

Formal Completion

1 Formal completion of rings and modules

Definition 1. Let A be a ring and \mathcal{T} a topology on A . We say that (A, \mathcal{T}) is a *topological ring* if the operations of addition and multiplication are continuous with respect to the topology \mathcal{T} .

Given a topological ring A . A *topological A -module* is a pair (M, \mathcal{T}_M) where M is an A -module and \mathcal{T}_M is a topology on M such that the addition and scalar multiplication is continuous. The morphisms of topological A -modules are the continuous A -linear maps. They form a category denoted by \mathbf{TopMod}_A .

Definition 2. Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and M an A -module. The *I -adic topology* on M is the topology defined by the basis of open sets $x + I^k M$ for all $x \in M, k \geq 0$.

Example 3. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers and p a prime number. The p -adic topology on \mathbb{Z} is defined by the metric

$$d(x, y) := \|x - y\|_p := p^{-v(x-y)},$$

where v is the valuation defined by the ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that for I -adic topology, any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of A -modules is continuous since $f(x + I^k M) \subset f(x) + I^k M$ for all $x \in M$ and $k \geq 0$. Hence the forgotten functor $\mathbf{TopMod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A$ gives an equivalence of categories.

Let M be an A -module equipped with the I -adic topology. Note that M is Hausdorff as a topological space if and only if $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n M = \{0\}$. In this case, we say that M is *I -adically separated*.

When M is I -adically separated, we can see that M is indeed a metric space. Fix $r \in (0, 1)$. For every $x \neq y \in M$, there is a unique $k \geq 0$ such that $x - y \in I^k M$ but $x - y \notin I^{k+1} M$. We can define a metric on M by

$$d(x, y) := r^k.$$

This metric induces the I -adic topology on M .

To analyze the I -adic separation property of M , the following Artin-Rees Lemma is particularly useful.

Theorem 4 (Artin-Rees Lemma). Let A be a noetherian ring, I an ideal of A , M a finite A -module and N a submodule of M . Then there exists an integer r such that for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(I^{r+n} M) \cap N = I^n (I^r M \cap N).$$

Proof. Let

$$A' := A \oplus IX \oplus I^2 X^2 \oplus \dots \subset A[X]$$

be a graded A -algebra. Note that if $I = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, then $A' = A[a_1 X, \dots, a_k X]$. Hence A' is a noetherian ring. Let

$$M' := M \oplus IMX \oplus I^2 MX^2 \oplus \dots$$

be a graded A' -module. Then M' is a finite A' -module since it is generated by M and M is finite over A . Let

$$N' := N \oplus (IM \cap N)X \oplus (I^2M \cap N)X^2 \oplus \dots$$

be a graded submodule of M' . Then N' is finite over A' . Suppose $N' = \sum A'x_i$ with $x_i \in I^{d_i}M \cap N$. Choose $r \geq d_i$ for all i . Then the degree $n+r$ part of N' is equal to degree n part of A' timing the degree r part of N' . That is, for all $n \geq 0$, $I^{n+r}M \cap N = I^n(I^rM \cap N)$. \square

Corollary 5. Let A be a noetherian ring, I an ideal of A , M a finite A -module and N a submodule of M . Then the subspace topology on N induced by $N \subset M$ coincides with the I -adic topology on N .

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the Artin-Rees Lemma. \square

Corollary 6. Let A be a noetherian ring, I an ideal of A , and M a finite A -module. Let $N = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n M$. Then $IN = N$. In particular, if $I \subset \text{rad}(A)$, then M is I -adically separated.

Proof. We have that

$$N = I^{n+r}M \cap N = I^n(I^rM \cap N) = I^nN \subset IN \subset N.$$

The latter conclusion follows from the Nakayama's Lemma. \square

Definition 7. Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and M an A -module. We say that M is *complete (with respect to I -adic topology)* if M is I -adically separated and complete as a metric space with respect to the metric induced by the I -adic topology.

