing of TORM plc, a tanker company which describes itself as one of the world's largest carriers of refined oil products. TORM plc was established in 2016 following the restructuring of TORM A/S.

Illustration of Debt Covenant Disclosures

The following excerpt is from the Risk Factors section of TORM's fiscal year 2017 Form 20-F.

Our current debt facilities impose restrictions on our financial and operational flexibility. Our debt facilities impose, and any future debt facility may impose, covenants and other operating and financial restrictions on our ability to, among other things, pay dividends, charter-in vessels, incur additional debt, sell vessels or refrain from procuring the timely release of arrested vessels. Our debt facilities require us to maintain various financial ratios, including a specified

minimum liquidity requirement, a minimum equity requirement and a collateral maintenance requirement. Our ability to comply with these restrictions and covenants is dependent on our future performance and our ability to operate our fleet and may be affected by events beyond our control, including fluctuating vessel values. We may therefore need to seek permission from our lenders in order to engage in certain corporate actions.

Failure to comply with the covenants and financial and operational restrictions under our debt facilities may lead to an event of default under those agreements. An event of default may lead to an acceleration of the repayment of debt. In addition, any default or acceleration under our existing debt facilities or agreements governing our other existing or future indebtedness is likely to lead to an acceleration of the repayment of debt under any other debt instruments that contain cross-acceleration or cross-default provisions. If all or a part of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay that indebtedness or borrow sufficient funds to refinance that debt, which could have a material adverse effect on our future performance, results of operations, cash flows and financial position and could lead to bankruptcy or other insolvency proceedings.

... As of December 31, 2017, we were in compliance with the financial covenants contained in our debt facilities.

The following excerpt is from the Liquidity and Capital Resources section in TORM's fiscal year 2017 Form 20-F.

The DSF [Danish Ship Finance] Facility contains, among others, the following financial and other covenants:

- Loan-to-value. If at any time the aggregate market value of the vessels and the value of any additional security is less than 133% of the loan amount less amounts on credit in the deposit accounts and reserve account and the value of any additional security, the borrower and guarantors shall, within 30 days of a written request, post additional security or prepay the loan to reduce the excess to zero.
- Free Liquidity. Minimum unencumbered cash and cash equivalents ... of the higher of \$75 million and 5% of our total debt, of which \$40 million is required to be unencumbered cash and cash equivalents.
- Equity Ratio. The ratio of market value adjusted shareholders' equity to total market value adjusted assets shall be at least 25%.
- Dividends. We are restricted from making any distributions, including payment of dividends and repayments of shareholders loans, except

- 1 Which of the covenants described in the above excerpts are affirmative covenants?
- 2 Based on the excerpt above, what are the potential consequences of breaching the loan covenants?

Solution to 1:

Examples of affirmative covenants in the above excerpts are from TORM's disclosure about the DSF Facility and include: the requirement for TORM to maintain a loan-to-value relationship such that the assets securing the loan (the vessels) are 133% of the loan amount; the requirement for TORM to maintain "free liquidity" (i.e., a minimum level of cash and cash equivalents); and the requirement that the equity ratio be at least 25%. These covenants require the

issuer to do something. The dividend covenant requiring that TORM not take certain actions (i.e., not pay dividends unless certain conditions are met) is a negative covenant. In addition, the excerpt from Risk Factors describes other negative covenants in TORM's debt facilities including restrictions on chartering-in vessels, incurring additional debt, or selling vessels.

Solution to 2:

A breach of a loan covenant by TORM—an event of default—may result in the entire amount of its debt becoming due.

PRESENTATION AND DISCLOSURE OF LONG-TERM DEBT

7

e describe the financial statement presentation of and disclosures relating to debt

The non-current (long-term) liabilities section of the balance sheet usually includes a single line item of the total amount of a company's long-term debt due after one year, with the portion of long-term debt due in the next twelve months shown as a current liability. Notes to the financial statements provide more information on the types and nature of a company's debt. These note disclosures can be used to determine the amount and timing of future cash outflows. The notes generally include stated and effective interest rates, maturity dates, restrictions imposed by creditors (covenants), and collateral pledged (if any). The amount of scheduled debt repayments for the next five years also is shown in the notes.

Example 8 contains an excerpt from the 2017 Form 10-K of Johnson & Johnson (J&J), a US manufacturer of health care products.

EXAMPLE 8

Illustration of Long-Term Debt Disclosures

Exhibit 1 is an excerpt from Note 7 of Johnson & Johnson's 2017 annual report that illustrates financial statement disclosures for long-term debt, including type and nature of long-term debt, carrying amounts, effective interest rates, and required payments over the next five years. Johnson & Johnson reports its debt at amortised cost.

Exhibit 1 Johnson & Johnson Borrowings

The components of long-term debt are as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	2017	Effective Rate %	2016	Effective Rate %
5.55% Debentures due 2017	\$—	—	\$1,000	5.55
1.125% Notes due 2017	—	—	699	1.15
5.15% Debentures due 2018	900	5.18	899	5.18
1.65% Notes due 2018	597	1.70	600	1.70

(continued)

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

4.75% Notes due 2019 (1B Euro 1.1947) ² /(1B Euro 1.0449) ³	1,192	5.83	1,041	5.83
1.875% Notes due 2019	496	1.93	499	1.93
0.89% Notes due 2019	300	1.75	299	1.20
1.125% Notes due 2019	699	1.13	699	1.13
3% Zero Coupon Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2020	60	3.00	84	3.00
2.95% Debentures due 2020	547	3.15	546	3.15
[PORTIONS OMITTED]				
Subtotal	32,174	3.19¹	24,146	3.33¹
Less current portion	1,499		1,704	
Total long-term debt	\$30,675		\$22,442	

⁽¹⁾ Weighted average effective rate.

⁽²⁾ Translation rate at December 31, 2017.

⁽³⁾ Translation rate at January 1, 2017.

“Fair value of the long-term debt was estimated using market prices, which were corroborated by quoted broker prices and significant other observable inputs.

“The Company has access to substantial sources of funds at numerous banks worldwide. In September 2017, the Company secured a new 364-day Credit Facility. Total credit available to the Company approximates \$10 billion, which expires on September 13, 2018. Interest charged on borrowings under the credit line agreements is based on either bids provided by banks, the prime rate or London Interbank Offered Rates (Libor), plus applicable margins. Commitment fees under the agreements are not material... Throughout 2017, the Company continued to have access to liquidity through the commercial paper market. Short-term borrowings and the current portion of long-term debt amounted to approximately \$3.9 billion at the end of 2017, of which \$2.3 billion was borrowed under the Commercial Paper Program, \$1.5 billion is the current portion of the long term debt, and the remainder principally represents local borrowing by international subsidiaries. ...”

Aggregate maturities of long-term obligations commencing in 2018 are (dollars in millions):

2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	After 2022
\$1,499	2,752	1,105	1,797	2,189	22,832

Use the information in Exhibit 1 to answer the following questions:

- 1 Why are the effective interest rates unchanged from 2016 to 2017 for most of the borrowings listed?

- 2** Why does the carrying amount of the “1.125% Notes due 2019” remain the same in 2016 and 2017?
- 3** Why is the carrying amount of the “4.75% Notes due 2019” higher in 2017 than in 2016?

Solution to 1:

The effective rate typically refers to the market rate at which the bonds are issued and typically does not change from year to year.

Solution to 2:

The carrying amount of the “1.125% Notes due 2019” remains the same because the effective interest rate at which the debentures were issued (1.13%) is approximately the same as the coupon rate indicating that the notes were issued approximately at par. Thus, there would be no amortization of a premium or discount to affect the carrying amount of the notes, and assuming no repurchases, the carrying amount would not change.

Solution to 3:

The notes are denominated in Euros, with a face value of €1 billion. The dollar/euro translation exchange rate at the end of 2017 was higher than the exchange rate at the end of 2016 (1.1947 versus 1.0449). That increase explains part of the increase in carrying value. In addition, the effective interest rate of 5.83% is higher than the 4.75% coupon rate – implying that the notes were issued at a discount. Thus, the increase in the carrying amount of the notes also reflects the amortisation of the issuance discount.

In this reading, we focus on accounting for simple debt contracts. Debt contracts can take on additional features, which lead to more complexity. For instance, convertible debt and debt with warrants are more complex instruments that have both debt and equity features. Convertible debt gives the debt holder the option to exchange the debt for equity. Bonds issued with warrants give holders the right to purchase shares of the issuer's common stock at a specific price, similar to stock options. Issuance of bonds with warrants is more common by non-US companies. Example 9 provides an example of a financial statement disclosure of bonds with warrants.

EXAMPLE 9**Financial Statement Disclosure of Bonds with Warrants**

The following describes a company's issuance of convertible bonds with warrants.

On 1 February 2018, the Company issued convertible bonds with stock warrants due 2024 with an aggregate principal amount of RMB 30 billion (the “Bonds with Warrants”). The Bonds with Warrants with fixed interest rate of 0.8% per annum and interest payable annually, were issued at par value of RMB 100. Each lot of the Bonds with Warrants, comprising ten Bonds with Warrants are entitled to warrants (the “Warrants”) to subscribe 50.5 shares of the Company during the 5 trading days prior to 3 March 2020 at an initial exercise price of RMB 19.68 per share, subject to adjustment for, amongst other things, cash dividends, subdivision or consolidation of shares,

bonus issues, rights issues, capital distribution, change of control and other events which have a dilutive effect on the issued share capital of the Company.

If all warrants were exercised, how many shares would be subscribed for?

Solution:

1,515,000,000 shares would be subscribed for [aggregate principal amount divided by par value of a lot times shares subscribed per lot = (RMB 30,000,000,000/RMB 100 × 10) × 50.5 shares].

In addition to disclosures in the notes to the financial statements, an MD&A commonly provides other information about a company's capital resources, including debt financing and off-balance-sheet financing. In the MD&A, management often provides a qualitative discussion on any material trends, favorable or unfavorable, in capital resources and indicates any expected material changes in their mix and relative cost. Additional quantitative information is typically provided, including schedules summarising a company's contractual obligations (e.g., bond payables) and other commitments (e.g., lines of credit and guarantees) in total and over the next five years.

8

LEASES

f explain motivations for leasing assets instead of purchasing them

A **lease** is a contract that conveys the right to use an asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration. The party who uses the asset and pays the consideration is the **lessee**, and the party who owns the asset, grants the right to use the asset, and receives consideration is the **lessor**. For a contract to be a lease, it must

- a identify a specific underlying asset,
- b give the customer the right to obtain largely all of the economic benefits from the asset over the contract term, and
- c give the customer, not the supplier, the ability to direct how and for what objective the underlying asset is used.

For example, a contract between a customer and a trucking company is a lease if the contract identifies a specific truck, allows the customer exclusive use of it during the contract term, and lets the customer direct its use. However, if the customer contracts with a trucking company to ship goods for a fee, the contract would not be a lease, because a specific truck is not identified nor does the customer obtain largely all of the economic benefits from the truck over the contract term.

Leasing is a way to obtain the benefits of the asset without purchasing it outright. From the perspective of a lessee, it is a form of financing that resembles acquiring an asset with a note payable. From the perspective of a lessor, a lease is a form of investment and can also be an effective selling strategy, because customers generally prefer to pay in installments.

8.1 Examples of Leases

Leasing is among the most prevalent forms of financing. In 2014, the International Accounting Standards Board found that more than 14,000 publicly listed companies were lessees and they owed over \$3.3 trillion in future lease payments in aggregate.⁷ The following exhibit illustrates several examples of these arrangements.

Lessee	Lease Disclosure Excerpt
Alibaba	"The Company entered into operating lease agreements primarily for shops and malls, offices, warehouses, and land."
Copa Airlines	"The Company leases some aircraft under long-term lease agreements with an average duration of 10 years. Other leased assets include real estate, airport and terminal facilities, sales offices, maintenance facilities, and general offices."
Facebook	"We have entered into various non-cancelable operating lease agreements for certain of our offices, data center, land, colocations, and equipment."
Standard Bank	"The group leases various offices, branch space, and ATM space."

Sources: Companies' 2020 and 2019 annual reports.

Lessors are often banks, although there are some large independent leasing companies, such as AerCap Holdings N.V., which describes itself as "the global leader in aircraft leasing, . . . leasing aircraft to customers in every major geographical region. As of December 31, 2019, [it] owned 939 aircraft."⁸

8.2 Advantages of Leasing

There are several advantages to leasing an asset compared with purchasing it:

- Less cash is needed up front. Leases typically require little, if any, down payment.
- Cost effectiveness: Leases are a form of secured borrowing; in the event of non-payment, the lessor simply repossesses the leased asset. As a result, the effective interest rate for a lease is typically lower than what the lessee would pay on an unsecured loan or bond.
- Convenience and lower risks associated with asset ownership, such as obsolescence.

From the perspective of a lessor, leasing has advantages over selling outright, which include earning interest income over the lease term and increasing the market for its product by offering customers the ability to use or control an asset while paying smaller amounts over time.

8.3 Lease Classification as Finance or Operating

Leases can resemble either the purchase of an asset or a rental contract. For example, a 10-year lease of an automobile with a 10-year useful life for monthly payments that, in aggregate, are equal to the fair value of the automobile is effectively a debt-financed

⁷ IFRS, "IASB Shines Light on Leases by Bringing Them onto the Balance Sheet" (13 January 2016). www.ifrs.org/news-and-events/2016/01/iasb-shines-light-on-leases-by-bringing-them-onto-the-balance-sheet.

⁸ AerCap Holdings N.V. annual report for the fiscal year ended 31 December 31 2019 on Form 20-F.

purchase of that automobile. In contrast, a 1-year lease of a machine with a 20-year useful life resembles a rental contract. A lease that resembles a purchase is classified as a **finance lease**. All other leases are **operating leases**.

More specifically, a lease is a finance lease if *any* of the following five criteria are met. These criteria are the same for the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). If none of the criteria are met, the lease is an operating lease. The same criteria are used for both lessees and lessors in classifying the lease.

- 1 The lease transfers ownership of the underlying asset to the lessee.
- 2 The lessee has an option to purchase the underlying asset and is reasonably certain it will do so.
- 3 The lease term is for a major part of the asset's useful life.
- 4 The present value of the sum of the lease payments equals or exceeds substantially all of the fair value of the asset.
- 5 The underlying asset has no alternative use to the lessor.

EXAMPLE 10

Lease Identification and Classification

- 1 Company C enters a contract with Company D that requires Company C to pay ¥100 million at the end of each of the next two years to Company D for exclusive use of a specific machine over that time period. The present value of the payments is ¥186 million. At the end of the contract, Company C will return the machine to Company D. The contract does not contain a purchase option. The machine can be used in many applications by many types of customers. The remaining useful life of the machine is four years, and its fair value is ¥190 million. This contract is:
 - A not a lease.
 - B an operating lease.
 - C a finance lease.
- 2 If the fair value of the machine in Question 1 was ¥300 million, would the classification of the contract change?
 - A No
 - B Yes, from an operating lease to a finance lease
 - C Yes, from a finance lease to an operating lease

Solution to 1:

C is correct. This contract is a lease because a specific asset is identified, Company C will exclusively use it, and Company C will have the ability to direct its use. The contract is a finance lease because one of the five criteria is met: The present value of the lease payments equals substantially all of the fair value ($186/190 = 98\%$).


Solution to 2:

C is correct. This change would result in the lease not meeting any of the five criteria for a finance lease. If a lease does not meet any of the five criteria, it is an operating lease.

8.4 Financial Reporting of Leases

The financial reporting of a lease depends on whether the party is the lessee or lessor, whether the party reports with IFRS or US GAAP, and the classification of the lease as finance or operating. Additionally, for lessees, there are lease accounting exemptions for certain lease contracts: If its term is 12 months or less (IFRS and US GAAP) or it is for a “low-value asset,” up to \$5,000 in sales price (IFRS only), then the lessee can elect to simply expense the lease payments on a straight-line basis. These exemptions are not available to lessors. The following diagram illustrates the different permutations for lease accounting.

Lease Classifications for Lessee and Lessor:



Fortunately, lessor accounting under both IFRS and US GAAP is substantially identical, and the differences in treatment for lessees are modest.

8.5 Lessee Accounting—IFRS

g explain the financial reporting of leases from a lessee's perspective

Under IFRS, there is a single accounting model for both finance and operating leases for lessees. At lease inception, the lessee records a lease payable liability and a “right-of-use” (ROU) asset on its balance sheet, both equal to the present value of future lease payments. The discount rate used in the present value calculation is either the rate implicit in the lease or an estimated secured borrowing rate.

The lease liability is subsequently reduced by each lease payment using the effective interest method. Each lease payment is composed of interest expense, which is the product of the lease liability and the discount rate, and principal repayment, which is the difference between the interest expense and lease payment.

The ROU asset is subsequently amortized, often on a straight-line basis, over the lease term. So, although the lease liability and ROU asset begin with the same carrying value on the balance sheet, they typically diverge in subsequent periods because the principal repayment that reduces the lease liability and the amortization expense that reduces the ROU asset are calculated differently.

The following list shows how the transaction affects the financial statements:

- 1 The lease liability net of principal repayments and the ROU asset net of accumulated amortization are reported on the balance sheet.
- 2 Interest expense on the lease liability and the amortization expense related to the ROU asset are reported separately on the income statement.

- 3 The principal repayment component of the lease payment is reported as a cash outflow under financing activities on the statement of cash flows, and depending on the lessee's reporting policies, interest expense is reported under either operating or financing activities on the statement of cash flows.

EXAMPLE 11

Lessee Accounting—IFRS

Proton Enterprises, a hypothetical manufacturer based in Germany, is offered the following terms to lease a machine: five-year lease with an implied interest rate of 10% and an annual lease payment of EUR100,000 per year payable at the end of each year. The present value of the machinery is therefore EUR379,079 (in Microsoft Excel, the formula is =PV(10%,5,-100,000)). The asset will be amortized over the five-year lease term on a straight-line basis. Proton reports under IFRS.

What would be the impact of this lease on Proton's:

- 1 balance sheet at the beginning of the year?
- 2 income statement during the following year?
- 3 statement of cash flows during the following year?

Solution to 1:

Proton would report a EUR379,079 lease liability and ROU asset.

Solution to 2:

Interest expense and amortization expense are reported on the income statement. In Year 2, interest expense is EUR31,699 and amortization expense is EUR 75,816, as illustrated in the following tables:

	Lease Payment	Interest Expense (10% × Lease Liability)	Principal Repayment (Payment – Interest)	Lease Liability
	FO.1	FO.2	FO.3	FO.4
Year 0				379,079
Year 1	100,000	37,908	62,092	316,987
Year 2	100,000	31,699	68,301	248,685
Year 3	100,000	24,869	75,131	173,554
Year 4	100,000	17,355	82,645	90,909
Year 5	100,000	9,091	90,909	0
Total	500,000	120,921	379,079	

	Amortization Expense	ROU Asset
	Straight-Line F.1	F.2
Year 0		379,079
Year 1	75,816	303,263
Year 2	75,816	227,447
Year 3	75,816	151,631
Year 4	75,816	75,816

	Amortization Expense	ROU Asset
Year 5	75,816	0
Total	379,079	

Note: Totals may not sum due to rounding.

Solution to 3:

Principal repayments are reported as a cash outflow under financing activities on the statement of cash flows, and depending on Proton's reporting policies, interest expense is reported under operating or financing activities on the statement of cash flows. From the previous tables, Year 2 principal repayment is EUR68,301 and interest expense is EUR31,699, for a total of EUR100,000.

8.6 Lessee Accounting—US GAAP

Under US GAAP, there are two accounting models for lessees: one for finance leases and another for operating leases. The finance lease accounting model is identical to the lessee accounting model for IFRS. The operating lease accounting model is different.

At operating lease inception, the lessee records a lease payable liability and a corresponding right-of-use asset on its balance sheet that are subsequently reduced by the principal repayment component of the lease payment and amortization, respectively, in the same manner that an IFRS lessee would.

The key difference between an operating lease and a finance lease is how the amortization of the ROU asset is calculated. For an operating lease, the lessee's ROU asset amortization expense is the lease payment minus the interest expense. The implication is that the total expense reported on the income statement (interest plus amortization) will equal the lease payment and that the lease liability and the ROU asset will always equal each other because the principal repayment and amortization are calculated in an identical manner.

The following list shows how the transaction appears on the financial statements:

- a The lease liability net of principal repayments and the ROU asset net of accumulated amortization are reported on the balance sheet.
- b Interest expense on the lease liability and the amortization expense related to the ROU asset are reported as a single line titled "lease expense" as an operating expense on the income statement. The interest and amortization components are *not* reported separately, nor are they grouped with other types of interest and amortization expense (e.g., interest on a bond, amortization of an intangible asset).
- c The entire lease payment is reported as a cash outflow under operating activities on the statement of cash flows. The interest and principal repayment components are *not* reported separately.

EXAMPLE 12**Lessee Accounting—Operating Lease under US GAAP**

If Proton Enterprises classified the lease of the machinery from Example 11 as an operating lease:

- 1 how would its financial statements differ, if at all?
- 2 how would the classification, all else equal, affect EBITDA margin, total asset turnover, and cash flow per share?

Solution to 1:

The first step is to construct the lease liability and ROU asset amortization tables under an operating lease scenario. The lease liability amortization is the same as the finance lease columns FO.1–FO.4 in Example 11.

	Amortization Expense	ROU Asset	Lease Expense
	(Lease Payment – Interest)		(Amortization + Interest)
	0.1	0.2	0.3
Year 0		379,079	
Year 1	62,092	316,987	100,000
Year 2	68,301	248,685	100,000
Year 3	75,131	173,554	100,000
Year 4	82,645	90,909	100,000
Year 5	90,909	0	100,000
Total	379,078		500,000

Now we can compare the financial statement impacts under both finance and operating lease scenarios.

Balance Sheet	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
ROU asset, net: F.2	303,263	227,447	151,631	75,816	0
Lease liability, net: FO.4	316,987	248,685	173,554	90,909	0
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
ROU asset, net: O.2	316,987	248,685	173,554	90,909	0
Lease liability, net: FO.4	316,987	248,685	173,554	90,909	0

The ROU asset is lower in each period under a finance lease because the amortization expense is higher.

Income Statement	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
Amortization: F.1	75,816	75,816	75,816	75,816	75,816
Interest: FO.2	37,908	31,699	24,869	17,355	9,091
Total	113,724	107,515	100,685	93,171	84,907

Income Statement	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
Lease expense: O.3	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

Total expense is higher for a finance lease in Years 1–3 but lower in Years 4 and 5. The largest difference is classification; amortization and interest are presented separately for a finance lease, whereas operating lease expense is an operating expense.

Statement of Cash Flows	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
Cash flow from operating activities	(37,908)	(31,699)	(24,869)	(17,355)	(9,091)
Cash flow from financing activities	(62,902)	(68,301)	(75,131)	(82,645)	(90,909)
Total	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
Cash flows from operating activities	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)

The difference on the statement of cash flows is only in classification, because in both cases the total cash outflow is equal to the lease payment.

Solution to 2:

The following table shows how the classification affects the indicated financial ratios.

Ratio	Formula	Impact of Using an Operating Lease Instead of a Finance Lease
EBITDA margin	$\frac{\text{EBITDA}}{\text{Total revenues}}$	Lower: Lease expense is classified as an operating expense rather than interest and amortization.
Asset turnover	$\frac{\text{Total revenues}}{\text{Total assets}}$	Lower: Total assets are higher under an operating lease because the ROU asset is amortized at a slower pace in Years 1–3.
Cash flow per share	$\frac{\text{Cash flow from operations}}{\text{Shares outstanding}}$	Lower: Cash flow from operations is lower because the entire lease payment is included in operating activities versus solely interest expense for a finance lease.

8.7 Lessor Accounting

- h explain the financial reporting of leases from a lessor's perspective

The accounting for lessors is substantially identical under IFRS and US GAAP. Under both accounting standards, lessors classify leases as finance or operating leases, which determines the financial reporting. Although lessors under US GAAP recognize finance leases as either “sales-type” or “direct financing,” the distinction is immaterial from an analyst’s perspective.

At finance lease inception, the lessor recognizes a lease receivable asset equal to the present value of future lease payments and de-recognizes the leased asset, simultaneously recognizing any difference as a gain or loss. The discount rate used in the present value calculation is the rate implicit in the lease.

The lease receivable is subsequently reduced by each lease payment using the effective interest method. Each lease payment is composed of interest income, which is the product of the lease receivable and the discount rate, and principal proceeds, which equals the difference between the interest income and cash receipt.

The following list shows how the transaction affects the financial statements:

- 1 Lease receivable net of principal proceeds is reported on the balance sheet.
- 2 Interest income is reported on the income statement. If leasing is a primary business activity for the entity, as it commonly is for financial institutions and independent leasing companies, it is reported as revenue.
- 3 The entire cash receipt is reported under operating activities on the statement of cash flows.

The accounting treatment for an operating lease is different in that, because the contract is essentially a rental agreement, the lessor keeps the leased asset on its books and recognizes lease revenue on a straight-line basis. Interest revenue is not recognized because the transaction is not considered a financing.

The following list shows how the transaction affects the financial statements:

- 1 The balance sheet is not affected. The lessor continues to recognize the leased asset at cost net of accumulated depreciation.
- 2 Lease revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis on the income statement. Depreciation expense continues to be recognized.
- 3 The entire cash receipt is reported under operating activities on the statement of cash flows. This is the same as a finance lease.

EXAMPLE 13

Lessor Accounting

Let’s examine Proton’s machine lease from Examples 11 and 12 from the perspective of the lessor. Assume that the carrying value of the asset immediately prior to the lease is EUR350,000, accumulated depreciation is zero, and the lessor elects to depreciate it on a straight-line basis over five years.

How are the lessor’s financial statements affected by the classification of the lease as a finance or operating lease?

Solution

The difference on the balance sheet is material, because a finance lease requires the lessor to de-recognize the asset and recognize a lease receivable, whereas an operating lease lessor continues to recognize the asset and depreciate it over its useful life. In this case, where the present value of the lease payments is well above the carrying value of the asset, the finance lease classification results in a significant increase in assets.

Balance Sheet	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
Lease receivable, net	316,987	248,685	173,554	90,909	0
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
Property, plant, and equipment, net	280,000	210,000	140,000	70,000	0

Income Statement	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
Interest revenue	37,908	31,699	24,869	17,355	9,091
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
Lease revenue	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

Statement of Cash Flows	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
<i>Finance lease:</i>					
Cash flows from operating activities	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
<i>Operating lease:</i>					
Cash flows from operating activities	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

INTRODUCTION TO PENSIONS AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

9

i. compare the presentation and disclosure of defined contribution and defined benefit pension plans

Pensions and other post-employment benefits give rise to non-current liabilities reported by many companies. Companies may offer various types of benefits to their employees following retirement, such as pension plans, health care plans, medical insurance, and life insurance. Pension plans often are the most significant post-employment benefits provided to retired employees.

The accounting and reporting for pension plans depends on the type of pension plan offered. Two common types of pension plans are **defined contribution pension plans** and **defined benefit pension plans**. Under a defined-contribution plan, a company contributes an agreed-upon (defined) amount into the plan. The agreed-upon amount is the pension expense. The amount the company contributes to the plan is treated as an operating cash outflow. The only impact on assets and liabilities is a decrease in cash, although if some portion of the agreed-upon amount has not been

paid by fiscal year-end, a liability would be recognised on the balance sheet. Because the amount of the contribution is defined and the company has no further obligation once the contribution has been made, accounting for a defined-contribution plan is fairly straightforward.

Accounting for a defined-benefit plan is more complicated. Under a defined-benefit plan, a company makes promises of future benefits to be paid to the employee during retirement. For example, a company could promise an employee annual pension payments equal to 70 percent of his final salary at retirement until death. Estimating the eventual amount of the obligation arising from that promise requires the company to make many assumptions, such as the employee's expected salary at retirement and the number of years the employee is expected to live beyond retirement. The company estimates the future amounts to be paid and discounts the future estimated amounts to a present value (using a rate reflective of a high-quality corporate bond yield) to determine the pension obligation. The discount rate used to determine the pension obligation significantly affects the amount of the pension obligation. The pension obligation is allocated over the employee's employment as part of pension expense.

Most defined-benefit pension plans are funded through a separate legal entity, typically a pension trust fund. A company makes payments into the pension fund, and retirees are paid from the fund. The payments that a company makes into the fund are invested until they are needed to pay the retirees. If the fair value of the fund's assets is higher than the present value of the estimated pension obligation, the plan has a surplus and the company's balance sheet will reflect a net pension asset.⁹ Conversely, if the present value of the estimated pension obligation exceeds the fund's assets, the plan has a deficit and the company's balance sheet will reflect a net pension liability.¹⁰ Thus, a company reports either a net pension asset or a net pension liability. Each period, the change in the net pension asset or liability is recognised either in profit or loss or in other comprehensive income.

Under IFRS, the change in the net pension asset or liability each period is viewed as having three general components. Two of the components of this change are recognised as pension expense in profit and loss: (1) employees' service costs, and (2) the net interest expense or income accrued on the beginning net pension asset or liability. The service cost during the period for an employee is the present value of the increase in the pension benefit earned by the employee as a result of providing one more year of service. The service cost also includes past service costs, which are changes in the present value of the estimated pension obligation related to employees' service in prior periods, such as might arise from changes in the plan. The net interest expense or income represents the change in value of the net defined benefit pension asset or liability and is calculated as the net pension asset or liability multiplied by the discount rate used in estimating the present value of the pension obligation. The third component of the change in the net pension asset or liability during a period—"remeasurements"—is recognised in other comprehensive income. Remeasurements are not amortised into profit or loss over time.

Remeasurements include (a) actuarial gains and losses and (b) the actual return on plan assets less any return included in the net interest expense or income. Actuarial gains and losses can occur when changes are made to the assumptions on which a company bases its estimated pension obligation (e.g., employee turnover, mortality rates, retirement ages, compensation increases). The actual return on plan assets includes interest, dividends and other income derived from the plan assets, including

⁹ The amount of any reported net pension asset is capped at the amount of any expected future economic benefits to the company from the plan; this cap is referred to as the asset ceiling.

¹⁰ The description of accounting for pensions presented in this reading corresponds to the June 2011 version of IAS 19 *Employee Benefits*, which took effect on 1 January 2013. Both IFRS and US GAAP require companies to present the amount of net pension liability or asset on the balance sheet.

realized and unrealized gains or losses. The actual return typically differs from the amount included in the net interest expense or income, which is calculated using a rate reflective of a high-quality corporate bond yield; plan assets are typically allocated across various asset classes, including equity as well as bonds.

Under US GAAP, the change in net pension asset or liability each period is viewed as having five components, some of which are recognised in profit and loss in the period incurred and some of which are recognised in other comprehensive income and amortised into profit and loss over time. The three components recognised in profit and loss in the period incurred are (1) employees' service costs for the period, (2) interest expense accrued on the beginning pension obligation, and (3) expected return on plan assets, which is a reduction in the amount of expense recognised. The other two components are past service costs and actuarial gains and losses. Past service costs are recognised in other comprehensive income in the period in which they arise and then subsequently amortised into pension expense over the future service period of the employees covered by the plan. Actuarial gains and losses are typically also recognised in other comprehensive income in the period in which they occur and then amortised into pension expense over time. In effect, this treatment allows companies to "smooth" the effects on pension expense over time for these latter two components. US GAAP does permit companies to immediately recognize actuarial gains and losses in profit and loss.

Similar to other forms of employee compensation for a manufacturing company, the pension expense related to production employees is added to inventory and expensed through cost of sales (cost of goods sold). For employees not involved directly in the production process, the pension expense is included with salaries and other administrative expenses. Therefore, pension expense is not directly reported on the income statement. Rather, extensive disclosures are included in the notes to the financial statements.

Example 14 presents excerpts from the balance sheet and pension-related disclosures in BT Group plc's Annual Report for the year ended 31 March 2018.

EXAMPLE 14

BT Group plc: Excerpt from Balance Sheets

Below is an excerpt of BT Group plc's balance sheet from the annual report for the year ended 31 March 2018. BT reports under IFRS.

Non-current liabilities, GBP million	Mar. 31, 2018	Mar. 31, 2017	Mar. 31, 2016
Loans and other borrowings	11,994	10,081	11,025
Derivative financial instruments	787	869	863
Retirement benefit obligations	6,371	9,088	6,382
Other payables	1,326	1,298	1,106
Deferred tax liabilities	1,340	1,240	1,262
Provisions	452	536	565
Non-current liabilities	22,270	23,112	21,203

Pension-Related Disclosures

The following are excerpts of pension-related disclosures from BT Group plc's 2018 Annual Report.

Extract from Note 3 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"**Retirement benefits**

The group's net obligation in respect of defined benefit pension plans is the present value of the defined benefit obligation less the fair value of the plan assets.

The calculation of the obligation is performed by a qualified actuary using the projected unit credit method and key actuarial assumptions at the balance sheet date.

The income statement expense is allocated between an operating charge and net finance income or expense. The operating charge reflects the increase in the defined benefit obligation resulting from the pension benefit earned by active employees in the current period, the costs of administering the plans and any past service costs/credits such as those arising from curtailments or settlements. The net finance income or expense reflects the interest on the net retirement benefit obligations recognised in the group balance sheet, based on the discount rate at the start of the year. Actuarial gains and losses are recognised in full in the period in which they occur and are presented in the group statement of comprehensive income.

The group also operates defined contribution pension plans and the income statement expense represents the contributions payable for the year.

**Extract from Note 20 "Retirement Benefit Plans"
Information on Defined Benefit Pension Plans**

£m	2018	2017	2016
Present value of liabilities	57,327	60,200	50,350
Fair value of plan assets	50,956	51,112	43,968

Use information in the excerpts to answer the following questions:

- 1 What type(s) of pension plans does BT have?
- 2 What proportion of BT's total non-current liabilities are related to its retirement benefit obligations?
- 3 Describe how BT's retirement benefit obligation is calculated.

Solution to 1:

Note 3 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" indicates that the company has both defined contribution and defined benefit pension plans.

Solution to 2:

Retirement benefit obligations represent 29%, 39%, and 30% of BT's total non-current liabilities for the years 2018, 2017, and 2016. Using 2018 to illustrate, £6,371/£22,270 = 29%. (£ million)

Solution to 3:

Note 3 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" indicates that BT's Retirement benefit obligation is calculated as the present value of the defined benefit obligation minus the fair value of the plan assets.

Using data from Note 20 “Retirement Benefit Plans” the retirement benefit obligation for each year can be calculated. Using 2018 to illustrate, £57,327 - £50,956 = £6,371 (£ million).

EVALUATING SOLVENCY: LEVERAGE AND COVERAGE RATIOS

10

j calculate and interpret leverage and coverage ratios

Solvency refers to a company's ability to meet its long-term debt obligations, including both principal and interest payments. In evaluating a company's solvency, ratio analyses can provide information about the relative amount of debt in the company's capital structure and the adequacy of earnings and cash flow to cover interest expense and other fixed charges (such as lease or rental payments) as they come due. Ratios are useful to evaluate a company's performance over time compared to the performance of other companies and industry norms. Ratio analysis has the advantage of allowing the comparison of companies regardless of their size and reporting currency.

The two primary types of solvency ratios are leverage ratios and coverage ratios. Leverage ratios focus on the balance sheet and measure the extent to which a company uses liabilities rather than equity to finance its assets. Coverage ratios focus on the income statement and cash flows and measure the ability of a company to cover its debt-related payments.

Exhibit 2 describes the two types of commonly used solvency ratios. The first three leverage ratios use total debt in the numerator.¹¹ The *debt-to-assets ratio* expresses the percentage of total assets financed with debt. Generally, the higher the ratio, the higher the financial risk and thus the weaker the solvency. The *debt-to-capital ratio* measures the percentage of a company's total capital (debt plus equity) financed through debt. The *debt-to-equity ratio* measures the amount of debt financing relative to equity financing. A *debt-to-equity ratio* of 1.0 indicates equal amounts of debt and equity, which is the same as a debt-to-capital ratio of 50 percent. Interpretations of these ratios are similar. Higher debt-to-capital or debt-to-equity ratios imply weaker solvency. A caveat must be made when comparing debt ratios of companies in different countries. Within certain countries, companies historically have obtained more capital from debt than equity financing, so debt ratios tend to be higher for companies in these countries.

Exhibit 2 Definitions of Commonly Used Solvency Ratios

Solvency Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Leverage ratios		
Debt-to-assets ratio	Total debt ^a	Total assets
Debt-to-capital ratio	Total debt ^a	Total debt ^a + Total shareholders' equity

(continued)

¹¹ For calculations in this reading, total debt is the sum of interest-bearing short-term and long-term debt, excluding non-interest-bearing liabilities, such as accrued expenses, accounts payable, and deferred income taxes. This definition of total debt differs from other definitions that are more inclusive (e.g., all liabilities) or more restrictive (e.g., long-term debt only). If the use of different definitions of total debt materially changes conclusions about a company's solvency, the reasons for the discrepancies should be further investigated.

Exhibit 2 (Continued)

Solvency Ratios	Numerator	Denominator
Leverage ratios		
Debt-to-equity ratio	Total debt ^a	Total shareholders' equity
Financial leverage ratio	Average total assets	Average shareholders' equity
Coverage ratios		
Interest coverage ratio	EBIT ^b	Interest payments
Fixed charge coverage ratio	EBIT ^b + lease payments	Interest payments + lease payments

^a In this reading, debt is defined as the sum of interest-bearing short-term and long-term debt.

^b EBIT is earnings before interest and taxes.

The *financial leverage ratio* (also called the ‘leverage ratio’ or ‘equity multiplier’) measures the amount of total assets supported by one money unit of equity. For example, a value of 4 for this ratio means that each €1 of equity supports €4 of total assets. The higher the financial leverage ratio, the more leveraged the company in the sense of using debt and other liabilities to finance assets. This ratio often is defined in terms of average total assets and average total equity and plays an important role in the DuPont decomposition of return on equity.¹²

The *interest coverage ratio* measures the number of times a company’s EBIT could cover its interest payments. A higher interest coverage ratio indicates stronger solvency, offering greater assurance that the company can service its debt from operating earnings. The *fixed charge coverage ratio* relates fixed financing charges, or obligations, to the cash flow generated by the company. It measures the number of times a company’s earnings (before interest, taxes, and lease payments) can cover the company’s interest and lease payments.

Example 15 demonstrates the use of solvency ratios in evaluating the creditworthiness of a company.

EXAMPLE 15**Evaluating Solvency Ratios**

A credit analyst is evaluating and comparing the solvency of two companies—BT Group plc (BT) and Telefonica S A (Telefonica). The following data are gathered from the companies’ fiscal 2017 annual reports (line item titles may vary between the two companies):

	BT Group plc (£ millions)		Telefonica S A (€ millions)	
	31-Mar-18	31-Mar-17	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16
Short-term borrowings	2,281	2,632	9,414	14,749
Long-term debt	11,994	10,081	46,332	45,612
Total shareholders’ equity	10,304	8,335	26,618	28,385

¹² The basic DuPont decomposition is: Return on Equity = Net income/Average shareholders’ equity = (Sales/Average total assets) × (Net income/Sales) × (Average total assets/Average shareholders’ equity).

	BT Group plc (£ millions)		Telefonica S A (€ millions)	
	31-Mar-18	31-Mar-17	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16
Total assets	42,759	42,372	115,066	123,641
EBIT*	3,381	3,167	6,791	5,469
Interest expense	776	817	3,363	4,476

* Operating profit (or operating income) is used as a proxy for EBIT for both companies.

Use the above information to answer the following questions:

- 1 With regard to leverage ratios of BT and Telefonica:
 - A What are each company's debt-to-assets, debt-to-capital, and debt-to-equity ratios for 2017 and 2016?
 - B Comment on any changes in the calculated leverage ratios from year-to-year for each company.
 - C Comment on the calculated leverage ratios of BT Group plc compared to Telefonica SA.
- 2 With regard to coverage ratios of BT and Telefonica:
 - A What is each company's interest coverage ratio for 2017 and 2016?
 - B Comment on any changes in the interest coverage ratio from year to year for each company.
 - C Comment on the interest coverage ratio of BT Group plc compared to Telefonica SA.

Solution to 1:

- A The debt-to-assets, debt-to-capital, and debt-to-equity ratios are as follows, with supporting calculations from each company's most recent year demonstrated below.

	BT Group plc		Telefonica S A	
	31-Mar-18	31-Mar-17	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16
Debt-to-assets	33.4%	30.0%	48.4%	48.8%
Debt-to-capital	58.1%	60.4%	67.7%	68.0%
Debt-to-equity	1.39	1.53	2.09	2.13

	BT Group plc		Telefonica S A	
	31-Mar-18	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16
Debt-to-assets	33.4% = $(2,281 + 11,994)/42,759$		48.4% = $(9,414 + 46,332)/115,066$	
Debt-to-capital	58.1% = $(2,281 + 11,994)/(2,281 + 11,994 + 10,304)$		67.7% = $(9,414 + 46,332)/(9,414 + 46,332 + 26,618)$	
Debt-to-equity	1.39 = $(2,281 + 11,994)/10,304$		2.09 = $(9,414 + 46,332)/26,618$	

- B** BT's debt-to-assets ratio increased, while its debt-to-capital and debt-to-equity ratios both decreased. The decrease in BT's debt-to-capital and debt-to-equity ratios resulted primarily from the company's increase in total equity and indicate stronger solvency. In addition, we observe that BT decreased its short-term borrowings and increased its long-term debt. Telefonica's leverage ratios appear fairly similar, albeit slightly lower, for 2017 compared to 2016. Similar to BT, it appears that Telefonica shifted away from short borrowings to long-term debt in 2017.
- C** In both years, all three of BT's leverage ratios were lower than Telefonica's. Based on these ratios, this may imply higher solvency of BT relative to Telefonica.

Solution to 2:

- A** The interest coverage ratios are as follows, with supporting calculations from each company's most recent year demonstrated below.

	BT Group plc	Telefonica S A		
	31-Mar-18	31-Mar-17	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16
Interest coverage ratio	4.36	3.88	2.02	1.22

	BT Group plc	Telefonica S A	
	31-Mar-18	31-Dec-17	
Interest coverage ratio	$4.36 = 3,381/776$	$2.02 = 6,791/3,363$	

- B** Both companies' interest coverage ratios increased from 2017 to 2018, indicating an improvement in solvency, consistent with the conclusions drawn from the companies' ratios in question 1. Both companies have sufficient operating earnings to cover interest payments.
- C** BT's ability to cover interest payments is greater than Telefonica's, although both companies have sufficient operating earnings to service its interest payments. This comparison indicates that BT has greater financial strength than Telefonica, which is also consistent with the conclusions drawn from a comparison of the companies' ratios in question 1.

SUMMARY

Non-current liabilities arise from different sources of financing and different types of creditors. Bonds are a common source of financing from debt markets. Key points in accounting and reporting of non-current liabilities include the following:

- The sales proceeds of a bond issue are determined by discounting future cash payments using the market rate of interest at the time of issuance (effective interest rate). The reported interest expense on bonds is based on the effective interest rate.
- Future cash payments on bonds usually include periodic interest payments (made at the stated interest rate or coupon rate) and the principal amount at maturity.

- When the market rate of interest equals the coupon rate for the bonds, the bonds will sell at par (i.e., at a price equal to the face value). When the market rate of interest is higher than the bonds' coupon rate, the bonds will sell at a discount. When the market rate of interest is lower than the bonds' coupon rate, the bonds will sell at a premium.
- An issuer amortises any issuance discount or premium on bonds over the life of the bonds.
- If a company redeems bonds before maturity, it reports a gain or loss on debt extinguishment computed as the net carrying amount of the bonds (including bond issuance costs under IFRS) less the amount required to redeem the bonds.
- Debt covenants impose restrictions on borrowers, such as limitations on future borrowing or requirements to maintain a minimum debt-to-equity ratio.
- The carrying amount of bonds is typically the amortised historical cost, which can differ from their fair value.
- Companies are required to disclose the fair value of financial liabilities, including debt. Although permitted to do so, few companies opt to report debt at fair values on the balance sheet.
- A lease is a contract in which a lessor grants the lessee the exclusive right to use a specific underlying asset for a period of time in exchange for payments.
- Leasing is a common arrangement because it has several advantages over purchasing an asset outright: less upfront cash commitment, generally low interest rates, and lower risks associated with ownership such as obsolescence.
- Leases are classified as operating or finance leases. Finance leases resemble an asset purchase or sale while operating leases resemble a rental agreement.
- US GAAP and IFRS share the same accounting treatment for lessors but differ for lessees. IFRS has a single accounting model for both operating leases and finance lease lessees, while US GAAP has an accounting model for each.
- Lessees reporting under IFRS and finance lease lessees reporting under US GAAP
 - Recognize a lease liability and corresponding right-of-use asset on the balance sheet, equal to the present value of lease payments. The liability is subsequently reduced using the effective interest method and the right-of-use asset is amortized. Interest expense and amortization expense are shown separately on the income statement. The statement of cash flows shows the entire lease payment.
- Operating lease lessees reporting under US GAAP
 - Recognize a lease liability and corresponding right-of-use asset on the balance sheet, equal to the present value of lease payments. The liability is subsequently reduced using the effective interest method, but the amortization of the right-of-use asset is the lease payment less the interest expense. Interest expense and amortization expense are shown together as a single operating expense on the income statement.
- Finance lease lessors (IFRS and US GAAP)
 - Recognize a lease receivable asset equal to the present value of future lease payments and de-recognize the leased asset, simultaneously recognizing any difference as a gain or loss. The lease receivable is subsequently reduced by each lease payment using the effective interest method. Interest income is reported on the income statement, typically as revenue, and the entire cash receipt is reported under operating activities on the statement of cash flows.
- Operating lease lessors (IFRS and US GAAP)

- The balance sheet is not affected: the lessor continues to recognize the underlying asset and depreciate it. Lease revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis on the income statement and the entire cash receipt is reported under operating activities on the statement of cash flows.
- Two types of pension plans are defined contribution plans and defined benefits plans. In a defined contribution plan, the amount of contribution into the plan is specified (i.e., defined) and the amount of pension that is ultimately paid by the plan (received by the retiree) depends on the performance of the plan's assets. In a defined benefit plan, the amount of pension that is ultimately paid by the plan (received by the retiree) is defined, usually according to a benefit formula.
- Under a defined contribution pension plan, the cash payment made into the plan is recognised as pension expense.
- Under both IFRS and US GAAP, companies must report the difference between the defined benefit pension obligation and the pension assets as an asset or liability on the balance sheet. An underfunded defined benefit pension plan is shown as a non-current liability.
- Under IFRS, the change in the defined benefit plan net asset or liability is recognised as a cost of the period, with two components of the change (service cost and net interest expense or income) recognised in profit and loss and one component (remeasurements) of the change recognised in other comprehensive income.
- Under US GAAP, the change in the defined benefit plan net asset or liability is also recognised as a cost of the period with three components of the change (current service costs, interest expense on the beginning pension obligation, and expected return on plan assets) recognised in profit and loss and two components (past service costs and actuarial gains and losses) typically recognised in other comprehensive income.
- Solvency refers to a company's ability to meet its long-term debt obligations.
- In evaluating solvency, leverage ratios focus on the balance sheet and measure the amount of debt financing relative to equity financing.
- In evaluating solvency, coverage ratios focus on the income statement and cash flows and measure the ability of a company to cover its interest payments.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 A company issues €1 million of bonds at face value. When the bonds are issued, the company will record a:
 - A cash inflow from investing activities.
 - B cash inflow from financing activities.
 - C cash inflow from operating activities.
- 2 At the time of issue of 4.50% coupon bonds, the effective interest rate was 5.00%. The bonds were *most likely* issued at:
 - A par.
 - B a discount.
 - C a premium.
- 3 Oil Exploration LLC paid \$45,000 in printing, legal fees, commissions, and other costs associated with its recent bond issue. It is *most likely* to record these costs on its financial statements as:
 - A an asset under US GAAP and reduction of the carrying value of the debt under IFRS.
 - B a liability under US GAAP and reduction of the carrying value of the debt under IFRS.
 - C a cash outflow from investing activities under both US GAAP and IFRS.
- 4 A company issues \$1,000,000 face value of 10-year bonds on 1 January 2015 when the market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and terms is 5%. The bonds pay 6% interest annually on 31 December. At the time of issue, the bonds payable reflected on the balance sheet is *closest* to:
 - A \$926,399.
 - B \$1,000,000.
 - C \$1,077,217.
- 5 Midland Brands issues three-year bonds dated 1 January 2015 with a face value of \$5,000,000. The market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and term is 3%. If the bonds pay 2.5% annually on 31 December, bonds payable when issued are most likely reported as *closest* to:
 - A \$4,929,285.
 - B \$5,000,000.
 - C \$5,071,401.
- 6 A firm issues a bond with a coupon rate of 5.00% when the market interest rate is 5.50% on bonds of comparable risk and terms. One year later, the market interest rate increases to 6.00%. Based on this information, the effective interest rate is:
 - A 5.00%.
 - B 5.50%.
 - C 6.00%.
- 7 On 1 January 2010, Elegant Fragrances Company issues £1,000,000 face value, five-year bonds with annual interest payments of £55,000 to be paid each 31 December. The market interest rate is 6.0 percent. Using the effective interest rate method of amortisation, Elegant Fragrances is *most likely* to record:

- A** an interest expense of £55,000 on its 2010 income statement.
- B** a liability of £982,674 on the 31 December 2010 balance sheet.
- C** a £58,736 cash outflow from operating activity on the 2010 statement of cash flows.
- 8** Consolidated Enterprises issues €10 million face value, five-year bonds with a coupon rate of 6.5 percent. At the time of issuance, the market interest rate is 6.0 percent. Using the effective interest rate method of amortisation, the carrying value after one year will be *closest* to:
- A** €10.17 million.
- B** €10.21 million.
- C** €10.28 million.
- 9** A company issues €10,000,000 face value of 10-year bonds dated 1 January 2015 when the market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and terms is 6%. The bonds pay 7% interest annually on 31 December. Based on the effective interest rate method, the interest expense on 31 December 2015 is *closest* to:
- A** €644,161.
- B** €700,000.
- C** €751,521.
- 10** A company issues \$30,000,000 face value of five-year bonds dated 1 January 2015 when the market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and terms is 5%. The bonds pay 4% interest annually on 31 December. Based on the effective interest rate method, the carrying amount of the bonds on 31 December 2015 is *closest* to:
- A** \$28,466,099.
- B** \$28,800,000.
- C** \$28,936,215.
- 11** Lesp Industries issues five-year bonds dated 1 January 2015 with a face value of \$2,000,000 and 3% coupon rate paid annually on 31 December. The market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and term is 4%. The sales proceeds of the bonds are \$1,910,964. Under the effective interest rate method, the interest expense in 2017 is *closest* to:
- A** \$77,096.
- B** \$77,780.
- C** \$77,807.
- 12** For a bond issued at a premium, using the effective interest rate method, the:
- A** carrying amount increases each year.
- B** amortization of the premium increases each year.
- C** premium is evenly amortized over the life of the bond.
- 13** Comte Industries issues \$3,000,000 worth of three-year bonds dated 1 January 2015. The bonds pay interest of 5.5% annually on 31 December. The market interest rate on bonds of comparable risk and term is 5%. The sales proceeds of the bonds are \$3,040,849. Under the straight-line method, the interest expense in the first year is *closest* to:
- A** \$150,000.
- B** \$151,384.
- C** \$152,042.

- 14** The management of Bank EZ repurchases its own bonds in the open market. They pay €6.5 million for bonds with a face value of €10.0 million and a carrying value of €9.8 million. The bank will *most likely* report:
- A** other comprehensive income of €3.3 million.
 - B** other comprehensive income of €3.5 million.
 - C** a gain of €3.3 million on the income statement.
- 15** A company redeems \$1,000,000 face value bonds with a carrying value of \$990,000. If the call price is 104 the company will:
- A** reduce bonds payable by \$1,000,000.
 - B** recognize a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$50,000.
 - C** recognize a gain on the extinguishment of debt of \$10,000.
- 16** Innovative Inventions, Inc. needs to raise €10 million. If the company chooses to issue zero-coupon bonds, its debt-to-equity ratio will *most likely*:
- A** rise as the maturity date approaches.
 - B** decline as the maturity date approaches.
 - C** remain constant throughout the life of the bond.
- 17** Fairmont Golf issued fixed rate debt when interest rates were 6 percent. Rates have since risen to 7 percent. Using only the carrying amount (based on historical cost) reported on the balance sheet to analyze the company's financial position would *most likely* cause an analyst to:
- A** overestimate Fairmont's economic liabilities.
 - B** underestimate Fairmont's economic liabilities.
 - C** underestimate Fairmont's interest coverage ratio.
- 18** Which of the following is an example of an affirmative debt covenant? The borrower is:
- A** prohibited from entering into mergers.
 - B** prevented from issuing excessive additional debt.
 - C** required to perform regular maintenance on equipment pledged as collateral.
- 19** Debt covenants are *least likely* to place restrictions on the issuer's ability to:
- A** pay dividends.
 - B** issue additional debt.
 - C** issue additional equity.
- 20** Regarding a company's debt obligations, which of the following is *most likely* presented on the balance sheet?
- A** Effective interest rate
 - B** Maturity dates for debt obligations
 - C** The portion of long-term debt due in the next 12 months
- 21** Beginning with fiscal year 2019, for leases with a term longer than one year, lessees report a right-to-use asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet:
- A** only for finance leases.
 - B** only for operating leases.
 - C** for both finance and operating leases.
- 22** For a lessor, the leased asset appears on the balance sheet and continues to be depreciated when the lease is classified as:
- A** a finance lease.

- B** a sales-type lease.
C an operating lease.
- 23** Under US GAAP, a lessor's reported revenues at lease inception will be *highest* if the lease is classified as:
A a sales-type lease.
B an operating lease.
C a direct financing lease.
- 24** Under both IFRS and US GAAP, a lessor in an operating lease recognizes:
A selling profit at lease inception.
B a lease asset comprising the lease receivable and relevant residual value at lease inception.
C lease receipts as income and related costs, including depreciation, as expenses over the lease term.
- 25** Compared with a finance lease, an operating lease:
A is similar to renting an asset.
B is equivalent to the purchase of an asset.
C term is for the majority of the economic life of the leased asset.
- 26** Under US GAAP, a lessee's accounting for a long-term finance lease after inception will include:
A recognizing a single lease expense.
B recording depreciation expense on the right-of-use asset.
C increasing the balance of the lease liability by a portion of the lease payment.
- 27** A company enters into a finance lease agreement to acquire the use of an asset for three years with lease payments of €19,000,000 starting next year. The leased asset has a fair market value of €49,000,000 and the present value of the lease payments is €47,250,188. Based on this information, the value of the lease liability reported on the company's balance sheet at lease inception is *closest* to:
A €47,250,188.
B €49,000,000.
C €57,000,000.
- 28** The following presents selected financial information for a company:

	\$ Millions
Short-term borrowing	4,231
Current portion of long-term interest-bearing debt	29
Long-term interest-bearing debt	925
Average shareholders' equity	18,752
Average total assets	45,981

The financial leverage ratio is *closest* to:

- A** 0.113
B 0.277
C 2.452
- 29** An analyst evaluating three industrial companies calculates the following ratios:

	Company A	Company B	Company C
Debt-to-Equity	23.5%	22.5%	52.5%
Interest Coverage	15.6	49.5	45.5

The company with both the lowest financial leverage and the greatest ability to meet interest payments is:

- A Company A.
- B Company B.
- C Company C.

30 An analyst evaluating a company's solvency gathers the following information:

	\$ Millions
Short-term interest-bearing debt	1,258
Long-term interest-bearing debt	321
Total shareholder's equity	4,285
Total assets	8,750
EBIT	2,504
Interest payments	52

The company's debt-to-assets ratio is *closest* to:

- A 0.18.
- B 0.27.
- C 0.37.

31 Penben Corporation has a defined benefit pension plan. At 31 December, its pension obligation is €10 million and pension assets are €9 million. Under either IFRS or US GAAP, the reporting on the balance sheet would be *closest* to which of the following?

- A €10 million is shown as a liability, and €9 million appears as an asset.
- B €1 million is shown as a net pension obligation.
- C Pension assets and obligations are not required to be shown on the balance sheet but only disclosed in footnotes.

32 The following information is associated with a company that offers its employees a defined benefit plan:

Fair value of fund's assets	\$1,500,000,000
Estimated pension obligations	\$2,600,000,000
Present value of estimated pension obligations	\$1,200,000,000

Based on this information, the company's balance sheet will present a net pension:

- A asset of \$300,000,000.
- B asset of \$1,400,000,000.
- C liability of \$1,100,000,000.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 B is correct. The company receives €1 million in cash from investors at the time the bonds are issued, which is recorded as a financing activity.
- 2 B is correct. The effective interest rate is greater than the coupon rate and the bonds will be issued at a discount.
- 3 A is correct. Under US GAAP, expenses incurred when issuing bonds are generally recorded as an asset and amortised to the related expense (legal, etc.) over the life of the bonds. Under IFRS, they are included in the measurement of the liability. The related cash flows are financing activities.
- 4 C is correct. The bonds will be issued at a premium because the coupon rate is higher than the market interest rate. The future cash outflows, the present value of the cash outflows, and the total present value are as follows:

Date	Interest Payment (\$)	Present Value at Market Rate 5% (\$)	Present Value at Market Rate 5% (\$)	Total Present Value (\$)
31 December 2015	60,000.00	57,142.86		
31 December 2016	60,000.00	54,421.77		
31 December 2017	60,000.00	51,830.26		
31 December 2018	60,000.00	49,362.15		
31 December 2019	60,000.00	47,011.57		
31 December 2020	60,000.00	44,772.92		
31 December 2021	60,000.00	42,640.88		
31 December 2022	60,000.00	40,610.36		
31 December 2023	60,000.00	38,676.53		
31 December 2024	60,000.00	36,834.80	1,000,000.00	613,913.25
		<u>463,304.10</u>		<u>613,913.25</u>
				1,077,217.35
				Sales Proceeds

The following illustrates the keystrokes for many financial calculators to calculate sales proceeds of \$1,077,217.35:

Calculator Notation	Numerical Value for This Problem
N	10
% i or I/Y	5
FV	\$1,000,000.00
PMT	\$60,000.00
PV compute	X

Thus, the sales proceeds are reported on the balance sheet as an increase in long-term liability, bonds payable of \$1,077,217.

- 5 A is correct. The bonds payable reported at issue is equal to the sales proceeds. The interest payments and future value of the bond must be discounted at the market interest rate of 3% to determine the sales proceeds.

Date	Interest Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (3%)	Face Value Payment	Present Value at Market Rate (3%)	Total Present Value
31 December 2015	\$125,000.00	\$121,359.22			
31 December 2016	\$125,000.00	\$117,824.49			
31 December 2017	\$125,000.00	\$114,392.71	\$5,000,000.00	\$4,575,708.30	
Total		\$353,576.42		\$4,575,708.30	\$4,929,284.72

The following illustrates the keystrokes for many financial calculators to calculate sales proceeds of \$4,929,284.72:

Calculator Notation	Numerical Value for This Problem
N	3
% i or I/Y	3.0
FV	\$5,000,000.00
PMT	\$125,000.00
PV compute	X

- 6 B is correct. The market interest rate at the time of issuance is the effective interest rate that the company incurs on the debt. The effective interest rate is the discount rate that equates the present value of the coupon payments and face value to their selling price. Consequently, the effective interest rate is 5.50%.
- 7 B is correct. The bonds will be issued at a discount because the market interest rate is higher than the stated rate. Discounting the future payments to their present value indicates that at the time of issue, the company will record £978,938 as both a liability and a cash inflow from financing activities. Interest expense in 2010 is £58,736 (£978,938 times 6.0 percent). During the year, the company will pay cash of £55,000 related to the interest payment, but interest expense on the income statement will also reflect £3,736 related to amortisation of the initial discount (£58,736 interest expense less the £55,000 interest payment). Thus, the value of the liability at 31 December 2010 will reflect the initial value (£978,938) plus the amortised discount (£3,736), for a total of £982,674. The cash outflow of £55,000 may be presented as either an operating or financing activity under IFRS.
- 8 A is correct. The coupon rate on the bonds is higher than the market rate, which indicates that the bonds will be issued at a premium. Taking the present value of each payment indicates an issue date value of €10,210,618. The interest expense is determined by multiplying the carrying amount at the beginning of the period (€10,210,618) by the market interest rate at the time of issue (6.0 percent) for an interest expense of €612,637. The value after one year will equal the beginning value less the amount of the premium amortised to date, which is the difference between the amount paid (€650,000) and the expense accrued (€612,637) or €37,363. €10,210,618 – €37,363 = €10,173,255 or €10.17 million.
- 9 A is correct. The future cash outflows, the present value of the cash outflows, and the total present value are as follows:

Date	Interest Payment (€)	Present Value at Market Rate 6% (€)	Present Value at Market Rate 6% (€)	Total Present Value (€)
31 December 2015	700,000.00	660,377.36		
31 December 2016	700,000.00	622,997.51		
31 December 2017	700,000.00	587,733.50		
31 December 2018	700,000.00	554,465.56		
31 December 2019	700,000.00	523,080.72		
31 December 2020	700,000.00	493,472.38		
31 December 2021	700,000.00	465,539.98		
31 December 2022	700,000.00	439,188.66		
31 December 2023	700,000.00	414,328.92		
31 December 2024	700,000.00	390,876.34	10,000,000.00	5,583,947.77
		<u>5,152,060.94</u>		<u>5,583,947.77</u>
				10,736,008.71
				Sales Proceeds

The following illustrates the keystrokes for many financial calculators to calculate sales proceeds of €10,736,008.71:

Calculator Notation	Numerical Value for This Problem
N	10
% i or I/Y	6
FV	\$10,000,000.00
PMT	\$700,000.00
PV compute	X

The interest expense is calculated by multiplying the carrying amount at the beginning of the year by the effective interest rate at issuance. As a result, the interest expense at 31 December 2015 is €644,161 ($\text{€}10,736,008.71 \times 6\%$).

