
Sites, algebraic spaces and stacks

DRAFT

No Cover Image

Use `\coverimage{filename}` to add an image

阿巴阿巴!

Contents

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Sites | 1 |
| 1.1 | Grothendieck topology | 1 |
| 2 | Algebraic spaces | 2 |
| 3 | Stacks in category theory | 6 |
| 3.1 | Prestacks | 6 |
| 3.2 | Descent conditions | 7 |
| 3.3 | Stacks | 8 |
| 4 | Algebraic stacks | 8 |
| 4.1 | Deligne-Mumford stacks | 8 |
| 4.2 | Algebraic Stacks | 8 |
| | References | 8 |

1 Sites

1.1 Grothendieck topology

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbf{C} be a category. A *Grothendieck topology* on \mathbf{C} is a collection of sets of arrows $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$, called *covering*, for each object U in \mathbf{C} such that:

- (a) if $V \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism, then $\{V \rightarrow U\}$ is a covering;
- (b) if $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering and $V \rightarrow U$ is a arrow, then the fiber product $U_i \times_U V \rightarrow V$ exists and $\{U_i \times_U V \rightarrow V\}$ is a covering of V ;
- (c) if $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{U_{ij} \rightarrow U_i\}_{j \in J_i}$ are coverings, then the collection of composition $\{U_{ij} \rightarrow U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ is a covering.

A *site* is a pair (\mathbf{C}, j) where \mathbf{C} is a category and j is a Grothendieck topology on \mathbf{C} .

Note that sheaf is indeed defined on a site.

Definition 1.2. Let (\mathbf{C}, j) be a site. A *sheaf* on (\mathbf{C}, j) is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ satisfying the following condition: for every object U in \mathbf{C} and every covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ of U , if we have a collection of elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that for every i, j , the pullback $s_i|_{U_i \times_U U_j}$ and $s_j|_{U_i \times_U U_j}$ are equal, then there exists a unique element $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that for every i , the pullback $s|_{U_i} = s_i$.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a scheme. The *big étale site* of X , denoted by $(\mathbf{Sch}/X)_{\text{ét}}$, is the category of schemes over X with the Grothendieck topology generated by étale morphisms, that is, a collection of morphisms $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering if and only if each U_i is étale over U and the union of their images is the whole U .

Let X be a scheme over S . By Yoneda's Lemma, it is equivalent to give a functor $h_X : \mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ such that for any S -scheme T , $h_X(T) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_S}(T, X)$. **Yang:** Easy to check that h_X is a sheaf on the big étale site $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$.

2 Algebraic spaces

Definition 2.1. Let U be a scheme over a base scheme S . An *étale equivalence relation* on U is a morphism $R \rightarrow U \times_S U$ between schemes over S such that:

- (a) the projections in two factors $R \rightarrow U$ are étale and surjective;
- (b) for every S -scheme T , $h_R(T) \rightarrow h_U(T) \times h_U(T)$ gives an equivalence relation on $h_U(T)$ set-theoretically.

Definition 2.2. An *algebraic space* X over a base scheme S is an S -scheme U together with an étale equivalence relation $R \rightarrow U \times_S U$.

Let $X = (U, R)$ be an algebraic space over S . We explain X as a sheaf on the big étale site $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. For any scheme T over S , $h_R(T)$ is an equivalence relation on $h_U(T)$. The rule sending T to the set of equivalence classes of $h_R(T)$ gives a presheaf on the site $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. The sheafification of this presheaf is the sheaf associated to the algebraic space X . Explicitly, we have

$$X(T) := \left\{ f = (f_i) \left| \begin{array}{l} \{T_i \rightarrow T\} \text{ a covering, } f_i \in h_U(T_i) \text{ such} \\ \text{that } (f_i|_{T_i \times_T T_j}, f_j|_{T_i \times_T T_j}) \in h_R(T_i \times_T T_j) \end{array} \right. \right\} / \sim,$$

where

$$\alpha \sim \beta \quad \text{if } \exists \{S_i \rightarrow T\} \text{ such that } (\alpha|_{S_i}, \beta|_{S_i}) \in h_R(S_i).$$

Definition 2.3. An *algebraic space* over a base scheme S is a sheaf F on the big étale site $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$ such that

- (a) the diagonal morphism $F \rightarrow F \times_S F$ is representable;
- (b) there exists a scheme U over S and a map $h_U \rightarrow F$ which is surjective and étale.