Lemma 8. Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and M an A -module. Then the inverse limit

$$\widehat{M} := \varprojlim(\dots \rightarrow M/I^nM \rightarrow M/I^{n-1}M \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M/IM)$$

exists in the category of A -modules. Moreover, \widehat{A} is an A -algebra and \widehat{M} is an \widehat{A} -module.

Proof. Let

$$\widehat{M} := \left\{ (x_n) \in \prod_{n \geq 0} M/I^nM \mid x_{n+1} \mapsto x_n \right\}.$$

We claim that \widehat{M} is that we desired. To be completed. \square

Definition 9 (Formal Completion). Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and M an A -module. The *formal completion* of M with respect to I , denoted by \widehat{M} , is defined as

$$\widehat{M} := \varprojlim(\dots \rightarrow M/I^nM \rightarrow M/I^{n-1}M \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M/IM),$$

where the maps are the natural projections $M/I^nM \rightarrow M/I^{n-1}M$.

Example 10. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers and $I = p\mathbb{Z}$. The formal completion of \mathbb{Z} with respect to $p\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of p -adic integers, denoted by \mathbb{Z}_p . The elements of \mathbb{Z}_p can be represented as infinite series of the form

$$a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots,$$

where $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.

Example 11. Let R be a ring, $A = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $I = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. The formal completion of A with respect to I is the ring of formal power series $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. The elements of $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ can be represented as infinite series of the form

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n},$$

where $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R$ and the multi-index (i_1, \dots, i_n) runs over all non-negative integers.

Proposition 12. The formal completion \widehat{M} of a A -module M is complete, and image of M is dense in \widehat{M} . Moreover, \widehat{M} is uniquely characterized by above properties.

| *Proof.* To be completed. □

By the universal property of the inverse limit, we get a covariant functor from the category of A -modules to the category of topological \widehat{A} -modules, which sends an A -module M to \widehat{M} and a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ to the induced morphism $\widehat{f} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{N}$.

Lemma 13. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of finite A -modules. Then the sequence of \widehat{A} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{M}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{M}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{M}_3 \rightarrow 0$$

is still exact.

| *Proof.* To be completed. □

Proposition 14. Let \widehat{A} be completion of a noetherian ring A with respect to an ideal I and M a finite A -module. Then the natural map $M \otimes_A \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ is an isomorphism.

| *Proof.* Since A is noetherian and M is finite, we have an exact sequence

$$A^m \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 13, we have an exact sequence

$$\widehat{A}^m \rightarrow \widehat{A}^n \rightarrow \widehat{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$A^m \otimes_A \widehat{A} \rightarrow A^n \otimes_A \widehat{A} \rightarrow M \otimes_A \widehat{A} \rightarrow 0$$

by right exactness of the tensor product. Since the inverse limit commutes with finite direct sums, we complete the proof by the Five Lemma. □

Proposition 15. Let A be a noetherian ring and I an ideal of A . Then the formal completion \widehat{A} of A with respect to I is a flat A -module.

| *Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma 13 and Proposition 14. □

Lemma 16. Let \widehat{A} be the formal completion of a noetherian ring A with respect to an ideal I . Suppose that I is generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . Then we have an isomorphism of topological rings

$$\widehat{A} \cong A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n).$$

| *Proof.* To be completed. □

Proposition 17. Let A be a noetherian ring and I an ideal of A . Then the formal completion \widehat{A} of A with respect to I is a noetherian ring.

| *Proof.* Note that $A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ is noetherian by Hilbert's Basis Theorem. Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 16. □

Proposition 18. Let A be a noetherian ring and \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of A . Then the formal completion \widehat{A} of A with respect to \mathfrak{m} is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}\widehat{A}$.

| *Proof.* To be completed. □

2 Complete local rings

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian complete local ring with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology. We say that A is *of equal characteristic* if $\text{char } A = \text{char } \mathbf{k}$, and *of mixed characteristic* if $\text{char } A \neq \text{char } \mathbf{k}$. In latter case, $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = p$ and $\text{char } A = 0$ or $\text{char } A = p^k$.

