

---

---

# *Non-archimedean Analysis*

DRAFT

No Cover Image  
Use \coverimage{filename} to add an image

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Valuation fields</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Absolute values and completion . . . . .	1
1.2	Non-archimedean fields . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Ultra-metric spaces</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Residue fields and reductions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Finite field extensions</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Finite-dimensional vector space . . . . .	5
4.2	Finite field extensions . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Analytic functions</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Continuous functions . . . . .	5
5.2	Power series . . . . .	5
5.3	Tate algebras . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Example: <math>p</math>-adic fields</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1	$p$ -adic fields . . . . .	6
6.2	Completion . . . . .	6

## 1 Valuation fields

### 1.1 Absolute values and completion

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. An *absolute value* on  $\mathbf{k}$  is a function  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the following properties for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{k}$ :

- (a)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\|xy\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ ;
- (c)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ .

A field  $\mathbf{k}$  equipped with an absolute value  $\|\cdot\|$  is called a *valuation field*.

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Recall that a *valuation* on  $\mathbf{k}$  is a function  $v : \mathbf{k}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{k}^\times, v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ ;
- $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{k}^\times, v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ .

We can extend  $v$  to the whole field  $\mathbf{k}$  by defining  $v(0) = +\infty$ . Fix a real number  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $v$

induces an absolute value  $|\cdot|_v : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $|x|_v = \varepsilon^{v(x)}$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{k}$ .

In some literature, the valuation  $v$  is called an *additive valuation* and the induced absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  is called a *multiplicative valuation*. In this note, the term *valuation* always refers to the additive valuation.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. The *trivial absolute value* on  $\mathbf{k}$  is defined as

$$\|x\| := \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0; \\ 1, & x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Two absolute values  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $\mathbf{k}$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a real number  $c \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\|x\|_1 = \|x\|_2^c, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{k}.$$

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field and  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$  be two absolute values on  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  are equivalent;
- (b)  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  induce the same topology on  $\mathbf{k}$ ;
- (c) The unit disks  $D_1 = \{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\|_1 < 1\}$  and  $D_2 = \{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\|_2 < 1\}$  are the same.

*Proof.* The implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is obvious. Now we prove (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). For any  $x \in D_1$ , we have  $x^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  under the absolute value  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and thus under  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Therefore,  $\|x\|_2^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies that  $\|x\|_2 < 1$ , i.e.,  $x \in D_2$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $D_2 \subseteq D_1$ .

Finally, we prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). If  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is trivial, then  $D_1 = \{0\}$  and thus  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is also trivial. In this case, they are equivalent. Suppose that both  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  are non-trivial. Pick any  $x, y \in D_1 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_1 = \|x\|_2^\alpha$  and  $\|y\|_1 = \|y\|_2^\beta$ . If  $\|x\|_1 = \|y\|_1$ , then  $x/y, y/x \notin D_1$ . Thus  $\|x/y\|_2 = 1$  and hence  $\|x\|_2 = \|y\|_2$ , which implies that  $\alpha = \beta$ . Hence we can assume that  $\|x\|_1 > \|y\|_1$ . **Yang: To be continued.**  $\square$

Note that equivalent absolute values induce the same topology on the field  $\mathbf{k}$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a valuation field. We say that  $\mathbf{k}$  is *complete* if the metric  $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$  makes  $\mathbf{k}$  a complete metric space.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a valuation field and  $(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}, \|\cdot\|)$  its completion as a metric space. Then the operations of addition and multiplication on  $\mathbf{k}$  can be extended to  $\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$  uniquely, making  $(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}, \|\cdot\|)$  a complete valuation field containing  $\mathbf{k}$  as a dense subfield.

*Proof.* **Yang: To be added.**  $\square$

Unlike the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$ , even a valuation field is complete, we can not expect the theorem of nested intervals to hold.

**Definition 1.8.** A valuation field  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  is called *spherically complete* if every decreasing sequence of closed balls in  $\mathbf{k}$  has a non-empty intersection.

**Example 1.9.** The field  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of  $p$ -adic complex numbers is not spherically complete, see Yang: to be added.

## 1.2 Non-archimedean fields

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a valuation field. We say that  $\mathbf{k}$  is *non-archimedean* if its absolute value  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*:

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Otherwise, we say that  $\mathbf{k}$  is *archimedean*.

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. Then easily see that  $\{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Moreover, it is a local ring whose maximal ideal is  $\{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\| < 1\}$ .

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. The *ring of integers* of  $\mathbf{k}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{k}^\circ := \{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

Its maximal ideal is

$$\mathbf{k}^{\circ\circ} := \{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\| < 1\}.$$

The *residue field* of  $\mathbf{k}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}} := \tilde{\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{k}^\circ / \mathbf{k}^{\circ\circ}.$$

Yang: Is the valuation on residue field trivial?

**Lemma 1.12.** Recall that a metric space is *totally bounded* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it can be covered by finitely many balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ . A metric space is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.

*Proof.* Yang: To be added. □

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. The *residue absolute value* on the residue field  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is defined as

$$|x| := \inf_{y \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} \|y\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbf{k}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the canonical projection.

