

# Local theory I: functions

## 1 Analytic functions on closed polydisks

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then for every  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ , we can associate a function  $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  defined by

$$F_f(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r}).$$

This defines a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebras from  $\mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$  to the ring of all functions from  $E(0, \underline{r})$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ .

*Proof.* Given  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r})$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \right\| \leq \max_{|\alpha|=n} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence by ??, the series  $F_f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  converges in  $\mathbf{k}$ . This defines a function  $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ .

Let  $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$ . Set

$$A_n = \sum_{|\alpha| < n} a_\alpha x^\alpha, \quad B_n = \sum_{|\beta| < n} b_\beta x^\beta, \quad C_n = \sum_{|\gamma| < n} \left( \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} a_\alpha b_\beta \right) x^\gamma.$$

We need to show that  $F_f(x)F_g(x) = \lim A_n B_n = \lim C_n = F_{fg}(x)$ . Note that

$$A_n B_n - C_n = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} a_\alpha b_\beta x^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $|\alpha| > N$ , we have  $\|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|g\|$  and  $\|b_\alpha\| r^\alpha < \varepsilon/\|f\|$ . For any  $n > 2N$ , we have

$$\|A_n B_n - C_n\| \leq \max_{\substack{|\alpha| < n, |\beta| < n \\ |\alpha+\beta| \geq n}} \|a_\alpha\| \|b_\beta\| \|x^{\alpha+\beta}\| < \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|g\|} \|b_\beta\| r^\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f\|} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $F_f(x)F_g(x) = (F_{fg})(x)$ . The addition and scalar multiplication can be verified directly. We thus finish the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation. Then for every  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/r\}$  and  $x, y \in E(0, \underline{r})$ , we have

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq L \cdot \|y - x\|_\infty,$$

where  $L = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|f\|_g / r_i$ .

*Proof.* Set  $y - x = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  and  $x^{(0)} = x$ ,  $x^{(i)} = (x_1 + h_1, \dots, x_i + h_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We have

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_k \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^{(i-1)})\|_k.$$

We only need to show that for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\|f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^{(i-1)})\|_k \leq \frac{\|f\|_g}{r_i} \|h_i\|.$$

Without loss of generality and for simplicity, we assume that  $y = (x_1 + h, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Note that by the strong triangle inequality, we have  $\|h\| \leq r_1$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha ((x_1 + h)^{\alpha_1} - x_1^{\alpha_1}) x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} h^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left\| \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right\| r_1^k \leq \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \|f\|_g.$$

It follows that

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\|_k \leq \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq \alpha_1} \left\{ \left\| \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right\| \|h\|^k \right\} \leq \max_k \left\{ \|f\|_g \left( \frac{\|h\|}{r_1} \right)^k \right\} \leq \|f\|_g \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}.$$

Thus the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then we have  $\|f(x)\| \leq \|f\|$  for every  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  and  $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$ . In particular, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ , then we have  $\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E(0, \underline{r})$ . We have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha| < N} a_\alpha x^\alpha \right\| \leq \max_{|\alpha| < N} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha \leq \|f\|$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\|f(x)\| \leq \|f\|$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Recall that the formal derivative operator  $\partial_i : \mathbf{k}[[\underline{T}]] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[\underline{T}]]$  is defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \right) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \alpha_i a_\alpha T_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots T_i^{\alpha_{i-1}} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then for every  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ , we have  $\partial_i(f) \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial T_1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \alpha_1 a_\alpha T_1^{\alpha_1-1} T_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots T_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Noting that  $\mathbf{k}$  is non-archimedean, we have  $\|\alpha_1 a_\alpha\| \leq \|a_\alpha\|$ . Then

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|\alpha_1 a_\alpha\| r_1^{\alpha_1-1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots r_n^{\alpha_n} \leq \frac{1}{r_1} \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow +\infty} \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = 0.$$

The conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation, and  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial T_i$  be the derivative operator on  $\mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  with respect to the indeterminate  $T_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for every  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  and  $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$ , we have

$$F_{\partial_i(f)}(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_f(x_1, \dots, x_i + h, \dots, x_n) - F_f(x)}{h}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $i = 1$ . Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{\underline{T}/r\}$  and  $f_n = \sum_{|\alpha| < n} a_\alpha T^\alpha$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $x_h = (x_1 + h, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $L_f(h) = (F_f(x_h) - F_f(x))/h$  for  $h \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ . Note that for fixed  $h$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{f_n}(h) = L_f(h)$ .

