
Notes in Algebraic Geometry



阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴!

Notes in Algebraic Geometry

Author: Tianle Yang

Email: loveandjustice@88.com

Homepage: tianleyang.com

Source code: github.com/MonkeyUnderMountain/Note_on_Algebraic_Geometry

Version: 0.1.0

Last updated: July 2, 2025

Copyright © 2025 Tianle Yang

Contents

1	The First Properties	1
1.1	Setup and the first examples	1
1.1.1	Notations	1
1.1.2	Examples	1
A	Commutative Algebra	3
A.1	Elementary Results	3
A.1.1	Notations	3
A.1.2	Nakayama's Lemma	4
A.1.3	Nullstellensatz	4
A.2	Associated prime ideals	4
A.2.1	Associated prime ideals	4
A.2.2	Primary decomposition	6
A.3	Dimension and Depth	7
A.3.1	Artinian Rings and Length of Modules	7
A.3.2	Dedekind Domains Yang: To be completed	8
A.3.3	Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem	8
A.3.4	Cohen-Macaulay rings	9
A.3.5	Regular rings	10
A.4	Finite Algebra and Normality	11
A.4.1	Finite algebra	11
A.5	Smoothness	13
A.5.1	Modules of differentials and derivations	13
A.5.2	Applications to affine varieties	15
B	Homological Algebra	19
B.1	Complexes and Homology	19
B.2	Derived Functors	19
B.2.1	Resolution	19
B.3	Applications to Commutative Algebra	20
B.3.1	Homological dimension	20
B.3.2	Depth and regularity by homological algebra	22

Chapter 1

The First Properties

1.1 Setup and the first examples

1.1.1 Notations

All schemes are assumed to be separated. For a “scheme” which is not separated, we will use the term “prescheme”.

Let A be a ring. We denote by $\operatorname{Spec} A$ the spectrum of A . For an ideal $I \subset A$, we use $V(I)$ to denote the closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ defined by I .

Let S be $\operatorname{Spec} k$, $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ or an algebraic variety. An S -variety is an integral scheme X which is of finite type and flat over S . For an algebraic variety, we mean a k -variety.

We will use k, K to denote fields, and \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K} to denote their algebraic closure relatively.

Let X be an integral scheme. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the function field of X . For a closed point $x \in X$, we denote by $\kappa(x)$ the residue field of x .

We denote the category of S -varieties by \mathbf{Var}_S . We denote by $X(T)$ the set of T -points of X , that is, the set of morphisms $T \rightarrow X$.

Let X be an algebraic variety over k . A geometrical point is referred a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow X$.

When refer a point (may not be closed) in a scheme, we will use the notation $\xi \in X$. We use Z_ξ to denote the Zariski closure of $\{\xi\}$ in X . When we talk about a closed point on an algebraic variety, we will use the notation $x \in X(\mathbf{k})$.

Separated and proper morphisms

1.1.2 Examples

Appendix A

Commutative Algebra

A.1 Elementary Results

Yang: To be completed

A.1.1 Notations

In the appendix and all the note, the “ring” is always commutative and with identity. We denote by $\text{Spec } A$ the set of prime ideals of a ring A . We denote by $\text{mSpec } A$ the set of maximal ideals of A . Let $I \subset A$ be an ideal of A . We define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : I \subset \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be ideals of A . We define

$$(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) := \{a \in A : a\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

This is an ideal of A .

Let $\text{rad}(A)$ be the Jacobian radical of A , i.e., the intersection of all maximal ideals of A . Let $\text{nil}(A)$ be the nilradical of A , i.e., the ideal of A consisting of all nilpotent elements.

Proposition A.1.1. Let A be a ring. Then we have

$$\text{nil}(A) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Proposition A.1.2. Let A be a ring, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_i$ prime ideals of A and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_i$ ideals of A .

- (a) Suppose $\mathfrak{a} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then there exists i such that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$.
- (b) Suppose $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Then there exists i such that $\mathfrak{a}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Let M be an A -module. We say that M is *finite* if there exists an exact sequence

$$A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

We say that M is *coherent* if there exists an exact sequence

$$A^m \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

If A is a noetherian ring, then every finite A -module is coherent.

Definition A.1.3. Let A be a ring and M an A -module. The *support* of M is defined as

$$\text{Supp } M := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}.$$

The *annihilator* of M is defined as

$$\text{Ann } M := \{a \in A : aM = 0\}.$$

This is an ideal of A .

Proposition A.1.4. Let A be a ring and M a finite A -module. Then $\text{Supp } M = V(\text{Ann } M)$. In particular, $\text{Supp } M$ is a closed subset of $\text{Spec } A$.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Definition A.1.5. Let A be a ring and $S \subset A$ a multiplicative subset, i.e., $1 \in S$ and $s_1, s_2 \in S$ implies $s_1 s_2 \in S$. The *localization* of A at S is defined as

$$S^{-1}A := A \times S / \sim,$$

where $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$ if there exists $u \in S$ such that $u(at - bs) = 0$. Yang: To be completed.

Proposition A.1.6.

A.1.2 Nakayama's Lemma

Theorem A.1.7 (Nakayama's Lemma). Let A be a ring and \mathfrak{M} be its Jacobi radical. Suppose M is a finitely generated A -module. If $\mathfrak{a}M = M$ for $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, then $M = 0$.

Proof. Suppose M is generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Since $M = \mathfrak{a}M$, formally we have $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ for $\Phi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $(\Phi - \text{id})(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = 0$. Note that $\det(\Phi - \text{id}) = 1 + a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$. Then $\Phi - \text{id}$ is invertible and then $M = 0$. □

Remark A.1.8. The finiteness of M is crucial in Nakayama's Lemma. For example, let $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the ring of algebraic integers in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Choose a non-zero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then we have that $\mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^2\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Indeed, if $a \in \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, let $b = \sqrt{a} \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $b^2 = a \in \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and whence $b \in \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since \mathfrak{p} is prime. It follows that $a = b^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proposition A.1.9 (Geometric form of Nakayama's Lemma). Let $X = \text{Spec } A$ be an affine scheme, $x \in X$ a closed point and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X . If $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ generate $\mathcal{F}|_x = \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa(x)$, then there is an open subset $U \subset X$ such that $a_i|_U$ generate $\mathcal{F}(U)$.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Corollary A.1.10. Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X . Then the function $x \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathcal{F}|_x$ is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

A.1.3 Nullstellensatz

Theorem A.1.11 (Noether's Normalization Lemma). Let A be a \mathbf{k} -algebra of finite type. Then there is an injection $\mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$ such that A is finite over $\mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_d]$.

Remark A.1.12. Here A does not need to be integral. For example,

Theorem A.1.13 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let A be a

A.2 Associated prime ideals

A.2.1 Associated prime ideals

Definition A.2.1 (Associated prime ideals). Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A -module. The *associated prime ideals* of M are the prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of form $\text{Ann}(x)$ for some $x \in M$. The set of associated prime ideals of M is denoted by $\text{Ass}(M)$.

Example A.2.2. Let $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(xy)$ and $M = A$. First we see that $(x) = \text{Ann } y, (y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$. Then we check other prime ideals. For (x, y) , if $xf = yf = 0$, then $f \in (x) \cap (y) = (0)$. If $(x - a) = \text{Ann } f$ for some f , note that $y \in (x - a)$ for $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, then $f \in (x)$. Hence $f = 0$. Therefore $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (y)\}$.

Example A.2.3. Let $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ and $M = A$. The underlying space of $\text{Spec } A$ is the y -axis since $\sqrt{(x^2, xy)} = (x)$. First note that $(x) = \text{Ann } y$, $(x, y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$. For $(x, y - a)$ with $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, easily see that $xf = (y - a)f = 0$ implies $f = 0$ since $A = \mathbf{k} \cdot x \oplus \mathbf{k}[y]$ as \mathbf{k} -vector space. Hence $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (x, y)\}$.

Lemma A.2.4. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A -module. Then the maximal element of the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}$$

belongs to $\text{Ass } M$.

Proof. We just need to show that such $\text{Ann } x$ is prime. Otherwise, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $ab \in \text{Ann } x$ but $a, b \notin \text{Ann } x$. It follows that $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$ since $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$. This contradicts the maximality of $\text{Ann } x$. \square

An element $a \in A$ is called a zero divisor for M if $M \rightarrow aM, m \mapsto am$ is not injective.

