

## A large, bright yellow emoji with a wide, curved smile. It has rosy pink cheeks and its eyes are winking. The emoji is centered in the upper half of the page.

\_\_\_\_\_

# Dimension and Depth

There are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ :

- $\dim A$ : the Krull dimension of  $A$ .
- $\text{depth } A$ : the depth of  $A$ .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$ : the dimension of Zariski tangent space  $T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$  as a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal*  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$  is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that is,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of  $A$  is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

**Example 2.** Let  $A$  be a PID. For every two non-zero prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$ , if  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = t_1 A \subset \mathfrak{p}_2 = t_2 A$ , then  $t_2 \mid t_1$  and hence  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_2$ . It follows that  $\dim A = 1$ . Consequently, the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{k}[T]$  in one variable over a field have Krull dimension 1.

**Definition 3.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $I \subset A$  an ideal and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$  is called an  *$M$ -regular sequence in  $I$*  if  $t_i$  is not a zero divisor on  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$  for all  $i$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $A = \mathbb{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $I = (x, y)$ . Then  $\text{depth}_I A = 0$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. For every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$  is a vector space over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ . The *Zariski's tangent space*  $T_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is defined as  $(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\vee$ , the dual  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space of  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ .

## 1 Artinian Rings and Length of Modules

**Definition 6.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$  module. A *simple module filtration* of  $M$  is a filtration

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_n = 0$$

such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is a simple module, i.e. it has no submodule except 0 and itself. If  $M$  has a simple module filtration as above, we define the *length of  $M$*  as  $n$  and say that  $M$  has *finite length*.

The following proposition guarantees the length is well-defined.

**Proposition 7.** Suppose  $M$  has a simple module filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supsetneq M_{1,0} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n,0} = 0$ . Then for any other filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supset M_{0,1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{0,m} = 0$  with  $m > n$ , there exist  $k < m$  such that  $M_{0,k} = M_{0,k+1}$ .

*Proof.* We claim that there are at least  $0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_{m-n} < m$  satisfies that  $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$ . Let  $M_{i,j} := M_{i,0} \cap M_{0,j}$ . Inductively on  $n$ , we can assume that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_{n-m+1}$  such that  $M_{1,k} = M_{1,k+1}$ . Consider the sequence

$$M_{0,0}/M_{1,0} \supset (M_{0,1} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} \supset \cdots \supset (M_{0,m} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} = 0$$

in  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$ . Since  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$  is simple, there is at most one  $k_i$  with  $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} \neq M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$ . And note that if  $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$  and  $M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0}$ , then  $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$  by the Five Lemma.  $\square$

**Example 8.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a simple module. **Yang: To be completed.**

**Proposition 9.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is of finite length iff it satisfies both a.c.c and d.c.c.

*Proof.* Note that if  $M$  has either a strictly ascending chain or a strictly descending chain,  $M$  is of infinite length. Conversely, d.c.c guarantee  $M$  has a simple submodule and a.c.c guarantee the sequence terminates.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** The length  $l(-)$  is an additive function for modules of finite length. That is, if we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  with  $M_i$  of finite length, then  $l(M_2) = l(M_1) + l(M_3)$ .

*Proof.* The simple module filtrations of  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  will give a simple module filtration of  $M_2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 11.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is artinian. Then the sequence  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3 \supset \dots$  is stable. It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  for some  $n$ . By the Nakayama's Lemma ??,  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ . Conversely, we have

$$\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{N} \subset \bigcap_{\text{minimal prime ideal}} \mathfrak{p},$$

whence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimal.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $A$  is of finite length.

*Proof.* First we show that  $A$  has only finite maximal ideal. Otherwise, consider the set  $\{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k\}$ . It has a minimal element  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  and for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$  for some  $i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  be the Jacobi radical of  $A$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{N} \supset \mathfrak{N}^2 \supset \dots$  and by Nakayama's Lemma, we have  $\mathfrak{N}^k = 0$  for some  $k$ . Consider the filtration

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_n^k = (0).$$

We have  $\mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_i^j / \mathfrak{m}_1^k \dots \mathfrak{m}_i^{j+1}$  is an  $A/\mathfrak{m}_i$ -vector space. It is artinian and then of finite length. Hence  $A$  is of finite length.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is artinian. Then  $A$  is noetherian by Proposition 12. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an artinian integral domain. If there is  $a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$  is not invertible, consider  $(a) \supset (a^2) \supset \dots$ , we see  $a = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal and  $\dim A = 0$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular,  $A$  has only finite maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -component of  $(0)$ . Then we have  $A \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . We just need to show that  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is of finite length as  $A$ -module. If  $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$ , take radical we get  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$  and hence  $i = j$ . So  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . Then every element in  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is nilpotent. Since  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is finitely generated,  $(\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i)^k = 0$  for some  $k$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is artinian and then of finite length as  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ -module. Then the conclusion follows.  $\square$

## 2 Dedekind Domains Yang: To be completed

## 3 Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem

**Theorem 14** (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $f$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we may assume  $A$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $A/(f)$  is artinian since it has only one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \dots$ , its image in  $A/(f)$  is stationary. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ , we may write  $x = y + af$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Then  $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$  is  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary and  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Then we get  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Note that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , by Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma again,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{q} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is minimal, whence  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is artinian. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is minimal in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian local ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Then  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . If  $f$  is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption,  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ , we get a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/(f)$  of length  $n$ . Now we suppose  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Then there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$ . Choose  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f)$  and contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Then by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 14,  $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Replace  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  by  $\mathfrak{q}_k$ , we have  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$ . Repeat this process, we get a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$ . This gives a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  in  $A/(f)$ . Hence we get  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . Since  $f$  is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in  $A/(f)$  has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/fA$  can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in  $A$ . It follows that  $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 16.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local noetherian ring with residue field  $k$ . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary 15.

