


阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴
阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴
阿巴阿巴!

Applications to Commutative Algebra

1 Homological dimension

Lemma 1. Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Then

$$\sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_N \text{inj. dim } N.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$$

if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_{n+1}^A(M, N) = 0, \quad \forall N.$$

And this is equivalent to

$$\text{inj. dim } N \leq n.$$

□

Remark 2. In fact, for fix N , we have

$$\text{inj. dim } N \leq n$$

if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_{n+1}^A(A/I, N) = 0, \quad \forall I$$

By Lemma Yang: ?. Hence we have

$$\sup_{M \text{ finite}} \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_N \text{inj. dim } N.$$

Definition 3. Let A be a ring. The *homological dimension* of A , denoted $\text{hl. dim } A$, is defined as

$$\text{hl. dim } A := \sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_M \text{inj. dim } M.$$

Lemma 4. Let A be a noetherian ring, B a flat A -algebra and M a finite A -module. Then we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \otimes B \cong \text{Ext}_B^i(M \otimes B, N \otimes B), \quad \forall N.$$

Proof. Yang: To be completed.

□

Proposition 5. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then

$$\text{hl. dim } A = \sup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{hl. dim } A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Compute homological dimension of A using $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$ for finite M . The conclusion follows from Proposition 5. □

Definition 6. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. We say that a homomorphism of A -modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ is *minimal* if the induced map $M \otimes \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow N \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently, f is minimal if and only if f is surjective and $\text{Ker } f \subset \mathfrak{m}M$.

Definition 7. Let A be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. A *minimal projective resolution* of M is a projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

such that each homomorphism $P_i \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{i-1}$ is minimal.

Proposition 8. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then M has a minimal projective resolution. Moreover, any two minimal projective resolutions of M are isomorphic.

Proof. Suppose $M \otimes_A \mathbf{k} = \bigoplus \mathbf{k} \cdot \overline{x_i}$. Lift x_i to elements of M . Then we have a minimal homomorphism $d_0 : \bigoplus A \cdot x_i \rightarrow M$. Similarly choose minimal homomorphisms $d_k : A^{n_k} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{k-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. This gives a minimal projective resolution.

Suppose we have two minimal homomorphisms $f, g : A^n \rightarrow M$. After tensoring with \mathbf{k} , we have isomorphisms between $f \otimes \mathbf{k}$ and $g \otimes \mathbf{k}$. Lifting to A , we get an homomorphism $\varphi : f \rightarrow g$. Here homomorphism between f, g means a homomorphism $A^n \rightarrow A^n$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. The homomorphism φ is represented by a matrix T . We have $\det T \notin \mathfrak{m}$, whence φ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 9. Let $L_\bullet \rightarrow M$ be a minimal projective resolution and P_\bullet be an arbitrary projective resolution of M . Then we have $P_\bullet \cong L_\bullet \oplus P'_\bullet$ for some exact complexes P'_\bullet .

Proof. By Proposition ??, we have homomorphism

$$L_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi_\bullet} P_\bullet \xrightarrow{\psi_\bullet} L_\bullet.$$

between complexes. By Proposition ?? again, $T_\bullet := \psi_\bullet \circ \varphi_\bullet$ is homotopic to the identity by h_\bullet . Suppose T_\bullet is represented by a matrix. Since L_\bullet is minimal, we have

$$(T - \text{id})(L_n) = (d_{n+1} \circ h_n + h_{n-1} \circ d_n)(L_n) \subset \mathfrak{m}L_n.$$

Then $\det T \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and hence T_\bullet is an isomorphism. It follows that ψ_\bullet is surjective, whence it splits P_\bullet into a direct sum $L \oplus P'_\bullet$ since L_\bullet is projective. By the Five Lemma, we see that P'_\bullet is exact. \square

Lemma 10. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathbf{k}) = 0$.