Corollary A.2.5. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A -module. Then

$$\{\text{zero divisors for } M\} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Lemma A.2.6. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A -module. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A M$ iff $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Ann } y_0/c$ with $y_0 \in M$ and $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. For $a \in \text{Ann } y_0$, $ay_0 = 0$. Then $a/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\text{Ann } y_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

Inductively, if $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, then there exists $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $y_{n+1} := b_n y_n$, $\text{Ann } y_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \text{Ann } y_{n+1}$. To see this, choose $a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \text{Ann } y_n$. Then $(a_n/1)y_n = 0$ since $a_n/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By definition, there exist $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $a_n b_n y_n = 0$. This process must terminate since A is noetherian. Thus $\text{Ann } y_n = \mathfrak{p}$ for some n . Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A M$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$. If $(a/s)(x/1) = 0 \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, there exist $t \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $tax = 0$. It follows that $ta \in \mathfrak{p}$ and then $(a/s) \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

Proposition A.2.7. We have $\text{Ass } M \subset \text{Supp } M$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ satisfies $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is an irreducible component of $\text{Supp } M$, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$.

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$, we have $A/\mathfrak{p} \cong A \cdot x \subset M$. Tensoring with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ gives $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat. Hence $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$.

Now suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ and $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is an irreducible component of $\text{Supp } M$. First we show that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\text{Ann } x$ is maximal in the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}.$$

Then we claim that $\text{Ann } x = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. First, $\text{Ann } x$ is prime by Lemma A.2.4. If $\text{Ann } x \neq \mathfrak{p}$, then $V(\text{Ann } x) \supset V(\mathfrak{p})$. This implies that $\text{Ann } x \notin \text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $\text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma A.2.6, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$. \square

Remark A.2.8. The existence of irreducible component is guaranteed by Zorn's Lemma.

Definition A.2.9. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ is called *embedded* if $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is not an irreducible component of $\text{Supp } M$.

Example A.2.10. For $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$, the origin (x, y) is an embedded point.

Proposition A.2.11. If we have exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3$, then $\text{Ass } M_2 \subset \text{Ass } M_1 \cup \text{Ass } M_3$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M_2 \setminus \text{Ass } M_1$. Then the image $[x]$ of x in M_3 is not equal to 0. We have that $\text{Ann } x \subset \text{Ann } [x]$. If $a \in \text{Ann } [x] \setminus \text{Ann } x$, then $ax \in M_1$. Since $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$, there is $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$. However, it implies $ba \in \text{Ann } x$, and then $a \in \text{Ann } x$ since $\text{Ann } x$ is prime, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary A.2.12. If M is finitely generated, then the set $\text{Ass } M$ is finite.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$, we know that the submodule M_1 generated by x is isomorphic to A/\mathfrak{p} . Inductively, we can choose M_n be the preimage of a submodule of M/M_{n-1} which is isomorphic to A/\mathfrak{q} for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } M/M_{n-1}$. We can take an ascending sequence $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n \subset \cdots$ such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some prime \mathfrak{p}_i .

Since M is finitely generated, this is a finite sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition A.2.11. \square

A.2.2 Primary decomposition

Definition A.2.13. An A -module is called *co-primary* if $\text{Ass } M$ has a single element. Let M be an A -module and $N \subset M$ a submodule. Then N is called *primary* if M/N is co-primary. If $\text{Ass } M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then N is called \mathfrak{p} -primary.

Remark A.2.14. This definition coincide with primary ideals in the case $M = A$. Recall an ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ is called *primary* if $\forall ab \in \mathfrak{p}, a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ implies $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some n .

Let \mathfrak{q} be a \mathfrak{q} -primary ideal. Since $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$. Suppose $\text{Ann}[a] \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \text{Ann}[a]$ since $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$. If $b \in \text{Ann}[a]$, then $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Hence $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$, and then $b \in \mathfrak{p}$. This shows that $\text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and \mathfrak{q} is \mathfrak{p} -primary as an A -submodule.

Let $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ be a \mathfrak{p} -primary A -submodule. First we have $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ since $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is the unique irreducible component of $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$. Suppose $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Then $b \in \text{Ann}[a] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ since \mathfrak{p} is the unique maximal element in $\{\text{Ann}[c] : c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}\}$. This implies that $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$.

Definition A.2.15. Let A be a noetherian ring, M an A -module and $N \subset M$ a submodule. A *minimal primary decomposition* of N in M is a finite set of primary submodules $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i,$$

no Q_i can be omitted and $\text{Ass } M/Q_i$ are pairwise distinct. For $\text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, Q_i is called belonging to \mathfrak{p} .

Indeed, if $N \subset M$ admits a minimal primary decomposition $N = \bigcap Q_i$ with Q_i belonging to \mathfrak{p} , then $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$. For given i , consider $N_i := \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$, then $N_i/N \cong (N_i + Q_i)/Q_i$. Since $N_i \neq N$, $\text{Ass } N_i/N \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\text{Ass } N_i/N \subset \text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. It follows that $\text{Ass } N_i/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$, whence $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass } M/N$. Conversely, we have an injection $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/Q_i$, so $\text{Ass } M/N \subset \bigcup \text{Ass } M/Q_i$. Due to this, if Q_i belongs to \mathfrak{p} , we also say that Q_i is the \mathfrak{p} -component of N .

Proposition A.2.16. Suppose $N \subset M$ has a minimal primary decomposition. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/N$ is not embedded, then the \mathfrak{p} component of N is unique. Explicitly, we have $Q = \nu^{-1}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where $\nu : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. First we show that $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Clearly $Q \subset \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Suppose $x \in \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then there exists $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $sx \in Q$. That is, $[sx] = 0 \in M/Q$. If $[x] \neq 0$, we have $s \in \text{Ann}[x] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. This contradiction enforces $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Then we show that $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Just need to show that for $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$ and the \mathfrak{p}' component Q' of N , $Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is not embedded, $\mathfrak{p}' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{Supp } M/Q'$. So $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. \square

Example A.2.17. If \mathfrak{p} is embedded, then its components may not be unique. For example, let $M = A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(x) \cap (x^2, xy, y^n)$ is a minimal primary decomposition of $(0) \subset M$.

Let A be a noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a prime ideal. We consider the \mathfrak{p} component of \mathfrak{p}^n , which is called n -th symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} , denoted by $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$. We have $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$. In general, $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is not equal to \mathfrak{p}^n ; see below example.

Example A.2.18. Let $A = k[x, y, z, w]/(y^2 - zx^2, yz - xw)$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z, w)$. We have $z = y^2/x^2, w = yz/x \in \mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, whence $\mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (z, w) \neq \mathfrak{p}^2$.

Theorem A.2.19. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A -module. Then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$, there is a \mathfrak{p} -primary submodule $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ such that

$$(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} Q(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{N \subset M : \mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass } N\}.$$

Note that $\text{Ass} \bigcup N_i = \bigcup \text{Ass } N_i$ by definition of associated prime ideals. Then it is easy to check that \mathcal{N} satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma. Hence \mathcal{N} has a maximal element $Q(\mathfrak{p})$. We claim that $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary. If there is $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/Q(\mathfrak{p})$, then there is a submodule $N' \cong A/\mathfrak{p}'$. Let N'' be the preimage of N' in M . We have $Q(\mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq N''$ and $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$. This is a contradiction. By the fact $\text{Ass} \bigcap N_i = \bigcap \text{Ass } N_i$, we get the conclusion. \square

Corollary A.2.20. Let A be a noetherian ring and M a finitely generated A -module. Then every submodule of M has a minimal primary decomposition.

A.3 Dimension and Depth

There are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) :

- $\dim A$: the Krull dimension of A .
- $\text{depth } A$: the depth of A .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}$: the dimension of Zariski tangent space $T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$ as a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

Definition A.3.1. Let A be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal* \mathfrak{p} in A is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in \mathfrak{p} , that is,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of A is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Example A.3.2. Let A be a PID. For every two non-zero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 , if $\mathfrak{p}_1 = t_1 A \subset \mathfrak{p}_2 = t_2 A$, then $t_2 \mid t_1$ and hence $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_2$. It follows that $\dim A = 1$. Consequently, the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} and the polynomial ring $k[T]$ in one variable over a field have Krull dimension 1.

Definition A.3.3. Let A be a noetherian ring, $I \subset A$ an ideal and M a finitely generated A -module. A sequence $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$ is called an *M -regular sequence in I* if t_i is not a zero divisor on $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$ for all i .

