

Hodge Index Theorem by Linear Algebra

Proposition 1. Let V be a real vector space of dimension n with a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$. Consider the subset

$$S = \{x \in V \mid \langle x, x \rangle > 0\}.$$

Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $z \in V$ such that $z^\perp \cap S = \emptyset$, then the signature of $\langle -, - \rangle$ is of type $(1, n-1)$, where $z^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \langle z, v \rangle = 0\}$.

Proof. Choose $h \in S$. We claim that the restriction of $\langle -, - \rangle$ on h^\perp is negative definite. First, the restriction is non-degenerated. Otherwise, note that h and h^\perp generate V . If there exists $0 \neq x \in h^\perp$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in h^\perp$, then in particular $\langle x, h \rangle = 0$, thus $x \in h^\perp$ and $x \in V^\perp = \{0\}$, which is a contradiction.

Hence if the restriction is not negative definite, then there exists $x \in h^\perp$ such that $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$. Then consider the subspace V_0 generated by h and x . We have $\langle h, h \rangle > 0$ and $\langle h, x \rangle = 0$, thus the restriction of $\langle -, - \rangle$ on V_0 is of type $(2, 0)$. Hence $z^\perp \cap V_0 = \{0\}$. However, consider the dimension count

$$\dim(z^\perp + V_0) = \dim(z^\perp) + \dim(V_0) - \dim(z^\perp \cap V_0) = (n-1) + 2 - 0 = n+1 > n = \dim(V),$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 2. Geometrically, we have the following equivalent statement:

- (a) $S \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $z \in V$ such that $z^\perp \cap S = \emptyset$;
- (b) the signature of $\langle -, - \rangle$ is of type $(1, n-1)$;
- (c) the set S has two connected components.

We have shown $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ in [Proposition 1](#). If the signature of $\langle -, - \rangle$ is of type $(1, n-1)$, then we can choose a basis such that the matrix of $\langle -, - \rangle$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the set S is given by the equation

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \cdots - x_n^2 > 0,$$

which has two connected components, thus $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$. Finally, if S has two connected components, then for any $z \in S$, we claim that $z^\perp \cap S = \emptyset$. Otherwise, there exists $x \in z^\perp \cap S$. Considering on the subspace V_0 generated by z and x , we see that z and $-z$ lie in the same connected component of S . For every $y \in S$, assume $\langle z, y \rangle > 0$ (otherwise replace z by $-z$), then the line segment $tz + (1-t)y$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ connects z and y in S . Hence S is path connected, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3 (Riemann-Roch theorem for surfaces). Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} and D a divisor on X . Then we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (D - K_X),$$

where K_X is the canonical divisor of X .

Lemma 4. Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} and D a divisor on X . If $D^2 > 0$, then at least one of D and $-D$ is pseudo-effective.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that both D and $-D$ are not pseudo-effective. In particular, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. By [Lemma 3](#), we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - mD)) \geq \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}mD \cdot (mD + K_X) > 0 \text{ for all } m \gg 0.$$

Hence there exist effective divisors $E_m \sim K_X - mD$ for all $m \gg 0$. We have $-D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m}(E_m - K_X)$ is pseudo-effective, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 5. Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . Then the intersection form on $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is of type $(1, \rho(X) - 1)$, where $\rho(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Picard number of X .

Proof. Note that both $\text{Psef}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ and $-\text{Psef}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ are convex cones in $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and they are disjoint. Hence there exists a hyperplane H in $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $H \cap \text{Psef}(X) = \{0\}$ by the geometric form of Hahn-Banach theorem. By [Lemma 4](#),

$$H \cap \{D \in \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D^2 > 0\} = \emptyset.$$

Then the conclusion follows from [Proposition 1](#). \square