

Example: p -adic fields

1 p -adic fields

Construction 1. Let K be a number field and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of K . Considering the localization $(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of \mathcal{O}_K at \mathfrak{p} , which is a discrete valuation ring, denote by $v_{\mathfrak{p}} : K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the corresponding discrete valuation. The p -adic absolute value on K associated to \mathfrak{p} is defined as

$$|x|_{\mathfrak{p}} := N(\mathfrak{p})^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}, \quad \forall x \in K,$$

where $N(\mathfrak{p}) := \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$ is the norm of \mathfrak{p} .

The completion of K with respect to the p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is denoted by $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, called the p -adic field.

We just focus on the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$ for a prime number p in the following.

Example 2. Let p be a prime number. For every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can write r as $r = p^n \frac{a}{b}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers not divisible by p . The p -adic absolute value on \mathbb{Q} is defined as

$$|r|_p := p^{-n}.$$

The p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p can be described concretely as follows:

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=n}^{+\infty} a_i p^i \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \right\}.$$

For $x = \sum_{i=n}^{+\infty} a_i p^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $a_n \neq 0$, its p -adic absolute value is given by $|x|_p = p^{-n}$. The operations of addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Q}_p are defined similarly as those on decimal expansions.

Easily see that $|\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}|_p = p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$.

Unlike the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p has many finite extensions.

Proposition 3. The field \mathbb{Q}_p has algebraic extensions of arbitrarily large degree.

Proof. Since there are infinitely many irreducible monic polynomials over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p , consider any lift of such an irreducible monic polynomial to a monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p . If the lift is not irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_p , then the factorization of the lift gives a nontrivial factorization of its reduction modulo p since the factors can be chosen to be monic and have coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p , which contradicts the irreducibility of the original polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p . Thus, the lift is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_p .

On the other hand, note that $|\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}|_p = p^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It follows that $f(T) = T^n - p$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_p for every integer $n \geq 1$. Otherwise, suppose we have a monic factorization $f(T) = g(T)h(T)$ with $g(T), h(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ and $\deg g, \deg h < n$. Then by considering the reduction modulo p , we have $g(0), h(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. It follows that $|f(0)|_p = |g(0)h(0)|_p \leq p^{-2}$, which contradicts $|f(0)|_p = |p|_p = p^{-1}$. \square

Let

$$R := \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$$

and

$$K := \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{Q}_p\left(\bigcup_{p \nmid m} \mu_m\right).$$

Yang: R is a totally ramified extension and K is the maximal unramified extension.

2 Completion

Proposition 4. The algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of \mathbb{Q}_p is not complete with respect to the extension of the p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$.

Proof. Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that

$$[\mathbb{Q}_p(\{a_n\}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = +\infty.$$

Set $s_1 = 0$ and

$$s_n = s_{n-1} + p^{k_n} a_n$$

for a suitable $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|p^{k_n} a_n|_p \leq \frac{|p^{k_{n-1}} a_{n-1}|_p}{2}, \quad |p^{k_n} a_n|_p < |s_{n-1} - \sigma(s_{n-1})|_p \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ with } \sigma(s_{n-1}) \neq s_{n-1}.$$

Then the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $s \in \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ be the limit of $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$. We have $|s - s_{n-1}|_p = |p^{k_n} a_n|_p < |s_{n-1} - \sigma(s_{n-1})|_p$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\sigma(s_{n-1}) \neq s_{n-1}$. By Krasner's lemma ([Theorem 11](#)), it follows that $s_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p(s)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}_p(s) : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq [\mathbb{Q}_p(\{a_n\}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = +\infty,$$

which implies that $s \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. □

Construction 5. Let p be a prime number. The field \mathbb{C}_p of p -adic complex numbers is defined as the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p with respect to the unique extension of the p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q}_p .

The field \mathbb{C}_p is algebraically closed and complete with respect to $|\cdot|_p$ by [Proposition 8](#). By [Corollaries 9](#) and [10](#), we have

$$|\mathbb{C}_p^\times|_p = |\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times|_p = p^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \kappa_{\mathbb{C}_p} \cong \kappa_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}.$$

Proposition 6. The field \mathbb{C}_p of p -adic complex numbers is not spherically complete.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Yang: For example, see [p-adic fields for beginners](#).

Construction 7. Let p be a prime number. *Yang:* We construct the *spherically complete p -adic field Ω_p* . *Yang:* To be completed.

Yang: does Ω_p has the same card as \mathbb{R} ?

Appendix

Proposition 8. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field. Then its completion $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ is also algebraically closed.

Corollary 9. Let \mathbf{k} be a non-archimedean field and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ its completion. Then the residue field $\kappa_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} \cong \kappa_{\mathbf{k}}$ under the natural embedding $\mathbf{k}^\circ \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{k}}^\circ$.

Corollary 10. Let \mathbf{k} be a non-archimedean field and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ its completion. Then the valuation group $|\hat{\mathbf{k}}^\times|$ of $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ is equal to the valuation group $|\mathbf{k}^\times|$ of \mathbf{k} .

Theorem 11 (Krasner's lemma). Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field, and $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\mathbf{k}}$. Denote by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ the conjugates of α over \mathbf{k} with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$. If

$$|\beta - \alpha| < |\alpha - \alpha_i|, \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, n,$$

then $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}(\beta)$.