The *morphism between algebraic spaces* F_1, F_2 is defined as a natural transformation of functors F_1, F_2 .

Remark 2.4. By Yoneda's Lemma, given a morphism $h_U \rightarrow F$ between sheaves is the same as giving an element of $F(U)$. We may abuse the notation.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of schemes satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) is preserved under any base change;
- (b) is étale local on the base. Yang: In [Stacks], this requires that “fppf local”.

Let $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ be a representable morphism of sheaves on the big étale site $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. We say that α has property \mathcal{P} if for every $h_T \rightarrow G$, the base change $h_T \times_G F \rightarrow F$ has property \mathcal{P} .

Remark 2.6. The fiber product $F_1 \times_F F_2$ is just defined as $F_1 \times_F F_2(T) := F_1(T) \times_{F(T)} F_2(T)$ for any object $T \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Sch}_S)$. We say that a morphism $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ of sheaves is *representable* if for every $T \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Sch}/S)$ and every $\xi \in F_2(T)$, the sheaf $F_1 \times_{F_2} h_T$ is representable as a functor. Here $h_T \rightarrow F_2$ is given by

$$h_T(U) \rightarrow F_2(U), \quad f \in \text{Hom}(U, T) \mapsto F_2(f)(\xi) \in F_2(U).$$

In our case, given an arbitrary $h_U \rightarrow F \times F$ is equivalent to giving morphisms $h_{U_i} \rightarrow F$ for $i = 1, 2$. And the fiber product $F \times_{F \times F} (h_{U_1} \times h_{U_2})$ is just the fiber product $h_{U_1} \times_F h_{U_2}$. Hence the first condition in Definition 2.3 is equivalent to that $h_{U_1} \times_F h_{U_2}$ is representable for any U_1, U_2 over F . This implies that $h_U \rightarrow F$ is representable, whence the second condition in Definition 2.3 makes sense.

Definition 2.7. Let X be an algebraic space over a base scheme S . Two morphisms $\text{Spec } k_i \rightarrow X$ is called equivalent if there is a common extension $K \supset k_1, k_2$ such that we have $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } k_i \rightarrow X$ are the same for $i = 1, 2$. The *underlying point set* of X , denote by $|X|$, is defined as the set of equivalence classes of morphisms $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$ for all field k over the base field \mathbb{k} .

This definition coincides with the underlying set of a scheme. Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of algebraic spaces. It induces a map $|\alpha| : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$ by $x \mapsto \alpha \circ x$ (vertical composition).

Proposition 2.8 (ref. [Stacks, Lemma 66.4.6]). There is a unique topology on $|X|$ such that

- (a) if X is a scheme, then the topology coincides with the usual topology.
- (b) every morphism of algebraic spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a continuous map $|f| : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$.
- (c) if U is a scheme and $U \rightarrow X$ is étale, then the induced map $|U| \rightarrow |X|$ is open.

This topology is called the *Zariski topology* on $|X|$.

Definition 2.9. Let X be an algebraic space over a base scheme S . All étale morphisms $U \rightarrow X$ with U scheme form a small site $X_{\text{ét}}$. All étale morphisms $U \rightarrow X$ with U algebraic space form a small site $X_{\text{sp, ét}}$. The *structure sheaf* \mathcal{O}_X of X is given by $U \mapsto \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ for every étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ from a scheme. It extends to a sheaf on the site $X_{\text{sp, ét}}$ uniquely.

Example 2.10. Let $U = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and $R \subset U \times U$ given by $y = x + n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then R is a disjoint union of

lines in $U \times U$. Write $R = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n$ with $R_n = \{(x, x+n) : x \in \mathfrak{c}\}$. Then the projection is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1|_{R_n} : R_n &\rightarrow U, & (x, x+n) &\mapsto x, \\ \pi_2|_{R_n} : R_n &\rightarrow U, & (x, x+n) &\mapsto x+n.\end{aligned}$$

Easily see that the projection $\pi_i : R \rightarrow U$ is étale and surjective for $i = 1, 2$. Let $r_{ij} : R \times U \rightarrow U \times U \times U$ be the morphism which maps $((x, y), u)$ to (a_1, a_2, a_3) where $a_i = x$, $a_j = y$ and $a_k = u$ for $k \neq i, j$. Since $\Delta_U \rightarrow U \times U$ factors through R , $(\pi_1, \pi_2) = (\pi_2, \pi_1)$ and $r_{12} \times_{(U \times U \times U)} r_{23}$ factors through r_{13} , we have that $h_R(T)$ is an equivalence relation on $h_U(T)$ for all T over S . Then $X := (U, R)$ is an algebraic space.

