

Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes



如果是勇者辛美尔，他一定会这么做的！

Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes

1 Height, Depth and Dimension **Yang: To be completed**

Krull dimension and height of prime ideals Algebraically, we have the following definitions.

Definition 1. Let A be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal* \mathfrak{p} in A is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in \mathfrak{p} , that is,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of A is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Geometrically, we have the corresponding definition.

Definition 2. Let X be a noetherian scheme. The *codimension of an irreducible subscheme* Y in X is defined as the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets containing Y , that is,

$$\text{codim}_X(Y) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of irreducible closed subsets } Y = Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Y_n\}.$$

The *dimension* of X is defined as

$$\dim X := \max_{\xi \in X} \text{codim}_X Z_\xi.$$

For an affine scheme $X = \text{Spec } A$, above two definitions coincide by the correspondence of prime ideals and irreducible closed subsets.

Proposition 3. Let A be a noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Then

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{codim}_{\text{Spec } A} V(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Lemma 4. Let $A \subset B$ be noetherian rings such that B is finite over A . Then the induced morphism $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is surjective.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, let $S := A - \mathfrak{p}$ and denote $S^{-1}B$ by $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is finite over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a maximal ideal of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We claim that $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal. Indeed, consider $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the latter is finite over the former. This enforces $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be a field. Hence $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and then $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Proposition 5. Let $A \subset B$ be noetherian rings such that B is finite over A . Then $\dim A = \dim B$.

Proof. If we have a sequence $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$ of prime ideals in B , then there exists $f \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$. Since B is finite over A , there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$. If $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1$, $f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ since $f \notin \mathfrak{P}_1$. Then $a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_2$. Repeat the process, it will terminate, whence $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$. Otherwise, we have $f^n \in a_1 B + \cdots + a_n B \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$.

Conversely, suppose we have $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$. Choose $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \text{Spec } B$ such that $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$, then we have $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset B/\mathfrak{P}_1$. Let \mathfrak{P}_2 be the preimage of the prime ideal in B/\mathfrak{P}_1 which is over image of \mathfrak{p}_2 in A/\mathfrak{p}_1 . Proposition 4 guarantees that such \mathfrak{P}_2 exists. Then we get $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$. Repeat this progress, we get $\dim B \geq \dim A$. \square

Theorem 6 (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let A be a noetherian ring. Suppose $f \in A$ is not a unit. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal among those containing f . Then $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$.

Proof. By replacing A by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we may assume A is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . Note that $A/(f)$ is artinian since it has only one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$.

Let $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. Consider the sequence $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \cdots$, its image in $A/(f)$ is stationary. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$. For $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$, we may write $x = y + af$ for $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. Then $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. Since $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ is

\mathfrak{q} -primary and $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$, $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. Then we get $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$. That is, $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. Note that $f \in \mathfrak{p}$, by Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$. That is, $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By Nakayama's Lemma again, $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{q} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is minimal, whence $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is artinian. Therefore, \mathfrak{q} is minimal in A . \square

Corollary 7. Let A be a noetherian local ring. Suppose $f \in A$ is not a unit. Then $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$. If f is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$ be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption, $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$. If $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, we get a sequence of prime ideals in $A/(f)$ of length n . Now we suppose $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$. Then there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$.

Choose \mathfrak{q} be a minimal prime ideal among those containing (\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f) and contained in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} . Then by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6, $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$. Replace \mathfrak{p}_k by \mathfrak{q}_k , we have $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$.

Repeat this process, we get a sequence $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$. This gives a sequence $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$ in $A/(f)$. Hence we get $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$.

Since f is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in $A/(f)$ has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in A/fA can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in A . It follows that $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$. \square

For varieties, the Krull dimension behaves well by follows.

Lemma 8. Let X be an algebraic variety over k . Then for every closed point $x \in X(k)$, we have

$$\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k).$$

Proof. Since X is irreducible, we may assume that $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. Let $d = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k)$.

