# Setup and the first examples



## Setup and the first examples

#### 1 Notations

All schemes are assumed to be separated. For a "scheme" which is not separated, we will use the term "prescheme".

Let A be a ring. We denote by Spec A the spectrum of A. For an ideal  $I \subset A$ , we use V(I) to denote the closed subscheme of Spec A defined by I.

Let S be Spec K, Spec  $\mathcal{O}_K$  or an algebraic variety. An S-variety is an integral scheme X which is of finite type and flat over S. For an algebraic variety, we mean a K-variety.

We will use k, K to denote fields, and k, K to denote their algebraically closure relatively.

Let X be an integral scheme. We denote by  $\mathscr{K}(X)$  the function field of X. For a closed point  $x \in X$ , we denote by  $\kappa(x)$  the residue field of x.

We denote the category of S-varieties by  $\mathbf{Var}_S$ . We denote by X(T) the set of T-points of X, that is, the set of morphisms  $T \to X$ .

Let X be an algebraic variety over k. A geometrical point is referred a morphism  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k} \to X$ .

When refer a point (may not be closed) in a scheme, we will use the notation  $\xi \in X$ . We use  $Z_{\xi}$  to denote the Zariski closure of  $\{\xi\}$  in X. When we talk about a closed point on an algebraic variety, we will use the notation  $x \in X(\mathbf{k})$ .

#### 1.1 Separated and proper morphisms

### 2 Examples

**Example 1.** Let **k** be an algebraically closed field and A the localization of  $\mathbf{k}[x]$  at (x). Let  $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[y]$ . There are three types of points in X:

- (i) closed points with residue field **k**, like p = (x, y a);
- (ii) closed points with residue field  $\mathbf{k}(y)$ , like P = (xy 1);
- (iii) non-closed points, like  $\eta_1 = (x), \eta_2 = (y), \eta_3 = (x y)$ .

## 3 Preparation in commutative algebra

#### 3.1 Nakayama's Lemma Yang: To be completed

**Theorem 2** (Nakayama's Lemma). Let A be a ring and  $\mathfrak{M}$  be its Jacobi radical. Suppose M is a finitely generated A-module. If  $\mathfrak{a}M = M$  for  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , then M = 0.

Proof. Suppose M is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Since  $M = \mathfrak{a}M$ , formally we have  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  for  $\Phi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then  $(\Phi - \mathrm{id})(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = 0$ . Note that  $\det(\Phi - \mathrm{id}) = 1 + a$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $\Phi - \mathrm{id}$  is invertible and then M = 0.

**Proposition 3** (Geometric form of Nakayama's Lemma). Let  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  be an affine scheme,  $x \in X$  a closed point and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on X. If  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  generate  $\mathcal{F}|_x = \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa(x)$ , then there is an open subset  $U \subset X$  such that  $a_i|_U$  generate  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.

#### Corollary 4.

Proof. Yang: To be completed.

#### 3.2 Associated prime ideals

This part refers to [Mat70, Chapter 3].

**Definition 5** (Associated prime ideals). Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. The associated prime ideals of M are the prime ideals  $\mathfrak p$  of form  $\mathrm{Ann}(x)$  for some  $x \in M$ . The set of associated prime ideals of M is denoted by

Ass(M).

**Example 6.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(xy)$  and M = A. First we see that  $(x) = \operatorname{Ann} y$ ,  $(y) = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ . Then we check other prime ideals. For (x, y), if xf = yf = 0, then  $f \in (x) \cap (y) = (0)$ . If  $(x - a) = \operatorname{Ann} f$  for some f, note that  $y \in (x - a)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , then  $f \in (x)$ . Hence f = 0. Therefore  $\operatorname{Ass} M = \{(x), (y)\}$ .

**Example 7.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(x^2,xy)$  and M = A. The underlying space of Spec A is the y-axis since  $\sqrt{(x^2,xy)} = (x)$ . First note that  $(x) = \operatorname{Ann} y, (x,y) = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ . For (x,y-a) with  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , easily see that xf = (y-a)f = 0 implies f = 0 since  $A = \mathbf{k} \cdot x \oplus \mathbf{k}[y]$  as  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space. Hence  $\operatorname{Ass} M = \{(x), (x,y)\}$ .

Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Note that  $S^{-1}M = 0$  if and only if  $S \cap \text{Ann } M \neq \emptyset$ . Then the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$$

is equal to  $V(\operatorname{Ann} M)$ .