- 10 C is correct. The future cash outflows, the present value of the cash outflows, and the total present value are as follows:

Date	Interest Payment (\$)	Present Value at Market Rate 5% (\$)	Present Value at Market Rate 5% (\$)	Total Present Value (\$)
31 December 2015	1,200,000	1,142,857.14		
31 December 2016	1,200,000	1,088,435.37		
31 December 2017	1,200,000	1,036,605.12		
31 December 2018	1,200,000	987,242.97		
31 December 2019	1,200,000	940,231.40	30,000,000	23,505,785.00
		<u>5,195,372.00</u>		<u>23,505,785.00</u>
				28,701,157.00
				Sales Proceeds

The following illustrates the keystrokes for many financial calculators to calculate sales proceeds of \$28,701,157.00:

Calculator Notation	Numerical Value for This Problem
N	5
% i or I/Y	5
FV	\$30,000,000.00
PMT	\$1,200,000.00
PV compute	X

The following table illustrates interest expense, premium amortization, and carrying amount (amortized cost) for 2015.

Year	Carrying Amount (beginning of year)	Interest Expense (at effective interest rate of 5%)	Interest Payment (at coupon rate of 4%)	Amortization of Discount	Carrying Amount (end of year)
2015	\$28,701,157.00	\$1,435,057.85	\$1,200,000.00	\$235,057.85	\$28,936,214.85

The carrying amount at the end of the year is found by adding the amortization of the discount to the carrying amount at the beginning of the year. As a result, the carrying amount on 31 December 2015 is \$28,936,215.

Alternatively, the following illustrates the keystrokes for many financial calculators to calculate the carrying value at the end of first year of \$28,936, 215:

Calculator Notation	Numerical Value for This Problem
N	4
% i or I/Y	5
FV	\$30,000,000.00
PMT	\$1,200,000.00
PV compute	X

- 11 B is correct. The interest expense for a given year is equal to the carrying amount at the beginning of the year times the effective interest of 4%. Under the effective interest rate method, the difference between the interest expense and the interest payment (based on the coupon rate and face value) is the discount amortized in the period, which increases the carrying amount annually. For 2017, the interest expense is the beginning carrying amount (\$1,944,499) times the effective interest of 4%.

Year	Carrying Amount (beginning)	Interest Expense (at effective interest of 4%)	Interest Payment (at coupon rate of 3%)	Amortization of Discount	Carrying Amount (end of year)
2015	\$1,910,964	\$76,439	\$60,000.00	\$16,439	\$1,927,403
2016	\$1,927,403	\$77,096	\$60,000.00	\$17,096	\$1,944,499
2017	\$1,944,499	\$77,780	\$60,000.00	\$17,780	\$1,962,279

- 12 B is correct. The amortization of the premium equals the interest payment minus the interest expense. The interest payment is constant and the interest expense decreases as the carrying amount decreases. As a result, the amortization of the premium increases each year.

- 13 B is correct. Under the straight-line method, the bond premium is amortized equally over the life of the bond. The annual interest payment is \$165,000 ($\$3,000,000 \times 5.5\%$) and annual amortization of the premium under the straight-line method is \$13,616 [$(\$3,040,849 - \$3,000,000)/3$]. The interest expense is the interest payment less the amortization of the premium (\$165,000 – \$13,616 = \$151,384).
- 14 C is correct. A gain of €3.3 million (carrying amount less amount paid) will be reported on the income statement.
- 15 B is correct. If a company decides to redeem a bond before maturity, bonds payable is reduced by the carrying amount of the debt. The difference between the cash required to redeem the bonds and the carrying amount of the bonds is a gain or loss on the extinguishment of debt. Because the call price is 104 and the face value is \$1,000,000, the redemption cost is 104% of \$1,000,000 or \$1,040,000. The company's loss on redemption would be \$50,000 (\$990,000 carrying amount of debt minus \$1,040,000 cash paid to redeem the callable bonds).
- 16 A is correct. The value of the liability for zero-coupon bonds increases as the discount is amortised over time. Furthermore, the amortised interest will reduce earnings at an increasing rate over time as the value of the liability increases. Higher relative debt and lower relative equity (through retained earnings) will cause the debt-to-equity ratio to increase as the zero-coupon bonds approach maturity.
- 17 A is correct. When interest rates rise, bonds decline in value. Thus, the carrying amount of the bonds being carried on the balance sheet is higher than the market value. The company could repurchase the bonds for less than the carrying amount, so the economic liabilities are overestimated. Because the bonds are issued at a fixed rate, there is no effect on interest coverage.
- 18 C is correct. Affirmative covenants require certain actions of the borrower. Requiring the company to perform regular maintenance on equipment pledged as collateral is an example of an affirmative covenant because it requires the company to do something. Negative covenants require that the borrower not take certain actions. Prohibiting the borrower from entering into mergers and preventing the borrower from issuing excessive additional debt are examples of negative covenants.
- 19 C is correct. Covenants protect debtholders from excessive risk taking, typically by limiting the issuer's ability to use cash or by limiting the overall levels of debt relative to income and equity. Issuing additional equity would increase the company's ability to meet its obligations, so debtholders would not restrict that ability.
- 20 C is correct. The non-current liabilities section of the balance sheet usually includes a single line item of the total amount of a company's long-term debt due after 1 year, and the current liabilities section shows the portion of a company's long-term debt due in the next 12 months. Notes to the financial statements generally present the stated and effective interest rates and maturity dates for a company's debt obligations.
- 21 C is correct. Beginning with fiscal year 2019, lessees report a right-of-use asset and a lease liability for all leases longer than one year. An exception under IFRS exists for leases when the underlying asset is of low value.
- 22 C is correct. When a lease is classified as an operating lease, the underlying asset remains on the lessor's balance sheet. The lessor will record a depreciation expense that reduces the asset's value over time.

- 23** A is correct. A sales-type lease treats the lease as a sale of the asset, and revenue is recorded at the time of sale equal to the value of the leased asset. Under a direct financing lease, only interest income is reported as earned. Under an operating lease, revenue from lease receipts is reported when collected.
- 24** C is correct. Lessor accounting for an operating lease under US GAAP is similar to that under IFRS: Over the lease term, the lessor recognizes lease receipts as income and recognizes related costs, including depreciation of the leased asset, as expenses. Under IFRS, at inception of a finance lease—not an operating lease—the lessor derecognizes the underlying leased asset and recognizes a lease asset comprising the lease receivable and relevant residual value. Further, an IFRS-reporting lessor will recognize selling profit at the beginning of all leases that are not classified as operating leases. In contrast, a US GAAP-reporting lessor will recognize selling profit only on sales-type leases at the beginning of the lease term.
- 25** A is correct. An operating lease is an agreement that allows the lessee to use an asset for a period of time. Thus, an operating lease is similar to renting an asset, whereas a finance lease is equivalent to the purchase of an asset by the lessee that is directly financed by the lessor.
- 26** B is correct. A lessee's accounting for a long-term finance lease under US GAAP and after lease inception includes recording depreciation expense on the right-of-use asset, recognizing interest expense on the lease liability, and reducing the balance of the lease liability for the portion of the lease payments that represents repayment of the lease liability. A lessee's accounting for an operating lease under US GAAP and after lease inception will recognize a single lease expense, which is a straight-line allocation of the cost of the lease over its term.
- 27** A is correct. Under the revised reporting standards under IFRS and US GAAP, a lessee must recognize an asset and a lease liability at inception of each of its leases (with an exception for short-term leases). The lessee reports a "right-of-use" (ROU) asset and a lease liability, calculated essentially as the present value of fixed lease payments, on its balance sheet. Thus, at lease inception, the company will record a lease liability on the balance sheet of €47,250,188.
- 28** C is correct. The financial leverage ratio is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Average total assets}}{\text{Average shareholder's equity}} = \frac{\$45,981 \text{ million}}{\$18,752 \text{ million}} = 2.452 \text{ million}$$

- 29** B is correct. Company B has the lowest debt-to-equity ratio, indicating the lowest financial leverage, and the highest interest coverage ratio, indicating the greatest number of times that EBIT covers interest payments.
- 30** A is correct because the debt-to-assets (total debt)/(total assets) ratio is $(1,258 + 321)/(8,750) = 1,579/8,750 = 0.18$
- 31** B is correct. The company will report a net pension obligation of €1 million equal to the pension obligation (€10 million) less the plan assets (€9 million).
- 32** A is correct. A company that offers a defined benefit plan makes payments into a pension fund and the retirees are paid from the fund. The payments that a company makes into the fund are invested until they are needed to pay retirees. If the fair value of the fund's assets is higher than the present value of the estimated pension obligation, the plan has a surplus and the company's balance sheet will reflect a net pension asset. Because the fair value of the fund's assets is \$1,500,000,000 and the present value of estimated pension obligations is \$1,200,000,000, the company will present a net pension asset of \$300,000,000 on its balance sheet.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS STUDY SESSION

8

Financial Statement Analysis (4)

This study session introduces the concept of financial reporting quality. The session examines the financial reporting quality differences that may exist between companies and the means for identifying them. Warning signs of poor or low quality reporting are covered. The application of financial analysis techniques to evaluate a company's past and projected performance, assess credit risk, and screen for potential equity investments follows. Common adjustments to reported financials to facilitate cross-company comparisons conclude the session.

READING ASSIGNMENTS

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| Reading 25 | Financial Reporting Quality
by Jack T. Ciesielski, CPA, CFA, Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas I. Selling, PhD, CPA |
| Reading 26 | Applications of Financial Statement Analysis
by Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA |

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

READING

25

Financial Reporting Quality

by Jack T. Ciesielski, CPA, CFA, Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Thomas I. Selling, PhD, CPA

Jack T. Ciesielski, CPA, CFA, is at R.G. Associates, Inc., former publisher of *The Analyst's Accounting Observer* (USA). Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Thomas I. Selling, PhD, CPA, is at the Cox School of Business, Southern Methodist University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. compare and contrast financial reporting quality with the quality of reported results (including quality of earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items);
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe a spectrum for assessing financial reporting quality;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. explain the difference between conservative and aggressive accounting;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe motivations that might cause management to issue financial reports that are not high quality;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe conditions that are conducive to issuing low-quality, or even fraudulent, financial reports;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. describe mechanisms that discipline financial reporting quality and the potential limitations of those mechanisms;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe presentation choices, including non-GAAP measures, that could be used to influence an analyst's opinion;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. describe accounting methods (choices and estimates) that could be used to manage earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. describe accounting warning signs and methods for detecting manipulation of information in financial reports.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION & CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW

- a compare and contrast financial reporting quality with the quality of reported results (including quality of earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items);
- b describe a spectrum for assessing financial reporting quality;

Ideally, analysts would always have access to financial reports that are based on sound financial reporting standards, such as those from the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), and are free from manipulation. But, in practice, the quality of financial reports can vary greatly. High-quality financial reporting provides information that is useful to analysts in assessing a company's performance and prospects. Low-quality financial reporting contains inaccurate, misleading, or incomplete information.

Extreme lapses in financial reporting quality have given rise to high-profile scandals that resulted not only in investor losses but also in reduced confidence in the financial system. Financial statement users who were able to accurately assess financial reporting quality were better positioned to avoid losses. These lapses illustrate the challenges analysts face as well as the potential costs of failing to recognize practices that result in misleading or inaccurate financial reports.¹ Examples of misreporting can provide an analyst with insight into various signals that may indicate poor-quality financial reports.

This reading addresses *financial reporting quality*, which pertains to the quality of information in financial reports, including disclosures in notes. High-quality reporting provides decision-useful information, which is relevant and faithfully represents the economic reality of the company's activities during the reporting period as well as the company's financial condition at the end of the period. A separate but interrelated attribute of quality is *quality of reported results* or *earnings quality*, which pertains to the earnings and cash generated by the company's actual economic activities and the resulting financial condition. The term "earnings quality" is commonly used in practice and will be used broadly to encompass the quality of earnings, cash flow, and/or balance sheet items. High-quality earnings result from activities that a company will likely be able to sustain in the future and provide a sufficient return on the company's investment. The concepts of earnings quality and financial reporting quality are interrelated because a correct assessment of earnings quality is possible only when there is some basic level of financial reporting quality. Beyond this basic level, as the quality of reporting increases, the ability of financial statement users to correctly assess earnings quality and to develop expectations for future performance arguably also increases.

Sections 1–5 provide a conceptual overview of reporting quality. Sections 6 and 7 discuss motivations that might cause, and conditions that might enable, management to issue financial reports that are not high quality and mechanisms that aim to provide discipline to financial reporting quality. Sections 8–12 describe choices made by management that can affect financial reporting quality—presentation choices, accounting methods, and estimates—as well as warning signs of poor-quality financial reporting.

¹ In this reading, the examples of misleading or inaccurate financial reports occurred in prior years—not because there are no current examples of questionable financial reporting, but rather because it has been conclusively resolved that misreporting occurred in the historical examples.

1.1 Conceptual Overview


As indicated in the introduction, financial reporting quality and results or earnings quality are interrelated attributes of quality. Exhibit 1 illustrates this interrelationship and its implications.

Exhibit 1 Relationships between Financial Reporting Quality and Earnings Quality

		Financial Reporting Quality	
		Low	High
Earnings (Results) Quality	High	LOW financial reporting quality impedes assessment of earnings quality and impedes valuation.	HIGH financial reporting quality enables assessment. HIGH earnings quality increases company value.
	Low		HIGH financial reporting quality enables assessment. LOW earnings quality decreases company value.

As can be seen in Exhibit 1, if financial reporting quality is low, the information provided is of little use in assessing the company's performance, and thus in making investment and other decisions.

Financial reporting quality varies across companies. High-quality reports contain information that is relevant, complete, neutral, and free from error. The lowest-quality reports contain information that is pure fabrication. Earnings (results) quality can range from high and sustainable to low and unsustainable. Providers of resources prefer high and sustainable earnings. Combining the two measures of quality—financial reporting and earnings—the overall quality of financial reports from a user perspective can be thought of as spanning a continuum from the highest to the lowest. Exhibit 2 presents a quality spectrum that provides a basis for evaluating better versus poorer quality reports. This spectrum ranges from reports that are of high financial reporting quality and reflect high and sustainable earnings quality to reports that are not useful because of poor financial reporting quality.

Exhibit 2 Quality Spectrum of Financial Reports**2****GAAP, DECISION USEFUL FINANCIAL REPORTING**

- b** describe a spectrum for assessing financial reporting quality;

At the top of the spectrum, labeled in Exhibit 2 as "GAAP, decision-useful, sustainable, and adequate returns," are high-quality reports that provide useful information about high-quality earnings.

- High-quality financial reports conform to the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) of the jurisdiction, such as International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), US GAAP, or other home-country GAAP. The exhibit uses the term GAAP to refer generically to the accounting standards accepted in a company's jurisdiction.
- In addition to conforming to GAAP, high-quality financial reports also embody the characteristics of decision-useful information such as those defined in the *Conceptual Framework*.² Recall that the fundamental characteristics of useful information are relevance and faithful representation. Relevant information is defined as information that can affect a decision and encompasses the notion of materiality. (Information is considered material if "omitting it or misstating it

² The characteristics of decision-useful information are identical under IFRS and US GAAP. In September 2010, the IASB adopted the *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* in place of the *Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements* (1989). The *Conceptual Framework* represents the partial completion of a joint convergence project between the IASB and FASB on an updated framework. The *Conceptual Framework* (2010) contains two updated chapters: "The Objective of Financial Reporting" and "Qualitative Characteristics of Useful Financial Information." The remainder of the material in the *Conceptual Framework* is from the *Framework* (1989) and will be updated as the project is completed. Also in September 2010, the FASB issued Concepts Statement 8, "Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting," to replace Concepts Statements 1 and 2.

could influence decisions that users make on the basis of the financial information of a specific reporting entity.”³⁾ Faithful representation of economic events is complete, neutral, and free from error.

The *Conceptual Framework* also enumerates enhancing characteristics of useful information: comparability, verifiability, timeliness, and understandability. Of course, the desirable characteristics for financial information require trade-offs. For example, financial reports must balance the aim of providing information that is produced quickly enough to be timely and thus relevant, and yet not so quickly that errors occur. Financial reports must balance the aim of providing information that is complete but not so exhaustive that immaterial information is included. High-quality information results when these and other tradeoffs are made in an unbiased, skillful manner.

- High-quality earnings indicate an adequate level of return on investment and derive from activities that a company will likely be able to sustain in the future. An adequate level of return on investment exceeds the cost of the investment and also equals or exceeds the expected return. Sustainable activities and sustainable earnings are those expected to recur in the future. Sustainable earnings that provide a high return on investment contribute to higher valuation of a company and its securities.

2.1 GAAP, Decision-Useful, but Sustainable?

The next level down in Exhibit 2, “GAAP, decision-useful, but sustainable?” refers to circumstances in which high-quality reporting provides useful information, but that information reflects results or earnings that are not sustainable (lower earnings quality). The earnings may not be sustainable because the company cannot expect earnings that generate the same level of return on investment in the future or because the earnings, although replicable, will not generate sufficient return on investment to sustain the company. Earnings quality is low in both cases. Reporting can be high quality even when the economic reality being depicted is not of high quality. For example, consider a company that generates a loss, or earnings that do not provide an adequate return on investment, or earnings that resulted from non-recurring activities. The relatively undesirable economic reality could nonetheless be depicted in financial reporting that provides high-quality, decision-useful information.

Exhibit 3 presents an excerpt from the fiscal year 2014 first-quarter results of Toyota Motor Corporation, a Japanese automobile company. As highlighted by a *Wall Street Journal* article,⁴ the company sold fewer cars but reported an 88% increase in operating profits compared with the prior year, primarily because of the change in exchange rates. The weaker yen benefited Toyota both because the company manufactures more cars in Japan (compared with its competitors) and because the company sells a significant number of cars outside of Japan. Exchange rate weakening is a less sustainable source of profits than manufacturing and selling cars. In summary, this example is a case of high-quality financial reporting coupled with lower earnings quality.

³ Text from conceptual frameworks referenced in Note 4.

⁴ Back (2013).

Exhibit 3 Excerpt from Toyota Motor Corporation's Consolidated Financial Results for FY2014 First Quarter Ending 30 June 2013

Consolidated vehicle unit sales in Japan and overseas decreased by 37 thousand units, or 1.6%, to 2,232 thousand units in FY2014 first quarter (the three months ended June 30, 2013) compared with FY2013 first quarter (the three months ended June 30, 2012). Vehicle unit sales in Japan decreased by 51 thousand units, or 8.8%, to 526 thousand units in FY2014 first quarter compared with FY2013 first quarter. Meanwhile, overseas vehicle unit sales increased by 14 thousand units, or 0.8%, to 1,706 thousand units in FY2014 first quarter compared with FY2013 first quarter.

As for the results of operations, net revenues increased by 753.7 billion yen, or 13.7%, to 6,255.3 billion yen in FY2014 first quarter compared with FY2013 first quarter, and operating income increased by 310.2 billion yen, or 87.9%, to 663.3 billion yen in FY2014 first quarter compared with FY2013 first quarter. The factors contributing to an increase in operating income were the effects of changes in exchange rates of 260.0 billion yen, cost reduction efforts of 70.0 billion yen, marketing efforts of 30.0 billion yen and other factors of 10.2 billion yen. On the other hand, the factors contributing to a decrease in operating income were the increase in expenses and others of 60.0 billion yen.

3**BIASED ACCOUNTING CHOICES**

- b** describe a spectrum for assessing financial reporting quality;
- c** explain the difference between conservative and aggressive accounting;

The next level down in the spectrum in Exhibit 2 is “Within GAAP, but biased choices.” Biased choices result in financial reports that do not faithfully represent the economic substance of what is being reported. The problem with bias in financial reporting, as with other deficiencies in reporting quality, is that it impedes an investor’s ability to correctly assess a company’s past performance, to accurately forecast future performance, and thus to appropriately value the company.

Choices are deemed to be “aggressive” if they increase a company’s reported performance and financial position in the period under review. The choice can increase the amount of revenues, earnings, and/or operating cash flow reported for the period, or decrease expenses, and/or reduce the level of debt reported on the balance sheet. Aggressive choices may lead to a reduction in the company’s reported performance and in its financial position in later periods. In contrast, choices are deemed “conservative” if they decrease a company’s performance and financial position in the reporting period. This can include lowering the reported revenues, earnings, and/or operating cash flow reported or increasing expenses, or recording a higher level of debt on the balance sheet. Conservative choices may lead to a rise in the company’s reported performance and financial position in later periods.

Another type of bias is understatement of earnings volatility, so-called earnings “smoothing”. Earnings smoothing can result from conservative choices to underestimate earnings in periods when a company’s operations are performing well, building up (often hidden) reserves that allow aggressive choices in periods when its operations are struggling.

Biased choices can be made not only in the context of reported amounts but also in the context of how information is presented. For example, companies can disclose information transparently, which facilitates analysis, or they can disclose it in a manner that aims to obscure unfavorable and/or emphasize favorable information.

EXAMPLE 1

Quality of Financial Reports

PACCAR Inc. designs, manufactures, and distributes trucks and related after-market parts that are sold worldwide under the Kenworth, Peterbilt, and DAF nameplates. In 2013, the US SEC charged PACCAR for various accounting deficiencies that “clouded their financial reporting to investors in the midst of the financial crisis.” The SEC complaint cites the company’s 2009 segment reporting. Exhibit 4A presents an excerpt from the notes to PACCAR’s financial statements, and Exhibit 4B presents an excerpt from the management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A) of PACCAR’s annual report.

Exhibit 4A Excerpt from Notes to PACCAR’s 2009 Financial Statements

S. SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

PACCAR operates in two principal segments, Truck and Financial Services. The Truck segment includes the manufacture of trucks and the distribution of related aftermarket parts, both of which are sold through a network of independent dealers... The Financial Services segment is composed of finance and leasing products and services provided to truck customers and dealers ... Included in All Other is PACCAR’s industrial winch manufacturing business. Also within this category are other sales, income and expenses not attributable to a reportable segment, including a portion of corporate expense.

Business Segment Data (\$ millions)

	2009	2008	2007
Income before Income Taxes			
Truck	\$25.9	\$1,156.5	\$1,352.8
All other	42.2	6.0	32.0
	68.1	1,162.5	1,384.8
Financial services	84.6	216.9	284.1
Investment income	22.3	84.6	95.4
	\$175.0	\$1,464.0	\$1,764.3

Exhibit 4B Excerpt from MD&A of PACCAR’s 2009 Annual Report

Net sales and revenues and gross margins for truck units and aftermarket parts are provided below. The aftermarket parts gross margin includes direct revenues and costs, but excludes certain truck segment costs.

(continued)

Exhibit 4B (Continued)

	2009	2008	% Change
Net Sales and Revenues			
Trucks	\$5,103.30	\$11,281.30	-55
Aftermarket parts	1,890.70	2,266.10	-17
	<u>\$6,994.00</u>	<u>\$13,547.40</u>	<u>-48</u>
Gross Margin			
Trucks	-\$46.6	\$1,141.70	-104
Aftermarket parts	625.7	795.20	-21
	<u>\$579.1</u>	<u>\$1,936.90</u>	<u>-70</u>

- 1 Based on the segment data excerpted from the notes to the financial statements, was PACCAR's truck segment profitable in 2009?
- 2 Based on the data about the truck's gross margin presented in the MD&A, was PACCAR's truck segment profitable in 2009?
- 3 What is the main difference between the note presentation and the MD&A presentation?
- 4 The SEC complaint stated that "PACCAR failed to report the operating results of its aftermarket parts business separately from its truck sales business as required under segment reporting requirements, which are in place to ensure that investors gain the same insight into a company as its executives." Is the PACCAR situation an example of issues with financial reporting quality, earnings quality, or both?

Solution to 1:

Yes, the segment data presented in the note to the financial statements indicates that the Truck segment earned \$25.9 million in 2009.

Solution to 2:

No, the segment data presented in the MD&A indicates that the Truck segment had a negative gross margin.

Solution to 3:

The main difference between the note presentation and the MD&A presentation is that the aftermarket parts business is combined with the trucks business in the notes but separated in the MD&A. Although the data are not exactly comparable in the two disclosures (because the note shows income before taxes and the MD&A shows gross profit), the two disclosures present a different picture of PACCAR's profits from truck sales.

Solution to 4:

The PACCAR situation appears to be an example of issues with both financial reporting quality and earnings quality. The substantial decrease in truck sales and the negative gross margin reflect poor earnings quality. The failure to disclose clear segment information is an instance of poor financial reporting quality.

While choices exist within GAAP for the presentation of a desired economic picture, non-GAAP reporting adds yet another dimension of management discretion. Non-GAAP reporting of financial metrics not in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles such as US GAAP and IFRS includes both financial metrics and operating metrics.⁵ Non-GAAP financial metrics relate directly to the financial statements. A common non-GAAP financial metric is “non-GAAP earnings,” which are created by companies “that adjust standards-compliant earnings to *exclude items required* by accounting standards or to *include items not permitted* by accounting standards” (Ciesielski and Henry, 2017). In contrast, non-GAAP operating metrics do not relate directly to the financial statements and include metrics that are typically industry-driven, such as subscriber numbers, active users, and occupancy rates.

Non-GAAP financial reporting has become increasingly common, presenting challenges to analysts. An important challenge is that non-GAAP financial reporting diminishes comparability across financial statements. The adjustments that companies make to create non-GAAP earnings, for example, are generally ad hoc and thus differ significantly. When evaluating non-GAAP metrics, investors must decide the extent to which specific adjustments should be incorporated into their analyses and forecasts.⁶

Another challenge arises from differences in terminology. Non-GAAP earnings are sometimes referred to as underlying earnings, adjusted earnings, recurring earnings, core earnings, or similar. Exhibit 5 provides an example from Jaguar Land Rover Automotive plc (JLR), a subsidiary of Tata Motors Ltd. The company prepares its financial reports under IFRS. The exhibit is an excerpt from JLR’s 2016/17 annual report and uses the term “alternative performance measures”. Exhibit 6 is from Tata Motors Ltd’s Form 6-K filed with the US SEC, containing supplemental information regarding JLR and using the term “non-IFRS Financial Measures”. The information in the two exhibits is essentially identical, but the terminology and formatting differ.

Exhibit 5

JLR’s 2016/17 Annual Report: Footnote 3 [Excerpt]

3) ALTERNATIVE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Many companies use alternative performance measures (APMs) to provide helpful additional information for users of their financial statements, telling a clearer story of how the business has performed over the period... These measures exclude certain items that are included in comparable statutory measures....

Reconciliations between these alternative performance measures and statutory reported measures are shown below.

EBIT AND EBITDA (£m)

Year ended 31 March	2017
EBITDA	2,955
Depreciation and amortisation	-1,656
Share of profit/(loss) of equity accounted investments	159
EBIT	1,458

(continued)

⁵ The term “non-GAAP” refers generally to all metrics that are non-compliant with generally accepted accounting principles and thus includes “non-IFRS” metrics.

⁶ A survey of non-GAAP earnings in the S&P 500 is presented in Ciesielski and Henry (2017). Some observers even recommend that investors shift their focus from a company’s earnings to a company’s “strategic assets” and the contribution of these assets to its competitive edge (Gu and Lev, 2017).

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

Year ended 31 March	2017
Foreign exchange (loss)/gain on derivatives	–11
Unrealised gain/(loss) on commodities	148
Foreign exchange loss on loans	–101
Finance income	33
Finance expense (net)	–68
Exceptional item	151
Profit before tax	1,610

Exhibit 6**Tata Motors Ltd. SEC Form 6-K [Excerpt]****Non-IFRS Financial Measures**

This Report includes references to certain non-IFRS measures, including EBITDA, EBIT ... [These measures] and related ratios should not be considered in isolation and are not measures of JLR's financial performance or liquidity under IFRS and should not be considered as an alternative to profit or loss for the period or any other performance measures derived in accordance with IFRS or as an alternative to cash flow from operating, investing or financing activities or any other measure of JLR's liquidity derived in accordance with IFRS. ... In addition, EBITDA, EBIT... as defined, may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures used by other companies.

Exhibit 1 to Form 6-K Supplemental Information Regarding the Jaguar and Land Rover Business of Tata Motors Limited [Excerpt]

The reconciliation of JLR's EBIT and EBITDA to profit for the period line item is:

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2017	£m
Profit for the period	1,272
Add back taxation	338
Add/(less) back exceptional charge/(credit)	–151
Add back/(less) foreign exchange (gains)/loss – financing	101
Add back/(less) foreign exchange (gains)/loss – derivatives	11
Add back/(less) unrealized commodity losses/(gains) – unrealized derivatives	–148
Less finance income	–33
Add back finance expense (net)	68
EBIT	1,458
Add back depreciation and amortization	1,656

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2017	£m
Add/(less) back share of loss/(profit) from equity accounted investees	–159
EBITDA	2,955

Management emphasis on non-GAAP financial measures to deflect attention from less-than-desirable GAAP financial results is an example of an aggressive presentation choice. Since 2003, if a company uses a non-GAAP financial measure⁷ in an SEC filing, it is required to display the most directly comparable GAAP measure with equal prominence and to provide a reconciliation between the non-GAAP measure and the equivalent GAAP measure. In other words, a company is not allowed to give more prominence to a non-GAAP financial measure in an SEC filing.

Similarly, the IFRS Practice Statement “Management Commentary,” issued December 2010, requires disclosures when non-IFRS measures are included in financial reports:

If information from the financial statements has been adjusted for inclusion in management commentary, that fact should be disclosed. If financial performance measures that are not required or defined by IFRSs are included within management commentary, those measures should be defined and explained, including an explanation of the relevance of the measure to users. When financial performance measures are derived or drawn from the financial statements, those measures should be reconciled to measures presented in the financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with IFRSs. (Page 17)

The reconciliation between as-reported measures (GAAP financial measures presented in the financial statements) and as-adjusted measures (non-GAAP financial measures presented in places other than the financial statements) can provide important information.

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) published guidelines in October 2015 (*ESMA Guidelines on Alternative Performance Measures*) covering such points as the definition of APMs, reconciliation to GAAP, explanation of the metrics’ relevance, and consistency over time. We discuss ESMA in more detail later in this reading.

EXAMPLE 2**Presentation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

Convatec Group PLC (Convatec), a global medical products manufacturer, raised \$1.8 billion via an initial public offering (IPO) on the London Stock Exchange in 2016. The company had been purchased by private equity firms from Bristol-Myers Squibb in 2008 for \$4.1 billion. Exhibit 7 presents excerpts from the company’s regulatory filing at the London Stock Exchange announcing its full year 2016 results.

⁷ Non-domestic private issuers can file financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS without reconciliation to US GAAP. The SEC recognizes US GAAP and IFRS as GAAP.

Exhibit 7 Excerpt from Convatec's Press Release for Full Year 2016 Results

Headline: "Strong results, delivering on strategy"

CEO Review [Excerpt]

At constant currency, revenue grew 4% to \$1,688 million and adjusted EBITDA was \$508 million, up 6.5% at constant currency...

[Footnote] Constant currency growth 'CER' is calculated by restating 2016 results using 2015 foreign exchange rates for the relevant period.

Consolidated Statement of Profit or Loss for the year ended 31 December 2016 (\$ m)

	2016	2015
Revenue	1,688.3	1,650.4
Cost of goods sold	-821.0	-799.9
Gross profit	867.3	850.5
Selling and distribution expenses	-357.0	-346.7
General and administrative expenses	-318.2	-233.1
Research and development expenses	-38.1	-40.3
Operating profit	154.0	230.4
Finance costs	-271.4	-303.6
Other expense, net	-8.4	-37.1
Loss before income taxes	-125.8	-110.3
Income tax (expense) benefit	-77.0	16.9
Net loss	-202.8	-93.4

Non-IFRS Financial Information [Excerpt]

This release contains certain financial measures that are not defined or recognised under IFRS. These measures are referred to as "Adjusted" measures... These measures are not measurements of financial performance or liquidity under IFRS and should not replace measures of liquidity or operating profit that are derived in accordance with IFRS.

Reconciliation to adjusted earnings [Excerpt]

2016	Reported	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	Adjusted
Revenue	1,688.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,688.3
<hr/>									
Operating profit	154.0	155.1	30.9	11.7	0.8	—	90.2	29.5	472.2
<hr/>									
(Loss) profit before income taxes	-125.8	155.1	30.9	11.7	0.8	37.6	90.2	29.5	230.0

2016	Reported	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	Adjusted
Income tax expense ^(h)	-77.0								-51.2
Net (loss) profit	-202.8								178.8

- (a) Represents an adjustment to exclude (i) acquisition-related amortisation expense ... (ii) accelerated depreciation ...related to the closure of certain manufacturing facilities, and (iii) impairment charges and assets write offs related to property, plant and equipment and intangible assets
- (b) Represents restructuring costs and other related costs ...
- (c) Represents remediation costs which include regulatory compliance costs related to FDA activities, IT enhancement costs, and professional service fees associated with activities that were undertaken in respect of the Group's compliance function and to strengthen its control environment within finance.
- (d) Represents costs primarily related to (i) corporate development activities and (ii) a settlement of ordinary course multi-year patent-related litigations in 2015
- (e) Represents adjustments to exclude (i) loss on extinguishment of debt and write off of deferred financing fees ... and (ii) foreign exchange related transactions.
- (f) Represents an adjustment to exclude (i) share-based compensation expense ... arising from pre-IPO employee equity grants and (ii) pre-IPO ownership structure related costs, including management fees to Nordic Capital and Avista (refer to Note 6 Related Party Transactions for further information).
- (g) Represents IPO related costs, primary advisory fees.
- (h) Adjusted income tax expense/benefit is income tax (expense) benefit net of tax adjustments.

Adjusted EBITDA [Excerpt]

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as Adjusted EBIT...further adjusted to exclude (i) software and R&D amortisation, (ii) depreciation and (iii) post-IPO share-based compensation.

The following table reconciles the Group's Adjusted EBIT to Adjusted EBITDA.

	2016 (\$m)
Adjusted EBIT	472.2
Software and R&D amortization	6.7
Depreciation	27.9
Post-IPO share-based compensation	0.8
Adjusted EBITDA	507.6

- 1 Based on the information provided, explain the differences between the following two disclosures contained in Convatec's press release:
 - A The CEO Review of 2016 results, at the beginning of the release, states that "revenue grew 4% to \$1,688 million."
 - B Convatec's Consolidated Statement of Profit or Loss shows 2016 revenues of \$1,688.3 million and 2015 revenues of \$1,650.4 million.
- 2 Based on the information provided, explain the differences between the following two disclosures contained in Convatec's earnings release:
 - A The CEO Review of 2016 results states that "adjusted EBITDA was \$508 million, up 6.5% at constant currency."
 - B Convatec's Consolidated Statement of Profit or Loss shows 2016 net loss of \$202.8 million and 2015 net loss of \$93.4 million.

Solution to 1:

The amount of revenue reported on the company's income statement conforms to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Using the amounts from the income statement, the company's total revenue increased by 2.3 % (= $\$1,688.3/\$1,650.4 - 1$). The revenue growth rate of 4% in the CEO review is a non-IFRS measure, calculated on a "constant currency" basis, which the footnote describes as a comparison using 2016 revenues restated at 2015 foreign exchange rates.

Solution to 2:

The amounts reported on the company's income statement conform to IFRS. Using amounts from the income statement, the company reported a loss in 2016 of \$202.8 million, which was more than twice as large a loss as the \$93.4 million loss reported in 2015. Also referring to the income statement, the company reported 2016 operating profit (referred to elsewhere as EBIT) of \$154.0 million, a decline of 33.2% from the \$230.4 million operating profit reported in 2016.

In contrast, the "Adjusted EBITDA" amount highlighted in the CEO Review is neither defined nor recognized under IFRS. It is a non-IFRS measure. To create the Adjusted EBITDA, the company first begins with EBIT (called Operating profit in excerpts II and III) of \$154.0 and creates Adjusted EBIT (\$472.2 million) by adding back 8 different expenses that IFRS requires the company to recognize. These adjustments are listed beneath the first tabular reconciliation in Items a through g. After developing Adjusted EBIT, the company creates Adjusted EBITDA (\$507.6 million) by adding back a further 3 different expenses that IFRS requires the company to recognize.

Overall, there are three key differences between Disclosures A and B: (1) Most importantly, disclosure A refers to a non-IFRS metric rather than an IFRS-compliant metric; (2) Disclosure A refers to operating profit, which was positive, rather than to net income, which was negative; and (3) Disclosure A highlights a positive economic outcome—i.e., an increase, on a currency adjusted basis. An analyst should be aware of the alternative means by which earnings announcements can paint a positive picture of companies' results.

Often, poor reporting quality occurs simultaneously with poor earnings quality; for example, aggressive accounting choices are made to obscure poor performance. It is also possible, of course, for poor reporting quality to occur with high-quality earnings. Although a company with good performance would not require aggressive accounting choices to obscure poor performance, it might nonetheless produce poor-quality reports for other reasons. A company with good performance might be unable to produce high-quality reports because of inadequate internal systems.

Another scenario in which poor reporting quality might occur simultaneously with high quality earnings is that a company with good performance might deliberately produce reports based on "conservative" rather than aggressive accounting choices—that is, choices that make current performance look worse. One motivation might be to avoid unwanted political attention. Another motivation could arise in a period in which management had already exceeded targets before the end of the period and thus made conservative accounting choices that would delay reporting profits until the following period (so-called "hidden reserves"). Similar motivations might also contribute to accounting choices that create the appearance that the trajectory of future results would appear more attractive. For example, a company might make choices to accelerate losses in the first year of an acquisition or the first year of a new CEO's tenure so that the trajectory of future results would appear more attractive.

Overall, *unbiased* financial reporting is the ideal. Some investors may prefer conservative choices rather than aggressive ones, however, because a positive surprise is easier to tolerate than a negative surprise. Biased reporting, whether conservative or aggressive, adversely affects a user's ability to assess a company.

The quality spectrum considers the more intuitive situation in which less-than-desired underlying economics are the central motivation for poor reporting quality. In addition, it is necessary to have some degree of reporting quality in order to evaluate earnings quality. Proceeding down the spectrum, therefore, the concepts of reporting quality and earnings quality become progressively less distinguishable.

3.1 Within GAAP, but “Earnings Management”

The next level down on the spectrum in Exhibit 2 is labeled “Within GAAP, but ‘earnings management.’” The term “earnings management” is defined here as making intentional choices that create biased financial reports.⁸ The distinction between earnings management and biased choices is subtle and, primarily, a matter of intent. Earnings management represents “deliberate actions to influence reported earnings and their interpretation” (Ronen and Yaari, 2008). Earnings can be “managed” upward (increased) by taking *real* actions, such as deferring research and development (R&D) expenses into the next reporting period. Alternatively, earnings can be increased by *accounting* choices, such as changing accounting estimates. For example, the amount of estimated product returns, bad debt expense, or asset impairment could be decreased to create higher earnings. Because it is difficult to determine intent, we include earnings management under the biased choices discussion.

DEPARTURES FROM GAAP

4

- b** describe a spectrum for assessing financial reporting quality;

The next levels down on the spectrum in Exhibit 2 mark departures from GAAP. Financial reporting that departs from GAAP can generally be considered low quality. In such situations, earnings quality is likely difficult or impossible to assess because comparisons with earlier periods and/or other entities cannot be made. An example of improper accounting was Enron (accounting issues revealed in 2001), whose inappropriate use of off-balance-sheet structures and other complex transactions resulted in vastly understated indebtedness as well as overstated profits and operating cash flow. Another notorious example of improper accounting was WorldCom (accounting issues discovered in 2002), a company that by improperly capitalizing certain expenditures dramatically understated its expenses and thus overstated its profits. More recently, New Century Financial (accounting issues revealed in 2007) issued billions of dollars of subprime mortgages and improperly reserved only minimal amounts for loan repurchase losses. Each of these companies subsequently filed for bankruptcy.

8 Various definitions have appeared in academic research. Closest to the discussion here is Schipper (1989), which uses the term “earnings management” to mean “disclosure management” in the sense of a purposeful intervention in the external financial reporting process, with the intent of obtaining some private gain (as opposed to, say, merely facilitating the neutral operation of the process).

In the 1980s, Polly Peck International (PPI) reported currency losses, incurred in the normal course of operations, directly through equity rather than in its profit and loss statements. In the 1990s, Sunbeam improperly reported revenues from “bill-and-hold” sales and also manipulated the timing of expenses in an effort to falsely portray outstanding performance of its then-new chief executive.

At the bottom of the quality spectrum, fabricated reports portray fictitious events, either to fraudulently obtain investments by misrepresenting the company's performance and/or to obscure fraudulent misappropriation of the company's assets. Examples of fraudulent reporting are unfortunately easy to find, although they were not necessarily easy to identify at the time. In the 1970s, Equity Funding Corp. created fictitious revenues and even fictitious policyholders. In the 1980s, Crazy Eddie's reported fictitious inventory as well as fictitious revenues supported by fake invoices. In 2004, Parmalat reported fictitious bank balances.

EXAMPLE 3

Spectrum for Assessing Quality of Financial Reports

Jake Lake, a financial analyst, has identified several items in the financial reports of several (hypothetical) companies. Describe each of these items in the context of the financial reporting quality spectrum.

- 1 ABC Co.'s 2018 earnings totaled \$233 million, including a \$100 million gain from selling one of its less profitable divisions. ABC's earnings for the prior three years totaled \$120 million, \$107 million, and \$111 million. The company's financial reports are extremely clear and detailed, and the company's earnings announcement highlights the one-time nature of the \$100 million gain.
- 2 DEF Co. discloses that in 2018, it changed the depreciable life of its equipment from 3 years to 15 years. Equipment represents a substantial component of the company's assets. The company's disclosures indicate that the change is permissible under the accounting standards of its jurisdiction but provide only limited explanation of the change.
- 3 GHI Co.'s R&D expenditures for the past five years have been approximately 3% of sales. In 2018, the company significantly reduced its R&D expenditures. Without the reduction in R&D expenditures, the company would have reported a loss. No explanation is disclosed.

Solution to 1:

ABC's 2018 total earnings quality can be viewed as low because nearly half of the earnings are derived from a non-sustainable activity, namely the sale of a division. ABC's 2018 quality of earnings from continuing operations may be high because the amounts are fairly consistent from year to year, although an analyst would undertake further analysis to confirm earnings quality. In general, a user of financial reports should look beyond the bottom-line net income. The description provided suggests that the company's reporting quality is high; the reports are clear and detailed, and the one-time nature of the \$100 million gain is highlighted.

Solution to 2:

DEF's accounting choice appears to be within permissible accounting standards, but its effect is to substantially lower depreciation expense and thus to increase earnings for the year. The quality of reported earnings is questionable. Although the new level of earnings may be sustainable, similar increases in earnings for

future periods might not be achievable, because increasing earnings solely by changing accounting estimates is likely not sustainable. In addition, the description provided suggests that the company's reporting quality is low because it offers only a limited explanation for the change.

Solution to 3:

GHI's operational choice to reduce its R&D may reflect real earnings management because the change enabled the company to avoid reporting a loss. In addition, the description provided suggests that the company's reporting quality is low because it does not offer an explanation for the change.

DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN CONSERVATIVE AND AGGRESSIVE ACCOUNTING

5

- explain the difference between conservative and aggressive accounting;

This section returns to the implications of conservative and aggressive accounting choices. As mentioned earlier, *unbiased* financial reporting is the ideal. But some investors may prefer or be perceived to prefer conservative rather than aggressive accounting choices because a positive surprise is acceptable. In contrast, management may make, or be perceived to make, aggressive accounting choices because they increase the company's reported performance and financial position.

Aggressive accounting choices in the period under review may decrease the company's reported performance and financial position in later periods, which creates a sustainability issue. Conservative choices do not typically create a sustainability issue because they decrease the company's reported performance and financial position, and may increase them in later periods. In terms of establishing expectations for the future, however, financial reporting that is relevant and faithfully representative is the most useful.

A common presumption is that financial reports are typically biased upward, but that is not always the case. Although accounting standards ideally promote unbiased financial reporting, some accounting standards may specifically require a conservative treatment of a transaction or an event. Also, managers may choose to take a conservative approach when applying standards. It is important that an analyst consider the possibility of conservative choices and their effects.

At its most extreme, conservatism follows accounting practices that "anticipate no profit, but anticipate all losses" (Bliss, 1924). But in general, conservatism means that revenues may be recognized once a verifiable and legally enforceable receivable has been generated and that losses need not be recognized until it becomes "probable" that an actual loss will be incurred. Conservatism is not an absolute but is characterized by degrees, such as "the accountant's tendency to require a higher degree of verification to recognize good news as gains than to recognize bad news as losses" (Basu, 1997). From this perspective, "verification" (e.g., physical existence of inventories, evidence of costs incurred or to be incurred, or establishment of rights and obligations on legal grounds) drives the degree of conservatism. For recognition of revenues, a higher degree of verification would be required than for expenses.

5.1 Conservatism in Accounting Standards

The *Conceptual Framework* supports neutrality of information: “A neutral depiction is without bias in the selection or presentation of financial information.”⁹ Neutrality—lack of upward or downward bias—is considered a desirable characteristic of financial reporting. Conservatism directly conflicts with the characteristic of neutrality because the asymmetric nature of conservatism leads to bias in measuring assets and liabilities—and ultimately, earnings.

Despite efforts to support neutrality in financial reporting, many conservatively biased standards remain. Standards across jurisdictions may differ on the extent of conservatism embedded within them. An analyst should be aware of the implications of accounting standards for the financial reports.

An example is the different treatment by IFRS and US GAAP of the impairment of long-lived assets.¹⁰ Both IFRS and US GAAP specify an impairment analysis protocol that begins with an assessment of whether recent events indicate that the economic benefit from an individual or group of long-lived assets may be less than its carrying amount(s). From that point on, however, the two regimes diverge:

- Under IFRS, if the “recoverable amount” (the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use) is less than the carrying amount, then an impairment charge will be recorded.
- Under US GAAP, an impairment charge will be recorded only when the sum of the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be derived from the asset(s) is less than the carrying amount(s). If the undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount, the asset is written down to fair value.

To illustrate the difference in application, assume that a factory is the unit of account eligible for impairment testing. Its carrying amount is \$10,000,000; “fair value” and “recoverable amount” are both \$6,000,000; and the undiscounted future net cash flows associated with the factory total \$10,000,000. Under IFRS, an impairment charge of \$4,000,000 would be recorded; but under US GAAP, no impairment charge would be recognized.

Thus, on its face, IFRS would be regarded as more conservative than US GAAP because impairment losses would normally be recognized earlier under IFRS than under US GAAP. But, taking the analysis one step further, such a broad generalization may not hold up. For example, if an asset is impaired under both IFRS and US GAAP and the asset’s value in use exceeds its fair value, the impairment loss under US GAAP will be greater. Also, IFRS permits the recognition of recoveries of the recoverable amount in subsequent periods if evidence indicates that the recoverable amount has subsequently increased. In contrast, US GAAP prohibits the subsequent write-up of an asset after an impairment charge has been taken; it would recognize the asset’s increased value only when the asset is ultimately sold.

Common examples of conservatism in accounting standards include the following:

- *Research costs.* Because the future benefit of research costs is uncertain at the time the costs are incurred, both US GAAP and IFRS require immediate expensing instead of capitalization.

⁹ IASB and FASB, *The Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010): QC 14.

¹⁰ See IAS 36 and FASB ASC Section 360-10-35.

- *Litigation losses.* When it becomes “probable” that a cost will be incurred, both US GAAP and IFRS require expense recognition, even though a legal liability may not be incurred until a future date.
- *Insurance recoverables.* Generally, a company that receives payment on an insurance claim may not recognize a receivable until the insurance company acknowledges the validity of the claimed amount.

Watts (2003) reviews empirical studies of conservatism, and identifies four potential benefits of conservatism:

- Given asymmetrical information, conservatism may protect the contracting parties with less information and greater risk. This protection is necessary because the contracting party may be at a disadvantage. For example, corporations that access debt markets have limited liability, and lenders thus have limited recourse to recover their losses from shareholders. As another example, executives who receive earnings-based bonuses might not be subject to having those bonuses “clawed back” if earnings are subsequently discovered to be overstated.
- Conservatism reduces the possibility of litigation and, by extension, litigation costs. Rarely, if ever, is a company sued because it understated good news or overstated bad news.
- Conservative rules may protect the interests of regulators and politicians by reducing the possibility that fault will be found with them if companies overstate earnings or assets.
- In many tax jurisdictions, financial and tax reporting rules are linked. For example, in Germany and Japan, only deductions taken against reported income can be deducted against taxable income. Hence, companies can reduce the present value of their tax payments by electing conservative accounting policies for certain types of events.

Analysts should consider possible conservative and aggressive biases and their consequences when examining financial reports. Current-period financial reports may be unbiased, upward biased through aggressive accounting choices, downward biased through conservative accounting choices, or biased through a combination of conservative and aggressive accounting choices.

5.2 Bias in the Application of Accounting Standards

Any application of accounting standards, whether the standard itself is neutral or not, often requires significant amounts of judgment. Characterizing the application of an accounting standard as conservative or aggressive is more a matter of intent rather than definition.

Careful analysis of disclosures, facts, and circumstances contributes to making an accurate inference of intent. Management seeking to manipulate earnings may take a longer view by sacrificing short-term profitability in order to ensure higher profits in later periods. One example of biased accounting in the guise of conservatism is the so-called “big bath” restructuring charges. Both US GAAP and IFRS provide for accrual of future costs associated with restructurings, and these costs are often associated with and presented along with asset impairments. But in some instances, companies use the accounting provisions to estimate “big” losses in the period under review so that performance in future periods will appear better. Having observed numerous instances of manipulative practices in the late 1990s, in which US companies set up opportunities to report higher profits in future periods that were not connected with performance

in those periods, the SEC staff issued rules that narrowed the circumstances under which costs can be categorized as part of a “non-recurring” restructuring event and enhanced the transparency surrounding restructuring charges and asset impairments.¹¹

A similar manifestation of “big bath” accounting is often referred to as “cookie jar reserve accounting.” Both US GAAP and IFRS require accruals of estimates of future non-payments of loans. In his 1998 speech “The ‘Numbers Game,’” SEC chair Arthur Levitt expressed the general concern that corporations were overstating loans and other forms of loss allowances for the purpose of smoothing income over time.¹² In 2003, the SEC issued interpretive guidance that essentially requires a company to provide a separate section in management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A) titled “Critical Accounting Estimates.”¹³ If the effects of subjective estimates and judgments of highly uncertain matters are material to stakeholders (investors, customers, suppliers, and other users of the financial statements), disclosures of their nature and exposure to uncertainty should be made in the MD&A. This requirement is in addition to required disclosures in the notes to the financial statements.

6

CONTEXT FOR ASSESSING FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY: MOTIVATIONS AND CONDITIONS CONDUCIVE TO ISSUING LOW QUALITY FINANCIAL REPORTS

- d describe motivations that might cause management to issue financial reports that are not high quality;
- e describe conditions that are conducive to issuing low-quality, or even fraudulent, financial reports;

In assessing financial reporting quality, it is useful to consider whether a company’s managers may be motivated to issue financial reports that are not high quality. If motivation exists, an analyst should consider whether the reporting environment is conducive to managers’ misreporting. It is important to consider mechanisms within the reporting environment that discipline financial reporting quality, such as the regulatory regime.

¹¹ SEC, “Restructuring and Impairment Charges,” Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 100 (1999): www.sec.gov/interp/account/sab100.htm.

¹² Arthur Levitt, “The ‘Numbers Game,’” Remarks given at NYU Center for Law and Business (28 September 1998): www.sec.gov/news/speech/speecharchive/1998/spch220.txt.

¹³ SEC, “Commission Guidance Regarding Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” Financial Reporting Release (FRR) No. 72 (2003): www.sec.gov/rules/interp/33-8350.htm.

6.1 Motivations

Managers may be motivated to issue financial reports that are not high quality to mask poor performance, such as loss of market share or lower profitability than competitors. Lewis (2012) stated, “A firm experiencing performance problems, particularly those it considers transient, may induce a response that inflates current earnings numbers in exchange for lower future earnings.”

- Even when there is no need to mask poor performance, managers frequently have incentives to meet or beat market expectations as reflected in analysts’ forecasts and/or management’s own forecasts. Exceeding forecasts typically increases the stock price, if only temporarily. Additionally, exceeding forecasts can increase management compensation that is linked to increases in stock price or to reported earnings. Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal (2005) found that the CFOs they surveyed view earnings as the most important financial metric to financial markets. Achieving (or exceeding) particular benchmarks, including prior-year earnings and analysts’ forecasts, is very important. The authors examined a variety of motivations for why managers might “exercise accounting discretion to achieve some desirable earnings goal.” Motivations to meet earnings benchmarks include equity market effects (for example, building credibility with market participants and positively affecting stock price) and trade effects (for example, enhancing reputation with customers and suppliers). Equity market effects are the most powerful incentives, but trade effects are important, particularly for smaller companies.
- Career concerns and incentive compensation may motivate accounting choices. For example, managers might be concerned that working for a company that performs poorly will limit their future career opportunities or that they will not receive a bonus based on exceeding a particular earnings target. In both cases, management might be motivated to make accounting choices to increase earnings. In a period of marginally poor performance, a manager might accelerate or inflate revenues and/or delay or under report expenses. Conversely, in a period of strong performance, a manager might delay revenue recognition or accelerate expense recognition to increase the probability of exceeding the next period’s targets (i.e., to “bank” some earnings for the next period.) The surveyed managers indicated a greater concern with career implications of reported results than with incentive compensation implications.

Avoiding debt covenant violations can motivate managers to inflate earnings. Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal’s survey indicates that avoidance of bond covenant violation is important to highly leveraged and unprofitable companies but relatively unimportant overall.

6.2 Conditions Conducive to Issuing Low-Quality Financial Reports

As discussed, deviations from a neutral presentation of financial results could be driven by management choices or by a jurisdiction’s financial reporting standards. Ultimately, a decision to issue low-quality, or even fraudulent, financial reports is made by an individual or individuals. Why individuals make such choices is not always immediately apparent. For example, why would the newly appointed CEO of Sunbeam, who already had a net worth of more than \$100 million, commit accounting fraud by improperly reporting revenues from “bill-and-hold” sales and manipulating the timing of expenses, rather than admit to lower-than-expected financial results?

Typically, three conditions exist when low-quality financial reports are issued: opportunity, motivation, and rationalization. Opportunity can be the result of internal conditions, such as poor internal controls or an ineffective board of directors, or external conditions, such as accounting standards that provide scope for divergent choices or minimal consequences for an inappropriate choice. Motivation can result from pressure to meet some criteria for personal reasons, such as a bonus, or corporate reasons, such as concern about financing in the future. Rationalization is important because if an individual is concerned about a choice, he or she needs to be able to justify it to him- or herself.

Former Enron CFO Andrew Fastow, speaking at the 2013 Association of Certified Fraud Examiners Annual Fraud Conference, indicated that he knew at the time he was doing something wrong but followed procedure to justify his decision (Pavlo, 2013). He made sure to get management and board approval, as well as legal and accounting opinions, and to include appropriate disclosures. The incentive and corporate culture was to create earnings rather than focus on long-term value. Clearly, as reflected in his prison sentence, he did something that was not only wrong but illegal.

7

MECHANISMS THAT DISCIPLINE FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY

- f describe mechanisms that discipline financial reporting quality and the potential limitations of those mechanisms;

Markets potentially discipline financial reporting quality. Companies and nations compete for capital, and the cost of capital is a function of perceived risk—including the risk that a company's financial statements will skew investors' expectations. Thus, in the absence of other conflicting economic incentives, a company seeking to minimize its long-term cost of capital should aim to provide high-quality financial reports. In addition to markets, other mechanisms that discipline financial reporting quality include market regulatory authorities, auditors, and private contracts.

7.1 Market Regulatory Authorities

Companies seeking to minimize the cost of capital should maximize reporting quality, but as discussed earlier, conflicting incentives often exist. For this reason, national regulations, and the regulators that establish and enforce rules, can play a significant role in financial reporting quality. Many of the world's securities regulators are members of the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO). IOSCO is recognized as the "global standard setter for the securities sector" although it does not actually set standards but rather establishes objectives and principles to guide securities and capital market regulation. IOSCO's membership includes more than 120 securities regulators and 80 other securities market participants, such as stock exchanges.¹⁴

One member of IOSCO is ESMA, an independent EU authority with a mission to "enhance the protection of investors and reinforce stable and well-functioning financial markets in the European Union."¹⁵ ESMA organizes financial reporting enforcement activities through a forum consisting of European enforcers from European Economic Area countries. Direct supervision and enforcement activities are performed at the

¹⁴ Visit www.iosco.org for more information.

¹⁵ Text from ESMA's mission statement on their website: www.esma.europa.eu.

national level. For example, the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) is the IOSCO member with primary responsibility for securities regulation in the United Kingdom. ESMA reported that European enforcers examined the interim and/or annual financial statements of 1,141 issuers in 2017, which in turn led to enforcement actions for 328 issuers with the following outcomes: 12 required reissuances of financial statements, 71 public corrective notes, and 245 required corrections in future financial statements.¹⁶

Another member of IOSCO is the US regulatory authority, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The SEC is responsible for overseeing approximately 9,100 US public companies (along with investment advisers, broker/dealers, securities exchanges, and other entities) and reviews the disclosures of these companies at least once every three years with the aim of improving information available to investors and potentially uncovering possible violations of securities laws.¹⁷ In 2017, the SEC reported that it had filed 754 total and 446 standalone enforcement actions, about 20% of which concerned issuer reporting/accounting and auditing.¹⁸

Examples of regulatory bodies in Asia include the Financial Services Agency in Japan, the China Securities Regulatory Commission, and the Securities and Exchange Board of India. Examples of regulatory bodies in South America include the Comisión Nacional de Valores in Argentina, Comissão de Valores Mobiliários in Brazil, and Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros in Chile. A full list of IOSCO members can be found on the organization's website.

Typical features of a regulatory regime that most directly affect financial reporting quality include the following:

- *Registration requirements.* Market regulators typically require publicly traded companies to register securities before offering the securities for sale to the public. A registration document typically contains current financial statements, other relevant information about the risks and prospects of the company issuing the securities, and information about the securities being offered.
- *Disclosure requirements.* Market regulators typically require publicly traded companies to make public periodic reports, including financial reports and management comments. Standard-setting bodies, such as the IASB and FASB, are typically private sector, self-regulated organizations with board members who are experienced accountants, auditors, users of financial statements, and academics. Regulatory authorities, such as the Accounting and Corporate Regulatory Authority in Singapore, the Securities and Exchange Commission in the United States, the Securities and Exchange Commission in Brazil, and the Financial Reporting Council in the United Kingdom, have the legal authority to enforce financial reporting requirements and exert other controls over entities that participate in the capital markets within their jurisdiction. In other words, *generally*, standard-setting bodies set the standards, and regulatory authorities recognize and enforce those standards. Without the recognition of standards by regulatory authorities, the private-sector standard-setting bodies would have no authority. Regulators often retain the legal authority to establish financial reporting standards in their jurisdiction and can overrule the private-sector standard-setting bodies.

¹⁶ ESMA, "Enforcement and Regulatory Activities of Accounting Enforcers in 2017," ESMA32-63-424, European Securities and Markets Authority (03 April 2018): www.esma.europa.eu.

¹⁷ SEC, "FY2013 Congressional Justification," Securities and Exchange Commission (February 2012): www.sec.gov/about/secfy13congbudjust.pdf.

¹⁸ SEC, Securities and Exchange Commission Division of Enforcement Annual Report, "A Look Back at Fiscal Year 2017" www.sec.gov/report.

- *Auditing requirements.* Market regulators typically require companies' financial statements to be accompanied by an audit opinion attesting that the financial statements conform to the relevant set of accounting standards. Some regulators, such as the SEC in the United States, require an additional audit opinion attesting to the effectiveness of the company's internal controls over financial reporting.
- *Management commentaries.* Regulations typically require publicly traded companies' financial reports to include statements by management. For example, the FCA in the United Kingdom requires a management report containing "(1) a fair review of the issuer's business; and (2) a description of the principal risks and uncertainties facing the issuer" (Disclosure Guidance and Transparency Rules sourcebook.)
- *Responsibility statements.* Regulations typically require a statement from the person or persons responsible for the company's filings. Such statements require the responsible individuals to explicitly acknowledge responsibility and to attest to the correctness of the financial reports. Some regulators, such as the SEC in the United States, require formal certifications that carry specific legal penalties for false certifications.
- *Regulatory review of filings.* Regulators typically undertake a review process to ensure that the rules have been followed. The review process typically covers all initial registrations and a sample of subsequent periodic financial reports.
- *Enforcement mechanisms.* Regulators are granted various powers to enforce the securities market rules. Such powers can include assessing fines, suspending or permanently barring market participants, and bringing criminal prosecutions. Public announcements of disciplinary actions are also a type of enforcement mechanism.

In summary, market regulatory authorities play a central role in encouraging high-quality financial reporting.

7.2 Auditors

As noted, regulatory authorities typically require that publicly traded companies' financial statements be audited by an independent auditor. Private companies also obtain audit opinions for their financial statements, either voluntarily or because audit reports are required by an outside party, such as providers of debt or equity capital.

Audit opinions provide financial statement users with some assurance that the information complies with the relevant set of accounting standards and presents the company's information fairly. Exhibits 8, 9, 10, and 11 provide excerpts from the independent auditors' reports for GlaxoSmithKline plc, Alibaba Group Holding Limited, Apple Inc., and Tata Motors Limited, respectively. For each company, the auditor issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statements, indicating that the financial statements present fairly the company's performance in accordance with relevant standards. (Note: The term "unqualified opinion" means that the opinion did not include any qualifications or exceptions; the term is synonymous with the less formal term "clean opinion." Unqualified opinions are the most common.) Other items in the audit reports reflect the specific requirements of the company's regulatory regime. For example, the audit report for GlaxoSmithKline spans nine pages and includes opinions on the company's financial statements as well as the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report. This audit report also includes disclosures about "Key audit matters," in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) in 2015 and effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2016.

