

Normal and Cohen-Macaulay schemes



如果是勇者辛美尔，他一定会这么做的！

Normality and Cohen-Macaulay schemes

1 Height, Depth and Dimension

Krull dimension and height of prime ideals Algebraically, we have following definitions.

Definition 1. Let A be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal* \mathfrak{p} in A is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in \mathfrak{p} , that is,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of A is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Geometrically, we have the corresponding definition.

Definition 2. Let X be a noetherian scheme. The *codimension of a irreducible subscheme* Y in X is defined as the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets containing Y , that is,

$$\text{codim}_X(Y) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of irreducible closed subsets } Y = Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Y_n\}.$$

The *dimension* of X is defined as

$$\dim X := \max_{Y \in \text{Irred}(X)} \text{codim}_X(Y).$$

For an affine schemes $X = \text{Spec } A$, above two definitions coincide by the correspondence of prime ideals and irreducible closed subsets.

Proposition 3. Let A be a noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Then the height of \mathfrak{p} is equal to the codimension of the irreducible closed subset $V(\mathfrak{p})$ in $\text{Spec } A$.

For "nice" schemes, the Krull dimension behaves well by following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let S be spectrum of a field k or an algebraic integer ring \mathcal{O}_K and X an integral S -variety. Then we have the follows:

- (i) For any point $P \in X$, $\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,P} + \text{codim } \overline{\{P\}}$.
- (ii) For any non-empty open subset $U \subset X$, $\dim U = \dim X$.
- (iii) $\dim X = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/\mathcal{K}(S)) + \dim S$.

Depth For a noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) , we can define the depth of an A -module M .

Definition 5. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring with residue field k and M a finitely generated A -module. A sequence $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ is called a *regular sequence for* M if t_i is not a zero divisor on $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})$, that is, $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}) \rightarrow t_i M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})$ is injective. The *depth* of M is defined as the maximum length of regular sequences for M .

Up to now, there are three numbers measuring the "size" of a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) :

- $\dim A$: the Krull dimension of A .
- $\text{depth } A$: the depth of A .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}$: the dimension of Zariski tangent space $T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$ as a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

These three numbers are related by the following inequalities.

Proposition 6. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local noetherian ring with residue field k . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

To see these, we need the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 7 (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem).

Theorem 8 (Nakayama's Lemma). thhhhh

2 Normal schemes

Definition 9. A ring A is called *normal* if it is an integral domain and integrally closed in its field of fractions $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Proposition 10. Normality is a local property. That is, TFAE:

- (a) A is normal.
- (b) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal.
- (c) For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is normal.

Proof.

□

Proposition 11. Let A be a normal ring. Then $A[X]$ and $A[[X]]$ are normal rings.

Definition 12. A scheme X is called *normal* if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is normal for any point $x \in X$.

Example 13.

Definition 14. Let X be a scheme. The *normalization* of X is a X -scheme X^ν with the following universal property: for any normal X -scheme Y , its structure morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ factors through X^ν .

Proposition 15. Let X be an integral scheme. Then the normalization X^ν of X exists. Moreover, $X^\nu \rightarrow X$ is birational.

Theorem 16. Let X be a normal noetherian scheme. Let $F \subset X$ be a closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 . Then the restriction $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism.

3 Regular conditions and Serre's conditions

Definition 17. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We say that X *verifies property R_k* or *is regular in codimension k* if $\forall \xi \in X$ with $\text{codim } \{\xi\} \leq k$,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X, \xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}.$$

We say that X *verifies property S_k* if $\forall \xi \in X$,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi} \geq \min\{k, \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}\}.$$

Definition 18 (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\dim A = \text{depth } A$. A locally noetherian scheme X is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any point $\xi \in X$.

By definition, it is easy to see that X is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it verifies S_k for all $k \geq 0$.

Example 19 (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings).

Definition 20. An ideal I of a noetherian ring A is called *unmixed* if

$$\mathrm{ht}(I) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(A/I).$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring A* if any ideal $I \subset A$ generated by $\mathrm{ht}(I)$ elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme X* if $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is unmixed for any point $\xi \in X$.

Remark 21. Recall that the set of associated primes of a module M is defined as

$$\mathrm{Ass}(M) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} A : \exists x \in M \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}(x)\}.$$

Theorem 22. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for X if and only if X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 23.

Theorem 24 (Serre's criterion for normality). Let X be a