The goal of this subsection is the following structure theorem for noetherian complete local rings due to Cohen.

Theorem 19 (Cohen Structure Theorem). Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian complete local ring of dimension d . Then

- (a) A is a quotient of a noetherian regular complete local ring;
- (b) if A is regular and of equal characteristic, then $A \cong \mathbf{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$;
- (c) if A is regular, of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and $p \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$, then $A \cong D[[X_1, \dots, X_{d-1}]]$, where (D, p, \mathbf{k}) is a complete DVR;
- (d) if A is regular, of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and $p \in \mathfrak{m}^2$, then $A \cong D[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]/(f)$, where (D, p, \mathbf{k}) is a complete DVR and f a regular parameter.

To prove the Cohen Structure Theorem, we first list some preliminary results on complete local rings. They are independently important and can be used in other contexts.

Theorem 20 (Hensel's Lemma). Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a complete local ring, $f \in A[X]$ a monic polynomial and $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{k}[X]$ its reduction modulo \mathfrak{m} . Suppose that $\bar{f} = \bar{g} \cdot \bar{h}$ for some monic polynomials $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \mathbf{k}[X]$ such that $\text{gcd}(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) = 1$. Then the factorization lifts to a unique factorization $f = g \cdot h$ in $A[X]$ such that g and h are monic polynomials.

| *Proof.* Lift \bar{g} and \bar{h} to monic polynomials $g_1, h_1 \in A[X]$. We inductively construct a sequence of monic polynomials $g_n, h_n \in A[X]$ such that $\Delta_n = f - g_n h_n \in \mathfrak{m}^n[X]$ and $g_n - g_{n+1}, h_n - h_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{m}^n[X]$

for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose that g_n and h_n are constructed. Let $g_{n+1} = g_n + \varepsilon_n$ and $h_{n+1} = h_n + \eta_n$ for $\varepsilon_n, \eta_n \in \mathfrak{m}^n[X]$. Then we have

$$f - g_{n+1}h_{n+1} = \Delta_n - (\varepsilon_n h_n + \eta_n g_n) + \varepsilon_n \eta_n.$$

Hence we just need to choose ε_n and η_n such that

$$\varepsilon_n h_n + \eta_n g_n \equiv \Delta_n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}, \quad \deg \varepsilon_n < \deg g_n, \quad \deg \eta_n < \deg h_n.$$

Since $\gcd(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) = 1$, there exist $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathbf{k}[X]$ such that $\bar{u}\bar{g} + \bar{v}\bar{h} = 1$ and $\deg \bar{u} < \deg \bar{g}$, $\deg \bar{v} < \deg \bar{h}$. Lift \bar{u} and \bar{v} to $u, v \in A[X]$ preserving the degrees. Then we have $ug_n + vh_n \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $\varepsilon_n = u\Delta_n$ and $\eta_n = v\Delta_n$. Then we get the desired equation. \square

Proposition 21. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian complete local ring and M an A -module that is \mathfrak{m} -adically separated. Suppose $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} M/\mathfrak{m}M < \infty$. Then the basis of $M \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ as \mathbf{k} -vector space can be lifted to a generating set of M as an A -module.

Proof. Let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in M$ such that their images in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ form a basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as a \mathbf{k} -vector space. Then $M = t_1A + \dots + t_nA + \mathfrak{m}M$. For every $x \in M$, we can write

$$x = a_{0,1}t_1 + \dots + a_{0,n}t_n + m_1$$

for some $a_{0,i} \in A$ and $m_1 \in \mathfrak{m}M$. Inductively, we have $\mathfrak{m}^k M = t_1\mathfrak{m}^k + \dots + t_n\mathfrak{m}^k + \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}M$. Suppose that we have constructed $m_k \in \mathfrak{m}^k M$. Then we can write

$$m_k = a_{k,1}t_1 + \dots + a_{k,n}t_n + m_{k+1}.$$

Note that $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k,i}$ converges in A , denote its limit by a_i . Then we have

$$x - a_1t_1 + \dots + a_nt_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r \geq k} a_{r,i}t_i + m_k \in \mathfrak{m}^k M$$

for all k . Since M is \mathfrak{m} -adically separated, $x = a_1t_1 + \dots + a_nt_n$. It follows that $M = \sum At_i$. \square

The key to prove the Cohen Structure Theorem is the existence of coefficient rings.