The excerpts for Alibaba, Apple, and Tata Motors show the auditors' opinions on the companies' financial statements and additionally the SEC-required opinions on the effectiveness of the companies' internal controls because these companies are listed in the United States. For Alibaba, a single report includes both unqualified opinions: (i) the financial statements present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows... in conformity with US GAAP; and (ii) the company maintained effective control over financial reporting. For Apple, the first report includes the unqualified opinion on the financial statements, and the second report includes the unqualified opinion on the company's effective internal controls. For Tata Motors, the first report includes the unqualified opinion that the financial statements present the company's position and results fairly in accordance with IFRS. (The SEC permits non-US companies to report using US GAAP, IFRS as issued by the IASB, or home-country GAAP.) However, the second report includes an *adverse* opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal controls: "In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness ... the company has not maintained effective internal control." The report explains that the material weakness involved a third party's inappropriate access to the company's systems. The report further states that although the material weakness resulted in ineffective internal controls, it did not affect the audit opinion on the financial statements. Elsewhere in Tata Motors' annual report (not shown in the excerpt), the company discloses that the weakness did not result in a financial misstatement and that it has undertaken remedial measures.

**Exhibit 8 Excerpts from Audit Opinion of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
from the 2017 Annual Report (pages 149–157) of GlaxoSmithKline
plc**

In our opinion, GlaxoSmithKline plc's Group financial statements (the "financial statements"):

- give a true and fair view of the state of the Group's affairs as at 31 December 2017 and of its profit and cash flows for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs") as adopted by the European Union; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 and Article 4 of the IAS Regulation.

...

In our opinion, the Group financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as issued by the IASB.

...

Key audit matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in the auditors' professional judgement, were of most significance in the audit of the financial statements of the current period and include the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement (whether or not due to fraud) identified by the auditors, including those which had the greatest effect on: the overall audit strategy; the allocation of resources in the audit; and directing the efforts of the engagement team. These matters, and any comments we make on the results of our procedures thereon, were addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters. This is not a complete list of all risks identified by our audit.

...

(continued)

Exhibit 8 (Continued)

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit, the information given in the Strategic Report and Directors' Report for the year ended 31 December 2017 is consistent with the financial statements and has been prepared in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

Exhibit 9 Excerpts from Audit Opinion of PricewaterhouseCoopers Hong Kong, SAR from the Annual Report (SEC Form 20-F, Pages F-2 and F-3) of Alibaba Group Holding Limited for the year ended March 31, 2018

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2017 and 2018, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2018 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the COSO.

Exhibit 10 Excerpt from Audit Opinion of Ernst & Young from the Annual Report (SEC Form 10-K, pages 70 and 71) of Apple Inc. for the year ended September 30, 2017

[From the Financial Statement Opinion]

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Apple Inc. as of September 30, 2017 and September 24, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2017.

....

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Apple Inc. at September 30, 2017 and September 24, 2016, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

...

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Apple Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated November 3, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

[From the Internal Controls Opinion]

We have audited Apple Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) ("the COSO criteria").

Exhibit 10 (Continued)

...

In our opinion, Apple Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2017, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2017 consolidated financial statements of Apple Inc. and our report dated November 3, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Exhibit 11 Excerpt from Audit Opinion of KPMG Mumbai, India from the Annual Report (SEC Form 20-F, pages F2 to F4) of Tata Motors Limited for the year ended March 31, 2018
Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Tata Motors Limited and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 31, 2018, the related consolidated income statement, statement of comprehensive income, statement of cash flows, and statement of changes in equity for the year ended March 31, 2018, and the related notes and financial statement schedule 1 (collectively, the consolidated financial statements).

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2018, in conformity with the International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IFRS").

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2018, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated July, 31, 2018 expressed an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

...

Opinion on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We have audited Tata Motors Limited's and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2018, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described below, on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2018, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

...

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness related to inappropriate system access restrictions at a third party logistics provider

(continued)

Exhibit 11 (Continued)

has been identified and included in management's assessment. The material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2018 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report on those consolidated financial statements.

Although audit opinions provide discipline for financial reporting quality, inherent limitations exist. First, an audit opinion is based on a review of information prepared by the company. If a company deliberately intends to deceive its auditor, a review of information might not uncover misstatements. Second, an audit is based on sampling, and the sample might not reveal misstatements. Third, an "expectations gap" may exist between the auditor's role and the public's expectation of auditors. An audit is not typically intended to detect fraud; it is intended to provide assurance that the financial reports are fairly presented. Finally, the company being audited pays the audit fees, often established through a competitive process. This situation could provide an auditor with an incentive to show leniency to the company being audited, particularly if the auditor's firm provides additional services to the company.

7.3 Private Contracting

Aspects of private contracts, such as loan agreements or investment contracts, can serve as mechanisms to discipline financial reporting quality. Many parties that have a contractual arrangement with a company have an incentive to monitor that company's performance and to ensure that the company's financial reports are high quality. For example, loan agreements often contain loan covenants, which create specifically tailored financial reporting requirements that are legally binding for the issuer. As noted earlier, avoidance of debt covenant violation is a potential motivation for managers to inflate earnings. As another example, an investment contract could contain provisions giving investors the option to recover all or part of their investment if certain financial triggers occur. Such provisions could motivate the investee's managers to manipulate reported results to avoid the financial triggers.

Because the financial reports prepared by the investees or borrowers directly affect the contractual outcomes—potentially creating a motivation for misreporting—investors and lenders are motivated to monitor financial reports and to ensure that they are high quality.

EXAMPLE 4

Financial Reporting Manipulation: Motivations and Disciplining Mechanisms

For each of the following two scenarios, identify (1) factors that might motivate the company's managers to manipulate reported financial amounts and (2) applicable mechanisms that could discipline financial reporting quality.

- 1 ABC Co. is a private company. Bank NTBig has made a loan to ABC Co. ABC is required to maintain a minimum 2.0 interest coverage ratio. In its most recent financial reports, ABC reported earnings before interest and taxes of \$1,200 and interest expense of \$600. In the report's notes, the

company discloses that it changed the estimated useful life of its property, plant, and equipment during the year. Depreciation was approximately \$150 lower as a result of this change in estimate.

- 2** DEF Co. is a publicly traded company. For the most recent quarter, the average of analysts' forecasts for earnings per share was \$2.50. In its quarterly earnings announcement, DEF reported net income of \$3,458,780. The number of common shares outstanding was 1,378,000. DEF's main product is a hardware device that includes a free two-year service contract in the selling price. Based on management estimates, the company allocates a portion of revenues to the hardware device, which it recognizes immediately, and a portion to the service contract, which it defers and recognizes over the two years of the contract. Based on the disclosures, a higher percentage of revenue was allocated to hardware than in the past, with an estimated after-tax impact on net income of \$27,000.

Solution to 1:

The need to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.0 might motivate ABC's managers to manipulate reported financial amounts. The company's coverage ratio based on the reported amounts is exactly equal to 2.0. If ABC's managers had not changed the estimated useful life of the property, plant, and equipment, the coverage ratio would have fallen below the required level.

EBIT, as reported	\$1,200
Impact on depreciation expense of changed assumptions about useful life	150
EBIT, as adjusted	\$1,050
Interest expense	\$600
Coverage ratio, as reported	2.00
Coverage ratio, as adjusted	1.75

The potential disciplining mechanisms include the auditors, who will assess the reasonableness of the depreciable lives estimates. In addition, the lenders will carefully scrutinize the change in estimate because the company only barely achieved the minimum coverage ratio and would not have achieved the minimum without the change in accounting estimate.

Solution to 2:

The desire to meet or exceed the average of analysts' forecasts for earnings per share might motivate DEF Co.'s managers to manipulate reported financial amounts. As illustrated in the following calculations, the impact of allocating a greater portion of revenue to hardware enabled the company to exceed analysts' earnings per share forecasts by \$0.01.

Net income, as reported	\$3,458,780
Impact on gross profit of changed revenue recognition, net of tax	27,000
Net income, as adjusted	\$3,431,780
Weighted average number of shares	1,378,000

(continued)

Earnings per share, as reported	\$2.51
Earnings per share, as adjusted	\$2.49
The potential disciplining mechanisms include the auditors, market regulators, financial analysts, and financial journalists.	

8**DETECTION OF FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY ISSUES: INTRODUCTION & PRESENTATION CHOICES**

- g describe presentation choices, including non-GAAP measures, that could be used to influence an analyst's opinion;
- h describe accounting methods (choices and estimates) that could be used to manage earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items;

Choices in the application of accounting standards abound, which is perhaps one reason why accounting literature and texts are so voluminous. Compounding the complexity, measurement often depends on estimates of economic phenomena. Two estimates might be justifiable, but they may have significantly different effects on the company's financial statements. As discussed earlier, the choice of a particular estimate may depend on the motivations of the reporting company's managers. With many choices available, and the inherent flexibility of estimates in the accounting process, managers have many tools for managing and meeting analysts' expectations through financial reporting.

An understanding of the choices that companies make in financial reporting is fundamental to evaluating the overall quality—both financial reporting and earnings quality—of the reports produced. Choices exist both in how information is presented (financial reporting quality) and in how financial results are calculated (earnings quality). Choices in presentation (financial reporting quality) may be fairly transparent to investors. Choices in the calculation of financial results (earnings quality), however, are more difficult to discern because they can be deeply embedded in the construction of reported financial results.

The availability of accounting choices enables managers to affect the reporting of financial results. Some choices increase performance and financial position in the reporting period (aggressive choices), and others increase them in later periods (conservative choices). A manager that wants to increase performance and financial position in the reporting period could:

- Recognize revenue prematurely;
- Use non-recurring transactions to increase profits;
- Defer expenses to later periods;
- Measure and report assets at higher values; and/or
- Measure and report liabilities at lower values.

A manager that wants to increase performance and financial position in a later period could:

- Defer current income to a later period (save income for a "rainy day"); and/or
- Recognize future expenses in a current period, setting the table for improving future performance.

The following sections describe some of the potential choices for how information is presented and how accounting elements [assets, liabilities, owners' equity, revenue and gains (income), and expenses and losses] are recognized, measured, and reported. In addition to choices within GAAP, companies may prepare fraudulent reports. For example, these reports may include non-existent revenue or assets. These sections conclude with some of the warning signs that can indicate poor-quality financial reports.

8.1 Presentation Choices

The technology boom of the 1990s and the internet bubble of the early 2000s featured companies, popular with investors, that often shared the same characteristic: They could not generate enough current earnings to justify their stock prices using the traditional price-to-earnings ratio (P/E) approaches to valuation. Many investors chose to explain these apparent anomalies by rationalizing that the old focus on profits and traditional valuation approaches no longer applied to such companies. Strange new metrics for determining operating performance emerged. Website operators spoke of the “eyeballs” they had captured in a quarter, or the “stickiness” of their websites for web surfers’ visits. Various versions of “pro forma earnings”—that is, “non-GAAP earnings measures”—became a financial reporting staple of the era.

Many technology companies were accomplished practitioners of pro forma reporting, but they were not the first to use it. In the early 1990s, downsizing of large companies was a commonplace event, and massive restructuring charges obscured the operating performance at many established companies. For example, as it learned to cope in a world that embraced the personal computer rather than mainframe computing, International Business Machines (IBM) reported massive restructuring charges in 1991, 1992, and 1993: \$3.7 billion, \$11.6 billion, and \$8.9 billion, respectively. IBM was not alone. Sears incurred \$2.7 billion of restructuring charges in 1993, and AT&T reported restructuring charges of \$7.7 billion in 1995. These events were not isolated; restructuring charges were a standard quarterly reporting event. To counter perceptions that their operations were floundering, and supposedly to assist investors in evaluating operating performance, companies often sanitized earnings releases by excluding restructuring charges in pro forma measures of financial performance.

Accounting principles for reporting business combinations also played a role in boosting the popularity of pro forma earnings. Before 2001, acquisitions of one company by another often resulted in goodwill amortization charges that made subsequent earnings reports look weak. Complicating matters, there were two accounting methods for recording acquisitions: pooling-of-interests and purchase methods. The now-extinct pooling-of-interests treatment was difficult for companies to achieve because of the many restrictive criteria for its use, but it was greatly desired because it did not result in goodwill amortization charges. In the technology boom period, acquisitions were common and many were reported as purchases, with consequential goodwill amortization dragging down earnings for as long as 40 years under the then-existing rules. Acquisitive companies reporting under purchase accounting standards perceived themselves to be at a reporting disadvantage compared with companies able to apply pooling-of-interests. They responded by presenting earnings adjusted for the exclusion of amortization of intangible assets and goodwill.

Because investors try to make intercompany comparisons on a consistent basis, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization has become an extremely popular performance measure. EBITDA is widely viewed as eliminating noisy reporting signals. That noise may be introduced by different accounting methods among companies for depreciation, amortization of intangible assets, and restructuring charges.

Companies may construct and report their own version of EBITDA, sometimes referring to it as “adjusted EBITDA,” by adding to the list of items to exclude from net income. Items that analysts might encounter include the following:

- Rental payments for operating leases, resulting in EBITDAR (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and rentals);
- Equity-based compensation, usually justified on the grounds that it is a non-cash expense;
- Acquisition-related charges;
- Impairment charges for goodwill or other intangible assets;
- Impairment charges for long-lived assets;
- Litigation costs; and
- Loss/gain on debt extinguishments.

Among other incentives for the spread of non-GAAP earnings measures are loan covenants. Lenders may make demands on a borrowing company that require achieving and maintaining performance criteria that use GAAP net income as a starting point but arrive at a measure suitable to the lender. The company may use this measure as its preferred non-GAAP metric in earnings releases, and also when describing its liquidity or solvency situation in the management commentary (called management discussion and analysis in the United States).

As mentioned earlier, if a company uses a non-GAAP financial measure in an SEC filing, it must display the most directly comparable GAAP measure with equal prominence and provide a reconciliation between the two. Management must explain why it believes that the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information regarding the company’s financial condition and operations. Management must also disclose additional purposes, if material, for which it uses the non-GAAP financial measures.

Similarly, IFRS requires a definition and explanation of any non-IFRS measures included in financial reports, including why the measure is potentially relevant to users. Management must provide reconciliations of non-IFRS measures with IFRS measures. There is a concern that management may use non-GAAP measures to distract attention from GAAP measures.

The SEC intended that the definition of non-GAAP financial measures would capture all measures with the effect of depicting either:

- a measure of performance that differs from that presented in the financial statements, such as income or loss before taxes or net income or loss, as calculated in accordance with GAAP; or
- a measure of liquidity that differs from cash flow or cash flow from operations computed in accordance with GAAP.¹⁹

The SEC prohibits the exclusion of charges or liabilities requiring cash settlement from any non-GAAP liquidity measures, other than EBIT and EBITDA. Also prohibited is the calculation of a non-GAAP performance measure intended to eliminate or smooth items tagged as non-recurring, infrequent, or unusual when such items are very likely to occur again. The SEC views the period within two years of either before or after the reporting date as the relevant time frame for considering whether a charge or gain is a recurring item. Example 5 describes a case of misuse and misreporting of non-GAAP measures.

¹⁹ SEC, “Final Rule: Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures,” Securities and Exchange Commission (www.sec.gov/rules/final/33-8176.htm).

EXAMPLE 5**Misuse and Misreporting of Non-GAAP Measures**

Groupon is an online discount merchant. In the company's initial S-1 registration statement in 2011, then-CEO Andrew Mason gave prospective investors an up-front warning in a section entitled "We don't measure ourselves in conventional ways," which described Groupon's adjusted consolidated segment operating income (adjusted CSOI) measure. Exhibit 12 provides excerpts from a section entitled "Non-GAAP Financial Measures," which offered a more detailed explanation. Exhibit 13, also from the initial registration statement, shows a reconciliation of CSOI to the most comparable US GAAP measure. In its review, the SEC took the position that online marketing expenses were a recurring cost of business. Groupon responded that the marketing costs were similar to acquisition costs, not recurring costs, and that "we'll ramp down marketing just as fast as we ramped it up, reducing the customer acquisition part of our marketing expenses" as time passes.²⁰

Eventually, and after much negative publicity, Groupon changed its non-GAAP measure. Exhibit 14 shows an excerpt from the final prospectus filed in November, after the SEC's review. Use the three exhibits to answer the questions that follow.

Exhibit 12 Groupon's "Non-GAAP Financial Measures"**Disclosures from June S-1 Filing**

Adjusted CSOI is operating income of our two segments, North America and International, adjusted for online marketing expense, acquisition-related costs and stock-based compensation expense. Online marketing expense primarily represents the cost to acquire new subscribers and is dictated by the amount of growth we wish to pursue. Acquisition-related costs are non-recurring non-cash items related to certain of our acquisitions. Stock-based compensation expense is a non-cash item. We consider Adjusted CSOI to be an important measure of the performance of our business as it excludes expenses that are non-cash or otherwise not indicative of future operating expenses. We believe it is important to view Adjusted CSOI as a complement to our entire consolidated statements of operations.

Our use of Adjusted CSOI has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider this measure in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect the significant cash investments that we currently are making to acquire new subscribers;
- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect the potentially dilutive impact of issuing equity-based compensation to our management team and employees or in connection with acquisitions;
- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect any interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on any indebtedness that we may incur;
- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect any foreign exchange gains and losses;

(continued)

²⁰ Correspondence between Groupon and SEC, filed in EDGAR on 16 September 2011.

Exhibit 12 (Continued)

- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect any tax payments that we might make, which would represent a reduction in cash available to us;
- Adjusted CSOI does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs; and
- Other companies, including companies in our industry, may calculate Adjusted CSOI differently or may use other financial measures to evaluate their profitability, which reduces the usefulness of it as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, Adjusted CSOI should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. When evaluating our performance, you should consider Adjusted CSOI alongside other financial performance measures, including various cash flow metrics, net loss and our other GAAP results.

Exhibit 13 Groupon's "Adjusted CSOI"**Excerpt from June S-1 Filing**

The following is a reconciliation of CSOI to the most comparable US GAAP measure, "loss from operations," for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2011:

(in \$ thousands)	Year Ended December 31,			Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2008	2009	2010	2010	2011
(Loss) Income from operations	(1,632)	(1,077)	(420,344)	8,571	(117,148)
Adjustments:					
Online marketing	162	4,446	241,546	3,904	179,903
Stock-based compensation	24	115	36,168	116	18,864
Acquisition-related	—	—	203,183	—	—
Total adjustments	186	4,561	480,897	4,020	198,767
Adjusted CSOI	(1,446)	3,484	60,553	12,591	81,619

Exhibit 14 Groupon's "CSOI"**Excerpt from Revised S-1 Filing**

The following is a reconciliation of CSOI to the most comparable US GAAP measure, "loss from operations," for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011:

Exhibit 14 (Continued)

(in \$ thousands)	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2009	2010	2010	2011
Loss from operations	(1,632)	(1,077)	(420,344)	(84,215)	(218,414)
Adjustments:					
Stock-based compensation	24	115	36,168	8,739	60,922
Acquisition-related	—	—	203,183	37,844	(4,793)
Total adjustments	24	115	239,351	46,583	56,129
CSOI	(1,608)	(962)	(180,993)	(37,632)	(162,285)

- 1 What cautions did Groupon include along with its description of the “Adjusted CSOI” metric?
- 2 Groupon excludes “online marketing” from “Adjusted CSOI.” How does the exclusion of this expense compare with the SEC’s limits on non-GAAP performance measures?
- 3 In the first quarter of 2011, what was the effect of excluding online marketing expenses on the calculation of “Adjusted CSOI”?
- 4 For 2010, how did results under the revised non-GAAP metric compare with the originally reported metric?

Solution to 1:

Groupon cautioned that the “Adjusted CSOI” metric should not be considered in isolation, should not be considered as a substitute for analysis using GAAP results, and “should not be considered a measure of discretionary cash flow.” The company lists numerous limitations, primarily citing items that adjusted CSOI did not reflect.

Solution to 2:

The SEC specifies that non-GAAP measures should not eliminate items tagged as non-recurring, infrequent, or unusual when such items may be very likely to occur again. Because the online marketing expense occurred in every period reported and is likely to occur again, exclusion of this item appears contrary to SEC requirements.

Solution to 3:

As shown in Exhibit 13, in the first quarter of 2011, the exclusion of the online marketing expense was enough to swing the company from a net loss under US GAAP reporting to a profit—at least, a profit as defined by adjusted CSOI. Using adjusted CSOI as a performance measure, the company showed results that were 35% higher for the first *quarter* of 2011 compared with the entire previous *year*.

Solution to 4:

As shown in Exhibit 14, the revised metric is now called “CSOI” and no longer refers to “Adjusted CSOI.” For 2010, results under the revised non-GAAP metric, which includes online marketing costs, shows a loss of \$180,993,000 instead of a profit of \$60,553,000.

In the case described in Example 5, Groupon changed its reporting and corrected the non-GAAP metric that the SEC had identified as misleading. In other cases, the SEC has pursued enforcement actions against companies for reporting misleading non-GAAP information. One such action was brought in 2009 against SafeNet Inc., where the SEC charged the company with improperly classifying ordinary operating expenses as non-recurring. This related to the integration of an acquired company and exclusion of the expenses from non-GAAP earnings in order to exceed earnings targets. A second action was brought by the SEC in 2017 against MDC Partners Inc. (“MDCA”) for improper reconciliation of a non-GAAP measure and for improperly displaying the non-GAAP measure with greater prominence in its earnings releases. The case was brought after the company agreed to follow the rules but then failed to do so, as evidenced by the remark in the SEC’s action: “Despite agreeing to comply with non-GAAP financial measure disclosure rules in December 2012 correspondence with the [SEC’s] Division of Corporation Finance, MDCA continued to violate those rules for six quarters ...” Exhibit 15 presents the headline and sub-headings for one of MDC Partners’ earnings announcements that was the subject of the enforcement action.

Exhibit 15 MDC Partners Inc. Press Release [Excerpt]**SEC Form 8-K filed 24 April 2014**

This excerpt shows the headline, sub-heads, and lead sentence of the company’s press release announcing periodic earnings.

MDC PARTNERS INC. REPORTS RECORD RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

ORGANIC REVENUE GROWTH OF 8.3%, EBITDA GROWTH OF 18.1% AND 90 BASIS POINTS OF MARGIN IMPROVEMENT

FREE CASH FLOW GROWTH OF 34.0%

INCREASED 2014 GUIDANCE IMPLIES YEAR-OVER-YEAR EBITDA GROWTH OF +13.5% TO +16.1%, MARGIN IMPROVEMENT OF 60 TO 70 BASIS POINTS, AND FREE CASH FLOW GROWTH OF +15.8% TO +20.2%

FIRST QUARTER HIGHLIGHTS:

- Revenue increased to \$292.6 million from \$265.6 million, an increase of 10.1%
- Organic revenue increased 8.3%
- EBITDA increased to \$36.4 million from \$30.8 million, an increase of 18.1%
- EBITDA margin increased 90 basis points to 12.5% from 11.6%
- Free Cash Flow increased to \$20.6 million from \$15.4 million, an increase of 34.0%
- Net New Business wins totaled \$24.4 million

Exhibit 15 (Continued)

NEW YORK, NY (April 24, 2014) – MDC Partners Inc. (NASDAQ: MDCA; TSX: MDZ.A) today announced financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

...

In general, management may choose to construct non-GAAP financial measures not only to help investors better understand the company's performance but also to paint a more flattering picture of its performance. In some cases, management may attempt to present non-GAAP measures in a way that diverts attention from the standards-compliant financial information that it is required to present.

ACCOUNTING CHOICES AND ESTIMATES AND HOW ACCOUNTING CHOICES AND ESTIMATES AFFECT EARNINGS AND BALANCE SHEETS

9

- h describe accounting methods (choices and estimates) that could be used to manage earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items;

Choices do not necessarily involve complex accounting standards. Something as simple as the shipping terms for goods delivered to customers can have a profound effect on the timing of revenue. On the last day of the first quarter, suppose a company ships \$10,000 of goods to a customer on the terms "free on board (FOB) shipping point," arriving the next day. This shipping term means that the customer takes title to the goods, and bears the risk of loss, at the time the goods leave the seller's loading dock. Barring any issues with collectability of the receivable, or a likelihood of a return, the seller would be able to recognize revenue on the sale along with the associated profit. That revenue and profit would be recognized in the first quarter of the year. Change the point at which the goods' title transfers to the customer to "FOB destination" and the revenue pattern will be completely different. Under these terms, the title—and risk of loss—transfers to the customer when the goods arrive at their destination, which is the customer's address. The seller cannot recognize the sale and profit until the shipment arrives the following day, which is the start of a new accounting period.

A simple change in shipping terms can make the difference between revenue and profits in the reporting period or postponing them until the next period. Shipping terms can also influence management behavior. To "make the numbers," managers might push product out the door prematurely under FOB shipping point arrangements in order to reflect as much revenue as possible in the reporting period. Alternatively, in the case of an over-abundance of orders, the company could run the risk of exceeding analysts' consensus estimates by a large margin. Management might be uncomfortable with this situation because investors might extrapolate too much from one reporting period in which expectations were exceeded. Management might want to prevent investors from becoming too optimistic and, if possible, delay revenue recognition until the next quarter. This result could be accomplished by fulfilling customer orders by initiating delivery on the last day of the quarter, with shipping terms set as FOB destination. By doing so, title would transfer in the next accounting period. Another possibility in this scenario is that if the customers insisted on FOB shipping point terms, the selling company could simply delay shipment until after the close of the quarter.

This illustration also highlights a difficult distinction for investors to make. A company may use accounting as a tool to aggressively promote earnings growth—as in the example with the premature shipment of goods with FOB shipping point terms—but it may be aggressively managing the business flow by slacking off on shipping goods when business is “too good,” as in the second example. In either case, a desired management outcome is obtained by a simple change in shipping terms. Yet, many investors might be inclined to say that the second example is a conservative kind of earnings management and accept it, even though it artificially masks the actual economic activity that occurred at the time.

9.1 How Accounting Choices and Estimates Affect Earnings and Balance Sheets

Assumptions about inventory cost flows provide another example of how accounting choices can affect financial reporting. Companies may assume that their purchases of inventory items are sold to customers on a first-in-first-out (FIFO) basis, with the result that the remaining inventory reflects the most recent costs. Alternatively, they may assume that their purchases of inventory items are sold to customers on a weighted-average cost basis. Example 6 makes the point that merely choosing a cost flow assumption can affect profitability.

EXAMPLE 6

Effect of Cost Flow Assumption

A company starts operations with no inventory at the beginning of a fiscal year and makes purchases of a good for resale five times during the period at increasing prices. Each purchase is for the same number of units of the good. The purchases, and the cost of goods available for sale, appear in the following table. Notice that the price per unit has increased by 140% by the end of the period.

	Units	Price	Cost
Purchase 1	5	\$100	\$500
Purchase 2	5	150	750
Purchase 3	5	180	900
Purchase 4	5	200	1,000
Purchase 5	5	240	1,200
Cost of goods available for sale			\$4,350

During the period, the company sells, at \$250 each, all of the goods purchased except for five of them. Although the ending inventory consists of five units, the cost attached to those units can vary greatly.

- 1 What are the ending inventory and cost of goods sold if the company uses the FIFO method of inventory costing?
- 2 What are the ending inventory and cost of goods sold if the company uses the weighted-average method of inventory costing?
- 3 Compare cost of goods sold and gross profit calculated under the two methods.

Solution to 1:

The ending inventory and cost of goods sold if the company uses the FIFO method of inventory costing are \$1,200 and \$3,150.

Solution to 2:

The ending inventory and cost of goods sold if the company uses the weighted-average method of inventory costing are \$870 and \$3,480.

Solution to 3:

The following table shows how the choice of inventory costing methods—FIFO versus weighted average—affects the cost of goods sold and gross profit.

Cost Flow Assumption	FIFO	Weighted Average
Cost of goods available for sale	\$4,350	\$4,350
Ending inventory (5 units)	(1,200)	(870)
<u>Cost of goods sold</u>	<u>\$3,150</u>	<u>\$3,480</u>
Sales	\$5,000	\$5,000
Cost of goods sold	3,150	3,480
Gross profit	\$1,850	\$1,520
Gross profit margin	37.0%	30.4%

Note: Average inventory cost is calculated as Cost of goods available for sale/Units purchased = \$4,350/25 = \$174. There are five units in ending inventory, yielding an inventory value of \$870.

Depending on which cost flow assumption the company uses, the end-of-period inventory is either \$870 (under the weighted-average method) or \$1,200 (under FIFO). The choice of method results in a difference of \$330 in gross profit and 6.6% in gross profit margin.

The previous example is simplified and extreme for purposes of illustration clarity, but the point is important: Management's choice among acceptable inventory assumptions and methods affects profit. The selection of an inventory costing method is a policy decision, and companies cannot arbitrarily switch from one method to another. The selection does matter to profitability, however, and it also matters to the balance sheet.

In periods of changing prices, the FIFO cost assumption will provide a more current picture of ending inventory value, because the most recent purchases will remain in inventory. The balance sheet will be more relevant to investors. Under the weighted-average cost assumption, however, the balance sheet will display a blend of old and new costs. During inflationary periods, the value of the inventory will be understated: The company will not be able to replenish its inventory at the value shown. At the same time, the weighted-average inventory cost method ensures that the more current costs are shown in cost of sales, making the income statement more relevant than under the FIFO assumption. Trade-offs exist, and investors should be aware of how accounting choices affect financial reports. High-quality financial reporting provides users with sufficient information to assess the effects of accounting choices.

Estimates abound in financial reporting because of the use of accrual accounting, which attempts to show the effects of all economic events on a company during a particular period. Accrual accounting stands in contrast to cash basis accounting, which shows only the cash transactions conducted by a company. Although a high degree of certainty exists with reporting only cash transactions, much information is

hidden. For instance, a company with growing revenues that makes the majority of its sales on credit would be understating its revenues for each period if it reported only cash transactions. On an accrual basis, revenues reflect all transactions that occurred, whether they transacted on a cash basis or credit-extended basis. Estimates enter the process because some facts related to events occurring in a particular period might not yet be known. Estimates can be well grounded in reality and applied to present a complete picture of the events affecting a company, or they can be management tools for achieving a desired financial picture.

To illustrate how estimates can affect financial reporting, consider sales made on credit. A company sells \$1,000,000 of merchandise on credit and records the sale just before year end. Under accrual accounting, that amount is included in revenues and accounts receivable. The company's managers know from experience that they will never collect every dollar of the accounts receivable. Past experience is that, on average, only 97% of accounts receivable is collected. The company would estimate an amount of the uncollectible accounts at the time the sales occur and record an uncollectible accounts expense of \$30,000, lowering earnings. The other side of the entry would be to establish an allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$30,000. This allowance would be a contra asset account, presented as an offset to accounts receivable. The accounts receivable, net of the allowance for uncollectible accounts, would be stated at \$970,000, which is the amount of cash the company ultimately expects to receive. If cash-basis accounting had been used, no revenues or accounts receivable would have been reported even though sales of merchandise had occurred. Accrual accounting, which contains estimates about future events, provides a much fuller picture of what transpired in the period than pure cash-basis accounting.

Yet, accrual accounting poses temptations to managers to manage the numbers, rather than to manage the business. Suppose a company's managers realize that the company will not meet analysts' consensus estimates in a particular quarter, and further, their bonus pay is dependent on reaching specified earnings targets. By offering special payment terms, or discounts, the managers may induce customers to take delivery of products that they would normally not order, so they could ship the products on FOB shipping point terms and recognize the revenues in the current quarter. They could even be so bold as to ship the goods under those terms even if the customer did not order them, in the hope that the customer would keep them or, at worst, return them in the next accounting period. Their aim would be to move the product off the company's property with FOB shipping point terms.

To further improve earnings in order to meet the consensus estimates, the company's managers might revise their estimate of the uncollectible accounts. The company's collection history shows a typical non-collection rate of 3% of sales, but the managers might rationalize the use of a 2% non-collection rate. This change will reduce the allowance for uncollectible accounts and the expense reported for the period. The managers might be able to justify the reduction on the grounds that the sales occurred in a part of the country that was experiencing an improved economic outlook, or that the company's collection history had been biased by the inclusion of a prolonged period of economic downturn. Whatever the justification, it would be hard to prove that the new estimate was completely right or wrong until time had passed. Because proof of the reliability of estimates is rarely available at the time the estimate is recorded, managers have a readily available means for manipulating earnings at their discretion.

ConAgra Foods Inc. provides an example of how the allowance for uncollectible accounts may be manipulated to manage earnings.²¹ A subsidiary, called United Agri-Products (UAP), engaged in several improper accounting practices, one of them being the understatement of uncollectible accounts expense for several years. Exhibit 16 presents an excerpt from the SEC's Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release.

Exhibit 16 SEC's Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release Regarding United Agri-Products

... Generally, UAP's policy required that accounts which were past due between 90 days and one year should be reserved at 50%, and accounts over one year past due were to be reserved at 100%.

... In FY 1999 and continuing through FY 2000, UAP had substantial bad debt problems. In FY 2000, certain former UAP senior executives were informed that UAP needed to record an additional \$50 million of bad debt expense. Certain former UAP senior executives were aware that in FY 1999 the size of the bad debt at certain IOCs had been substantial enough that it could have negatively impacted those IOC's ability to achieve PBT (profits before taxes) targets. In addition, just prior to the end of UAP's FY 2000, the former UAP COO (chief operating officer), in the presence of other UAP employees, ordered that UAP's bad debt reserve be reduced by \$7 million in order to assist the Company in meeting its PBT target for the fiscal year.

... At the end of FY 2000, former UAP senior executives reported financial results to ConAgra which they knew, or were reckless in not knowing, overstated UAP's income before income taxes because UAP had failed to record sufficient bad debt expense. The misconduct with respect to bad debt expense caused ConAgra to overstate its reported income before income taxes by \$7 million, or 1.13%, in FY 2000. At the Agricultural Products' segment level, the misconduct caused that segment's reported operating profit to be overstated by 5.05%.

Deferred-tax assets provide a similar example of choices in estimates affecting the earnings outcome. Deferred-tax assets may arise when a company reports a net operating loss under tax accounting rules. A company may record a deferred-tax asset based on the expectation that losses in the reporting period will offset expected future profits and reduce the company's future income tax liability. Accounting standards require that the deferred tax asset be reduced by a "valuation allowance" to account for the possibility that the company will be unable to generate enough profit to use all of the available tax benefits.²²

Assume a company loses €1 billion in 2012, generating a net operating loss of the same amount for tax purposes. The company's income tax rate is 25%, and it will be able to apply the net operating loss to its taxable income for the next 10 years. The net operating loss results in a deferred tax asset with a nominal value of €250 million ($25\% \times €1,000,000,000$). Initial recognition would result in a deferred tax asset of €250 million and a credit to deferred tax expense of €250 million. The company must address the question of whether or not the €250 million will ever be completely applied to future income. It may be experiencing increased competition and other circumstances that resulted in the €1 billion loss, and it may be unreasonable to

21 Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 2542, "SEC v. James Charles Blue, Randy Cook, and Victor Campbell,) United States District Court for the District of Colorado, Civ. Action No. 07-CV-00095 REB-MEH (17 January 2007).

22 See Accounting Standards Codification 740-10-30-16 to 25, "Establishment of a Valuation Allowance for Deferred Tax Assets."

assume it will have taxable income against which to apply the loss. In fact, the company's managers might believe it is reasonable to assume only that it will survive for five years, and with marginal profitability. The €250 million deferred tax asset is thus overstated if no valuation allowance is recorded to offset it.

The managers believe that only €100 million of the net operating losses will actually be applied to the company's taxable income. That belief implies that only €25 million of the tax benefits will ever be realized. The deferred tax assets reported on the balance sheet should not exceed this amount. The company should record a valuation allowance of €225 million, which would offset the deferred tax asset balance of €250 million, resulting in a net deferred tax asset balance of €25 million. There would also be a €225 million credit to the deferred tax provision. It is important to understand that the valuation allowance should be revised whenever facts and circumstances change.

The ultimate value of the deferred tax asset is driven by management's outlook for the future—and that outlook may be influenced by other factors. If the company needs to stay in compliance with debt covenants and needs every euro of value that can be justified by the outlook, its managers may take a more optimistic view of the future and keep the valuation allowance artificially low (in other words, the net deferred tax asset high).

PowerLinx Inc. provides an example of how over-optimism about the realizability of a deferred tax asset can lead to misstated financial reports. PowerLinx was a maker of security video cameras, underwater cameras, and accessories. Aside from fraudulently reporting 90% of its fiscal year 2000 revenue, PowerLinx had problems with valuation of its deferred tax assets. Exhibit 17 provides an excerpt from the SEC's Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release with emphasis added.²³

Exhibit 17 SEC's Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release Regarding PowerLinx

PowerLinx improperly recorded on its fiscal year 2000 balance sheet a deferred tax asset of \$1,439,322 without any valuation allowance. The tax asset was material, representing *almost forty percent of PowerLinx's total assets* of \$3,841,944. PowerLinx also recorded deferred tax assets of \$180,613, \$72,907, and \$44,921, respectively, in its financial statements for the first three quarters of 2000.

PowerLinx did not have a proper basis for recording the deferred tax assets. The company had accumulated significant losses in 2000 and had no historical operating basis from which to conclude that it would be profitable in future years. Underwater camera sales had declined significantly and the company had devoted most of its resources to developing its SecureView product. The sole basis for PowerLinx's "expectation" of future profitability was the purported \$9 million backlog of SecureView orders, which management assumed would generate taxable income; however, this purported backlog, which predated Bauer's hiring, did not reflect actual demand for SecureView cameras and, consequently, was not a reasonable or reliable indicator of future profitability.

Another example of misstated financial results caused by improper reflection of the realizability of a deferred tax asset occurred with Hampton Roads Bankshares Inc. ("HRBS"), a commercial bank with deteriorating loan portfolio quality and commensurate losses in the years following the financial crisis. The company reported a deferred tax asset related to its loan losses; however, it did not establish a valuation

²³ Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 2448, "In the Matter of Douglas R. Bauer, Respondent," SEC (27 June 2006): www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2006/34-54049.pdf.

allowance against its deferred tax asset. This decision was based on dubious projections indicating that the company would earn the necessary future taxable income “to fully utilize the [deferred tax asset] DTA over the applicable carry-forward period.”²⁴ Over time, it became clear that the earnings projections were not realistic, and ultimately the company restated its financial results to include a valuation allowance against almost the entire deferred tax asset. Exhibit 18 presents an excerpt from the company’s amended Form 10-Q/A containing the restatement.

EXHIBIT 18 Hampton Roads Bankshares, Inc. Form 10-Q/A filed August 13, 2010 [Excerpt from footnotes]

NOTE B – RESTATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Subsequent to filing the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and its Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Company determined that a valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets should be recognized as of December 31, 2009. The Company decided to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset because it is uncertain when it will realize this asset.

Accordingly, the December 31, 2009 consolidated balance sheet and the March 31, 2010 consolidated financial statements have been restated to account for this determination. The effect of this change in the consolidated financial statements was as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts).

Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2010

	As Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Deferred tax assets, net	\$70,323	\$(70,323)	—
Total assets	3,016,470	(70,323)	\$2,946,147
Retained earnings deficit	(158,621)	(70,323)	(228,944)
Total shareholder’s equity	156,509	(70,323)	86,186
Total Liabilities and shareholders’ equity	3,016,470	(70,323)	2,946,147

Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009

	As Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Deferred tax assets, net	\$56,380	\$(55,983)	\$397
Total assets	2,975,559	(55,983)	2,919,576
Retained earnings deficit	(132,465)	(55,983)	(188,488)

(continued)

²⁴ Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 3600, “In the Matter of Hampton Roads Bankshares Inc., Respondent,” SEC (5 December 2014) <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2014/34-73750.pdf>.

(Continued)

	As Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Total shareholder's equity	180,996	(55,983)	125,013
Total Liabilities and shareholders' equity	2,975,559	(55,983)	2,919,576

Another example of how choices and estimates can affect reported results lies in the selection of a depreciation method for allocating the cost of long-lived assets to accounting periods subsequent to their acquisition. A company's managers may choose to depreciate long-lived assets (1) on a straight-line basis, with each year bearing the same amount of depreciation expense; (2) using an accelerated method, with greater depreciation expense recognition in the earlier part of an asset's life; or (3) using an activity-based depreciation method, which allocates depreciation expense based on units of use or production. Depreciation expense is affected by another set of choices and estimates regarding the salvage value of the assets being depreciated. A salvage value of zero will always increase depreciation expense under any method compared with the choice of a non-zero salvage value.

Assume a company invests \$1,000,000 in manufacturing equipment and expects it to have a useful economic life of 10 years. During its expected life, the equipment will produce 400,000 units of product, or \$2.50 depreciation expense per unit produced. When it is disposed of at the end of its expected life, the company's managers expect to realize no value for the equipment. The following table shows the differences in the three alternative methods of depreciation: straight-line, accelerated on a double-declining balance basis, and units-of-production method, with no salvage value assumed at the end of the equipment's life.

Straight-Line Method		Double-Declining Balance Method			Units-of-Production Method		
Year	Depreciation Expense	Balance	Declining Balance Rate¹	Depreciation Expense	Units Produced	Depreciation Rate/Unit	Depreciation Expense
1	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	20%	\$200,000	90,000	\$2.50	\$225,000
2	100,000	800,000	20%	160,000	80,000	\$2.50	200,000
3	100,000	640,000	20%	128,000	70,000	\$2.50	175,000
4	100,000	512,000	20%	102,400	60,000	\$2.50	150,000
5	100,000	409,600	20%	81,920	50,000	\$2.50	125,000
6	100,000	327,680	20%	65,536	10,000	\$2.50	25,000
7	100,000	262,144	20%	52,429	10,000	\$2.50	25,000
8	100,000	209,715	20%	41,943	10,000	\$2.50	25,000
9	100,000	167,772	20%	33,554	10,000	\$2.50	25,000
10	100,000	134,218	20%	26,844	10,000	\$2.50	25,000
Total	\$1,000,000			\$892,626	400,000		\$1,000,000


¹ Declining balance rate of 20% calculated as 10-year life being equivalent to 10% annual depreciation rate, multiplied by 2 = 20%.

The straight-line method allocates the cost of the equipment evenly to all 10 years of the equipment's life. The double-declining balance method will have a higher allocation of cost to the earlier years of the equipment's life. As its name implies, the

depreciation expense will decline in each succeeding year because it is based on a fixed rate applied to a declining balance. The rate used was double the straight-line rate, but it could have been any other rate that the company's managers believed was representative of the way the actual equipment depreciation occurred. Notice that the double-declining balance method also results in an incomplete depreciation of the machine at the end of 10 years; a balance of \$107,374 ($= \$1,000,000 - \$892,626$) remains at the end of the expected life, which will result in a loss upon the retirement of the equipment if the company's expectation of zero salvage value turns out to be correct. Some companies may choose to depreciate the equipment to its expected salvage value, zero in this case, in its final year of use. Some companies may use a policy of switching to straight-line depreciation after the mid-life of its depreciable assets in order to fully depreciate them. That particular pattern is coincidentally displayed in the units-of-production example, in which the equipment is used most heavily in the earliest part of its useful life, and then levels off to much less utilization in the second half of the expected life.

Exhibit 19 shows the different expense allocation patterns of the methods over the same life. Each will affect earnings differently.

Exhibit 19 Expense Allocation Patterns of Different Depreciation Methods



The company's managers could justify any of these methods. Each might fairly represent the way the equipment will be consumed over its expected economic life, which is a subjective estimate itself. The choices of methods and lives can profoundly affect reported income. These choices are not proven right or wrong until far into the future—but managers must estimate their effects in the present.

Exhibit 20 shows the effects of the three different methods on operating profit and operating profit margins, assuming that the production output of the equipment generates revenues of \$500,000 each year and \$200,000 of cash operating expenses are incurred, leaving \$300,000 of operating profit before depreciation expense.

Exhibit 20 Effects of Depreciation Methods on Operating Profit

Year	Straight Line		
	Depreciation	Operating Profit	Operating Profit Margin
1	\$100,000	\$200,000	40.0%
2	100,000	200,000	40.0%

(continued)

Exhibit 20 (Continued)

Straight Line			
Year	Depreciation	Operating Profit	Operating Profit Margin
3	100,000	200,000	40.0%
4	100,000	200,000	40.0%
5	100,000	200,000	40.0%
6	100,000	200,000	40.0%
7	100,000	200,000	40.0%
8	100,000	200,000	40.0%
9	100,000	200,000	40.0%
10	100,000	200,000	40.0%

Double Declining Balance			
Year	Depreciation	Operating Profit	Operating Profit Margin
1	\$200,000	\$100,000	20.0%
2	160,000	140,000	28.0%
3	128,000	172,000	34.4%
4	102,400	197,600	39.5%
5	81,920	218,080	43.6%
6	65,536	234,464	46.9%
7	52,429	247,571	49.5%
8	41,943	258,057	51.6%
9	33,554	266,446	53.3%
10	134,218*	165,782	33.2%

Units of Production			
Year	Depreciation	Operating Profit	Operating Profit Margin
1	\$225,000	\$75,000	15.0%
2	200,000	100,000	20.0%
3	175,000	125,000	25.0%
4	150,000	150,000	30.0%
5	125,000	175,000	35.0%
6	25,000	275,000	55.0%
7	25,000	275,000	55.0%
8	25,000	275,000	55.0%
9	25,000	275,000	55.0%
10	25,000	275,000	55.0%

* Includes \$107,374 of undepreciated basis, treated as depreciation expense in final year of service.

The straight-line method shows consistent operating profit margins, and the other two methods show varying degrees of increasing operating profit margins as the depreciation expense decreases over time.

The example above shows the differences among alternative methods, but even more depreciation expense variation is possible by changing estimated lives and assumptions about salvage value. For instance, change the expected life assumption to 5 years from 10 and add an expectation that the equipment will have a 10% salvage value at the end of its expected life. Exhibit 21 shows the revised depreciation calculations. Notice that under the double-declining balance method, the depreciation rate is applied to the gross cost, unlike the other two methods. The straight-line method and the units-of-production method subtract the salvage value from the cost before depreciation expense is calculated. Also note that the assumption about the usage of the equipment is revised so that it is depreciated only to its salvage value of \$100,000 by the end of its estimated life. The total depreciation under each method is \$900,000.


Exhibit 21 Depreciation Calculations for Each Method in Changed Scenario

Year	Straight-Line Method		Double-Declining Balance Method			Units-of-Production Method		
	Depreciation Expense	Balance	Declining Balance Rate ¹	Depreciation Expense	Units Produced	Depreciation Rate/Unit	Depreciation Expense	
1	\$180,000	\$1,000,000	40%	\$400,000	100,000	\$2.25	\$225,000	
2	180,000	600,000	40%	240,000	90,000	\$2.25	202,500	
3	180,000	360,000	40%	144,000	80,000	\$2.25	180,000	
4	180,000	216,000	40%	86,400	70,000	\$2.25	157,500	
5	180,000	129,600	40%	29,600 ²	60,000	\$2.25	135,000	
Total	<u>\$900,000</u>			<u>\$900,000</u>	400,000		<u>\$900,000</u>	

¹ Declining balance rate of 40% calculated as 5-year life being equivalent to 20% annual depreciation rate, multiplied by 2 = 40%.

² Depreciation calculated as \$29,600 instead of $40\% \times \$129,600$. Rote application of the declining-balance rate would have resulted in \$51,840 of expense, which would have depreciated the asset below salvage value.

Exhibit 22 shows the different expense allocation patterns of the methods over the five-year expected life, and assuming a 10% salvage value. Although each method is distinctly different in the timing of the cost allocation over time, the variation is less pronounced than over the longer life used in the previous example.

Exhibit 22 Expense Allocation Patterns of Depreciation Methods in Changed Scenario


One of the clearest examples of how choices affect both the balance sheet and income statement can be found in capitalization practices. In classifying a payment made, management must determine whether the payment will benefit only the current period—making it an expense—or whether it will benefit future periods, leading to classification as a cost to be capitalized as an asset. This management judgment embodies an implicit forecast of how the item acquired by the payment will be used, or not used, in the future.

That judgment can be biased by the powerful effect a capitalization policy can have on earnings. Every amount capitalized on the balance sheet as a building, an item of inventory, a deferred cost, or any “other asset” is an amount that does not get recognized as an expense in the reporting period.

A real-life example can be found in the case of WorldCom Inc., a telecom concern that grew rapidly in the late 1990s. Much of WorldCom’s financial reporting was eventually found to be fraudulent. An important part of the misreporting centered on its treatment of what is known in the telecom industry as “line costs”. These are the costs of carrying a voice call or data transmission from its starting point to its ending point, and they represented WorldCom’s largest expense. WorldCom’s chief financial officer decided to capitalize such costs instead of treating them as an operating expense. As a consequence, from the second quarter of 1999 through the first quarter of 2002, WorldCom increased its operating income by \$7 billion. In three of the five quarters in which the improper line cost capitalization took place, WorldCom would have recognized pre-tax losses instead of profits.²⁵

Similarly, acquisitions are an area in which managers must exercise judgment. An allocation of the purchase price must be made to all of the different assets acquired based on their fair values, and those fair values are not always objectively verifiable. Management may have to make its own estimate of fair values for assets acquired, and it may be biased towards a low estimate for the values of depreciable assets in order to depress future depreciation expense. Another benefit to keeping depreciable asset values low is that the amount of the purchase price that cannot be allocated to specific assets is classified as goodwill, which is neither depreciated nor amortized in future reporting periods.

²⁵ See Report of Investigation by the Special Investigative Committee of the Board of Directors of WorldCom, Inc., by Dennis R. Beresford, Nicholas deB. Katzenbach, & C.B. Rogers, Jr.PP 9-11: www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/723527/000093176303001862/dex991.htm.

Goodwill reporting has choices of its own. Although goodwill has no effect on future earnings when unimpaired, annual testing of its fair value may reveal that the excess of price paid over the fair value of assets may not be recoverable, which should lead to a write-down of goodwill. The estimation process for the fair value of goodwill may depend heavily on projections of future performance. Those projections may be biased upward in order to avoid a goodwill write-down.

HOW CHOICES THAT AFFECT THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT

10

- h** describe accounting methods (choices and estimates) that could be used to manage earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items;

The cash flow statement consists of three sections: the operating section, which shows the cash generated or used by operations; the investing section, which shows cash used for investments or provided by their disposal; and the financing section, which shows transactions attributable to financing activities.

The operating section is closely scrutinized by investors. Many of them consider it a reality check on reported earnings, on the grounds that earnings attributable to accrual accounting only and unsupported by actual cash flows may indicate earnings manipulation. Such investors believe that amounts shown for cash generated by operations is more insulated from managerial manipulation than the income statement. Cash generated by operations can be managed to an extent, however.

The operating section of the cash flow statement can be shown either under the direct method or the indirect method. Under the direct method, “entities are encouraged to report major classes of gross cash receipts and gross cash payments and their arithmetic sum—the net cash flow from operating activities.”²⁶ In practice, companies rarely use the direct method. Instead, they use the indirect method, which shows a reconciliation of net income to cash provided by operations. The reconciliation shows the non-cash items affecting net income along with changes in working capital accounts affecting cash from operations. Exhibit 23 provides an example of the indirect presentation method.

Exhibit 23 Indirect Presentation Method

Cash Flows from Operating Activities (\$ millions)	2018
Net income	\$3,000
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</i>	
Provision for doubtful receivables	10
Provision for depreciation and amortization	1,000
Goodwill impairment charges	35
Share-based compensation expense	100
Provision for deferred income taxes	200
<i>Changes in assets and liabilities:</i>	
<i>(continued)</i>	

²⁶ Accounting Standards Codification Section 230-10-45-25, “Reporting Operating, Investing, and Financing Activities.” The direct method and indirect method are similar in IFRS, as addressed in IAS 7, Paragraph 18.

Exhibit 23 (Continued)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities (\$ millions)	2018
Trade, notes and financing receivables related to sales	(2,000)
Inventories	(1,500)
Accounts payable	1,200
Accrued income taxes payable/receivable	(80)
Retirement benefits	90
Other	(250)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u><u>\$1,805</u></u>

Whether the indirect method or direct method is used, simple choices exist for managers to improve the *appearance* of cash flow provided by operations without actually improving it. One such choice is in the area of accounts payable management, shaded in Exhibit 23. Assume that the accounts payable balance is \$5,200 million at the end of the period, an increase of \$1,200 million from its previous year-end balance of \$4,000 million. The \$1,200 million increase in accounts payable matched increased expenses and/or assets but did not require cash. If the company's managers had further delayed paying creditors \$500 million until the day *after* the balance sheet date, they could have increased the cash provided by operating activities by \$500 million. If the managers believe that cash generated from operations is a metric of focus for investors, they can impress them with artificially strong cash flow by simply stretching the accounts payable credit period.

What might alert investors to such machinations? They need to examine the composition of the operations section of the cash flow statement—if they do not, then *nothing* will ever alert them. Studying changes in the working capital can reveal unusual patterns that may indicate manipulation of the cash provided by operations.

Another practice that might lead an investor to question the quality of cash provided by operations is to compare a company's cash generation with an industry-wide level or with the cash operating performance of one or more similar competitors. Cash generation performance can be measured several ways. One way is to compare the relationship between cash generated by operations and net income. Cash generated by operations in excess of net income signifies better quality of earnings, whereas a chronic excess of net income over cash generated by operations should be a cause for concern; it may signal the use of accounting methods to simply raise net income instead of depicting financial reality. Another way to measure cash generation performance is to compare cash generated by operations with debt service, capital expenditures, and dividends (if any). When there is a wide variance between the company's cash generation performance and that of its benchmarks, investors should seek an explanation and carefully examine the changes in working capital accounts.

Because investors may focus on cash from operations as an important metric, managers may resort to managing the working capital accounts as described in order to present the most favorable picture. But there are other ways to do this. A company may misclassify operating uses of cash into either the investing or financing sections of the cash flow statement, which enhances the appearance of cash generated by operating activities.

Dynegy Inc. provides an example of manipulation of cash from operations through clever construction of contracts and assistance from an unconsolidated special purpose entity named ABG Gas Supply LLC (ABG). In April 2001, Dynegy entered into a contract for the purchase of natural gas from ABG. According to the contract, Dynegy

would purchase gas at *below-market* rates from ABG for nine months and sell it at the current market rate. The nine-month term coincided with Dynegy's 2001 year-end and would result in gains backed by cash flows. Dynegy also agreed to buy gas at *above-market* rates from ABG for the following 51 months and sell it at the current market rate. The contract was reported at its fair value at the end of fiscal year 2001. It had no effect on net income for the year. The earlier portion of the contract resulted in a gain, supported by \$300 million of cash flow, but the latter portion of the contract resulted in non-cash losses that offset the profit. The mark-to-market rules required the recognition of both gains and losses from all parts of the contract, and hence the net effect on earnings was zero.

In April 2002, a *Wall Street Journal* article exposed the chicanery, thanks to leaked documents. The SEC required Dynegy to restate the cash flow statement by reclassifying \$300 million from the operating section of the cash flow statement to the financing section, on the grounds that Dynegy had used ABG as a conduit to effectively borrow \$300 million from Citigroup. The bank had extended credit to ABG, which it used to finance its losses on the contract (Lee, 2012).

Another area of flexibility in cash flow reporting is found in the area of interest capitalization, which creates differences between total interest payments and total interest costs.²⁷ Assume a company incurs total interest cost of \$30,000, composed of \$3,000 of discount amortization and \$27,000 of interest payments. Of the \$30,000, two-thirds of it (\$20,000) is expensed; the remaining third (\$10,000) is capitalized as plant assets. If the company uses the same interest expense/capitalization proportions to allocate the interest payments between operating and investing activities, then it will report \$18,000 ($2/3 \times \$27,000$) as an operating outflow and \$9,000 ($1/3 \times \$27,000$) as an investing outflow. The company might also choose to offset the entire \$3,000 of non-cash discount amortization against the \$20,000 treated as expense, resulting in an operating outflow as low as \$17,000, or as much as \$20,000 if it allocated all of the non-cash discount amortization to interest capitalized as investing activities. Similarly, the investing outflow could be as much as \$10,000 or as little as \$7,000, depending on the treatment of the non-cash discount amortization. There are choices within the choices, all in areas where investors believe choices do not even exist. Nurnberg and Largay (1998) note that companies apparently favor the method that reports the lowest operating outflow, presumably to maximize reported cash from operations.

Investors and analysts need to be aware that presentation choices permitted in IAS 7, "Statement of Cash Flows," offer flexibility in classification of certain items in the cash flow statement. This flexibility can drastically change the results in the operating section of the cash flow statement. An excerpt from IAS 7, Paragraphs 33 and 34, provides the background:

33. Interest paid and interest and dividends received are usually classified as operating cash flows for a financial institution. However, there is no consensus on the classification of these cash flows for other entities. Interest paid and interest and dividends received may be classified as operating cash flows because they enter into the determination of profit or loss. *Alternatively, interest paid and interest and dividends received may be classified as financing cash flows and investing cash flows respectively, because they are costs of obtaining financial resources or returns on investments.*

34. Dividends paid may be classified as a financing cash flow because they are a cost of obtaining financial resources. *Alternatively, dividends paid may be classified as a component of cash flows from operating activities in order to assist users to determine the ability of an entity to pay dividends out of operating cash flows.* [Emphasis added.]

²⁷ See Nurnberg and Largay (1998) and Nurnberg (2006).

By allowing a choice of operating or financing for the placement of interest and dividends received or paid, IAS 7 gives a company's managers the opportunities to select the presentation that gives the best-looking picture of operating performance. An example is Norse Energy Corp. ASA, a Norwegian gas explorer and producer, which changed its classifications of interest paid and interest received in 2007 (Gordon, Henry, Jorgensen, and Linthicum, 2017). Interest paid was switched to financing instead of decreasing cash generated from operations. Norse Energy also switched its classification of interest received to investing from operating cash flow. The net effect of these changes was to report positive, rather than negative, operating cash flows in both 2007 and 2008. With these simple changes, the company could also change the perception of its operations. The cash flow statement formerly presented the appearance of a company with operations that used more cash than it generated, and it possibly raised questions about the sustainability of operations. After the revision, the operating section of the cash flow statement depicted a much more viable operation.

Exhibit 24 shows the net effect of the reclassifications on Norse Energy's cash flows.

Exhibit 24 Reclassification of Cash Flows (amounts in \$ millions)

	As Reported (following 2007 reclassification)		Adjustments, If No Reclassification*		Pro-forma (if no reclassification)	
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
Operating	\$5.30	\$2.80	(\$13.70)	(\$14.40)	(\$8.40)	(\$11.60)
Investing	\$0.90	(\$56.80)	(\$9.00)	(\$3.50)	(\$8.10)	(\$60.30)
Financing	(\$16.60)	\$34.50	\$22.70	\$17.90	\$6.10	\$52.40
Total	(\$10.40)	(\$19.50)	\$0	\$0	(\$10.40)	(\$19.50)

* The adjustments reverse the addition of interest received to investing and instead add it to operating. The adjustments also reverse the deduction of interest paid from financing and instead subtract it from operating.

11

CHOICES THAT AFFECT FINANCIAL REPORTING

- h describe accounting methods (choices and estimates) that could be used to manage earnings, cash flow, and balance sheet items;

Exhibit 25 summarizes some of the areas where choices can be made that affect financial reports.

Exhibit 25 Areas Where Choices and Estimates Affect Financial Reporting

Area of Choice/ Estimate	Analyst Concerns
<i>Revenue recognition</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ How is revenue recognized: upon shipment or upon delivery of goods? ■ Is the company engaging in “channel stuffing”—the practice of overloading a distribution channel with more product than it is normally capable of selling? This can be accomplished by inducing customers to buy more through unusual discounts, the threat of near-term price increases, or both—or simply by shipping goods that were not ordered. These transactions may be corrected in a subsequent period and may result in restated results. Are accounts receivable relative to revenues abnormally high for relative to the company’s history or to its peers? If so, channel stuffing may have occurred. ■ Is there unusual activity in the allowance for sales returns relative to past history? ■ Does the company’s days sales outstanding show any collection issues that might indicate shipment of unneeded or unwanted goods to customers? ■ Does the company engage in “bill-and-hold” transactions? This is when a customer purchases goods but requests that they remain with the seller until a later date. This kind of transaction makes it possible for a seller to manufacture fictitious sales by declaring end-of-period inventory as “sold but held,” with a minimum of effort and phony documentation. ■ Does the company use rebates as part of its marketing approach? If so, how significantly do the estimates of rebate fulfillment affect net revenues, and have any unusual breaks with history occurred? ■ Does the company separate its revenue arrangements into multiple deliverables of goods or services? This area is one of great revenue recognition flexibility, and also one that provides little visibility to investors. They simply cannot examine a company’s arrangements and decide for themselves whether revenue has been properly allocated to different components of a contract. If a company uses multiple deliverable arrangements with its customers as a routine matter, investors might be more sensitive to revenue reporting risks. In seeking a comfort level, they might ask the following questions: Does the company explain adequately how it determines the different allocations of deliverables and how revenue is recognized on each one? Do deferred revenues result? If not, does it seem reasonable that there are no deferred revenues for this kind of arrangement? Are there unusual trends in revenues and receivables, particularly with regard to cash conversion? If an investor is not satisfied with the answers to these questions, he or she might be more comfortable with other investment choices.

(continued)

Exhibit 25 (Continued)

Area of Choice/ Estimate	Analyst Concerns
<i>Long-lived assets: Depreciation policies</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Do the estimated life spans of the associated assets make sense, or are they unusually low compared with others in the same industry? ■ Have there been changes in depreciable lives that have a positive effect on current earnings? ■ Do recent asset write-downs indicate that company policy on asset lives might need to be reconsidered?
<i>Intangibles: Capitalization policies</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Does the company capitalize expenditures related to intangibles, such as software? Does its balance sheet show any R&D capitalized as a result of acquisitions? Or, if the company is an IFRS filer, has it capitalized any internally generated development costs? ■ How do the company's capitalization policies compare with the competition? ■ Are amortization policies reasonable?
<i>Allowance for doubtful accounts/ loan loss reserves</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Are additions to such allowances lower or higher than in the past? ■ Does the collection experience justify any difference from historical provisioning? ■ Is there a possibility that any lowering of the allowance may be the result of industry difficulties along with the difficulty of meeting earnings expectations?
<i>Inventory cost methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Does the company use a costing method that produces fair reporting results in view of its environment? How do its inventory methods compare with others in its industry? Are there differences that will make comparisons uneven if there are unusual changes in inflation? ■ Does the company use reserves for obsolescence in its inventory valuation? If so, are they subject to unusual fluctuations that might indicate adjusting them to arrive at a specified earnings result? ■ If a company reports under US GAAP and uses last-in-first-out (LIFO) inventory accounting, does LIFO liquidation (the assumed sale of old, lower-cost layers of inventory) occur through inventory reduction programs? This inventory reduction may generate earnings without supporting cash flow, and management may intentionally reduce the layers to produce specific earnings benefits.