By Noether's Normalization Lemma ??, there is an injective and finite homomorphism $A_0 = k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$. Let \mathfrak{M} be the corresponding maximal ideal of x in A and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_d]$. Denote the image of T_i in $\mathfrak{l} := A_0/\mathfrak{m}$ by t_i . The extension \mathfrak{l}/k is finite by Nullstellensatz ??. Let $f_i \in k[T]$ be the minimal polynomial of t_i and $g_i := f_i(T_i) \in A_0$. Then $g_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_d A_0$. In particular, $g_1, \dots, g_d \in \mathfrak{M}$.

We have $A/g_1 A + \cdots + g_d A$ is finite over A_0/\mathfrak{m} , whence it is artinian. This implies that $A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1 A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_d A_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is also artinian. Since g_{k+1} is not a zero divisor in $A_0/g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_k A_0$, g_{k+1} is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of $A_0/g_1 A_0 + \cdots + g_k A_0$. Then g_{k+1} is also not contained in any minimal prime ideal of $A/g_1 A + \cdots + g_k A$. By Corollary 7, $\dim A_{\mathfrak{M}} = \dim(A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1 A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_d A_{\mathfrak{M}}) + d = d$. \square

Theorem 9. Let S be spectrum of a field k or an algebraic integer ring \mathcal{O}_K and X an integral S -variety. Then we have the follows:

- (i) For every point $\xi \in X$, $\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} + \text{codim } Z_{\xi}$.
- (ii) For every non-empty open subset $U \subset X$, $\dim U = \dim X$.
- (iii) $\dim X = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/\mathcal{K}(S)) + \dim S$.

Proof. **Yang: To be continued.** \square

Example 10. For general noetherian schemes, Theorem 9 may not hold. Let $A = k[t]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$, $B = A_{\mathfrak{m}}[x]$ and $X = \text{Spec } B$. Then we have $\dim X = 2$ since **Yang: To be added.**

Depth For a noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) , we can define the depth of an A -module M . Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

Definition 11. Let A be a noetherian ring, $I \subset A$ an ideal and M a finitely generated A -module. A sequence $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ is called an M -regular sequence in I if t_i is not a zero divisor on $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$ for all i .

Example 12. Let $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ and $I = (x, y)$. Then $\text{depth}_I A = 0$.

Definition 13. The I -depth of M is defined as the maximum length of M -regular sequences in I , denoted by $\text{depth}_I M$. When A is a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we write $\text{depth } M$ for $\text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$.

Regular and Serre's conditions Up to now, there are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) :

- $\dim A$: the Krull dimension of A .

- $\text{depth } A$: the depth of A .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$: the dimension of Zariski tangent space $T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$ as a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

These three numbers are related by the following inequalities.

Proposition 14. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local noetherian ring with residue field \mathfrak{k} . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_{\mathfrak{k}} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary 7.

Let t_1, \dots, t_n be a $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Then we have $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$, whence $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n))$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by Nakayama's Lemma. By Corollary 7,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \dots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result. \square

Definition 15. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We say that X *verifies property (R_k)* or *is regular in codimension k* if $\forall \xi \in X$ with $\text{codim } Z_\xi \leq k$,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

We say that X *verifies property (S_k)* if $\forall \xi \in X$ with $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} < k$,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

Lemma 16. Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i .

Proof. **Yang:** To be completed. \square

Example 17. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then A verifies (S_1) iff A has no embedded point.

Suppose A verifies (S_1) . If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$, every element in \mathfrak{p} is a zero divisor. Then $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. It follows that $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and then \mathfrak{p} is minimal.

Suppose A has no embedded point. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. This means every element in $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Lemma 16, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ for some minimal \mathfrak{q} , whence $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

Example 18. Let A be a noetherian ring verifies (S_1) . Then A verifies (S_2) iff for any nonzero divisor $f \in A$, $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$ has no embedded point.

Suppose A verifies (S_2) . Let $f \in A$ be a nonzero divisor and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$. There exist $g \in A \setminus fA$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g)$. For any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$, there exist s_1, s_2 with $s_i \notin (t_i)$ and $t_i g = f s_i$. Then $t_1 t_2 g = f s_1 t_2 = f s_2 t_1$. Since f is not a zero divisor, $s_1 t_2 = s_2 t_1$. Then t_2 is a zero divisor in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/t_1 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $s_1 \notin (t_1)$. Since $f \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ and then $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$. This show that \mathfrak{p} is not embedded in $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$ has no embedded point. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Then there exists $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is not a zero divisor. We have $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}}/fA_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$ has no embedded point, whence \mathfrak{p} is minimal in A/fA . Then $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6 and the fact f is not a zero divisor.