Definition 22 (Coefficient rings). Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian complete local ring.

When A is equal-characteristic, the coefficient ring (or coefficient field) is a homomorphism of rings $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow A$ such that $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ is an isomorphism.

When A is mixed-characteristic, the coefficient ring is a complete local ring (R, pR, \mathbf{k}) with a local homomorphism of rings $R \hookrightarrow A$ such that the induced homomorphism $R/pR \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 23. Recall that a homomorphism of local rings $f : (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{m}_B)$ is said to be local if $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B) = \mathfrak{m}_A$.

Theorem 24. Every noetherian complete local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ has a coefficient ring.

Assume the existence of coefficient rings, we can prove the Cohen Structure Theorem.

Proof of Cohen Structure Theorem. Let R be a coefficient ring of A and $\mathfrak{m} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ a minimal generating set of \mathfrak{m} . Then we have a homomorphism of complete local rings

$$\Phi : R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] \rightarrow A, \quad X_i \mapsto f_i.$$

Let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal ideal of $R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$. Then $\mathfrak{n}A = \mathfrak{m}$. By Proposition 21, A is generated by 1 as an $R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ -module. This implies that Φ is surjective and (a) follows.

If A is regular of equal characteristic, then \mathfrak{m} is generated by a regular sequence. By consider the dimension of $R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ and A , we have that Φ is an isomorphism. This proves (b).

Note that if A is regular of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and $p \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$, then \mathfrak{m} is generated by p, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1} . Then consider the homomorphism of complete local rings

$$R[[X_1, \dots, X_{d-1}]] \rightarrow A, \quad X_i \mapsto f_i.$$

By the same argument as above, we have that it is an isomorphism. This proves (c).

For (d), we have that $\ker \Phi$ is of height 1 by the dimension argument. Since regular local rings are UFDs, we can write $\ker \Phi = (f)$ for some $f \in R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$. Then we finish. \square

2.1 Existence of coefficient rings

Proof of Theorem 24 in characteristic 0. Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\mathbb{Q} \subset A$. Let $\Sigma := \{\text{subfield in } A\}$ and K a maximal element in Σ with respect to the inclusion. The set Σ is nonempty since $\mathbb{Q} \in \Sigma$. By Zorn's Lemma, K exists. Then K is a subfield of \mathbf{k} by $K \hookrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbf{k}$. We claim that K is a coefficient field of A .

Suppose there is $\bar{t} \in \mathbf{k} \setminus K$. If \bar{t} is transcendent over K , lift \bar{t} to an element $t \in A$. Then for any polynomial $f \neq 0 \in K[T]$, we have $f(\bar{t}) \neq 0 \in \mathbf{k}$. Hence $f(t) \notin \mathfrak{m}$. This implies that $1/f(t) \in A$, whence $K(t) \subset A$. This contradicts the maximality of K . If \bar{t} is algebraic over K , let $f \in K[T]$ be the minimal polynomial of \bar{t} . Then f is irreducible in $K[T]$ and $f(\bar{t}) = 0$. Regard f as a polynomial in $A[T]$ by $K \hookrightarrow A$. Note that $\text{char } A = 0$ implies that f is separable. By Hensel's Lemma (Theorem 20), we can lift the root \bar{t} to an element $t \in A$ such that $f(t) = 0$. Then $K(t)$ is a field extension of K and $K(t) \subset A$. This contradicts the maximality of K again. \square

The same strategy does not work when $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = p > 0$ since there might be inseparable extensions. To fix this, we need to introduce the notion of p -basis.