**Definition 8.** Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. The *support* of M is the closed subset  $V(\operatorname{Ann} M)$  of Spec A, denoted by Supp M.

**Lemma 9.** Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then the maximal element of the set

$$\{\operatorname{Ann} x \colon x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}$$

belongs to Ass M.

*Proof.* We just need to show that such Ann x is prime. Otherwise, there exist  $a, b \in A$  such that  $ab \in A$ nn x but  $a, b \notin A$ nn x. It follows that Ann  $x \subseteq A$ nn ax since  $b \in A$ nn  $ax \setminus A$ nn  $ax \cap A$ 

An element  $a \in A$  is called a zero divisor for M if  $M \to aM, m \mapsto am$  is not injective.

Corollary 10. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then

$$\{\text{zero divisors for }M\}=\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Ass}M}\mathfrak{p}.$$

**Lemma 11.** Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_A M$  iff  $\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Ann} y_0/c$  with  $y_0 \in M$  and  $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . For  $a \in \operatorname{Ann} y_0$ ,  $ay_0 = 0$ . Then  $a/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Ann} y_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

Inductively, if Ann  $y_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , then there exists  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $y_{n+1} := b_n y_n$ , Ann  $y_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and Ann  $y_n \subseteq A$ nn  $y_{n+1}$ . To see this, choose  $a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus A$ nn  $y_n$ . Then  $(a_n/1)y_n = 0$  since  $a_n/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By definition, there exist  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $a_n b_n y_n = 0$ . This process must terminate since A is noetherian. Thus Ann  $y_n = \mathfrak{p}$  for some n. Hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in A$ ss<sub>A</sub> M.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ . If  $(a/s)(x/1) = 0 \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , there exist  $t \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that tax = 0. It follows that  $ta \in \mathfrak{p}$  and then  $(a/s) \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Proposition 12.** We have Ass  $M \subset \operatorname{Supp} M$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$  satisfies  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\operatorname{Supp} M$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{Ann} x\in\operatorname{Ass} M$ , we have  $A/\mathfrak{p}\cong A\cdot x\subset M$ . Tensoring with  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  gives  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}\hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat. Hence  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}\neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Supp} M$ .

Now suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$  and  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\operatorname{Supp} M$ . First we show that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Ann} x$  is maximal in the set

$$\{\operatorname{Ann} x \colon x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}.$$

Then we claim that  $\operatorname{Ann} x = \mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . First,  $\operatorname{Ann} x$  is prime by Lemma 9. If  $\operatorname{Ann} x \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $V(\operatorname{Ann} x) \supset V(\mathfrak{p})$ . This implies that  $\operatorname{Ann} x \notin \operatorname{Supp} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $\operatorname{Supp} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Lemma 11, we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ .

Remark 13. The existence of irreducible component is guaranteed by Zorn's Lemma.

**Definition 14.** A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M$  is called *embedded* if  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is not an irreducible component of Supp M.

**Example 15.** For  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ , the origin (x, y) is an embedded point.

**Proposition 16.** If we have exact sequence  $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3$ , then Ass  $M_2 \subset \text{Ass } M_1 \cup \text{Ass } M_3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M_2 \setminus \operatorname{Ass} M_1$ . Then the image [x] of x in  $M_3$  is not equal to 0. We have that  $\operatorname{Ann} x \subset \operatorname{Ann}[x]$ . If  $a \in \operatorname{Ann}[x] \setminus \operatorname{Ann} x$ , then  $ax \in M_1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ann} x \subsetneq \operatorname{Ann} ax$ , there is  $b \in \operatorname{Ann} ax \setminus \operatorname{Ann} x$ . However, it implies  $ba \in \operatorname{Ann} x$ , and then  $a \in \operatorname{Ann} x$  since  $\operatorname{Ann} x$  is prime, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 17. If M is finitely generated, then the set Ass M is finite.

Proof. For  $\mathfrak{p}=\mathrm{Ann}\,x\in\mathrm{Ass}\,M$ , we know that the submodule  $M_1$  generated by x is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . Inductively, we can choose  $M_n$  be the preimage of a submodule of  $M/M_{n-1}$  which is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{q}$  for some  $\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Ass}\,M/M_{n-1}$ . We can take an ascending sequence  $0=M_0\subset M_1\subset\cdots\subset M_n\subset\cdots$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}\cong A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Since M is finitely generated, this is a finite sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 16.