Exhibit 25 (Continued)

Area of Choice/ Estimate	Analyst Concerns
Tax asset valuation accounts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Tax assets, if present, must be stated at the value at which management expects to realize them, and an allowance must be set up to restate tax assets to the level expected to eventually be converted into cash. Determining the allowance involves an estimate of future operations and tax payments. Does the amount of the valuation allowance seem reasonable, overly optimistic, or overly pessimistic? ■ Are there contradictions between the management commentary and the allowance level, or the tax note and the allowance level? There cannot be an optimistic management commentary and a fully reserved tax asset, or vice versa. One of them has to be wrong. ■ Look for changes in the tax asset valuation account. It may be 100% reserved at first, and then “optimism” increases whenever an earnings boost is needed. Lowering the reserve decreases tax expense and increases net income.
Goodwill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Companies must annually assess goodwill balances for impairment on a qualitative basis. If further testing appears necessary, it is based on estimates of the fair value of the reporting units (US GAAP issuers), or cash-generating units (IFRS issuers), associated with goodwill balances. The tests are based on subjective estimates, including future cash flows and the employment of discount rates. ■ Do the disclosures on goodwill testing suggest that the exercise was skewed to avoid impairment charges?
Warranty reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Have additions to the reserves been reduced, perhaps to make earnings targets? Examine the trend in the charges of actual costs against the reserves: Do they support or contradict the warranty provisioning activity? Do the actual costs charged against the reserve give the analyst any indication about the quality of the products sold?
Related-party transactions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Is the company engaged in transactions that disproportionately benefit members of management? Does one company have control over another’s destiny through supply contracts or other dealings? ■ Do extensive dealings take place with <i>non-public</i> companies that are under management control? If so, those companies could absorb losses (through supply arrangements that are unfavorable to them, for example) in order to make the public company’s performance look good. This scenario may provide opportunities for an owner to cash out.

The most important lesson is that choices exist among accounting methods and estimates, and an analyst needs a working knowledge of them in order to understand whether management may have made choices to achieve a desired result.

12

WARNING SIGNS

- i. describe accounting warning signs and methods for detecting manipulation of information in financial reports.

The choices management makes to achieve desired results leave a trail, like tracks in sand or snow. The evidence, or warning signs, of information manipulation in financial reports is directly linked to the basic means of manipulation: biased revenue recognition and biased expense recognition. The bias may relate to timing and/or location of recognition. An example of the timing issue is that a company may choose to defer expenses by capitalizing them. Regarding location, it may recognize a loss in other comprehensive income or directly through equity, rather than through the profit and loss statement. The alert investor or analyst should do the following to find warning signs.

1) Pay attention to revenue.

The single largest number on the income statement is revenue, and revenue recognition is a recurring source of accounting manipulation and even outright fraud. Answering the question, “Is revenue higher or lower than the previous comparable period?” is not sufficient. Many analytical procedures can be routinely performed to identify warning signals of malfeasance:

- *Examine the accounting policies note for a company's revenue recognition policies.*
 - Consider whether the policies make it easier to prematurely recognize revenue, such as recognizing revenue immediately upon shipment of goods, or if the company uses bill-and-hold arrangements whereby a sale is recognized before goods are actually shipped to the customer.
 - Barter transactions may exist, which can be difficult to value properly.
 - Rebate programs involve many estimates, including forecasts of the amount of rebates that will ultimately be incurred, which can have significant effects on revenue recognition.
 - Multiple-deliverable arrangements of goods and services are common, but clarity about the timing of revenue recognition for each item or service delivered is necessary for the investor to be comfortable with the reporting of revenues.

Although none of these decisions necessarily violates accounting standards, each involves significant judgement and warrants close attention if other warning signs are present.

- *Look at revenue relationships.* Compare a company's revenue growth with its primary competitors or its industry peer group.
 - If a company's revenue growth is out of line with its competitors, its industry, or the economy, the investor or analyst needs to understand the reasons for the outperformance. It may be a result of superior management or products and services, but not all management is superior, nor are the products and services of their companies. Revenue quality might be suspect, and the investor should take additional analytical steps.
 - Compare accounts receivable with revenues over several years.

- Examine the trend to determine whether receivables are increasing as a percentage of total revenues. If so, a company might be engaging in channel-stuffing activities, or worse, recording fictitious sales transactions.
- Calculate receivables turnover for several years:
 - Examine the trend for unusual changes and seek an explanation if they exist.
 - Compare a company's days sales outstanding (DSO) or receivables turnover with that of relevant competitors or an industry peer group and determine whether the company is an outlier.

An increase in DSO or decrease in receivables turnover could suggest that some revenues are recorded prematurely or are even fictitious, or that the allowance for doubtful accounts is insufficient.

- Examine asset turnover. If a company's managers make poor asset allocation choices, revenues may not be sufficient to justify the investment. Be particularly alert when asset allocation choices involve acquisitions of entire companies. If post-acquisition revenue generation is weak, managers might reach for revenue growth anywhere it can be found. That reach for growth might result in accounting abuses.

Revenues, divided by total assets, indicate the productivity of assets in generating revenues. If the company's asset turnover is continually declining, or lagging the asset turnover of competitors or the industry, it may portend future asset write-downs, particularly in the goodwill balances of acquisitive companies.

2) Pay attention to signals from inventories.

Although inventory is not a component of every company's asset base, its presence creates an opportunity for accounting manipulation.

- *Look at inventory relationships.* Because revenues involve items sold from inventory, the kind of examination an investor should perform on inventory is similar to that for revenues.
 - Compare growth in inventories with competitors and industry benchmarks. If a company's inventory growth is out of line with its peers, without any concurrent sales growth, then it may be simply the result of poor inventory management—an operational inefficiency that might affect an investor's view of a company. It may also signal obsolescence problems in the company's inventory that have not yet been recognized through markdowns to the inventory's net realizable value. Reported gross and net profits could be overstated because of overstated inventory.
 - Calculate the inventory turnover ratio. This ratio is the cost of sales divided by the average ending inventory. Declining inventory turnover could also suggest obsolescence problems that should be recognized.
 - Companies reporting under US GAAP may use LIFO inventory cost flow assumptions. When this assumption is part of the accounting policies, and a company operates in an inflationary environment, investors should note whether old, low-cost inventory costs have been passed through current earnings and artificially improved gross, operating, and net profits.

3) Pay attention to capitalization policies and deferred costs.

In a study of enforcement actions over a five-year period, the SEC found that improper revenue recognition was the most prevalent accounting issue.²⁸ Suppression of expenses was the next most prevalent problem noted. As the earlier discussion of WorldCom showed, improper capitalization practices can result in a significant misstatement of financial results.

- *Examine the company's accounting policy note for its capitalization policy for long-term assets, including interest costs, and for its handling of other deferred costs.* Compare the company's policy with the industry practice. If the company is the only one capitalizing certain costs while other industry participants treat them as expenses, a red flag is raised. If an outlier company of this type is encountered, it would be useful to cross-check such a company's asset turnover and profitability margins with others in its industry. An investor might expect such a company to be more profitable than its competitors, but the investor might also have lower confidence in the quality of the reported numbers.

4) Pay attention to the relationship of cash flow and net income.

Net income propels stock prices, but cash flow pays bills. Management can manipulate either one, but sooner or later, net income must be realized in cash if a company is to remain viable. When net income is higher than cash provided by operations, one possibility is that aggressive accrual accounting policies have shifted current expenses to later periods. Increasing earnings in the presence of declining cash generated by operations might signal accounting irregularities.

- *Construct a time series of cash generated by operations divided by net income.* If the ratio is consistently below 1.0 or has declined repeatedly, there may be problems in the company's accrual accounts.

5) Other potential warnings signs.

Other areas that might suggest the need for further analysis include the following:

- *Depreciation methods and useful lives.* As discussed earlier, selection of depreciation methods and useful lives can greatly influence profitability. An investor should compare a company's policies with those of its peers to determine whether it is particularly lenient in its effects on earnings. Investors should likewise compare the length of depreciable lives used by a company with those used by its peers.
- *Fourth-quarter surprises.* An investor should be suspicious of possible earnings management if a company routinely disappoints investors with poor earnings or overachieves in the fourth quarter of the year when no seasonality exists in the business. The company may be over- or under-reporting profits in the first three quarters of the year.
- *Presence of related-party transactions.* Related-party transactions often arise when a company's founders are still very active in managing the company, with much of their wealth tied to the company's fortunes. They may be more biased

²⁸ SEC, "Report Pursuant to Section 704 of the Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002" (www.sec.gov/news/studies/sox704report.pdf): 5–6.

in their view of a company's performance because it relates directly to their own wealth and reputations, and they may be able to transact business with the company in ways that may not be detected. For instance, they may purchase unsellable inventory from the company for disposal in another company of their own in order to avoid markdowns.

- *Non-operating income or one-time sales included in revenue.* To disguise weakening revenue growth, or just to enhance revenue growth, a company might classify non-operating income items into revenues or fail to clarify the nature of revenues. In the first quarter of 1997, Sunbeam Corporation included one-time disposal of product lines in sales without indicating that such non-recurring sales were included in revenues. This inclusion gave investors a false impression of the company's sustainable revenue-generating capability.
- *Classification of expenses as "non-recurring."* To make operating performance look more attractive, managers might carve out "special items" in the income statement. Particularly when these items appear period after period, equity investors might find their interests best served by not accepting the carve-out of serial "special items" and instead focusing on the net income line in evaluating performance over long periods.
- *Gross/operating margins out of line with competitors or industry.* This disparity is an ambivalent warning sign. It might signal superior management ability. But it might also signal the presence of accounting manipulations to add a veneer of superior management ability to the company's reputation. Only the compilation and examination of other warning signals will enable an investor or analyst to decide which signal is being given.

Warning signals are just that: signals, not indisputable declarations of accounting manipulation guilt. Investors and analysts need to evaluate them cohesively, not on an isolated basis. When an investor finds a number of these signals, the investee company should be viewed with caution or even discarded in favor of alternatives.

Furthermore, as discussed earlier, context is important in judging the value of warning signals. A few examples of facts and circumstances to be aware of are as follows.

- *Younger companies with an unblemished record of meeting growth projections.* It is plausible, especially for a younger company with new and popular product offerings, to generate above-average returns for a period of time. But, as demand dissipates, products mature, and competitors challenge for market share, management may seek to extend its recent record of rapid growth in sales and profitability by unconventional means. At this point, the "earnings games" begin: aggressive estimates, drawing down "cookie jar" reserves, selling assets for accounting gains, taking on excess leverage, or entering into financial transactions with no apparent business purpose other than financial statement "window dressing."
- *Management has adopted a minimalist approach to disclosure.* Confidence in accounting quality depends on disclosure. If management does not seem to take seriously its obligation to provide information, one needs to be concerned. For example, for a large company, management might claim that it has only one reportable segment, or its commentary might be similar from period to period. A plausible explanation for minimalist disclosure policies could be that management is protecting investors' interests by withholding valuable information from competitors. But, this is not necessarily the case. For example, after Sony Corporation acquired CBS Records and Columbia Pictures, it incurred substantial losses for a number of years. Yet, Sony chose to hide its negative trends and doubtful future prospects by aggregating the results within a much larger "Entertainment Division." In 1998, after Sony ultimately wrote off much

of the goodwill associated with these ill-fated acquisitions, the SEC sanctioned Sony and its CFO for failing to separately discuss them in MD&A in a balanced manner.²⁹

- *Management fixation on earnings reports.* Beware of companies whose management appears to be fixated on reported earnings, sometimes to the detriment of attending to real drivers of value. Indicators of excessive earnings fixation include the aggressive use of non-GAAP measures of performance, special items, or non-recurring charges. Another indicator of earnings fixation is highly decentralized operations in which division managers' compensation packages are heavily weighted toward the attainment of reported earnings or non-GAAP measures of performance.

Company Culture

A company's culture is an intangible that investors should bear in mind when they are evaluating financial statements for the possibility of accounting manipulation. A management's highly competitive mentality may serve investors well when the company conducts business (assuming that actions taken are not unethical, illegal, or harmfully myopic), but that kind of thinking should not extend to communications with the owners of the company: the shareholders. That mentality can lead to the kind of accounting gamesmanship seen in the early part of the century. In examining financial statements for warning signs of manipulation, the investor should consider whether that mindset exists in the preparation of the financial statements.

One notable example of the mindset comes from one of the most recognized corporate names in the world, General Electric. In the mid-1980s, GE acquired Kidder Peabody, and it was ultimately determined that much of the earnings that Kidder had reported were bogus. As a consequence, GE would announce within two days that it would take a non-cash write-off of \$350 million. Here is how former CEO/Chair Jack Welsh described the ensuing meeting with senior management in his memoir, *Straight from the Gut*:

“The response of our business leaders to the crisis was typical of the GE *culture* [emphasis added]. Even though the books had closed on the quarter, many immediately offered to pitch in to cover the Kidder gap. Some said they could find an extra \$10 million, \$20 million, and even \$30 million from their businesses to offset the surprise. Though it was too late, their willingness to help was a dramatic contrast to the excuses I had been hearing from the Kidder people.” (p. 225)

It appears that the corporate governance apparatus fostered a GE culture that extended the concept of teamwork to the point of “sharing” profits to win one for the team as a whole, which is incompatible with the concept of neutral financial reporting. Although research is not conclusive on this question, it may also be worth considering that predisposition to earnings manipulation is more likely to be present when the CEO and board chair are one and the same, or when the audit committee of the board essentially serves at the pleasure of the CEO and lacks financial reporting sophistication. Finally, one could discuss whether the financial reporting environment today would reward or penalize a CEO who openly endorsed a view that he could legitimately exercise financial reporting discretion—albeit within limits—for the purpose of artificially smoothing earnings.

²⁹ Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 1061, “In the Matter of Sony Corporation and Sumio Sano, Respondents,” SEC (5 August 1998).

Restructuring and/or impairment charges.

At times, a company's stock price has been observed to rise after it recognized a "big bath" charge to reported earnings. The conventional wisdom explaining the stock price rise is that accounting recognition signals something positive: that management is now ready to part with the lagging portion of a company, so as to redirect its attention and talents to more-profitable activities. Consequently, the earnings charge should be disregarded for being solely related to past events.

The analyst should also consider, however, that the events leading ultimately to the big bath on the financial statements did not happen overnight, even though the accounting for those events occurs at a subsequent point. Management may want to communicate that the accounting adjustments reflect the company's new path, but the restructuring charge also indicates that the old path of reported earnings was not real. In particular, expenses reported in prior years were very likely understated—even assuming that no improper financial statement manipulation had occurred. To extrapolate historical earnings trends, an analyst should consider making pro forma analytical adjustments to prior years' earnings to reflect a reasonable division of the latest period's restructuring and impairment charges.

Management has a merger and acquisition orientation.

Tyco International Ltd. acquired more than 700 companies from 1996 to 2002. Even assuming the best of intentions regarding financial reporting, a growth-at-any-cost corporate culture poses a severe challenge to operational and financial reporting controls. In Tyco's case, the SEC found that it consistently and fraudulently understated assets acquired (lowering future depreciation and amortization charges) and overstated liabilities assumed (avoiding expense recognition and potentially increasing earnings in future periods).³⁰

SUMMARY

Financial reporting quality varies across companies. The ability to assess the quality of a company's financial reporting is an important skill for analysts. Indications of low-quality financial reporting can prompt an analyst to maintain heightened skepticism when reading a company's reports, to review disclosures critically when undertaking financial statement analysis, and to incorporate appropriate adjustments in assessments of past performance and forecasts of future performance.

- Financial reporting quality can be thought of as spanning a continuum from the highest (containing information that is relevant, correct, complete, and unbiased) to the lowest (containing information that is not just biased or incomplete but possibly pure fabrication).
- *Reporting quality*, the focus of this reading, pertains to the information disclosed. High-quality reporting represents the economic reality of the company's activities during the reporting period and the company's financial condition at the end of the period.

³⁰ Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 2414, "SEC Brings Settled Charges Against Tyco International Ltd. Alleging Billion Dollar Accounting Fraud," SEC (17 April 2006): www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2006/lr19657.htm.

- *Results quality* (commonly referred to as earnings quality) pertains to the earnings and cash generated by the company's actual economic activities and the resulting financial condition, relative to expectations of current and future financial performance. Quality earnings are regarded as being sustainable, providing a sound platform for forecasts.
- An aspect of financial reporting quality is the degree to which accounting choices are conservative or aggressive. "Aggressive" typically refers to choices that aim to enhance the company's reported performance and financial position by inflating the amount of revenues, earnings, and/or operating cash flow reported in the period; or by decreasing expenses for the period and/or the amount of debt reported on the balance sheet.
- Conservatism in financial reports can result from either (1) accounting standards that specifically require a conservative treatment of a transaction or an event or (2) judgments made by managers when applying accounting standards that result in conservative results.
- Managers may be motivated to issue less-than-high-quality financial reports in order to mask poor performance, to boost the stock price, to increase personal compensation, and/or to avoid violation of debt covenants.
- Conditions that are conducive to the issuance of low-quality financial reports include a cultural environment that result in fewer or less transparent financial disclosures, book/tax conformity that shifts emphasis toward legal compliance and away from fair presentation, and limited capital markets regulation.
- Mechanisms that discipline financial reporting quality include the free market and incentives for companies to minimize cost of capital, auditors, contract provisions specifically tailored to penalize misreporting, and enforcement by regulatory entities.
- Pro forma earnings (also commonly referred to as non-GAAP or non-IFRS earnings) adjust earnings as reported on the income statement. Pro forma earnings that exclude negative items are a hallmark of aggressive presentation choices.
- Companies are required to make additional disclosures when presenting any non-GAAP or non-IFRS metric.
- Managers' considerable flexibility in choosing their companies' accounting policies and in formulating estimates provides opportunities for aggressive accounting.
- Examples of accounting choices that affect earnings and balance sheets include inventory cost flow assumptions, estimates of uncollectible accounts receivable, estimated realizability of deferred tax assets, depreciation method, estimated salvage value of depreciable assets, and estimated useful life of depreciable assets.
- Cash from operations is a metric of interest to investors that can be enhanced by operating choices, such as stretching accounts payable, and potentially by classification choices.

REFERENCES

- Back, Aaron. 2013. "Toyota, What a Difference the Yen Makes." *Wall Street Journal* (4 August 2013).
- Basu, Sudipta. 1997. "The Conservatism Principle and the Asymmetric Timeliness of Earnings." *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, vol. 24, no. 1 (December):3–37.
- Bliss, James Harris. 1924. *Management through Accounts*. New York: Ronald Press Company.
- Ciesielski, Jack T, and Elaine Henry. 2017. "Accounting's Tower of Babel: Key Considerations in Assessing Non-GAAP Earnings." *Financial Analysts Journal*, vol. 73, no. 2:34–50.
- Dichev, Ilia, John Graham, Campbell Harvey, and Shivaram Rajgopal. 2013. "Earnings Quality: Evidence from the Field." *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, vol. 56, issues 2–3:1–33.
- Dichev, Ilia, John Graham, Campbell Harvey, and Shiva Rajgopal. 2016. "The Misrepresentation of Earnings." *Financial Analysts Journal*, vol. 72, issue 1:22–35.
- Ernst & Young. 2013. *Navigating Today's Complex Business Risks. Europe, Middle East, India and Africa Fraud Survey 2013* (May): [www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Navigating_todays_complex_business_risks/\\$FILE/Navigating_todays_complex_business_risks.pdf](http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Navigating_todays_complex_business_risks/$FILE/Navigating_todays_complex_business_risks.pdf).
- Ernst & Young Global Limited. 2016. *Corporate misconduct—individual consequences*. 14th Global Fraud Survey. www.ey.com/gl/en/services/assurance/fraud-investigation--dispute-services/ey-global-fraud-survey-2016.
- Gordon, Elizabeth, Elaine Henry, Bjorn Jorgensen, and Cheryl Linthicum. 2017. "Flexibility in Cash-Flow Classification under IFRS: Determinants and Consequences." *Review of Accounting Studies*, vol. 22, no. 2:839–872.
- Graham, John, Campbell Harvey, and Shiva Rajgopal. 2005. "The Economic Implications of Corporate Financial Reporting." *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, vol. 40, no. 1 (December):3–73.
- Lewis, Craig M. 2012. "Risk Modeling at the SEC: The Accounting Quality Model" Speech, the Financial Executives International Committee on Finance and Information Technology (13 December): www.sec.gov/news/speech/2012/spch121312cml.htm.
- Nurnberg, H. 2006. "Perspectives on the Cash Flow Statement under FASB Statement No. 95." Center for Excellence in Accounting and Security Analysis Occasional Paper Series. Columbia Business School.
- Nurnberg, H., and J. Largay. 1998. "Interest Payments in the Cash Flow Statement." *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 12, no. 4 (December):407–418.
- Pavlo, Walter. 2013. "Fmr Enron CFO Andrew Fastow Speaks At ACFE Annual Conference," *Forbes* (26 June): www.forbes.com/sites/walterpavlo/2013/06/26/fmr-enron-cfo-andrew-fastow-speaks-at-acfe-annual-conference/.
- Ronen, Joshua, and Varda Yaari. 2008. *Earnings Management: Emerging Insights in Theory, Practice, and Research*. New York: Springer.
- Schipper, Katherine. 1989. "Commentary on Earnings Management." *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 3, no. 4 (December):91–102.
- Watts, Ross. 2003. "Conservatism in Accounting Part I: Explanations and Implications." *Accounting Horizons*, vol. 17, no. 3 (September):207–221.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 In contrast to earnings quality, financial reporting quality *most likely* pertains to:
 - A sustainable earnings.
 - B relevant information.
 - C adequate return on investment.
- 2 The information provided by a low-quality financial report will *most likely*:
 - A decrease company value.
 - B indicate earnings are not sustainable.
 - C impede the assessment of earnings quality.
- 3 To properly assess a company's past performance, an analyst requires:
 - A high earnings quality.
 - B high financial reporting quality.
 - C both high earnings quality and high financial reporting quality.
- 4 Low quality earnings *most likely* reflect:
 - A low-quality financial reporting.
 - B company activities which are unsustainable.
 - C information that does not faithfully represent company activities.
- 5 Earnings that result from non-recurring activities *most likely* indicate:
 - A lower-quality earnings.
 - B biased accounting choices.
 - C lower-quality financial reporting.
- 6 Which attribute of financial reports would *most likely* be evaluated as optimal in the financial reporting spectrum?
 - A Conservative accounting choices
 - B Sustainable and adequate returns
 - C Emphasized pro forma earnings measures
- 7 Financial reports of the lowest level of quality reflect:
 - A fictitious events.
 - B biased accounting choices.
 - C accounting that is non-compliant with GAAP.
- 8 When earnings are increased by deferring research and development (R&D) investments until the next reporting period, this choice is considered:
 - A non-compliant accounting.
 - B earnings management as a result of a real action.
 - C earnings management as a result of an accounting choice.
- 9 A high-quality financial report may reflect:
 - A earnings smoothing.
 - B low earnings quality.
 - C understatement of asset impairment.

- 10** If a particular accounting choice is considered aggressive in nature, then the financial performance for the reporting period would *most likely*:
- A** be neutral.
 - B** exhibit an upward bias.
 - C** exhibit a downward bias.
- 11** Which of the following is *most likely* to reflect conservative accounting choices?
- A** Decreased reported earnings in later periods
 - B** Increased reported earnings in the period under review
 - C** Increased debt reported on the balance sheet at the end of the current period
- 12** Which of the following is *most likely* to be considered a potential benefit of accounting conservatism?
- A** A reduction in litigation costs
 - B** Less biased financial reporting
 - C** An increase in current period reported performance
- 13** Which of the following statements *most likely* describes a situation that would motivate a manager to issue low-quality financial reports?
- A** The manager's compensation is tied to stock price performance.
 - B** The manager has increased the market share of products significantly.
 - C** The manager has brought the company's profitability to a level higher than competitors.
- 14** Which of the following concerns would *most likely* motivate a manager to make conservative accounting choices?
- A** Attention to future career opportunities
 - B** Expected weakening in the business environment
 - C** Debt covenant violation risk in the current period
- 15** Which of the following conditions *best* explains why a company's manager would obtain legal, accounting, and board level approval prior to issuing low-quality financial reports?
- A** Motivation
 - B** Opportunity
 - C** Rationalization
- 16** A company is experiencing a period of strong financial performance. In order to increase the likelihood of exceeding analysts' earnings forecasts in the next reporting period, the company would *most likely* undertake accounting choices for the period under review that:
- A** inflate reported revenue.
 - B** delay expense recognition.
 - C** accelerate expense recognition.
- 17** Which of the following situations represents a motivation, rather than an opportunity, to issue low-quality financial reports?
- A** Poor internal controls
 - B** Search for a personal bonus
 - C** Inattentive board of directors
- 18** Which of the following situations will *most likely* motivate managers to inflate reported earnings?

- A Possibility of bond covenant violation
 - B Earnings in excess of analysts' forecasts
 - C Earnings that are greater than the previous year
- 19 Which of the following *best* describes an opportunity for management to issue low-quality financial reports?
- A Ineffective board of directors
 - B Pressure to achieve some performance level
 - C Corporate concerns about financing in the future
- 20 An audit opinion of a company's financial reports is *most likely* intended to:
- A detect fraud.
 - B reveal misstatements.
 - C assure that financial information is presented fairly.
- 21 If a company uses a non-GAAP financial measure in an SEC filing, then the company must:
- A give more prominence to the non-GAAP measure if it is used in earnings releases.
 - B provide a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measure and equivalent GAAP measure.
 - C exclude charges requiring cash settlement from any non-GAAP liquidity measures.
- 22 A company wishing to increase earnings in the reporting period may choose to:
- A decrease the useful life of depreciable assets.
 - B lower estimates of uncollectible accounts receivables.
 - C classify a purchase as an expense rather than a capital expenditure.
- 23 Bias in revenue recognition would *least likely* be suspected if:
- A the firm engages in barter transactions.
 - B reported revenue is higher than the previous quarter.
 - C revenue is recognized before goods are shipped to customers.
- 24 Which technique *most likely* increases the cash flow provided by operations?
- A Stretching the accounts payable credit period
 - B Applying all non-cash discount amortization against interest capitalized
 - C Shifting classification of interest paid from financing to operating cash flows
- 25 Which of the following is an indication that a company may be recognizing revenue prematurely? Relative to its competitors, the company's:
- A asset turnover is decreasing.
 - B receivables turnover is increasing.
 - C days sales outstanding is increasing.
- 26 Which of the following would *most likely* signal that a company may be using aggressive accrual accounting policies to shift current expenses to later periods? Over the last five-year period, the ratio of cash flow to net income has:
- A increased each year.
 - B decreased each year.
 - C fluctuated from year to year.
- 27 An analyst reviewing a firm with a large reported restructuring charge to earnings should:

- A view expenses reported in prior years as overstated.
- B disregard it because it is solely related to past events.
- C consider making pro forma adjustments to prior years' earnings.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 B is correct. Financial reporting quality pertains to the quality of information in financial reports. High-quality financial reporting provides decision-useful information, which is relevant and faithfully represents the economic reality of the company's activities. Earnings of high quality are sustainable and provide an adequate level of return. Highest-quality financial reports reflect both high financial reporting quality and high earnings quality.
- 2 C is correct. Financial reporting quality pertains to the quality of the information contained in financial reports. High-quality financial reports provide decision-useful information that faithfully represents the economic reality of the company. Low-quality financial reports impede assessment of earnings quality. Financial reporting quality is distinguishable from earnings quality, which pertains to the earnings and cash generated by the company's actual economic activities and the resulting financial condition. Low-quality earnings are not sustainable and decrease company value.
- 3 B is correct. Financial reporting quality pertains to the quality of the information contained in financial reports. If financial reporting quality is low, the information provided is of little use in assessing the company's performance. Financial reporting quality is distinguishable from earnings quality, which pertains to the earnings and cash generated by the company's actual economic activities and the resulting financial condition.
- 4 B is correct. Earnings quality pertains to the earnings and cash generated by the company's actual economic activities and the resulting financial condition. Low-quality earnings are likely not sustainable over time because the company does not expect to generate the same level of earnings in the future or because earnings will not generate sufficient return on investment to sustain the company. Earnings that are not sustainable decrease company value. Earnings quality is distinguishable from financial reporting quality, which pertains to the quality of the information contained in financial reports.
- 5 A is correct. Earnings that result from non-recurring activities are unsustainable. Unsustainable earnings are an example of lower-quality earnings. Recognizing earnings that result from non-recurring activities is neither a biased accounting choice nor indicative of lower quality financial reporting because it faithfully represents economic events.
- 6 B is correct. At the top of the quality spectrum of financial reports are reports that conform to GAAP, are decision useful, and have earnings that are sustainable and offer adequate returns. In other words, these reports have both high financial reporting quality and high earnings quality.
- 7 A is correct. Financial reports span a quality continuum from high to low based on decision-usefulness and earnings quality (see Exhibit 2 of the reading). The lowest-quality reports portray fictitious events, which may misrepresent the company's performance and/or obscure fraudulent misappropriation of the company's assets.
- 8 B is correct. Deferring research and development (R&D) investments into the next reporting period is an example of earnings management by taking a *real* action.
- 9 B is correct. High-quality financial reports offer useful information, meaningful information that is relevant and faithfully represents actual performance. Although low earnings quality may not be desirable, if the reported earnings

are representative of actual performance, they are consistent with high-quality financial reporting. Highest-quality financial reports reflect both high financial reporting quality and high earnings quality.

- 10 B is correct. Aggressive accounting choices aim to enhance the company's reported performance by inflating the amount of revenues, earnings, and/or operating cash flow reported in the period. Consequently, the financial performance for that period would most likely exhibit an upward bias.
- 11 C is correct. Accounting choices are considered conservative if they decrease the company's reported performance and financial position in the period under review. Conservative choices may increase the amount of debt reported on the balance sheet. They may decrease the revenues, earnings, and/or operating cash flow reported for the period and increase those amounts in later periods.
- 12 A is correct. Conservatism reduces the possibility of litigation and, by extension, litigation costs. Rarely, if ever, is a company sued because it understated good news or overstated bad news. Accounting conservatism is a type of bias in financial reporting that decreases a company's reported performance. Conservatism directly conflicts with the characteristic of neutrality.
- 13 A is correct. Managers often have incentives to meet or beat market expectations, particularly if management compensation is linked to increases in stock prices or to reported earnings.
- 14 B is correct. Managers may be motivated to underestimate earnings in the reporting period and increase the probability of meeting or exceeding the next period's earnings target.
- 15 C is correct. Typically, conditions of opportunity, motivation, and rationalization exist when individuals issue low-quality financial reports. Rationalization occurs when an individual is concerned about a choice and needs to be able to justify it to herself or himself. If the manager is concerned about a choice in a financial report, she or he may ask for other opinions to convince herself or himself that it is okay.
- 16 C is correct. In a period of strong financial performance, managers may pursue accounting choices that increase the probability of exceeding earnings forecasts for the next period. By accelerating expense recognition or delaying revenue recognition, managers may inflate earnings in the next period and increase the likelihood of exceeding targets.
- 17 B is correct. Motivation can result from pressure to meet some criteria for personal reasons, such as a bonus, or corporate reasons, such as concern about future financing. Poor internal controls and an inattentive board of directors offer opportunities to issue low-quality financial reports.
- 18 A is correct. The possibility of bond covenant violations may motivate managers to inflate earnings in the reporting period. In so doing, the company may be able to avoid the consequences associated with violating bond covenants.
- 19 A is correct. Opportunities to issue low-quality financial reports include internal conditions, such as an ineffective board of directors, and external conditions, such as accounting standards that provide scope for divergent choices. Pressure to achieve a certain level of performance and corporate concerns about future financing are examples of motivations to issue low-quality financial reports. Typically, three conditions exist when low-quality financial reports are issued: opportunity, motivation, and rationalization.
- 20 C is correct. An audit is intended to provide assurance that the company's financial reports are presented fairly, thus providing discipline regarding financial reporting quality. Regulatory agencies usually require that the financial

statements of publicly traded companies be audited by an independent auditor to provide assurance that the financial statements conform to accounting standards. Privately held companies may also choose to obtain audit opinions either voluntarily or because an outside party requires it. An audit is not typically intended to detect fraud. An audit is based on sampling and it is possible that the sample might not reveal misstatements.

- 21 B is correct. If a company uses a non-GAAP financial measure in an SEC filing, it is required to provide the most directly comparable GAAP measure with equivalent prominence in the filing. In addition, the company is required to provide a reconciliation between the non-GAAP measure and the equivalent GAAP measure. Similarly, IFRS require that any non-IFRS measures included in financial reports must be defined and their potential relevance explained. The non-IFRS measures must be reconciled with IFRS measures.
- 22 B is correct. If a company wants to increase reported earnings, the company's managers may reduce the allowance for uncollected accounts and the related expense reported for the period. Decreasing the useful life of depreciable assets would increase depreciation expense and decrease earnings in the reporting period. Classifying a purchase as an expense, rather than capital expenditure, would decrease earnings in the reporting period. The use of accrual accounting may result in estimates in financial reports, because all facts associated with events may not be known at the time of recognition. These estimates can be grounded in reality or managed by the company to present a desired financial picture.
- 23 B is correct. Bias in revenue recognition can lead to manipulation of information presented in financial reports. Addressing the question as to whether revenue is higher or lower than the previous period is not sufficient to determine if there is bias in revenue recognition. Additional analytical procedures must be performed to identify warning signals of accounting malfeasance. Barter transactions are difficult to value properly and may result in bias in revenue recognition. Policies that make it easier to prematurely recognize revenue, such as before goods are shipped to customers, may be a warning sign of accounting malfeasance.
- 24 A is correct. Managers can temporarily show a higher cash flow from operations by stretching the accounts payable credit period. In other words, the managers delay payments until the next accounting period. Applying all non-cash discount amortization against interest capitalized causes reported interest expenses and operating cash outflow to be higher, resulting in a lower cash flow provided by operations. Shifting the classification of interest paid from financing to operating cash flows lowers the cash flow provided by operations.
- 25 C is correct. If a company's days sales outstanding (DSO) is increasing relative to competitors, this may be a signal that revenues are being recorded prematurely or are even fictitious. There are numerous analytical procedures that can be performed to provide evidence of manipulation of information in financial reporting. These warning signs are often linked to bias associated with revenue recognition and expense recognition policies.
- 26 B is correct. If the ratio of cash flow to net income for a company is consistently below 1 or has declined repeatedly over time, this may be a signal of manipulation of information in financial reports through aggressive accrual accounting

policies. When net income is consistently higher than cash provided by operations, one possible explanation is that the company may be using aggressive accrual accounting policies to shift current expenses to later periods.

- 27 C is correct. To extrapolate historical earnings trends, an analyst should consider making pro forma analytical adjustments of prior years' earnings to reflect in those prior years a reasonable share of the current period's restructuring and impairment charges.

READING

26

Applications of Financial Statement Analysis

by Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, and Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA

Thomas R. Robinson, PhD, CFA, is at AACSB International (USA). J. Hennie van Greuning, DCom, CFA, is at BIBD (Brunei). Elaine Henry, PhD, CFA, is at Stevens Institute of Technology (USA). Michael A. Broihahn, CPA, CIA, CFA, is at Barry University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. evaluate a company's past financial performance and explain how a company's strategy is reflected in past financial performance;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. demonstrate how to forecast a company's future net income and cash flow;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. describe the role of financial statement analysis in assessing the credit quality of a potential debt investment;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe the use of financial statement analysis in screening for potential equity investments;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. explain appropriate analyst adjustments to a company's financial statements to facilitate comparison with another company.

Note: Changes in accounting standards as well as new rulings and/or pronouncements issued after the publication of the readings on financial reporting and analysis may cause some of the information in these readings to become dated. Candidates are *not* responsible for anything that occurs after the readings were published. In addition, candidates are expected to be familiar with the analytical frameworks contained in the readings, as well as the implications of alternative accounting methods for financial analysis and valuation discussed in the readings. Candidates are also responsible for the content of accounting standards, but not for the actual reference numbers. Finally, candidates should be aware that certain ratios may be defined and calculated differently. When alternative ratio definitions exist and no specific definition is given, candidates should use the ratio definitions emphasized in the readings.

1

INTRODUCTION & EVALUATING PAST FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

- a evaluate a company's past financial performance and explain how a company's strategy is reflected in past financial performance;

This reading presents several important applications of financial statement analysis. Among the issues we will address are the following:

- What are the key questions to address in evaluating a company's past financial performance?
- How can an analyst approach forecasting a company's future net income and cash flow?
- How can financial statement analysis be used to evaluate the credit quality of a potential fixed-income investment?
- How can financial statement analysis be used to screen for potential equity investments?
- How can differences in accounting methods affect financial ratio comparisons between companies, and what are some adjustments analysts make to reported financials to facilitate comparability among companies.

The reading "Financial Statement Analysis: An Introduction" described a framework for conducting financial statement analysis. Consistent with that framework, prior to undertaking any analysis, an analyst should explore the purpose and context of the analysis. The purpose and context guide further decisions about the approach, the tools, the data sources, and the format in which to report results of the analysis, and also suggest which aspects of the analysis are most important. Having identified the purpose and context, the analyst should then be able to formulate the key questions that the analysis must address. The questions will suggest the data the analyst needs to collect to objectively address the questions. The analyst then processes and analyzes the data to answer these questions. Conclusions and decisions based on the analysis are communicated in a format appropriate to the context, and follow-up is undertaken as required. Although this reading will not formally present applications as a series of steps, the process just described is generally applicable.

Section 1.1 of this reading describes the use of financial statement analysis to evaluate a company's past financial performance, and Sections 2 and 3 describe basic approaches to projecting a company's future financial performance. Section 4 presents the use of financial statement analysis in assessing the credit quality of a potential debt investment. Section 5 concludes the survey of applications by describing the use of financial statement analysis in screening for potential equity investments. Analysts often encounter situations in which they must make adjustments to a company's reported financial results to increase their accuracy or comparability with the financials of other companies. Sections 6–8 illustrate several common types of analyst adjustments. Section 9 presents a summary, and practice problems in the CFA Institute multiple-choice format conclude the reading.

1.1 Application: Evaluating Past Financial Performance

Analysts examine a company's past financial performance for a number of reasons. Cross-sectional analysis of financial performance facilitates understanding of the comparability of companies for a market-based valuation.¹ Analysis of a company's historical performance over time can provide a basis for a forward-looking analysis of the company. Both cross-sectional and trend analysis can provide information for evaluating the quality and performance of a company's management.

An evaluation of a company's past performance addresses not only *what* happened (i.e., how the company performed) but also *why* it happened—the causes behind the performance and how the performance reflects the company's strategy. Evaluative judgments assess whether the performance is better or worse than a relevant benchmark, such as the company's own historical performance, a competitor's performance, or market expectations. Some key analytical questions include the following:

- How and why have corporate measures of profitability, efficiency, liquidity, and solvency changed over the periods being analyzed?
- How do the level and trend in a company's profitability, efficiency, liquidity, and solvency compare with the corresponding results of other companies in the same industry? What factors explain any differences?
- What aspects of performance are critical for a company to successfully compete in its industry, and how did the company perform relative to those critical performance aspects?
- What are the company's business model and strategy, and how did they influence the company's performance as reflected in, for example, its sales growth, efficiency, and profitability?

Data available to answer these questions include the company's (and its competitors') financial statements, materials from the company's investor relations department, corporate press releases, and non-financial-statement regulatory filings, such as proxies. Useful data also include industry information (e.g., from industry surveys, trade publications, and government sources), consumer information (e.g., from consumer satisfaction surveys), and information that is gathered by the analyst firsthand (e.g., through on-site visits). Processing the data typically involves creating common-size financial statements, calculating financial ratios, and reviewing or calculating industry-specific metrics. Example 1 illustrates the effects of strategy on performance and the use of basic economic reasoning in interpreting results.

EXAMPLE 1

A Change in Products Reflected in Financial Performance

Apple Inc. is a company that has evolved and adapted over time. In its 1994 Prospectus filed with the US SEC, Apple identified itself as "one of the world's leading personal computer technology companies." At that time, most of its revenue was generated by computer sales. In the prospectus, however, Apple stated, "The Company's strategy is to expand its market share in the personal computing industry while developing and expanding into new related business

¹ Pinto et al. (2010) describe market-based valuation as using price multiples—ratios of a stock's market price to some measure of value per share (e.g., price-to-earnings ratios). Although the valuation method may be used independently of an analysis of a company's past financial performance, such an analysis may provide reasons for differences in companies' price multiples.

such as Personal Interactive Electronics and Apple Business Systems." Over time, products other than computers became significant generators of revenue and profit.

In 2005, an article in *Barron's* said, "In the last year, the iPod has become Apple's best-selling product, bringing in a third of revenues for the Cupertino, Calif. firm . . . Little noticed by these iPod zealots, however is a looming threat . . . Wireless phone companies are teaming up with the music industry to make most mobile phones into music players" (*Barron's* 27 June 2005, p. 19). The threat noted by *Barron's* was not unnoticed or ignored by Apple.

In June 2007, Apple itself entered the mobile phone market with the launch of the original iPhone, followed in June 2008 by the second-generation iPhone 3G (a handheld device combining the features of a mobile phone, an iPod, and an internet connection device). Soon after, the company launched the iTunes App Store, which allows users to download third-party applications onto their iPhones. As noted in a 2009 *Business Week* article, Apple "is the world's largest music distributor, having passed Wal-Mart Stores in early 2008. Apple sells around 90% of song downloads and 75% of digital music players in the United States" (*Business Week*, 28 September 2009, p. 34). Product innovations continue as evidenced by the introduction of the iPad in January 2010.

In analyzing the historical performance of Apple in 2018, an analyst might refer to the information presented in Exhibit 1, which shows sales, profitability, sales by product line and product mix.

Exhibit 1 (dollars in millions)

Sales and Profitability	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Sales	229,234	215,639	233,715	182,795	170,910	156,608	108,249	65,225
Cost of goods sold	141,048	131,376	140,089	112,258	106,606	87,846	64,431	39,541
Gross profit	88,186	84,263	93,626	70,537	64,304	68,762	43,818	25,684
Gross margin	38.5%	39.1%	40.1%	38.6%	37.6%	43.9%	40.5%	39.4%
Net sales by product								
Mac	25,850	22,831	25,471	24,079	21,483	23,221	21,783	17,480
iPhone and related	141,319	136,700	155,041	101,991	91,279	78,692	45,998	25,177
iPad and related	19,222	20,628	23,227	30,283	31,980	30,945	19,168	4,957
Services	29,980	24,348	19,909	18,063	16,051	12,890	9,373	10,110
Other (includes iPod)	12,863	11,132	10,067	8,379	10,117	10,760	11,927	7,501
Total	229,234	215,639	233,715	182,795	170,910	156,508	108,249	65,225
Net sales % by product								
Mac	11.3%	10.6%	10.9%	13.2%	12.6%	14.8%	20.1%	26.8%
iPhone and related	61.6%	63.4%	66.3%	55.8%	53.4%	50.3%	42.5%	38.6%

Exhibit 1 (Continued)

Sales and Profitability	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
iPad and related	8.4%	9.6%	9.9%	16.6%	18.7%	19.8%	17.7%	7.6%
Services	13.1%	11.3%	8.5%	9.9%	9.4%	8.2%	8.7%	15.5%
Other (includes iPod)	5.6%	5.2%	4.3%	4.6%	5.9%	6.9%	11.0%	11.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: Apple 10-K filings.

Using the information provided, address the following:

- 1 How have sales and gross margin changed over time?
- 2 How has the company's product mix changed since the introduction of the iPad in 2010, and what might this change suggest for an analyst in evaluating Apple's profitability over time and its ability to maintain that profitability?

Solution to 1:

Since 2010 total sales have increased from \$65 billion to \$229 billion. This represents an annualized growth rate of almost 20%. There was only one year that did not have sales growth in dollars (2016). Gross margin has ranged from 37.6% to 43.9%. Gross margin increased from 2010, when the iPad was introduced, through 2012, when it reached its peak. Gross margin then declined in 2013 and trended upward through 2015. There were modest declines in gross margin after 2015.

Solution to 2:

When the iPad was introduced in 2010 it received a significant share of the product mix, rising to 17.7% in 2011, the first full year after introduction. The iPad's product mix share approached 20% share in 2012 and then declined slightly for two years before a larger decline down to a relatively stable product mix share of around 9%. This could be explained by reaching fairly widespread adoption. The iPhone also gained significant product mix share, rising steadily from 38.6% in 2010 to 66.3% in 2015. Share declined slightly since 2015 but still remains the largest of Apple's product segments at more than 60%. Sales of their original product, the Mac, have declined from more than 25% of sales to around 10%. Services have changed significantly but have shown a steady increase in recent years, most likely due to Apple's music and other media subscription plans. Initially a blockbuster product, the iPod is now included in "other," and this is the largest driver of the decline in that category over time.

Apple had a history of introducing new products every few years, but in recent years the company has not created new product categories. Instead the company has periodically introduced new models of iPads and iPhones. The recent decline in margins is attributable in part to the lack of new products and services and highlights the importance of product innovation to Apple in maintaining historically healthy margins.

In calculating and interpreting financial statement ratios, an analyst needs to be aware of the potential impact on the financial statements and related ratios of companies reporting under different accounting standards, such as international financial reporting standards (IFRS), US generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP), or other home-country GAAP. Furthermore, even within a given set of accounting standards, companies still have discretion to choose among acceptable methods. A company also may make different assumptions and estimates even when applying the same method as another company. Therefore, making selected adjustments to a company's financial statement data may be useful to facilitate comparisons with other companies or with the industry overall. Examples of such analyst adjustments will be discussed in Sections 6–8.

Non-US companies that use any acceptable body of accounting standards (other than IFRS or US GAAP) and file with the US SEC (because their shares or depositary receipts based on their shares trade in the United States) are required to reconcile their net income and shareholders' equity accounts to US GAAP. Note that in 2007, the SEC eliminated the reconciliation requirement for non-US companies using IFRS and filing with the SEC, however companies may still voluntarily provide this information for comparison purposes.

In general, because the reconciliation data are no longer required by the SEC, we cannot always determine whether differences in net income, equity, and thus ROE also exist between IFRS and the companies' home-country GAAP (including US GAAP).

Comparison of the levels and trends in a company's performance provide information about *how* the company performed. The company's management presents its view about causes underlying its performance in the management commentary or management discussion and analysis (MD&A) section of its annual report and during periodic conference calls with analysts and investors. To gain additional understanding of the causes underlying a company's performance, an analyst can review industry information or seek information from additional sources, such as consumer surveys.

The results of an analysis of past performance provide a basis for reaching conclusions and making recommendations. For example, an analysis undertaken as the basis for a forward-looking study might conclude that a company's future performance is or is not likely to reflect continuation of recent historical trends. As another example, an analysis to support a market-based valuation of a company might focus on whether the company's profitability and growth outlook, which is better (worse) than the peer group median, justifies its relatively high (low) valuation. This analysis would consider market multiples, such as price-to-earnings ratio (P/E), price-to-book ratio, and total invested capital to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization).² As another example, an analysis undertaken as part of an evaluation of the management of two companies might result in conclusions about whether one company has grown as fast as another company, or as fast as the industry overall, and whether each company has maintained profitability while growing.

2

APPLICATION: PROJECTING FUTURE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AS AN INPUT TO MARKET BASED VALUATION

- b** demonstrate how to forecast a company's future net income and cash flow;

2 Total invested capital is the sum of market value of common equity, book value of preferred equity, and face value of debt.

Projections of future financial performance are used in determining the value of a company or its equity component. Projections of future financial performance are also used in credit analysis—particularly in project finance or acquisition finance—to determine whether a company's cash flows will be adequate to pay the interest and principal on its debt and to evaluate whether a company will likely remain in compliance with its financial covenants.

Sources of data for analysts' projections include some or all of the following: the company's projections, the company's previous financial statements, industry structure and outlook, and macroeconomic forecasts.

Evaluating a company's past performance may provide a basis for forward-looking analyses. An evaluation of a company's business and economic environment and its history may persuade the analyst that historical information constitutes a valid basis for such analyses and that the analyst's projections may be based on the continuance of past trends, perhaps with some adjustments. Alternatively, in the case of a major acquisition or divestiture, for a start-up company, or for a company operating in a volatile industry, past performance may be less relevant to future performance.

Projections of a company's near-term performance may be used as an input to market-based valuation or relative valuation (i.e., valuation based on price multiples). Such projections may involve projecting next year's sales and using the common-size income statement to project major expense items or particular margins on sales (e.g., gross profit margin or operating profit margin). These calculations will then lead to the development of an income measure for a valuation calculation, such as net income, earnings per share (EPS) or EBITDA. More complex projections of a company's future performance involve developing a more detailed analysis of the components of performance for multiple periods—for example, projections of sales and gross margin by product line, projection of operating expenses based on historical patterns, and projection of interest expense based on requisite debt funding, interest rates, and applicable taxes. Furthermore, a projection should include sensitivity analyses applied to the major assumptions.

2.1 Projecting Performance: An Input to Market-Based Valuation

One application of financial statement analysis involves projecting a company's near-term performance as an input to market-based valuation. For example, an analyst might project a company's sales and profit margin to estimate EPS and then apply a projected P/E to establish a target price for the company's stock.

Analysts often take a top-down approach to projecting a company's sales.³ First, industry sales are projected on the basis of their historical relationship with some macroeconomic indicator, such as growth in real gross domestic product (GDP). In researching the automobile industry, for example, the analyst may find that the industry's annual domestic unit car sales (number of cars sold in domestic markets) bears a relationship to annual changes in real GDP. Regression analysis is often used to establish the parameters of such relationships. Other factors in projecting sales may include consumer income or tastes, technological developments, and the availability of substitute products or services. After industry sales are projected, a company's market share is projected. Company-level market share projections may be based on historical market share and a forward-looking assessment of the company's competitive position. The company's sales are then estimated as its projected market share multiplied by projected total industry sales.

³ The discussion in this paragraph is indebted to Benninga and Sarig (1997).

After developing a sales forecast for a company, an analyst can choose among various methods for forecasting income and cash flow. An analyst must decide on the level of detail to consider in developing forecasts. For example, separate forecasts may be made for individual expense items or for more aggregated expense items, such as total operating expenses. Rather than stating a forecast in terms of expenses, the forecast might be stated in terms of a forecasted profit margin (gross, operating, or net). The net profit margin, in contrast to the gross or operating profit margins, is affected by financial leverage and tax rates, which are subject to managerial and legal/regulatory revisions; therefore, historical data may sometimes be more relevant for projecting gross or operating profit margins than for projecting net profit margins. Whatever the margin used, the forecasted amount of profit for a given period is the product of the forecasted amount of sales and the forecast of the selected profit margin.

As Example 2 illustrates, for relatively mature companies operating in non-volatile product markets, historical information on operating profit margins can provide a useful starting point for forecasting future operating profits (at least over short forecasting horizons). Historical operating profit margins are typically less reliable for projecting future margins for a new or relatively volatile business or one with significant fixed costs (which can magnify the volatility of operating margins).

EXAMPLE 2

Using Historical Operating Profit Margins to Forecast Operating Profit

One approach to projecting operating profit is to determine a company's average operating profit margin over the previous several years and apply that margin to a forecast of the company's sales. Use the following information on three companies to answer Questions 1 and 2 below:

- Johnson & Johnson (JNJ). This US health care conglomerate, founded in 1887, had 2017 sales of around \$76.5 billion from its three main businesses: pharmaceuticals, medical devices and diagnostics, and consumer products.
- BHP Billiton (BHP). This company, with group headquarters in Australia and secondary headquarters in London, is the world's largest natural resources company, reporting revenue of approximately US\$38.3 billion for the fiscal year ended June 2017. The company mines, processes, and markets coal, copper, nickel, iron, bauxite, and silver and also has substantial petroleum operations.
- Baidu. This Chinese company, which was established in 2000 and went public on NASDAQ in 2005, is the leading Chinese language search engine. The company's revenues for 2017 were 84.8 billion renminbi (RMB), an increase of 20 percent from 2016 and almost 4 times revenue in 2012.
 - 1 For each of the three companies, state and justify whether the suggested forecasting method (applying the average operating profit over the previous several years to a forecast of sales) would be a reasonable starting point for projecting future operating profit.
 - 2 Assume that the 2017 forecast of sales was perfect and, therefore, equal to the realized sales by the company in 2017. Compare the forecast of 2017 operating profit, using an average of the previous five years' operating profit margins, with the actual 2017 operating profit reported by the company given the following additional information:

- **JNJ:** For the five years prior to 2017, JNJ's average operating profit margin was approximately 25.6 percent. The company's actual operating profit for 2017 was \$18.2 billion.
- **BHP:** For the four years prior to the year ending June 2017, BHP's average operating profit margin was approximately 24.0 percent. The company's actual operating profit for the year ended June 2017 was US\$11.8 billion.
- **Baidu:** Over the four years prior to 2017, Baidu's average operating profit margin was approximately 28.5 percent. The company's actual operating profit for 2017 was RMB15.7 billion.

Using the additional information given, state and justify whether actual results support the usefulness of the stable operating margin assumption.

Solution to 1:

JNJ. Because JNJ is an established company with diversified operations in relatively stable businesses, the suggested approach to projecting the company's operating profit would be a reasonable starting point.

BHP. Because commodity prices tend to be volatile and the mining industry is relatively capital intensive, the suggested approach to projecting BHP's operating profit would probably not be a useful starting point.

Baidu. Compared to the other two companies, Baidu has a more limited operating history and remains in a period of rapid growth. These aspects about the company suggests that the broad approach to projecting operating profit would not be a useful starting point for Baidu.

Solution to 2:

JNJ. JNJ's actual operating profit margin for 2017 was 23.8 percent (\$18.2 billion divided by sales of \$76.5 billion), which is a little less than company's five-year average operating profit margin of approximately 25.6 percent.

BHP. BHP's actual operating profit margin for the year ended June 2017 was 30.8 percent (\$11.8 billion divided by sales of \$38.3 billion). If the company's average profit margin of 24.0 percent had been applied to perfectly forecasted sales, the forecasted operating profit would have been approximately US\$9.2 billion, around 22 percent lower than actual operating profit.

Baidu. Baidu's actual operating profit margin for 2017 was 18.5 percent (RMB15.7 billion divided by sales of RMB84.8 billion). If the average profit margin of 28.5 percent had been applied to perfectly forecasted sales, the forecasted operating profit would have been approximately RMB24.2 billion, or around 54 percent higher than Baidu's actual operating profit.

Although prior years' profit margins can provide a useful starting point in projections for companies with relatively stable business, the underlying data should, nonetheless, be examined to identify items that are not likely to occur again in the following year(s). Such non-recurring (i.e., transitory) items should be removed from computations of any profit amount or profit margin that will be used in projections. Example 3 illustrates this principle.

EXAMPLE 3**Issues in Forecasting**

Following are excerpts from the 2017 annual report of Textron, a global aircraft, defense and industrial company.

Textron Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31

(In millions, except per share data)	2017	2016	2015
Revenues			
Manufacturing revenues	\$14,129	\$13,710	\$13,340
Finance revenues	69	78	83
Total revenues	14,198	13,788	13,423
Costs, expenses and other			
Cost of sales	11,795	11,311	10,979
Selling and administrative expense	1,337	1,304	1,304
Interest expense	174	174	169
Special charges	130	123	—
Total costs, expenses and other	13,436	12,912	12,452
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	762	876	971
Income tax expense	456	33	273
Income from continuing operations	306	843	698
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes*	1	119	(1)
Net income	307	962	697

Footnotes:

2017 Note 12 Special Charges

(Continued)

In 2016, we initiated a plan to restructure and realign our businesses by implementing headcount reductions, facility consolidations and other actions in order to improve overall operating efficiency across Textron. Under this plan, Textron Systems discontinued production of its sensor-fuzed weapon product within its Weapons and Sensors operating unit, we combined our Jacobsen business with the Textron Specialized Vehicles business by consolidating facilities and general and administrative functions, and we reduced headcount at Textron Aviation, as well as other businesses and corporate functions. In December 2017, we decided to take additional restructuring actions to further consolidate operating facilities and streamline product lines, primarily within the Bell, Textron Systems and Industrial segments, which resulted in additional special charges of \$45 million in the fourth quarter of 2017. We recorded total special charges of \$213 million since the inception of the 2016 plan, which included \$97 million of severance costs, \$84 million of asset impairments and \$32 million in contract terminations and other costs. Of these amounts, \$83 million was incurred at Textron Systems, \$63 million at Textron Aviation, \$38 million at Industrial, \$28 million at Bell and \$1 million at Corporate. The total headcount reduction under this plan is expected to be approximately 2,100 positions, representing 5% of our workforce. In connection with the acquisition of Arctic Cat, as discussed in Note 2, we initiated a restructuring plan in the first quarter of 2017 to integrate this business into our Textron Specialized Vehicles business within the Industrial segment and reduce operating redundancies and maximize efficiencies. Under the Arctic Cat plan, we recorded restructuring charges of \$28 million in 2017, which included \$19 million of severance costs, largely related to change-of-control provisions, and \$9 million of contract termination and other costs. In addition, we recorded \$12 million of acquisition-related integration and transaction costs in 2017.

2016 Financial Statement General Footnote

*Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2016 primarily includes the settlement of a U.S. federal income tax audit. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

2016 Note 13 Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for 2016 included a benefit of \$319 million to reflect the settlement with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service Office of Appeals for our 1998 to 2008 tax years, which resulted in a \$206 million benefit attributable to continuing operations and \$113 million attributable to discontinued operations.

Source: Textron annual reports.

Discussion:

Results of discontinued operations and restructuring charges should generally not be included when assessing past performance or when forecasting future net income. For purposes of evaluating the company's ongoing operating and net profit margins the special charges related to restructuring and the special tax benefit related to discontinued operations should be removed. For example, the company's operating margin for 2017 including special charges would be 5.4% ($\$762 \text{ million}/\$14,198 \text{ million}$). Excluding special charges, the operating margin would be 6.3% ($\$762 \text{ million} + \$130 \text{ million}/\$14,198 \text{ million}$). Similarly, the net profit margin would be determined by eliminating the income from discontinued operations, particularly for 2016.

In general, when earnings projections are used as a foundation for market-based valuations, an analyst will make appropriate allowance for transitory components of past earnings. Occasionally, an analyst will observe that a company takes special charges virtually every year. In such cases, they are not transitory and should not be removed in evaluating past and future margins.

3**PROJECTING MULTIPLE-PERIOD PERFORMANCE**

- b** demonstrate how to forecast a company's future net income and cash flow;

Projections of future financial performance over multiple periods are needed in valuation models that estimate the value of a company or its equity by discounting future cash flows. The value of a company or its equity developed in this way can then be compared with its current market price as a basis for investment decisions.

Projections of future performance are also used for credit analysis. These projections are important in assessing a borrower's ability to repay interest and principal of debt obligations. Investment recommendations depend on the needs and objectives of the client and on an evaluation of the risk of the investment relative to its expected return—both of which are a function of the terms of the debt obligation itself as well as financial market conditions. Terms of the debt obligation include amount, interest rate, maturity, financial covenants, and collateral.

Example 4 presents an elementary illustration of net income and cash flow forecasting to illustrate a format for analysis and some basic principles. In Example 4, assumptions are shown first; then, the period-by-period abbreviated financial statement resulting from the assumptions is shown.

Depending on the use of the forecast, an analyst may choose to compute further, more specific cash flow metrics. For example, free cash flow to equity, which is used in discounted cash flow approaches to equity valuation, can be estimated as net income adjusted for noncash items, minus investment in net working capital and in net fixed assets, plus net borrowing.

EXAMPLE 4**Basic Example of Financial Forecasting**

Assume a company is formed with \$100 of equity capital, all of which is immediately invested in working capital. Assumptions are as follows:

Variable	Assumption
First-year sales	\$100
Sales growth	10% per year
Cost of goods sold/Sales	20%
Operating expense/Sales	70%
Interest income rate	5%
Tax rate	30%
Working capital as percent of sales	90%
Dividends	Non-dividend paying

Based on this information, forecast the company's net income and cash flow for five years.

Solution:

Exhibit 2 shows the net income forecasts in Line 7 and cash flow forecasts ("Change in cash") in Line 18.

Exhibit 2 Basic Financial Forecasting

	Time Period					
	0	1	2	3	4	5
(1) Sales	100.0	110.0	121.0	133.1	146.4	
(2) Cost of goods sold	(20.0)	(22.0)	(24.2)	(26.6)	(29.3)	
(3) Operating expenses	(70.0)	(77.0)	(84.7)	(93.2)	(102.5)	
(4) Interest income	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	
(5) Income before tax	10.0	11.9	12.9	14.1	15.3	
(6) Taxes	(3.0)	(3.6)	(3.9)	(4.2)	(4.6)	
(7) Net income	7.0	8.3	9.0	9.9	10.7	
(8) Cash/Borrowing	0.0	17.0	16.3	15.4	14.4	13.1
(9) Working capital (non-cash)	100.0	90.0	99.0	108.9	119.8	131.8
(10) Total assets	100.0	107.0	115.3	124.3	134.2	144.9
(11) Liabilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(12) Equity	100.0	107.0	115.3	124.3	134.2	144.9
(13) Total liabilities + Equity	100.0	107.0	115.3	124.3	134.2	144.9
(14) Net income	7.0	8.3	9.0	9.9	10.7	
(15) Plus: Non-cash items	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(16) Less: Investment in working capital	-10.0	9.0	9.9	10.9	12.0	
(17) Less: Investment in fixed capital	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
(18) Change in cash	17.0	-0.7	-0.9	-1.0	-1.3	
(19) Beginning cash	0.0	17.0	16.3	15.4	14.4	
(20) Ending cash	17.0	16.3	15.4	14.4	13.1	

Exhibit 2 indicates that at time 0, the company is formed with \$100 of equity capital (Line 12). All of the company's capital is assumed to be immediately invested in working capital (Line 9). In future periods, because it is assumed that no dividends are paid, book equity increases each year by the amount of net income (Line 14). Future periods' required working capital (Line 9) is assumed to be 90 percent of annual sales (Line 1). Sales are assumed to be \$100 in the first period and to grow at a constant rate of 10 percent per year (Line 1). The cost of goods sold is assumed to be constant at 20 percent of sales (Line 2), so the gross profit margin is 80 percent. Operating expenses are assumed to be 70 percent of sales each year (Line 3). Interest income (Line 4) is calculated as 5 percent of the beginning balance of cash/borrowing or the ending balance of the previous period (Line 8) and is an income item when there is a cash balance, as in this example. (If available cash is inadequate to cover required cash outflows, the shortfall is presumed to be covered by borrowing. This borrowing would be shown as a negative balance on Line 8 and an associated interest expense on Line 4. Alternatively, a forecast can be presented with separate lines for cash and borrowing.) Taxes of 30 percent are deducted to obtain net income (Line 7).

