

# Introduction to Moduli Problems

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{k}$  of characteristic 0. We are interested in the moduli space of vector bundles on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 1 Moduli functors

Let  $S$  be a noetherian scheme and  $T$  is a scheme of finite type over  $S$ . Recall the Yoneda lemma: there is a full and faithful functor

$$h : (\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}), \quad T \mapsto h_T(S) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_S}(T, S).$$

A functor  $F : (\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is *representable* if there exists a scheme  $M$  over  $S$  such that  $F \cong h_M$ . We say that  $M$  is *the fine moduli space* of  $F$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $F$  is representable by  $M$ , then there is a universal object  $\mathcal{U} \in F(M)$  given by  $\text{id}_M \in h_M(M)$  satisfying the following universal property: for any  $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_S$  and any  $\xi \in F(T)$ , there exists a unique morphism  $f : T \rightarrow M$  such that  $F(f)(\mathcal{U}) = \xi$ .

The most famous example of representable functor is the Quot functor. Let  $S$  be a noetherian scheme,  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  a projective morphism,  $\mathcal{L}$  a relatively ample line bundle on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ , and  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  a polynomial. We define a functor

$$\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}/X/S}^{P, \mathcal{L}} : (\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

$$T \mapsto \{\pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \mid \mathcal{Q} \text{ is flat over } T, \forall t \in T, \mathcal{Q}_t \text{ has Hilbert polynomial } P\} / \sim,$$

where  $\pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$  are equivalent if  $\text{Ker}(\pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}) = \text{Ker}(\pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}')$ .

By Grothendieck,  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}/X/S}^{P, \mathcal{L}}$  is representable by a projective  $S$ -scheme  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{F}/X/S}^{P, \mathcal{L}}$ . Yang: Reference...

If we take  $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{k}$ ,  $X$  a projective variety and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then the Quot functor  $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X/X/\mathbb{k}}^{P, \mathcal{L}}$  becomes the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{X/\mathbb{k}}^{P, \mathcal{L}}$ , which is representable by a projective  $\mathbb{k}$ -scheme called the *Hilbert scheme*  $\text{Hilb}_X^{P, \mathcal{L}}$ .

## 2 Moduli functor of vector bundles

Consider the functor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d} : (\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

$$T \mapsto \{\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is a vector bundle on } X \times T \text{ of rank } r, \forall t \in T, \deg(\mathcal{E}_t) = d\} / \sim,$$

where  $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}'$  if there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $T$  such that  $\mathcal{E}' \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_T^* \mathcal{L}$ , where  $\pi_T : X \times T \rightarrow T$  is the projection.

Unfortunately,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}$  is not representable. There are two main reasons:

- unboundedness and
- jumping phenomenon.

**Definition 2.** A family of vector bundles on a variety  $X$  is *bounded* if there exists a scheme  $S$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{k}$  and a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X \times S$  such that every vector bundle in the family is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_s$  for some  $s \in S$ .

If  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}$  is representable by a scheme  $M$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{k}$ , then the family of vector bundles parametrized by  $M$  is bounded. This is impossible since if so,  $\{h^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \mid \mathcal{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}(\mathbb{k})\}$  is bounded by semicontinuity theorem, which is not true. For example, consider the family  $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{O}_X(nP) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-nP) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2,0}(\mathbb{k})$  for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $P \in X(\mathbb{k})$  is a fixed point. By Riemann-Roch theorem, we have  $h^0(X, \mathcal{E}_n) = n + 1 - g$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.

**Example 3.** Let us see a jumping phenomenon example due to Ress. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $X$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $d$  with a filtration

$$F : 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{E}.$$

On  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , we can construct a vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  by “deforming”  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{E}_i / \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$  as follows: let  $t$  be the coordinate of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , and define  $\mathcal{F}$  to be the subsheaf of  $\pi_X^* \mathcal{E}$  generated by  $t^{-i} \cdot \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle on  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $d$ . We have

$$\mathcal{F}_t \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}, & t \neq 0, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{E}_i / \mathcal{E}_{i-1}, & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is called the *jumping phenomenon*. **Yang: To be checked...**

For a concrete example, let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

by [Proposition 17](#).

Fix the standard coordinate  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{k}[X_0, X_1]$  and let  $e_0 = (1, 0)$ ,  $e_1 = (0, 1)$  be the standard basis of  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . On the open subset  $U_i = \{X_i \neq 0\}$ , fix a trivialization  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \cdot \frac{1}{X_i}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{O}(-2) \subset \mathcal{E}$  is generated by  $(X_1 e_0 - X_0 e_1) / X_i^2$  on  $U_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$  and  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is given by  $e_0 \mapsto X_0$ ,  $e_1 \mapsto X_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  and consider the filtration  $F : 0 \subset \mathcal{O}(-2) \subset \mathcal{E}$ . **Yang: To be continued...**

If  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}$  is representable by a scheme  $M$ , then the family of vector bundles parametrized by  $M$  does not have jumping phenomenon. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is an vector bundle on  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_t \cong \mathcal{E}$  for  $t \neq 0$ , then by the universal property of  $M$ , there exists a unique morphism  $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \cong (\text{id}_X \times f)^* \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the universal vector bundle on  $X \times M$ . Since  $f$  is constant on the open subset  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ , it is constant on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cong \mathcal{E}$ .

To fix the above problems, we need to

- restrict to a smaller family of vector bundles,
- kill jumping phenomenon, and
- weaken the notion of representability.