We do not check the representability here but give an example. Let $U \rightarrow X$ be the natural morphism given by $\text{id}_U \in X(U)$. For any scheme T over \mathfrak{c} , we have

$$(U \times_X U)(T) = \{(f, g) \in h_{U \times U}(T) : \exists \{T_i \rightarrow T\} \text{ s.t. } (f_i, g_i) \in h_R(T_i)\} = h_R(T).$$

Hence the fiber product $h_U \times_X h_U$ is represented by R .

We show that $X \not\cong \mathfrak{c}^\times$ by computing the the global sections. Consider the covering $U \rightarrow X$, a section $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is given by a section $s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = \mathfrak{c}[t]$ such that $\pi_1^*s = \pi_2^*s$ in $\Gamma(R, \mathcal{O}_R)$. This means that $s(x+n) = s(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence s is a constant function. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = \mathfrak{c} \neq \mathfrak{c}[t, t^{-1}]$.

The underlying set $|X|$ is union of the quotient set \mathfrak{c}/\mathbb{Z} and a generic point. The Zariski topology on $|X|$ is the trivial topology.

In following, we will use the technique of *local construction* to construct many scheme-like objects on algebraic spaces. For local construction, see [Knu71]. Roughly speaking, for every étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ with U a scheme, we construct a scheme-theoretic object on U which is compatible under base change. Then we glue these objects together to get a global object on X .

Definition 2.11. Let X be an algebraic space over a base scheme S . A *coherent sheaf* on X is a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ such that for every covering $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$ with U_i schemes, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is coherent for every i . It extends to a sheaf on the site $X_{\text{sp}, \text{ét}}$ uniquely.

An *ideal sheaf* on X is a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. It defines a closed subspace $V(\mathcal{I}) \subset X$ by **Yang: to be completed**. And every closed subspace $Y \subset X$ is defined by an ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Y such that $V(\mathcal{I}_Y) = Y$.

Definition 2.12. Let X be an algebraic space over a base scheme S . A *line bundle* on X is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{L} on X such that for every covering $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$ with U_i schemes, the sheaf $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$ is a line bundle on U_i . It extends to a sheaf on the site $X_{\text{sp}, \text{ét}}$ uniquely.

Theorem 2.13 (ref. [Stacks, Theorem 76.36.4]). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of algebraic spaces over a base scheme S . Then there exists a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{f_1} Z \xrightarrow{f_2} Y,$$

where f_1 has geometrically connected fibers and $(f_1)_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$ and f_2 is finite.

Definition 2.14. Let X be an algebraic space over a base scheme S and Y a closed subset of $|X|$. The *formal completion* of X along Y , denoted by \mathfrak{X} , is Its structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is defined as $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n$ where \mathcal{I} is the ideal sheaf of Y in \mathcal{O}_X . **Yang: to be completed.**

Definition 2.15. Let X be an algebraic space and Y a closed subset of X . A *modification* of X along Y is a proper morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ and a closed subset $Y' \subset X'$ such that $X' \setminus Y' \rightarrow X \setminus Y$ is an isomorphism and $f^{-1}(Y) = Y'$.

Theorem 2.16 (ref. [Art70, Theorem 3.1]). Let Y' be a closed subset of an algebraic space X' of finite type over \mathbb{k} . Let \mathfrak{X}' be the formal completion of X' along Y' . Suppose that there is a formal modification $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Then there is a unique modification

$$f : X' \rightarrow X, \quad Y \subset X$$

such that the formal completion of X along Y is isomorphic to \mathfrak{X} and the induced morphism $\mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{f} .

