

# Analytic functions

## 1 Tate algebras

**Notation 1.** Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a tuple of  $n$  indeterminates,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  be a tuple of  $n$  positive real numbers, and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be a multi-index. We use the following notations:

- $T^\alpha := T_1^{\alpha_1} T_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $r^\alpha := r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots r_n^{\alpha_n}$ ;
- $\underline{T}/\underline{r} := (T_1/r_1, T_2/r_2, \dots, T_n/r_n)$ ;
- $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ ;
- $E(x, \underline{r}) = \{y \in \mathbf{k}^n \mid \|y_i - x_i\| \leq r_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $B(x, \underline{r}) = \{y \in \mathbf{k}^n \mid \|y_i - x_i\| < r_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$ .
- Let  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$  be a set of elements in a metric space  $X$  indexed by multi-indices  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . We say that  $\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} x_\alpha = x \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|\alpha| > N$ , we have  $d(x_\alpha, x) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a tuple of  $n$  indeterminates and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  be a tuple of  $n$  positive real numbers. The *Tate algebra* (or *ring of restricted power series*) is defined as

$$\mathbf{k}\langle \underline{T} \rangle := \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}\} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \mid a_\alpha \in \mathbf{k}, \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = 0 \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then the Tate algebra  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$  is a non-archimedean multiplicative banach  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with respect to the *gauss norm*

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \right\| := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha.$$

Yang: For the definition of banach ring, see

*Proof.* The proof splits into several parts. Every parts is straightforward and standard.

**Step 1.** We first show that  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

Easily to see that it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Suppose that  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$  and  $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha$  are two elements in  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $|\alpha| > N$ , we have  $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|g\|$  and  $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|f\|$ . For any  $|\gamma| > 2N$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta T^\gamma \right\| r^\gamma \leq \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \cdot \|b_\beta\| r^\beta < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|g\|} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f\|} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $f \cdot g \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$  and it shows that  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

**Step 2.** Show that the gauss norm is a non-archimedean norm on  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/\underline{r}\}$ .

The linearity and positive-definiteness of the gauss norm are direct from the definition. We have

$$\|f + g\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha + b_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max\{\|a_\alpha\| + \|b_\alpha\|\} r^\alpha \leq \max\{\|f\|, \|g\|\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \cdot g\| &= \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) T^\gamma \right\| = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right\| r^\gamma \\ &\leq \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| r^{\alpha_0} r^{\beta_0} = \|a_{\alpha_0}\| r^{\alpha_0} \cdot \|b_{\beta_0}\| r^{\beta_0} \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

These show that Tate algebra with the gauss norm is a non-archimedean normed  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

**Step 3.** Show that the gauss norm is multiplicative.

Suppose that  $\|f\| = \|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1}$  and  $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \|f\|$  for all  $\alpha <_{\text{total}} \alpha_1$ . Similar to  $\|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1}$ . Then we have

$$\|f\| \cdot \|g\| = \|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1} \cdot \|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1} = \max_{\alpha+\beta=\alpha_1+\beta_1} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| r^{\alpha_1} r^{\beta_1} = \left\| \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\alpha_1+\beta_1} a_\alpha b_\beta \right\| r^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \leq \|f \cdot g\|,$$

where the third equality holds since  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  is the unique pair such that  $\|a_{\alpha_1}\| r^{\alpha_1} \cdot \|b_{\beta_1}\| r^{\beta_1}$  is maximized and by [Proposition 9](#). Thus the gauss norm is multiplicative.

**Step 4.** Finally show that  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  is complete with respect to the gauss norm.

Let  $\{f_m = \sum a_{\alpha,m} T^\alpha\}$  be a cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ . We have

$$\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_{\alpha,l}\| r^\alpha \leq \|f_m - f_l\|.$$

Thus for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , the sequence  $\{a_{\alpha,m}\}$  is a cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{k}$ . Since  $\mathbf{k}$  is complete, set  $a_\alpha := \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} a_{\alpha,m}$  and  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, l > M$ , we have  $\|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon$ . Fixing  $m > M$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $|\alpha| > N$ , we have  $\|a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon$ . Hence for all  $|\alpha| > N$  and  $l > M$ , we have

$$\|a_{\alpha,l}\| r^\alpha \leq \|a_{\alpha,l} - a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha + \|a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha < 2\varepsilon.$$

Taking  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq 2\varepsilon$  for all  $|\alpha| > N$ . It follows that  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ .

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, l > N$ , we have  $\|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $m, l > N$ , we have

$$\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_{\alpha,l}\| r^\alpha \leq \|f_m - f_l\| < \varepsilon.$$

Taking  $l \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\|a_{\alpha,m} - a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $m > N$ . It follows that

$$\|f - f_m\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha - a_{\alpha,m}\| r^\alpha \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $m > N$ . □

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then the Tate algebra  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  can be identified with a subring of the ring of all functions from the closed polydisc  $E(0, \underline{r}) \subset \mathbf{k}^n$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ .

*Proof.* Given  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r})$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \right\| \leq \max_{|\alpha|=n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence by [Proposition 8](#), the series  $f(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  converges in  $\mathbf{k}$ . This defines a function  $f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ .

Let  $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ . Set

$$A_n = \sum_{|\alpha| < n} a_\alpha x^\alpha, \quad B_n = \sum_{|\beta| < n} b_\beta x^\beta, \quad C_n = \sum_{|\gamma| < n} \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) x^\gamma.$$

We need to show that  $f(x)g(x) = \lim A_n B_n = \lim C_n = (fg)(x)$ . Note that

$$A_n B_n - C_n = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} a_\alpha b_\beta x^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $|\alpha| > N$ , we have  $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|g\|$  and  $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|f\|$ . For any  $n > 2N$ , we have

$$\|A_n B_n - C_n\| \leq \max_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| \|x^{\alpha+\beta}\| < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|g\|} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f\|} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $f(x)g(x) = (fg)(x)$ . The addition and scalar multiplication can be verified directly. Hence above assignments define a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras from  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  to the ring of all functions from  $E(0, \underline{r})$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Finally, [Proposition 5](#) ensures that the homomorphism is injective. □

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then the gauss norm on the Tate algebra  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  coincides with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_{\sup} := \sup_{x \in E(0, \underline{r})} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

*| Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

## 2 Fundamental properties

Then following shows that analytic functions over non-archimedean fields share some nice properties as in the case of complex analysis. Yang: To be revised.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $U \subset \mathbf{k}$  be an open subset. If  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  is an analytic function, then  $f$  is locally Lipschitz continuous on  $U$ . Yang: To be checked.

**Theorem 7 (Strassman).** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation and  $f = \sum a_n T^n \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  be an analytic function. Suppose that  $\|a_N\| > \|a_n\|$  for all  $n > N$ . Then  $f$  has at most  $N$  zeros in the closed ball  $E(0, \underline{r})$ . Yang: To be checked.

| *Proof.* Yang: To be add. □

## Appendix

**Proposition 8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra-metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is cauchy if and only if  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 9.** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra-metric space. Then for any  $x, y, z \in X$ , at least two of the three distances  $d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)$  are equal. And the third distance is less than or equal to the common value of the other two.

DRAFT