To calculate each period's cash flow, begin with net income (Line 7 = Line 14), add back any noncash items, such as depreciation (Line 15), deduct investment in working capital in the period or change in working capital over the period (Line 16), and deduct investment in fixed capital in the period (Line 17).⁴ In this simple example, we are assuming that the company does not invest in any fixed capital (long-term assets) but, rather, rents furnished office space. Therefore, there is no depreciation and noncash items are zero. Each period's change in cash (Line 18) is added to the beginning cash balance (Line 19) to obtain the ending cash balance (Line 20 = Line 8).

Example 4 is simplified to demonstrate some principles of forecasting. In practice, each aspect of a forecast presents a range of challenges. Sales forecasts may be very detailed, with separate forecasts for each year of each product line, each geographical, and/or each business segment. Sales forecasts may be based on past results (for relatively stable businesses), management forecasts, industry studies, and/or macroeconomic forecasts. Similarly, gross profit margins may be based on past results or forecasted relationships and may be detailed. Expenses other than cost of goods sold may be broken down into more detailed line items, each of which may be forecasted on the basis of its relationship with sales (if variable) or on the basis of its historical levels. Working capital requirements may be estimated as a proportion of the amount of sales (as in Example 4) or the change in sales or as a compilation of specific forecasts for inventory, receivables, and payables. Most forecasts will involve some investment in fixed assets, in which case, depreciation amounts affect taxable income and net income but not cash flow. Example 4 makes the simplifying assumption that interest is paid on the beginning-of-year cash balance.

Example 4 develops a series of point estimates for future net income and cash flow. In practice, forecasting generally includes an analysis of the risk in forecasts—in this case, an assessment of the impact on income and cash flow if the realized values of variables differ significantly from the assumptions used in the base case or if actual sales are much different from forecasts. Quantifying the risk in forecasts requires an analysis of the economics of the company's businesses and expense structure and the potential impact of events affecting the company, the industry, and the economy.

⁴ Working capital represents funds that must be invested in the daily operations of a business to, for example, carry inventory and accounts receivable. The term "investment" in this context means "addition to" or "increase in." The "investment in fixed capital" is also referred to as "capital expenditure" ("capex").

in general. When that investigation is completed, the analyst can use scenario analysis or Monte Carlo simulation to assess risk. Scenario analysis involves specifying assumptions that differ from those used as the base-case assumptions. In Example 4, the projections of net income and cash flow could be recast in a more pessimistic scenario, with assumptions changed to reflect slower sales growth and higher costs. A Monte Carlo simulation involves specifying probability distributions of values for variables and random sampling from those distributions. In the analysis in Example 4, the projections would be repeatedly recast with the selected values for the drivers of net income and cash flow, thus permitting the analyst to evaluate a range of possible results and the probability of simulating the possible actual outcomes.

An understanding of financial statements and ratios can enable an analyst to make more detailed projections of income statement, balance sheet, and cash flow statement items. For example, an analyst may collect information on normal inventory and receivables turnover and use this information to forecast accounts receivable, inventory, and cash flows based on sales projections rather than use a composite working capital investment assumption, as in Example 4.

As the analyst makes detailed forecasts, he or she must ensure that the forecasts are consistent with each other. For instance, in Example 5, the analyst's forecast concerning days of sales outstanding (which is an estimate of the average time to collect payment from sales made on credit) should flow from a model of the company that yields a forecast of the change in the average accounts receivable balance. Otherwise, predicted days of sales outstanding and accounts receivable will not be mutually consistent.

EXAMPLE 5

Consistency of Forecasts

Brown Corporation, a hypothetical company, had an average days-of-sales-outstanding (DSO) period of 19 days in 2017. An analyst thinks that Brown's DSO will decline in 2018 (because of expected improvements in the company's collections department) to match the industry average of 15 days. Total sales (all on credit) in 2017 were \$300 million, and Brown expects total sales (all on credit) to increase to \$320 million in 2018. To achieve the lower DSO, the change in the average accounts receivable balance from 2017 to 2018 that must occur is *closest* to:

- A** -\$3.51 million.
- B** -\$2.46 million.
- C** \$2.46 million.
- D** \$3.51 million.

Solution:

B is correct. The first step is to calculate accounts receivable turnover from the DSO collection period. Receivable turnover equals $365/19$ (DSO) = 19.2 for 2017 and $365/15$ = 24.3 in 2018. Next, the analyst uses the fact that the average accounts receivable balance equals sales/receivable turnover to conclude that for 2017, average accounts receivable was $\$300,000,000/19.2$ = \$15,625,000 and for 2018, it must equal $\$320,000,000/24.3$ = \$13,168,724. The difference is a reduction in receivables of \$2,456,276.

The next section illustrates the application of financial statement analysis to credit risk analysis.

4**APPLICATION: ASSESSING CREDIT RISK**

- c describe the role of financial statement analysis in assessing the credit quality of a potential debt investment;

Credit risk is the risk of loss caused by a counterparty's or debtor's failure to make a promised payment. For example, credit risk with respect to a bond is the risk that the obligor (the issuer of the bond) will not be able to pay interest and/or principal according to the terms of the bond indenture (contract). **Credit analysis** is the evaluation of credit risk. Credit analysis may relate to the credit risk of an obligor in a particular transaction or to an obligor's overall creditworthiness.

In assessing an obligor's overall creditworthiness, one general approach is credit scoring, a statistical analysis of the determinants of credit default. Credit analysis for specific types of debt (e.g., acquisition financing and other highly leveraged financing) typically involves projections of period-by-period cash flows.

Whatever the techniques adopted, the analytical focus of credit analysis is on debt-paying ability. Unlike payments to equity investors, payments to debt investors are limited by the agreed contractual interest. If a company experiences financial success, its debt becomes less risky but its success does not increase the amount of payments to its debtholders. In contrast, if a company experiences financial distress, it may be unable to pay interest and principal on its debt obligations. Thus, credit analysis has a special concern with the sensitivity of debt-paying ability to adverse events and economic conditions—cases in which the creditor's promised returns may be most at risk. Because those returns are generally paid in cash, credit analysis usually focuses on cash flow rather than accrual income. Typically, credit analysts use return measures related to operating cash flow because it represents cash generated internally, which is available to pay creditors.

These themes are reflected in Example 6, which illustrates Moody's application of four quantitative factors in the credit analysis of the aerospace and defense industry.⁵ These factors include

- 1 scale,
- 2 business profile,
- 3 leverage and coverage, and
- 4 financial policy.

"Scale" relates to a company's sensitivity to adverse events, adverse economic conditions, and other factors—such as market leadership, purchasing power with suppliers, and access to capital markets—that may affect debt-paying ability. "Business profile" represents a company's competitive position, stability of revenues, product and geographic diversity, growth prospects, and the stability and volatility of cash flows. "Leverage and coverage" reflects a company's "financial flexibility" and viability. Finally, "financial policy" relates to a company's financial risk tolerance and its capital structure.

⁵ The information in this paragraph and in Example 7 are based upon the "Rating Methodology: Aerospace and Defense Industry" (Moody's, 2018).

EXAMPLE 6**Moody's Evaluation of Quantifiable Rating Factors for the Aerospace and Defense Industry**

Moody's considers four broad rating factors for the aerospace and defense industry: scale; business profile, leverage and coverage; and financial policy. A company's ratings for each of these factors are weighted and aggregated in determining the overall credit rating assigned. The broad factors, the sub-factors, and weightings are as follows:

Broad Factor	Sub-factors	Sub-factor Weighting (%)	Broad Factor Weighting (%)
Scale	Total revenue	10	25
	Operating profit	15	
Business profile	Competitive position	10	20
	Expected revenue stability	10	
Leverage and coverage	Debt/EBITDA	10	35
	Retained cash flow ^a /Net debt	15	
	EBIT/Interest	10	
Financial policy	Financial policy	20	20
Total		100	100

^a Retained cash flow is defined by Moody's as cash flow before working capital and after dividends.

Why might the leverage and coverage factor be weighted higher compared to the other rating factors?

Solution:

The level of debt relative to earnings and cash flow is a critical factor in assessing creditworthiness. Higher levels of debt for a company typically result in a higher risk in meeting interest and principal payments on its debt obligations.

A point to note regarding Example 6 is that the rating factors and the metrics used to represent each can vary by industry group.

Analyses of a company's historical and projected financial statements are an integral part of the credit evaluation process. Moody's and other rating agencies compute a variety of ratios in assessing creditworthiness. A comparison of a company's ratios with the ratios of its peers is informative in evaluating relative creditworthiness, as demonstrated in Example 7.

EXAMPLE 7**Peer Comparison of Ratios**

A credit analyst is assessing the efficiency and leverage of two aerospace and defense companies based on certain sub-factors identified by Moody's in Example 7. The analyst collects the information from the companies' annual reports and calculates the following ratios:

	Company 1	Company 2
Debt/EBITDA	9.3	4.1
Retained cash flow/Net debt	2.6%	9.6%
EBIT/Interest	5.7	8.2

Based solely on the data given, which company is more likely to be assigned a higher credit rating, and why?

Solution:

The ratio comparisons are all in favor of Company 2, which has a lower level of debt relative to EBITDA, higher retained cash flow to net debt, and higher interest coverage. Based only on the data given, Company 2 is likely to be assigned a higher credit rating.

In calculating credit ratios, such as those presented in Example 8, analysts typically make certain adjustments to reported financial statements. We describe some common adjustments later in the reading.

Financial statement analysis, especially financial ratio analysis, can also be an important tool in selecting equity investments, as discussed in the next section.

5**SCREENING FOR POTENTIAL EQUITY INVESTMENTS**

- describe the use of financial statement analysis in screening for potential equity investments;

Ratios constructed from financial statement data and market data are often used to screen for potential equity investments. **Screening** is the application of a set of criteria to reduce a set of potential investments to a smaller set having certain desired characteristics. Criteria involving financial ratios generally involve comparing one or more ratios with some pre-specified target or cutoff values.

A security selection approach incorporating financial ratios may be applied whether the investor uses top-down analysis or bottom-up analysis. **Top-down analysis** involves identifying attractive geographical segments and/or industry segments, from which the investor chooses the most attractive investments. **Bottom-up analysis** involves selection of specific investments from all companies within a specified investment universe. Regardless of the direction, screening for potential equity investments aims to identify companies that meet specific criteria. An analysis of this type may be used as the basis for directly forming a portfolio, or it may be undertaken as a preliminary part of a more thorough analysis of potential investment targets.

Fundamental to this type of analysis are decisions about which metrics to use as screens, how many metrics to include, what values of those metrics to use as cutoff points, and what weighting to give each metric. Metrics may include not only financial

ratios but also characteristics such as market capitalization or membership as a component security in a specified index. Exhibit 3 presents a hypothetical example of a simple stock screen based on the following criteria: a valuation ratio (P/E) less than a specified value, a solvency ratio measuring financial leverage (total liabilities/total assets) not exceeding a specified value, positive operating margin, and dividend yield (dividends per share divided by price per share) greater than a specified value. Exhibit 3 shows the results of applying the screen in August 2018 to a set of 6,406 US companies with market capitalization greater than \$100 million, which compose a hypothetical equity manager's investment universe.

Exhibit 3 Example of a Stock Screen

Criterion	Stocks Meeting Criterion	
	Number	Percent of Total
Market Capitalization > \$100 million	4,357	68.01%
P/E < 15	1,104	17.23%
Total liabilities/Total Assets ≤ 0.9	61	0.95%
Operating Income/Sales > 0	3,509	54.78%
Dividend yield > 0.5%	2,391	37.32%
Meeting all five criteria simultaneously	17	0.27%

Source for data: <http://google.com/finance/>.

Several points about the screen in Exhibit 3 are consistent with many screens used in practice:

- Some criteria serve as checks on the results from applying other criteria. In this hypothetical example, the second criterion selects stocks that appear relatively cheaply valued. The stocks might be cheap for a good reason, however, such as poor profitability or excessive financial leverage. So, the requirement for net income to be positive serves as a check on profitability, and the limitation on financial leverage serves as a check on financial risk. Of course, financial ratios or other statistics cannot generally control for exposure to certain other types of risk (e.g., risk related to regulatory developments or technological innovation).
- If all the criteria were completely independent of each other, the set of stocks meeting all five criteria would be 2, equal to 6,406 times 0.023 percent—the product of the fraction of stocks satisfying the five criteria individually (i.e., $0.6801 \times 0.1723 \times 0.0095 \times 0.5478 \times 0.3732 = 0.000228$, or 0.023 percent). As the screen illustrates, criteria are often not independent, and the result is that more securities pass the screening than if criteria were independent. In this example, 17 of the securities pass all five screens simultaneously. For an example of the lack of independence, we note that dividend-paying status is probably positively correlated with the ability to generate positive operating margin. If stocks that pass one test tend to also pass another, few are eliminated after the application of the second test.
- The results of screens can sometimes be relatively concentrated in a subset of the sectors represented in the benchmark. The financial leverage criterion in Exhibit 3 would exclude banking stocks, for example. What constitutes a high or low value of a measure of a financial characteristic can be sensitive to the industry in which a company operates.

Screens can be used by both **growth investors** (focused on investing in high-earnings-growth companies), **value investors** (focused on paying a relatively low share price in relation to earnings or assets per share), and **market-oriented investors** (an intermediate grouping of investors whose investment disciplines cannot be clearly categorized as value or growth). Growth screens would typically feature criteria related to earnings growth and/or momentum. Value screens, as a rule, feature criteria setting upper limits for the value of one or more valuation ratios. Market-oriented screens would not strongly emphasize valuation or growth criteria. The use of screens involving financial ratios may be most common among value investors.

An analyst may want to evaluate how a portfolio based on a particular screen would have performed historically. For this purpose, the analyst uses a process known as “back-testing.” **Back-testing** applies the portfolio selection rules to historical data and calculates what returns would have been earned if a particular strategy had been used. The relevance of back-testing to investment success in practice, however, may be limited. Haugen and Baker (1996) described some of these limitations:

- Survivorship bias: If the database used in back-testing eliminates companies that cease to exist because of a bankruptcy or merger, then the remaining companies collectively will appear to have performed better.
- Look-ahead bias: If a database includes financial data updated for restatements (where companies have restated previously issued financial statements to correct errors or reflect changes in accounting principles), then there is a mismatch between what investors would have actually known at the time of the investment decision and the information used in the back-testing.
- Data-snooping bias: If researchers build a model on the basis of previous researchers’ findings, then use the same database to test that model, they are not actually testing the model’s predictive ability. When each step is backward looking, the same rules may or may not produce similar results in the future. The predictive ability of the model’s rules can validly be tested only by using future data. One academic study has argued that the apparent ability of value strategies to generate excess returns is largely explainable as the result of collective data snooping (Conrad, Cooper, and Kaul, 2003).

EXAMPLE 8

Ratio-Based Screening for Potential Equity Investments

Below are two alternative strategies under consideration by an investment firm:

Strategy A: Invest in stocks that are components of a global equity index, have a ROE above the median ROE of all stocks in the index, and have a P/E less than the median P/E.

Strategy B: Invest in stocks that are components of a broad-based US equity index, have a ratio of price to operating cash flow in the lowest quartile of companies in the index, and have shown increases in sales for at least the past three years.

Both strategies were developed with the use of back-testing.

- 1 How would you characterize the two strategies?
- 2 What concerns might you have about using such strategies?

Solution to 1:

Strategy A appears to aim for global diversification and combines a requirement for high relative profitability with a traditional measure of value (low P/E). Strategy B focuses on both large and small companies in a single market and apparently aims to identify companies that are growing and have a lower price multiple based on cash flow from operations.

Solution to 2:

The use of *any* approach to investment decisions depends on the objectives and risk profile of the investor. With that crucial consideration in mind, we note that ratio-based benchmarks may be an efficient way to screen for potential equity investments. In screening, however, many questions arise.

First, unintentional selections can be made if criteria are not specified carefully. For example, Strategy A might unintentionally select a loss-making company with negative shareholders' equity because negative net income divided by negative shareholders' equity arithmetically results in a positive ROE. Strategy B might unintentionally select a company with negative operating cash flow because price to operating cash flow will be negative and thus very low in the ranking. In both cases, the analyst can add additional screening criteria to avoid unintentional selections; these additional criteria could include requiring positive shareholders' equity in Strategy A and requiring positive operating cash flow in Strategy B.

Second, the inputs to ratio analysis are derived from financial statements, and companies may differ in the financial standards they apply (e.g., IFRS versus US GAAP), the specific accounting method(s) they choose within those allowed by the reporting standards, and/or the estimates made in applying an accounting method.

Third, back-testing may not provide a reliable indication of future performance because of survivorship bias, look-ahead bias, or data-snooping bias. Also, as suggested by finance theory and by common sense, the past is not necessarily indicative of the future.

Fourth, implementation decisions can dramatically affect returns. For example, decisions about frequency and timing of portfolio re-evaluation and changes affect transaction costs and taxes paid out of the portfolio.

**FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYST ADJUSTMENTS &
ADJUSTMENTS TO INVESTMENTS & ADJUSTMENTS
TO INVENTORY****6**

- explain appropriate analyst adjustments to a company's financial statements to facilitate comparison with another company.

When comparing companies that use different accounting methods or estimate key accounting inputs in different ways, analysts frequently adjust a company's financials. In this section, we first provide a framework for considering potential analyst adjustments to facilitate such comparisons and then provide examples of such adjustments. In practice, required adjustments vary widely. The examples presented here are not intended to be comprehensive but, rather, to illustrate the use of adjustments to facilitate a meaningful comparison.

6.1 A Framework for Analyst Adjustments

In this discussion of potential analyst adjustments to a company's financial statements, we use a framework focused on the *balance sheet*. Because the financial statements are interrelated, however, adjustments to items reported on one statement may also be reflected in adjustments to items on another financial statement. For example, an analyst adjustment to inventory on the balance sheet affects cost of goods sold on the income statement (and thus also affects net income and, subsequently, the retained earnings account on the balance sheet).

Regardless of the particular order in which an analyst considers the items that may require adjustment for comparability, the following aspects are appropriate:

- *Importance (materiality)*. Is an adjustment to this item likely to affect the conclusions? In other words, does it matter? For example, in an industry where companies require minimal inventory, does it matter that two companies use different inventory accounting methods?
- *Body of standards*. Is there a difference in the body of standards being used (US GAAP versus IFRS)? If so, in which areas is the difference likely to affect a comparison?
- *Methods*. Is there a difference in accounting methods used by the companies being compared?
- *Estimates*. Is there a difference in important estimates used by the companies being compared?

The following sections illustrate analyst adjustments—first, those relating to the asset side of the balance sheet and then those relating to the liability side.

6.2 Analyst Adjustments Related to Investments

Accounting for investments in the debt and equity securities of other companies (other than investments accounted for under the equity method and investments in consolidated subsidiaries) depends on management's intention (i.e., whether to actively trade the securities, make them available for sale, or in the case of debt securities, hold them to maturity). When securities are classified as "financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss" (similar to "trading" securities in US GAAP), unrealized gains and losses are reported in the income statement. When securities are classified as "financial assets measured at fair value through other comprehensive income" (similar to "available-for-sale" securities in US GAAP), unrealized gains and losses are not reported in the income statement and, instead, are recognized in equity. If two otherwise comparable companies have significant differences in the classification of investments, analyst adjustments may be useful to facilitate comparison.

6.3 Analyst Adjustments Related to Inventory

With inventory, adjustments may be required for different accounting methods. As described in previous readings, a company's decision about inventory method will affect the value of inventory shown on the balance sheet as well as the value of inventory that is sold (cost of goods sold). If a company not reporting under IFRS⁶ uses LIFO (last-in, first-out) and another uses FIFO (first-in, first-out), comparability of the financial results of the two companies will suffer. Companies that use the LIFO method, must also, however, disclose the value of their inventory under the FIFO

⁶ IAS No. 2 does not permit the use of LIFO.

method. To recast inventory values for a company using LIFO reporting on a FIFO basis, the analyst adds the ending balance of the LIFO reserve to the ending value of inventory under LIFO accounting. To adjust cost of goods sold to a FIFO basis, the analyst subtracts the change in the LIFO reserve from the reported cost of goods sold under LIFO accounting. Example 9 illustrates the use of a disclosure of the value of inventory under the FIFO method to make a more consistent comparison of the current ratios of two companies reporting in different methods.

EXAMPLE 9

Adjustment for a Company Using LIFO Accounting for Inventories

An analyst is comparing the financial performance of LP Technology Corporation (LP Tech), a hypothetical company, with the financial performance of a similar company that uses IFRS for reporting. The company reporting under IFRS uses the FIFO method of inventory accounting. Therefore, the analyst converts LP Tech's results to a comparable basis. Exhibit 4 provides balance sheet information on LP Tech.

Exhibit 4 Data for LP Technology Corporation

	30 June	
	2018	2017
Total current assets	820.2	749.7
Total current liabilities	218.1	198.5

NOTE 6. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following (\$ millions):

	30 June	
	2018	2017
Raw materials	\$30.7	\$29.5
Work in process	109.1	90.8
Finished goods	63.8	65.1
	\$203.6	\$185.4

If the first-in, first-out method of inventory had been used instead of the LIFO method, inventories would have been \$331.8 and \$305.8 million higher as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

- 1 Based on the information in Exhibit 4, calculate LP Tech's current ratio under FIFO and LIFO for 2017 and 2018.
- 2 LP Tech makes the following disclosure in the risk section of its MD&A. Assuming an effective tax rate of 35 percent, estimate the impact on LPTC's tax liability.

"We value most of our inventory using the LIFO method, which could be repealed resulting in adverse effects on our cash flows and financial condition.

The cost of our inventories is primarily determined using the Last-In First-Out ("LIFO") method. Under the LIFO inventory valuation method, changes in the cost of raw materials and production activities are recognized in cost of sales in the current period even though these materials and other costs may have been incurred at significantly different values due to the length of time of our production cycle. Generally in a period of rising prices, LIFO recognizes higher costs of goods sold, which both reduces current income and assigns a lower value to the year-end inventory. Recent proposals have been initiated aimed at repealing the election to use the LIFO method for income tax purposes. According to these proposals, generally taxpayers that currently use the LIFO method would be required to revalue their LIFO inventory to its first-in, first-out ("FIFO") value. As of June 30, 2018, if the FIFO method of inventory had been used instead of the LIFO method, our inventories would have been about \$332 million higher. This increase in inventory would result in a one time increase in taxable income which would be taken into account ratably over the first taxable year and the following several taxable years. The repeal of LIFO could result in a substantial tax liability which could adversely impact our cash flows and financial condition."

- 3 LP Tech reported cash flow from operations of \$115.2 million for the year ended 30 June 2018. In comparison with the company's operating cash flow, how significant is the additional potential tax liability?

Solution to 1:

The calculations of LP Tech's current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) are as follows:

	2018	2017
I. Current ratio (unadjusted)		
Total current assets	\$820.2	\$749.7
Total current liabilities	\$218.1	\$198.5
Current ratio (unadjusted)	3.8	3.8
II. Current ratio (adjusted)		
Total current assets	\$820.2	\$749.7
Adjust inventory to FIFO, add:	331.8	305.8
Total current assets (adjusted)	<u>\$1,152</u>	<u>\$1,056</u>
Total current liabilities	218.1	198.5
Current ratio (adjusted)	5.3	5.3

To adjust the LIFO inventory to FIFO, add the excess amounts of FIFO cost over LIFO cost to LIFO inventory and increase current assets by an equal amount. The effect of adjusting inventory on the current ratio is to increase the current ratio from 3.8 to 5.3 in both 2017 and 2018. LP Tech has greater liquidity according to the adjusted current ratio.

Solution to 2:

Assuming an effective tax rate of 35 percent, we find the total increase in LP Tech's tax liability to be \$116.1 million ($0.35 \times \331.8 million).

Solution to 3:

The additional tax liability would be greater than the entire amount of the company's cash flow from operations of \$115.2 million; the additional tax liability would be apportioned, however, over several years.

In summary, the information disclosed by companies that use LIFO allows an analyst to calculate the value of the company's inventory as if the company were using the FIFO method. If the LIFO method is used for a substantial part of a company's inventory and the LIFO reserve is large relative to reported inventory, however, the adjustment to a FIFO basis can be important for comparison of the LIFO-reporting company with a company that uses the FIFO method of inventory valuation. Example 10 illustrates a case in which such an adjustment would have a major impact on an analyst's conclusions.

EXAMPLE 10

Analyst Adjustment to Inventory Value for Comparability in a Current Ratio Comparison

Company A reports under IFRS and uses the FIFO method of inventory accounting. Company B reports under US GAAP and uses the LIFO method. Exhibit 5 gives data pertaining to current assets, LIFO reserves, and current liabilities of these companies.

Exhibit 5 Data for Companies Accounting for Inventory on Different Bases

	Company A (FIFO)	Company B (LIFO)
Current assets (includes inventory)	\$ 300,000	\$ 80,000
LIFO reserve	NA	\$ 20,000
Current liabilities	\$ 150,000	\$ 45,000

NA = not applicable.

Based on the data given in Exhibit 5, compare the liquidity of the two companies as measured by the current ratio.

Solution:

Company A's current ratio is 2.0. Based on unadjusted balance sheet data, Company B's current ratio is 1.78. Company A's higher current ratio indicates that Company A appears to be more liquid than Company B; however, the use of unadjusted data for Company B is not appropriate for making comparisons with Company A.

After adjusting Company B's inventory to a comparable basis (i.e., to a FIFO basis), the conclusion changes. The following table summarizes the results when Company B's inventory is left on a LIFO basis and when it is placed on a FIFO basis for comparability with Company A.

	Company B		
	Company A (FIFO)	Unadjusted (LIFO basis)	Adjusted (FIFO basis)
Current assets (includes inventory)	\$ 300,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 100,000
Current liabilities	\$ 150,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000
Current ratio	2.00	1.78	2.22

When both companies' inventories are stated on a FIFO basis, Company B appears to be the more liquid, as indicated by its current ratio of 2.22 versus Company A's ratio of 2.00.

The adjustment to place Company B's inventory on a FIFO basis was significant because Company B was assumed to use LIFO for its entire inventory and its inventory reserve was $\$20,000/\$80,000 = 0.25$, or 25 percent of its reported inventory.

As mentioned earlier, an analyst can also adjust the cost of goods sold for a company using LIFO to a FIFO basis by subtracting the change in the amount of the LIFO reserve from cost of goods sold. Such an adjustment would be appropriate for making profitability comparisons with a company reporting on a FIFO basis and is important to make when the impact of the adjustment would be material.

7

ADJUSTMENTS RELATED TO PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

- e explain appropriate analyst adjustments to a company's financial statements to facilitate comparison with another company.

Management generally has considerable discretion in determination of depreciation expense. Depreciation expense affects the values of reported net income and reported net fixed assets. Analysts often consider management's choices related to depreciation as a qualitative factor in evaluating the quality of a company's financial reporting, and in some cases, analysts may adjust reported depreciation expense for a specific analytical purpose.

The amount of depreciation expense depends on both the accounting method and the estimates used in the calculations. Companies can use the straight-line method, an accelerated method, or a usage method to depreciate fixed assets (other than land). The straight-line method reports an equal amount of depreciation expense each period, and the expense is computed as the depreciable cost divided by the estimated useful life of the asset (when acquired, an asset's depreciable cost is calculated as its total cost minus its estimated salvage value). Accelerated methods depreciate the asset more quickly; they apportion a greater amount of the depreciable cost to depreciation expense in the earlier periods. Usage-based methods depreciate an asset in proportion to its usage. In addition to selecting a depreciation method, companies must estimate an asset's salvage value and useful life to compute depreciation.

Disclosures required for depreciation often do not facilitate specific adjustments, so comparisons of companies concerning their decisions in depreciating assets are often qualitative and general. The accounts that are associated with depreciation include the balance sheet accounts for gross property, plant, and equipment (PPE) and

accumulated depreciation; the income statement amount for depreciation expense; and the statement of cash flows disclosure of capital expenditure (capex) and asset disposals. The relationships among these items can reveal various pieces of information. Note, however, that PPE typically includes a mix of assets with different depreciable lives and salvage values, so the items in the following list reflect general relationships in the total pool of assets.

- Accumulated depreciation divided by gross PPE, from the balance sheet, suggests how much of the useful life of the company's overall asset base has passed.
- Accumulated depreciation divided by depreciation expense suggests how many years' worth of depreciation expense have already been recognized (i.e., the average age of the asset base).
- Net PPE (net of accumulated depreciation) divided by depreciation expense is an approximate indicator of how many years of useful life remain for the company's overall asset base.
- Gross PPE divided by depreciation expense suggests the average life of the assets at installation.
- Capex divided by the sum of gross PPE plus capex can suggest what percentage of the asset base is being renewed through new capital investment.
- Capex in relation to asset disposal provides information on growth of the asset base.

As Example 11 shows, these relationships can be evaluated for companies in an industry to suggest differences in their strategies for asset utilization or areas for further investigation.

EXAMPLE 11

Differences in Depreciation

An analyst is evaluating the financial statements of two companies in the same industry. The companies have similar strategies with respect to the use of equipment in manufacturing their products. The following information is provided (amounts in millions):

	Company A	Company B
Net PPE	\$1,200	\$750
Depreciation expense	\$120	\$50

- 1 Based on the information given, estimate the average remaining useful lives of the asset bases of Company A and Company B.
- 2 Suppose that, based on a physical inspection of the companies' plants and other industry information, the analyst believes that the actual remaining useful lives of Company A's and Company B's assets are roughly equal at 10 years. Based only on the facts given, what might the analyst conclude about Company B's reported net income?

Solution to 1:

The estimated average remaining useful life of Company A's asset base is 10 years (calculated as net PPE divided by depreciation expense, or $\$1,200/\$120 = 10$ years). For Company B, the average remaining useful life of the asset base appears to be far longer, 15 years ($\$750/\50).

Solution to 2:

If 10 years were used to calculate Company B's depreciation expense, the expense would be \$75 million (i.e., \$25 million higher than reported) and higher depreciation expense would decrease net income. The analyst might conclude that Company B's reported net income reflects relatively more aggressive accounting estimates than estimates reflected in Company A's reported net income.

8**ADJUSTMENTS RELATED TO GOODWILL**

- e explain appropriate analyst adjustments to a company's financial statements to facilitate comparison with another company.

Goodwill arises when one company purchases another for a price that exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets acquired. Net identifiable assets include current assets, fixed assets, and certain intangible assets that have value and meet recognition criteria under accounting standards. A broad range of intangible assets might require valuation in the context of a business combination—for example, brands, technology, and customer lists. Goodwill is recorded as an asset and essentially represents the difference between the purchase price and the net identifiable assets. For example, assume ParentCo purchases TargetCo for a purchase price of \$400 million and the fair value of TargetCo's identifiable assets is \$300 million (which includes the fair values of current assets, fixed assets, and a recognized brand). ParentCo will record total assets of \$400 million consisting of \$300 million in identifiable assets (including the fair value of the brand) and \$100 million of goodwill. The goodwill is tested annually for impairment and if the value of the goodwill is determined to be impaired, ParentCo will then reduce the amount of the asset and report a write-off resulting from impairment.

One of the conceptual difficulties with goodwill arises in comparative financial statement analysis. Consider, for example, two hypothetical US companies, one of which has grown by making an acquisition and the other of which has grown internally. Assume that the economic value of the two companies is identical: Each has an identically valuable branded product, well-trained workforce, and proprietary technology. The company that has grown by acquisition will have recorded the transaction to acquire the target company and its underlying net assets on the basis of the total consideration paid for the acquisition. The company that has grown internally will have done so by incurring expenditures for advertising, staff training, and research, all of which are expensed as incurred under US GAAP. Given the immediate expensing, the value of the internally generated assets is not capitalized onto the balance sheet and is thus not directly reflected on the company's balance sheet (revenues, income, and cash flows should reflect the benefits derived from the investment in the intangible assets). Ratios based on asset values and/or income, including profitability ratios (such as ROA) and market value to book value (MV/BV),⁷ will generally differ for the two companies because of differences in the accounting values of assets and income related to acquired intangibles and goodwill, although, by assumption, the economic value of the companies is identical.

⁷ MV/BV equals the total market value of the stock (the market capitalization) divided by total stockholders' equity. It is also referred to as the price-to-book ratio because it can also be calculated as price per share divided by stockholders' equity per share.

EXAMPLE 12**Ratio Comparisons for Goodwill**

Miano Marseglia is an analyst who is evaluating the relative valuation of two securities brokerage companies: TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation (AMTD) and the Charles Schwab Corporation (SCHW). As one part of an overall analysis, Marseglia would like to see how the two companies compare with each other and with the industry based on market value to book value. Because both companies are large players in the industry, Marseglia expects them to sell at a higher MV/BV than the financial services sector median of 2.2. He collects the following data on the two companies.

	SCHW	AMTD
Market capitalization on 30 August 2018 (market price per share times the number of shares outstanding)	\$68,620	\$33,247
Total shareholders' equity (as of 30 June 2018 for both companies)	\$20,097	\$7,936
Goodwill	\$1,227	\$4,198
Other intangible assets	\$93	\$1,363

Marseglia computes the MV/BV for the companies as follows:

$$\text{SCHW } \$68,620/\$20,097 = 3.4$$

$$\text{AMTD } \$33,247/\$7,936 = 4.2$$

As expected, each company appears to be selling at a premium to the sector median MV/BV of 2.2. The companies have similar MV/BVs (i.e., they are somewhat equally valued relative to the book value of shareholders' equity). Marseglia is concerned, however, because he notes that AMTD has significant amounts of goodwill and acquired intangible assets. He wonders what the relative value would be if the MV/BV were computed after adjusting book value, first, to remove goodwill and, second, to remove all intangible assets. Book value reduced by all intangible assets (including goodwill) is known as "tangible book value."

- 1 Compute the MV/BV adjusted for goodwill and the price/tangible book value for each company.
- 2 Which company appears to be a better value based *solely* on this data? (Note that the MV/BV is only one part of a broader analysis. Much more evidence related to the valuations and the comparability of the companies would be required to reach a conclusion about whether one company is a better value.)

Solution to 1:

	(\\$ millions)	
	SCHW	AMTD
Total stockholders' equity	\$20,097	\$7,936
Less: Goodwill	\$1,227	\$4,198
Book value, adjusted	<u><u>\$18,870</u></u>	<u><u>\$3,738</u></u>
Adjusted MV/BV	3.6	8.9

	(\$ millions)	
	SCHW	AMTD
Total stockholders' equity	\$20,097	\$7,936
Less: Goodwill	\$1,227	\$4,198
Less: Other intangible assets	\$93	\$1,363
Tangible book value	\$18,777	\$2,375
MV/tangible book value	3.7	14.0

Solution to 2:

After adjusting for goodwill, SCHW appears to be selling for a much lower price relative to book value than does AMTD (3.6 versus 8.9) after adjusting for goodwill. The difference is more extreme after adjusting for other intangibles.

SUMMARY

This reading described selected applications of financial statement analysis, including the evaluation of past financial performance, the projection of future financial performance, the assessment of credit risk, and the screening of potential equity investments. In addition, the reading introduced analyst adjustments to reported financials. In all cases, the analyst needs to have a good understanding of the financial reporting standards under which the financial statements were prepared. Because standards evolve over time, analysts must stay current in order to make good investment decisions.

The main points in the reading are as follows:

- Evaluating a company's historical performance addresses not only what happened but also the causes behind the company's performance and how the performance reflects the company's strategy.
- The projection of a company's future net income and cash flow often begins with a top-down sales forecast in which the analyst forecasts industry sales and the company's market share. By projecting profit margins or expenses and the level of investment in working and fixed capital needed to support projected sales, the analyst can forecast net income and cash flow.
- Projections of future performance are needed for discounted cash flow valuation of equity and are often needed in credit analysis to assess a borrower's ability to repay interest and principal of a debt obligation.
- Credit analysis uses financial statement analysis to evaluate credit-relevant factors, including tolerance for leverage, operational stability, and margin stability.
- When ratios constructed from financial statement data and market data are used to screen for potential equity investments, fundamental decisions include which metrics to use as screens, how many metrics to include, what values of those metrics to use as cutoff points, and what weighting to give each metric.
- Analyst adjustments to a company's reported financial statements are sometimes necessary (e.g., when comparing companies that use different accounting methods or assumptions). Adjustments can include those related to investments; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and goodwill.

REFERENCES

- Benninga, Simon Z., and Oded H. Sarig. 1997. *Corporate Finance: A Valuation Approach*. New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing.
- Conrad, J., M. Cooper, and G. Kaul. 2003. "Value versus Glamour." *Journal of Finance*, vol. 58, no. 5:1969–1996.
- Haugen, R.A., and N.L. Baker. 1996. "Commonality in the Determinants of Expected Stock Returns." *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 41, no. 3:401–439.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Projecting profit margins into the future on the basis of past results would be *most* reliable when the company:
 - A is in the commodities business.
 - B operates in a single business segment.
 - C is a large, diversified company operating in mature industries.
- 2 Galambos Corporation had an average receivables collection period of 19 days in 2003. Galambos has stated that it wants to decrease its collection period in 2004 to match the industry average of 15 days. Credit sales in 2003 were \$300 million, and analysts expect credit sales to increase to \$400 million in 2004. To achieve the company's goal of decreasing the collection period, the change in the average accounts receivable balance from 2003 to 2004 that must occur is *closest* to:
 - A -\$420,000.
 - B \$420,000.
 - C \$836,000.
- 3 Credit analysts are likely to consider which of the following in making a rating recommendation?
 - A Business risk but not financial risk
 - B Financial risk but not business risk
 - C Both business risk and financial risk
- 4 When screening for potential equity investments based on return on equity, to control risk, an analyst would be *most likely* to include a criterion that requires:
 - A positive net income.
 - B negative net income.
 - C negative shareholders' equity.
- 5 One concern when screening for stocks with low price-to-earnings ratios is that companies with low P/Es may be financially weak. What criterion might an analyst include to avoid inadvertently selecting weak companies?
 - A Net income less than zero
 - B Debt-to-total assets ratio below a certain cutoff point
 - C Current-year sales growth lower than prior-year sales growth
- 6 When a database eliminates companies that cease to exist because of a merger or bankruptcy, this can result in:
 - A look-ahead bias.
 - B back-testing bias.
 - C survivorship bias.
- 7 In a comprehensive financial analysis, financial statements should be:
 - A used as reported without adjustment.
 - B adjusted after completing ratio analysis.
 - C adjusted for differences in accounting standards, such as international financial reporting standards and US generally accepted accounting principles.

- 8** When comparing a US company that uses the last in, first out (LIFO) method of inventory with companies that prepare their financial statements under international financial reporting standards (IFRS), analysts should be aware that according to IFRS, the LIFO method of inventory:
- A** is never acceptable.
 - B** is always acceptable.
 - C** is acceptable when applied to finished goods inventory only.
- 9** An analyst is evaluating the balance sheet of a US company that uses last in, first out (LIFO) accounting for inventory. The analyst collects the following data:

	31 Dec 05	31 Dec 06
Inventory reported on balance sheet	\$500,000	\$600,000
LIFO reserve	\$ 50,000	\$70,000
Average tax rate	30%	30%

After adjusting the amounts to convert to the first in, first out (FIFO) method, inventory at 31 December 2006 would be closest to:

- A** \$600,000.
 - B** \$620,000.
 - C** \$670,000.
- 10** An analyst gathered the following data for a company (\$ millions):

	31 Dec 2000	31 Dec 2001
Gross investment in fixed assets	\$2.8	\$2.8
Accumulated depreciation	\$1.2	\$1.6

The average age and average depreciable life of the company's fixed assets at the end of 2001 are *closest* to:

	Average Age	Average Depreciable Life
A	1.75 years	7 years
B	1.75 years	14 years
C	4.00 years	7 years

- 11** To compute tangible book value, an analyst would:
- A** add goodwill to stockholders' equity.
 - B** add all intangible assets to stockholders' equity.
 - C** subtract all intangible assets from stockholders' equity.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. For a large, diversified company, margin changes in different business segments may offset each other. Furthermore, margins are most likely to be stable in mature industries.
- 2 C is correct. Accounts receivable turnover is equal to $365/19$ (collection period in days) = 19.2 for 2003 and needs to equal $365/15$ = 24.3 in 2004 for Galambos to meet its goal. Sales/turnover equals the accounts receivable balance. For 2003, $\$300,000,000/19.2 = \$15,625,000$, and for 2004, $\$400,000,000/24.3 = \$16,460,905$. The difference of \$835,905 is the increase in receivables needed for Galambos to achieve its goal.
- 3 C is correct. Credit analysts consider both business risk and financial risk.
- 4 A is correct. Requiring that net income be positive would eliminate companies that report a positive return on equity only because both net income and shareholders' equity are negative.
- 5 B is correct. A lower value of debt/total assets indicates greater financial strength. Requiring that a company's debt/total assets be below a certain cutoff point would allow the analyst to screen out highly leveraged and, therefore, potentially financially weak companies.
- 6 C is correct. Survivorship bias exists when companies that merge or go bankrupt are dropped from the database and only surviving companies remain. Look-ahead bias involves using updated financial information in back-testing that would not have been available at the time the decision was made. Back-testing involves testing models in prior periods and is not, itself, a bias.
- 7 C is correct. Financial statements should be adjusted for differences in accounting standards (as well as accounting and operating choices). These adjustments should be made prior to common-size and ratio analysis.
- 8 A is correct. LIFO is not permitted under IFRS.
- 9 C is correct. To convert LIFO inventory to FIFO inventory, the entire LIFO reserve must be added back: $\$600,000 + \$70,000 = \$670,000$.
- 10 C is correct. The company made no additions to or deletions from the fixed asset account during the year, so depreciation expense is equal to the difference in accumulated depreciation at the beginning of the year and the end of the year, or \$0.4 million. Average age is equal to accumulated depreciation/depreciation expense, or $\$1.6/\$0.4 = 4$ years. Average depreciable life is equal to ending gross investment/depreciation expense = $\$2.8/\$0.4 = 7$ years.
- 11 C is correct. Tangible book value removes all intangible assets, including goodwill, from the balance sheet.

Corporate Issuers

STUDY SESSION

Study Session 9	Corporate Issuers (1)
Study Session 10	Corporate Issuers (2)

TOPIC LEVEL LEARNING OUTCOME

The candidate should be able to evaluate a company's corporate governance; to demonstrate methods used to make capital investment; to evaluate the management of working capital; to estimate a company's cost of capital; and to evaluate a company's operating and financial leverage.

Some academic studies have shown that well governed companies may perform better in financial terms. Increasingly, investment approaches that consider environmental, social, and governance factors, known as ESG, are being adopted. In addition to good governance practices, management decisions regarding investment and financing also play a central role in corporate profitability and performance. To remain in business as a going concern and to increase shareholder value over time, a company's management must consistently identify and invest in profitable long-term capital projects relative to cost of capital (financing) while making optimal use of leverage and working capital in day to day operations.

CORPORATE ISSUERS
STUDY SESSION

9

Corporate Issuers (1)

This study session provides an introduction to corporate governance and investing and financing decisions. An overview of corporate governance is presented along with a framework for understanding and analyzing corporate governance and stakeholder management. The growing impact of environmental and social considerations in investing is also highlighted. A discussion of the capital allocation process and the assessment of capital investment opportunities using NPV and IRR methods follows. The session ends with coverage of the short and long-term options companies may have to finance themselves and techniques for assessing a company's short-term liquidity management.

READING ASSIGNMENTS

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| Reading 27 | Introduction to Corporate Governance and Other ESG Considerations
by Assem Safieddine, PhD, Young Lee, CFA, Donna F. Anderson, CFA, and Deborah Kidd, CFA and Hardik Sanjay Shah, CFA |
| Reading 28 | Uses of Capital
by John D. Stowe, PhD, CFA, and Jacques R. Gagné, FSA, CFA, CIPM |
| Reading 29 | Sources of Capital
by Edgar A. Norton, Jr., PhD, CFA, Kenneth L. Parkinson, MBA, CCM, and Pamela Peterson Drake, PhD, CFA |

READING

27

Introduction to Corporate Governance and Other ESG Considerations

by Assem Safieddine, PhD, Young Lee, CFA, Donna F. Anderson, CFA,
Deborah S. Kidd, CFA, and Hardik Sanjay Shah, CFA

Assem Safieddine, PhD, is at Suliman Olayan Business School, American University of Beirut (Lebanon). Young Lee, CFA, is at MacKay Shields LLC (USA), MacKay Shields Europe Investment Management Ltd. (Ireland), and MacKay Shields UK LLP (United Kingdom). Donna F. Anderson, CFA (USA). Deborah S. Kidd, CFA, is at CFA Institute (USA). Hardik Sanjay Shah, CFA, is at GMO LLC (Singapore).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe corporate governance;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe a company's stakeholder groups, and compare interests of stakeholder groups;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. describe principal–agent and other relationships in corporate governance and the conflicts that may arise in these relationships;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe stakeholder management;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe mechanisms to manage stakeholder relationships and mitigate associated risks;
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. describe functions and responsibilities of a company's board of directors and its committees;
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. describe market and non-market factors that can affect stakeholder relationships and corporate governance;
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. identify potential risks of poor corporate governance and stakeholder management, and identify benefits from effective corporate governance and stakeholder management;
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. describe factors relevant to the analysis of corporate governance and stakeholder management;
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. describe environmental and social considerations in investment analysis;
<input type="checkbox"/>	k. describe how environmental, social, and governance factors may be used in investment analysis.

1

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

a describe corporate governance

Weak corporate governance is a common thread found in many company failures. Lack of proper oversight by the board of directors, inadequate protection for minority shareholders, and incentives at companies that promote excessive risk taking are just a few of the examples that can be problematic for a company. Poor corporate governance practices resulted in several high-profile accounting scandals and corporate bankruptcies over the past several decades and have been cited as significantly contributing to the 2008–2009 global financial crisis.

In response to these failures, regulations have been introduced to promote stronger governance practices to protect financial markets and investors. Academics, policy makers, and other groups have published numerous works discussing the benefits of good corporate governance and identifying core corporate governance principles believed to be essential to ensuring continuous, well-functioning capital markets and the stability of the financial system.

The investment community has also demonstrated a greater appreciation for the importance of good corporate governance. The assessment of a company's corporate governance structure and controls, including consideration of conflicts of interest and transparency of operations, has been an essential factor in investment analysis. More data availability and demands for better governance have increased the weight of corporate governance in the investment decision-making process. In addition, investors have become more attentive to environmental and social issues related to a company's operations. Collectively, these concepts often are referred to as environmental, social, and governance (**ESG**).

Section 1 of this reading provides an overview of corporate governance, including its underlying principles and theories. Section 2 discusses the various stakeholders of a company and conflicts of interest that exist among stakeholder groups. Section 3 describes the principal–agent and other relationships in corporate governance and the conflicts that may arise in these relationships. Section 4 describes stakeholder management, reflecting how companies manage their relationships with stakeholders. Section 5 focuses on mechanisms to manage stakeholder relationships and mitigate associated risks. Section 6 focuses on the role of the board of directors and its committees as overseers of the company. Section 7 explores certain key factors that affect stakeholder relationships and corporate governance. Section 8 highlights the risks and benefits that underlie a corporate governance structure. Section 9 synthesizes corporate governance concepts that should be considered by investment professionals. Finally, Section 10 discusses the growing use of environmental, social, and governance factors in investment analysis and portfolio construction processes.

1.1 Corporate Governance Overview

Corporate governance can be defined as “the system of internal controls and procedures by which individual companies are managed. It provides a framework that defines the rights, roles, and responsibilities of various groups within an organization. At its

core, corporate governance is the arrangement of checks, balances, and incentives a company needs in order to minimize and manage the conflicting interests between insiders and external shareowners.”¹

Corporate governance practices differ among countries and jurisdictions, and even within countries different corporate governance systems may co-exist. The corporate governance systems adopted in most of the world typically reflect the influences of either *shareholder theory* or *stakeholder theory* to a varying extent, as well as historical, cultural, legal, political, and other influences specific to a region.

Shareholder theory takes the view that the most important responsibility of a company’s managers is to maximize shareholder returns. Stakeholder theory broadens a company’s focus beyond the interests of only its shareholders to its customers, suppliers, employees, and others who have an interest in the company. The approach to corporate governance in a given country typically places greater emphasis on one of the two theories but can also exhibit a combination of the two. Notwithstanding the system of corporate governance used, nearly all companies depend on contributions from a number of stakeholders for their long-term success. The company’s strategy is set by the board of directors, which also oversees management. In turn, the company’s strategy is executed by its managers; financial capital to fund the company’s activities and operations is supplied by shareholders, creditors, and suppliers; human capital is provided by employees; and demand for goods and services comes from customers. Other stakeholders include governments and regulators, which seek to protect the interests and well-being of their citizens. Certain external forces, such as the legal environment and competition, affect the way a company operates and the relationships among its stakeholders.

Two reports issued during the 1990s, the Cadbury Report and the Principles of Corporate Governance, were particularly influential in shaping the global corporate governance landscape. In 1991, the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance was established in the United Kingdom by the Financial Reporting Council, the London Stock Exchange, and the accountancy profession to examine corporate governance. In the following year, the report of the committee—commonly referred to as the Cadbury Report, after its chairperson—defined corporate governance simply as “the system by which companies are directed and controlled.” The report focused on the responsibilities of a company’s board of directors, shareholders, and auditors, with shareholders implicitly identified as the primary stakeholders. In 1999, the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) produced the *Principles of Corporate Governance*, which expanded the scope of corporate governance to consider the interests of other stakeholders—notably, employees, creditors, and suppliers. According to the OECD, “Corporate governance includes a set of relationships between a company’s management, its board, its shareholders, and other stakeholders.” The *Principles of Corporate Governance*, which has been revised numerous times since its initial publication, also discusses potential positive outcomes of good corporate governance practices (including financial market stability and economic growth) and includes standards and guidelines designed to evaluate and improve the corporate governance framework throughout the world.

There is evidence that some movement toward global convergence of corporate governance systems is underway. One trend is the increased acceptance and adoption of corporate governance regulations with similar principles from one jurisdiction to another. For example, several countries implemented regulations similar to those of the US Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) in response to corporate and accounting scandals of the early 2000s. Although these regulations are not identical, they share

¹ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity, *The Corporate Governance of Listed Companies: A Manual for Investors*, 2nd ed. (Charlottesville, VA: CFA Institute, 2009).

the same objective of improving internal controls and restoring investor confidence in financial disclosures. Another trend is initiatives by international agencies to build greater consensus on important corporate governance principles. The *Principles of Corporate Governance*, for example, has been ratified by more than 30 member countries, representing a broad range of corporate governance models. The *Principles of Corporate Governance* do not mandate, or even promote, the adoption of a single corporate governance regime; rather, the principles were designed to serve as a framework that can be adopted by any number of corporate governance systems.

EXAMPLE 1

Corporate Governance Overview

Which statement regarding corporate governance is *most* accurate?

- A Most countries have similar corporate governance regulations.
- B A single definition of corporate governance is widely accepted in practice.
- C Both shareholder theory and stakeholder theory consider the needs of a company's shareholders.

Solution:

C is correct. Both shareholder and stakeholder theories consider the needs of shareholders, with the latter extending to a broader group of stakeholders. A is incorrect because corporate governance regulations differ across countries, although there is a trend toward convergence. B is incorrect because a universally accepted definition of corporate governance remains elusive.

2

STAKEHOLDER GROUPS

- b describe a company's stakeholder groups, and compare interests of stakeholder groups

A corporate governance system is likely to be influenced by several stakeholder groups. These groups do not necessarily share similar goals or needs; in fact, the interests of any one group may conflict with the interests of another group. The varying influences of these groups are important considerations for investment professionals when analyzing a corporate governance system. This section provides an overview of a corporation's primary stakeholder groups, followed by a discussion of principal–agent considerations and the conflicts that may arise among the groups.

2.1 Stakeholder Groups

The primary stakeholder groups of a corporation consist of shareholders, creditors, managers (or executives), other employees, board of directors, customers, suppliers, affected individuals and community groups, and governments/regulators. The interests of each of these groups are discussed in the following sections.

2.1.1 *Shareholders*

Shareholders own shares of stock in a corporation and are entitled to certain rights, such as the right to receive dividends and to vote on certain corporate issues.² In terms of capital structure, shareholders are the most junior class of capital providers; in case of a company bankruptcy, shareholders receive proceeds only after all creditors' claims are paid. Shareholder interests are, therefore, typically focused on growth in corporate profitability that maximizes the value of a company's equity.

As a company grows in size and its operations and structure become more complex, most individual shareholders have limited or no involvement in the company's activities. Shareholders maintain control over the company through their power to elect the board of directors and vote for specified resolutions. The board of directors is expected to represent shareholders—protecting their interests, appointing senior management, providing strategic direction, and monitoring company and management performance. In publicly traded companies that have dispersed ownership, the voting power in general meetings is distributed among a large number of shareholders. But in some companies, a particular shareholder or block of shareholders may hold a percentage of shares that gives them sufficient voting power to control the election of the board of directors and to influence the approval or blockage of a company resolution; these shareholders are known as **controlling shareholders**. In contrast, non-controlling shareholders (**minority shareholders**) hold a much smaller proportion of a company's outstanding shares, resulting in a more limited ability to exercise control in voting activities.

2.1.2 *Creditors*

Creditors, most commonly bondholders and banks, are a company's lenders and the providers of debt financing. Creditors do not hold voting power (unlike common shareholders) and typically have limited influence over a company's operations. Creditors may protect themselves and exert some control over a company by using covenants, which restrict activities of the borrower. In return for capital provided, creditors expect to receive interest and principal payments. These payments are pre-determined from the terms of a debt contract and are typically not contingent on the company's performance. Creditors usually do not participate in a company's superior performance beyond receiving promised interest and principal payments. The company's ability to generate cash flows, mainly through its operations, is the primary source of payments for creditors. Consequently, creditors generally prefer stability in company operations and performance, which contrasts with the interests of shareholders, who generally are inclined to tolerate higher risks in return for higher return potential from strong company performance.

2.1.3 *Managers and Employees*

Senior executives and other high-level managers are normally compensated through salary, bonuses, equity-based remuneration (or compensation),³ and certain perquisites. As a result, managers may be motivated to maximize the value of their total remuneration while also protecting their employment positions. Lower-level employees typically seek fair remuneration, good working conditions, access to promotions, career development opportunities, training and development, job security, and a safe

2 <https://themoderncorporation.wordpress.com/company-law-memo/>

3 The terms "remuneration" and "compensation" are typically interchangeable, with compensation generally used in North America and remuneration generally used outside North America. In this reading, unless specifically identified with North America, we primarily use "remuneration."

and healthy work environment. Overall, remuneration packages that are designed to prevent employees from chasing short-term profits are likely to be most effective and aligned with long-term shareholder interests.

As with shareholders and creditors, managers and employees have a significant interest in the company's viability. Managers and employees tend to benefit if the company performs well and are among the most adversely affected stakeholders if a company's financial position weakens. Despite some similarities, the interests of managers and employees and other stakeholders can conflict. For example, a company may be presented with a takeover offer that is attractive to shareholders but would jeopardize the interests of managers in preserving their employment at the company.

2.1.4 Board of Directors

A company's board of directors is elected by shareholders to protect shareholders' interests, provide strategic direction, and monitor company and management performance. A board is typically structured as either *one-tier* or *two-tier*.

A one-tier structure consists of a single board of directors, composed of executive and non-executive directors. Executive (sometimes called "internal") directors are employees, typically senior managers, of the company. Non-executive (sometimes called "external") directors are not employees of the company. Countries in which one-tier boards are common include the United States, the United Kingdom, and India. A two-tier structure consists of two separate boards: (1) a *supervisory board*, which is primarily composed of non-executive directors, and (2) a *management (executive) board*, which is composed of executive directors. The supervisory board oversees the management board. Two-tier boards are common in such countries as Germany, the Netherlands, Finland, and China.

In this reading, unless specified otherwise, the term "board" refers to the single board of directors in a one-tier structure and the supervisory board in a two-tier structure. Directors, both internal and external, are typically experienced individuals who are focused on fulfilling their responsibilities toward shareholders and the company while maintaining a good reputation in the business community. Directors are also typically concerned with their exposure to liability for breach of duty. Directors can mitigate this exposure, *inter alia*, by exercising appropriate levels of control over the company's operations and its management or by obtaining independent access to company documentation. A company's board of directors is discussed in more detail in Section 6 of this reading.

2.1.5 Customers

Customers expect a company's products or services to satisfy their needs and provide appropriate benefits given the price paid, as well as to meet applicable standards of safety. Depending on the type of product or service and the duration of their relationship with the company, customers may desire ongoing support, product guarantees, and after-sale service. Companies are concerned with customer satisfaction given its potential correlation with sales revenue and profit. They are also increasingly concerned about brand boycotts due to adverse environmental and social impacts and controversies caused by their products and services. Compared with other stakeholder groups, customers tend to be less concerned with, and affected by, a company's financial performance. However, customers, particularly those who are dependent on the goods or services provided by the company, typically have an interest in a company's stability.

2.1.6 Suppliers

A company's suppliers have a primary interest in being paid as contracted or agreed on, and in a timely manner, for products or services delivered to the company. Suppliers often seek to build long-term relationships with companies for the benefit

of both parties and aim for these relationships to be fair and transparent. Suppliers, like creditors, are concerned with a company's ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet its financial obligations.

2.1.7 Governments/Regulators

Governments and regulators seek to protect the interests of the general public and ensure the well-being of their nations' economies. Because corporations have a significant effect on a nation's economic output, capital flows, employment, and social welfare, among other factors, regulators have an interest in ensuring that corporations behave in a manner that is consistent with applicable laws. Moreover, as the collector of tax revenues from companies and their employees, a government can also be considered one of the company's major stakeholders.

STAKEHOLDERS IN NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

The stakeholders of a non-profit organization tend to differ from those of for-profit companies. Non-profit organizations do not have shareholders. Their stakeholders most commonly include board directors or trustees, employees, regulators, society, patrons of the organization, donors, and volunteers. The stakeholders of non-profit organizations are generally focused on ensuring that the organization is serving the intended cause and that donated funds are used as promised.

EXAMPLE 2

Stakeholder Groups

Which stakeholders would *most likely* realize the greatest benefit from a significant increase in the market value of the company?

- A Creditors
- B Customers
- C Shareholders

Solution:

C is correct. Shareholders own shares of stock in the company, and their wealth is directly related to the market value of the company. A is incorrect because creditors are usually not entitled to any additional cash flows (beyond interest and debt repayment) if the company's value increases. B is incorrect because customers may have an interest in the company's stability and long-term viability, but they do not benefit directly from an increase in a company's value.

PRINCIPAL-AGENT AND OTHER RELATIONSHIPS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

3

- c describe principal-agent and other relationships in corporate governance and the conflicts that may arise in these relationships

A **principal–agent relationship** (also known as an agency relationship) is created when a principal hires an agent to perform a particular task or service. The principal–agent relationship involves obligations, trust, and expectations of loyalty; the agent is expected to act in the best interests of the principal. In a company, agency theory stipulates that principal–agent relationships often lead to conflicts—for example, when managers do not act in the best interests of shareholders.⁴ Examples of principal–agent relationships and potential conflicts between the principal and agent are discussed in the following sections. Other conflicts among stakeholder groups not involving principal–agent relationships are also discussed.

3.1 Shareholder and Manager/Director Relationships

In shareholder-owned companies, shareholders typically grant directors and managers the responsibility to make most corporate decisions. According to traditional shareholder theory (discussed earlier), the central duty of directors and managers is to act in the best interests of shareholders. In certain circumstances, however, managers may seek to maximize their personal benefits (e.g., remuneration and perquisites) to the detriment of shareholders' interests.

Shareholder interests can also diverge from those of managers and directors with respect to risk tolerance. In some cases, shareholders with diversified investment portfolios may have a relatively high risk tolerance because the risk undertaken by a specific company can be diversified across the shareholders' investments. Managers and directors, however, are typically more risk averse in their corporate decision making so they can better protect their employment status. Such behavior may differ from the company's value creation objective. In addition, compared with shareholders, managers typically have greater access to information about the business and are more knowledgeable about its operations. Such "information asymmetry" (that is, unequal access to information) makes it easier for managers to make strategic decisions that are not necessarily in the best interest of shareholders and weakens the ability of shareholders to exercise control. Another conflict of interest might arise between shareholders and directors when the board is influenced by insiders. In this case, the ability of the board to properly perform its monitoring and control role may be hindered. Finally, a conflict between the two groups may occur if directors favor certain influential shareholders over other shareholders.

3.2 Controlling and Minority Shareholder Relationships

In companies in which a particular shareholder holds a controlling stake, conflicts of interest may arise among the controlling and minority shareholders. In such ownership structures, the opinions of minority shareholders are often outweighed or overshadowed by the influence of the controlling shareholders. Minority shareholders often have limited or no control over management and limited or no voice in director appointments or in major transactions that could have a direct effect on the value of their shares. For instance, in companies that adopt **straight voting** (that is, one vote for each share owned), controlling shareholders clearly wield the most influence in board of director elections, leaving minority shareholders with much less representation on the board.