Theorem 2.17 (ref. [Art70, Theorem 6.2]). Let \mathfrak{X}' be a formal algebraic space and $Y' = V(\mathcal{I}')$ with \mathcal{I}' the defining ideal sheaf of \mathfrak{X}' . Let $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism. Suppose that

(a) for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathfrak{X}' , we have

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}'^n \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{I}'^{n+1} \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad \forall n \gg 0;$$

(b) for every n , the homomorphism

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}'} / \mathcal{I}'^n) \otimes_{f_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}} \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$$

is surjective.

Then there exists a modification $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and a defining ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} of \mathfrak{X} such that $V(\mathcal{I}) = Y$ and \mathfrak{f} induces f on Y .

Theorem 2.18 (ref. [Art70, Theorem 6.1]). Let Y' be a closed algebraic subspace of an algebraic space X' and $f_0 : Y' \rightarrow Y$ a finite morphism. Then there exists a modification $f : X' \rightarrow X$ whose restriction to Y' is f_0 . It is the amalgamated sum $X = X' \amalg_{Y'} Y$ in the category of algebraic spaces **AlgSp**.

Example 2.19. Let $X = \mathbb{a}^2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ and $Y = V(y)$ be the x -axis. Let $f_0 : Y' = \mathbb{a}^1 \rightarrow Y, x \mapsto x^2$. Then there exists a modification $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that the restriction $f|_{Y'} : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is f_0 . **Yang: To be completed.**

3 Stacks in category theory

3.1 Prestacks

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{S} be a site and $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ a functor. A morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$ in \mathbf{X} is called *strongly Cartesian* if for every object $c \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{X})$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{X}}(c, a) & \xrightarrow{f \circ -} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{X}}(c, b) \\ \mathbf{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{p}(c), \mathbf{p}(a)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}(f) \circ -} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{p}(c), \mathbf{p}(b)) \end{array}$$

is a pullback of sets.

Notation 3.2. Let \mathbf{S} be a site and $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ a functor. For $a, b \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{X})$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{X}}(a, b)$, we say that a is *over* $\mathbf{p}(a)$ and f is *over* $\mathbf{p}(f)$. In a diagram, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & a & \xrightarrow{f} & b \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{X} & & & & \\ \mathbf{p} \downarrow & & \mathbf{p}(a) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}(f)} & \mathbf{p}(b) \\ & & \mathbf{S} & & \end{array}$$

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbf{S} be a site. A category \mathbf{X} over \mathbf{S} via \mathbf{p} is called a *category fibred* over the site \mathbf{S} if for every morphism $r : u \rightarrow v$ in \mathbf{S} and every object $b \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{X})$ over v , there exists an object $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{X})$ over u and a strongly Cartesian morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$ over r . Such an object a is called a *pullback* of b along r , and is often denoted by r^*b .

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbf{S} be a site and $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ a category fibred over \mathbf{S} . For every object $u \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$, the *fiber* of \mathbf{X} over u is the category \mathbf{X}_u given by

$$\text{Obj}(\mathbf{X}_u) = \{a \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{p}(a) = u\}, \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{X}_u}(a, b) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{X}}(a, b) \mid \mathbf{p}(f) = \text{id}_u\}.$$

Remark 3.5. Note that in Definition 3.3, the pullback r^*b of an object b along a morphism r is not necessarily unique. Yang: To be continued.

Yang: Why do we need the Cartesian morphisms exists?

Remark 3.6. Yang: presheaves as category fibred in set, right?

Slogan *Presheaf is a category fibred in sets.*

Definition 3.7. A *prestack* over the site \mathbf{S} is a category \mathbf{X} fibred in groupoids over \mathbf{S} via $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. Yang: To be revised.

Remark 3.8. Let \mathbf{S} be a site. A presheaf of sets on \mathbf{S} can be viewed as a functor $\mathbf{S}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. A prestack over \mathbf{S} can be viewed as a functor $\mathbf{S}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grpd}$ by associating to each object $u \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$ the fiber category \mathbf{X}_u , which is a groupoid, and to each morphism $u \rightarrow v$ in \mathbf{S} the pullback functor $\mathbf{X}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_u$. Thus, prestacks can be seen as a generalization of presheaves of sets, where the values

are groupoids instead of sets. **Yang:** To be checked.

Slogan *Prestacks are “presheaf remembering automorphisms”.*

Yang: Where is the 2-category?