We compute  $L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x)$  explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x) &= \frac{1}{h} \left( \sum_{|\alpha| < n} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} h^k x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} - \sum_{|\alpha| < n} \alpha_1 a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-1} h x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| < n} \sum_{k=2}^{\alpha_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} h^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$M = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1-k} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}\| r_1^{k-1} \leq \|f\|/r_1 < +\infty.$$

Hence

$$\|L_{f_n}(h) - F_{\partial f_n}(x)\| \leq \max_{2 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ M \frac{\|h\|^{k-1}}{r_1^{k-1}} \right\} \leq M \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}$$

for  $h \in \mathbf{k}^\times$  with  $\|h\| < r_1$ . Taking  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\|L_f(h) - F_{\partial f}(x)\| \leq M \frac{\|h\|}{r_1}.$$

Thus the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Yang: The following should be a theorem.

**Corollary 6.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation of characteristic zero. Then the assignment  $f \mapsto F_f$  in Proposition 1 is injective.

*Proof.* Note that if  $F_f = 0$ , then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $F_{\partial_i(f)} = 0$  by Proposition 5. By taking repeated derivatives, we have  $F_{\partial^\alpha f} = 0$  for every multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Note that  $F_{\partial^\alpha f}(0) = \alpha! a_\alpha$ . It follows that  $a_\alpha = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and thus  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.** Corollary 6 holds for non-archimedean fields of positive characteristic as well. The proof uses ?? and induction on the number of variables. The readers can try this as an exercise.

From now on, we will identify an element  $f \in \mathbf{k}\{T/\underline{r}\}$  with the associated function  $F_f : E(0, \underline{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  as in Proposition 1.

**Proposition 8.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a complete, non-archimedean and algebraically closed field. Then the gauss norm on the Tate algebra  $\mathbf{k}\{T/\underline{r}\}$  coincides with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_{\sup} := \sup_{x \in E(0, \underline{r})} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\{T/\underline{r}\}$ . We write  $f = g+h$  with  $g = \sum_{\alpha \in S} a_\alpha T^\alpha$  and  $h = \sum_{\alpha \notin S} a_\alpha T^\alpha$ , where

$$S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : \|a_\alpha\| r^\alpha = \|f\|\}.$$

Note that  $S$  is a non-empty finite set and  $\|h\| < \|f\|$ . By Lemma 3, we have  $\|h(x)\| < \|f\|$  for every  $x \in E(0, \underline{r})$ . It suffices to show that  $\|g\|_{\sup} = \|g\|$ .

Since  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed,  $|\mathbf{k}^\times|$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . For every pair  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , the set  $\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n : \|a_\alpha\| t^\alpha = \|a_\beta\| t^\beta\}$  is a proper closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Thus we can find  $t_m \in |\mathbf{k}^\times|^n$  such that  $t_m < \underline{r}$ ,  $t_m \rightarrow \underline{r}$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  and for every  $\alpha, \beta \in S$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , we have  $\|a_\alpha\| t_m^\alpha \neq \|a_\beta\| t_m^\beta$  for all  $m$ . For each  $m$ , we can find  $x_m \in E(0, \underline{r})$  such that  $\|x_m^\alpha\| = t_m^\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in S$  since  $t_m \in |\mathbf{k}^\times|^n$ . It follows that

$$\|g(x_m)\| = \max_{\alpha \in S} \|a_\alpha\| \|x_m^\alpha\| = \max_{\alpha \in S} \|a_\alpha\| t_m^\alpha \rightarrow \|g\| \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus  $\|g\|_{\sup} = \|g\|$ . □

**Remark 9.** If  $\mathbf{k}$  is locally compact (hence not algebraically closed), the gauss norm on the Tate algebra  $\mathbf{k}\{T/\underline{r}\}$  do not coincide with the supremum norm. For example, consider the Tate algebra  $\mathbb{Q}_p\{T\}$ . The element  $f = T^p - T$  has gauss norm  $\|f\| = 1$ . However, for every  $x \in E(0, 1) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have  $f(x) = x^p - x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus  $\|f(x)\|_p \leq 1/p$  and  $\|f\|_{\sup} \leq 1/p < 1 = \|f\|$ .

**Remark 10.** Recall that in classical complex analysis, the closure of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  with respect to the supremum norm on a closed polydisc  $E(0, \underline{r}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is the ring of all complex-valued continuous functions which are analytic on its interior  $B(0, \underline{r})$ .

Yang: Invertibility of a function

## Appendix