

Local theory I: functions

1 Tate algebras

Notation 1. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a tuple of n indeterminates, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be a tuple of n positive real numbers, and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a multi-index. We use the following notations:

- $T^\alpha := T_1^{\alpha_1} T_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $r^\alpha := r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots r_n^{\alpha_n}$;
- $\underline{T/r} := (T_1/r_1, T_2/r_2, \dots, T_n/r_n)$;
- $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$;
- $\alpha \leq_{\text{total}} \beta$ if and only if for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$;
- $E(x, \underline{r}) = \{y \in \mathbf{k}^n \mid \|y_i - x_i\| \leq r_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $B(x, \underline{r}) = \{y \in \mathbf{k}^n \mid \|y_i - x_i\| < r_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$;
- Let $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ be a set of elements in a metric space X indexed by multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We say that $\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} x_\alpha = x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| > N$, we have $d(x_\alpha, x) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a tuple of n indeterminates and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be a tuple of n positive real numbers. The *Tate algebra* (or *ring of restricted power series*) is defined as

$$\mathbf{k}\langle \underline{T} \rangle := \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}\} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \mid a_\alpha \in \mathbf{k}, \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = 0 \right\}.$$

Proposition 3. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Then the Tate algebra $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T/r}\}$ is a non-archimedean multiplicative banach \mathbf{k} -algebra with respect to the *gauss norm*

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \right\| := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha.$$

Yang: For the definition of banach ring, see

Proof. The proof splits into several parts. Every parts is straightforward and standard.

Step 1. We first show that $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T/r}\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -algebra.

Easily to see that it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Suppose that $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$ and $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha$ are two elements in $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T/r}\}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $|\alpha| > N$, we have $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|g\|$ and $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|f\|$. For any $|\gamma| > 2N$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta T^\gamma \right\| r^\gamma \leq \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \cdot \|b_\beta\| r^\beta < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|g\|} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f\|} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence $f \cdot g \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T/r}\}$ and it shows that $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T/r}\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -algebra.

Step 2. Show that the gauss norm is a non-archimedean norm on $\mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$.

The linearity and positive-definiteness of the gauss norm are direct from the definition. We have

$$\|f + g\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha + b_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max\{\|a_\alpha\| + \|b_\alpha\|\} r^\alpha \leq \max\{\|f\|, \|g\|\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \cdot g\| &= \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) T^\gamma \right\| = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right\| r^\gamma \\ &\leq \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| r^\alpha r^\beta = \|a_{\alpha_0}\| r^{\alpha_0} \cdot \|b_{\beta_0}\| r^{\beta_0} \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

These show that Tate algebra with the gauss norm is a non-archimedean normed \mathbf{k} -algebra.

Step 3. Show that the gauss norm is multiplicative.

Suppose that $\|f\| = \|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1}$ and $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \|f\|$ for all $\alpha <_{\text{total}} \alpha_1$. Similar to $\|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1}$. Then we have

$$\|f\| \cdot \|g\| = \|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1} \cdot \|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1} = \max_{\alpha+\beta=\alpha_1+\beta_1} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| r^\alpha r^\beta = \left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\alpha_1+\beta_1} a_\alpha b_\beta \right\| r^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \leq \|f \cdot g\|,$$

where the third equality holds since (α_1, β_1) is the unique pair such that $\|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1} \cdot \|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1}$ is maximized and by ???. Thus the gauss norm is multiplicative.

Step 4. Finally show that $\mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ is complete with respect to the gauss norm.

Let $\{f_m = \sum a_{\alpha,m} T^\alpha\}$ be a cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$. We have

$$\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_{\alpha,l}\| r^\alpha \leq \|f_m - f_l\|.$$

Thus for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the sequence $\{a_{\alpha,m}\}$ is a cauchy sequence in \mathbf{k} . Since \mathbf{k} is complete, set $a_\alpha := \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} a_{\alpha,m}$ and $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, l > M$, we have $\|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon$. Fixing $m > M$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $|\alpha| > N$, we have $\|a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon$. Hence for all $|\alpha| > N$ and $l > M$, we have

$$\|a_{\alpha,l} - a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha \leq \|a_{\alpha,l} - a_{\alpha,M}\| r^\alpha + \|a_{\alpha,M} - a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha < 2\varepsilon.$$

Taking $l \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $|\alpha| > N$. It follows that $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, l > N$, we have $\|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon$. Thus for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $m, l > N$, we have

$$\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_{\alpha,l}\| r^\alpha \leq \|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon.$$

Taking $l \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \varepsilon$ for all $m > N$. It follows that

$$\|f - f_m\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha - a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $m > N$. □

Proposition 4. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. An element $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ is invertible if and only if $\|a_0\| > \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \neq 0$.