Example A.3.4. Let $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ and $I = (x, y)$. Then $\text{depth}_I A = 0$.

Definition A.3.5. Let A be a noetherian ring. For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ is a vector space over $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. The *Zariski's tangent space* $T_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$ of A at \mathfrak{p} is defined as $(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\vee$, the dual $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space of $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$.

A.3.1 Artinian Rings and Length of Modules

Definition A.3.6. Let A be a ring and M an A module. A *simple module filtration* of M is a filtration

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_n = 0$$

such that M_i/M_{i-1} is a simple module, i.e. it has no submodule except 0 and itself. If M has a simple module filtration as above, we define the *length of M* as n and say that M has *finite length*.

The following proposition guarantees the length is well-defined.

Proposition A.3.7. Suppose M has a simple module filtration $M = M_{0,0} \supsetneq M_{1,0} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n,0} = 0$. Then for any other filtration $M = M_{0,0} \supset M_{0,1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{0,m} = 0$ with $m > n$, there exist $k < m$ such that $M_{0,k} = M_{0,k+1}$.

Proof. We claim that there are at least $0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_{m-n} < m$ satisfies that $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$. Let $M_{i,j} := M_{i,0} \cap M_{0,j}$. Inductively on n , we can assume that there exist k_1, \dots, k_{n-m+1} such that $M_{1,k} = M_{1,k+1}$. Consider the sequence

$$M_{0,0}/M_{1,0} \supset (M_{0,1} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} \supset \cdots \supset (M_{0,m} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} = 0$$

in $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$. Since $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$ is simple, there is at most one k_i with $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} \neq M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$. And note that if $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$ and $M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0}$, then $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$ by the Five Lemma. \square

Example A.3.8. Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$. Then A/\mathfrak{m} is a simple module. **Yang: To be completed.**

Proposition A.3.9. Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Then M is of finite length iff it satisfies both a.c.c and d.c.c.

Proof. Note that if M has either a strictly ascending chain or a strictly descending chain, M is of infinite length. Conversely, d.c.c guarantee M has a simple submodule and a.c.c guarantee the sequence terminates. \square

Proposition A.3.10. The length $l(-)$ is an additive function for modules of finite length. That is, if we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ with M_i of finite length, then $l(M_2) = l(M_1) + l(M_3)$.

Proof. The simple module filtrations of M_1 and M_3 will give a simple module filtration of M_2 . \square

Proposition A.3.11. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Then A is artinian iff $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose A is artinian. Then the sequence $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3 \supset \dots$ is stable. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ for some n . By the Nakayama's Lemma A.1.7, $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$. Conversely, we have

$$\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{N} \subset \bigcap_{\text{minimal prime ideal}} \mathfrak{p},$$

whence \mathfrak{m} is minimal. \square

Proposition A.3.12. Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is of finite length.

Proof. First we show that A has only finite maximal ideal. Otherwise, consider the set $\{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k\}$. It has a minimal element $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ and for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$ for some i . Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ be the Jacobi radical of A . Consider the sequence $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}^2 \supset \dots$ and by Nakayama's Lemma, we have $\mathfrak{M}^k = 0$ for some k . Consider the filtration

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_n^k = (0).$$

We have $\mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_i^j / \mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_i^{j+1}$ is an A/\mathfrak{m}_i -vector space. It is artinian and then of finite length. Hence A is of finite length. \square

Theorem A.3.13. Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is noetherian and of dimension 0.

Proof. Suppose A is artinian. Then A is noetherian by Proposition A.3.12. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Then A/\mathfrak{p} is an artinian integral domain. If there is $a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$ is not invertible, consider $(a) \supset (a^2) \supset \dots$, we see $a = 0$. Hence \mathfrak{p} is maximal and $\dim A = 0$.

Suppose that A is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular, A has only finite maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$. Let \mathfrak{q}_i be the \mathfrak{p}_i -component of (0) . Then we have $A \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$. We just need to show that A/\mathfrak{q}_i is of finite length as A -module. If $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$, take radical we get $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$ and hence $i = j$. So A/\mathfrak{q}_i is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$. Then every element in $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ is nilpotent. Since \mathfrak{p}_i is finitely generated, $(\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i)^k = 0$ for some k . Then A/\mathfrak{q}_i is artinian and then of finite length as A/\mathfrak{q}_i -module. Then the conclusion follows. \square

A.3.2 Dedekind Domains Yang: To be completed

A.3.3 Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem

Theorem A.3.14 (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let A be a noetherian ring. Suppose $f \in A$ is not a unit. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal among those containing f . Then $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$.

Proof. By replacing A by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we may assume A is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . Note that $A/(f)$ is artinian since it has only one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$.

Let $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. Consider the sequence $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \dots$, its image in $A/(f)$ is stationary. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$. For $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$, we may write $x = y + af$ for $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. Then $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. Since $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ is \mathfrak{q} -primary and $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$, $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. Then we get $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. That is, $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. Note that $f \in \mathfrak{p}$, by Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. That is, $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By Nakayama's Lemma again, $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{q} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is minimal, whence $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is artinian. Therefore, \mathfrak{q} is minimal in A . \square

Corollary A.3.15. Let A be a noetherian local ring. Suppose $f \in A$ is not a unit. Then $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$. If f is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$ be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption, $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$. If $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, we get a sequence of prime ideals in $A/(f)$ of length n . Now we suppose $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$. Then there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$. Choose \mathfrak{q} be a minimal prime ideal among those containing (\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f) and contained in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} . Then by Krull's Principal

Ideal Theorem A.3.14, $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$. Replace \mathfrak{p}_k by \mathfrak{q}_k , we have $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$.

Repeat this process, we get a sequence $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$. This gives a sequence $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$ in $A/(f)$. Hence we get $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$.

Since f is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in $A/(f)$ has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in A/fA can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in A . It follows that $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$. \square

Proposition A.3.16. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local noetherian ring with residue field k . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary A.3.15.

Let t_1, \dots, t_n be a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Then we have $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$, whence $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n))$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by Nakayama's Lemma. By Corollary A.3.15,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \cdots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result. \square

Definition A.3.17. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We say that X *verifies property (R_k)* or *is regular in codimension k* if $\forall \xi \in X$ with $\text{codim } \xi \leq k$,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X, \xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}.$$

We say that X *verifies property (S_k)* if $\forall \xi \in X$ with $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi} < k$,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}.$$

Example A.3.18. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then A verifies (S_1) iff A has no embedded point.

Suppose A verifies (S_1) . If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$, every element in \mathfrak{p} is a zero divisor. Then $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. It follows that $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and then \mathfrak{p} is minimal.

Suppose A has no embedded point. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. This means every element in $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Proposition A.1.2, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ for some minimal \mathfrak{q} , whence $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

Example A.3.19. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then A is reduced iff it verifies (R_0) and (S_1) .

Suppose A is reduced. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be all minimal prime ideals of A . We have $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$, where \mathfrak{N} is the nilradical of A . Hence A has no embedded point. Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is artinian, local and reduced, $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let $\text{Ass } A$ be equal to $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Then every \mathfrak{p}_i is minimal by (S_1) . Let f be in \mathfrak{N} . Then the image of f in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is 0 since by (R_0) , $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a field. It follows that $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$, where \mathfrak{q}_i is the \mathfrak{p}_i component of (0) in A . Hence $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$. That is, A is reduced.

A.3.4 Cohen-Macaulay rings

Definition A.3.20 (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\dim A = \text{depth } A$. A noetherian ring A is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. This is equivalent to that A verifies (S_k) for all $k \geq 0$.

Example A.3.21 (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings). **Yang: To be completed.**

Corollary A.3.22. Let A be a noetherian ring, M a finite A -module and $a \in A$ an M -regular element. Then $\text{depth } M = \text{depth } M/aM + 1$.

Corollary A.3.23. Let A be a noetherian ring $a \in A$ a nonzero divisor. Then A verifies (S_d) iff A/aA verifies (S_{d-1}) .

Definition A.3.24. An ideal I of a noetherian ring A is called *unmixed* if

$$\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/I).$$

Here $\text{ht}(I)$ is defined as

$$\text{ht}(I) := \inf\{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) : I \subset \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring A* if any ideal $I \subset A$ generated by $\text{ht}(I)$ elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme X* if $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is unmixed for any point $\xi \in X$.

Theorem A.3.25. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for X if and only if X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We can assume that $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine.