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n))$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by Nakayama's Lemma. By Corollary 15,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \cdots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result.  $\square$

**Definition 17.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(R_k)$*  or *is regular in codimension  $k$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{codim } Z_\xi \leq k$ ,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X, \xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}.$$

We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(S_k)$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi} < k$ ,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}.$$

**Example 18.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$  iff  $A$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$ , every element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a zero divisor. Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal.

Suppose  $A$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This means every element in  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Proposition ??,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  for some minimal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , whence  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .

**Example 19.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Then  $A$  is reduced iff it verifies  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$ .

Suppose  $A$  is reduced. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be all minimal prime ideals of  $A$ . We have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the nilradical of  $A$ . Hence  $A$  has no embedded point. Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is artinian, local and reduced,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let  $\text{Ass } A$  be equal to  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is minimal by  $(S_1)$ . Let  $f$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then the image of  $f$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is 0 since by  $(R_0)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a field. It follows that  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  component of  $(0)$  in  $A$ . Hence  $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$ . That is,  $A$  is reduced.

## 4 Cohen-Macaulay rings

**Definition 20** (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\dim A = \text{depth } A$ . A noetherian ring  $A$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. This is equivalent to that  $A$  verifies  $(S_k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Example 21** (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings). **Yang:** To be completed.

**Corollary 22.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $M$  a finite  $A$ -module and  $a \in A$  an  $M$ -regular element. Then  $\text{depth } M = \text{depth } M/aM + 1$ .

**Corollary 23.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring  $a \in A$  a nonzero divisor. Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_d)$  iff  $A/aA$  verifies  $(S_{d-1})$ .

**Definition 24.** An ideal  $I$  of a noetherian ring  $A$  is called *unmixed* if

$$\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/I).$$

Here  $\text{ht}(I)$  is defined as

$$\text{ht}(I) := \inf\{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) : I \subset \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring  $A$*  if any ideal  $I \subset A$  generated by  $\text{ht}(I)$  elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme  $X$*  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is unmixed for any point  $\xi \in X$ .

**Theorem 25.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* We can assume that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine.

Suppose  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  with  $r = \text{ht}(I)$ . We claim that  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence. If so, we get that the unmixedness theorem holds for  $A$  by applying Example 18 on  $A/I$ . Since  $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \leq r-1$  by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 14 and  $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_r) = r \leq \text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) + 1$ , we have  $\text{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) = r-1$ . By induction on  $r$ , we can assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence. Hence any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  has height  $r-1$ . Now suppose  $a_r$  is a zero divisor in  $A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ . Then there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  such that  $a_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\text{ht}(I) \leq r-1$ . This contradicts that  $\text{ht}(I) = r$ .

Suppose the unmixedness theorem holds for  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  be a prime ideal with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$  if and only if  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ . If  $r > 0$ , there is a nonzero divisor  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 14,  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}A/aA) = r-1$ . Inductively, we can find a regular sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = r$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 26.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq k$ . Then the restriction  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

## 5 Regular rings

**Definition 27.** A noetherian ring  $A$  is said to be *regular at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$*  if we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} T_{A,\mathfrak{p}} = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the Krull dimension of the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

A noetherian ring  $A$  is said to be *regular* if it is regular at every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . This is equivalent to the condition that  $A$  verifies  $(R_k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Definition 28.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring that is regular at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{p}$  is called a *regular system of parameters* at  $\mathfrak{p}$  if their images form a basis of the  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Proposition 29.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring that is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a regular system of parameters at  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (t_1, \dots, t_i)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (0)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a prime ideal of height  $i$ , and  $A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a regular local ring for all  $i$ . In particular, regular local ring is integral, and the regular system of parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  is a regular sequence in  $A$ .

*Proof.* By the Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 14, we have

$$n-1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(t_1) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1))} T_{A/(t_1), \mathfrak{m}/(t_1)} \leq n-1.$$

Hence  $\dim A/(t_1) = n-1$  and  $\text{ht}(t_1) = 1$ . Since  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$ , we have that  $A/(t_1)$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$

and the images of  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  form a regular system of parameters.

For integrality, we induct on the dimension of  $A$ . If  $\dim A = 0$ , then  $A$  is a field and hence integral. Suppose  $\dim A > 0$ , let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $A$ . Then  $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . We have

$$n - 1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{q}/(t_1))} T_{A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A), \mathfrak{q}/(t_1)} \leq n - 1.$$

By similar arguments, we have  $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$ . By induction hypothesis, both of  $A/t_1 A$  and  $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$  are integral and of dimension  $n - 1$ . Hence  $t_1 A = t_1 A + \mathfrak{q}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 A$ . For every  $a = bt_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ , we have  $b \in \mathfrak{q}$  since  $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{q}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q} = 0$ , whence  $A$  is integral.  $\square$

**Corollary 30.** A regular ring is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary 31.** A regular ring is normal.

**Remark 32.** A noetherian ring  $A$  is regular if and only if it is regular at every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ . The proof uses homomorphism tools; see Theorem ??.

**Remark 33.** Let  $k$  be arbitrary field,  $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and  $g_i$  irreducible polynomials in one variable  $T_i$  over  $k$ . Then for every  $f \in A$ , we can write

$$f = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} a_I g_1^{i_1} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \quad a_I \in A, \quad \deg_{T_i} a_I \leq \deg g_i.$$

This is called the *Taylor expansion of  $f$  with respect to  $g_1, \dots, g_n$* .