Example 19. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then X is reduced iff it verifies (R_0) and (S_1) .

The properties are local, whence we can assume $X = \text{Spec } A$. Suppose A is reduced. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be all minimal prime ideals of A . We have $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$, where \mathfrak{N} is the nilradical of A . Hence A has no embedded point. Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is artinian, local and reduced, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let $\text{Ass } A$ be equal to $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Then every \mathfrak{p}_i is minimal by (S_1) . Let f be in \mathfrak{N} . Then the image of f in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is 0 since by (R_0) , $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a field. It follows that $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$, where \mathfrak{q}_i is the \mathfrak{p}_i component of (0) in A . Hence $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$. That is, A is reduced.

2 Normal schemes **Yang: To be completed**

Definition 20. An integral domain A is called *normal* if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Lemma 21. Let $A \subset C$ be rings and B the integral closure of A in C , S a multiplicatively closed subset of A . Then the integral closure of $S^{-1}A$ in $S^{-1}C$ is $S^{-1}B$.

Proof. For every $b \in B$ and $\forall s \in S$, there exists $a_i \in A$ s.t.

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^n + \frac{a_1}{s^1} \left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{s^n} = 0.$$

Hence b/s is integral over $S^{-1}A$, $S^{-1}B$ is integral over $S^{-1}A$.

If $c/s \in S^{-1}C$ is integral over $S^{-1}A$, then $\exists a_i \in S^{-1}A$ s.t.

$$\left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^n + a_1 \left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n = 0 \in S^{-1}C$$

Then $\exists t \in S$ s.t.

$$t(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n) = 0 \in C.$$

Then

$$(ct)^n + a_1 st(ct)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n t^n = t^n(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n s^n) = 0.$$

Hence ct is integral over A , then $ct \in B$. Then $c/s = (ct)/(st) \in S^{-1}B$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 22. Normality is a local property. That is, for an integral domain A , TFAE:

- (i) A is normal.
- (ii) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal.
- (iii) For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is normal.

Proof. When A is normal, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal by Lemma 21.

Assume that $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$. If A is not normal, let \tilde{A} be the integral closure of A in $\text{Frac } A$, \tilde{A}/A is a nonzero A -module. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } \tilde{A}/A$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. We have $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Definition 23. A scheme X is called *normal* if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is normal for any point $\xi \in X$. A ring A is called *normal* if $\text{Spec } A$ is normal.

Remark 24. For a general ring A , let $S := A \setminus (\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} \mathfrak{p}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then S is a multiplicative set. The localization $S^{-1}A$ is called *the total ring of fractions* of A .

Suppose A is reduced and $\text{Ass } A = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Denote its total ring of fractions by Q . Note that elements in Q are either unit or zero divisor. Hence any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i Q$, whence contained in some $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$ are maximal ideals. And we have $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i Q = 0$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $Q = \prod Q/\mathfrak{p}_i Q = \prod A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Let A be a reduced ring with total ring of fractions Q . Then A is normal iff A is integrally closed in Q . If A is normal, then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integral. Then there is unique minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, any two minimal prime ideal are relatively prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $A = \prod A/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Just need to check A/\mathfrak{p}_i is integrally closed in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. This is clear by check pointwise.

Conversely, suppose A is integrally closed in Q . Let e_i be the unit element of $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. It belongs to A since $e_i^2 - e_i = 0$. Since $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ and $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$, we have $A = \prod A e_i$. Since $A e_i$ is integrally closed in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, it is normal. Hence A is normal.

Example 25.

Definition 26. Let X be a scheme. The *normalization* of X is an X -scheme X^ν with the following universal property: for any normal X -scheme Y with dominant structure morphism, its structure morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ factors through X^ν .

Proposition 27. Let X be an integral scheme. Then the normalization X^ν of X exists. Moreover, $X^\nu \rightarrow X$ is birational.

Proof. Suppose there is a dominant morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ with Y normal. Since Y is normal, it is reduced. Then it factors through X_{red} . Hence we can assume that X is reduced by replacing X by X_{red} .