Theorem 3.9 (Yoneda 2-Lemma). Let \mathbf{S} be a site, and let $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{q} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ be prestacks over \mathbf{S} . Then the functor

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_*, \mathbf{q}_*)$$

given by $\Phi \mapsto \Phi_*$ is an equivalence of categories. **Yang:** To be revised.

Theorem 3.10. Let \mathbf{S} be a site, and let $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{q} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, and $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ be prestacks over \mathbf{S} . Let $\Phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $\Psi : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be morphisms of prestacks over \mathbf{S} . Then the fiber product $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in the category of prestacks over \mathbf{S} . **Yang:** To be checked.

3.2 Descent conditions

Definition 3.11. Let \mathbf{S} be a site and $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ a fibered category over \mathbf{S} . Let $U \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ be a covering in \mathbf{S} . A *descent datum* for objects of \mathbf{X} relative to the covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ consists of

- a collection of objects $a_i \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathbf{X}_{U_i})$ for each i ,
- a collection of isomorphisms $\varphi_{ij} : a_j|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow a_i|_{U_{ij}}$ in $\mathbf{X}_{U_{ij}}$ for each pair (i, j) , where $U_{ij} = U_i \times_U U_j$,

such that the cocycle condition

$$\varphi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} = \varphi_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}}$$

holds for all triples (i, j, k) , where $U_{ijk} = U_i \times_U U_j \times_U U_k$. **Yang:** To be checked.

Definition 3.12. Let \mathbf{S} be a site and $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ a fibered category over \mathbf{S} . A descent datum $(\{a_i\}, \{\varphi_{ij}\})$ for objects of \mathbf{X} relative to a covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ in \mathbf{S} is called *effective* if there exists an object $a \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathbf{X}_U)$ and isomorphisms $\psi_i : a|_{U_i} \rightarrow a_i$ in \mathbf{X}_{U_i} such that for all pairs (i, j) , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a|_{U_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_j|_{U_{ij}}} & a_j|_{U_{ij}} \\ \psi_i|_{U_{ij}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{ij} \\ a_i|_{U_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{ij}} & a_j|_{U_{ij}} \end{array}$$

commutes. **Yang:** To be checked.

Slogan *Descent data are like gluing data for objects, and effectiveness means that the glued object exists.*

3.3 Stacks

Definition 3.13. Let \mathbf{S} be a site. A prestack $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is called a *stack* over the site \mathbf{S} if for every object $U \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$ and every covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ in \mathbf{S} , the descent data for objects of \mathbf{X} relative to the covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ are effective. **Yang: To be revised.**

Slogan *Stacks to prestacks are like sheaves to presheaves.*

Definition 3.14. Let \mathbf{S} be a site, and let G be a group object in \mathbf{S} acting on an object $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$. The *quotient stack* $[X/G]$ is the stack over \mathbf{S} defined as follows:

- For each object $U \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{S})$, the groupoid $[X/G](U)$ has as objects the pairs (P, f) , where P is a G -torsor over U and $f : P \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant morphism.
- Morphisms between two objects (P, f) and (P', f') in $[X/G](U)$ are given by G -equivariant morphisms $\varphi : P \rightarrow P'$ such that $f' \circ \varphi = f$.

The assignment $U \mapsto [X/G](U)$ defines a stack over \mathbf{S} . **Yang: To be checked.**

4 Algebraic stacks

4.1 Deligne-Mumford stacks

Definition 4.1. A *Deligne-Mumford stack* is an algebraic stack X such that there exists a scheme U and a representable étale surjective morphism $U \rightarrow X$.

4.2 Algebraic Stacks

Definition 4.2. An *algebraic stack* is an algebraic stack X such that there exists a scheme U and a representable smooth surjective morphism $U \rightarrow X$.

Example 4.3. Let k be a field. Consider the projective plane \mathbb{P}_k^2 over k and all cubic curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$. Its moduli stack \mathcal{M} of cubic curves is an algebraic stack. **Yang: To be revised.**

References

- [Art70] Michael Artin. “Algebraization of formal moduli: II. Existence of modifications”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 91.1 (1970), pp. 88–135 (cit. on p. 5).
- [Knu71] Donald Knutson. *Algebraic Spaces*. Vol. 203. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971. ISBN: 978-3-540-05496-2 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/> (cit. on pp. 3, 4).