Proof. Multiplying by a_0^{-1} , we can reduce to the case $a_0 = 1$. Let $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha$ be the inverse of f in $\mathbf{k}[[T]]$. Then we have

$$f \cdot g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \cdot \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\beta T^\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) T^\gamma = 1.$$

That is, for every $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$b_\gamma = - \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma \\ \alpha \neq 0}} a_\alpha b_\beta.$$

Let $A = \|f - 1\| < 1$. We show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_m > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \geq C_m$, we have $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq A^m$. For $m = 0$, note that $b_0 = 1$. By induction on γ with respect to the total order \leq_{total} , we have

$$\|b_\gamma\| r^\gamma \leq \max_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \cdot \|b_\beta\| r^\beta \leq A \max_{\beta <_{\text{total}} \gamma} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta \leq 1.$$

Suppose that the claim holds for m . There exists $D_{m+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \geq D_{m+1}$, we have $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq A^{m+1}$. Set $C_{m+1} = C_m + D_{m+1} + 1$. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\gamma| \geq C_{m+1}$, we have

$$\|b_\gamma\| r^\gamma \leq \max_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \cdot \|b_\beta\| r^\beta \leq \max\{A^{m+1}, A \cdot A^m\} = A^{m+1}$$

since either $|\alpha| \geq D_{m+1}$ or $|\beta| \geq C_m$. Thus by induction, we have $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows that $g \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$. \square

Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Recall that the formal derivative operator $\partial_i : \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[T]]$ is defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \right) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \alpha_i a_\alpha T_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots T_i^{\alpha_{i-1}} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Lemma 5. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Then for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$, we have $\partial_i(f) \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$. We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial T_1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \alpha_1 a_\alpha T_1^{\alpha_1-1} T_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Noting that \mathbf{k} is non-archimedean, we have $\|\alpha_1 a_\alpha\| \leq \|a_\alpha\|$. Then

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|\alpha_1 a_\alpha\| r_1^{\alpha_1-1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots r_n^{\alpha_n} \leq \frac{1}{r_1} \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = 0.$$

The conclusion follows. \square

2 Analytic functions on closed polydisks

Proposition 6. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Then for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$, we can associate a function $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ defined by

$$F_f(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r}).$$

This defines a homomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras from $\mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ to the ring of all functions from $E(0, \underline{r})$ to \mathbf{k} .

Proof. Given $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r})$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \right\| \leq \max_{|\alpha|=n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence by ??, the series $F_f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ converges in \mathbf{k} . This defines a function $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.

Let $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$. Set

$$A_n = \sum_{|\alpha| < n} a_\alpha x^\alpha, \quad B_n = \sum_{|\beta| < n} b_\beta x^\beta, \quad C_n = \sum_{|\gamma| < n} \left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) x^\gamma.$$

We need to show that $F_f(x)F_g(x) = \lim A_n B_n = \lim C_n = F_{fg}(x)$. Note that

$$A_n B_n - C_n = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} a_\alpha b_\beta x^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $|\alpha| > N$, we have $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|g\|$ and $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|f\|$. For any $n > 2N$, we have

$$\|A_n B_n - C_n\| \leq \max_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| \|x^{\alpha+\beta}\| < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|g\|} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f\|} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus $F_f(x)F_g(x) = (F_{fg})(x)$. The addition and scalar multiplication can be verified directly. We thus finish the proof. \square

Proposition 7. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation. Then for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ and $x, y \in E(0, \underline{r})$, we have

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq L \cdot \|y - x\|_\infty,$$

where $L = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|f\|_g / r_i$.

Proof. Set $y - x = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $x^{(0)} = x$, $x^{(i)} = (x_1 + h_1, \dots, x_i + h_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^{(i-1)})\|_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

We only need to show that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\|f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^{(i-1)})\|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_g}{r_i} \|h_i\|.$$

Without loss of generality and for simplicity, we assume that $y = (x_1 + h, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Note that by the strong triangle inequality, we have $\|h\| \leq r_1$.

Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha ((x_1 + h)^{\alpha_1} - x_1^{\alpha_1}) x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} h^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left\| \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right\| r_1^k \leq \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \|f\|_g.$$

It follows that

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_1} \left\{ \left\| \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right\| \|h\|^k \right\} \leq \max_k \left\{ \|f\|_g \left(\frac{\|h\|}{r_1} \right)^k \right\} \leq \|f\|_g \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}.$$

Thus the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 8. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field. Then we have $\|f(x)\| \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ and $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$. In particular, if $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$, then we have $\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r})$. We have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha| < N} a_\alpha x^\alpha \right\| \leq \max_{|\alpha| < N} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \|f\|$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\|f(x)\| \leq \|f\|$. \square

Proposition 9. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation, and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial T_i$ be the derivative operator on $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ with respect to the indeterminate T_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ and $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$, we have

$$F_{\partial_i(f)}(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_f(x_1, \dots, x_i + h, \dots, x_n) - F_f(x)}{h}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $i = 1$. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ and $f_n = \sum_{|\alpha| < n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $x_h = (x_1 + h, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $L_f(h) = (F_f(x_h) - F_f(x))/h$ for $h \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. Note that for fixed h , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{f_n}(h) = L_f(h)$.

We compute $L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x)$ explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x) &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|< n} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} h^k x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} - \sum_{|\alpha|< n} \alpha_1 a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-1} h x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|< n} \sum_{k=2}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} h^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$M = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}\| r_1^{k-1} \leq \|f\|/r_1 < +\infty.$$

Hence

$$\|L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x)\| \leq \max_{2 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ M \frac{\|h\|^{k-1}}{r_1^{k-1}} \right\} \leq M \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}$$

for $h \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ with $\|h\| < r_1$. Taking $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\|L_f(h) - F_{\partial f}(x)\| \leq M \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}.$$

Thus the conclusion follows. □

Yang: The following should be a theorem.

Corollary 10. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation of characteristic zero. Then the assignment $f \mapsto F_f$ in [Proposition 6](#) is injective.

Proof. Note that if $F_f = 0$, then for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $F_{\partial_i(f)} = 0$ by [Proposition 9](#). By taking repeated derivatives, we have $F_{\partial^\alpha f} = 0$ for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Note that $F_{\partial^\alpha f}(0) = \alpha! a_\alpha$. It follows that $a_\alpha = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and thus $f = 0$. □

Remark 11. [Corollary 10](#) holds for non-archimedean fields of positive characteristic as well. The proof uses ?? and induction on the number of variables. The readers can try this as an exercise.

From now on, we will identify an element $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$ with the associated function $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ as in [Proposition 6](#).

Proposition 12. Let \mathbf{k} be a complete, non-archimedean and algebraically closed field. Then the gauss norm on the Tate algebra $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$ coincides with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_{\sup} := \sup_{x \in E(0, \underline{r})} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$. We write $f = g+h$ with $g = \sum_{\alpha \in S} a_\alpha T^\alpha$ and $h = \sum_{\alpha \notin S} a_\alpha T^\alpha$, where

$$S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = \|f\|\}.$$

Note that S is a non-empty finite set and $\|h\| < \|f\|$. By [Lemma 8](#), we have $\|h(x)\| < \|f\|$ for every $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$. It suffices to show that $\|g\|_{\sup} = \|g\|$.

Since \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed, $|\mathbf{k}^\times|$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For every pair $\alpha, \beta \in S$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n : \|a_\alpha\| t^\alpha = \|a_\beta\| t^\beta\}$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. Thus we can find $t_m \in |\mathbf{k}^\times|^n$ such that $t_m < r$, $t_m \rightarrow r$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and for every $\alpha, \beta \in S$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, we have $\|a_\alpha\| t_m^\alpha \neq \|a_\beta\| t_m^\beta$ for

all m . For each m , we can find $x_m \in E(0, \underline{r})$ such that $\|x_m^\alpha\| = t_m^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in S$ since $t_m \in |\mathbf{k}^\times|^n$. It follows that

$$\|g(x_m)\| = \max_{\alpha \in S} \|a_\alpha\| \|x_m^\alpha\| = \max_{\alpha \in S} \|a_\alpha\| t_m^\alpha \rightarrow \|g\| \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus $\|g\|_{\sup} = \|g\|$. □

Remark 13. If \mathbf{k} is locally compact (hence not algebraically closed), the gauss norm on the Tate algebra $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ do not coincide with the supremum norm. For example, consider the Tate algebra $\mathbb{Q}_p\{T\}$. The element $f = T^p - T$ has gauss norm $\|f\| = 1$. However, for every $x \in E(0, 1) = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $f(x) = x^p - x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $\|f(x)\|_p \leq 1/p$ and $\|f\|_{\sup} \leq 1/p < 1 = \|f\|$.

Remark 14. Recall that in classical complex analysis, the closure of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ with respect to the supremum norm on a closed polydisc $E(0, \underline{r}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the ring of all complex-valued continuous functions which are analytic on its interior $B(0, \underline{r})$.

Appendix