**Definition 18.** An A-module is called *co-primary* if Ass M has a single element. Let M be an A-module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. Then N is called *primary* if M/N is co-primary. If Ass  $M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , then N is called  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Remark 19.** This definition coincide with primary ideals in the case M = A. Recall an ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  is called *primary* if  $\forall ab \in \mathfrak{p}, a \notin \mathfrak{q}$  implies  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$  for some n.

Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary ideal. Since Supp  $A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ann}[a] \in \operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \operatorname{Ann}[a]$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{Supp} A/\mathfrak{q}$ . If  $b \in \operatorname{Ann}[a]$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Hence  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ , and then  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This shows that  $\operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary as an A-submodule.

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary A-submodule. First we have  $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is the unique irreducible component of Supp  $A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $b \in \mathrm{Ann}[a] \subset \mathfrak{p}$  since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique maximal element in  $\{\mathrm{Ann}[c] : c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}\}$ . This implies that  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Definition 20.** Let A be a noetherian ring, M an A-module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. A minimal primary decomposition of N in M is a finite set of primary submodules  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that

$$N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} Q_i,$$

no  $Q_i$  can be omitted and Ass  $M/Q_i$  are pairwise distinct. For Ass  $M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $Q_i$  is called belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Indeed, if  $N \subset M$  admits a minimal primary decomposition  $N = \bigcap Q_i$  with  $Q_i$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\mathrm{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ . For given i, consider  $N_i := \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$ , then  $N_i/N \cong (N_i + Q_i)/Q_i$ . Since  $N_i \neq N$ ,  $\mathrm{Ass}\,N_i/N \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand,  $\mathrm{Ass}\,N_i/N \subset \mathrm{Ass}\,M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . It follows that  $\mathrm{Ass}\,N_i/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathrm{Ass}\,M/N$ . Conversely, we have an injection  $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/Q_i$ , so  $\mathrm{Ass}\,M/N \subset \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}\,M/Q_i$ . Due to this, if  $Q_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we also say that  $Q_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -component of N.

**Proposition 21.** Suppose  $N \subset M$  has a minimal primary decomposition. If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M/N$  is not embedded, then the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of N is unique. Explicitly, we have  $Q = \nu^{-1}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , where  $\nu : M \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Clearly  $Q \subset \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Suppose  $x \in \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Then there exists  $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $sx \in Q$ . That is,  $[sx] = 0 \in M/Q$ . If  $[x] \neq 0$ , we have  $s \in \text{Ann}[x] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . This contradiction enforces  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Then we show that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Just need to show that for  $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$  and the  $\mathfrak{p}'$  component Q' of N,  $Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded,  $\mathfrak{p}' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{Supp} M/Q'$ . So  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .

**Example 22.** If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is embedded, then its components may not be unique. For example, let  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(x^2,xy)$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ ,  $(x) \cap (x^2,xy,y^n)$  is a minimal primary decomposition of  $(0) \subset M$ .

Let A be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  a prime ideal. We consider the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ , which is called n-th symbolic

power of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$ . In general,  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$  is not equal to  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ ; see below example.

**Example 23.** Let  $A = \mathsf{k}[x, y, z, w]/(y^2 - zx^2, yz - xw)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z, w)$ . We have  $z = y^2/x^2, w = yz/x \in \mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (z, w) \neq \mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Theorem 24.** Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,M$ , there is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary submodule  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$  such that

$$(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M} Q(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ N \subset M \colon \mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\, N \}.$$

Note that  $\operatorname{Ass}\bigcup N_i=\bigcup\operatorname{Ass} N_i$  by definition of associated prime ideals. Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal N$  satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma. Hence  $\mathcal N$  has a maximal element  $Q(\mathfrak p)$ . We claim that  $Q(\mathfrak p)$  is  $\mathfrak p$ -primary. If there is  $\mathfrak p'\neq\mathfrak p\in\operatorname{Ass} M/Q(\mathfrak p)$ , then there is a submodule  $N'\cong A/\mathfrak p$ . Let N'' be the preimage of N' in M. We have  $Q(\mathfrak p)\subsetneq N''$  and  $N''\in\mathcal N$ . This is a contradiction. By the fact  $\operatorname{Ass}\bigcap N_i=\bigcap\operatorname{Ass} N_i$ , we get the conclusion.

Corollary 25. Let A be a noetherian ring and M a finitely generated A-module. Then every submodule of M has a minimal primary decomposition.

#### 3.3 Length of modules

**Definition 26.** Let A be a ring and M an A module. A simple module filtration of M is a filtration

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_n = 0$$

such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is a simple module, i.e. it has no submodule except 0 and itself. If M has a simple module filtration as above, we define the *length of M* as n and say that M has *finite length*.