Suppose X is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $I \subset A$ be an ideal generated by a_1, \dots, a_r with $r = \text{ht}(I)$. We claim that a_1, \dots, a_r is an A -regular sequence. If so, we get that the unmixedness theorem holds for A by applying Example A.3.18 on A/I . Since $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \leq r-1$ by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.14 and $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_r) = r \leq \text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})+1$, we have $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) = r-1$. By induction on r , we can assume that a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} is an A -regular sequence. Hence any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ has height $r-1$. Now suppose a_r is a zero divisor in $A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$. Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ such that $a_r \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{ht}(I) \leq r-1$. This contradicts that $\text{ht}(I) = r$.

Suppose the unmixedness theorem holds for A . Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ be a prime ideal with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = r$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$ if and only if $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. If $r > 0$, there is a nonzero divisor $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.14, $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}A/aA) = r-1$. Inductively, we can find a regular sequence a_1, \dots, a_r in \mathfrak{p} . Then $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = r$. \square

Theorem A.3.26. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that X is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $F \subset X$ be a closed subset of codimension $\geq k$. Then the restriction $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

A.3.5 Regular rings

Definition A.3.27. A noetherian ring A is said to be *regular at $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$* if we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} T_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the Krull dimension of the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

A noetherian ring A is said to be *regular* if it is regular at every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. This is equivalent to the condition that A verifies (R_k) for all $k \geq 0$.

Remark A.3.28. A noetherian ring A is regular if and only if it is regular at every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$. The proof uses homological tools; see Theorem B.3.17 and Corollary B.3.18.

Definition A.3.29. Let A be a noetherian ring that is regular at $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. A sequence $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{p}$ is called a *regular system of parameters at \mathfrak{p}* if their images form a basis of the $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$.

Proposition A.3.30. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring that is regular at \mathfrak{m} . Let t_1, \dots, t_n be a regular system of parameters at \mathfrak{m} , $\mathfrak{p}_i = (t_1, \dots, t_i)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (0)$. Then \mathfrak{p}_i is a prime ideal of height i , and A/\mathfrak{p}_i is a regular local ring for all i . In particular, regular local ring is integral, and the regular system of parameters t_1, \dots, t_n is a regular sequence in A .

Proof. By the Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.14, we have

$$n-1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(t_1) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1))} T_{A/(t_1), \mathfrak{m}/(t_1)} \leq n-1.$$

Hence $\dim A/(t_1) = n-1$ and $\text{ht}(t_1) = 1$. Since t_2, \dots, t_n generate $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$, we have that $A/(t_1)$ is regular at $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$ and the images of t_2, \dots, t_n form a regular system of parameters.

For integrality, we induct on the dimension of A . If $\dim A = 0$, then A is a field and hence integral. Suppose $\dim A > 0$, let \mathfrak{q} be a minimal prime ideal of A . Then $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$. We have

$$n-1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{q}/(t_1))} T_{A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A), \mathfrak{q}/(t_1)} \leq n-1.$$

By similar arguments, we have $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$ is regular at $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$. By induction hypothesis, both of $A/t_1 A$ and $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$ are integral and of dimension $n-1$. Hence $t_1 A = t_1 A + \mathfrak{q}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 A$. For every $a = bt_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$, we have $b \in \mathfrak{q}$ since $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{q}$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathfrak{q} = 0$, whence A is integral. \square

Corollary A.3.31. A regular noetherian ring is Cohen-Macaulay.

Corollary A.3.32. A regular noetherian ring is normal.

Remark A.3.33. Indeed we can show a stronger result: a noetherian regular local ring is a UFD; see [Yang: ref.](#)

A.4 Finite Algebra and Normality

Let R be a ring and A be an R -algebra. We say that A is of *finite type* over R if there exists a surjective R -algebra homomorphism $R[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow A$ for some $n \geq 0$. We say that A is finite over R if it is finite as an R -module.

A.4.1 Finite algebra

Let A be a ring and B a finite A -algebra.

Example A.4.1. Let K be a number field. Then O_K is a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra. [Yang: To be completed.](#)

Lemma A.4.2. Let $A \subset B$ be noetherian rings such that B is finite over A . Then the induced morphism $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is surjective.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, let $S := A - \mathfrak{p}$ and denote $S^{-1}B$ by $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is finite over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be a maximal ideal of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We claim that $\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal. Indeed, consider $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, the latter is finite over the former. This enforces $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be a field. Hence $\mathfrak{P}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and then $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Proposition A.4.3. Let $A \subset B$ be noetherian rings such that B is finite over A . Then $\dim A = \dim B$.

Proof. If we have a sequence $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$ of prime ideals in B , then there exists $f \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$. Since B is finite over A , there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$. If $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1$, $f^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ since $f \notin \mathfrak{P}_1$. Then $a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_2$. Repeat the process, it will terminate, whence $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$. Otherwise, we have $f^n \in a_1 B + \dots + a_n B \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$.

Conversely, suppose we have $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$. Choose $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \text{Spec } B$ such that $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$, then we have $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset B/\mathfrak{P}_1$. Let \mathfrak{P}_2 be the preimage of the prime ideal in B/\mathfrak{P}_1 which is over image of \mathfrak{p}_2 in A/\mathfrak{p}_1 . Proposition A.4.2 guarantees that such \mathfrak{P}_2 exists. Then we get $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$. Repeat this progress, we get $\dim B \geq \dim A$. \square

[Yang: To be completed](#)

Definition A.4.4. An integral domain A is called *normal* if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Lemma A.4.5. Let $A \subset C$ be rings and B the integral closure of A in C , S a multiplicatively closed subset of A . Then the integral closure of $S^{-1}A$ in $S^{-1}C$ is $S^{-1}B$.

Proof. For every $b \in B$ and $\forall s \in S$, there exists $a_i \in A$ s.t.

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^n + \frac{a_1}{s^1} \left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{s^n} = 0.$$

Hence b/s is integral over $S^{-1}A$, $S^{-1}B$ is integral over $S^{-1}A$.

If $c/s \in S^{-1}C$ is integral over $S^{-1}A$, then $\exists a_i \in S^{-1}A$ s.t.

$$\left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^n + a_1 \left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n = 0 \in S^{-1}C$$

Then $\exists t \in S$ s.t.

$$t(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n) = 0 \in C.$$

Then

$$(ct)^n + a_1 st(ct)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n t^n = t^n (c^n + a_1 sc^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n) = 0.$$

Hence ct is integral over A , then $ct \in B$. Then $c/s = (ct)/(st) \in S^{-1}B$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition A.4.6. Normality is a local property. That is, for an integral domain A , TFAE:

- (i) A is normal.
- (ii) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal.
- (iii) For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is normal.

Proof. When A is normal, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal by Lemma A.4.5.

Assume that $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$. If A is not normal, let \tilde{A} be the integral closure of A in $\text{Frac } A$, \tilde{A}/A is a nonzero A -module. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } \tilde{A}/A$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. We have $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Proposition A.4.7. Let A be a normal ring. Then $A[X]$ is also normal.

Definition A.4.8. A scheme X is called *normal* if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is normal for any point $\xi \in X$. A ring A is called *normal* if $\text{Spec } A$ is normal.

Remark A.4.9. For a general ring A , let $S := A \setminus (\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} \mathfrak{p}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then S is a multiplicative set. The localization $S^{-1}A$ is called *the total ring of fractions* of A .

Suppose A is reduced and $\text{Ass } A = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Denote its total ring of fractions by Q . Note that elements in Q are either unit or zero divisor. Hence any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i Q$, whence contained in some $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$ are maximal ideals. And we have $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i Q = 0$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $Q = \prod Q/\mathfrak{p}_i Q = \prod A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Let A be a reduced ring with total ring of fractions Q . Then A is normal iff A is integral closed in Q . If A is normal, then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integral. Then there is unique minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, any two minimal prime ideal are relatively prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $A = \prod A/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Just need to check A/\mathfrak{p}_i is integral closed in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. This is clear by check pointwise.

Conversely, suppose A is integral closed in Q . Let e_i be the unit element of $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. It belongs to A since $e_i^2 - e_i = 0$. Since $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ and $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$, we have $A = \prod A e_i$. Since $A e_i$ is integral closed in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, it is normal. Hence A is normal.

Lemma A.4.10. Let A be a normal ring. Then A verifies (R_1) and (S_2) .

Proof. Since all properties are local, we can assume A is integral and local.