Suppose $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. Let A^ν be the integral closure of A in its total ring of fractions and $X^\nu := \text{Spec } A^\nu$. It gives a homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$. We claim that it is injective. Otherwise, it factors through $A \rightarrow A/I$ and then $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ factors through $\text{Spec } A/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. It contradicts that $Y \rightarrow X$ is dominant. Since Y is normal, $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ is integral closed in its total ring of fraction. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ contains A^ν . This shows that X^ν is the normalization of X .

In general case, take an affine cover $\{U_i\}$ of X and glue these U_i^ν by universal property. \square

Lemma 28. Let A be a normal ring. Then A verifies (R_1) and (S_2) .

Proof. Since all properties are local, we can assume A is integral and local.

For (S_2) , by Example 18, we only need to show that $\text{Ass}_A A/f$ has no embedded point. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g) \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$ and $t := f/g \in \text{Frac } A$. After Replacing A by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can assume that \mathfrak{p} is maximal. By definition, $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. If $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, suppose \mathfrak{p} is generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) and $t^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ for $\Phi \in M_n(A)$. There is a monic polynomial $\chi(T) \in A[T]$ vanishing Φ . Then $\chi(t^{-1}) = 0$ and $t^{-1} \in A$. This is impossible by definition of t . Then $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = A$, and $\mathfrak{p} = (t)$ is principal. By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 6, $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

Now we show that A verifies (R_1) . Suppose (A, \mathfrak{m}) is local of dimension 1. Choosing $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, A/a is of dimension 0. Then by ??, $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset aA$ for some $n \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \not\subset aA$. Choose $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \setminus aA$ and let $t = a/b$. By construction, $t^{-1} \notin A$ and $t^{-1}\mathfrak{m} \subset A$. After similar argument, we see that $\mathfrak{m} = tA$, whence A is regular. \square

Proposition 29. Let A be a noetherian integral domain of dimension ≥ 1 verifying (S_2) . Then

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Clearly $A \subset \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $t = f/g \in \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $f \in gA_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we have $gA = \bigcap (gA_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A)$, $f \in gA$. It follows that $t \in A$. \square

Theorem 30 (Serre's criterion for normality). Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then X is normal if and only if it verifies (R_1) and (S_2) .

Proof. One direction has been proved in Lemma 28. Suppose X verifies (R_1) and (S_2) . Again we can assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine and A is local. By Remark 24, we just need to show that A is integral closed in its total ring of fractions Q . Suppose we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n + c_1 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + c_n = 0 \in Q.$$

Since A verifies (S_2) , $bA = \bigcap \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. So it is sufficient to show that $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular and hence normal by Yang: ?. Then above equation gives us desired result. Yang: To be completed. \square

Theorem 31. Let X be a normal noetherian scheme. Let $F \subset X$ be a closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 . Then the restriction $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

Theorem 32. Let X be a normal noetherian S -scheme and Y a proper S -scheme. Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational map. Then f is defined on an open subset $U \subset X$ whose complement has codimension ≥ 2 .

Proof. Yang: To be completed. \square

Remark 33. Theorem 31 and Theorem 32 are very similar. However, they are based on different properties. Yang: To be completed.

3 Cohen-Macaulay schemes

Definition 34 (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\dim A = \text{depth } A$. A locally noetherian scheme X is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any point $\xi \in X$.

By definition, it is easy to see that X is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it verifies (S_k) for all $k \geq 0$.

Example 35 (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings).

Definition 36. An ideal I of a noetherian ring A is called *unmixed* if

$$\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/I).$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring A* if any ideal $I \subset A$ generated by $\text{ht}(I)$ elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme X* if $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is unmixed for any point $\xi \in X$.

Theorem 37. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for X if and only if X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Theorem 38. Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that X is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $F \subset X$ be a closed subset of codimension $\geq k$. Then the restriction $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ induced by the is an isomorphism.

4 Regular schemes

Proposition 39. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular local ring. Then A is integral.

Proposition 40. If X verifies (R_k) , then $\text{codim}_X X_{\text{sing}} \geq k + 1$.

Proposition 41. A regular scheme is Cohen-Macaulay.

Corollary 42. A regular scheme is normal.