**Proposition 1.14.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. Then the residue absolute value on the residue field  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is trivial.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , if  $x = 0$ , then by definition  $|x| = 0$ . If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $\forall y \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$ , we have  $y \in \mathbf{k}^\circ \setminus \mathbf{k}^{\circ\circ}$ , i.e.,  $\|y\| = 1$ . Thus by definition  $|x| = 1$ . □

**Proposition 1.15.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. Set  $I_r := \{x \in \mathbf{k} : \|x\| < r\}$  for each  $r \in (0, 1)$ . They are ideals of the ring of integers  $\mathbf{k}^\circ$ . Then we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}^\circ \cong \varprojlim_{r>0} \mathbf{k}^\circ / I_r.$$

Yang: To be checked.

**Slogan** *Locally compact*  $\iff$  *pro-finite*.

**Proposition 1.16.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a non-archimedean field. Then  $\mathbf{k}$  is totally bounded iff  $\mathbf{k}^\circ/I_r$  is finite for each  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proposition 1.17.**  $\mathbf{k}^\circ$  is noetherian iff  $\mathbf{k}$  is a discrete valuation field. and complete. Yang: To be revised.

## 2 Ultra-metric spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is called an *ultra-metric space* if its metric  $d$  satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*:

$$d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra-metric space. Then for any  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , the closed ball  $B(x, r) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$  satisfies the following properties:

- (a) For any  $y \in B(x, r)$ , we have  $B(x, r) = B(y, r)$ .
- (b) Any two closed balls in  $X$  are either disjoint or one is contained in the other.

Yang: To be revised.

We will use  $B(x, r)$  to denote the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . We will use  $E(x, r)$  to denote the closed ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra-metric space. Then  $X$  is totally disconnected, i.e., the only connected subsets of  $X$  are the singletons. Yang: To be revised.

## 3 Residue fields and reductions

**Theorem 3.1** (Hensel's lemma). Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $f(T) \in \mathbf{k}^\circ[T]$  be a monic polynomial. Suppose that the reduction  $\tilde{f}(T) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}[T]$  of  $f(T)$  factors as

$$\tilde{f}(T) = g(T)h(T),$$

where  $g(T), h(T) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}[T]$  are monic polynomials that are coprime in  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}[T]$ . Then there exist monic polynomials  $G(T), H(T) \in \mathbf{k}^\circ[T]$  such that

$$f(T) = G(T)H(T),$$

and the reductions  $\tilde{G}(T), \tilde{H}(T) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}}[T]$  of  $G(T), H(T)$  are  $g(T), h(T)$  respectively. **Yang: To be checked.**

## 4 Finite field extensions

### 4.1 Finite-dimensional vector space

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a complete non-archimedean field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then all norms on  $V$  are equivalent. **Yang: To be checked.**

### 4.2 Finite field extensions

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $\ell$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then the absolute value on  $\ell$  is uniquely determined by the absolute value on  $\mathbf{k}$ . **Yang: To be checked.**

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field. Then its completion  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  is also algebraically closed. **Yang: To be checked.**

## 5 Analytic functions

### 5.1 Continuous functions

### 5.2 Power series

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n$  be a series in  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n$  converges if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = 0$ . **Yang: To be checked.**

### 5.3 Tate algebras

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $(\mathbf{k}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complete non-archimedean field.

## 6 Example: $p$ -adic fields

### 6.1 $p$ -adic fields

**Construction 6.1.** Let  $K$  be a number field and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$ . Considering the localization  $(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , which is a discrete valuation ring, denote by  $v_{\mathfrak{p}} : K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the corresponding discrete valuation. The  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $K$  associated to  $\mathfrak{p}$  is defined as

$$|x|_{\mathfrak{p}} := N(\mathfrak{p})^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}, \quad \forall x \in K,$$

where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) := \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$  is the norm of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

The completion of  $K$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is denoted by  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , called the  $p$ -adic field.

One can just focus on the case  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$  for a prime number  $p$ .

**Example 6.2.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. For every  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can write  $r$  as  $r = p^n \frac{a}{b}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are integers not divisible by  $p$ . The  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined as

$$|r|_p := p^{-n}.$$

The  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  can be described concretely as follows:

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=n}^{+\infty} a_i p^i \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \right\}.$$

For  $x = \sum_{i=n}^{+\infty} a_i p^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ , its  $p$ -adic absolute value is given by  $|x|_p = p^{-n}$ . The operations of addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are defined similarly as those on decimal expansions.

**Proposition 6.3.** The multiplicative group  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  admits the following decomposition:

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \cong p^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times},$$

where  $p^{\mathbb{Z}} := \{p^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p = 1\}$  is the group of units of the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Yang: To be checked.

Yang: What is the relation between the finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $K_p$ ?

### 6.2 Completion

**Proposition 6.4.** The algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is not complete with respect to the extension of the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$ .

**Construction 6.5.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. The field  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of  $p$ -adic complex numbers is defined as the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the unique extension of the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The field  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is algebraically closed and complete with respect to  $|\cdot|_p$ .

Yang: To be completed.

---

**Proposition 6.6.** The field  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of  $p$ -adic complex numbers is not spherically complete.

**Construction 6.7.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. *Yang: We construct the spherically complete  $p$ -adic field  $\Omega_p$ . Yang: To be completed.*

DRAFT

---