For (S_2) , by Example ??, we only need to show that $\text{Ass}_A A/f$ has no embedded point. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g) \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$ and $t := f/g \in \text{Frac } A$. After Replacing A by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can assume that \mathfrak{p} is maximal. By definition, $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. If $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, suppose \mathfrak{p} is generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) and $t^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ for $\Phi \in M_n(A)$. There is a monic polynomial $\chi(T) \in A[T]$ vanishing Φ . Then $\chi(t^{-1}) = 0$ and $t^{-1} \in A$. This is impossible by definition of t . Then $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = A$, and $\mathfrak{p} = (t)$ is principal. By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.14, $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

Now we show that A verifies (R_1) . Suppose (A, \mathfrak{m}) is local of dimension 1. Choosing $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, A/a is of dimension 0. Then by A.3.11, $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset aA$ for some $n \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \not\subset aA$. Choose $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \setminus aA$ and let $t = a/b$. By construction, $t^{-1} \notin A$ and $t^{-1}\mathfrak{m} \subset A$. After similar argument, we see that $\mathfrak{m} = tA$, whence A is regular. \square

Lemma A.4.11. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring of dimension 1. Then A is normal iff A is regular.

Proof. By lemma A.4.10, we just need to show that regularity implies normality.

Let $t \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Since A is regular, $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$. Let $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal. If $I \subset \bigcap_n \mathfrak{m}^n$, then for every $a \in I$, there exists a_n such that $a = a_n t^n$. Then we get an ascending chain of ideals $(a_1) \subset (a_2) \subset \cdots$. Hence $a = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma. Suppose I is not zero. Then there is some n such that $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^n$ and $I \not\subset \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. For every $at^n \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$, $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$, whence a is a unit in A . Then $I = (t^n)$. Hence A is PID and hence normal. \square

Proposition A.4.12. Let A be a noetherian integral domain of dimension ≥ 1 verifying (S_2) . Then

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Clearly $A \subset \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $t = f/g \in \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $f \in gA_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we have $gA = \bigcap (gA_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A)$, $f \in gA$. It follows that $t \in A$. \square

Theorem A.4.13 (Serre's criterion for normality). Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then X is normal if and only if it verifies (R_1) and (S_2) .

Proof. One direction has been proved in Lemma A.4.10. Suppose X verifies (R_1) and (S_2) . Again we can assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine and A is local. By Remark A.4.9, we just need to show that A is integral closed in its total ring of fractions Q . Suppose we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n + c_1 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n = 0 \in Q.$$

Since A verifies (S_2) , $bA = \bigcap \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. So it is sufficient to show that $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular and hence normal by Lemma A.4.11. Then above equation gives us desired result. \square

A.5 Smoothness

A.5.1 Modules of differentials and derivations

In this subsection, let R be a ring and A an R -algebra.

Definition A.5.1 (Derivation). A *derivation* of A over R is an R -linear map $\partial : A \rightarrow M$ with an A -module such that for all $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\partial(ab) = a\partial(b) + b\partial(a).$$

Given the module M , the set of all derivations of A over R into M forms an A -module, denoted by $\text{Der}_R(A, M)$.

Given a module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of A -modules and a derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$, the map $f \circ \partial$ is a derivation of A over R into N .

Proposition A.5.2. The functor $\text{Der}_R(A, -)$ is representable. The representing object is denoted by $\Omega_{A/R}$, which is called the *module of differentials* of A over R .

Proof. First suppose A is a free R -algebra with a set of generators $a_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Then an R -derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$ is uniquely determined by its values on the generators a_{λ} . Let

$$\Omega_{A/R} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A \cdot da_{\lambda}$$

and $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ be the R -derivation defined by $a_{\lambda} \mapsto da_{\lambda}$. For any R -derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$, we can define a unique A -module homomorphism $\Phi_{\partial} : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$ by sending da_{λ} to $\partial(a_{\lambda})$ such that $\partial = \Phi_{\partial} \circ d$. This gives a bijection

$$\text{Der}_R(A, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, M), \quad \partial \mapsto \Phi_{\partial}.$$

Now suppose $A = F/I$ is an arbitrary R -algebra, where F is a free R -algebra and I is an ideal of F . Then we can define the module of differentials

$$\Omega_{A/R} := (\Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F A) / \sum_{f \in I} A \cdot df.$$

The R -linear map $d_A : F \otimes_F A \xrightarrow{d_F} \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ is a derivation of A over R .

For any R -derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$, note that $F \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\partial} M$ is an R -derivation of F over R into M . Then we get an F -module homomorphism $\Omega_F \rightarrow M$. It gives an A -module homomorphism $\Omega_F \otimes_F A \rightarrow M, df \otimes 1 \mapsto \partial f$. This map factors into $\Omega_F \otimes_F A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ and $\Phi_{\partial} : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$. Since Φ_{∂} is A -linear and $\Omega_{A/R}$ is generated by da_{λ} as A -module, such Φ_{∂} is unique. \square

Corollary A.5.3. Suppose A is of finite type over R . Then the module of differentials $\Omega_{A/R}$ is a finitely generated A -module.

Remark A.5.4. Let B be an A -algebra, M an A -module and N a B -module. If there is a homomorphism of A -modules $M \rightarrow N$, then we can extend it to a homomorphism of B -modules $M \otimes_A B \rightarrow N$ by sending $m \otimes b$ to $m \cdot b$.

And such extension is unique in the sense of following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ M \otimes_A B & & \end{array}$$

Hence we get a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, N).$$

Proposition A.5.5. Let A, R' be R -algebras and $A' := A \otimes_R R'$. Then the module of differentials $\Omega_{A'/R'}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$.

Proof. We check the universal property of $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$. First, the map

$$d_{A'} : A \otimes_R R' \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_R R' \cong \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A', \quad a \otimes r \mapsto da \otimes r$$

is an R' -derivation of A' into $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$. For any R' -derivation $\partial' : A' \rightarrow M$ into an A' -module M , we can compose it with the homomorphism $A' \rightarrow A$ and get an R -derivation $\partial : A \rightarrow M$. By the universal property of $\Omega_{A/R}$, there is a unique A -module homomorphism $\Phi : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$ such that $\partial = \Phi \circ d_A$. Then we can extend it to an A' -module homomorphism $\Phi' : \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A' \rightarrow M$ by Remark A.5.4. By the construction, we have $\Phi' \circ d_{A'} = \partial'$. \square

Proposition A.5.6. Let A be an R -algebra and S a multiplicative set of A . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{S^{-1}A/R} \cong S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}.$$

Proof. Let

$$d_{S^{-1}A} : S^{-1}A \rightarrow S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}, \quad \frac{a}{s} \mapsto \frac{sda - ads}{s^2}.$$

By direct computation, $d_{S^{-1}A}$ is an R -derivation of $S^{-1}A$ over R into $S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}$. For any R -derivation $\partial : S^{-1}A \rightarrow M$ into an $S^{-1}A$ -module M , we can get an $S^{-1}A$ -module homomorphism $\Phi' : S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$ as proof of Proposition A.5.5. We have

$$\partial(s \cdot \frac{a}{s}) = s\partial(\frac{a}{s}) + \frac{a}{s}\partial s.$$

It follows that

$$\partial(\frac{a}{s}) = \frac{s\partial a - a\partial s}{s^2} = \frac{s\Phi'(da) - a\Phi'(ds)}{s^2} = \Phi'(\frac{sda - ads}{s^2}).$$

Thus, $\Phi' \circ d_{S^{-1}A} = \partial$. \square

Theorem A.5.7. Let A be an R -algebra and B an A -algebra. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0$$

of B -modules.

Proof. Let $d_{A/R} : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ be the R -derivation of A over R . The map $A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{d_{B/R}} \Omega_{B/R}$ induces a B -linear map

$$u : \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}, \quad d_{A/R}(a) \otimes b \mapsto bd_{B/R}(a).$$

The map $d_{B/A}$ is an A -derivation and hence R -derivation. Then it induces a B -linear map

$$v : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}, \quad d_{B/R}(b) \mapsto d_{B/A}(b).$$

Since $\Omega_{B/A}$ is generated by elements of the form $d_{B/A}(b)$ for $b \in B$, the map v is surjective. And clearly $d_{B/A}(a) = ad_{B/A}(1) = 0$ for $a \in A$.