The following proposition guarantees the length is well-defined.

**Proposition 27.** Suppose M has a simple module filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supseteq M_{1,0} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_{n,0} = 0$ . Then for any other filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supset M_{0,1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{0,m} = 0$  with m > n, there exist k < m such that  $M_{0,k} = M_{0,k+1}$ .

*Proof.* We claim that there are at least  $0 \le k_1 < \cdots < k_{m-n} < m$  satisfies that  $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$ . Let  $M_{i,j} := M_{i,0} \cap M_{0,j}$ . Inductively on n, we can assume that there exist  $k_1, \cdots, k_{n-m+1}$  such that  $M_{1,k} = M_{1,k+1}$ . Consider the sequence

$$M_{0,0}/M_{1,0} \supset (M_{0,1}+M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} \supset \cdots \supset (M_{0,m}+M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} = 0$$

in  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$ . Since  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$  is simple, there is at most one  $k_i$  with  $M_{0,k_i}+M_{1,0}\neq M_{0,k_i+1}+M_{1,0}$ . And note that if  $M_{0,k_i}+M_{1,0}=M_{0,k_i+1}+M_{1,0}$  and  $M_{0,k_i}\cap M_{1,0}=M_{0,k_i}\cap M_{1,0}$ , then  $M_{0,k_i}=M_{0,k_i+1}$  by the Five Lemma.  $\square$ 

**Example 28.** Let A be a ring and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{mSpec}\,A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a simple module.

**Proposition 29.** Let A be a ring and M an A-module. Then M is of finite length iff it satisfies both a.c.c and d.c.c.

*Proof.* Note that if M has either a strictly ascending chain or a strictly descending chain, M is of infinite length. Conversely, d.c.c guarantee M has a simple submodule and a.c.c guarantee the sequence terminates.

**Proposition 30.** The length l(-) is an additive function for modules of finite length. That is, if we have an exact sequence  $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$  with  $M_i$  of finite length, then  $l(M_2) = l(M_1) + l(M_3)$ .

*Proof.* The simple module filtrations of  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  will give a simple module filtration of  $M_2$ .

**Proposition 31.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Then A is artinian iff  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose A is artinian. Then the sequence  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3 \supset \cdots$  will stable. It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  for some n. By the Nakayama's Lemma 2,  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ .

Conversely, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}\subset\mathfrak{N}\subset\bigcap_{\text{minimal prime ideal}}\mathfrak{p}_{}$$

whence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimal.

**Proposition 32.** Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is of finite length.

*Proof.* First we show that A has only finite maximal ideal. Otherwise, consider the set  $\{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k\}$ . It has a minimal element  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  and for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$  for some i. Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  be the Jacobi radical of A. Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}^2 \supset \cdots$  and by Nakayama's Lemma, we have  $\mathfrak{M}^k = 0$  for some k. Consider the filtration

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n^k = (0).$$

We have  $\mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^j/\mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^{j+1}$  is an  $A/\mathfrak{m}_i$ -vector space. It is artinian and then of finite length. Hence A is of finite length.

**Proposition 33.** Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is noetherian and of dimension 0. For definition of dimension, see ??.

*Proof.* Suppose A is artinian. Then A is noetherian by Proposition 32. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an artinian integral domain. If there is  $a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$  is not invertible, consider  $(a) \supset (a^2) \supset \cdots$ , we see a = 0. Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal and dim A = 0.

Suppose that A is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular, A has only finite maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -component of (0). Then we have  $A \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . We just need to show that  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is of finite length as A-module. If  $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$ , take radical we get  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{q}_j$  and hence i = j. So  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . Then every element in  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is nilpotent. Since  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is finitely generated,  $(\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i)^k = 0$  for some k. Then  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is artinian and then of finite length as  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ -module. Then the conclusion follows.

#### 3.4 Noether's Normalization Lemma and Hilbert's Nullstellensatz Yang: To be completed.

**Theorem 34** (Noether's Normalization Lemma). Let A be a k-algebra of finite type. Then there is an injection  $\mathsf{k}[T_1,\cdots,T_d]\hookrightarrow A$  such that A is finite over  $\mathsf{k}[T_1,\cdots,T_d]$ .

**Remark 35.** Here A does not need to be integral. For example,

**Theorem 36** (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let A be a

## References

[Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. Commutative algebra. Vol. 120. WA Benjamin New York, 1970.