⁴ Agency theory considers the problems that can arise in a business relationship when one person delegates decision-making authority to another. The traditional view in the investment community is that directors and managers are agents of shareholders. More recently, however, many legal experts have argued that in several countries, corporations are separate "legal persons"; thus, directors and managers are agents of the corporations rather than shareholders (or a subset of shareholders). See <https://themoderncorporation.wordpress.com/company-law-memo>.

The decisions made by controlling shareholders, or their board representatives, could also have an effect on corporate performance and, consequently, on minority shareholders' wealth. Takeover transactions are notable situations in which controlling shareholders typically have greater influence than do minority shareholders with regard to the consideration received and other deal terms. A historical example of note occurred in 2007 when Qtel, Qatar's largest telecommunications company, executed a deal with a consortium of the shareholders of Wataniya, Kuwait's telecommunications company, to acquire the consortium's shares in Wataniya (representing a 51% stake in the target). The consortium of Wataniya's shareholders sold their shares to Qtel at a premium of 48% on the stock price to the exclusion of minority shareholders.

Related-party transactions are another example for which controlling shareholders may place their interests ahead of minority shareholders' interests. Such a situation could occur when a controlling shareholder maintains a financial interest in a transaction between the company and a third party and that transaction conflicts with the company's best interests. Consider, for example, a controlling shareholder that arranges a deal between the company and a third-party supplier that is owned by the shareholder's spouse whereby the supplier provides the company with inventory at above-market prices. Such a transaction would benefit the controlling shareholder and the spouse's interests but could harm the profitability of the company and the interests of minority shareholders.

Lastly, an equity structure with multiple share classes in which one class is non-voting or has limited voting rights creates a divergence between the ownership and control rights of different classes of shareholders. Under a multiple-class structure (traditionally called a *dual-class structure* when there are two share classes), the company's founders, executives, and other key insiders control the company by virtue of ownership of a share class with superior voting powers. The multiple-class structure enables controlling shareholders to mitigate dilution of their voting power when new shares are issued. Examples of companies that have adopted multiple-class stock structures are Alibaba and Facebook (each with two share classes).

3.3 Manager and Board Relationships

Given that a board of directors typically relies on management to operate the company, the board's monitoring role can be compromised in the event of limited information provided to the board. This conflict is particularly pronounced for non-executive directors who are typically not involved in the day-to-day operations of a company.

3.4 Shareholder versus Creditor Interests

Shareholders typically seek growth in corporate profitability because of the residual nature of equity returns. However, the pre-determined returns of debt obligations normally prevent creditors from receiving any cash flows beyond principal and interest payments but do expose creditors to default risk in case of extremely poor corporate performance. From an investment perspective, shareholders would likely prefer riskier projects with a strong likelihood of higher return potential, whereas creditors would likely prefer stable performance and lower-risk activities. A divergence in risk tolerance regarding the company's investments thus exists between shareholders and creditors.

Creditors may also find their interests jeopardized when the company attempts to increase its borrowings to a level that would increase default risk. If the company's operations and investments fail to generate sufficient returns required to repay the increased interest and debt obligations, creditors will be increasingly exposed to default risk. The distribution of excessive dividends to shareholders might also conflict with creditors' interests if it impairs the company's ability to pay interest and principal.

3.5 Other Stakeholder Conflicts

In a corporation, interests can also conflict among other stakeholders. Some of these situations are as follows:

- *Conflict between customers and shareholders:* For example, a company decides to charge a high price for its products or reduces product safety features to reduce costs.
- *Conflict between customers and suppliers:* A company offers overly lenient credit terms to its customers, whereby the company's ability to repay suppliers on time may be affected.
- *Conflict between shareholders and governments or regulators:* Examples of such conflicts may include a company adopting accounting and reporting practices that reduce its tax burden, thus potentially benefiting shareholders, or a bank's shareholders preferring a lower equity capital base while regulators prefer a higher capital position. This last conflict is fairly common in the banking industry and has been increasingly in focus since the global financial crisis of 2008–2009.

EXAMPLE 3

Stakeholder Relationships

A controlling shareholder of XYZ Company owns 55% of XYZ's shares, and the remaining shares are spread among a large group of shareholders. In this situation, conflicts of interest are *most likely* to arise between:

- A shareholders and regulators.
- B the controlling shareholder and managers.
- C the controlling shareholder and minority shareholders.

Solution:

C is correct. In this ownership structure, the controlling shareholder's power is likely more influential than that of minority shareholders. Thus, the controlling shareholder may be able to exploit its position to the detriment of the interests of the remaining shareholders. Choices A and B are incorrect because the ownership structure in and of itself is unlikely to create material conflicts between shareholders and regulators or shareholders and managers.

4

OVERVIEW AND MECHANISMS OF STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT

- d describe stakeholder management
- e describe mechanisms to manage stakeholder relationships and mitigate associated risks

Because interests among stakeholder groups differ, companies often adopt mechanisms to more efficiently manage stakeholder relationships. **Stakeholder management** involves identifying, prioritizing, and understanding the interests of stakeholder groups, and, on that basis, managing the company's relationships with these groups.

4.1 Overview of Stakeholder Management

Effective communication and active engagement with the various stakeholders form the basis of stakeholder management. Although the practices underlying stakeholder management may vary, companies typically seek to balance the interests of their various stakeholders and thus limit the effect of conflicts.

To help balance these interests, corporate governance and stakeholder management frameworks reflect a legal, contractual, organizational, and governmental infrastructure that defines the rights, responsibilities, and powers of each group. The *legal infrastructure* defines the framework for rights established by law and the availability or ease of legal recourse for any violation of these rights. The *contractual infrastructure* is shaped by the contractual arrangements entered into by the company and its stakeholders that help define and secure the rights of both parties. The *organizational infrastructure* refers to internal systems, governance procedures, and practices adopted and controlled by the company in managing its stakeholder relationships. Lastly, the *governmental infrastructure* refers to regulations imposed on companies.

The corporate governance systems in such countries as France, Germany, and Japan focus on a broader range of stakeholders relative to the more shareholder-driven Anglo-American systems. Globally, there is a growing movement among regulators and practitioners to more effectively balance the interests of all stakeholders. For instance, the Companies Act 2006 in the United Kingdom introduced “enlightened shareholder value,” which requires directors to consider the interests of all stakeholders—not just shareholders. Several regulators, such as those in the United Kingdom and Japan, have adopted stewardship codes that encourage more active engagement of institutional investors with companies.

EXAMPLE 4

Stakeholder Management

The component of stakeholder management in which a corporation has the *most* control is:

- A legal infrastructure.
- B contractual infrastructure.
- C governmental infrastructure.

Solution:

B is correct. A corporation’s contractual infrastructure refers to the contractual arrangements between the corporation and stakeholders. As such, the corporation has control over these arrangements. A is incorrect because the legal infrastructure is established by law, which is outside the corporation’s own control. Similarly, C is incorrect because a corporation’s governmental structure is largely imposed by regulators.

4.2 Mechanisms of Stakeholder Management

Stakeholder management and governance practices attempt to manage the interests of all stakeholders. As mentioned earlier, a prescribed or standard set of rights and practices does not exist across all companies, and the principles vary across countries and jurisdictions. Still, there are some common control elements and governance mechanisms among companies.

4.2.1 General Meetings

Corporate laws grant shareholders certain powers and controls. The participation of shareholders in general meetings, also known as general assemblies, and the exercise of their voting rights are among the most influential tools available. General meetings enable shareholders to participate in discussions and to vote on major corporate matters and transactions that are not delegated to the board of directors.

Companies are ordinarily required to hold an annual general meeting (AGM) within a certain period following the end of their fiscal year. The main purpose of those meetings is to present shareholders with the annual audited financial statements of the company, provide an overview of the company's performance and activities, and address shareholder questions. Shareholders also elect the directors at the AGM and, in some countries, may be required to approve the financial statements, discharge directors of their duties, appoint external auditors, or vote on the remuneration of the board and/or top management. Extraordinary general meetings can be called by the company or by shareholders throughout the year when significant resolutions requiring shareholder approval are proposed. These resolutions might relate to proposed material corporate changes, such as amendments to the company's bylaws or rights attached to a class of shares, mergers and acquisitions, or the sale of significant corporate assets or businesses.

All shareholders typically have the right to attend, speak at, and vote at general meetings. Regulations, particularly corporate laws, specify conditions for inviting shareholders to general meetings and circulating information to shareholders. These conditions vary across regulations but generally aim at ensuring the participation of a large number of shareholders in general meetings without imposing excessive restrictions on the ability of the company to hold a meeting. By engaging in general meetings, shareholders can exercise their voting rights on major corporate issues and better monitor the performance of the board and senior management. General meetings and the underlying voting procedures are among the most widely adopted practices by companies in mitigating agency problems and their associated risks.

Some resolutions, such as the approval of financial statements and the election of directors and auditors, are considered ordinary at general meetings because they require only a simple majority of votes to be passed. Decisions that are more material in nature may require a supermajority vote, such as two-thirds or 75% of votes, to be passed. Such special resolutions may include amendments to bylaws, voting on a merger or takeover transaction, or waiving pre-emptive rights. Depending on the ownership structure, supermajority requirements may make it harder for majority shareholders to influence corporate decisions at the expense of minority shareholders.

Proxy voting is a process that enables shareholders who are unable to attend a meeting to authorize another individual (for example, another shareholder or director) to vote on their behalf. Proxy voting is the most common form of investor participation in general meetings. Although most resolutions at most companies pass without controversy, sometimes minority shareholders attempt to strengthen their influence at companies via proxy voting. Several shareholders sometimes use this process to collectively vote their shares in favor of or in opposition to a certain resolution.

Cumulative voting (as opposed to straight voting) enables shareholders to accumulate and vote all their shares for a single candidate in an election involving more than one director. This voting process raises the likelihood that minority shareholders are represented by at least one director on the board, but it may not be compatible with majority voting standards for director elections in which share ownership is widely dispersed. In terms of worldwide practice, the existence of cumulative voting varies; for example, it is mandated in Spain but not allowed in several countries, such as Germany, Japan, Singapore, and Turkey.

Minority shareholders are often granted rights to protect their interests in acquisitions. For example, companies in European Union member states are required to adopt sell-out rights. These rights allow minority shareholders who have voted against a merger offer to force a bidder with more than 90% of the target's voting rights to buy their shares at a fair price upon the deal's approval.

EXAMPLE 5**General Meetings**

Which of the statements about extraordinary general meetings (EGMs) of shareholders is true?

- A The appointment of external auditors occurs during the EGM.
- B A corporation provides an overview of corporate performance at the EGM.
- C An amendment to a corporation's bylaws typically occurs during the EGM.

Solution:

C is correct. An amendment to corporate bylaws would normally take place during an EGM, which covers significant changes to a company, such as bylaw amendments. A and B are incorrect because the appointment of external auditors and a corporate performance overview would typically take place during the AGM.

4.2.2 Board of Director Mechanisms

In companies with complex ownership structures and operations, it is impractical for shareholders to be involved in strategy formulation and day-to-day activities. Shareholders thus elect a board of directors to provide broad oversight of the company. Shareholders monitor the board's performance through exercise of voting power and participation in general meetings. The board, in turn, appoints the top management of the company. The board is accountable primarily to shareholders and is responsible for the proper governance of the company; in this regard, the board is the link between shareholders and managers. The board guides managers on the company's strategic direction, oversees and monitors management's actions in implementing the strategy, and evaluates and rewards or disciplines management performance. The board also supervises the company's audit, control, and risk management functions and ensures the adoption of proper governance systems and compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. In Section 6, we provide more detail regarding the functions and responsibilities of the board of directors and its committees.

4.2.3 The Audit Function

The audit function is an integral component of any governance structure. The function represents the systems, controls, and policies/procedures in place to examine the company's operations and financial records. Internal audits are conducted by an independent internal audit function or department. External auditors are independent from the company and conduct an annual audit of the company's financial records to provide reasonable and independent assurance of the accuracy of financial statements and their fair representation of the financial position of the company. External auditors are typically recommended by an audit committee (which we discuss later in the reading) for appointment by shareholders or, in some jurisdictions, by the board. The

board of directors is generally required to receive and review the financial statements and auditors' reports and confirm their accuracy before they are presented to shareholders for approval at the AGM. Senior management of publicly traded companies is also required to review and provide assurance of the effectiveness of the internal control systems to the board of directors or to shareholders. Overall, a company's audit function limits insiders' discretion with regard to the use of company resources and to its financial reporting. The audit function is also designed to mitigate incidents of fraud or misstatements of accounting and financial information.

4.2.4 Reporting and Transparency

Shareholders have access to a range of financial and non-financial information concerning the company, typically through annual reports, proxy statements, disclosures on the company's website, the investor relations department, and other means of communication (e.g., social media). This information may relate to the company's operations, its strategic direction or objectives, audited financial statements, governance structure, ownership structure, remuneration policies, related-party transactions, and risk factors. Such information is essential for shareholders to

- reduce the extent of information asymmetry between shareholders and managers;
- assess the performance of the company and of its directors and managers;
- make informed decisions in valuing the company and deciding to purchase, sell, or transfer shares; and
- vote on key corporate matters or changes.

4.2.5 Policies on Related-Party Transactions

The development and implementation of policies for related-party transactions and other conflicts of interest is an increasingly common practice among companies. These policies establish the procedures for mitigating, managing, and disclosing such cases. Typically, directors and managers are required to disclose any actual or potential, or direct or indirect, conflict of interest they have with the company, as well as any material interests in a transaction that may affect the company. Often, these policies require such transactions or matters to be voted on by the board (or shareholders) excluding the director (or shareholder) holding the interest. The adoption of these policies and procedures aims at ensuring that related-party transactions are handled fairly and that they do not advance the interests of the related party at the expense of the interests of the company or other shareholders.

4.2.6 Remuneration Policies

Executive remuneration plans have gained significant attention in the investment world, with a primary goal of aligning the interests of managers with those of shareholders. For this purpose, incentive plans increasingly include a variable component—typically profit sharing, stocks, or stock options—that is contingent on corporate or stock price performance. However, the granting of stock-based remuneration does not serve its purpose if managers can improve their personal gains at the expense of the company while limiting their exposure to weak stock performance. As a result, companies are increasingly designing incentive plans that discourage either "short-termism" or excessive risk taking by managers. Some incentive plans include granting shares, rather than options, to managers and restricting their vesting or sale for several years or until retirement. A long-term incentive plan delays the payment of remuneration, either partially or in total, until company strategic objectives (typically performance targets) are met.

Regulators across the world are also increasingly focused on remuneration policies. In some cases, regulators require companies to base remuneration specifically on long-term performance measures. A number of regulators are requiring companies, including many in the financial industry, to adopt clawback provisions. These provisions allow a company to recover previously paid remuneration if certain events, such as financial restatements, misconduct, breach of the law, or risk management deficiencies, are uncovered.

4.2.7 Say on Pay

Given the role of remuneration plans in aligning the interests of executives with those of shareholders, regulators and companies are increasingly seeking shareholder views on pay. The concept of **say on pay** enables shareholders to vote on executive remuneration matters. Say on pay was first introduced in the United Kingdom in the early 2000s. In an early example of shareholder rejection, in 2003 the shareholders of GlaxoSmithKline rejected the company's remuneration report because of opposition to the proposed executive pay. This was the first such rejection by the shareholders of a large UK-based company. Shortly thereafter, the practice of say on pay spread to other parts of the world and was implemented in the United States as part of the Dodd–Frank Act in 2011. In 2018, there were a number of instances in which shareholders voted against remuneration reports. In the United Kingdom, for example, Inmarsat plc's remuneration report was rejected by 58% of voters over concerns that executive compensation was not aligned with company performance. In the United States, 52% of Walt Disney Co.'s shareholders voted against what they believed was an excessive executive compensation package.

The scope and effect of say on pay varies across countries and companies. Some countries, such as Canada, have a non-mandatory and advisory (non-binding) say on pay system in which shareholders signal, rather than impose, their views on proposed remuneration. In other countries, such as the United States, France, and South Africa, say on pay is mandatory but non-binding. In these countries, the board is required to enable shareholders to vote on remuneration plans or packages, but the board does not have to abide by the result of the vote. Conversely, countries in which shareholder votes on say on pay are binding include the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and China.

Say on pay has been subject to criticism because of the fact that shareholders often have limited involvement in a company's strategy and operations. These opponents argue that the board is better suited to determine remuneration matters. Conversely, by allowing shareholders to express their views on remuneration-related matters, companies can limit the discretion of directors and managers in granting themselves excessive or inadequate remuneration. This approach could thus reduce a critical agency conflict in stakeholder management by better aligning the interests of principals and agents.

MECHANISMS TO MITIGATE ASSOCIATED STAKEHOLDER RISKS

5

- e** describe mechanisms to manage stakeholder relationships and mitigate associated risks

The rights of creditors are established by laws and according to contracts executed with the company. Laws vary by jurisdiction but commonly contain provisions to protect creditors' interests and provide legal recourse. One such provision is an **indenture**, which is a legal contract that describes the structure of a bond, the obligations of the

issuer, and the rights of the bondholders. To limit creditors' risk during the term of a bond (or loan), debtholders may choose to impose **covenants** within indentures or contracts. Covenants are the terms and conditions of lending agreements, enabling creditors to specify the actions an issuer is obligated to perform or prohibited from performing. Affirmative covenants require bond issuers to perform certain actions, such as maintaining adequate levels of insurance. Restrictive covenants require bond issuers to not perform certain actions, such as allowing the liquidity level to fall below a minimum coverage ratio. **Collaterals** are another tool often used by creditors to guarantee repayment, representing assets or financial guarantees that are above and beyond an issuer's promise to repay its obligations.

To further protect their rights, creditors usually require the company to provide periodic information (including financial statements) to ensure that covenants are not violated and thus potential default risk is not increased. Because it is usually impractical and costly for individual bondholders to fully scrutinize a bond issue, companies often hire a financial institution to act as a trustee and monitor the issue on behalf of a class of bondholders. In some countries, credit committees, particularly for unsecured bondholders, are established once a company files for bankruptcy. Such committees are expected to represent bondholders throughout the bankruptcy proceedings and protect bondholder interests in any restructuring or liquidation.

5.1 Employee Laws and Contracts

Employee rights are primarily secured through labor laws, which define the standards for employees' rights and responsibilities and cover such matters as labor hours, pension and retirement plans, hiring and firing, and vacation and leave. In most countries, employees have the right to create unions. Unions seek to influence certain matters affecting employees. In the European Union, companies meeting specific size and geographic criteria are required to establish European Works Councils that are composed of employees who meet with management at least annually. Although not a common practice in the United States and many other parts of the world, employees are sometimes represented on the board of directors—or supervisory boards—of companies meeting certain size or ownership criteria (e.g., in Germany, Austria, and Luxembourg). In Japan, the employee model stresses reaching a consensus between management and employees in decision making.

At the individual level, employment contracts specify an employee's various rights and responsibilities. Employment contracts typically do not cover every situation between employees and employers, and thus there is some area of discretion in the employment relationship. Human resources policies also help companies manage their relationships with employees. Effective human resources policies seek to attract and recruit high-quality employees while providing remuneration, training/development, and career growth to improve employee retention. Some companies have employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs) to help retain and motivate employees. As part of an ESOP, a company establishes a fund consisting of cash and/or company shares. The shares, which have designated vesting periods, are granted to employees.

Codes of ethics and business conduct also serve an important role in the relationship between employees and the company. Such codes establish the company's values and the standards of ethical and legal behavior that employees must follow. Companies typically assign a compliance or corporate governance officer (or a board committee) to implement these codes, receive violation reports, and resolve ethical matters. In addition, whistleblower protection policies are another mechanism to ensure misconduct can be safely reported so as to mitigate associated stakeholder risks.

By managing its relationships with its employees, a company seeks to comply with employees' rights and mitigate legal or reputational risks in violation of these rights. Employee relationship management also helps ensure that employees are fulfilling their responsibilities toward the company and are qualified and motivated to act in the company's best interests.

5.2 Contractual Agreements with Customers and Suppliers

Both customers and suppliers enter into contractual agreements with a company that specify the products and services underlying the relationship, the prices or fees and the payment terms, the rights and responsibilities of each party, the after-sale relationship, and any guarantees. Contracts also specify actions to be taken and recourse available if either party breaches the terms of the contract.

5.3 Laws and Regulations

As part of their public service roles, governments and regulatory authorities develop laws that companies must follow and monitor companies' compliance with these laws. Such laws may address or protect the rights of a specific group, such as consumers or the environment. Some industries or sectors whose services, products, or operations are more likely to endanger the public or specific stakeholders' interests are usually subject to a more rigorous regulatory framework. Examples of these industries are banks, food manufacturers, and health care companies.

Many regulatory authorities have also adopted corporate governance codes that consist of guiding principles for publicly traded companies. Publicly traded companies, in turn, are generally required to annually publish corporate governance reports describing their governance structure and explain any deviations from guiding principles. Companies normally seek to adopt internal governance and compliance procedures and adhere to the relevant financial reporting and transparency requirements imposed by regulators.

EXAMPLE 6

Stakeholder Relationships

Which of the following is **not** typically used to protect creditors' rights?

- A** Proxy voting
- B** Collateral to secure debt obligations
- C** The imposition of a covenant to limit a company's debt level

Solution:

A is correct. Proxy voting is a practice adopted by shareholders, not creditors. B and C are incorrect because both collateral and covenants are used by creditors to help mitigate the default risk of a company.

COMPANY BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

6

- f** describe functions and responsibilities of a company's board of directors and its committees

As discussed earlier in the reading, the board of directors is a central component of a company's governance structure. The board serves as the link between shareholders and managers and acts as the shareholders' monitoring tool within the company. As the relevance of corporate governance has grown within the investment field, the responsibilities of the board of directors have also increased in importance.

6.1 Composition of the Board of Directors

The structure and composition of a board of directors vary by company and geography. There is no single or optimal structure, and the number of directors may differ depending on the company size, structure, and complexity of operations. Most corporate governance codes require that the board include a diverse mix of expertise, backgrounds, and competencies. Such qualifications may include specialized knowledge of the company's industry as well as experience in certain functions, such as strategy, finance/audit, risk management, human resources, or legal. Moreover, many companies seek age, gender, and racial diversity in board composition.

Boards with one-tier structures comprise a mix of executive and non-executive directors. Executive (or internal) directors are employed by the company and are typically members of senior management. Non-executive (or external) directors provide objective decision making, monitoring, and performance assessment. Additionally, non-executive directors can serve an important role in challenging management and using past expertise in strategy and board issues. An *independent director* is a specific type of non-executive director that does not have a material relationship with the company with regard to employment, ownership, or remuneration.

In two-tier structures, the supervisory and management boards are independent from each other. Regulators generally prohibit members of the management board from serving on the supervisory board or limit the number of individuals serving on both boards. Employee representatives are typically elected by the company's employees and could make up half of the supervisory board in large companies.

In many countries, the chief executive officer (CEO) and chairperson roles are increasingly separated. In the United States, many companies have historically had "CEO duality," in which the CEO also serves as chairperson of the board. Nevertheless, the percentage of companies separating the two roles, particularly in the United States, has increased considerably since the global financial crisis of 2008–2009. The appointment of a lead independent director is an alternative that is sometimes practiced by boards of companies with CEO duality. The lead independent director generally has the authority to request and oversee meetings of all independent directors. Duality is not applicable in two-tier structures that prohibit the members of the management board from serving on the supervisory board. In these models, the chairperson of the supervisory board is typically external and the CEO usually chairs the management board.

STAGGERED BOARDS

The general practice for boards is that elections occur simultaneously and for specified terms (three years, for example). Some companies, however, have **staggered boards**, whereby directors are typically divided into three classes that are elected separately in consecutive years—that is, one class every year. Because shareholders would need several years to replace a full board, this election process limits their ability to effect a major change of control at the company. The positive aspect of a staggered board, though, is that it provides continuous implementation of strategy and oversight without constantly being reassessed by new board members thereby bringing short-termism into

company strategy. This staggered board model was historically prevalent in the United States but has been generally replaced by regular board election terms. In contrast, the practice is common in Australia.

6.2 Functions and Responsibilities of the Board

As mentioned earlier, a company's board of directors is elected by shareholders to act on their behalf. In fulfilling their functions, directors have a responsibility to consider the interests of all stakeholders. The duties of directors are mandated by law in many countries but vary across jurisdictions. Two widely established elements of directors' responsibilities are the *duty of care* and the *duty of loyalty*. According to the OECD's *Principles of Corporate Governance*, duty of care "requires board members to act on a fully informed basis, in good faith, with due diligence and care." The OECD further notes that duty of loyalty "is the duty of the board member to act in the interest of the company and shareholders. The duty of loyalty should prevent individual board members from acting in their own interest, or the interest of another individual or group, at the expense of the company and all shareholders."

A board of directors does not typically engage in the company's day-to-day activities; rather, those activities are delegated to management. The board guides and approves the company's strategic direction, taking into consideration the company's risk profile. It delegates the implementation of the company's strategy to senior management, oversees the execution of the strategy, and establishes milestones to monitor the progress in reaching the objectives. The board also reviews corporate performance and determines relevant courses of action accordingly. In doing so, the board can monitor and evaluate management's performance and determine whether senior executive remuneration is aligned with the long-term interests of the company. The board is also responsible for selecting, appointing, and terminating the employment of senior managers (or the management board in case of a two-tier structure). One of the board's main responsibilities is to ensure leadership continuity through succession planning for the CEO and other key executives.

The board plays a central role in ensuring the effectiveness of the company's audit and control systems. It sets the overall structure of these systems and oversees their implementation, including oversight of the financial reporting practices and review of the financial statements for fairness and accuracy. The board also oversees reports by internal audit, the audit committee, and the external auditors and proposes and follows up on remedial actions. The board has the ultimate responsibility to ensure that the company adopts and implements proper corporate governance principles and complies with all applicable internal and external laws and regulations, including ethical standards.

In addition, the board typically ensures that the company has an appropriate enterprise risk management system in place, whereby risks are identified, mitigated, assessed, and managed appropriately. The board monitors the effectiveness of these systems through regular reviews and reports received from both management and the company's risk function. The board also has the responsibility to review any proposals for corporate transactions or changes, such as major capital acquisitions, divestures, mergers, and acquisitions, before they are referred to shareholders for approval, if applicable.

6.3 Board of Directors Committees

A company's board of directors typically establishes committees that focus on specific functions. Such committees, in turn, provide recommendations that are reported to the board on a regular basis. Despite the delegation of responsibilities to committees,

the overall board remains ultimately responsible to shareholders and is not discharged of its liabilities to shareholders. Although board committees may vary by organization, some of the most common committees are discussed in the following sections.

6.3.1 Audit Committee

The audit committee is perhaps the most common board committee among companies worldwide. The audit committee plays a key role in overseeing the audit and control systems at the company and ensuring their effectiveness. In this regard, the committee monitors the financial reporting process, including the application of accounting policies; ensures the integrity of financial statements; supervises the internal audit function and ensures its independence and competence; and presents an annual audit plan to the board and monitors its implementation by the internal audit function. The audit committee is also responsible for recommending the appointment of an independent external auditor, periodically assessing the independence of the external auditor, and proposing the auditor's remuneration. Both internal and external auditors report their findings to the audit committee, which in turn proposes remedial action for highlighted issues or matters.

6.3.2 Governance Committee

The primary role of the governance (or corporate governance) committee is to ensure that the company adopts good corporate governance practices. In doing so, the committee develops and oversees the implementation of the corporate governance code, the charters of the board and its committees, and the company's code of ethics and conflict of interest policy. The governance committee reviews these policies on a regular basis to incorporate any regulatory requirements or relevant developments in the field. Most importantly, the committee monitors the implementation of the governance policies and standards as well as the compliance with applicable laws and regulations throughout the company. Remedial actions are recommended if any flaws or breaches of laws or regulations are identified. In some companies, the governance committee may be responsible for overseeing an annual evaluation of the board to ensure that its structure and activities are aligned with the governance principles.

6.3.3 Remuneration or Compensation Committee

The remuneration (or compensation) committee of the board specializes in remuneration matters. This committee develops and proposes remuneration policies for the directors and key executives and presents them for approval by the board or shareholders. The committee may also be involved in handling the contracts of managers and directors as well as in setting performance criteria and evaluating the performance of managers. The responsibilities of the remuneration committee may extend to establishing human resources policies for the company when remuneration matters are involved. In some companies, the remuneration committee also sets and oversees the implementation of employee benefit plans, including insurance, pension, severance benefits, and retirement plans (including monitoring the investment performance of benefit plan funds).

6.3.4 Nomination Committee

The nomination committee identifies candidates who are qualified to serve as directors and recommends their nomination for election by shareholders. The committee also establishes the nomination procedures and policies, including the criteria for board directors, the search process, and the identification of qualified candidates for director positions. Director independence, including what constitutes an independent director,

is also a function of the nomination committee. Through these roles, the nomination committee can help ensure that the board's composition is well balanced and aligned with the company's governance principles.

6.3.5 Risk Committee

The risk committee assists the board in determining the risk policy, profile, and appetite of the company. Accordingly, the committee oversees establishing enterprise risk management plans and monitors their implementation. It also supervises the risk management functions in the company, receives regular reports, and reports on its findings and recommendations to the board.

6.3.6 Investment Committee

The investment committee of the board reviews material investment opportunities proposed by management and considers their viability for the company. Such opportunities may include large projects, acquisitions, and expansion plans, as well as divestures or major asset disposals. The committee often challenges, when necessary, management assumptions underlying investment prospects, monitors the performance of investments, and reports its findings to the board. The committee also is typically responsible for establishing and revising the investment strategy and policies of the company.

The specific board committees discussed in previous sections are the most commonly used, although the composition and number of committees may vary depending on the jurisdiction or on company-specific factors (e.g., company size, industry, complexity of operations, or regulatory requirements). An audit committee, for instance, is a regulatory requirement in a large number of jurisdictions. For banks and other financial institutions, a risk committee is strongly recommended by some regulators and required by others. In Brazil, the Central Bank of Brazil requires financial institutions to establish a remuneration committee at the board level. Some companies choose to combine two or more committees into one—for example, a nomination and remuneration (or compensation) committee or an audit and risk committee. Companies may also find it valuable to establish committees with other specializations, such as a compliance committee, an ethics committee, a human resources committee, or a health/environmental/safety committee.

The composition of a board committee is normally aligned with its scope of responsibilities. For instance, many regulators request that executive (internal) directors do not rule on matters underlying conflicts of interest or on matters requiring an unbiased judgment (such as audit, remuneration, or related-party transaction matters). As such, a large number of rules, including those adopted by the London Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange, require that the audit and the compensation committees be composed of independent directors only. Countries with less-stringent regulations may require the audit committee to be composed of external (non-executive) directors, the majority of which should be independent (including the chairperson).

EXAMPLE 7

Responsibilities of Board Committees

A primary responsibility of a board's audit committee does **not** include the:

- A** proper application of accounting policies.
- B** adoption of proper corporate governance.
- C** recommendation of remuneration for the external auditor(s).

Solution:

B is correct. The adoption of proper corporate governance is the responsibility of a corporation's governance committee. Both A and C are incorrect because proper application of accounting policies and the remuneration of external auditors fall under the domain of the audit committee.

7

RELEVANT FACTORS IN ANALYZING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT

- g describe market and non-market factors that can affect stakeholder relationships and corporate governance

This section explores ways in which certain factors, both market and non-market related, can affect stakeholder relationships and corporate governance. For this section, market factors include those that relate to capital markets, whereas non-market factors do not.

7.1 Market Factors

This section focuses on shareholder engagement, shareholder activism, and competitive forces, all of which are influential market factors for a company. Shareholder engagement involves a company's interactions with its shareholders to better understand how it manages its material risks and opportunities. Shareholder activism, on the other hand, involves more aggressive and sometimes public efforts by large shareholder(s) to create a significant change in a corporation's behavior. Meanwhile, competitive dynamics can help align managerial interests with those of its stakeholders.

7.1.1 Shareholder Engagement

The engagement of companies with shareholders—called **shareholder engagement**—has traditionally involved certain events, such as the annual shareholder meeting and analyst calls, the scope of which was limited to financial and strategic matters. There is a growing trend, however, for greater engagement between companies and their shareholders beyond these venues and topics. Companies have increasingly recognized the benefits that frequent, year-round engagement with shareholders can provide, such as building support against short-term activist investors, countering negative recommendations from proxy advisory firms, and receiving greater support for management's position.

7.1.2 Shareholder Activism

Shareholder activism refers to strategies used by shareholders to attempt to compel a company to act in a desired manner. Although shareholder activism can focus on a range of issues, including those involving social or political considerations, the primary motivation of activist shareholders is to increase shareholder value. Activist shareholders often pressure management through such tactics as initiating proxy battles (fights), proposing shareholder resolutions, and publicly raising awareness on issues of contention.

Shareholder activists may pursue additional tactics, such as shareholder derivative lawsuits, which are legal proceedings initiated by one or more shareholders against board directors, management, and/or controlling shareholders of the company. The theory behind this type of lawsuit is that the plaintiff shareholder is deemed to

be acting on behalf of the company in place of its directors and officers who have failed to adequately act for the benefit of the company and its shareholders. In many countries, however, the law restricts shareholders from pursuing legal action via the court system—in some cases, by imposing thresholds that enable only shareholders with interests above a minimum amount to pursue legal actions or by denying legal action altogether.

Hedge funds are among the most predominant shareholder activists. Compared with most traditional institutional investors, the fee structure of hedge funds often provides a significant stake in the financial success of any activist campaign. Furthermore, unlike regulated investment entities that are typically subject to restrictions on their investments (e.g., limitations on leverage or ownership of distressed or illiquid securities), hedge funds are loosely regulated and can thus pursue a greater range of activist opportunities.

7.1.3 Competition and Takeovers

Metrics that measure a company's success, such as market share or earnings growth, provide information that is useful for shareholders to judge the performance of a company's management team or board of directors and compare such performance with that of competitors. Senior managers risk their employment status in the event of underperformance, and directors, in turn, can be voted out by shareholders.

The traditional view of the market for corporate control (often known as the takeover market) is one in which shareholders of a company hire and fire management to achieve better resource utilization. Corporate takeovers can be pursued in several different ways. One mechanism is the **proxy contest** (or proxy fight). In a proxy contest, shareholders are persuaded to vote for a group seeking a controlling position on a company's board of directors. Managerial teams can also be displaced through a **tender offer**, which involves shareholders selling their interests directly to the group seeking to gain control. A contest for corporate control may attract arbitrageurs and takeover specialists, who facilitate transfers of control by accumulating long positions from existing shareholders in the target company and later selling the positions to the highest bidder. Finally, a **hostile takeover** is an attempt by one entity to acquire a company without the consent of the company's management.

Preservation of their employment status serves as an incentive for board members and managers to focus on shareholder wealth maximization. This threat of removal, however, can also have negative implications for a company's corporate governance practices if the company chooses to adopt anti-takeover measures, such as a staggered board or a shareholder rights plan (also known as a poison pill) to reduce the likelihood of an unwanted takeover. Staggering director elections can dilute the value of shareholder voting rights by extending the term that each director serves and eliminating the ability of shareholders to replace the entire board at any given election. Shareholder rights plans enable shareholders to buy additional shares at a discount if another shareholder purchases a certain percentage of the company's shares. These plans are designed to increase the cost to any bidder seeking to take over a company.

EXAMPLE 8

Shareholder Activism

Which of the following is true of shareholder activism?

- A** Shareholder activists rarely include hedge funds.
- B** Regulators play a prominent role in shareholder activism.
- C** A primary goal of shareholder activism is to increase shareholder value.

Solution:

C is correct. Although the subject of shareholder activism may involve social and political issues, activist shareholders' primary motivation is to increase shareholder value. A is incorrect because hedge funds commonly serve as shareholder activists. B is incorrect because regulators play a prominent role in standard setting, not shareholder activism.

7.2 Non-Market Factors

This section focuses on certain non-market factors, such as a company's legal environment, the role of the media, and the corporate governance industry, that can have an effect on stakeholder relationships and corporate governance.

7.2.1 Legal Environment

The legal environment in which a company operates can significantly influence the rights and remedies of stakeholders. Countries that have a common law system (such as the United Kingdom, the United States, India, and Canada) are generally considered to offer superior protection of the interests of shareholders and creditors relative to those that have adopted a civil law system (such as France, Germany, Italy, and Japan). The key difference between the two systems lies in the ability of a judge to create laws. In civil law systems, laws are created primarily through statutes and codes enacted by the legislature. The role of judges is generally limited to rigidly applying the statutes and codes to the specific case brought before the court. In contrast, in common law systems, laws are created both from statutes enacted by the legislature and by judges through judicial opinions. In common law systems, shareholders and creditors have the ability to appeal to a judge to rule against management actions and decisions that are not expressly forbidden by statute or code, whereas in civil law systems, this option is generally not possible.

Regardless of a country's legal system, creditors are generally more successful in seeking remedies in court to enforce their rights than shareholders are because shareholder disputes often involve complex legal theories, such as whether a manager or director breached a duty owed to shareholders. In contrast, disputes involving creditors, such as whether the terms of an indenture or other debt contract have been breached, are more straightforward and therefore more easily determinable by a court.

7.2.2 The Media

The media can affect corporate governance and influence stakeholder relationships through its ability to spread information quickly and shape public opinion. As an example, negative media attention can adversely affect the reputation or public perception of a company or its managers and directors. Senior management's concern over reputational risk can thus reduce the cost of monitoring management activities by stakeholders. Media attention can motivate politicians and regulators to introduce corporate governance reforms or enforce laws that protect stakeholders and society at large. This influence was evident in the aftermath of the 2008–2009 global financial crisis, when significant media attention was a factor in the adoption of new laws and regulations designed to address perceived deficiencies in corporate governance.

Social media has become a powerful tool that stakeholders have increasingly used to protect their interests or enhance their influence on corporate matters. Prior to the advent of social media, companies typically had an advantage in distributing information because of their considerable resources as well as relationships with traditional media organizations. Through social media, stakeholders can instantly broadcast information with little cost or effort and are thus better able to compete with company management in influencing public sentiment.

7.2.3 *The Corporate Governance Industry*

An important catalyst for the rise of the corporate governance industry occurred in 2003, when the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) required US-registered mutual funds to disclose their proxy voting records annually. The same rule also required US mutual funds to adopt policies and procedures designed to reasonably ensure that proxies would be voted in the best interests of their clients. In the years following the SEC's 2003 mutual fund rule, institutional investors have, to varying degrees, committed additional resources to monitor and vote proxies for the large number of companies in which they invest.

With the increased importance and relevance of corporate governance among investors, the demand for external corporate governance services has grown considerably. In particular, some institutional investors have retained proxy voting firms to assist with corporate governance monitoring and proxy voting. In response to this demand, an industry that provides corporate governance services, including governance ratings and proxy advice, has developed. Because the corporate governance industry is relatively concentrated, these vendors have considerable influence in corporate governance practices, and in turn, corporations are generally compelled to pay attention to ratings and recommendations produced by the corporate governance industry.

RISKS AND BENEFITS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT

8

- h identify potential risks of poor corporate governance and stakeholder management, and identify benefits from effective corporate governance and stakeholder management

As illustrated thus far, good corporate governance and stakeholder management can have a meaningfully positive effect on a company. A company will likely not meet the expectations of all stakeholders if one group is able to extract private benefits at the expense of another group. Depending on their nature and magnitude, unmanaged conflicts of interest and weak control over a company's operations may expose the company to various risks, such as legal, regulatory, reputational, or default risks. By adopting effective guidelines for managing the interests of stakeholder groups and instituting adequate levels of control, corporate governance can be reflected in better company relationships, superior levels of efficiency in operations, and improved financial performance.

8.1 Risks of Poor Governance and Stakeholder Management

Weaknesses in stakeholder management mechanisms or the adoption of poor governance structures can create various risks for a company and its stakeholders. A weak control environment can encourage misconduct and hinder the ability of the company to identify and manage risks.

8.1.1 *Weak Control Systems*

In a company with weak control systems or inefficient monitoring tools, such as poor audit procedures or insufficient scrutiny by the board, one stakeholder group may benefit at the expense of the company or other stakeholders. This could consequently have an adverse effect on the company's resources, performance, and value. The audit

deficiencies at Enron, for instance, prevented the uncovering of the acts of fraud, erroneous accounting records, and other related issues that led to one of the largest corporate bankruptcies in US history.

8.1.2 Ineffective Decision Making

When the quality and quantity of information available to managers are superior to those available to the board or shareholders, in the absence of sufficient monitoring tools, managers have an opportunity to make decisions that benefit themselves relative to the company or shareholders. Without proper scrutiny, such practices might go unnoticed. Deficient decisions could include managing the company with a lower risk profile relative to shareholders' tolerance, thus avoiding investment opportunities that could create value for the company. Conversely, manager overconfidence may result in poor investment decisions without proper examination of their effect on the company or on shareholders' wealth.

Remuneration policies for management could also have significant implications for the company. Outsized remuneration packages for executives could have an adverse effect on shareholders' wealth, constitute a burden on corporate performance, and affect the interests of other stakeholders, such as employees, customers, or creditors. Remuneration policies that are not carefully designed may also encourage managers to seek immediate personal gains by taking excessive risks or focusing on creating short-term performance or stock price increases. Related-party transactions that underlie unfair terms for the company are another example of activities that are not aligned with the objective of value creation and that could be facilitated by a poor governance system.

8.1.3 Legal, Regulatory, and Reputational Risks

Compliance weaknesses in the implementation of regulatory requirements or lack of proper reporting practices may expose the company to legal, regulatory, or reputational risks. In such cases, the company may become subject to investigation by government or regulatory authorities for violation of applicable laws. A company could also be exposed to lawsuits filed by shareholders, employees, creditors, or other parties for breach of contractual agreements or company bylaws or for violation of stakeholders' legal rights. In today's markets, information flows rapidly. Improperly managed conflicts of interest or governance failures could bring reputational damage to the company, and its associated costs could be significant. Such risks are particularly acute for publicly listed companies subject to scrutiny by investors, analysts, and other market participants.

8.1.4 Default and Bankruptcy Risks

Poor corporate governance, including weak management of creditors' interests, can affect the company's financial position and may hinder its ability to honor its debt obligations. To the extent that the deterioration of corporate performance results in a debt default, the company may be exposed to bankruptcy risk if creditors choose to take legal action. The adverse consequences of corporate failures are not limited to the company's shareholders; they extend to other stakeholders, such as managers and employees and even society and the environment.

8.2 Benefits of Effective Governance and Stakeholder Management

The development of good governance practices can play a vital role in aligning the interests of managers and the board of directors with those of shareholders, while balancing the interests of the company's stakeholders. A good governance structure can be reflected in operational efficiency, improved control processes, better financial performance, and lower levels of risk.

8.2.1 Operational Efficiency

As part of a good governance structure, an organization clarifies the delegation of responsibilities and reporting lines across the company and ensures that all employees have a clear understanding of their respective duties. When balanced with adequate internal control mechanisms, the governance structure can ensure that corporate decisions and activities are properly monitored and controlled to mitigate risk and help improve the operational efficiency of the company.

8.2.2 Improved Control

Governance practices seek to institute more effective control exercised at all corporate levels, from shareholders to the board of directors and management. These practices can help identify and manage risk at early stages that can otherwise hinder corporate performance and/or damage reputation. Control can be enhanced by the proper functioning of a company's audit committee and the effectiveness of its audit systems. By adopting procedures for monitoring compliance with internal policies and external regulations and for reporting any violations, the company can better mitigate regulatory or legal risks and their associated costs. Additionally, the adoption of formal procedures with regard to conflicts of interest and related-party transactions allows the company to ensure fairness in its relationships with those parties.

8.2.3 Better Operating and Financial Performance

Good governance and stakeholder management can help a company improve its operating performance and reduce the costs associated with weak control systems. The costs of poor investments, legal proceedings against the company, and excessive perquisites are just a few examples that could be mitigated by well-functioning governance systems. Enhanced corporate governance could also allow the company to improve its decision-making process and respond faster to market factors. Proper remuneration policies are another governance tool that can motivate managers to make decisions with the objective of creating corporate value.

8.2.4 Lower Default Risk and Cost of Debt

Good corporate governance can lower business and investment risk. Governance arrangements that manage conflicts of interest with creditors, and that help protect creditor rights, can reduce a company's cost of debt and default risk. Default risk can also often be mitigated by proper functioning of audit systems, improved transparency (e.g., reporting of earnings), and the control of information asymmetries between the company and its capital providers. With regard to credit risk, corporate governance mechanisms are increasingly relevant criteria among credit rating agencies when assessing a company's creditworthiness.

EXAMPLE 9**Benefits of Corporate Governance**

Which of the following is **not** a benefit of an effective corporate governance structure?

- A Operating performance can be improved.
- B A corporation's cost of debt can be reduced.
- C Corporate decisions and activities require less control.

Solution:

C is correct. A benefit of an effective corporate governance structure is to enable adequate scrutiny and control over operations. B is incorrect because an effective governance structure can reduce investors' perceived credit risk of a corporation, thus potentially lowering the corporation's cost of debt. A is incorrect because operating efficiency may indeed be a benefit of an effective corporate governance structure.

9**FACTORS RELEVANT TO CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS**

- i. describe factors relevant to the analysis of corporate governance and stakeholder management

In the past, analysts may have considered corporate governance and stakeholder management issues to be only peripherally related to traditional fundamental analysis. Generally, these issues were seen as obscure and unlikely to be material drivers of performance. Following a number of governance failures since the early 2000s, the global financial crisis, and the rise of shareholder activism around the world, there is little doubt that governance and stakeholder issues have become increasingly important topics for analysts.

Some key questions that analysts may consider when assessing a company's corporate governance or stakeholder management system are as follows:

- What is the company's ownership and voting structure among shareholders?
- Who represents shareholders on this company's board?
- What are the main drivers of the management team's remuneration and incentive structure?
- Who are the significant investors in the company?
- How robust are the shareholder rights at the company, including relative to peers?
- How effectively is the company managing long-term risks, such as securing access to necessary resources, managing human capital, exhibiting integrity and leadership, and strengthening the long-term sustainability of the enterprise?

A qualitative analysis of these issues—typically provided by a company's proxy statements, annual reports, and sustainability reports, if available—can provide important insights about the quality of management and sources of potential risk.

9.1 Economic Ownership and Voting Control

Generally speaking, corporations with publicly traded equity have a voting structure that involves one vote for each share. That is, any shareholder's voting power is equal to the percentage of the company's outstanding shares owned by that shareholder. When there are exceptions to this norm and economic ownership becomes separated from control, investors can face significant potential risks.

In a small number of markets, dual-class structures are allowed under the local regulatory framework or exchange rules, which is the most common way that voting power is decoupled from ownership. In these cases, common shares may be divided into two classes, one of which has superior voting rights to the other. A common arrangement is when a share class (for example, Class A) carries one vote per share and is publicly traded, whereas another share class (for example, Class B) carries several votes per share and is held exclusively by company insiders or family members. This structure is used by Facebook, for example. In this way, the founders and/or insiders of a company may continue to control board elections, strategic decisions, and all other significant voting matters for a long period—even once their ownership level declines to less than 50% of the company's shares.

Another mechanism used to separate voting control from economic ownership is when one class of stock (held by insiders) elects a majority of the board; outside shareholders who hold a different share class would then be entitled to elect only a minority of the board. Technically, each share carries equal voting rights, but with this structure, the insiders retain substantial power over the affairs of the corporation because they control a majority of the board. Alibaba's partnership structure is one example of this type of control.

Proponents of dual-share systems, such as those just mentioned, argue that the systems promote company stability and enable management to make long-term strategic investments, insulated from the short-term pressures of outside investors. Critics of these structures believe they create conflicts of interest between the providers of capital and the management of the business.

It is virtually impossible for outside investors to dismantle dual-class structures because of the inherent design of their unequal voting rights. Therefore, these structures can remain in place even through generational changes within a founding family. Investors with long time horizons may want to consider the motivations of the controlling stockholders, generational dynamics, succession planning, and the relationship between the board and management. In addition, there may be potential valuation implications because dual-class companies tend to trade at a discount to their peers.

9.2 Board of Directors Representation

In most markets, investors have access to basic biographical information about the non-executive members of corporate boards. Analysts can assess the available information to determine whether the experience and skill sets on the board match the current and future needs of the company.

In particular, questions regarding directors' independence, tenure, experience, and diversity may bring useful investment insights. For example, if the board has multiple directors engaging in related-party transactions with the company, investors may have cause for concern about any conflicts of interest that arise. The issue of board tenure can also be a useful tool for investors. Directors with long periods of service to a company clearly offer valuable experience and expertise, but if the board composition is dominated by such long-tenured members, it may have a negative effect on the board's diversity and adaptability.

An example in which board composition had a significant effect on company performance occurred at a European pharmaceutical company. At one point in its history, the company had become overleveraged and faced significant financial distress. In response, non-executive directors with banking and turnaround experience were added to the board. With the help of these directors, the company recovered. Seven years later, the most promising product in the company's pharmaceutical portfolio began to cause serious side effects in its patient population. The situation required both a meaningful understanding of the medical issues involved and a rapid response from the company. However, the board was still composed of directors with financial expertise rather than medical training. The company struggled with its response to the crisis, and its stock price fell sharply. This situation was one in which the board's composition had been ideal for a certain point in the company's history, but ultimately the directors failed to refresh the board's membership as the needs of the business changed.

9.3 Remuneration and Company Performance

The availability and quality of information about executive remuneration plans varies widely across markets. In those markets where such disclosures are available, analysts can assess the elements of the remuneration program to determine whether they support or conflict with the key drivers of performance for the company.

Generally speaking, current executive remuneration programs consist of a base salary, a short-term bonus usually delivered in the form of cash, and a multi-year incentive plan delivered in one or more forms of equity (options, time-vested shares, and/or performance-vested shares). Often, these short-term and long-term plans are contingent on achieving financial or operational objectives, and often these objectives are disclosed. In these situations, an analyst can assess whether the primary drivers of the remuneration plan are the same factors that, in the analyst's view, drive overall company results.

Assessment of the suitability of a remuneration plan for a particular company is a subjective exercise and is highly dependent on industry and geographic norms. But there are some warning signs that may warrant particular scrutiny:

- **Plans offering little alignment with shareholders.** As an example, if a plan offers only cash-based payouts and no equity, there may be a misalignment of incentives between executives and investors unless management already owns a significant stake in the company.
- **Plans exhibiting little variation in results over multiple years.** If an award is described as performance-based but still pays in full every year regardless of the company's results, investors may have concerns about the rigor of the performance hurdles underlying the awards.
- **Plans with excessive payouts relative to comparable companies with comparable performance.** Investors may want to understand the cause of the anomaly and whether it is a temporary issue or a result of flawed remuneration plan design.
- **Plans that may have specific strategic implications.** As an example, some remuneration plans contain payouts tied to specific milestones, such as regulatory approval of a product, completion of an acquisition, or achievement of specific cost reductions. In addition, some companies offer particularly high post-employment pay arrangements tied to the sale of the company, whereas

others offer no such arrangements. These factors are not necessarily negative features, but investors may want to understand whether the milestones driving the incentive plan align with the company's objectives.

■ Plans based on incentives from an earlier period in the company's life cycle.

A frequent example of such a plan relates to a company that has matured beyond its fast growth phase. The company's business may have matured, and competition may have limited the opportunity for market share gains. Investors may believe the company should become more focused on both returns and disciplined capital allocation. Even after the company communicates to the investor community a more returns-oriented strategy, the financial incentives in the remuneration plan may still be based purely on revenue growth. Investors may want to understand such potential misalignment of interests.

9.4 Investors in the Company

Examining the composition of investors in a company can be another source of useful insight for analysts. The behavior of these investors can result in both limitations and catalysts with regard to changes in the corporation. For example, cross-shareholdings are still prevalent in a number of markets. This situation occurs when a company, particularly a publicly listed one, holds a large, passive, minority stake in another company. Such holdings generally help to protect management from shareholder pressures because implicit in a cross-shareholding arrangement is the guarantee that the owner of the shares will support management on all voting issues. In effect, these shareholdings act as takeover defenses.

Similarly, the presence of a sizable affiliated stockholder (such as an individual, family trust, endowment, or private equity fund) can shield a company from the effects of voting by outside shareholders. As an example, a US consumer goods company has an affiliated charitable foundation that owns more than 20% of the outstanding shares. The company also has a provision in its corporate charter requiring that any changes to the charter must be approved by two-thirds of outstanding shares. As a result, it is virtually impossible for any measure to pass without the support of the foundation. The interests of the foundation thus conflict with the interests of the overall shareholder base. In effect, this single minority shareholder most likely holds the power to block the votes of the majority.

Analysts should note that market context is important in assessing the potential effects of affiliated stockholders. In certain countries, the presence of such shareholders is common, viewed by local market participants as a means of enhancing stability, strengthening the relationship between companies and their business partners, and fostering a long-term perspective by protecting the company against hostile takeover bids.

A final aspect of investor composition that has become increasingly relevant relates to activist shareholders, which we discussed earlier. The presence of activist shareholders can meaningfully and rapidly change the investment thesis for a company. Experienced activists, together with short-term-oriented investors who follow their activities, can create substantial turnover in a company's shareholder composition in a short amount of time. This is because an activist often serves as a catalyst for new strategic alternatives at a company and can attract new investors and/or arbitrageurs.

9.5 Strength of Shareholders' Rights

Within a framework of regional regulations and corporate governance codes, analysts may want to understand whether the shareholder rights of a particular company are strong, weak, or average compared with other companies. For example, if an analyst's

viewpoint includes the possibility that a company will enter into a merger transaction in the future, he or she may want to understand whether there are significant structural obstacles to transactions that are embedded in the company's charter or bylaws. Similarly, if the thesis involves an outside catalyst, such as an activist shareholder who will introduce change at the company and improve performance, the analyst must take a position on whether shareholders are sufficiently empowered to advance such a change. If it is impossible for shareholders to remove directors from the board or to convene special stockholder meetings, it will be difficult for external initiatives to be successful.

In a number of developed markets, including the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and Japan, regulatory agencies or stock exchanges have adopted governance codes, which are standards of governance reflecting local investors' expectations with regard to disclosure, board composition, shareholder rights, and other related issues. Often, these governance codes are implemented on a "comply or explain" basis, which indicates that standards are voluntary in nature. However, any deviation from the code must be explained by the company in a public disclosure. If a company has elected to deviate from the locally accepted governance practice, the analyst may want to understand the reasoning behind the decision.

9.6 Managing Long-Term Risks

Analysts may uncover useful insights regarding how a company manages various issues, such as long-term environmental risks, management of human capital, transparency, and treatment of investors and other stakeholders. Of particular note, the academic evidence linking these and other management quality issues to share price performance remains mixed, in part because indicators of management quality are often correlated with each other and, therefore, difficult to isolate. However, poor stakeholder relations and inadequate management of long-term risks have indeed had an enormous negative effect on share value in certain instances. Therefore, analysts may consider the assessment of such issues to be a useful component of their overall risk assessment of the company.

One way to evaluate management quality issues is to assess whether the company demonstrates a persistent pattern of wrongdoings, fines, regulatory penalties, investigations, and the like. A notable example is Toshiba Corp., beginning in 2015 when an investigation revealed that company managers had manufactured nearly \$2 billion in profits since 2008. Poor internal controls allowed the fraudulent accounting to remain undetected for seven years. Over the next several years, Toshiba experienced the massive write-down of its Westinghouse Electric Co. unit, clashes with its auditors, negative equity, and legal disputes. Toshiba's dividend was withdrawn, Westinghouse filed for bankruptcy protection, and the company was forced to sell its profitable semiconductor unit along with other business units. Ultimately, the company's shares were moved to the second section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, triggering forced sales from funds that track the first section (TOPIX) or the Nikkei 225 indexes. Even in the absence of circumstances as extreme as these, poor management of stakeholder interests can have a significant effect on company operations, reputation, and valuation.

9.7 Summary of Analyst Considerations

The analysis of corporate governance, stakeholder management, and other non-financial (often termed "extra-financial") considerations is inherently a subjective exercise. Governance practices that may raise the risk profile of one company may be perfectly acceptable in a different context, depending on geographic norms, mitigating

circumstances, or the investor's risk tolerance and investment thesis. In this section, we have provided a basic framework for investors interested in uncovering incremental insights about a company by analyzing its governance standards, practices, and risks.

EXAMPLE 10**Analyst Considerations**

- 1 Which of the following *best* describes dual-class share structures?
 - A Dual-class share structures can be easily changed over time.
 - B Company insiders can maintain significant power over the organization.
 - C Conflicts of interest between management and stakeholder groups are less likely than with single-share structures.
- 2 An investment analyst would likely be *most* concerned with an executive remuneration plan that:
 - A varies each year.
 - B is consistent with a company's competitors.
 - C is cash-based only, without an equity component.
- 3 Which of the following *best* describes activist shareholders? Activist shareholders:
 - A help stabilize a company's strategic direction.
 - B have little effect on the company's long-term investors.
 - C can alter the composition of a company's shareholder base.

Solution to 1:

B is correct. Under dual-class share systems, company founders or insiders may control board elections, strategic decisions, and other significant voting matters. A is incorrect because dual-share systems are virtually impossible to dismantle once adopted. C is incorrect because conflicts of interest between management and stakeholders are more likely than with single-share structures because of the potential control element under dual systems.

Solution to 2:

C is correct. If an executive remuneration plan offers cash only, the incentives between management and investors and other stakeholders may be misaligned. A is incorrect because a plan that varies over time would typically be of less concern to an analyst compared with one that did not change. B is incorrect because an analyst would likely be concerned if a company's executives were excessively compensated relative to competitors.

Solution to 3:

C is correct. The presence of activist shareholders can create substantial turnover in the company's shareholder composition. A is incorrect because the presence of activist shareholders can materially change a company's strategic direction. B is incorrect because long-term investors in a company need to consider how activist shareholders affect the company.

10**ESG CONSIDERATIONS FOR INVESTORS AND ANALYSTS**

- j** describe environmental and social considerations in investment analysis
- k** describe how environmental, social, and governance factors may be used in investment analysis

10.1 Introduction to Environmental, Social, and Governance issues

The inclusion of governance factors in investment analysis has evolved considerably. Management and accountability structures are relatively transparent, and information regarding them is widely available. Also, the risks of poor corporate governance have long been understood by analysts and shareholders. In contrast, the practice of systematically considering environmental and social factors, which collectively with governance form the commonly used acronym “ESG,” has evolved more slowly. Issues driving the inclusion of environmental and social information in the investment process include scarcity of natural resources, physical impacts of climate change, global economic and demographic trends, diversity and inclusion, treatment of workers, and the rise of social media. A non-exhaustive list of ESG issues are shown in Exhibit 1. Typically, a smaller set of ESG factors are material for each company, influenced by the business segments it operates in as well as its geography of operation.

Exhibit 1 Example of ESG Factors

Environmental Issues	Social Issues	Governance Issues
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Climate change and carbon emissions ■ Air and water pollution ■ Biodiversity ■ Deforestation ■ Energy efficiency ■ Waste management ■ Water scarcity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Customer satisfaction & product responsibility ■ Data security and privacy ■ Gender and diversity ■ Occupational health & safety ■ Treatment of workers ■ Community relations & charitable activities ■ Human rights ■ Labor standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Board composition (independence & diversity) ■ Audit committee structure ■ Bribery and corruption ■ Executive compensation ■ Shareholder rights ■ Lobbying & political contributions ■ Whistleblower schemes

ESG factors were once regarded as intangible or qualitative information. Increased corporate disclosures and refinements in the identification and analysis of ESG factors have resulted in increasingly quantifiable and decision-useful information. Social issues, such as human capital management, are less quantifiable; however, they are not necessarily more difficult to integrate as qualitative factors into investment analysis. The process of reflecting quantitative ESG-related information and data—both quantitative and qualitative—in financial valuation continues to evolve.

10.2 ESG Investment Strategies

There is a lack of consensus on several ESG strategy-related terms used in the investment community. For the purposes of this reading, we define ESG investment strategies as the following:

Responsible investing is the broadest (umbrella) term used to describe investment strategies that incorporate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into their approaches. Overall, responsible investing includes the following:

- ESG integration
- Socially Responsible Investing (SRI)
- Thematic investing
- Impact investing

Sustainable investing is a term used in a similar context to responsible investing, but its key focus is on factoring in sustainability issues while investing.

ESG integration generally refers to the careful consideration of *material* ESG factors in the investment analysis and portfolio construction processes. **ESG investing** is often used interchangeably with ESG integration. A material factor is one whose omission or misstatement could influence an investment decision and ultimately the product's investment objectives. The materiality of ESG factors in investment analysis, particularly environmental and social factors, often differs meaningfully among sectors. An ESG factor is considered material when it has a potential to impact a company's ability to generate sustainable returns in the long term. For example, environmental factors, such as carbon emissions and water usage, will likely be material for utilities or mining companies yet are relatively inconsequential for financial institutions.

Socially responsible investing (SRI) is a related term that tends to have multiple meanings, creating confusion among investors. Socially responsible investing has traditionally referred to the practice of excluding investments in companies or industries, such as controversial weapons or tobacco, that deviate from an investor's beliefs, either moral or faith-based. The term has evolved to include investment objectives that promote positive environmental or social attributes, often by investing in companies with favorable environmental or social profiles.

Thematic investing refers to investment in themes or assets specifically related to ESG factors. This approach is often based on needs arising from economic or social trends.

Impact investing refers to investments made with the intention to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return.

GREEN FINANCE

Green finance is a responsible investing approach that utilizes financial instruments that support a green economy. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD), green finance relates to "achieving economic growth while reducing pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, minimising waste and improving efficiency in the use of natural resources."⁵ As with other previously mentioned ESG terms, there are several definitions for green finance in practice. The primary investment vehicles used in green finance are **green bonds**, in which issuers earmark the proceeds toward environmental-related projects. Green bond issuance has grown significantly. According to the Climate Bonds Initiative, total worldwide green bond issuance for 2019 totaled USD255 billion, compared to USD171.1 billion for 2018. The United States continues to be the largest green bond market in the world, with China being the second

⁵ www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/green-finance-and-investment_24090344

largest, accounting for about 12% of global green bond issuance in 2019. China's first green bond was issued in July 2015 as the country prioritized environmental projects to address air and water pollution issues resulting from its rapid growth. In addition to green bonds, **sustainability linked loans** (including **green loans**) are also gradually being employed across the globe. Sustainability linked loans are any types of loan instruments and/or contingent facilities (such as bonding lines, guarantee lines, or letters of credit) that incentivize the borrower's achievement of ambitious, predetermined sustainability performance objectives.

10.3 ESG Investment Approaches

ESG investment approaches range from *value-based* to *values-based*. The objective of a *value-based* approach is to mitigate risks and identify opportunities by analyzing and incorporating material ESG considerations in addition to traditional financial metrics. Conversely, the objective of a *values-based* approach is to express the moral or faith-based beliefs of an investor. Between the value-based and the values-based approaches lie a continuum of approaches that strives for value creation through values investing.

There is a lack of consensus in the investment community on terminology to classify specific ESG investment approaches. For the purposes of this reading, we define six generic ESG investment approaches:

- Negative screening
- Positive screening
- ESG integration
- Thematic investing
- Engagement/active ownership
- Impact investing

Negative screening is the exclusion of certain sectors, companies, or practices from a fund or portfolio based on specific ESG criteria. Examples of a negative screen include excluding the fossil fuel extraction/production sector or excluding companies that deviate from globally accepted standards, in areas such as human rights or environmental management, from a portfolio. Many of these negative screens use a specific set of standards, such as the UN Global Compact's Ten Principles on human rights, labor, the environment, and corruption.

In contrast to negative screening, the **positive screening** approach focuses on investments that manage their material ESG risks well relative to industry peers. Positive screening, typically implemented through an ESG ranking or scoring approach, is the inclusion of certain sectors, companies, or practices in a fund or portfolio based on specific ESG criteria. For example, positive screening may include seeking companies that promote employee rights, exhibit diversity in the workplace and in board rooms, or perform well in customer safety. While seeking to invest in sectors, companies, or projects that demonstrate best-in-class ESG performance relative to industry peers, this approach does not exclude any sectors. Instead, it focuses on finding the companies within each sector that perform best on the chosen criteria. This approach typically maintains sector weightings comparable to a relative benchmark index to avoid excessively overweighting or underweighting risk exposures.

ESG integration entails a systematic consideration of material ESG factors in asset allocation, security selection, and portfolio construction decisions for the purpose of achieving the product's stated investment objectives. There is an explicit inclusion of ESG factors into traditional financial analysis of individual stocks or bonds, such as inputs into cash flow forecasts, credit/default risk forecasts, and/or cost-of-capital

estimates. The focus of ESG integration is to identify risks and opportunities arising from material ESG factors and to determine whether a company is properly managing these or not.

Thematic investing is investment in themes or assets specifically related to ESG factors, such as clean energy, green technology, sustainable agriculture, gender diversity, or affordable housing. This approach is often based on needs arising from economic or social trends. Two common investment themes focus on increased demand for energy and water, as well as the availability of alternative sources of each. Global economic development has raised the demand for energy at the same time as increased greenhouse gas emissions are widely believed to negatively affect the earth's climate. Similarly, rising global living standards and industrial needs have created a greater demand for water along with the need to prevent drought or increase access to clean drinking water in certain regions of the world. While these themes are based on trends related to environmental issues, social issues—such as access to affordable health care and nutrition, especially in the poorest countries in the world—are also of great interest to thematic investors.

Engagement/active ownership is the use of shareholder or bondholder power to influence corporate behavior through direct corporate engagement (i.e., communicating with senior management and/or boards of companies), filing or co-filing shareholder proposals, and proxy voting that is directed by ESG guidelines. Engagement/active ownership seeks to achieve targeted social or environmental objectives along with measurable financial returns. Engagement/active ownership can be executed through various asset classes and investment vehicles and often through direct transactions, such as venture capital investing. Collaborative engagement initiatives entail multiple investors collectively engaging with company management to influence positive action in managing their material ESG risks. Climate Action 100+, backed by more than 450 investors with over USD40 trillion in assets collectively under management (as of July 2020), is one such widely supported initiative that aims to influence the world's largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters to take necessary action on climate change. Other key ESG issues on which investors frequently engage are air pollution, plastic waste management, human and labor rights in the supply chain, and executive remuneration.

Impact investing is a relatively smaller segment of the broader sustainable and responsible investing market. Impact investments are investments made with the intention to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return.⁶ An example would include investing in products or services that help achieve one (or more) of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) launched by the United Nations in 2015, such as "SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation—Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all" and "SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities—Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable."

A summary of the six generic ESG Investment approaches and mapping to other classifications is shown in Exhibit 2.

⁶ <https://thegiin.org/impact-investing/>

Exhibit 2 ESG Investment Approaches

ESG Investment Approach	Description	Mapping to Other Classifications	
		<i>Financial Analysts Journal</i>	<i>Global Sustainable Investment Review</i>
Negative screening	Excluding companies or sectors based on business activities or environmental or social concerns	Negative screening	Negative/exclusionary screening Norms-based screening
Positive screening	Including sectors or companies based on specific ESG criteria, typically ESG performance relative to industry peers	Positive screening Relative/best-in-class screening	Positive/Best-in-class screening
ESG integration	Systematic consideration of material ESG factors in asset allocation, security selection, and portfolio construction decisions for the purpose of achieving or exceeding the product's stated investment objectives	Full integration Overlay/ Portfolio tilt Risk factor/ Risk premium	ESG integration
Thematic investing	Investing in themes or assets related to ESG factors	Thematic investing	Sustainability-themed investing
Engagement/active ownership	Using shareholder power to influence corporate behavior to achieve targeted ESG objectives along with financial returns	Engagement/ Active ownership	Corporate engagement/Shareholder action
Impact investing	Investments made with the intention to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return	N/A	Impact/Community investing

Notes: For information on the *Financial Analysts Journal* column under “Mapping to Other Classifications,” see www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.2469/faj.v74.n3.2. For the *Global Sustainable Investment Review* column, see http://www.gsi-alliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/GSIR_Review2018F.pdf.

10.4 Catalysts for Growth in ESG Investing

ESG considerations have become increasingly relevant for two key reasons. First, ESG issues are having more material financial impacts on a company’s fair value. Many investors have suffered substantial losses due to mismanagement of ESG issues by corporations, which resulted in environmental disasters, social controversies, or governance deficiencies. Second, a greater number of younger investors are increasingly demanding that their inherited wealth or their pension contributions be managed using investment strategies that systematically consider material ESG risks as well as negative environmental and societal impacts of their portfolio investments.

Historically, environmental and social issues, such as climate change, air pollution, and societal impacts of a company’s products and services, have been treated as negative externalities—ones whose costs are not borne by the concerned company.

However, increased stakeholder awareness and strengthening regulations are internalizing environmental and societal costs onto the company's income statement either explicitly or implicitly by responsible investors.

At a *macro level*, such environmental risks as physical impacts of climate change—floods, droughts, wildfires, air pollution—are impacting our day-to-day lives both more frequently and on a larger scale than ever imagined. Wildfires in California, the Amazon forest, and Australia have caused loss of human life and billions of dollars in damages. Major cities, like Cape Town and Chennai, have had severe water shortages. The 2020 global slowdown due to Covid-19 demonstrated that such social factors as inequality, access to health care, and vulnerable labor can also have significant impacts on growth. While macro-level environmental and social risks have received widespread attention only since 2010, investors have been evaluating macro-level governance risks, such as quality of institutions and corruption, into their analysis since the 1990s.

At a *micro level*, there have been numerous examples of stakeholders penalizing companies that are unable to manage material ESG risks well. Facebook's Cambridge Analytica scandal, in which the personal data of over 80 million users were allegedly shared without consent and used in influencing voters, not only led to one of the largest US government fines in the technology sector (USD5 billion), but it also made a significant dent on user trust. Other examples of ESG risks materializing are shared later in this reading. As prudent fiduciaries, investors are paying more attention to these factors while constructing portfolios and engaging with company management.

Another investor development that has supported ESG growth is the adoption of more sophisticated views about sustainable growth and its effect on investment performance. To this end, some large institutional asset owners embrace the concept of being “universal owners.” **Universal owners** are long-term investors, such as pension funds, that have significant assets invested in globally diversified portfolios. Given their size and scope, the investment portfolios of universal owners are linked to economic growth and unavoidably exposed to costs resulting from external factors or externalities, such as environmental damages. Some universal owners strive to positively influence the way companies conduct business to minimize exposure to ESG-related costs because both their funds’ long-term performance and the interests of their beneficiaries are at stake. Institutions that adhere to the universal owner philosophy believe that sustainable global economic growth is essential to successful investment performance.

10.5 ESG Market Overview

Reflecting the growth of ESG-related information in the marketplace, the amount of global assets under management (AUM) dedicated to the consideration of ESG factors in portfolio selection and management has increased substantially. According to the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA), a collaboration of organizations dedicated to advancing sustainable investing in the financial markets, nearly USD31 trillion of AUM were dedicated toward sustainable investment mandates at the start of 2018, a 34% increase in two years. Europe (49%) and the United States (39%) accounted for the vast majority of these AUM. Determining the true size of the ESG investment universe is difficult, however, because managers and investors define and implement sustainable and ESG mandates in many different ways. There are often differences regarding which ESG factors should be considered—as well as how they are considered—in the investment analysis and portfolio construction processes.

The increased interest in sustainable investing has led to increased corporate disclosures of ESG issues, as well as a growing number of companies that collect and analyze ESG data. In addition to the GSIA, several organizations have been formed to monitor and advance the mission of sustainable investing. For example, the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), a non-profit organization formed in 1997, produces a

sustainability reporting framework that measures and reports sustainability-related issues and performance. In 2006, the United Nations and a consortium of institutional investors launched the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) Initiative to support investors committed to including ESG issues in their investment decision-making and ownership practices. In 2011, the non-profit Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) was formed to develop sustainability accounting standards for companies when disclosing material ESG information. To help educate investors, CFA Institute and PRI published “Guidance and Case Studies for ESG Integration: Equities and Fixed Income” in 2018. In addition to these key organizations, several others exist that promote the advancement of sustainable investing.

10.6 ESG Factors in Investment Analysis

Environmental factors that are generally considered material in investment analysis include natural resource management, pollution prevention, water conservation, energy efficiency and reduced emissions, the existence of carbon assets, and adherence to environmental safety and regulatory standards. A specific concern among investors of energy companies is the existence of “stranded assets,” which are carbon-intensive assets at risk of no longer being economically viable because of changes in regulation or investor sentiment. Analysts may find it difficult to assess potentially significant financial risks of energy companies because of limited information on the existence of these companies’ carbon assets, as well as the difficulty in determining political and regulatory risks. Material environmental effects can arise from strategic or operational decisions based on inadequate governance processes or errors in judgment. For example, oil spills, industrial waste contamination events, and local resource depletion can result from poor environmental standards, breaches in safety standards, or unsustainable business models. Such events can be costly in terms of regulatory fines, litigation, clean-up costs, reputational risk, and resource management.

TOXIC EMISSIONS AND WASTE AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL RISK

Historically, environmental issues, such as toxic emissions and waste, have been treated as externalities and thus not fully provisioned for in a company’s financial reporting. However, with growing awareness among all stakeholders, including the regulators, companies may now face financial liabilities associated with pollution, contamination, and the emission of toxic or carcinogenic substances and hence are required to manage these risks well. Gross mismanagement of these risks could not only lead to a permanent loss of a company’s license to operate but also to other severe financial penalties.

In one notable example, the 2019 collapse of Dam I of the Córrego do Feijão Mine in Brumadinho, Brazil, resulted in the spillage of millions of tons of non-toxic mud. The plant and surrounding communities experienced the loss of 270 lives as well as the contamination of the nearby Paraopeba River. The mine was owned and operated by Vale, which has since been accused of hiding information about the dam’s instability for many years to avoid hurting the company’s reputation. Several employees from the company, including its ex-CEO, and its auditor, TÜV SÜD, were charged with murder and environmental crimes. Vale was also fined millions of dollars in addition to bearing clean-up costs.

Social factors considered in ESG implementation generally pertain to the management of the human capital of a business. These include human rights and welfare concerns in the workplace; data privacy and security; access to affordable health care products; and, in some cases, community impact. Staff turnover, worker training and safety, employee morale, ethics policies, employee diversity, and supply chain

management can all affect a company's ability to sustain its competitive advantage. In addition, minimizing social risks can lower a company's costs (e.g., through higher employee productivity, lower employee turnover, and reduced litigation potential) and reduce its reputational risk.

DATA PRIVACY AND SECURITY AS A SOCIAL RISK

Data privacy and security focuses on how companies gather, use, and secure personally identifiable information and other meta-data collected from individuals. In some industries, such as internet software and services, this includes managing the risks associated with government requests that may result in violations of civil and political rights.

With the proliferation of the internet across the globe, more and more services are offered online. Consumers of such services are leaving a large digital footprint behind, often unknowingly. Some of this information may be personally identifiable in nature, leaving users vulnerable in case of theft or misuse. As per the "2019 Cost of a Data Breach Report" released by IBM and Ponemon Institute, the average cost of data breach is USD3.9 million, with cost per record lost being USD150.

Given the large amounts of sensitive data being managed by some of the largest internet and financial services companies today, mismanagement of data privacy and security risk can have materially damaging consequences both for a company's business model as well as financial performance. As an example, lax cybersecurity measures at Equifax, Inc., led to a data breach and the theft of identity and financial data belonging to more than 140 million US citizens in 2017. Equifax has since incurred hundreds of millions of dollars in expenses resulting from the breach and has faced numerous lawsuits and investigations.

As mentioned earlier, governance factors have long been recognized in investment analysis. Many performance indicators can help evaluate risks arising from governance issues, such as ownership structure, board independence and composition, and compensation. Although several governance factors may apply across industries and geographic regions, other factors are unique, such as systemic risk management for financial services companies. Wider adoption of responsible investing strategies enhances mainstream governance analysis via the addition of such factors as management oversight of environmental and social issues and tax transparency.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: AN ALL-ENCOMPASSING RISK

An example of investor loss related to corporate governance occurred in 2015 at German automaker Volkswagen. Volkswagen's "Dieselgate" scandal involved use of software to manipulate diesel vehicle emissions of more than 11 million cars globally. Specifically, many investors believed that inadequate governance oversight at Volkswagen permitted its diesel cars to pass emissions tests yet emit unlawful amounts of nitrogen oxide. Many investors attributed their losses to internal audit and compliance shortcomings as well as a lack of independence in Volkswagen's board of directors. The company has already incurred several billion dollars of civil and criminal penalties, and the scandal continues to pose significant legal and financial risks for the company.

One area of debate has been whether the consideration of ESG factors is consistent with fiduciary duty—particularly when overseeing and managing pension fund assets. Pension fund regulation regarding ESG considerations varies globally, however. PRI and the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) promote the belief that ESG integration is a key part of investment analysis: "Investors that fail to incorporate ESG issues are failing their fiduciary duties and are increasingly likely to be subject to legal challenge."

EXAMPLE 11**ESG Investment Approach**

The ESG investment approach that is *most* associated with excluding certain sectors or companies is:

- A thematic investing.
- B negative screening.
- C positive screening.

Solution:

B is correct. Negative screening entails excluding certain companies or sectors, such as fossil fuel extraction, from a portfolio. A is incorrect because thematic investing typically focuses on investing in companies within a specific sector or following a specific theme, such as energy efficiency or climate change, as opposed to merely excluding a set of companies or industries from a portfolio. Likewise, C is incorrect because positive screening focuses on including companies that rank (or score) most favorably compared to their peers with regard to ESG factors.

SUMMARY

The investment community has increasingly recognized the importance of corporate governance as well as environmental and social considerations. Although practices concerning corporate governance (and ESG overall) will undoubtedly continue to evolve, investment analysts who have a good understanding of these concepts can better appreciate the implications of ESG considerations in investment decision making. The core concepts covered in this reading are as follows:

- Corporate governance can be defined as a system of controls and procedures by which individual companies are managed.
- There are many systems of corporate governance, most reflecting the influences of either shareholder theory or stakeholder theory, or both. Current trends, however, point to increasing convergence.
- A corporation's governance system is influenced by several stakeholder groups, and the interests of the groups often diverge or conflict.
- The primary stakeholder groups of a corporation consist of shareholders, creditors, managers and employees, the board of directors, customers, suppliers, and government/regulators.
- A principal–agent relationship (or agency relationship) entails a principal hiring an agent to perform a particular task or service. In a corporate structure, such relationships often lead to conflicts among various stakeholders.
- Stakeholder management involves identifying, prioritizing, and understanding the interests of stakeholder groups and on that basis managing the company's relationships with stakeholders. The framework of corporate governance and stakeholder management reflects a legal, contractual, organizational, and governmental infrastructure.

- Mechanisms of stakeholder management may include general meetings, a board of directors, the audit function, company reporting and transparency, related-party transactions, remuneration policies (including say on pay), and other mechanisms to manage the company's relationship with its creditors, employees, customers, suppliers, and regulators.
- A board of directors is the central pillar of the governance structure, serves as the link between shareholders and managers, and acts as the shareholders' internal monitoring tool within the company.
- The structure and composition of a board of directors vary across countries and companies. The number of directors may vary, and the board typically includes a mix of expertise levels, backgrounds, and competencies.
- Executive (internal) directors are employed by the company and are typically members of senior management. Non-executive (external) directors have limited involvement in daily operations but serve an important oversight role.
- Two primary duties of a board of directors are duty of care and duty of loyalty.
- A company's board of directors typically has several committees that are responsible for specific functions and report to the board. Although the types of committees may vary across organization, the most common are the audit committee, governance committee, remuneration (compensation) committee, nomination committee, risk committee, and investment committee.
- Stakeholder relationships and corporate governance are continually shaped and influenced by a variety of market and non-market factors.
- Shareholder engagement by a company can provide benefits that include building support against short-term activist investors, countering negative recommendations from proxy advisory firms, and receiving greater support for management's position.
- Shareholder activism encompasses a range of strategies that may be used by shareholders when seeking to compel a company to act in a desired manner.
- From a corporation's perspective, risks of poor governance include weak control systems; ineffective decision making; and legal, regulatory, reputational, and default risk. Benefits include better operational efficiency, control, and operating and financial performance, as well as lower default risk (or cost of debt).
- Key analyst considerations in corporate governance and stakeholder management include economic ownership and voting control, board of directors representation, remuneration and company performance, investor composition, strength of shareholders' rights, and the management of long-term risks.
- ESG investment approaches range from *value*-based to *values*-based. There are six broad ESG investment approaches: Negative screening, Positive screening, ESG integration, Thematic investing, Engagement/active ownership, and Impact investing.
- Historically, environmental and social issues, such as climate change, air pollution, and societal impacts of a company's products and services, have been treated as negative externalities. However, increased stakeholder awareness and strengthening regulations are internalizing environmental and societal costs onto the company's income statement by responsible investors.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Corporate governance:
 - A complies with a set of global standards.
 - B is independent of both shareholder theory and stakeholder theory.
 - C seeks to minimize and manage conflicting interests between insiders and external shareholders.
- 2 Which group of company stakeholders would be *least* affected if the firm's financial position weakens?
 - A Suppliers
 - B Customers
 - C Managers and employees
- 3 Which of the following represents a principal–agent conflict between shareholders and management?
 - A Risk tolerance
 - B Multiple share classes
 - C Accounting and reporting practices
- 4 Which of the following issues discussed at a shareholders' general meeting would *most likely* require only a simple majority vote for approval?
 - A Voting on a merger
 - B Election of directors
 - C Amendments to bylaws
- 5 Which of the following statements regarding stakeholder management is *most* accurate?
 - A Company management ensures compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.
 - B Directors are excluded from voting on transactions in which they hold material interest.
 - C The use of variable incentive plans in executive remuneration is decreasing.
- 6 Which of the following represents a responsibility of a company's board of directors?
 - A Implementation of strategy
 - B Enterprise risk management
 - C Considering the interests of shareholders only
- 7 Which of the following statements about non-market factors in corporate governance is *most* accurate?
 - A Stakeholders can spread information quickly and shape public opinion.
 - B A civil law system offers better protection of shareholder interests than does a common law system.
 - C Vendors providing corporate governance services have limited influence on corporate governance practices.
- 8 Which of the following statements regarding corporate shareholders is *most* accurate?
 - A Cross-shareholdings help promote corporate mergers.

- B Dual-class structures are used to align economic ownership with control.
 - C Affiliated shareholders can protect a company against hostile takeover bids.
- 9** Which of the following statements about environmental, social, and governance (ESG) in investment analysis is correct?
- A ESG factors are strictly intangible in nature.
 - B ESG terminology is easily distinguishable among investors.
 - C Environmental and social factors have been adopted in investment analysis more slowly than governance factors.
- 10** Which of the following statements regarding ESG investment approaches is *most accurate*?
- A Negative screening is the most commonly applied method.
 - B Thematic investing considers multiple factors.
 - C Positive screening excludes industries with unfavorable ESG aspects.

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. Corporate governance is the arrangement of checks, balances, and incentives a company needs to minimize and manage the conflicting interests between insiders and external shareholders.
- 2 B is correct. Compared with other stakeholder groups, customers tend to be less concerned with, and affected by, a company's financial performance.
- 3 A is correct. Shareholder and manager interests can diverge with respect to risk tolerance. In some cases, shareholders with diversified investment portfolios can have a fairly high risk tolerance because specific company risk can be diversified away. Managers are typically more risk averse in their corporate decision making to better protect their employment status.
- 4 B is correct. The election of directors is considered an ordinary resolution and, therefore, requires only a simple majority of votes to be passed.
- 5 B is correct. Often, policies on related-party transactions require that such transactions or matters be voted on by the board (or shareholders), excluding the director holding the interest.
- 6 B is correct. The board typically ensures that the company has an appropriate enterprise risk management system in place.
- 7 A is correct. Social media has become a powerful tool for stakeholders to instantly broadcast information with little cost or effort and to compete with company management in influencing public sentiment.
- 8 C is correct. The presence of a sizable affiliated stockholder (such as an individual, family trust, endowment, or private equity fund) can shield a company from the effects of voting by outside shareholders.
- 9 C is correct. The risks of poor corporate governance have long been understood by analysts and shareholders. In contrast, the practice of considering environmental and social factors has been slower to take hold.
- 10 A is correct. Negative screening, which refers to the practice of excluding certain sectors, companies, or practices that violate accepted standards in such areas as human rights or environmental concerns, is the most common ESG investment approach.

READING

28

Uses of Capital

by John D. Stowe, PhD, CFA, and Jacques R. Gagné, FSA, CFA, CIPM

John D. Stowe, PhD, CFA, is at Ohio University (USA). Jacques R. Gagné, FSA, CFA, CIPM, is at ENAP (Canada).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe the capital allocation process and basic principles of capital allocation;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. demonstrate the use of net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) in allocating capital and describe the advantages and disadvantages of each method;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. describe expected relations among a company's investments, company value, and share price;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. describe types of real options relevant to capital investment;
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. describe common capital allocation pitfalls.

INTRODUCTION

1

To achieve profitability and reach sustainability, a company must use its resources effectively and make long-term investments that increase revenues and profits. Investment opportunities returning long-term benefits and future cash flows greater than their associated cost to fund generate value for companies and corresponding wealth for their owners. Decisions on where and when to make long-term capital investments are, therefore, key management decisions central to a company's operating success and longevity. Understanding how companies allocate their capital among competing priorities and their resulting portfolios of investment activity is a fundamental area of knowledge for financial analysts for many reasons.

The allocation and investment of capital are important corporate activities. Capital investments (also referred to here as capital projects) are investments with a life of one year or longer, and they make up the long-term asset portion of the balance sheet. They can be so large that sound capital allocation decisions ultimately decide the future success of many corporations. Capital investments also describe a company's future prospects better than its working capital or capital structure, which

are intangible and often similar for companies. Analysts may attempt to estimate the process, within reason, for companies that are not too complex and, in doing so, better evaluate corporate decisions that extend to financing and other related activity. Analysts may also be able to appraise the quality of the company's capital allocation process—for example, on the basis of whether the company has an accounting focus or an economic focus. In doing so, analysts derive insights into how the company is creating value for investors.


This reading is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the steps taken by companies in a typical capital allocation process and the basic principles of capital allocation. In Section 3, we introduce basic investment decision criteria, and in Section 4, we discuss capital allocation options—known as real options—that allow their holders, in this case companies, to make decisions in the future that alter the value of their capital investment decisions made today. Section 5 covers a discussion of the common capital allocation pitfalls often made by companies, and a summary concludes the reading.

2

THE CAPITAL ALLOCATION PROCESS

- a. describe the capital allocation process and basic principles of capital allocation

The **capital allocation process**—the process used by a company to make capital investment decisions—depends on factors specific to the company, such as management tenure and experience, the size and complexity of the capital investment being evaluated, and the size of the organization. The typical steps taken by a company in the capital allocation process are shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1 Steps in the Capital Allocation Process

Following the generation of investment ideas, an important part of the investment analysis is to accurately forecast the timing, duration, and volatility of each investment's expected cash flows in addition to their probability of occurrence. Capital allocation planning then involves the selection and prioritization of profitable investment opportunities that, when considered together, are most value enhancing for the firm's strategy. Some projects that look attractive when considered in isolation may be undesirable strategically. Opportunities that are not profitable or fail to generate returns sufficient to cover their associated cost of funding should not be pursued. In these cases, the firm is better off returning cash to shareholders as dividends or share repurchases.

Post-auditing capital projects and comparing an investment's realized revenues, expenses, and cash flows with the predictions are important for several reasons. First, they help monitor the forecasts and analysis that underlie the capital allocation process. Systematic errors, such as overly optimistic forecasts, become apparent. Second, they help improve business operations. If sales or costs are out of line, this process will focus attention on bringing performance closer to expectations if at all possible. Finally, monitoring and post-auditing recent capital investments will produce concrete ideas for future investments. Managers can decide to invest more heavily in profitable areas and scale down or cancel investments in areas that are disappointing.

Planning for capital investments can be very complex, often involving many persons inside and outside the company. Information across multiple disciplines such as marketing, technology, engineering, regulation, taxation, finance, production, and behavioral issues must be systematically gathered and evaluated by the company. Management's authority to make capital decisions depends on the size and complexity of the investment in question. Lower-level managers may have discretion to make

decisions that involve less than a given amount of money or that do not exceed a given capital allocation. Larger and more complex decisions are reserved for top management, and some are so significant that the company's board of directors ultimately has the decision-making authority.

Like other business activities, the capital allocation activity is a cost–benefit exercise for the company. At the margin, the benefits from the improved decision making should exceed the costs of the capital allocation efforts.

Companies often put capital allocation projects into some basic categories for analysis. These classifications are summarized in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2 Types of Capital Projects

01. Replacement Projects Investment projects that maintain the existing size of the business.	02. Expansion Projects Investment projects that expand business size and often involve greater uncertainty and management consideration than replacement projects.	03. New Products and Services Investments in new products or services involving more stakeholders and higher degrees of risk (uncertainty).
04. Regulatory, Safety, and Environmental Projects Investment projects required by a third party, such as the government or an insurance company, to meet specified standards. These may not generate revenues and might not be otherwise undertaken by a company maximizing its own interests.	05. Other Investment projects, such as management pet projects and high-risk investments, which escape, or fall outside, normal project analyses.	

Replacement projects are among the easier capital allocation decisions for management. If a piece of equipment breaks down or wears out, whether to replace it may not require in-depth analysis. If the expenditure is modest and if not investing has significant implications for production, operations, or sales, it would be a waste of corporate resources to overanalyze the decision. The investment should just be made.

For regulatory, safety, and environmental projects, often the company will accept the required investment and continue to operate. Occasionally, however, the cost of such projects is sufficiently high that the company would be better off to cease operating altogether or to shut down any part of the business that is related to the project.

Capital allocation relies on a few basic principles and usually involves the assumptions shown in Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 3 Capital Allocation Assumptions

1. Decisions are based on cash flows.	2. Cash flows are not accounting net income or operating income.	3. Cash flows are based on opportunity costs.
Decisions are not based on accounting concepts (net income or operating income), which are done on an accrual basis and subtract non-cash expenses, such as depreciation.	Accounting income reflects non-cash charges, such as depreciation, while economic income (cash flows plus change in company's market value) does not and is based on changes in the company's market value, not book value.	What incremental cash flows occur with the investment compared with what they would have been without the investment?
4. Cash flows are analyzed on an after-tax basis.	5. Timing of cash flows is crucial	6. Financing costs are ignored.
Decisions must reflect the impact of taxes.	Detailed analysis is needed to accurately forecast the timing, duration, and volatility of expected cash flows and their probability of occurrence.	Financing costs are reflected in the required rate of return and thus ignored in cash flows to avoid double-counting.

In ignoring financing costs, we referred to the rate used in discounting the cash flows as the “required rate of return.” The required rate of return is the discount rate that investors, or suppliers of capital, require given the riskiness of the project. This discount rate is frequently called the “opportunity cost of funds” or the “cost of capital.” If the company can invest elsewhere and earn a return of r or if the company can repay its sources of capital and save a cost of r , then r is the company’s opportunity cost of funds. If the company cannot earn more than its opportunity cost of funds on an investment, it should not undertake that investment. Unless an investment earns more than the cost of funds from its suppliers of capital, the investment should not be undertaken. The cost of capital concept is discussed more extensively elsewhere. Regardless of what it is called, an economically sound discount rate is essential for making capital allocation decisions.

Although the principles of capital allocation are simple, they are easily confused in practice, leading to unfortunate decisions made by companies. The following are some important capital allocation concepts useful to financial analysts.

- A **sunk cost** is one that has already been incurred. One cannot change a sunk cost. Decisions made today, however, should be based on current and future cash flows and should not be affected by prior, or sunk, costs.
- An **opportunity cost** is what a resource is worth in its next-best use. For example, if a company uses some idle property, what should it record as the investment outlay: the purchase price several years ago, the current market value, or nothing? If the company invests \$10 million, what is the opportunity cost? The answers to these questions are, respectively, the current market value and \$10 million (which the company could invest elsewhere).

- An **incremental cash flow** is the cash flow that is realized because of an investment decision: the cash flow *with* a decision minus the cash flow *without* that decision. If opportunity costs are correctly assessed by the company, the incremental cash flows provide a sound basis for capital allocation.
- An **externality** is the effect of an investment on things other than the investment itself. Frequently, an investment affects the cash flows of other parts of the company, and these externalities can be positive or negative. A positive externality occurring within the company would be expected synergies with existing projects or business activities that result from making the investment. The production and sale of a new complimentary product or service may increase demand or lower costs for an existing product or service the company offers. **Cannibalization** is an example of a negative externality occurring within the company. Cannibalization occurs when an investment takes customers and sales away from another part of the company. If possible, companies should consider externalities in the investment decision. Often the adjustments are subjective because the company cannot get a precise estimate. Sometimes externalities occur outside the company. An investment might benefit (or harm) other companies or society at large, and yet the company is not compensated for these benefits (or charged for the costs).
- **Conventional cash flows** versus **nonconventional cash flows**: A conventional cash flow pattern is one with an initial outflow followed by a series of inflows. In a nonconventional cash flow pattern, the initial outflow is not followed by inflows only, but the cash flows can flip from being positive (inflows) to negative (outflows) again or possibly change signs several times. An investment that involved outlays (negative cash flows) for the first couple of years that were followed by positive cash flows would be considered to have a conventional pattern. If cash flows change signs once, the pattern is conventional. If cash flows change signs two or more times, the pattern is nonconventional.
For example, a crude oil refining facility might require an initial investment outlay that produces positive cash flows for several years followed by additional investments to refurbish the facilities needed to produce additional positive cash flows. Or an investment in a nuclear power facility that generates electricity for a number of years might be followed by another cash outlay to decommission the power plant.

In assessing potential investment opportunities, several types of project interactions make the incremental cash flow analysis challenging for companies. The following are some of these interactions:

- **Independent projects** versus **mutually exclusive projects**: Independent projects are capital investments whose cash flows are independent of each other. Mutually exclusive projects compete directly with each other. For example, if Projects A and B are mutually exclusive, the company can choose to invest in A or B but cannot choose both. Sometimes management may be presented with several mutually exclusive projects and can choose only one from the group.
- **Project sequencing**: Many capital projects are sequenced over time, so that investing in a project creates the option to invest in future projects. For example, the company might invest in a project today and one year later invest in a second project if the financial results of the first project or new economic conditions are favorable. If the results of the first project or new economic conditions are not favorable, the company would not invest in the second project.
- **Unlimited funds** versus **capital rationing**: An unlimited funds environment assumes that the company can raise the funds it wants for all profitable projects simply by paying the required rate of return. Capital rationing exists when

the company has a fixed amount of funds to invest. If the company has more profitable projects than it has funds for, it must allocate the funds to achieve the maximum shareholder value subject to the funding constraints.

INVESTMENT DECISION CRITERIA

3

- b** demonstrate the use of net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) in allocating capital and describe the advantages and disadvantages of each method

Several criteria can be used by companies to evaluate capital investment opportunities. The two most comprehensive measures to assess whether an investment is profitable or unprofitable are the **net present value** (NPV) and **internal rate of return** (IRR). An analyst should understand the economic logic behind each of these investment decision criteria as well as their strengths and limitations in practice.

3.1 Net Present Value

For a capital investment with one investment outlay, made initially, the net present value is the present value of the future after-tax cash flows minus the investment outlay, or

$$\text{NPV} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1+r)^t} - \text{Outlay}, \quad (1)$$

where

CF_t = After-tax cash flow at time t

r = Required rate of return for the investment

Outlay = Investment cash flow at time zero

To illustrate the net present value criterion, we will consider a simple example. Assume that Gerhardt Corporation is considering a capital investment of €50 million that will return after-tax cash flows of €16 million per year for the next four years plus another €20 million in Year 5. The company's required rate of return is 10%.

For the Gerhardt example, the NPV would be

$$\text{NPV} = \frac{16}{1.10^1} + \frac{16}{1.10^2} + \frac{16}{1.10^3} + \frac{16}{1.10^4} + \frac{20}{1.10^5} - 50.$$

$$\text{NPV} = 14.545 + 13.223 + 12.021 + 10.928 + 12.418 - 50.$$

$$\text{NPV} = 63.136 - 50 = €13.136 \text{ million.}$$

The investment has a total value, or present value of future cash flows, of €63.136 million. Since this investment can be acquired at a cost of €50 million, the company is giving up €50 million of its wealth in exchange for an investment worth €63.136 million. The investment increases the wealth of the company by a net amount of €13.136 million.

Because the NPV is the amount by which the company's wealth increases as a result of the investment, the decision rule for the NPV is as follows:

Invest if	$\text{NPV} > 0$.
Do not invest if	$\text{NPV} < 0$.

Positive-NPV investments are wealth increasing for the company and its shareholders, whereas negative-NPV investments are wealth decreasing for the company and its shareholders. In the rare case that NPV turns out to be zero, the project could be accepted because it meets the required rate of return. Keep in mind, however, that NPV analysis relies on estimated future cash flows. A zero-NPV project leaves no room for error.

Many investments have cash flow patterns in which outflows may occur not only at time zero but also at future dates. It is useful to consider the NPV to be the present value of all cash flows:

$$\text{NPV} = \frac{\text{CF}_1}{(1+r)^1} + \frac{\text{CF}_2}{(1+r)^2} + \cdots + \frac{\text{CF}_n}{(1+r)^n}, \text{ or}$$

$$\text{NPV} = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1+r)^t}. \quad (2)$$

In Equation 2, the investment outlay, CF_0 , is simply a negative cash flow. Future cash flows can also be negative.

3.2 Internal Rate of Return

The internal rate of return is one of the most frequently used concepts in capital allocation and in security analysis. For a capital investment with one investment outlay, made initially, the IRR is the discount rate that makes the present value of the future after-tax cash flows equal that investment outlay. Expressed in equation form, the IRR solves the following equation:

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1+\text{IRR})^t} = \text{Outlay},$$

where IRR is the internal rate of return. The left-hand side of this equation is the present value of the capital investment's future cash flows, which, discounted at the IRR, equals the investment outlay. This equation will also be seen rearranged as

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1+\text{IRR})^t} - \text{Outlay} = 0. \quad (3)$$

In this form, Equation 3 looks like the NPV equation, Equation 1, except that the discount rate is the IRR instead of r (the required rate of return). Discounted at the IRR, the NPV is equal to zero.

In the Gerhardt Corporation example, we want to find a discount rate that makes the total present value of all cash flows, the NPV, equal zero. In equation form, the IRR is the discount rate that solves the following equation:

$$-50 + \frac{16}{(1+\text{IRR})^1} + \frac{16}{(1+\text{IRR})^2} + \frac{16}{(1+\text{IRR})^3} + \frac{16}{(1+\text{IRR})^4} + \frac{20}{(1+\text{IRR})^5} = 0.$$

Algebraically, this equation would be difficult to solve. Without the use of a financial calculator, we would have to resort to trial and error, systematically choosing various discount rates until we find one, the IRR, that satisfies the equation. This process can be long and tedious. Fortunately, financial calculators can derive the IRR quickly. Even before using a calculator, however, we have some sense of what the IRR is. We previously discounted these cash flows at 10% and found the NPV to be €13.136 million. Since the NPV is positive, the IRR must be greater than 10%. If we use 20% as the discount rate, the NPV is -€0.543 million, so 20% is a little high. One might try several other discount rates until the NPV is equal to zero; this approach is illustrated in Exhibit 4.

Exhibit 4. Trial and Error Process for Finding IRR

Discount Rate (%)	NPV
10	13.136
20	-0.543
19	0.598
19.5	0.022
19.51	0.011
19.52	0.000

The IRR is 19.52%. To repeat, financial calculators and spreadsheet software can quickly calculate the IRR for us so that we do not have to go through this trial and error procedure ourselves.

The decision rule for the IRR is to invest if the IRR exceeds the required rate of return for a capital investment:

Invest if	IRR > r .
Do not invest if	IRR < r .

The required rate of return is often called the **hurdle rate**, the rate that a project's IRR must exceed for the project to be accepted by the company. In the unlikely event that the IRR is equal to r , the project is theoretically acceptable because it meets the required return. In fact, NPV equals zero when IRR equals r . In the Gerhardt example, since the IRR of 19.52% exceeds the project's required rate of return of 10%, Gerhardt should invest.

It is common to define the IRR as the discount rate that makes the present values of all cash flows sum to zero:

$$\sum_{t=0}^n \frac{CF_t}{(1 + IRR)^t} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Equation 4 is a more general version of Equation 3.

NPV and IRR criteria will usually indicate the same investment decision for a given capital investment. They will usually both recommend acceptance or rejection of the investment. In the case of mutually exclusive investment projects, a company could, on occasion, face the following situation: Project A might have a larger NPV than Project B, but Project B has a higher IRR than Project A. Since the company can invest in only one project, which should it be—Project A or Project B?

The correct choice is Project A, the one with the higher NPV. To understand why, consider this simple example. Suppose you could choose just one of two investments. The first allows you to double your initial outlay of \$100 in just one year. The second requires an investment of \$100,000, which will grow by 20% in a year. Which should you choose? The first investment gives you a profit of \$100. The second gives you a \$20,000 profit. Assuming you can access the required funds, the second choice is preferred. Even though it offers a smaller percentage return, it increases your wealth by much more.

When the choice is between two mutually exclusive projects and the NPV and IRR rank the two projects differently, the NPV criterion is strongly preferred. There are good reasons for this preference. The NPV shows the amount of gain, or wealth increase in company value, as a currency amount. The NPV assumes reinvestment of cash flows at the required rate of return, while the IRR assumes reinvestment at the IRR. In using the opportunity cost of funds, the reinvestment assumption of the NPV is the more economically realistic measure. Mathematically, whenever you

discount a cash flow at a particular discount rate, you are implicitly assuming that you can reinvest a cash flow at that same discount rate. It is more realistic to assume reinvestment at a lower rate. The IRR does give a rate of return, but the IRR could be for a small investment size or for only a short period of time. As a practical matter, once a corporation has the data to calculate the NPV, it is fairly trivial to then calculate the IRR and other capital allocation criteria. However, the most appropriate and theoretically sound criterion is the NPV.

Another issue is that when the cash flows are nonconventional (i.e., they change sign more than once), there are multiple IRRs. This problem with the IRR criterion is known as the multiple IRR problem. We can illustrate this problem with the following nonconventional cash flow pattern:

Time	0	1	2
Cash flow	-1,000	5,000	-6,000

The IRR for these cash flows satisfies this equation:

$$-1,000 + \frac{5,000}{(1 + \text{IRR})^1} + \frac{-6,000}{(1 + \text{IRR})^2} = 0.$$

It turns out that there are two values of IRR that satisfy the equation: $\text{IRR} = 1 = 100\%$ and $\text{IRR} = 2 = 200\%$. As a result, the IRR is not useful for nonconventional cash flow projects.

This brings up the following question: If NPV is always preferred over IRR for selecting projects, why do companies even bother with IRR? The answer is that many people find it easy to understand a rate of return. If they know that the required return is 10%, they can easily understand that a project returning more than 10% is desirable. If they are simply told the NPV amount, they may not find it as meaningful. In practice, most companies use both metrics. They typically use NPV to make the investment decisions, but they also report the IRR to help their audience understand.

3.3 Corporate Usage of Capital Allocation Methods

- c describe expected relations among a company's investments, company value, and share price

Analysts should understand the basic logic of the various capital allocation criteria as well as the practicalities involved in their use at corporations. The usefulness of any analytical tool always depends on the specific application.

If the company can invest elsewhere and earn a return of r or if the company can repay its sources of capital and save a cost of r , then r is the company's opportunity cost of funds. If the company can make an investment that earns more than its opportunity cost of funds, then the investment is creating value for the company and corresponding wealth for shareholders and should be undertaken. Similarly, if the investment earns less than the company's opportunity cost of funds, the investment decreases value in the company, leaving shareholders less wealthy, and should not be undertaken.

The **return on invested capital** (ROIC) is a measure of the profitability of a company relative to the amount of capital invested by the equity- and debtholders. ROIC reflects how effectively a company's management is able to convert capital into profits. The ratio is calculated by dividing the after-tax net profit by the average book value of invested capital (common, preferred, and debt).

The ROIC measure is often compared with the associated **cost of capital** (COC), the required return used in the NPV calculation and the company's associated cost of funds. If the ROIC measure is higher than the COC, the company is generating a higher return for investors compared with the required return, thereby increasing the firm's value for shareholders. The inverse is true if the COC is higher than the ROIC.

Capital allocation criterion and analysis are thus essential tools for corporate managers. Because a corporation's investing decisions ultimately determine the value of its financial obligations, the corporation's investing processes and activity are vital. The NPV criterion is the criterion most directly related to stock prices. If a corporation invests in positive-NPV projects, they should add to the wealth of the company and the corresponding wealth of its shareholders. Example 1 illustrates this scenario for a company making a new investment.

EXAMPLE 1

NPVs and Stock Prices

Freitag Corporation is investing €600 million in distribution facilities. The present value of the future after-tax cash flows is estimated to be €850 million. Freitag has 200 million outstanding shares with a current market price of €32.00 per share. This investment is new information, and it is independent of other expectations about the company. What should be the investment's effect on the value of the company and the stock price?

Solution:

The NPV of the investment is €850 million – €600 million = €250 million. The total market value of the company prior to the investment is $\text{€}32.00 \times 200 \text{ million shares} = \text{€}6,400 \text{ million}$. The value of the company should increase by €250 million, to €6,650 million. The price per share should increase by the NPV per share, or $\text{€}250 \text{ million}/200 \text{ million shares} = \text{€}1.25 \text{ per share}$. The share price should increase from €32.00 to €33.25.

The effect of a capital investment's positive or negative NPV on share price is more complicated than in Example 1, in which the value of the stock increased by the investment's NPV.

The value of a company is the value of its existing investments plus the net present values of all its future investments, accounting for any externalities. If an analyst learns of an investment, the impact of that investment on the company's stock price will depend on whether the investment's profitability is more or less than expected. For example, an analyst could learn of a positive-NPV project, but if the project's profitability is less than expected, the company's stock price might drop because of this news. Alternatively, news of a particular capital project might be considered a signal about other capital investments underway or in the future. An investment that by itself might add, say, €0.25 to the value of the stock might signal the existence of other profitable projects. News of this investment might increase the stock price by far more than €0.25.

Inflation affects a company's capital allocation analysis in several ways. The first is whether the investment analysis is done in "nominal" terms or in "real" terms. Nominal cash flows include the effects of inflation, whereas real cash flows are adjusted downward to remove the effects of inflation. Companies may choose to do the analysis in either nominal or real terms, and sound decisions can be made either way.

The cash flows and discount rate used by the company should both be nominal or both be real. In other words, nominal cash flows should be discounted at a nominal discount rate, and real cash flows should be discounted at a real rate. The real rate, just like real cash flows, has had the effect of inflation removed.

Inflation reduces the value of depreciation tax savings to the company (unless the tax system adjusts depreciation for inflation), effectively increasing its real taxes. The effect of expected inflation is captured in the discounted cash flow analysis. If inflation is higher than expected, the profitability of the investment is correspondingly lower than expected. Inflation essentially shifts wealth from the taxpayer (i.e., company) to the government. Conversely, lower-than-expected inflation reduces real taxes for the company (the depreciation tax shelters are more valuable than expected) and results in higher-than-expected profitability of the investment and a corresponding wealth increase for the company.

Inflation does not affect all revenues and costs uniformly. The company's after-tax cash flows will be better or worse than expected depending on how particular sales outputs or cost inputs are affected. Furthermore, contracting with customers, suppliers, employees, and sources of capital can be complicated as inflation rises. Although the capital allocation model accommodates the effects of inflation, inflation complicates the capital allocation process (and the operations of a business, in general).

Finally, a company's capital allocation processes can demonstrate two things about the quality of management: the degree to which management embraces the goal of shareholder wealth maximization and management's effectiveness in pursuing that goal. Both are key for shareholders and potential investors in assessing management's success in value creation for the company.

4

REAL OPTIONS

- d describe types of real options relevant to capital investment

Real options are options that allow companies to make decisions in the future that alter the value of capital investment decisions made today. Instead of making all capital investment decisions now, at time zero, a company can wait and make additional decisions at future dates when these future decisions are contingent on future economic events or information. Rather than one-time decisions, it is more reasonable to assume that a company is making decisions sequentially, some now and some in the future. A combination of optimal current and future decisions is what will maximize company value. Real options, by providing future decision-making flexibility to companies, can be an important piece of the value in many capital investments.

Real options are like financial options—except that they deal with real assets instead of financial assets.

EXAMPLE 2

Financial Option Similarity

A simple financial option could be a call option on a share of stock. Suppose the stock is selling for \$50, you own a call option with an exercise (strike) price of \$50, and the option expires in one year.

- A What action should you take if the stock price increases to \$60?
- B What action should you take if the stock price falls to \$40?

Solution:

- A Since the stock price (\$60) is greater than the option exercise price (\$50), you should exercise the option, which allows you to buy the stock, worth \$60, for a price of \$50. In effect, you have a \$10 gain less what you paid for the option.
- B Since the stock price (\$40) is less than the option exercise price (\$50), you should not exercise the option. After all, why would you pay \$50 for a stock that is now worth only \$40? If you really want to own the stock, it would be cheaper to buy it in the market for \$40.

Real options, like financial options, entail the right to make a decision but not the obligation to do so. The company should exercise a real option only if it is value enhancing.

Just as financial options are contingent on an underlying asset, real options are contingent on future events. The flexibility that real options give to companies can greatly enhance the NPV of the company's capital investments. The following are four types of real options.

4.1 Timing Options

Instead of investing now, the company can delay investing. Delaying an investment and basing the decision on hopefully improved information that you might have in, say, a year could help improve the NPV of the projects selected. Project sequencing options allow the company to defer the decision to invest in a future investment until the outcome of some or all of a current investment is known. Investments are sequenced over time, so that investing in a project creates the option to make future investments.

4.2 Sizing Options

If after investing the company can abandon the investment if the financial results are disappointing, it has an **abandonment option**. At some future date, if the cash flow from abandoning an investment exceeds the present value of the cash flows from continuing the investment, the company should exercise the abandonment option. Conversely, if the company can make additional investments when future financial results are strong, the company has a **growth option** or an expansion option. When estimating the cash flows from an expansion, the analyst must also be wary of cannibalization.

4.3 Flexibility Options

Once an investment is made, operational flexibilities besides abandonment or expansion may be available. For example, suppose demand exceeds capacity. Management may be able to exercise a **price-setting option**. By increasing prices, the company could benefit from the excess demand, which it cannot do by increasing production. There are also **production-flexibility options**, which offer the operational flexibility to alter production when demand varies from what is forecast. Even though it is expensive, the company can profit from working overtime or from adding additional shifts. The company can also work with customers and suppliers for their mutual benefit whenever a demand-supply mismatch occurs. This type of option also includes the possibility of using different inputs or producing different outputs.

4.4 Fundamental Options

In such cases as the aforementioned, there are options embedded in a project that can raise its value. In other cases, the whole investment is essentially an option. The payoffs from the investment are contingent on an underlying asset, just like most financial options. For example, the value of an oil well or refinery investment is contingent on the price of oil. The value of a gold mine is contingent on the price of gold. If oil prices were low, you likely would not choose to drill a well. If oil prices were high, you would go ahead and drill. Many R&D (research and development) projects also look like options.

There are several approaches a company's management may use in evaluating capital investments with real options. Analysis of real options can be very complicated for companies. Management can take the following common sense approaches to real option analysis:

- 1 Use DCF (discounted cash flow) analysis without considering options. If the NPV is positive without considering real options and the project has real options that would simply add more value, it is unnecessary to evaluate the options. Management should simply undertake the investment.
- 2 Consider the Project NPV = NPV (based on DCF alone) – Cost of options + Value of options. Calculate the NPV based on expected cash flows. Then simply add the value associated with real options less their incremental cost.
- 3 Use decision trees. Although they are not as conceptually sound as option pricing models, decision trees can capture the essence of many sequential decision-making problems for companies.
- 4 Use option pricing models.

In carrying out capital allocation, management must consider (1) a variety of real options that investments may possess and (2) a decision about how to reasonably value these options. Example 3 deals with production flexibility; in this case, an additional investment outlay gives the company an option to use alternative fuel sources.

EXAMPLE 3

Production-Flexibility Option

Auvergne AquaFarms has estimated the NPV of the expected cash flows from a new processing plant to be $-€0.40$ million. Auvergne is evaluating an incremental investment of $€0.30$ million that would give management the flexibility to switch among coal, natural gas, and oil as energy sources. The original plant relied only on coal. The option to switch to cheaper sources of energy when they are available has an estimated value of $€1.20$ million. What is the value of the new processing plant including this real option to use alternative energy sources?

Solution:

The NPV, including the real option, should be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Project NPV} &= \text{NPV (based on DCF alone)} - \text{Cost of options} + \\ &\quad \text{Value of options.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Project NPV} &= -0.40 \text{ million} - 0.30 \text{ million} + 1.20 \text{ million} \\ &= €0.50 \text{ million.} \end{aligned}$$

Without the flexibility offered by the real option, the plant is unprofitable. The real option to adapt to cheaper energy sources adds enough to the value of this investment to give it a positive NPV. The company should undertake the investment, which would add to its value.

COMMON CAPITAL ALLOCATION PITFALLS

5

- e describe common capital allocation pitfalls

Although the principles of capital allocation may be easy to understand, applying the principles to real-world investment opportunities can be challenging for companies. Some of the common capital allocation mistakes that companies make are listed here.

- **Not incorporating economic responses into the investment analysis:** Economic responses to an investment often affect its profitability, and these responses have to be correctly anticipated by companies. For example, in response to a successful investment, competitors can enter and reduce the investment's profitability. Companies that make highly profitable investments often find that a competitive marketplace eventually causes profitability to revert to normal levels.
- **Misusing capital allocation templates:** Because hundreds or even thousands of investments need to be analyzed over time, individuals assessing these opportunities on behalf of the company sometimes use standardized capital allocation templates in evaluating investments. This situation creates risks if the template model does not match the investment or if inappropriate information is used.
- **Pushing pet projects:** Often **pet projects** are selected at companies without undergoing normal capital allocation analysis. Or the pet project receives the analysis, but overly optimistic projections are used to inflate the investment's profitability.
- **Basing investment decisions on EPS, net income, or ROE:** Companies sometimes have incentives to boost earnings per share, net income, or return on equity. Many investments, even those with strong NPVs, do not increase these accounting numbers in the short run and may even reduce them. Paying too much attention to short-run accounting numbers can result in a company choosing investments that are not in the long-run economic interests of its shareholders.
- **Using IRR to make investment decisions:** The NPV criterion is economically sound. The IRR criterion is also sound for companies undertaking independent investments with conventional cash flow patterns. For investment opportunities that are mutually exclusive, or competitive with each other, using IRR criterion and not NPV can result in suboptimal investment decisions. Furthermore, the IRR methodology should never be used with nonconventional cash flow projects because it results in more than one solution.
- **Incorrectly accounting for cash flows:** In analyzing a complicated investment, individuals assessing the investment for the company may erroneously omit relevant cash flows, double-count cash flows, and mishandle taxes.

- **Over- or underestimating overhead costs:** In large companies, the cost of an investment may include the overhead it generates for such things as management time, information technology support, financial systems, and other support. Although these items are hard to estimate, over- or underestimating these overhead costs can lead to incorrect analysis by companies.
- **Not using the appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate:** The required rate of return for an investment should be based on its risk. If the company is financing an investment with debt (or with equity), the investment's required rate of return—not the company's **cost of debt** (or **cost of equity**)—should still be used. Similarly, a high-risk investment being considered should be discounted not at the company's overall cost of capital but at the investment's required rate of return. Discount rate errors have a huge impact on the computed NPVs of long-lived investments.
- **Overspending and underspending the capital allocation:** Spending all the investment allocation just because it is available is another common mistake made by companies. In a well-run company, managers will return excess funds whenever their profitable investments cost less than their allocation, and managers will make a sound case for extra funds if their allocation is too small.
- **Failing to consider investment alternatives or alternative states:** Generating good investment ideas is the most basic step in the capital allocation process, and many good alternatives are never even considered at some companies. In addition, many companies fail to consider differing states of the world, which can and should be incorporated through breakeven, scenario, and simulation analyses.
- **Incorrectly handling sunk costs and opportunity costs:** Ignoring sunk costs is difficult for companies to do. Further, not identifying the economic alternatives (real and financial) that are the opportunity costs is probably the biggest failure by companies in their analyses. Only costs that change with the decision are relevant.

SUMMARY

Capital allocation is the process that companies use for decision making on capital investments—those investments with a life of a year or longer. This reading developed the principles behind the basic capital allocation model, the cash flows that go into the model, and several extensions of the basic model.

- Capital allocation supports the most critical investments for many corporations—their investments in long-term assets. The principles of capital allocation have been applied to other corporate investing and financing decisions and to security analysis and portfolio management.
- The typical steps in the capital allocation process are (1) generating ideas, (2) analyzing investment opportunities, (3) planning the capital allocation, and (4) monitoring and post-auditing.
- Types of investments appropriate for the capital allocation process can be categorized as (1) replacement, (2) expansion, (3) new products and services, and (4) regulatory, safety, and environmental.

- Capital allocation decisions are based on incremental after-tax cash flows discounted at the opportunity cost of funds. Financing costs are ignored because both the cost of debt and the cost of other capital are captured in the discount rate.
- The net present value is the present value of all after-tax cash flows, or

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{CF_t}{(1 + r)^t},$$

where the investment outlays are negative cash flows included in the CF_t 's and where r is the required rate of return for the investment.

- The IRR is the discount rate that makes the present value of all future cash flows sum to zero. This equation can be solved for the IRR:

$$\sum_{t=0}^n \frac{CF_t}{(1 + IRR)^t} = 0.$$

- The capital allocation decision rules are to invest if the $NPV > 0$ or if the $IRR > r$.
- For mutually exclusive investments that are ranked differently by the NPV and IRR, the NPV criterion is more economically sound.
- The fact that projects with positive NPVs theoretically increase the value of the company and the value of its stock could explain the popularity of NPV as an evaluation method.
- Real options can be classified as (1) timing options; (2) sizing options, which can be abandonment options or growth (expansion) options; (3) flexibility options, which can be price-setting options or production-flexibility options; and (4) fundamental options.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1** The net present value (NPV) of an investment is equal to the sum of the expected cash flows discounted at the:
- A** internal rate of return.
 - B** risk-free rate.
 - C** opportunity cost of capital.
- 2** A \$2.2 million investment will result in the following cash flows:

Year	Year-End Cash Flow (millions)
1	\$1.3
2	\$1.6
3	\$1.9
4	\$0.8

Using an 8% opportunity cost of capital, the investment's net present value is *closest* to:

- A** \$2.47 million.
 - B** \$3.40 million.
 - C** \$4.67 million.
- 3** The internal rate of return (IRR) is *best* described as the:
- A** opportunity cost of capital.
 - B** time-weighted rate of return.
 - C** discount rate that makes the net present value equal to zero.
- 4** A three-year investment requires an initial outlay of £1,000. It is expected to provide three year-end cash flows of £200 plus a net salvage value of £700 at the end of three years. Its internal rate of return is *closest* to:
- A** 10%.
 - B** 11%.
 - C** 20%.
- 5** Given the following cash flows for a capital investment, calculate the NPV and IRR. The required rate of return is 8%.

Year	0	1	2	3	4	5
Cash flow	-50,000	15,000	15,000	20,000	10,000	5,000

	NPV	IRR
A	\$1,905	10.9%
B	\$1,905	26.0%
C	\$3,379	10.9%

- 6** An investment of \$100 generates after-tax cash flows of \$40 in Year 1, \$80 in Year 2, and \$120 in Year 3. The required rate of return is 20%. The net present value is *closest* to:
- A \$42.22.
 B \$58.33.
 C \$68.52.
- 7** An investment of \$150,000 is expected to generate an after-tax cash flow of \$100,000 in one year and another \$120,000 in two years. The cost of capital is 10%. What is the internal rate of return?
- A 28.39%.
 B 28.59%.
 C 28.79%.
- 8** Kim Corporation is considering an investment of 750 million won with expected after-tax cash inflows of 175 million won per year for seven years. The required rate of return is 10%. What is the investment's:

	NPV?	IRR?
A	102 million won	14.0%
B	157 million won	23.3%
C	193 million won	10.0%

- 9** Erin Chou is reviewing a profitable investment that has a conventional cash flow pattern. If the cash flows for the investment, initial outlay, and future after-tax cash flows all double, Chou would predict that the IRR would:
- A increase and the NPV would increase.
 B stay the same and the NPV would increase.
 C stay the same and the NPV would stay the same.
- 10** Catherine Ndereba is an energy analyst tasked with evaluating a crude oil exploration and production company. The company previously announced that it plans to embark on a new project to drill for oil offshore. As a result of this announcement, the stock price ran up by 10%. After conducting her analysis, Ms. Ndereba concludes that the project does indeed have a positive NPV. Which statement is true?
- A The stock price should remain where it is because Ms. Ndereba's analysis confirms that the recent run-up was justified.
 B The stock price should go even higher now that an independent source has confirmed that the NPV is positive.
 C The stock price could remain steady, move higher, or move lower.
- 11** The Bearing Corp. invests only in positive-NPV projects. Which of the following statements is true?
- A Bearing's return on invested capital (ROIC) is greater than its cost of capital (COC).
 B Bearing's COC is greater than its ROIC.
 C We can't reach any conclusions about the relationship between the company's ROIC and COC.
- 12** Investments 1 and 2 have similar outlays, although the patterns of future cash flows are different. The cash flows as well as the NPV and IRR for the two investments are shown below. For both investments, the required rate of return is 10%.

Year	Cash Flows					NPV	IRR (%)
	0	1	2	3	4		
Investment 1	-50	20	20	20	20	13.40	21.86
Investment 2	-50	0	0	0	100	18.30	18.92

The two projects are mutually exclusive. What is the appropriate investment decision?

- A Invest in both investments.
 - B Invest in Investment 1 because it has the higher IRR.
 - C Invest in Investment 2 because it has the higher NPV.
- 13 Consider the two investments below. The cash flows as well as the NPV and IRR for the two investments are given. For both investments, the required rate of return is 10%.

Year	Cash Flows					NPV	IRR (%)
	0	1	2	3	4		
Investment 1	-100	36	36	36	36	14.12	16.37
Investment 2	-100	0	0	0	175	19.53	15.02

What discount rate would result in the same NPV for both investments?

- A A rate between 0.00% and 10.00%
 - B A rate between 10.00% and 15.02%
 - C A rate between 15.02% and 16.37%
- 14 Wilson Flannery is concerned that the following investment has multiple IRRs.

Year	0	1	2	3
Cash flows	-50	100	0	-50

How many discount rates produce a zero NPV for this investment?

- A One, a discount rate of 0%
 - B Two, discount rates of 0% and 32%
 - C Two, discount rates of 0% and 62%
- 15 With regard to capital allocation, an appropriate estimate of the incremental cash flows from an investment is *least likely* to include:
- A externalities.
 - B interest costs.
 - C opportunity costs.

The following information relates to Questions 16–18

Bouchard Industries is a Canadian company that manufactures gutters for residential houses. Its management believes it has developed a new process that produces a superior product. The company must make an initial investment of C\$190 million to begin production. If demand is high, cash flows are expected to be C\$40 million per year. If demand is low, cash flows will be only C\$20 million per year. Management

believes there is an equal chance that demand will be high or low. The investment also gives the company a production-flexibility option allowing the company to add shifts at the end of the first year if demand turns out to be high. If the company exercises this option, net cash flows would increase by an additional C\$5 million in Years 2–10. Bouchard's opportunity cost of funds is 10%.