Consider the composition $B \xrightarrow{d_{B/R}} \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}/\mathrm{Im} u$. For every $a \in A, b \in B$, we have

$$[d_{B/R}(ab)] = [bd_{B/R}(a) + ad_{B/R}(b)] = [bd_{B/R}(a)] + [ad_{B/A}(b)] = [ad_{B/A}(b)].$$

Hence it is indeed an A -derivation of B . Then it induces a B -linear map

$$\varphi : \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}/\mathrm{Im} u, \quad d_{B/A}(b) \mapsto [d_{B/R}(b)].$$

The map φ is surjective since $\Omega_{B/R}$ is generated by elements of the form $d_{B/R}(b)$ for $b \in B$. Note that the composition

$$\Omega_{B/A} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{B/R}/\mathrm{Im} u \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}/\mathrm{Ker} v$$

is the identity map. Thus, φ is injective and hence an isomorphism. In particular, we have $\text{Ker } v = \text{Im } u$. \square

Remark A.5.8. The exact sequence in Theorem A.5.7 is left exact if and only if every R -derivation of A into B -module extends to an R -derivation of B into B -module.

Yang: To be completed.

Theorem A.5.9. Let A be an R -algebra and I an ideal of A . Set $B := A/I$. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$$

of B -modules.

Proof. Suppose $A = F/\mathfrak{b}$ for some free R -algebra F and an ideal \mathfrak{b} of F . Let \mathfrak{a} be the preimage of I in F . Let $d\mathfrak{b}$ (resp. $d\mathfrak{a}$) denote the image of \mathfrak{b} (resp. \mathfrak{a}) in $\Omega_{F/R}$. Then we have

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B = \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F B / (d\mathfrak{b} \otimes_F B), \quad \Omega_{B/R} = \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F B / (d\mathfrak{a} \otimes_F B).$$

Clearly

$$I/I^2 \cong (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) \otimes_F B \rightarrow (d\mathfrak{a} \otimes_F B) / (d\mathfrak{b} \otimes_F B)$$

is surjective. Then the exact sequence follows. \square

Definition A.5.10. Let k be a field and A an integral k -algebra of finite type of dimension n . We say A is *smooth* at $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ if the module of differentials $\Omega_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank n .

Example A.5.11. Let K/k be a finite generated field extension and k' be the algebraic closure of k in K . Then

$$\dim_K \Omega_{K/k} = \text{trdeg}(K/k) + \dim_{k'} \Omega_{k'/k},$$

and $\dim_{k'} \Omega_{k'/k} = 0$ if and only if k' is separable over k .

First suppose $K = k'$ is algebraic over k . Suppose k'/k is separable. For every $\alpha \in k'$, suppose $f(\alpha) = 0$ for $f \in k[T]$. Then $df(\alpha) = f'(\alpha)d\alpha = 0$. By the separability of k'/k , we have $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$. It follows that $d\alpha = 0$. Conversely, let $\alpha \in k'$ be an inseparable element over k . Since $k[\alpha] \rightarrow k[\alpha], \alpha^n \mapsto n\alpha^{n-1}$ is a non-zero R -derivation, we have $\Omega_{k[\alpha]/k} \neq 0$. By induction on number of generated elements, choosing a middle field $k \subset k'' \subset k'$, at least one of $\Omega_{k''/k}$ and $\Omega_{k'/k''}$ is non-zero. Then $\Omega_{K/k} \neq 0$ by Theorem A.5.7.

Then suppose $k' = k$. By the Noether's Normalization Lemma, we can find a finite set of elements $T_1, \dots, T_n \in K$ such that K is algebraic over $k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$. Note that we can choose T_i such that $K/k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is separable. To see this, if $\alpha \in K$ is an inseparable element over $k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$, then by replacing a suitable T_i with α , we reduce the inseparable degree of $K/k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

Since $K/k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is finite, every k -derivation of $k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ into K -module extends to a k -derivation of K into K -module. Then by Remark A.5.8, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)/k} \otimes_{k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)} K \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k'(T_1, \dots, T_n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, note that every k -derivation ∂ of k' into K -module can be extended to $k'[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ by setting $\partial T_i = 0$. Thus, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{k'/k} \otimes_{k'} k'[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \Omega_{k'[T_1, \dots, T_n]/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{k'[T_1, \dots, T_n]/k'} \rightarrow 0.$$

This follows that

$$\dim_K \Omega_{K/k} = \dim_K \Omega_{K/k'} + \dim_{k'} \Omega_{k'/k}.$$

A.5.2 Applications to affine varieties

Let k be arbitrary field, $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ and \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of A such that $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is separable over k . We try to give an explanation of Zariski's tangent space at \mathfrak{m} using the language of derivation. We know that $\Omega_{A/k} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Ad} T_i$, thus $\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{m}} dT_i$. Then

$$\text{Der}_k(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{m}} \partial_i,$$

where $\partial_i \in \text{Der}_k(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is the derivation defined by $dT_i \mapsto 1$ and $dT_j \mapsto 0$ for $j \neq i$. It coincides with the usual derivation $f \mapsto \partial f / \partial T_i$. Consider the restriction of ∂_i to \mathfrak{m} and take values in the residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$, we get

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^T} A_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n.$$

Since $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is separable over k , we claim that $\text{Ker } \Phi = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Indeed, by Remark A.5.12, we can write every $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ as $\sum_i a_i g_i$. Then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial T_i} = a_i \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial T_i} + g_i \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial T_i}.$$

Since g_i is separable, the image of $\partial g_i / \partial T_i$ in $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is not zero. Hence $\Phi(f) \neq 0$. By the claim, Φ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n$ of $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces. Then we get

$$T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \cdot \partial_i|_x,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{A}_k^n$ is the point corresponding to \mathfrak{m} . This coincides with the usual tangent space at x in language of differential geometry.

Remark A.5.12. Let k be arbitrary field, $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ and g_i irreducible polynomials in one variable T_i over k . Then for every $f \in A$, we can write

$$f = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} a_I g_1^{i_1} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \quad a_I \in A, \quad \deg_{T_i} a_I \leq \deg g_i.$$

This is called the *Taylor expansion of f with respect to g_1, \dots, g_n* .

When $n = 1$, it follows from division algorithm. For $n > 1$, we can use induction on n . Let $K = k(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$. Then we can write f as

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i g_n^i, \quad a_i \in K[T_n], \quad \deg a_i < \deg g_n.$$

Comparing the coefficients of two sides from the highest degree of T_n to the lowest degree, we see that

$$a_i \in k[T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}].$$

By induction hypothesis, the conclusion follows.

Let $B = A/I$ be a k of finite type, $I = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ and \mathfrak{n} the image of \mathfrak{m} in B . We have an exact sequence of $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces an isomorphism

$$T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \cong \{\partial \in T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} : \partial(f) = 0, \forall f \in I\}.$$

The *Jacobian matrix* of F_1, \dots, F_m is the $m \times n$ matrix

$$J(F_1, \dots, F_m) := \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial T_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

with entries in B .

Theorem A.5.13. Setting as above. Then B is regular at \mathfrak{n} if and only if the Jacobian matrix J has maximal rank $n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ after taking values in the residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$.

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \kappa^m \rightarrow 0,$$

where Ψ sends $\partial \in T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$ to $(\partial(F_1), \dots, \partial(F_m))^T$. Note that the matrix of Ψ is just J^T , the transpose of the Jacobian matrix. Hence

$$\text{rank } J = n - \dim_{\kappa} T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \leq n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$$

and the equality holds if and only if B is regular at \mathfrak{n} . □

Remark A.5.14. If $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is not separable over k , then we still have the inequality

$$\text{rank } J \leq n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Indeed, in any case, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\dim_{\kappa} I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) = n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$. There is a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -linear map

$$I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n, \quad [f] \mapsto (\partial_1(f), \dots, \partial_n(f))^T,$$

and every row of the Jacobian matrix J is in the image of this map. Thus, the rank of J is at most $n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Hence if $\text{rank } J = n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$, we can still see that B is regular at \mathfrak{n} . However, the converse does not hold in general.

Proposition A.5.15. Let k be a field, \mathbf{k} the algebraic closure of k , A a k -algebra of finite type and $A_{\mathbf{k}} := A \otimes_k \mathbf{k}$. **Yang:** Suppose $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is integral. Let $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ and \mathfrak{m}' be a maximal ideal of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ lying over \mathfrak{m} . Then

- (a) If $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{m}' , then A is regular at \mathfrak{m} ;
- (b) suppose $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is separable over k , the converse holds.