**Definition 4.** Let  $F : (\mathbf{Sch}_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a functor,  $M$  a scheme over  $S$ , and  $\eta : F \rightarrow h_M$  a natural transformation. We say that  $(M, \eta)$  *corepresents*  $F$  if for any scheme  $N$  over  $S$  and any natural transformation  $\eta' : F \rightarrow h_N$ , there exists a unique morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\eta} & h_M \\ & \searrow \eta' & \downarrow h_f \\ & & h_N. \end{array}$$

**Definition 5.** A scheme  $M$  over  $S$  is called the *coarse moduli space* of  $F$  if

- (a) there exists a natural transformation  $\eta : F \rightarrow h_M$  such that  $(M, \eta)$  corepresents  $F$ ;
- (b)  $\eta_{\mathbb{k}} : F(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{k})$  is a bijection.

Yang: To be continued...

### 3 Semistable vector bundles

**Definition 6.** Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve over  $\mathbb{k}$ . For a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $d$  on  $C$ , we define its slope to be  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := d/r$ .

**Proposition 7.** Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of non-zero vector bundles on  $C$ . Then  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_2) \geq \mu(\mathcal{E}_1)$  (resp.  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_2) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_1)$ ) if and only if  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_2) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E}_3)$  (resp.  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_2) < \mu(\mathcal{E}_3)$ ).

*Proof.* We have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_2) = \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}_2}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_2} = \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}_1 + \deg \mathcal{E}_3}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_1 + \text{rank } \mathcal{E}_3}.$$

Note that for any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , we have

$$\frac{a+c}{b+d} \geq \frac{a}{b} \iff bc \geq ad \iff \frac{a+c}{b+d} \leq \frac{c}{d}.$$

The strict inequality case is similar. Then the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Definition 8.** Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve over  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  a vector bundle on  $C$ . We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is *stable* (resp. *semistable*) if for any proper sub-bundle  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $\mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(\mathcal{E})$  (resp.  $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$ ).

**Proposition 9.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be vector bundles on  $C$ . Suppose that they are semistable and  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) > \mu(\mathcal{F})$ . Then any homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is zero.

Suppose that they are stable and  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \mu(\mathcal{F})$ . Then any non-zero homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a non-zero homomorphism of vector bundles on  $C$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Im } \varphi \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is vector bundle, hence torsion-free,  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is also torsion-free, thus a vector bundle.

If  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are semistable with  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) > \mu(\mathcal{F})$ , clearly  $\text{Ker } \varphi \neq 0$ , then by [Proposition 7](#), we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu(\text{Im } \varphi) \leq \mu(\mathcal{F}).$$

This is a contradiction, thus  $\varphi = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are stable with  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \mu(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $\text{Ker } \varphi \neq 0$ , then by [Proposition 7](#), we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) < \mu(\text{Im } \varphi) \leq \mu(\mathcal{F}).$$

This is a contradiction, thus  $\varphi$  is injective. Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is stable and  $\text{Im } \varphi \subset \mathcal{F}$  has the same slope as  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\text{Im } \varphi = \mathcal{F}$ . □

**Corollary 10.** A stable vector bundle is simple as a coherent sheaf, i.e.,  $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{k}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$  be a non-zero endomorphism. Then there exists  $P \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{k})$  such that  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{E}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_P$  is non-zero. Let  $a \in \mathbb{k}$  be an eigenvalue of  $\varphi_P$  and consider the endomorphism  $\varphi - a \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Then  $(\varphi - a \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}})_P : \mathcal{E}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_P$  is not an isomorphism, so is  $\varphi - a \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . By [Proposition 9](#),  $\varphi - a \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ , thus  $\varphi = a \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . □

**Lemma 11.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a semistable vector bundle on  $X$ .

- (a) if  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) > 2g - 2$ , then  $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ ;
- (b) if  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) > 2g - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is globally generated.

*Proof.* Yang: To be continued... □

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{r,d}$  be set of isomorphism classes of semistable vector bundles on  $X$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $d$ .

**Proposition 12.** The family  $\mathcal{S}_{r,d}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Yang: To be continued... □

**Definition 13** (Jordan-Hölder filtration). Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a semistable vector bundle on  $\mathcal{C}$ . A *Jordan-Hölder filtration* of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a filtration

$$F : 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$$

such that  $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$  are stable with  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) = \mu(\mathcal{E})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proposition 14.** Any semistable vector bundle on  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a Jordan-Hölder filtration. Moreover, the associated graded object

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{E}) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$$

is independent of the choice of Jordan-Hölder filtration up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* Yang: To be continued... □

**Definition 15** (S-equivalence). Two semistable vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of the same rank and degree on  $\mathcal{C}$  are called *S-equivalent* if their associated graded objects  $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{F})$  (from their Jordan-Hölder filtrations) are isomorphic.

**Definition 16.** We define a functor

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,d}^{ss} : (\mathbf{Sch}_k)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

$$T \mapsto \{\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is a family of semistable vector bundles on } X \text{ of rank } r, \forall t \in T, \deg(\mathcal{E}_t) = d\} / \sim,$$

where  $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}'$  if for any  $t \in T$ , the vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}_t$  and  $\mathcal{E}'_t$  are S-equivalent or **Yang: .... Yang: To be continued...**

## Requirements

**Proposition 17.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_R^n$  be the projective space of dimension  $n$  over a ring  $R$ . Then we have the following exact sequence of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}_R^n$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_R^n/R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}(-1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Fixing a non-zero element in  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}(1))$ , this gives a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}(1)$ . Twisting by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}(-1)$ , we get a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^n}$ . **Yang: To be continued...**  $\square$