Definition 25. Let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic p . A finite set $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{k}^p$ is called p -independent if $[\mathbf{k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) : \mathbf{k}] = p^n$. A set $\Theta \subset \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{k}^p$ is called a p -independent if its any finite subset is p -independent. A p -basis for \mathbf{k} is a maximal p -independent set $\Theta \subset \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{k}^p$.

By definition, we have that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^p[\Theta]$ for any p -basis Θ of \mathbf{k} . For any $a \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, we can write a as a polynomial in Θ with coefficients in \mathbf{k}^p . The degree of θ in such polynomial representation is at most $p - 1$. Such polynomial representation is unique by definition of p -independence.

Applying the Frobenius map n times, we have that $\mathbf{k}^{p^n} = \mathbf{k}^{p^{n+1}}[\Theta^{p^n}]$. This follows that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^{p^n}[\Theta]$ for all n . Moreover, for any $a \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, we can write a as a polynomial in Θ with coefficients in \mathbf{k}^{p^n} and the degree of θ is at most $p^n - 1$. Such polynomial representation is unique.

Let \mathbf{k} be a perfect field of characteristic p . If there is $a \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \mathbf{k}^p$, then $\mathbf{k}(a^{1/p})/\mathbf{k}$ is an inseparable extension. This contradicts the perfectness of \mathbf{k} . Hence $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^p$ and \mathbf{k} has no nonempty p -basis.

Example 26. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Then $\mathbf{k}^p = \mathbb{F}_p(t_1^p, \dots, t_n^p)$. The set $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ is a p -basis for \mathbf{k} .

Proof of Theorem 24 in characteristic p . Choose $\Theta \subset A$ such that its image in A/\mathfrak{m} is a p -basis for \mathbf{k} . Let $A_n := A^{p^n} = \{a^{p^n} : a \in A\}$ and $K := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (A_n[\Theta])$. Then we claim that K is a coefficient field of A .

First we show that $A_n[\Theta] \cap \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{p^n}$. For every $a \in A_n[\Theta]$, if the degree of θ in the polynomial representation of a is more than $p^n - 1$, we can write $\theta^k = \theta^{ap^n} \cdot \theta^b$ for some $b < p^n$. Regard $\theta^{ap^n} \in A^{p^n}$ as coefficients. Now assume that $a \in A_n[\Theta] \cap \mathfrak{m}$. Then consider the image of a in A/\mathfrak{m} . The image of a equals 0 implies every coefficient of a is in \mathfrak{m} . Such coefficients are of form b^{p^n} for some $b \in A$, whence $b \in \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $a \in \mathfrak{m}^{p^n}$. This implies that $K \cap \mathfrak{m} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (A_n[\Theta] \cap \mathfrak{m}) \subset \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^{p^n} = \{0\}$. Then K is a field and hence a subfield of \mathbf{k} .

For any $\bar{a} \in \mathbf{k}$, note that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^p[\bar{\Theta}] = \mathbf{k}^{p^2}[\bar{\Theta}] = \dots = \mathbf{k}^{p^n}[\bar{\Theta}] = \dots$. For every n , write

$$\bar{a} = \sum_{\mu_n} \bar{c}_{\mu_n}^{p^n} \mu_n =: P_{\bar{a}, n}(\bar{c}_{\mu_n}),$$

where μ_n runs over all monomials in $\bar{\Theta}$ with degree at most $p^n - 1$ and $\bar{c}_{\mu_n} \in \mathbf{k}$. We call this representation the p^n -development of \bar{a} with respect to $\bar{\Theta}$. Plug the p^m -development of c_{μ_n} into $P_{\bar{a}, n}$, we get the p^{n+m} -development of \bar{a} . In formula, that is,

$$P_{\bar{a}, n}(P_{\bar{a}, m}(\bar{c}_{\mu_{n+m}})) = P_{\bar{a}, n+m}(\bar{c}_{\mu_{n+m}}).$$