Proof. Regarding $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ as matrices with entries in \mathbf{k} , they are the same and hence have the same rank. If $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{m}' , since $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathbf{k}$, then $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}'} = n - \dim A_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{m}'}$. Note that $\dim A_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{m}'} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A_{\mathbf{k}})/\mathbf{k}) = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A)/k) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}} = n - \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence A is regular at \mathfrak{m} .

Conversely, suppose A is regular at \mathfrak{m} and $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is separable over k . Then $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}} = n - \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{m}' . \square

Proposition A.5.16. Let k be a field and A an integral k -algebra of finite type and of dimension n . Let \mathbf{k} be the algebraic closure of k and $A_{\mathbf{k}} := A \otimes_k \mathbf{k}$. Then A is smooth at $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ if and only if $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at every \mathfrak{m}' over \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. Since $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \cong \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is free of rank n if and only if $\Omega_{A/k}$ is free of rank n , we can assume that $k = \mathbf{k}$. If A is smooth at \mathfrak{p} , then $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \cong \bigoplus A_{\mathfrak{p}} df_i$ is free of rank n . Let $\mathfrak{P}_i \in \text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}})$ be the derivation defined by $df_i \mapsto 1$ and $dT_j \mapsto 0$ for $j \neq i$. Then we have $\partial_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then similar to above argument, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^T} \mathbf{k}^n.$$

This shows that $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{m} .

Conversely, suppose $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{m} . Note that $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ is surjective since $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} = 0$. Then by Nakayama's lemma, $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k}$ is generated by n elements as an $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.

Note that $\dim_{\mathcal{K}(A)} \Omega_{\mathcal{K}(A)/k} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A)/k) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} = n$. **Yang:** By induction on transcendental degree.

Yang: By Nakayama's Lemma, $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k}$ is free of rank n as an $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.

Yang: To be completed. \square

Example A.5.17. Let k be an imperfect field of characteristic $p > 2$. Suppose $\alpha = \beta^p \in k$ and β is not in k . Let $A = k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^p - \alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y^p - \alpha) = (x)$. Note that \mathfrak{m} is principal, so A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . However,

$$J_{\mathfrak{m}} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2 - y^p - \alpha), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y^p - \alpha) \right) = (2x, 0) = (0, 0) \in M_{1 \times 2}(\kappa(\mathfrak{m})).$$

Thus, A is not smooth at \mathfrak{m} . From the view of differentials, we have

$$\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} = A_{\mathfrak{m}} dx \oplus A_{\mathfrak{m}} dy / A_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot x dx = \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) dx \oplus A_{\mathfrak{m}} dy,$$

which is not free as an $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.

Appendix B

Homological Algebra

B.1 Complexes and Homology

Definition B.1.1. Let A_\bullet and B_\bullet be two complexes in \mathcal{A} and $\varphi_\bullet, \psi_\bullet : A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ be two morphisms of complexes. A *homotopy* between φ_\bullet and ψ_\bullet is a collection of morphisms $h_n : A_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ such that

$$\varphi_n - \psi_n = d_{B_{n+1}} \circ h_n + h_{n-1} \circ d_{A_n}.$$

In diagram, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & A_n & \xrightarrow{d_{A_n}} & A_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \searrow h_n & & \downarrow \psi_n & & \swarrow \varphi_n \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{B_{n+1}}} & B_n & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \swarrow d_{B_{n+1}} & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \searrow h_{n-1} \end{array}$$

B.2 Derived Functors

In this section, fix an abelian category \mathcal{A} .

B.2.1 Resolution

Definition B.2.1 (Resolution). Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A *projective resolution* (resp. *flat resolution*, *free resolution*) of A is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where each P_i is a projective (resp. flat, free) object in \mathcal{A} .

An *injective resolution* of A is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where each I^i is an injective object in \mathcal{A} .

Proposition B.2.2. Let $P_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and $Q_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be complexes in \mathcal{A} such that P_i is projective and Q_\bullet is exact. Given a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, there exists a morphism of complexes $f_\bullet : P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ such that $f_0 = f$. In particular, any two such morphism of complexes are homotopic.

Dually, let $I^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ and $J^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be complexes in \mathcal{A} such that J^i is injective and I^\bullet is exact. Given a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, there exists a morphism of complexes $f^\bullet : I^\bullet \rightarrow J^\bullet$ such that $f^0 = f$. In particular, any two such morphism of complexes are homotopic.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Definition B.2.3. For an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the *projective dimension* of A , denoted $\text{proj. dim } A$, is the smallest integer n such that there exists a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of A of length n . If no such n exists, we set $\text{proj. dim } A = \infty$.

Dually, the *injective dimension* of A , denoted $\text{inj. dim } A$, is the smallest integer n such that there exists an injective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^{n-1} \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow 0$$

of A of length n . If no such n exists, we set $\text{inj. dim } A = \infty$.

B.3 Applications to Commutative Algebra

B.3.1 Homological dimension

Lemma B.3.1. Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Then

$$\sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_N \text{inj. dim } N.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$$

if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_{n+1}^A(M, N) = 0, \quad \forall N.$$

And this is equivalent to

$$\text{inj. dim } N \leq n.$$

□

Remark B.3.2. In fact, for fix N , we have

$$\text{inj. dim } N \leq n$$

if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_{n+1}^A(A/I, N) = 0, \quad \forall I$$

By Lemma Yang: ?. Hence we have

$$\sup_{M \text{ finite}} \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_N \text{inj. dim } N.$$

Definition B.3.3. Let A be a ring. The *homological dimension* of A , denoted $\text{hl. dim } A$, is defined as

$$\text{hl. dim } A := \sup_M \text{proj. dim } M = \sup_M \text{inj. dim } M.$$

Lemma B.3.4. Let A be a noetherian ring, B a flat A -algebra and M a finite A -module. Then we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \otimes B \cong \text{Ext}_B^i(M \otimes A, N \otimes A), \quad \forall N.$$

Proof. Yang: To be completed. □

Proposition B.3.5. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then

$$\text{hl. dim } A = \sup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{hl. dim } A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Compute homological dimension of A using $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$ for finite M . The conclusion follows from Proposition B.3.4. □

Definition B.3.6. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. We say that a homomorphism of A -modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ is *minimal* if the induced map $M \otimes \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow N \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently, f is minimal if and only if f is

surjective and $\text{Ker } f \subset \mathfrak{m}M$.

Definition B.3.7. Let A be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. A *minimal projective resolution* of M is a projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

such that each homomorphism $P_i \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{i-1}$ is minimal.

Proposition B.3.8. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then M has a minimal projective resolution. Moreover, any two minimal projective resolutions of M are isomorphic.

Proof. Suppose $M \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{k} \cdot \bar{x}_i$. Lift x_i to elements of M . Then we have a minimal homomorphism $d_0 : \bigoplus A \cdot x_i \rightarrow M$. Similarly choose minimal homomorphisms $d_k : A^{n_i} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. This gives a minimal projective resolution.

Suppose we have two minimal homomorphism $f, g : A^n \rightarrow M$. After tensoring with \mathfrak{k} , we have isomorphisms between $f \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ and $g \otimes \mathfrak{k}$. Lifting to A , we get an homomorphism $\varphi : f \rightarrow g$. Here homomorphism between f, g means a homomorphism $A^n \rightarrow A^n$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. The homomorphism φ is represented by a matrix T . We have $\det T \notin \mathfrak{m}$, whence φ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition B.3.9. Let $L_\bullet \rightarrow M$ be a minimal projective resolution and P_\bullet be an arbitrary projective resolution of M . Then we have $P_\bullet \cong L_\bullet \oplus P'_\bullet$ for some exact complexes P'_\bullet .

Proof. By Propostion B.2.2, we have homomorphism

$$L_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi_\bullet} P_\bullet \xrightarrow{\psi_\bullet} L_\bullet.$$

between complexes. By Propostion B.2.2 again, $T_\bullet := \psi_\bullet \circ \varphi_\bullet$ is homotopic to the identity by h_\bullet . Suppose T_\bullet is represented by a matrix. Since L_\bullet is minimal, we have

$$(T - \text{id})(L_n) = (d_{n+1} \circ h_n + h_{n-1} \circ d_n)(L_n) \subset \mathfrak{m}L_n.$$

Then $\det T \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and hence T_\bullet is an isomorphism. It follows that ψ_\bullet is surjective, whence it splits P_\bullet into a direct sum $L \oplus P'_\bullet$ since L_\bullet is projective. By the Five Lemma, we see that P'_\bullet is exact. \square

Lemma B.3.10. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$.

