

# Finite field extensions

## 1 Finite-dimensional vector space

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a valuation field and  $V$  a vector space over  $\mathbf{k}$ . A *norm* on  $V$  is a function  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the following properties for all  $x, y \in V$  and  $a \in \mathbf{k}$ :

- (a)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\|ax\| = |a| \cdot \|x\|$ ;
- (c)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a valuation field and  $V$  a vector space over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Two norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $V$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $x \in V$ ,

$$C_1\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq C_2\|x\|_1.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a valuation field and  $V$  a vector space over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Two norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $V$  are equivalent if and only if they induce the same topology on  $V$ .

| *Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

**Proposition 4.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a complete non-archimedean field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then all norms on  $V$  are equivalent. *Yang: To be checked.*

| *Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

**Proposition 5.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a complete valuation field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then  $V$  is complete with respect to any norm on  $V$ .

## 2 Finite field extensions

**Construction 6.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a valuation field and  $\mathbf{l}$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{k}$  with degree  $n = [\mathbf{l} : \mathbf{k}]$ . For any  $a \in \mathbf{l}$ , define

$$|a|_{\mathbf{l}} := |N_{\mathbf{l}/\mathbf{k}}(a)|_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/n},$$

where  $N_{\mathbf{l}/\mathbf{k}}(a)$  is the norm of  $a$  from  $\mathbf{l}$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ . By Lemma 7,  $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{l}}$  is an absolute value on  $\mathbf{l}$  extending the absolute value  $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{k}}$  on  $\mathbf{k}$ . *Yang: To be checked.*

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a valuation field and  $\mathbf{l}$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then the function  $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{l}}$  defined in Construction 6 is an absolute value on  $\mathbf{l}$  extending the absolute value on  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{k}$  is non-archimedean, then so is  $\mathbf{l}$ .

| *Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

**Proposition 8.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $\mathbf{l}$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then the absolute value on  $\mathbf{l}$  is uniquely determined by the absolute value on  $\mathbf{k}$ . Yang: To be checked.

| *Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

| **Remark 9.** Yang: I want to discuss some compatibility of extension and completion.

**Proposition 10.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field. Then its completion  $\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$  is also algebraically closed. Yang: To be checked.

| *Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

## Appendix

DRAFT