Proof. The necessity is clear. For the sufficiency, we have a minimal projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $C := \text{Im } d_n$. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Tor}_1^A(C, \mathbf{k}) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. Let $K = \text{Ker } d_n$. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Tor}_1^A(C, \mathbf{k}) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_A \mathbf{k} \rightarrow P_n \otimes_A \mathbf{k} \rightarrow C \otimes_A \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $P_n \rightarrow C$ is minimal, we have $K \otimes_A \mathbf{k} = 0$. By the Nakayama's lemma, $K = 0$. This implies that $\text{proj. dim } C \leq 0$ and hence $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$. \square

Proposition 11. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. Then $\text{hl. dim } A = \text{proj. dim } \mathbf{k}$ (finite or infinite).

Proof. The inequality $\text{hl. dim } A \geq \text{proj. dim } \mathbf{k}$ is by definition. Conversely, we can compute $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathbf{k})$ using minimal projective resolution of \mathbf{k} for any finite A -module M . By Lemma 10, we have $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. This implies that $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ for all finite A -modules M if $\text{proj. dim } \mathbf{k} = n$. By Remark 2, we have $\text{hl. dim } A \leq n$. \square

Proposition 12. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ be an M -regular element. Then $\text{proj. dim } M/aM = \text{proj. dim } M + 1$. Here we set $\infty + 1 = \infty$.

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{*a} M \rightarrow M/aM \rightarrow 0.$$

Take the long exact sequence with respect to $\text{Tor}(-, \mathbf{k})$, we get

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M/aM, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{*a} \text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since the derived homomorphism of $*a$ is zero, we have $\text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M/aM, \mathbf{k}) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. By Lemma 10, we have $\text{proj. dim } M/aM = \text{proj. dim } M + 1$. \square

2 Depth and regularity by homological algebra

Proposition 13. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then

$$\text{depth } M := \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ be M -regular and $N = M/aM$. Then we claim that

$$\inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) \neq 0\} - 1.$$

Indeed, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{a} M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, \text{Mult}_a)} \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Note that $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, \text{Mult}_a) = 0$. It follows that when $\text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, M) = 0$, we have $\text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, N) = 0$ iff $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) = 0$, whence the claim.

Let $n = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathbf{k}, M) \neq 0\}$. Induct on n . Suppose first $n = 0$. Since \mathbf{k} is a simple A -module, there is an injective homomorphism $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow M$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass } M$ and hence $\text{depth } M = 0$.

Suppose $n > 0$, let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ be any M -regular sequence. Using the claim inductively on $M/(a_1, \dots, a_n)M$, we have $n \geq \text{depth}$. If M has no regular element, then $\mathfrak{m} \subset \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$. This show that we can find $x \neq 0 \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x$. It gives a homomorphism $\mathbf{k} = A/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow M$. That is a contradiction and hence M has a regular element. Let a be M -regular and $N = M/aM$. Then $\text{depth } N = n - 1$ by the claim and induction hypothesis. Hence we have $\text{depth } M \geq n$. \square

Lemma 14. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. Suppose we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A^{n_r} \xrightarrow{d_r} A^{n_{r-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{r-1}} \cdots \rightarrow A^{n_1} \xrightarrow{d_1} A^{n_0},$$

such that $A^{n_i} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{i-1}$ is minimal for all i . Then $\text{depth } A \geq r$.

Proof. Since d_r is injective and its image is contained in $\mathfrak{m}A^{n_{r-1}}$, we can choose $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is not a zero divisor. Denote the sequence by C_\bullet . Then we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_\bullet \xrightarrow{*t} C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet/tC_\bullet \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{*t} H_i(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet/tC_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{*t} H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since C_\bullet is exact, we have $H_i(C_\bullet) = 0$ for all i . In particular, $H_i(C_\bullet/tC_\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Inductively, we can choose a regular sequence of length r in \mathfrak{m} . \square

Lemma 15. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Suppose there is an injective homomorphism $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow M$. Then $\text{proj. dim } M \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \subset \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ such that their images in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ form a basis. Then we have a complex

$$K_\bullet := 0 \rightarrow \wedge^n A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_n} \wedge^{n-1} A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^1 A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_1} \wedge^0 A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$d_r : \wedge^r A^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} A^{\oplus n}, \quad e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_r} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^k x_{i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_k}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_r}.$$

Here $\widehat{e_{i_k}}$ means that we omit the k -th element. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$ be the minimal projective resolution of M . Then we have a homomorphism of complexes

$$\varphi_\bullet : K_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$$

induced by the injective homomorphism $k \rightarrow M$.