Proof. The necessity is clear. For the sufficiency, we have a minimal projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $C := \text{Im } d_n$. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Tor}_1^A(C, \mathfrak{k}) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$. Let $K = \text{Ker } d_n$. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Tor}_1^A(C, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow P_n \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow C \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $P_n \rightarrow C$ is minimal, we have $K \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} = 0$. By the Nakayama's lemma, $K = 0$. This implies that $\text{proj. dim } C \leq 0$ and hence $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$. \square

Proposition B.3.11. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. Then $\text{hl. dim } A = \text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k}$ (finite or infinite).

Proof. The inequality $\text{hl. dim } A \geq \text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k}$ is by definition. Conversely, we can compute $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathfrak{k})$ using minimal projective resolution of \mathfrak{k} for any finite A -module M . By Lemma B.3.10, we have $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$. This implies that $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n$ for all finite A -modules M if $\text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k} = n$. By Remark B.3.2, we have $\text{hl. dim } A \leq n$. \square

Proposition B.3.12. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ be an M -regular element. Then $\text{proj. dim } M/aM = \text{proj. dim } M + 1$. Here we set $\infty + 1 = \infty$.

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{*a} M \rightarrow M/aM \rightarrow 0.$$

Take the long exact sequence with respect to $\text{Tor}(-, \mathfrak{k})$, we get

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M/aM, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) \xrightarrow{*a} \text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since the derived homomorphism of $*a$ is zero, we have $\text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M/aM, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$. By Lemma B.3.10, we have $\text{proj. dim } M/aM = \text{proj. dim } M + 1$. \square

B.3.2 Depth and regularity by homological algebra

Proposition B.3.13. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Then

$$\text{depth } M := \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ be M -regular and $N = M/aM$. Then we claim that

$$\inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) \neq 0\} - 1.$$

Indeed, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{a} M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathfrak{k}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathfrak{k}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, \text{Mult}_a)} \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Note that $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, \text{Mult}_a) = 0$. It follows that when $\text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathfrak{k}, M) = 0$, we have $\text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(\mathfrak{k}, N) = 0$ iff $\text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) = 0$, whence the claim.

Let $n = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_A^i(\mathfrak{k}, M) \neq 0\}$. Induct on n . Suppose first $n = 0$. Since \mathfrak{k} is a simple A -module, there is an injective homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass } M$ and hence $\text{depth } M = 0$.

Suppose $n > 0$, let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathfrak{m}$ be any M -regular sequence. Using the claim inductively on $M/(a_1, \dots, a_m)M$, we have $n \geq \text{depth}$. If M has no regular element, then $\mathfrak{m} \subset \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$. This show that we can find $x \neq 0 \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x$. It gives a homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} = A/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow M$. That is a contradiction and hence M has a regular element. Let a be M -regular and $N = M/aM$. Then $\text{depth } N = n - 1$ by the claim and induction hypothesis. Hence we have $\text{depth } M \geq n$. \square

Lemma B.3.14. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring. Suppose we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A^{n_r} \xrightarrow{d_r} A^{n_{r-1}} \xrightarrow{d_{r-1}} \cdots \rightarrow A^{n_1} \xrightarrow{d_1} A^{n_0},$$

such that $A^{n_i} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_{i-1}$ is minimal for all i . Then $\text{depth } A \geq r$.

Proof. Since d_r is injective and its image is contained in $\mathfrak{m}A^{n_{r-1}}$, we can choose $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is not a zero divisor. Denote the sequence by C_\bullet . Then we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_\bullet \xrightarrow{*t} C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet/tC_\bullet \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{*t} H_i(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet/tC_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{*t} H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since C_\bullet is exact, we have $H_i(C_\bullet) = 0$ for all i . In particular, $H_i(C_\bullet/tC_\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Inductively, we can choose a regular sequence of length r in \mathfrak{m} . \square

Lemma B.3.15. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Suppose there is an injective homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M$. Then $\text{proj. dim } M \geq \dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ such that their images in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ form a basis. Then we have a complex

$$K_\bullet := 0 \rightarrow \wedge^n A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_n} \wedge^{n-1} A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \rightarrow \wedge^1 A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_1} \wedge^0 A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$d_r : \wedge^r A^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} A^{\oplus n}, \quad e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^k x_{i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}.$$

Here $\widehat{e_{i_k}}$ means that we omit the k -th element. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$ be the minimal projective resolution of M . Then we have a homomorphism of complexes

$$\varphi_\bullet : K_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$$

induced by the injective homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M$.

We claim that φ_i is injective and splits P_i into a direct sum $K_i \oplus F_i$ with F_i free for all $i \geq 0$. Since K_i and P_i are free, we just need to show that $\varphi_i \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is injective. Induct on i . For $i = 0$, note that $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathfrak{k}$ is injective, by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{k} \\ \varphi_0 \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathfrak{k}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_0 \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \end{array},$$

the image of $\varphi_0 \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is not zero in $P_0 \otimes_A \mathfrak{k}$.

For $i > 0$, since K_{i-1} and P_{i-1} are free, we have a natural isomorphism between

$$\mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}P_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{k}$$

and

$$K_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow P_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2.$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_i \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}P_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \end{array} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Since $P_{i-1}/K_{i-1} \cong F_{i-1}$ is free, the right vertical map in (B.1) is injective. By construction of K_\bullet , $K_i \otimes_A \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}K_{i-1} \otimes_A \mathfrak{k}$ is injective. Hence the left vertical map in (B.1) is injective. This completes the proof of the claim.

By the claim, $P_i \neq 0$ for all $i \leq n$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition B.3.16 (Auslander-Buchsbaum formula). Let A be a noetherian local ring and M a finite A -module. Suppose $\text{proj. dim } M < \infty$. Then $\text{proj. dim } M = \text{depth } A - \text{depth } M$.

Proof. We have a minimal projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow A^{n_r} \rightarrow A^{n_{r-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^{n_1} \rightarrow A^{n_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma B.3.14, we have $\text{depth } A \geq \text{proj. dim } M$.

Induct on $\text{depth } M$. Suppose $\text{depth } M = 0$. Then by Proposition B.3.13, we have $\text{Hom}_A(\mathfrak{k}, M) \neq 0$, whence there is an injective homomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M$. By Lemma B.3.15, we have

$$\text{depth } A \geq \text{proj. dim } M \geq \dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} \geq \text{depth } A.$$

If $\text{depth } M > 0$, choose a regular element $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is M -regular. Then by Proposition B.3.12, we have

$$\text{depth } M + \text{proj. dim } M = \text{depth}(M/aM) - 1 + \text{proj. dim}(M/aM) + 1 = \text{depth } A.$$

\square

Theorem B.3.17. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring. Then A is regular at \mathfrak{m} if and only if $\text{hl. dim } A < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a minimal generating set of \mathfrak{m} . Then x_1, \dots, x_n is an A -regular sequence since A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . By Proposition B.3.12, we have $\text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k} = \text{proj. dim } A/(x_1, \dots, x_n)A = n + \text{proj. dim } A = n$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{hl. dim } A < \infty$. Then by Proposition B.3.11, we have $\text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k} < \infty$. We have

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} \leq \text{proj. dim } \mathfrak{k} \leq \text{depth } A \leq \dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

The first “ \leq ” follows from Lemma B.3.15. The second “ \leq ” follows from Proposition B.3.16. Hence we see that A is regular at \mathfrak{m} . \square

Corollary B.3.18. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring. Then A is regular if and only if it is regular at \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial. For the necessity, note that if A is regular, then $\text{hl. dim } A < \infty$ by Theorem B.3.17. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, we have a finite projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have a finite projective resolution of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. By Theorem B.3.17 again, we see that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular at \mathfrak{p} . \square

Lemma B.3.19. Let A be a noetherian integral domain. Then A is a UFD if and only if every height 1 prime ideal of A is principal.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

Lemma B.3.20. Let A be a noetherian integral domain and $(x) \subset A$ a non-zero prime ideal. Then A is a UFD if and only if $A[1/x]$ is a UFD.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

Theorem B.3.21. Let A, \mathfrak{m} be a regular noetherian local ring. Then A is UFD.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

Bibliography

[Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Vol. 120. WA Benjamin New York, 1970.