Lift \bar{c}_{μ_n} to $c_{\mu_n} \in A$ for all μ_n . Let $a_n := P_{\bar{a}, n}(c_{\mu_n}) = \sum_{\mu_n} c_{\mu_n}^{p^n} \mu_n \in A_n[\Theta]$. For $m \geq n$, we have $a_n - a_m \in A_n[\Theta] \cap \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{p^n}$. Hence a_n converges to an element $a \in A$. Now we show that $a \in K$. For every μ_k , let $b_{\mu_k, n} \in A$ be the element getting by plugging $c_{\mu_{n+k}}$ into the $P_{\bar{a}, \mu_k}$. Then $b_{\mu_k, n}$ converges to an element $b_{\mu_k} \in A$. By construction, we have

$$a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\bar{a}, n+k}(c_{\mu_{n+k}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\bar{a}, k}(b_{\mu_k, n}) = P_{\bar{a}}(b_{\mu_k}) = \sum_{\mu_k} b_{\mu_k}^{p^k} \mu_k \in A_k[\Theta], \quad \forall k.$$

It follows that $a \in K$. □

Lemma 27. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian complete local ring of mixed characteristic. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a complete local ring (R, pR, \mathbf{k}) with $R \subset A$.

Proof. Fix a p -basis of \mathbf{k} and lift it to $\Theta \subset R$. Let $q = p^{n-1}$ and

$$m := \left\{ \theta_1^{k_1} \cdots \theta_d^{k_d} \mid \theta_i \in \Theta, k_i \leq q-1 \right\}, \quad S := \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in m, \text{ finite}} a_\mu \mu \mid a_\mu \in R^q \right\}.$$

For any $a, b \in A$, we claim that $a \equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ if and only if $a^q \equiv b^q \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, write $a = b + m$ for some $m \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then $a^p = b^p + pb^{q-1}m + \cdots + m^q$. Hence $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^2}$. Inductively, we have $a^q \equiv b^q \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}$. Conversely, if $a^q \equiv b^q \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}$, then $a^q - b^q \in \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Note that the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^q$ is injective on A/\mathfrak{m} . It follows that $a \equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. By the claim, S maps to $\mathbf{k}^q[\Theta] = \mathbf{k}$ bijectively.

Let

$$R := S + pS + p^2S + \cdots + p^{n-1}S.$$

We claim that R is a subring of A . If so, $R/pR \cong \mathbf{k}$ and we get a complete local ring (R, pR, \mathbf{k}) .

Take $a, b \in A$. We have

$$a^q + b^q = (a + b)^q + pc \in A^q + pA.$$

Inductively, we have

$$a^q + b^q \in A^q + pA^q + \cdots + p^{n-1}A^q.$$

This implies that R is closed under addition. Note that $\theta^a = \theta^{aq} \cdot \theta^b$ with $b < q$. Then for any $\mu, \nu \in m$, we have $\mu\nu \in S$. Hence R is closed under multiplication. \square

Lemma 28. Let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic p . Then there exists a DVR (D, p, \mathbf{k}) of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$.

Proof. Fix a well order \leq on \mathbf{k} and for any $a \in \mathbf{k}$, set \mathbf{k}_a be the subfield of \mathbf{k} generated by all elements $b \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $b \leq a$. Then $\mathbf{k} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}_a$. We construct DVRs D_a with residue field \mathbf{k}_a such that $D_a \subset D_b$ for $a \leq b$. Begin from $\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and let $D_0 = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Suppose that D_a is constructed for all $a < b$. If $\mathbf{k}_b/\mathbf{k}_a$ is transcendental, then let D_b be the localization of $D_a[b]$ at the prime ideal generated by p .