We claim that φ_i is injective and splits P_i into a direct sum $K_i \oplus F_i$ with F_i free for all $i \geq 0$. Since K_i and P_i are free, we just need to show that $\varphi_i \otimes_A \text{id}_k$ is injective. Induct on i . For $i = 0$, note that $k \rightarrow M \otimes_A k$ is injective, by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & k \\ \varphi_0 \otimes_A \text{id}_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_0 \otimes_A k & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M \otimes_A k \end{array},$$

the image of $\varphi_0 \otimes_A \text{id}_k$ is not zero in $P_0 \otimes_A k$.

For $i > 0$, since K_{i-1} and P_{i-1} are free, we have a natural isomorphism between

$$\mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A k \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}P_{i-1} \otimes_A k$$

and

$$K_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow P_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2.$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i \otimes_A k & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_i \otimes_A k & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}P_{i-1} \otimes_A k \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Since $P_{i-1}/K_{i-1} \cong F_{i-1}$ is free, the right vertical map in (1) is injective. By construction of K_\bullet , $K_i \otimes_A k \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A k$ is injective. Hence the left vertical map in (1) is injective. This completes the proof of the claim.

By the claim, $P_i \neq 0$ for all $i \leq n$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 16 (Auslander-Buchsbaum formula). Let A be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Suppose $\text{proj. dim } M < \infty$. Then $\text{proj. dim } M = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } M$.

Proof. We have a minimal projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow A^{n_r} \rightarrow A^{n_{r-1}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^{n_1} \rightarrow A^{n_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 14, we have $\text{depth } A \geq \text{proj. dim } M$.

Induct on $\text{depth } M$. Suppose $\text{depth } M = 0$. Then by Proposition 13, we have $\text{Hom}_A(k, M) \neq 0$, whence there is an injective homomorphism $k \rightarrow M$. By Lemma 15, we have

$$\text{depth } A \geq \text{proj. dim } M \geq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} \geq \text{depth } A.$$

If $\text{depth } M > 0$, choose a regular element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is M -regular. Then by Proposition 12, we have

$$\text{depth } M + \text{proj. dim } M = \text{depth}(M/aM) - 1 + \text{proj. dim}(M/aM) + 1 = \text{depth } A.$$

\square

Theorem 17. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring. Then A is regular at \mathfrak{m} if and only if $\text{hl. dim } A < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a minimal generating set of \mathfrak{m} . Then x_1, \dots, x_n is an A -regular sequence since A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . By Proposition 12, we have $\text{proj. dim } k = \text{proj. dim } A/(x_1, \dots, x_n)A = n + \text{proj. dim } A = n$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{hl. dim } A < \infty$. Then by Proposition 11, we have $\text{proj. dim } k < \infty$. We have

$$\dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} \leq \text{proj. dim } k \leq \text{depth } A \leq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

The first " \leq " follows from Lemma 15. The second " \leq " follows from Proposition 16. Hence we see that A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . \square

Lemma 18. Let A be a noetherian integral domain. Then A is a UFD if and only if every height 1 prime ideal of A is principal.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Lemma 19. Let A be a noetherian integral domain and $(x) \subset A$ a non-zero prime ideal. Then A is a UFD if and only if $A[1/x]$ is a UFD.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Theorem 20. Let A, \mathfrak{m} be a regular noetherian local ring. Then A is UFD.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □
