


---

---

# *Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes*



如果是勇者辛美尔，他一定会这么做的！

---

---

# Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes

## 1 Height, Depth and Dimension **Yang: To be completed**

**Krull dimension and height of prime ideals** Algebraically, we have the following definitions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal*  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$  is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that is,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of  $A$  is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Geometrically, we have the corresponding definition.

**Definition 2.** Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme. The *codimension of an irreducible subscheme*  $Y$  in  $X$  is defined as the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets containing  $Y$ , that is,

$$\text{codim}_X(Y) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of irreducible closed subsets } Y = Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Y_n\}.$$

The *dimension* of  $X$  is defined as

$$\dim X := \max_{\xi \in X} \text{codim}_X Z_\xi.$$

For an affine scheme  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , above two definitions coincide by the correspondence of prime ideals and irreducible closed subsets.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . Then

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{codim}_{\text{Spec } A} V(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then the induced morphism  $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is surjective.

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , let  $S := A - \mathfrak{p}$  and denote  $S^{-1}B$  by  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a maximal ideal of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is maximal. Indeed, consider  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , the latter is finite over the former. This enforces  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be a field. Hence  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and then  $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then  $\dim A = \dim B$ .

*Proof.* If we have a sequence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$  of prime ideals in  $B$ , then there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Since  $B$  is finite over  $A$ , there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . If  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ ,  $f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_1$  since  $f \notin \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then  $a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat the process, it will terminate, whence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . Otherwise, we have  $f^n \in a_1 B + \cdots + a_n B \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$ .

Conversely, suppose we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Choose  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \text{Spec } B$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$ , then we have  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset B/\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be the preimage of the prime ideal in  $B/\mathfrak{P}_1$  which is over image of  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Proposition 4 guarantees that such  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  exists. Then we get  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat this progress, we get  $\dim B \geq \dim A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6** (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $f$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we may assume  $A$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $A/(f)$  is artinian since it has only one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \cdots$ , its image in  $A/(f)$  is stationary. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ , we may write  $x = y + af$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Then  $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$  is

$\mathfrak{q}$ -primary and  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Then we get  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Note that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , by Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma again,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{q} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is minimal, whence  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is artinian. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is minimal in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian local ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Then  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . If  $f$  is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption,  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ , we get a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/(f)$  of length  $n$ . Now we suppose  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Then there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$ .

Choose  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f)$  and contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Then by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6,  $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Replace  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  by  $\mathfrak{q}_k$ , we have  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$ .

Repeat this process, we get a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$ . This gives a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  in  $A/(f)$ . Hence we get  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ .

Since  $f$  is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in  $A/(f)$  has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/fA$  can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in  $A$ . It follows that  $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$ .  $\square$

For varieties, the Krull dimension behaves well by follows.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over  $k$ . Then for every closed point  $x \in X(k)$ , we have

$$\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k).$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is irreducible, we may assume that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Let  $d = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k)$ .

By Noether's Normalization Lemma ??, there is an injective and finite homomorphism  $A_0 = k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the corresponding maximal ideal of  $x$  in  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ . Denote the image of  $T_i$  in  $\mathfrak{l} := A_0/\mathfrak{m}$  by  $t_i$ . The extension  $\mathfrak{l}/k$  is finite by Nullstellensatz ??. Let  $f_i \in k[T]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $t_i$  and  $g_i := f_i(T_i) \in A_0$ . Then  $g_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_d A_0$ . In particular,  $g_1, \dots, g_d \in \mathfrak{M}$ .

We have  $A/g_1 A + \cdots + g_d A$  is finite over  $A_0/\mathfrak{m}$ , whence it is artinian. This implies that  $A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1 A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_d A_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is also artinian. Since  $g_{k+1}$  is not a zero divisor in  $A_0/g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_k A_0$ ,  $g_{k+1}$  is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $A_0/g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_k A_0$ . Then  $g_{k+1}$  is also not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $A/g_1 A + \cdots + g_k A$ . By Corollary 7,  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{M}} = \dim(A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1 A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_d A_{\mathfrak{M}}) + d = d$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $S$  be spectrum of a field  $k$  or an algebraic integer ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $X$  an integral  $S$ -variety. Then we have the follows:

- (i) For every point  $\xi \in X$ ,  $\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} + \text{codim } Z_{\xi}$ .
- (ii) For every non-empty open subset  $U \subset X$ ,  $\dim U = \dim X$ .
- (iii)  $\dim X = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/\mathcal{K}(S)) + \dim S$ .

*Proof.* **Yang: To be continued.**  $\square$

**Example 10.** For general noetherian schemes, Theorem 9 may not hold. Let  $A = k[t]$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$ ,  $B = A_{\mathfrak{m}}[x]$  and  $X = \text{Spec } B$ . Then we have  $\dim X = 2$  since **Yang: To be added.**

**Depth** For a noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ , we can define the depth of an  $A$ -module  $M$ . Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

**Definition 11.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $I \subset A$  an ideal and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  is called an  $M$ -regular sequence in  $I$  if  $t_i$  is not a zero divisor on  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$  for all  $i$ .

**Example 12.** Let  $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $I = (x, y)$ . Then  $\text{depth}_I A = 0$ .

**Definition 13.** The  $I$ -depth of  $M$  is defined as the maximum length of  $M$ -regular sequences in  $I$ , denoted by  $\text{depth}_I M$ . When  $A$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we write  $\text{depth } M$  for  $\text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ .

**Regular and Serre's conditions** Up to now, there are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ :

- $\dim A$ : the Krull dimension of  $A$ .

- $\text{depth } A$ : the depth of  $A$ .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$ : the dimension of Zariski tangent space  $T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$  as a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

These three numbers are related by the following inequalities.

**Proposition 14.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local noetherian ring with residue field  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary 7.

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n))$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by Nakayama's Lemma. By Corollary 7,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \dots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result.  $\square$

**Definition 15.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(R_k)$*  or *is regular in codimension  $k$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{codim } Z_\xi \leq k$ ,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(S_k)$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} < k$ ,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

**Lemma 16.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $i$ .

*Proof.* **Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Example 17.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$  iff  $A$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$ , every element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a zero divisor. Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal.

Suppose  $A$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This means every element in  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Lemma 16,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  for some minimal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , whence  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .

**Example 18.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring verifies  $(S_1)$ . Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$  iff for any nonzero divisor  $f \in A$ ,  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$ . Let  $f \in A$  be a nonzero divisor and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$ . There exist  $g \in A \setminus fA$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g)$ . For any  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , there exist  $s_1, s_2$  with  $s_i \notin (t_i)$  and  $t_i g = f s_i$ . Then  $t_1 t_2 g = f s_1 t_2 = f s_2 t_1$ . Since  $f$  is not a zero divisor,  $s_1 t_2 = s_2 t_1$ . Then  $t_2$  is a zero divisor in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/t_1 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $s_1 \notin (t_1)$ . Since  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  and then  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ . This show that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded in  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . Then there exists  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is not a zero divisor. We have  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}}/fA_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point, whence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal in  $A/fA$ . Then  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$  by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6 and the fact  $f$  is not a zero divisor.

**Example 19.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is reduced iff it verifies  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$ .

The properties are local, whence we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Suppose  $A$  is reduced. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be all minimal prime ideals of  $A$ . We have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the nilradical of  $A$ . Hence  $A$  has no embedded point. Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is artinian, local and reduced,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let  $\text{Ass } A$  be equal to  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is minimal by  $(S_1)$ . Let  $f$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then the image of  $f$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is 0 since by  $(R_0)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a field. It follows that  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  component of  $(0)$  in  $A$ . Hence  $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$ . That is,  $A$  is reduced.

## 2 Normal schemes **Yang: To be completed**

**Definition 20.** An integral domain  $A$  is called *normal* if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions  $\text{Frac}(A)$ .

**Lemma 21.** Let  $A \subset C$  be rings and  $B$  the integral closure of  $A$  in  $C$ ,  $S$  a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . Then the integral closure of  $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}C$  is  $S^{-1}B$ .

*Proof.* For every  $b \in B$  and  $\forall s \in S$ , there exists  $a_i \in A$  s.t.

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^n + \frac{a_1}{s^1} \left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{s^n} = 0.$$

Hence  $b/s$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ ,  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ .

If  $c/s \in S^{-1}C$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ , then  $\exists a_i \in S^{-1}A$  s.t.

$$\left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^n + a_1 \left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n = 0 \in S^{-1}C$$

Then  $\exists t \in S$  s.t.

$$t(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n) = 0 \in C.$$

Then

$$(ct)^n + a_1 st(ct)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n t^n = t^n(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n) = 0.$$

Hence  $ct$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $ct \in B$ . Then  $c/s = (ct)/(st) \in S^{-1}B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 22.** Normality is a local property. That is, for an integral domain  $A$ , TFAE:

- (i)  $A$  is normal.
- (ii) For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal.
- (iii) For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal.

*Proof.* When  $A$  is normal,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal by Lemma 21.

Assume that  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ . If  $A$  is not normal, let  $\tilde{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\text{Frac } A$ ,  $\tilde{A}/A$  is a nonzero  $A$ -module. Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } \tilde{A}/A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . We have  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  and  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 23.** A scheme  $X$  is called *normal* if the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is normal for any point  $\xi \in X$ . A ring  $A$  is called *normal* if  $\text{Spec } A$  is normal.

**Remark 24.** For a general ring  $A$ , let  $S := A \setminus (\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} \mathfrak{p}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $S$  is a multiplicative set. The localization  $S^{-1}A$  is called *the total ring of fractions* of  $A$ .

Suppose  $A$  is reduced and  $\text{Ass } A = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Denote its total ring of fractions by  $Q$ . Note that elements in  $Q$  are either unit or zero divisor. Hence any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is contained in  $\bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i Q$ , whence contained in some  $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$  are maximal ideals. And we have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i Q = 0$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have  $Q = \prod Q/\mathfrak{p}_i Q = \prod A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Let  $A$  be a reduced ring with total ring of fractions  $Q$ . Then  $A$  is normal iff  $A$  is integrally closed in  $Q$ . If  $A$  is normal, then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integral. Then there is unique minimal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . In particular, any two minimal prime ideal are relatively prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $A = \prod A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Just need to check  $A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is integrally closed in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . This is clear by check pointwise.

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is integrally closed in  $Q$ . Let  $e_i$  be the unit element of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . It belongs to  $A$  since  $e_i^2 - e_i = 0$ . Since  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$  and  $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$ , we have  $A = \prod A e_i$ . Since  $A e_i$  is integrally closed in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ , it is normal. Hence  $A$  is normal.

**Definition 25.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. The *normalization* of  $X$  is an  $X$ -scheme  $X^\nu$  with the following universal property: for any normal  $X$ -scheme  $Y$  with dominant structure morphism, its structure morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  factors through  $X^\nu$ .

**Proposition 26.** Let  $X$  be an integral scheme. Then the normalization  $X^\nu$  of  $X$  exists. Moreover,  $X^\nu \rightarrow X$  is birational.

*Proof.* Suppose there is a dominant morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  normal. Since  $Y$  is normal, it is reduced. Then it factors through  $X_{red}$ . Hence we can assume that  $X$  is reduced by replacing  $X$  by  $X_{red}$ .

Suppose  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Let  $A^\nu$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in its total ring of fractions and  $X^\nu := \text{Spec } A^\nu$ . It gives a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ . We claim that it is injective. Otherwise, it factors through  $A \rightarrow A/I$  and then  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  factors through  $\text{Spec } A/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ . It contradicts that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is dominant. Since  $Y$  is normal,  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  is integral closed in its total ring of fraction. Then  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  contains  $A^\nu$ . This shows that  $X^\nu$  is the normalization of  $X$ .

In general case, take an affine cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  and glue these  $U_i^\nu$  by universal property.  $\square$

**Lemma 27.** Let  $A$  be a normal ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* Since all properties are local, we can assume  $A$  is integral and local.

For  $(S_2)$ , by Example 18, we only need to show that  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g) \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$  and  $t := f/g \in \text{Frac } A$ . After Replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we can assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal. By definition,  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $t^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  for  $\Phi \in M_n(A)$ . There is a monic polynomial  $\chi(T) \in A[T]$  vanishing  $\Phi$ . Then  $\chi(t^{-1}) = 0$  and  $t^{-1} \in A$ . This is impossible by definition of  $t$ . Then  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = A$ , and  $\mathfrak{p} = (t)$  is principal. By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6,  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ .

Now we show that  $A$  verifies  $(R_1)$ . Suppose  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is local of dimension 1. Choosing  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $A/a$  is of dimension 0. Then by ??,  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset aA$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \not\subset aA$ . Choose  $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \setminus aA$  and let  $t = a/b$ . By construction,  $t^{-1} \notin A$  and  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ . After similar argument, we see that  $\mathfrak{m} = tA$ , whence  $A$  is regular.  $\square$

**Lemma 28.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring of dimension 1. Then  $A$  is normal iff  $A$  is regular.

*Proof.* By lemma 27, we just need to show that regularity implies normality.

Let  $t \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Since  $A$  is regular,  $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$ . First we show that  $A$  is an integral domain.

Let  $I \subset A$  be a nonzero ideal of  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset I$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . **Yang: To be completed.**  $\square$

**Proposition 29.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian integral domain of dimension  $\geq 1$  verifying  $(S_2)$ . Then

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $A \subset \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $t = f/g \in \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $f \in gA_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and we have  $gA = \bigcap (gA_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A)$ ,  $f \in gA$ . It follows that  $t \in A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 30** (Serre's criterion for normality). Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is normal if and only if it verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* One direction has been proved in Lemma 27. Suppose  $X$  verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ . Again we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine and  $A$  is local. By Remark 24, we just need to show that  $A$  is integral closed in its total ring of fractions  $Q$ . Suppose we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n + c_1 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + c_n = 0 \in Q.$$

Since  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$ ,  $bA = \bigcap \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . So it is sufficient to show that  $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ . Note that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular and hence normal by Lemma 28. Then above equation gives us desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 31.** Let  $X$  be a normal and locally noetherian scheme. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then the restriction  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_i \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j),$$

where  $\{U_i\}$  is an affine open cover of  $X$ , we can reduce to the case that  $X$  is affine. Then  $X = \text{Spec } A$  for some normal noetherian ring  $A$ . For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in X \setminus F$ . By Proposition 29, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 32** (Valuation criterion for properness). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes. Then  $f$  is proper iff for any valuation ring  $A$ ,  $K = \text{Frac } A$  and commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array},$$

the morphism  $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $f$ .

**Proposition 33.** **Yang: To be completed.** Let  $X, Y$  be noetherian  $S$ -schemes with  $S$  noetherian. Suppose  $X$  is normal and of finite type over  $S$ , and  $Y$  is proper over  $S$ . Let  $\xi \in X$  be a generic point and  $\eta \in Y$  a point such that there exists a morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}(\xi) \rightarrow Y$ . Then there exists an open subset  $U \subset X$  containing  $\xi$  such that the morphism extends to a morphism  $U \rightarrow Y$ .

**Theorem 34.** Let  $X, Y$  be noetherian  $S$ -schemes with  $S$  noetherian. Suppose  $X$  is normal and of finite type over  $S$ , and  $Y$  is proper over  $S$ . Let  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a rational map. Then  $f$  is well-defined on an open subset  $U \subset X$  whose complement has codimension  $\geq 2$ .

*Proof.* **Yang: To be completed.** □

**Remark 35.** Theorem 31 and Theorem 34 are very similar. However, they are based on different properties. Theorem 31 is based on  $(S_2)$ , while Theorem 34 is based on  $(R_1)$ . Philosophically, the  $(S_k)$  conditions are used to control the “bad part of codimension larger than  $k$ ”. The  $(R_k)$  conditions are used to control the “bad part of codimension smaller than or equal to  $k$ ”. We will see more examples in the next section. **Yang: To be completed.**

### 3 Cohen-Macaulay schemes

**Definition 36** (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\dim A = \text{depth } A$ . A locally noetherian scheme  $X$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for any point  $\xi \in X$ .

By definition, it is easy to see that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it verifies  $(S_k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Example 37** (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings).

**Definition 38.** An ideal  $I$  of a noetherian ring  $A$  is called *unmixed* if

$$\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/I).$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring*  $A$  if any ideal  $I \subset A$  generated by  $\text{ht}(I)$  elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme*  $X$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}$  is unmixed for any point  $\xi \in X$ .

**Proposition 39.** **Yang: To be completed.**

**Theorem 40.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Theorem 41.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq k$ . Then the restriction  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by the is an isomorphism.

### 4 Regular schemes

**Proposition 42.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a regular local ring. Then  $A$  is integral.

---

**Proposition 43.** If  $X$  verifies  $(R_k)$ , then  $\operatorname{codim}_X X_{\text{sing}} \geq k + 1$ .

**Proposition 44.** A regular scheme is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary 45.** A regular scheme is normal.

---