If $\mathbf{k}_b/\mathbf{k}_a$ is algebraic, then let $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{k}_a[T]$ be the monic minimal polynomial of b . Let $\mathbf{K}_a = \text{Frac}(D_a)$ and $K_b = \mathbf{K}_a[T]/(\bar{f})$, where f is a monic lift of \bar{f} to $D_a[T]$. Note that f is irreducible since \bar{f} is irreducible. Let D_b be the integral closure of D_a in K_b . In general, D_b is a Dedekind domain. Consider the prime factorization $pD_b = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}$ in D_b . For every i , D_b/\mathfrak{p}_i is a field extension of \mathbf{k}_a and \bar{f} has a root in D_b/\mathfrak{p}_i . Suppose $\deg \bar{f} = \deg f = d$. It follows that $[(D_b/\mathfrak{p}_i) : \mathbf{k}_a] = d$. Note that we have $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i f_i = [\mathbf{K}_b : \mathbf{K}_a] = d$. Hence $k = 1$ and $e_1 = 1$. It follows that pD_b is prime and D_b is a DVR with residue field \mathbf{k}_b .

Let $D = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbf{k}} D_a$. Then (D, pD, \mathbf{k}) is the desired DVR. \square

Example 29. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$. Then $D = \mathbb{Z}[t]_{(p)}$ is a DVR satisfying the condition in Lemma 28.

Let $\mathbf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. For any $n \geq 1$, let $K_n = K_{n-1}(\zeta_{p^n-1})$ and $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $D_n := \mathcal{O}_{K_n, \mathfrak{p}_n}$ be the localization of the ring of integers of K_n at the prime \mathfrak{p}_n lying above \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} . Then $D := \bigcup_n D_n$ is a DVR with residue field \mathbf{k} .

Lemma 30. Given \mathbf{k} a field of characteristic p , there exists a unique complete local ring (R, pR, \mathbf{k}) of mixed characteristic (p^n, p) .

Proof. The existence follows from Lemma 28. To show the uniqueness, suppose that (R', pR', \mathbf{k}) is another complete local ring of mixed characteristic (p^n, p) . Fix a p -basis of \mathbf{k} and lift it to $\Theta \subset R$ and $\Theta' \subset R'$ relatively. Let $q = p^{n-1}$ and

$$m := \left\{ \theta_1^{k_1} \cdots \theta_d^{k_d} \mid \theta_i \in \Theta, k_i \leq q-1 \right\}, \quad S := \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in m, \text{ finite}} a_\mu \mu \mid a_\mu \in R^q \right\}.$$

Define m', S' similarly with Θ' and R' . Since $S \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and $S' \rightarrow R' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ are bijections, we can define a bijective map $\Phi : S \rightarrow S'$.

Note that any element in S can be written as $s + pr$ with $s \in S$ and $r \in R$ uniquely since $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is bijective. Inductively, we can write any element in R as

$$r = s + ps_1 + p^2 s_2 + \cdots + p^{n-1} s_{n-1},$$

where $s_i \in S$. Then similarly for R' . Extend Φ to R and we get a bijection between R and R' . Note that by construction, Φ preserves addition and multiplication. Hence we get a ring isomorphism $\Phi : R \rightarrow R'$. \square

Proof of Theorem 24 in mixed characteristic. Since A is complete, we have $A = \varprojlim_n A/\mathfrak{m}^n$. By Lemma 27, there is a complete local ring (R_n, pR_n, \mathbf{k}) with $R_n \subset A/\mathfrak{m}^n$. By Lemma 30, such R_n is unique up to isomorphism. It follows that $R_n \cong R_m/p^{k_n}$ for $m \geq n$. We get an inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow R_n \rightarrow R_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_1 \cong \mathbf{k}.$$

Let $R := \varprojlim_n R_n$. Then (R, pR, \mathbf{k}) is a complete local ring. The homomorphisms $R_n \hookrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^n$ induce a homomorphism of complete local rings $R \hookrightarrow A$. This concludes the proof. \square