The internal auditor for Bouchard Industries has made two suggestions for improving capital allocation processes at the company. The internal auditor's suggestions are as follows:

- Suggestion 1 “In order to treat all capital allocation proposals in a fair manner, the investments should all use the risk-free rate for the required rate of return.”
 - Suggestion 2 “When rationing capital, it is better to choose the portfolio of investments that maximizes the company NPV than the portfolio that maximizes the company IRR.”
- 16** What is the NPV (C\$ millions) of the original project for Bouchard Industries without considering the production-flexibility option?
- A –C\$6.11 million
 - B –C\$5.66 million
 - C C\$2.33 million
- 17** What is the NPV (C\$ millions) of the optimal set of investment decisions for Bouchard Industries including the production-flexibility option?
- A –C\$6.34 million
 - B C\$7.43 million
 - C C\$31.03 million
- 18** Should the capital allocation committee accept the internal auditor's suggestions?
- A No for Suggestions 1 and 2
 - B No for Suggestion 1 and yes for Suggestion 2
 - C Yes for Suggestion 1 and no for Suggestion 2
-

SOLUTIONS

- 1** C is correct. The NPV sums the investment's expected cash flows (CF) discounted at the opportunity cost of capital. The NPV calculation is

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^N \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t},$$

where

CF_t = the expected net cash flow at time t

N = the investment's projected life

r = the discount rate or opportunity cost of capital

- 2** A is correct.

$$NPV = -\$2.2 + \frac{\$1.3}{(1.08)} + \frac{\$1.6}{(1.08)^2} + \frac{\$1.9}{(1.08)^3} + \frac{\$0.8}{(1.08)^4} = \$2.47 \text{ million.}$$

- 3** C is correct. The internal rate of return is computed by identifying all cash flows and solving for the rate that makes the net present value of those cash flows equal to zero.

- 4** B is correct. IRR is determined by setting the net present value equal to zero for the cash flows shown in the following table.

Year	Cash Flow (£)
0	-1,000
1	200
2	200
3	900

- 5** C is correct.

$$NPV = -50,000 + \frac{15,000}{1.08} + \frac{15,000}{1.08^2} + \frac{20,000}{1.08^3} + \frac{10,000}{1.08^4} + \frac{5,000}{1.08^5}.$$

$$NPV = -50,000 + 13,888.89 + 12,860.08 + 15,876.64 + 7,350.30 \\ + 3,402.92.$$

$$NPV = -50,000 + 53,378.83 = 3,378.83.$$

The IRR, found with a financial calculator, is 10.88%.

- 6** B is correct.

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^3 \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} = -100 + \frac{40}{1.20} + \frac{80}{1.20^2} + \frac{120}{1.20^3} = \$58.33.$$

- 7** C is correct. The IRR can be found using a financial calculator or by trial and error. Using either method, the IRR is 28.79%. The cost of capital, which is stated as 10%, is not used to solve the problem.

Year	Cash Flow	Present Value			
		28.19%	28.39%	28.59%	28.79%
0	-150,000	-150,000	-150,000	-150,000	-150,000
1	100,000	78,009	77,888	77,767	77,646
2	120,000	73,025	72,798	72,572	72,346
Total		1,034	686	338	-8

A more precise IRR of 28.7854% has a total PV closer to zero.

- 8 A is correct.

$$NPV = -750 + \sum_{t=1}^7 \frac{175}{1.10^t} = -750 + 851.97 = 101.97 \text{ million won.}$$

The IRR, found with a financial calculator, is 14.02%. (PV is -750, N = 7, and PMT = 175.)

- 9 B is correct. The IRR would stay the same because both the initial outlay and the after-tax cash flows double, so the return on each dollar invested would remain the same. All the cash flows and their present values double. The difference between the total present value of the future cash flows and the initial outlay (the NPV) also doubles.
- 10 C is correct. There are many factors that can affect the stock price, including whether Ms. Ndereba's analysis indicates that the project is more or less profitable than investors expected.
- 11 A is correct. Since all of Bearing's projects have a positive NPV, they are all providing a return that is greater than the opportunity cost of capital. Therefore, the ROIC must be greater than the COC.
- 12 C is correct. When valuing mutually exclusive investments, the decision should be made with the NPV method because this method uses the most realistic discount rate—namely, the opportunity cost of funds. In this example, the reinvestment rate for the NPV method (here, 10%) is more realistic than the reinvestment rate for the IRR method (here, 21.86% or 18.92%).
- 13 B is correct. For these investments, a discount rate of 13.16% would yield the same NPV for both (an NPV of 6.73).
- 14 C is correct. Discount rates of 0% and approximately 61.8% both give an NPV of zero.

Rate	0%	20%	40%	60%	61.8%	80%	100%
NPV	0.00	4.40	3.21	0.29	0.00	-3.02	-6.25

- 15 B is correct. Costs to finance the investment are taken into account when the cash flows are discounted at the appropriate cost of capital; including interest costs in the cash flows would result in double-counting the cost of debt.
- 16 B is correct.

If demand is "high," the NPV is as follows:

$$NPV = -190 + \sum_{t=1}^{10} \frac{40}{1.10^t} = C\$55.78 \text{ million.}$$

If demand is “low,” the NPV is

$$\text{NPV} = -190 + \sum_{t=1}^{10} \frac{20}{1.10^t} = -\text{C\$}67.11 \text{ million.}$$

The expected NPV is $0.50(55.78) + 0.50(-67.11) = -\text{C\$}5.66 \text{ million.}$

- 17** B is correct. The additional NPV of adding shifts if demand is “high” is

$$\text{NPV} = \sum_{t=2}^{10} \frac{5}{1.10^t} = \text{C\$}26.18 \text{ million.}$$

If demand is low, the production-flexibility option will not be exercised. The optimal decision is to add shifts only if demand is high.

Because the production-flexibility option is exercised only when demand is high, which happens 50% of the time, the expected present value of adding shifts is

$$\text{NPV} = 0.50(26.18) = \text{C\$}13.09 \text{ million.}$$

The total NPV of the initial project and the production-flexibility option is

$$\text{NPV} = -\text{C\$}5.66 \text{ million} + \text{C\$}13.09 \text{ million} = \text{C\$}7.43 \text{ million.}$$

The option to add shifts, handled optimally, adds sufficient value to make this a positive-NPV project.

- 18** B is correct. In valuing investments, expected cash flows should be discounted at required rates of return that reflect their risk, not at a risk-free rate that ignores risk. NPV is superior to IRR. Choosing projects based on IRR might cause the company to concentrate on short-term investments that do not maximize the company’s NPV.

READING

29

Sources of Capital

by Edgar A. Norton, Jr., PhD, CFA, Kenneth L. Parkinson, MBA, CCM,
Pamela Peterson Drake, PhD, CFA, and John D. Stowe, PhD, CFA

Edgar A. Norton, Jr., PhD, CFA, is at Illinois State University (USA). Kenneth L. Parkinson, MBA, CCM, is at Treasury Information Services, LLC (USA). Pamela Peterson Drake, PhD, CFA, is at James Madison University (USA). John D. Stowe, PhD, CFA, is at Ohio University (USA).

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Mastery	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. describe types of financing methods and considerations in their selection;
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. describe primary and secondary sources of liquidity and factors that influence a company's liquidity position;
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. compare a company's liquidity position with that of peer companies;
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. evaluate choices of short-term funding.

INTRODUCTION

1

Raising capital is a fundamental business activity, and companies have multiple short-term and long-term financing choices. Short-term funds without explicit interest rates, such as accounts payable, are part of **working capital management**, which is the management of short-term assets and liabilities. Other debt and equity obligations used to finance the business longer term are considered part of the firm's **capital structure**. The goal of effective working capital management is to ensure that a company has adequate, ready access to the funds necessary for day-to-day operations, while at the same time making sure that the company's assets are invested in the most productive way. The goal of capital structure management is to balance the risks and costs of the firm's long-term finances. In this reading, we examine a variety of debt and equity claims that companies rely on for their sources of capital. This reading also considers sources of liquidity and how to judge the liquidity positions of firms.

2**CORPORATE FINANCING OPTIONS****a Describe types of financing methods and considerations in their selection**

Businesses have a complex set of assets and liabilities, as illustrated by the financial statements of any publicly traded company. The specific instruments chosen depend on their costs and risks to the borrower (company) and the returns and risks to the investor (equity owner or debtholder), in addition to market conditions, regulatory requirements, and the services of agents, brokers, dealers, and financial intermediaries working in this market. In addition, many other financing options that were also considered are likely not shown on the balance sheet. Here we overview the types of short- and long-term financing sources available to companies. When a company issues stocks and bonds, it is using external sources for funding. Funding can also be generated internally by reinvesting cash flow from operations, delaying payment of liabilities such as accounts payable, or selling or borrowing against assets such as accounts receivable.

Although companies might have an identified target capital structure, in practice, they rarely issue securities in amounts that precisely maintain that target capital structure. For example, if target weights are 20% debt, 20% preferred stock, and 60% common stock, the company would not issue securities in exactly these proportions every time it needs financing. It might issue debt now and common stock or preferred stock the next time it raises capital. However, it tries to keep the overall capital structure near the target over time.

It is important to recognize that the risks of each source of capital are different for the company and for the investor. Debt, for example, is a safer investment than common stock for the investor because it has a higher priority in claims in the event of financial distress. As a result, investors are usually willing to settle for a lower required return from debt securities than from common equity. This is also why the cost of debt to the issuing company is lower than the cost of equity, even on a before-tax basis. From the company's point of view, however, while debt financing is cheaper than equity financing, it is also riskier in that having more debt increases the probability of financial distress.

Exhibit 1 shows some of the major internal and external sources of capital. For large, profitable companies, internal financing is frequently the primary source of funds for financing growth. Short-term sources include financing from operating activities such as accounts payable, short-term loans, and short-term instruments sold, or issued, by the company in the capital markets. To fund longer-term investment activity or for larger amounts of capital, companies have several external financing options. Long-term sources include bonds and leases, common shareholders' equity, and, to lesser extents, hybrids such as preferred shares and convertible debt.

Each of the common sources of finance in Exhibit 1 provide funding for a business and investment opportunities for outside investors.

Exhibit 1 Internal and External Funding Sources

Internal	External		
	Financial intermediaries	Capital markets	Other
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> After-tax operating cash flows Accounts payable Accounts receivable Inventory & marketable securities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uncommitted lines of credit Committed lines of credit Revolving credit Secured loans Factoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commercial paper Public & private debt Hybrid securities Preferred equity Convertibles Common equity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leasing

2.1 Internal Financing

Companies can generate internal financing and liquidity from shorter-term operating activities in several ways. These include

- generating more after-tax operating cash flow;
- increasing working capital efficiency, such as extending a company's payables period, reducing its receivables period, or shortening its asset conversion cycle; and
- converting liquid assets such as receivables, inventories, and marketable securities to cash.

Operating Cash Flows

These are the company's after-tax operating cash flows less interest and dividend payments (adjusted for taxes) that can be used to invest in assets and are equal to net income plus depreciation charges minus dividend payments. A company with higher, more predictable after-tax operating cash flows has greater ability to internally finance itself.

Accounts Payable

Accounts payable are amounts due suppliers of goods and services that have not been paid. They arise from **trade credit**, which is a spontaneous form of credit in which a purchaser of the goods or service is, effectively, financing its purchase by delaying the date on which payment is made. Trade credit might involve a delay of payment, with a discount for early payment. The terms of the latter form of credit are generally stated in the discount form: A discount from the purchase price is allowed if payment is received within a specified number of days; otherwise, the full amount is due by a specified date. For example, the terms "2/10, net 30" indicate that a 2% discount is available if the account is paid within 10 days; otherwise, the full amount is due by the 30th day. The terms will differ among industries, influenced by tradition within the industry, terms of competitors, and current interest rates.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable can be thought of as being the opposite of accounts payable. Instead of representing amounts due to suppliers, accounts receivable are amounts owed by customers. In general, businesses prefer to delay paying what they owe, but prefer to receive what is owed to them as quickly as possible. One company's accounts payable represent another company's accounts receivable. The sooner a company can collect what it is owed, the lesser its need to finance its operations in some other way.

Inventory

Like accounts receivable, inventory is a current asset on the balance sheet. These are goods waiting to be sold. Investing in and holding inventory costs money. Companies would prefer not to put a lot of money into inventory when that money could be used for more productive means. The longer the inventory remains unsold, the longer that money is tied up and not usable for other purposes. As a result, an efficient company holds as little inventory as is necessary and sells or turns over the inventory as quickly as possible. Many companies use a just-in-time inventory system, which means the inventory arrives from suppliers when it is needed. That way, it does not sit in storage waiting to be used or sold. This approach makes the supply chain much more efficient, but it also increases the risk of a critical component or item being out of stock when needed. Once inventory is sold, the purchase amount moves into accounts receivable, and the money becomes available once the customer makes payment.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are financial instruments, such as stocks and bonds, that can be quickly sold and converted to cash. They are listed as a current asset because the company intends to liquidate them within a year. They could consist of short-term debt that matures within a year, long-term debt, and even common stocks (which have no maturity date) that will be sold within a year. Companies often invest in marketable securities to earn a rate of return that is greater than what they would earn by holding cash. Companies can sell marketable securities if they need funds for any reason, such as when making a capital expenditure.

2.2 Financial Intermediaries

Financial intermediaries can also be a means of financing. These can be bank or non-bank lenders. The main types of short-term bank financing include uncommitted bank lines of credit, committed bank lines of credit and revolving credit agreements, or revolvers. The latter two types can be unsecured or secured, depending on the company's financial strength and the general credit situation, which can vary from country to country. Uncommitted lines and revolvers are more common in the United States, whereas regular committed lines are more common in other parts of the world.

Uncommitted lines of credit are, as the name suggests, the least reliable form of bank borrowing. A bank can offer an uncommitted line of credit for an extended period of time but reserves the right to refuse to honor any request for use of the line. In other words, an uncommitted line is very unstable and is only as good as the bank's desire to offer it. Therefore, companies should not rely very much on uncommitted lines. In fact, banks will not "officially" acknowledge that an uncommitted line is usable, which means that uncommitted lines cannot be shown as a financial reserve in a footnote to the company's financial statements. The primary attraction of uncommitted lines is that they do not require any compensation other than interest.

Committed (regular) lines of credit are the form of bank line of credit that most companies refer to as regular lines of credit. They are more reliable than uncommitted lines because of the bank's formal commitment, which can be verified through an acknowledgment letter as part of the annual financial audit and can be footnoted in the company's annual report. These lines of credit are in effect for 364 days, less than a full year. This term length benefits companies by minimizing amounts needed to meet bank capital requirements. For commitments of a year or longer, banks require more capital. This also effectively ensures that they are short-term liabilities, usually classified as notes payable or the equivalent, on the financial statements.

Regular lines are unsecured and are pre-payable without any penalties. The borrowing rate paid by the company is a negotiated item. The most common interest rates negotiated are borrowing at the bank's prime rate or at a money market rate plus a spread. The most common money market rate is a benchmark reference rate plus a spread. The spread varies depending on the borrower's **creditworthiness**, which is the perceived ability of the borrower to pay its debt obligations in a timely manner and represents the ability of a company to withstand adverse impacts on its cash flows. Regular lines, unlike uncommitted lines, require compensation, usually in the form of a commitment fee to the lender. The fee is typically a fractional percent (e.g., 0.50%) of the full amount or the unused amount of the line, depending on bank–company negotiations.

Revolving credit agreements, also referred to as "revolvers," are the most reliable form of short-term bank borrowing. They involve formal legal agreements that define the aspects of the agreement. These agreements are similar to those for regular lines with respect to borrowing rates, compensation, and being unsecured. Revolvers differ in that they are in effect for multiple years (e.g., three to five years) and can have optional medium-term loan features. In addition, they are often used for much larger amounts than a regular line, and these larger amounts are spread out among more than one bank. With revolvers, borrowers draw down and pay back amounts periodically.

Secured ("asset-based") loans are loans in which the lender requires the company to provide collateral in the form of an asset, such as a fixed asset that the company owns or high-quality receivables and inventory. These assets are pledged against the loan, and the lender files a lien against them. This lien becomes part of the borrower's financial record and is shown on its credit report. Companies that lack sufficient credit quality to qualify for unsecured loans might arrange for secured loans. For example, a company can use its accounts receivable to generate cash flow through the **assignment of accounts receivable**, which is the use of these receivables as collateral for a loan.

A company can also sell its accounts receivable to a lender (called a factor), typically at a substantial discount. In an assignment arrangement, the company remains responsible for the collection of the accounts, whereas in a **factoring arrangement**, the company shifts the credit granting and collection process to the lender or factor. The cost of this credit (i.e., the amount of the discount) depends on the credit quality of the accounts and the costs of collection. Similarly, inventory can be used in different ways as collateral for a loan.

Web-based lenders and **non-bank lenders** are recent innovations not typically used by larger companies. Web-based lenders operate primarily on the internet, offering loans in relatively small amounts, typically to small businesses in need of cash. Non-bank lenders also lend to businesses, but unlike typical banks, which make loans and take deposits, these lenders only make loans.

Many short-term loans have historically been tied to Libor (London Inter-bank Offered Rate), such as Libor plus 0.50% (50 basis points). However, in 2021, Libor and other similar global benchmark rates were replaced with alternative reference rates in the United States, Europe, Switzerland, Great Britain, and Japan. Both bank and non-bank lenders often charge additional commissions and fees beyond the quoted interest rate.

2.3 Capital Markets

Commercial Paper

Commercial paper is a short-term, unsecured instrument typically issued by large and well-rated companies. Commercial paper can be sold directly to investors or through dealers. To avoid registration costs with national regulatory agencies, maturities for commercial paper typically range from a few days up to 270 days. Although a significant

amount of asset-backed commercial paper exists, most commercial paper is unsecured, with no specific collateral. It is a feasible source of funds for large, highly creditworthy companies. Issuers of commercial paper are often required to have a backup line of credit. The short-term nature of commercial paper, along with the creditworthiness of the borrower and the backup line of credit, generally make commercial paper a low-risk investment for investors.

Next we look at debt and common equity to contrast these two very different forms of financing, before turning to hybrid securities, which have characteristics of both debt and equity.

Debt vs. Equity Financing

Businesses are generally financed longer term using a combination of debt and equity securities. There are key differences between debt and equity obligations. Exhibit 2 summarizes these differences.

Exhibit 2 Debt vs. Equity Summary

	Debt	Equity
Legal Agreement	Company has a contractual obligation to debtholders	Shareholders are residual owners of the company
Claim Priority	Debtholder interest and principal payments have priority	Residual claimants to distributions and corporate assets
Distributions	Periodic, contractual interest payments and repayment of principal at maturity	Discretionary dividend payments declared by the Board of Directors
Taxation (some variation across jurisdictions)	Interest payments are tax-deductible expenses	Dividend payments and share repurchases are not tax-deductible expenses
Term	Stated term to maturity	No finite term
Voting Rights	Generally no voting rights	Voting rights
Cost to Company	Generally lower cost to company	Generally higher cost to company
Investor Risk	Generally lower risk to investors	Generally higher risk to investors

One key difference is that the company has a binding contract with debtholders that requires their claims be fully paid before the company can make distributions to equity owners. In other words, debtholders have a prior legal claim on the company's cash flows and assets over the claims of equity owners. Another difference is that interest payments to debtholders are generally treated as a tax-deductible expense for the company, while dividend payments to shareholders are not. Finally, equity holders represent a more permanent source of capital and have voting rights to elect the board of directors, which oversees the management of the company. In contrast, debt represents a generally cheaper financing source for companies and a lower risk investment than equity.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt has a maturity of at least one year. Conventionally, money market instruments have a maturity of less than a year, notes have maturities from one to ten years, and bonds have maturities of at least ten years. Because of their long maturities, bonds are riskier from both an interest rate and credit risk perspective than notes or money market instruments. Hence, bond lenders (investors) and borrowers (companies) agree to bond covenants that are detailed contracts specifying the rights of the lender and restrictions on the borrower (company). These covenants regulate the company's use and disposition of assets, restrict its ability to pay dividends, and restrict its ability to issue additional debt that might dilute the value of a bond.

Public debt is negotiable and approved for sale on open markets. In this context, a negotiable instrument is a written document describing the promise to pay that is transferable and can be sold to another party. Private debt can also be negotiable; however, private debt does not trade on a market. As a result, private debt is more difficult for the holder to sell. While some private debt instruments are not negotiable, such as savings bonds and certificates of deposit, private debt issued by businesses can usually be sold by one party to another.

Common Equity

Common equity, or common shares, represents ownership in a company and is considered a more permanent source of capital. Shareholders have claims on the company's profits after its obligations under other contractual claims are satisfied. Shareholders receive dividends distributed by the company and are entitled to the residual value of the assets if the company goes out of business. Shareholders elect the company's board of directors and thereby, conceptually, at least, have control over how the company is managed and operated.

In addition to publicly traded equity, private equity is an important corporate financing alternative in many countries. Private equity is equity that is not registered with the national security regulatory agency and cannot be traded in the public equity markets. Much private equity consists of family and personal investments in small private businesses. Also, large organizations that are not publicly traded are called private equity firms and provide private equity financing to companies. Some of these private equity firms invest in venture capital firms, and others own a portfolio of publicly and non-publicly traded companies.

Preferred Equity

Preferred shares, or preferred equity, are hybrid securities that are issued by companies and have characteristics of both bonds and common equity. Like interest obligations on debt, dividends on preferred shares are often fixed but can be variable. For example, "participating" preferred shares pay dividends based on a company's profitability. However, preferred dividends paid are not a tax-deductible expense for the company, and unlike with debt instruments, a company can choose to defer or decline to pay dividends on preferred equity. In some cases, preferred dividends are cumulative, meaning the company must pay any skipped preferred dividend payments before it can make any dividend payments on common equity. In case of business failure, bondholders have seniority (have a prior claim) over preferred shareholders on assets or cash flows, and preferred shareholders have similar seniority over common shareholders. Historically, the number of common stock issues by companies and their related proceeds has been substantially greater than financing from preferred issues.

Other Hybrid Securities

Convertible debt and convertible preferreds are hybrid securities issued by companies and are convertible into a fixed number of the companies' common shares. These securities are considered hybrids because they are neither pure equity nor pure debt in nature. They are similar to regular preferred equity or debt but provide the owner with an option to convert to common stock. If the company's share price remains low, there may be no financial benefit to converting, and convertible owners will retain ownership of their convertible security while continuing to receive its dividend or interest payments. If the share price rises sufficiently, however, convertible owners may choose to convert their security to common stock in the company, given the appreciation in value of their investment. The option to convert is a valuable right. As a result, companies can offer smaller dividends or interest payments on convertible securities.

2.4 Other Financing

Leasing Obligations

Some companies might also be able to finance by leasing. In a leasing arrangement, the purchase of an asset and its financing are bundled instead of being separate transactions. In some cases, the leasing of real property or equipment might offer lower joint costs for the company and for suppliers of capital than buying and financing separately. The lease is a debt instrument where the asset owner (the lessor) gives another party (the lessee) the right to use the asset. The lessee agrees to make a set of contractually fixed payments. The leasing contract specifies the length of time the lessee can use the asset, whether the lessee is responsible for maintenance of the asset, and whether the lessee can buy the asset at the end of the leasing period and if so, at what price.

2.5 Considerations Affecting Financing Choices

A company's financing choices depend on the nature of its needs and business, the general economic environment, and market conditions. In different parts of the world, the dominant sources of external financing can be 1) the capital markets, 2) large commercial banks, or 3) the sovereign state. Many countries are assigned to one of these three cases, but in reality, most countries are varying blends of these. Because companies in a particular industry have many common traits, the business models in an industry frequently exhibit similar patterns of external financing.

In addition to geographic location and industry, firm-specific and general economic considerations affect financing choices. These are summarized in Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 3 Financing Considerations

Firm Specific	Macroeconomic
Company size	Taxation
Riskiness of assets	Inflation
Assets for collateral	Government policy
Public versus private equity	Monetary policy
Asset liability management	
Debt maturity structure	
Currency risks	
Agency costs	

Exhibit 3 (Continued)

Firm Specific	Macroeconomic
Bankruptcy costs	
Flotation costs	

2.5.1 Firm-Specific Financing Considerations

Company Size The size of a company affects its financing options. Small companies generally do not have access to funding sources such as commercial paper and publicly issued debt and equity. Smaller companies might not have the positive and predictable cash flows needed to meet fixed, periodic debt payments. Large companies with strong operating cash flows can rely more heavily on internal financing, while smaller companies, especially those that are younger and faster growing, or without cash flow, cannot satisfy their capital needs internally and must rely more on external financing—in particular, private equity. Because smaller companies typically lack the positive, predictable cash flows often required by lenders, and because debt financing may not be available or is too expensive, equity financing is usually the predominant source of financing.

Riskiness of Assets Companies with higher volatility of operating cash flows rely primarily on equity financing and tend to use little if any debt financing. High levels of uncertainty or volatility in operating cash flows can make servicing debt obligations challenging. Companies having a high degree of business risk may seek to minimize financial risks and leverage. Business risks are non-financial risks for the company and include technological change, political risks, marketing and production uncertainties, managerial risks, and many others. For example, Moderna, an American biotechnology company best known for using a unique technology to develop a vaccine for COVID-19, has some lease obligations but does not use other kinds of debt financing. NIO Inc., a Chinese electric car manufacturer, used very little debt financing until unit sales and revenues began to accelerate.

Assets for Collateral Real property and equipment are good collateral for mortgages and asset-backed bonds. In the case of bankruptcy, mortgage bondholders have first claim on the collateral and are still general creditors for any claims left unsatisfied by repossession of the collateral. Assets that are unique, highly specialized, and intangible might not be valuable as collateral. Sound collateral can increase a company's access to debt financing as well as reduce its costs. Macy's, for example, operates department stores across the United States and owns a significant amount of real estate, which it can easily borrow against. In contrast, Workhorse Group, a US manufacturer of medium-duty electric trucks, has little revenues and assets that cannot be easily converted to other uses. As a result, the company has more difficulty issuing debt at favorable terms.

Public vs. Private Equity For companies that have issued public equity, shares are available and easily traded through public stock markets. For companies that finance through private equity, shares are available directly from the company or from non-public transactions. The extraordinary size of many publicly traded companies could not be attained without public trading of their securities. Many private companies are simply too small to sell their debt and equity securities publicly. An advantage large, publicly traded companies have is that their securities are liquid and trade frequently, while private company claims are not liquid and do not trade in secondary markets. Some large private equity firms are organized as limited partnerships and own a portfolio of large companies, some of which were once publicly traded, were bought

by the private equity firm, and are no longer traded. One perceived advantage private equity firms have is that they can reduce the agency costs associated with manager and shareholder conflicts of interest.

Asset Liability Management Businesses tend to match the maturity structures of their assets and liabilities. A mismatch of asset and liability maturity structures can be problematic. For example, if a company finances its long-term assets with short-term obligations, its profitability might be threatened if the cost of its short-term financing increases. Commercial banks carefully manage the maturity structure of their asset portfolios with the maturity structure of their financing. Non-financial businesses such as utilities, manufacturing firms, real estate firms, and others that have long-term assets can safely use more long-term financing than firms with short-term assets.

Debt Maturity Structure If interest rates on short-term debt are generally less than those on long-term debt, a less expensive option for the company would be to finance with short-term debt and continually refinance with new short-term debt whenever current debt matures. This risk, sometimes called rollover risk, materializes when interest rates go up or when company-specific or general economic conditions cause the company to be unable to refinance or issue new debt.

Currency Risks A company's business revenues and its financing can be in different currencies. For example, suppose the company's business operations are in the domestic currency and its debt financing is in a foreign currency. If the domestic currency declines in value, the company might not be able to repay its debts. Short-term debt contracts can be hedged in derivatives markets with currency swaps, options, and forwards. However, because the market for long-term derivatives is relatively small, the company's best option to hedge currency risks is to execute its debt and equity financing in the same currency as its business operations. Large, well-rated multinational companies will finance themselves in different currencies to match the currency exposure of their local operations.

Agency Costs Debtholders can suffer losses if the borrowing company increases its riskiness beyond its original expectations. This can occur in several ways. A major risk is asset substitution, wherein the company replaces assets that were fairly safe with much riskier assets. The risk of financial distress or default rises for unsecured lenders if the company distributes too much cash to owners or managers, or pledges significant assets to secured creditors. The company can also become riskier if it uses more financial leverage, replacing equity financing with increased levels of new debt financing. Debtholders try to protect their interests by having bond covenants that restrict the company from taking certain actions that would be detrimental to bondholders. Debt covenants are often used in bank revolvers and some lines of credit.

Bankruptcy Costs The costs and risks of financing a business are normally shared among debtholders and shareholders. Bankruptcy introduces additional costs, with some company resources being consumed by third parties, the legal system, and other administrative costs of bankruptcy, which is a net loss to the company's suppliers of capital. The possibility of bankruptcy and the costs of financial distress can also affect customers, suppliers, managers, employees, and the community at large. Because of these costs, suppliers of capital might be averse to financial structures that risk bankruptcy.

Flotation Costs A publicly traded company incurs flotation costs when it issues new debt or equity securities. These costs can include various expenses that are company specific, such as legal fees, registration fees, audit fees, and underwriting fees. Flotation costs as a percentage of the capital raised are generally lower for debt offerings than for equity offerings. Flotation costs increase the cost of financing and can affect a company's financing decisions.

2.5.2 General Economic Considerations

In addition to company-specific considerations, economic factors and governmental policies external to the company affect its financing choices.

Taxation The cost of debt financing is typically lower than the cost of equity financing. If interest payments on debt financing are tax-deductible and distributions on equity financing are not, the after-tax cost of debt financing is even more attractive, relative to equity financing, for profitable companies. By allowing interest payments to be tax-deductible, governments provide companies an incentive to use more financial leverage. However, greater use of debt by companies increases the risk of financial distress, particularly during economic downturns.

Inflation Normally, the nominal rate of return is a sum of the real rate and the expected inflation rate. However, uncertainty over inflation rates can make longer-term, fixed-rate contracts unattractive to the company (borrower) or investor (lender). If inflation is expected to rise, companies would prefer to borrow at a fixed rate, while investors would prefer to lend at a variable rate. If inflation is expected to decline, the opposite would be true.

Government Policy Governmental fiscal policies can be used to stimulate the economy or to subsidize specific industries. A government agency, for example, can directly make loans at lower interest rates than would be required by capital markets. The government can provide loan guarantees where the principal and interest payments are guaranteed, which would increase capital amounts and lower the interest rates on the guaranteed loans.

Monetary Policy Central banks around the world have at times driven interest rates to historically low and even negative levels. The intent was to stimulate economies by making access to capital cheaper for companies and to give investors an incentive to take more risk with their cash. Many companies used the opportunity to increase leverage at low rates to refinance existing debt and repurchase common stock with the proceeds rather than make capital investments.

EXAMPLE 1

Estimating External Financing Needs

Fllama Company is planning its sources of financing for a substantial investment of £30 million next year. The assumptions for Fllama's plan are the following:

- Investments of £5 million in receivables and £5 million in inventory. Fixed capital investments of £20 million, including £5 million to replace depreciated equipment and £15 million of net new investments. Total investments will be £30 million.
- Net income of £10 million and depreciation charges of £5 million. Dividend payments will be £4 million.
- Short-term financing from accounts payable of £3 million is expected. The company will use receivables as collateral for another £3 million loan. The company will also issue a £4 million short-term note to a commercial bank.
- Any additional external financing needed can be raised from an increase in long-term bonds. If additional financing is not needed, any excess funds will be used to repurchase common shares.

Part A: Describe how Fllama would determine its financing needs.

Part B: How much, if any, does Fllama need to issue in long-term bonds?

- A Fllama does not need to issue any bonds.
- B Fllama will need to issue £4 million of bonds.
- C Fllama will need to issue £9 million of bonds.

Solution:

Part A: Fllama should begin by considering its various sources of net cash to determine whether its net cash is sufficient to meet its investment needs. The facts state that the company will generate net income and will also have depreciation charges and make dividend payments. Because depreciation is a non-cash expense, it should be added to net income as a source of cash. Dividends, however, are paid from net income, are a use of cash, and reduce the net cash available for funding. Other sources of cash include the amounts generated from accounts payable, accounts receivable, and the short-term note from the bank.

Part B: C is correct. Fllama must issue £9 million of bonds.

Source	Amount (£)
Internal Financing	
Operating cash flow (Net income + depreciation – dividends)	11,000,000
Accounts payable	3,000,000
Short-Term Intermediary Financing	
Bank loan against receivables	3,000,000
Short-term note	4,000,000
Total sources	21,000,000

Because the company requires £30 million of financing and the planned sources total £21 million, Fllama will need to issue £9 million of new bonds.

3

MANAGING AND MEASURING LIQUIDITY

- b describe primary and secondary sources of liquidity and factors that influence a company's liquidity position

Liquidity is the extent to which a company is able to meet its short-term obligations using cash flows and those assets that can be readily transformed into cash. When we evaluate the liquidity of an asset, we focus on two dimensions: the type of asset and the speed at which the asset can be converted to cash, either by sale or financing. Unlike many aspects of corporate finance, corporate liquidity management does not involve a great deal of theory or generally accepted principles. For companies that have the luxury of large excesses of cash, liquidity is typically taken for granted, and the focus is on putting the excess liquidity to its most productive use. In the event no productive use within the company can be identified, shareholders will pressure companies to return this cash to owners in the form of share buybacks or dividends. On the other hand, when a company faces tighter financial situations, having effective

liquidity management is important to ensure solvency. Unfortunately, this recognition comes too late for some companies, with bankruptcy and possible liquidation representing the companies' final choice.

3.1 Defining Liquidity Management

Liquidity management refers to an organization's ability to generate cash when and where it is needed. Liquidity refers to the cash balances, borrowing capacity, and ability to convert other assets or extend other liabilities into cash for use in keeping the entity solvent (i.e., being able to pay bills and continue in operation). For the most part, we associate liquidity with short-term assets and liabilities, yet longer-term assets such as marketable securities can be converted into cash to provide liquidity. In addition, longer-term liabilities can also be renegotiated to reduce the drain on cash, thereby providing liquidity by preserving the limited supply of cash. Of course, these last two methods might come at a price because they tend to reduce the company's overall financial strength.

The challenges of managing liquidity include developing, implementing, and maintaining a liquidity policy. To do this effectively, a company must manage all its key sources of liquidity efficiently. These key sources can vary from company to company, but they generally include primary sources of liquidity, such as cash balances, and secondary sources of liquidity, such as selling assets.

3.1.1 Primary Sources of Liquidity

Primary sources of liquidity represent the most readily accessible resources available. They can be held as cash or as near-cash securities. Primary sources include the following:

- Free cash flow, which is the firm's after-tax operating cash flow less planned short- and long-term investments. For a profitable firm, free cash flow provides substantial liquidity. A rapidly growing firm has less free cash flow because of the investments required to facilitate the firm's growth.
- Ready cash balances, which is cash available in bank accounts, resulting from payment collections, investment income, liquidation of near-cash securities (i.e., those with maturities of fewer than 90 days), and other cash flows.
- Short-term funds, which can include items such as trade credit, bank lines of credit, and short-term investment portfolios.
- Cash flow management, which is the company's effectiveness in its cash management system and practices, and the degree of decentralization of the collections or payments processes. The more decentralized the system of collections, for example, the more likely the company will be to have cash tied up in the system and not available for use.

These sources represent liquidity that is typical for most companies. They represent funds that are readily accessible at relatively low cost.

3.1.2 Secondary Sources of Liquidity

The main difference between primary and secondary sources of liquidity is that using a primary source is not likely to affect the normal operations of the company, whereas using a secondary source might result in a change in the company's financial and operating positions. Secondary sources include

- negotiating debt contracts, relieving pressures from high interest payments or principal repayments, and negotiating contracts with customers and suppliers;

- liquidating assets, which depends on the degree to which short-term and/or long-term assets can be liquidated and converted into cash without substantial loss in value; and
- filing for bankruptcy protection and reorganization.

The use of secondary sources might signal a company's deteriorating financial health and provide liquidity at a high price—the cost of giving up a company asset to produce emergency cash. This last source, reorganization through bankruptcy, can also be considered a liquidity tool because a company under bankruptcy protection that generates operating cash will be liquid and generally able to continue business operations until a restructuring has been devised and approved. However, this option is likely to be at a significant cost, or disadvantage, to existing equityholders.

Example 2 shows the net proceeds from the primary and secondary sources of liquidity for a company in a financial crisis. The example also shows the liquidation costs incurred by the company when using these sources to raise funds. These costs can include the fees and commissions involved with the asset sale as well as any discount in asset value due to illiquidity issues.

EXAMPLE 2

Estimating Costs of Liquidity for OM Distributors

One of the companies in your portfolio, OM Distributors (OM), is having a liquidity crisis. You have identified four potential actions that OM could take to raise funds. You have estimated, in local currency, the fair value of the assets and the liquidation costs OM might incur.

Source of Funds	Fair Value (Local, millions)	Liquidation Cost (%)
Sell short-term marketable securities	10	0
Sell select inventories and receivables	20	10
Sell excess real estate property	50	15
Sell a subsidiary of the firm	30	20

The liquidation costs include the fees and commissions of selling an asset as well as any reduction in the value of the asset, because it is an illiquid asset being sold quickly. In this case, liquidation costs for marketable securities are rounded to 0%.

Net of liquidation costs how much liquidity can OM raise if all four sources of funds are used, and what are the total liquidation costs incurred by OM? In local currency, these amounts are:

- A 110 million, 9.5 million
- B 94.5 million, 15.5 million
- C 125.5 million, 15.5 million

Solution:

The costs and net funds raised are summarized in this table:

Source of Funds	Fair Value (local, millions)	Liquidation Costs		Net Proceeds (local, millions)
		%	(local, millions)	
Marketable securities	10	0	0	10
Inventories & receivables	20	10	2	18
Real estate property	50	15	7.5	42.5
Subsidiary of the firm	30	20	6	24
Total			15.5	94.5

B is correct. Shown in the table above in local currency terms, the total net proceeds are 94.5 million, and the total liquidation costs incurred are 15.5 million.

3.1.3 Drags and Pulls on Liquidity

Cash flow transactions—that is, cash receipts and disbursements—have significant effects on a company's liquidity position. We refer to these effects as drags and pulls on liquidity. A **drag on liquidity** is when receipts lag, creating pressure from the decreased available funds; a **pull on liquidity** is when disbursements are paid too quickly or trade credit availability is limited, requiring companies to expend funds before they receive funds from sales that could cover the liability.

Major drags on receipts involve pressures from credit management and deterioration in other assets and include the following:

- *Uncollected receivables.* The longer these are outstanding, the greater the risk that they will not be collected at all. They are indicated by the large number of days of receivables and high levels of bad debt expenses. Just as the drags on receipts might cause increased pressure on working capital, pulls on outgoing payments could have similar effects.
- *Obsolete inventory.* If inventory stands unused for long periods, it might be an indication that it is no longer usable. Slow inventory turnover ratios can also indicate obsolete inventory. Once identified, obsolete inventory should be attended to as soon as possible to minimize storage and other costs.
- *Tight credit.* When economic conditions make capital scarcer, short-term debt becomes more expensive to arrange and use. Attempting to smooth out peak borrowings can help blunt the impact of tight credit, as can improving the company's collections.

In many cases, drags can be alleviated by stricter enforcement of credit and collection practices.

However, managing the cash outflows might be as important as managing the inflows. If suppliers and other vendors who offer credit terms perceive a weakened financial position or are unfamiliar with a company, they might restrict payment terms so much that the company's liquidity reserves are stretched thin. Major pulls on payments include the following:

- *Making payments early.* By paying vendors, employees, or others before the due dates, companies forgo the use of funds. Effective payment management means not making early payments. Payables managers typically hold payments until they can be made by the due date.

- *Reduced credit limits.* If a company has a history of making late payments, suppliers might cut the amount of credit they will allow to be outstanding at any time, which can squeeze the company's liquidity. Some companies try to extend payment periods as long as possible, disregarding the possible impact of reduced credit limits.
- *Limits on short-term lines of credit.* If a company's bank reduces the line of credit it offers the company, a liquidity squeeze might result. Credit line restrictions can be government mandated, market related, or simply company specific. Many companies try to avert this situation by establishing credit lines far in excess of what they are likely to need. This "overbanking" approach is often commonplace in emerging economies or even in more-developed countries when the banking system is not sound and the economy is shaky.
- *Low liquidity positions.* Many companies face chronic liquidity shortages, often because of their particular industry or from their weaker financial position. The major remedy for this situation is, of course, to improve the company's financial position, perhaps by issuing additional equity or hybrid securities. If not, the company could be heavily affected by interest rates and credit availability, in which case it might have to turn to secured borrowing to obtain working capital funds. Therefore, these companies must identify ahead of time the assets that can be used to help support their short-term borrowing activities.

Identifying these drags and pulls as soon as possible is critical, including before they happen or when they have just arisen. In Example 3, an analyst is trying to identify changes in the firm that are affecting its liquidity position.

EXAMPLE 3

Drags and Pulls on Liquidity

Arya Rajavade's firm is experiencing liquidity challenges. Several things might be contributing to this. Rajavade is reviewing three notable changes that have been suggested to her as drags or pulls on liquidity:

- The increasing days in receivables are a drag on liquidity.
- Lower inventory turnover is a drag on liquidity
- Increased credit limits by lenders is a pull on liquidity.

Which of these does not contribute to the firm's tight liquidity situation?

- A** The change in days in receivables
- B** The change in inventory turnover
- C** The change in credit limits

Solution:

C is correct. The increase in credit limits is not a pull on liquidity but is in fact the opposite; it provides liquidity.

3.2 Measuring Liquidity

- c compare a company's liquidity position with that of peer companies

Liquidity contributes to a company's creditworthiness. Creditworthiness allows the company to obtain lower borrowing costs and better terms for trade credit and contributes to the company's investment flexibility, enabling it to exploit profitable opportunities.

The less liquid the company, the greater the risk it will suffer financial distress or, in the extreme case, insolvency or bankruptcy. Because debt obligations are paid with cash, the company's cash flows ultimately determine solvency. Immediate sources of funds for paying bills are cash on hand, proceeds from the sale of marketable securities, and the collection of accounts receivable. Additional liquidity comes from inventory that can be sold and thus converted into cash, either directly through cash sales or indirectly through credit sales (i.e., accounts receivable).

At some point, however, a company might have too much invested in low- and non-earning assets. Cash, marketable securities, accounts receivable, and inventory represent a company's liquidity, but these investments are low earning relative to the long-term, capital investment opportunities that companies might have available.

Various financial ratios can be used to assess a company's liquidity as well as its management of assets over time. Here we will look at some of these ratios in a little more detail. Introduced in the coverage of Financial Reporting and Analysis, several liquidity and activity ratios are summarized in Exhibit 4.

Exhibit 4 Ratios Used for Assessing Company Liquidity

Liquidity Ratios

$$\text{Current ratio} = \frac{\text{Current assets}}{\text{Current liabilities}}$$

$$\text{Quick ratio} = \frac{\text{Cash} + \text{Short-term marketable instruments} + \text{Receivables}}{\text{Current liabilities}}$$

$$\text{Cash ratio} = \frac{\text{Cash} + \text{Short-term marketable instruments}}{\text{Current liabilities}}$$

Activity Ratios

$$\text{Accounts receivable turnover} = \frac{\text{Credit sales}}{\text{Average receivables}}$$

$$\text{Inventory turnover} = \frac{\text{Cost of goods sold}}{\text{Average inventory}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Number of days of receivables} &= \frac{\text{Average accounts receivable}}{\text{Average day's sales on credit}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Average accounts receivable}}{\text{Sales on credit}/365}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Number of days of inventory} &= \frac{\text{Average inventory}}{\text{Average day's cost of goods sold}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Average inventory}}{\text{Cost of goods sold}/365}\end{aligned}$$

(continued)

Exhibit 4 (Continued)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Number of days of payables} &= \frac{\text{Average accounts payable}}{\text{Average day's purchases}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Average accounts payable}}{\text{Purchases}/365}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cash conversion cycle} = \text{Days of inventory} + \text{Days of receivables} - \text{Days of payables}$$

We calculate **liquidity ratios** to measure a company's ability to meet short-term obligations to creditors as they mature or come due. This form of liquidity analysis focuses on the relationship between current assets and current liabilities and the rapidity with which receivables and inventory can be converted into cash during normal business operations. The levels of these ratios, trends or changes in the ratios over time, and comparisons with competitors or the industry are used to judge a firm's liquidity position.

In addition to looking at the relationships among these balance sheet accounts, we can also estimate **activity ratios**, which measure how well key current assets are managed over time. These ratios use information from the income statement and the balance sheet to help tell the story of how well a company is managing its liquid assets.

Some of the major applications of this type of analysis include performance evaluation, monitoring, creditworthiness assessment, and financial projections. But ratios are useful only when they can be compared. The comparison should be done in two ways: comparisons over time for the same company and over time for the company compared with its peer group. Peer groups can include competitors from the same industry as the company as well as other companies of comparable size with comparable financial situations.

Consider Daimler AG, a producer of cars, trucks, and vans. We can see the change in the company's current, quick, and cash ratios over a decade, the fiscal years 2010 through 2019, in Exhibit 5, Panel A. Here, we see that the current ratio and the quick ratio increased over the time period. The cash ratio, over the decade, did not show the upward trend that the quick and current ratios exhibited. We can see what is driving these trends in the calculation of the cash conversion cycle in Panel B of the exhibit. Over the 10 years, the cash conversion cycle increased by more than 40 days. The slight increase in days of payables outstanding would have decreased the cash conversion cycle slightly. The days of inventory increased by approximately 9 days, and the days of receivables increased by approximately 37 days. Although the increase in inventory certainly puts a demand on liquidity, for Daimler, the impact of the increase in receivables was more dramatic. Over the decade, however, based on the liquidity and activity ratios, this company seemed to have good control of its liquidity position.

Exhibit 5 Liquidity Analysis of Daimler AG, 10 Years Ending December 2019

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<i>Panel A: Current, Quick, and Cash Ratios, December 2010–2019</i>										
Current Ratio	1.21	1.24	1.22	1.21	1.19	1.15	1.19	1.15	1.11	1.07
Quick Ratio	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.88	0.84	0.90	0.85	0.80	0.80
Cash Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.30	0.26	0.20	0.25

Exhibit 5 (Continued)

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<i>Panel B: Days of Inventory, Receivables, Payables, and Cash Conversion Cycle, December 2010–2019</i>										
Days of Inventory on Hand	75.2	74.9	71.6	73.9	69.1	68.5	69.2	71.5	71.2	66.6
+ Days of Receivables	136.8	127.9	118.8	118.4	104.6	102.6	105.5	104.6	104.2	100.0
- Days of Payables Outstanding	34.1	35.2	33.6	32.8	31.3	33.4	35.5	37.4	37.5	31.6
= Cash Conversion Cycle	177.9	167.6	156.8	159.5	142.4	137.7	139.2	138.7	137.9	135.0

Now consider Walmart, Target Corporation, Kohl's Corporation, and Costco Wholesale Corporation. Selected ratios for these large US discount retailers are shown in Exhibit 6. The data are from the fiscal year ending January 2020, except for Costco, whose fiscal year ended in August 2019. We see some differences among these four competitors. These differences can be explained, in part, by the retailers' different product mixes (e.g., Walmart and Costco have more sales from grocery lines than the other two), as well as their different inventory management systems and different inventory suppliers. None of the four firms invests heavily in accounts receivable, and customers generally pay with credit cards. The different need for liquidity can also be explained, in part, by the companies' different operating cycles. The most striking difference is for Kohl's. Walmart, Target, and Costco have investments in inventory that are largely matched and paid for by accounts payable. Kohl's, notably, has the highest investment in inventory, which is not financed by payables. Because it includes more of such items as clothing, Kohl's inventory is also more seasonal than that of the other companies. Hence, Kohl's has the highest current ratio because much of its inventory must be financed by non-current liabilities.

Exhibit 6 Liquidity Ratios among Discount Retailers

Ratio for January 2020 Fiscal Year	Company			
	Walmart	Target	Kohl's	Costco
Current ratio	0.79	0.89	1.68	1.01
Quick ratio	0.22	0.27	0.40	0.52
Days of inventory on hand	41.0	59.1	98.0	30.8
Days of receivables	4.4	4.5	0.4	3.8
Days of payables outstanding	43.5	56.2	33.3	31.4
Cash conversion cycle	1.9	7.4	65.1	3.2

EXAMPLE 4**Measuring Liquidity**

Given the following ratios, how well has the company been managing its liquidity?

Ratio	Average for the Previous Five Fiscal Years			
	Current Fiscal Year		Years	
	Company	Industry	Company	Industry
Current ratio	1.9	2.5	1.1	2.3
Quick ratio	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.9
Days of receivables	39.0	34.0	44.0	32.5
Days of inventory on hand	41.0	30.3	45.0	27.4
Days of payables outstanding	34.3	36.0	29.4	35.5

Solution:

The ratios should be compared in two ways: over time (the trend over time would typically be examined) and with the trend in the industry. In all ratios shown here, the current year shows improvement over the previous years in terms of increased liquidity. In each case, however, the company remains behind the industry average in terms of liquidity. A brief snapshot such as this example could be the starting point in assessing management's ability to deliver future improvement and reach or beat the industry standards.

4**EVALUATING SHORT-TERM FINANCING CHOICES**

- d evaluate choices of short-term funding

Because so many short-term financing alternatives are available, the company must know how various financing instruments and markets can affect its liquidity position and risks. The costs of the financing alternatives can also be crucial.

When companies do not explore their options sufficiently, their liquidity might be inadequate, and they might not take advantage of cost savings that some forms of borrowing offer. This situation can arise if a company's treasurer is not familiar with the common forms of short-term borrowing or has not formulated an effective borrowing strategy. Given the various forms of short-term borrowing, having a planned strategy is essential for a borrower to avoid getting stuck in an uneconomical situation. If a company spends too little time establishing a sound strategy for its short-term borrowing, in a crisis, it might not be able to borrow at all, from any source.

The major objectives of a short-term borrowing strategy include the following:

- Ensuring that sufficient capacity exists to handle peak cash needs
- Maintaining sufficient sources of credit to be able to fund ongoing cash needs
- Ensuring that rates obtained are cost-effective and do not substantially exceed market averages

In addition, several factors will influence a company's short-term borrowing strategies, such as the following:

- *Size and creditworthiness.* A borrower's size can dictate the options available. Larger companies can take advantage of economies of scale to access commercial paper, banker's acceptances, and so on. The lender's size is also an important criterion, because larger banks have higher house or legal lending limits. The borrower's creditworthiness will determine the rate, compensation, or even whether the loan will be made at all.
- *Legal and regulatory considerations.* Some countries impose constraints on how much a company can borrow and under what terms it can borrow. Structure is usually greater for companies operating in developed countries with well-defined legal systems than for those operating in countries with emerging economies. Also, in developed countries, some industries are strongly regulated. Regulated companies, such as utilities and banks, might be restricted in how much they can borrow and the kind of borrowing they can engage in. Utilities typically have steady and predictable income streams and are often allowed to borrow larger amounts. Banks in the United States have come under greater scrutiny since the financial crisis and recession of 2007–2009. They are now required to have a greater amount of equity capital.
- *Sufficient access.* Borrowers should diversify to have adequate alternatives and not be too reliant on one lender or form of lending if the amount of their borrowing is very large. Even so, using one alternative primarily, but often with more than one provider, is typical for borrowers. Borrowers should be ready to go to other sources and know how to do so. Borrowers should not stay too long with just one source or with the lowest rates. Many borrowers are usually prepared (somewhat) to trade rates for certainty. Market power can help a company dictate more favorable terms for accounts payable, allowing it to delay payments without having to forgo discounts. Having marketable securities on hand that can be quickly sold to meet short-term needs is also helpful.
- *Flexibility of borrowing options.* Flexibility means the ability to manage maturities efficiently; that is, there should not be any "big" days, when significant amounts of loans mature. To effectively manage loan maturities, borrowers need active maturity management, awareness of the market conditions (e.g., knowing when the market or certain maturities should be avoided), and the ability to pre-pay loans when unexpected cash receipts occur.

Borrowing strategies, like investment strategies, can be either passive or active. Passive strategies usually involve minimal activity, with one source or type of borrowing and with little (if any) planning. A passive strategy is often reactive in responding to immediate needs for liquidity. Passive strategies are characterized by steady, often routine rollovers of borrowings for the same amount of funds each time, without much comparison shopping. Passive strategies might also arise when borrowing is restricted, such as when borrowers are limited to one or two lenders by agreement (e.g., in a secured loan arrangement).

Active strategies are usually more flexible and reflect planning, reliable forecasting, and comparison pricing. With active strategies, borrowers are more in control and do not fall into the rollover "trap" that is possible with passive strategies.

Many active strategies are matching strategies. Matching borrowing strategies function in a manner similar to matching investment strategies: loans are scheduled to mature when large cash receipts are expected. These receipts can pay back the loan, so the company does not have to invest the funds at potentially lower rates than the borrowing cost, thereby creating unnecessary costs.

EXAMPLE 5**Evaluating Short-Term Choices**

When contemplating the possibilities for short-term financing needs, a company should consider which of the following items?

- A Cost of the funds borrowed
- B The flexibility offered by the source
- C The ease with which the funds can be accessed
- D Any legal or regulatory constraints that might favor one source over another
- E All of the above

Solution

The correct answer is E. Cost of funds is the most obvious item to consider, but borrowing at a slightly higher cost sometimes makes sense when taking all the other items into consideration.

EXAMPLE 6**Meeting Short-Term Financing Need**

The Keown Corp. has accounts payable of €2 million with terms of 2/10, net 30. Accounts receivable also stands at €2 million. In addition, the company has €5 million in marketable securities. Keown has a short-term need of €200,000 to meet payroll. Which of the following options makes the most sense for raising the €200,000?

- A The company should issue long-term debt.
- B The company should issue common stock.
- C The company should delay paying accounts payable and forgo the 2% discount.
- D The company should sell some of its accounts receivable to a factor at a 10% discount.
- E The company should sell some of its marketable securities at a 0.5% brokerage cost.

Solution:

A and B would not be appropriate for raising €200,000 for a short-term need. These options take time to arrange, and they are more appropriate for long-term capital needs and for much larger financing amounts.

C, D, and E are all appropriate options for meeting short-term financing needs. However, C and D are costly.

The options for raising €200,000 are summarized in this table:

Source of Funds	Action	Liquidation Costs	
		%	€
C. Accounts payable (2/10, net 30)	Delay €200,000 in payment, and forgo 2% discount	2.0	4,000
D. Accounts receivable	Sell €222,222 in value at 10% discount to raise €200,000	10.0	22,222
E. Marketable securities	Sell €200,000 in value	0.5	1,000

Choosing C means forgoing a 2% discount, which on €200,000 amounts to a cost of €4,000. To net €200,000 using option D, the company would have to sell €222,222 of accounts receivable to a factor, representing a cost of €22,222. E appears to be the best choice. Marketable securities are liquid and can be easily sold for market value, less the relatively minor brokerage cost of €1,000.

SUMMARY

In this reading, we considered key aspects of capital alternatives and short-term financial management: the financing choices available to a company and effective liquidity management. Both are critical in ensuring a company's solvency and ability to remain in business. If done improperly, the results can be disastrous for the company.

This reading covered the following:

- Describing internal and external sources of capital and the considerations that lead to their selection
- Describing primary and secondary sources of liquidity and factors that can enhance a company's liquidity position
- Understanding how to evaluate a company's liquidity position and comparing it to peer companies
- Evaluating the short-term financing choices available to a company based on their characteristics and their effective costs.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

- 1 Two analysts are discussing the costs of external financing sources. The first states that the company's bonds have a known interest rate but that the interest rate on accounts payable and the interest rate on equity financing are not specified. They are implicitly zero. Upon hearing this, the second analyst advocates financing the firm with greater amounts of accounts payable and common shareholders equity. Is the second analyst correct in his analysis?
 - A He is correct in his analysis of accounts payable only.
 - B He is correct in his analysis of common equity financing only.
 - C He is not correct in his analysis of either accounts payable or equity financing.
- 2 A company has arranged a \$20 million line of credit with a bank, allowing the company the flexibility to borrow and repay any amount of funds as long as the balance does not exceed the line of credit. These arrangements are called:
 - A convertibles.
 - B factoring.
 - C revolvers.
- 3 SOA Company needs to raise 75 million, in local currency, for substantial new investments next year. Specific details, all in local currency, are as follows:
Investments of 10 million in receivables and 15 million in inventory. Fixed capital investments of 50 million, including 10 million to replace depreciated equipment and 40 million of net new investments.
Net income is expected to be 30 million, and dividend payments will be 12 million. Depreciation charges will be 10 million.
Short-term financing from accounts payable of 6 million is expected. The firm will use receivables as collateral for an 8 million loan. The firm will also issue a 14 million short-term note to a commercial bank.
Any additional external financing needed can be raised from an increase in long-term bonds. If additional financing is not needed, any excess funds will be used to repurchase common shares.
What additional financing does SOA require?
 - A SOA will need to issue 19 million of bonds.
 - B SOA will need to issue 26 million of bonds.
 - C SOA can repurchase 2 million of common shares.
- 4 Kwam Solutions must raise €120 million. Kwam has two primary sources of liquidity: €60 million of marketable securities (which can be sold with minimal liquidation/brokerage costs) and €30 million of bonds (which can be sold with 3% liquidation costs). Kwam can sell some or all of either of these portfolios. Kwam has a secondary source of liquidity, which would be to sell a large piece of real estate valued at €70 million (which would incur 10% liquidation costs). If Kwam sells the real estate, it must be sold entirely (a fractional sale is not possible). What is the lowest cost strategy for raising the needed €120 million?
 - A Sell €60 million of the marketable securities, €30 million of the bonds, and €34.3 million of the real estate property.
 - B Sell the real estate property and €50 million of the marketable securities.

- C Sell the real estate property and €57 million of the marketable securities.
- 5 A company increasing its credit terms for customers from 1/10, net 30, to 1/10, net 60, will *most likely* experience:
- an increase in cash on hand.
 - a lower level of uncollectible accounts.
 - an increase in the average collection period.
- 6 Paloma Villarreal has received three suggestions from her staff about how to address her firm's liquidity problems.

Suggestion 1. Reduce the firm's inventory turnover rate.

Suggestion 2. Reduce the average collection period on accounts receivable.

Suggestion 3. Accelerate the payments on accounts payable by paying invoices before their due dates.

Which suggestion should Villarreal employ to improve the firm's liquidity position?

- Suggestion 1
 - Suggestion 2
 - Suggestion 3
- 7 Selected liquidity ratios for three firms in the leisure products industry are given in the table below. The most recent fiscal year ratio is shown, along with the average of the previous five years.

	Company H		Company J		Company S	
	Most recent	Five-year average	Most recent	Five-year average	Most recent	Five-year average
Current ratio	5.37	2.51	3.67	3.04	3.05	2.53
Quick ratio	5.01	2.19	2.60	2.01	1.78	1.44
Cash ratio	3.66	0.97	1.96	1.28	0.96	0.67

Relative to their peers and relative to their own prior performance, which company is in the most liquid position?

- Company H
 - Company J
 - Company S
- 8 An analyst is examining the cash conversion cycles and their components for three companies that she covers in the leisure products industry. She believes that changes in the investments in these working capital accounts can reveal liquidity stresses on a company.

	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Company H						
Days of Inventory on Hand	68.4	70.5	60	57.8	59.8	59.8
+ Days of Receivables	101.8	103.4	95.6	92.4	94.7	93.3
- Days of Payables Outstanding	52.1	54.6	48	41.9	36.8	35.9
= Cash Conversion Cycle	118.1	119.3	107.6	108.3	117.7	117.2
Company J						

(continued)

	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Days of Inventory on Hand	105.6	101.4	96.3	105.2	103.2	101.4
+ Days of Receivables	27.7	29.4	32.9	36.3	37.8	38
- Days of Payables Outstanding	36.6	38.5	35.3	39.3	37.8	40.2
= Cash Conversion Cycle	96.7	92.3	93.9	102.2	103.2	99.2
Company S						
Days of Inventory on Hand	135.8	131	118.9	69.2	63.4	81.7
+ Days of Receivables	49.1	42.5	54.2	36.2	29.1	38.3
- Days of Payables Outstanding	30.9	27.9	34.6	29.8	31.8	35.9
= Cash Conversion Cycle	154.0	145.6	138.5	75.6	60.7	84.1

Which company's operating cycle appears to have caused the most liquidity stress?

- A Company H's
- B Company J's
- C Company S's

SOLUTIONS

- 1 C is correct. Although accounts payable do not charge an explicit interest rate, the cost of accounts payable is reflected in the costs of the services or products purchased and in the costs of any discounts not taken. Accounts payable can have a very high implicit cost. Similarly, equity financing is not free. A required return is expected on shareholder financing just as on any other form of financing.
- 2 C is correct. A revolver is a short-term borrowing facility in which a bank allows the firm to borrow and repay loans during the life of the line of credit.
- 3 A is correct. SOA must issue 19 million of bonds.

Source	Amount (local, millions)
Accounts payable	6
Bank loan against receivables	8
Short-term note	14
Net income + depreciation – dividends	28
Total sources	56

The firm requires 75 million of financing in local currency terms. Given the planned sources (before bond financing or repurchases) total 56 million, SOA will need to issue 19 million of new bonds.

- 4 C is correct. Kwam must sell the entire real estate property because the two primary sources (marketable securities and bonds) will not raise the needed €120 million. A is incorrect because it assumes a fractional real estate sale. The real estate sale will raise a net of €63 million (€70 million minus 10% liquidation expenses). To raise the rest of the funds needed (€120 million - €63 million = €57 million), Kwam can sell €57 million of marketable securities, which have minimal liquidation/brokerage costs.
- 5 C is correct. A longer average collection period will certainly occur. Higher cash balances and a lower level of uncollectible accounts will not occur.
- 6 B is correct. Reducing the average collection period would speed up receipts and improve the firm's liquidity position. The other two suggestions would worsen the firm's liquidity position.
- 7 A is correct. Relative to peers, Company H has the highest set of ratios. Relative to historical average ratios, Company H's recent ratios show the greatest increases. The cash ratio is the most relevant for judging liquidity, and Company H's cash ratio is quite high.
- 8 C is correct. Company S's cash conversion cycle nearly doubled over recent years, while the cash conversion cycles for Companies H and J are nearly unchanged. The days of inventory on hand and days of receivables both increased substantially for Company S, and its days of payables outstanding decreased very slightly. The net effect was the large increase in the cash conversion cycle. Although changes occurred in the components of the cash conversion cycles for Companies H and J, the net effect on their cash conversion cycles was small.