Notes in Algebraic Geometry

「あんたバカァ?」

Notes in Algebraic Geometry

Author: Tianle Yang

Email: loveandjustice@88.com

Homepage: tianleyang.com

Source code: github.com/MonkeyUnderMountain/Note_on_Algebraic_Geometry

Version: 0.1.0

Last updated: August 26, 2025

Contents

Chapter 1

Birational Geometry

1.1 Bend and Break

1.1.1 Preliminary

Definition 1.1.1 (Frobinius morphism)**.** Let X be a variety over a field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Denote the structure morphism by $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk$. The *absolute Frobenius morphism* is the morphism given by $\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_X, f \mapsto f^p$, denoted by $\mathrm{Frob}_{X/\mathbb{F}_p}$. The *relative Frobenius morphism* is the morphism $\mathrm{Frob}_{X/\Bbbk}$ given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Frob}_{X/\Bbbk}"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "\mathrm{Frob}_{X/\mathbb{F}_p}"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "\pi"'] & & \\
& X \times_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
& \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Frob}_{\Bbbk/\mathbb{F}_p}"] & \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk
\end{tikzcd}.$$

We usually denote $X \times_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Spec} \Bbbk$ appearing above by $X^{(p)}$.

Proposition 1.1.2. Let X be a variety of dimension d over a field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the relative Frobenius morphism $\mathrm{Frob}_{X/\Bbbk} : X \to X^{(p)}$ is a finite morphism of degree p^d over $\Bbbk$.

1.1.2 Deformation of curves

Theorem 1.1.3 (ref. [Kol96, Chapter II, Theorem 1.2])**.** Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g and X a smooth projective variety of dimension n. Let $f : C \to X$ be a non-constant morphism. Then every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Mor}(C, X)$ containing f has dimension at least

$$-K_Y \cdot f(C) + (1 - g)n.$$

Proposition 1.1.4. Let X be a projective variety and $f : C \to X$ a non-constant morphism from a pointed smooth projective curve $p_0 \in C$. Let $0 \in T$ be a pointed smooth curve (may not be projective). Suppose that we have a non-trivial family of morphisms $f_t : C \to X$ for $t \in T$ such that $f_0 = f$ and $f_t(p_0) = x_0$ for some point $x_0 \in X$ and all t. Then there exist some rational curves $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m \subset X$ such that

(a) $x_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Gamma_i$;

(b) there is a morphism $g : C \to X$ such that $f(C) \equiv_{alg} g(C) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Gamma_i$ with $a_i > 0$ for all i.

Proposition 1.1.5. Let X be a projective variety and $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ a non-constant morphism with $f(0) = x_0, f(\infty) = x_\infty$. Let $0 \in T$ be a pointed smooth curve (may not be projective). Suppose that we have a non-trivial family of morphisms $f_t : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ for $t \in T$ such that $f_0 = f$ and $f_t(0) = x_0, f_t(\infty) = x_\infty$ for all t. Then there exists a curve $C \subset X$ such that $f(\mathbb{P}^1) \equiv_{alg} aC$ with $a > 1$.

1.1.3 Find rational curves

Theorem 1.1.6. Let X be a smooth Fano variety. Then for any $x \in X(\mathbb{k})$, there is a rational curve C passing through x with

$$0 < -C \cdot K_X \leq \dim X + 1.$$

Proof. To be completed. □

Theorem 1.1.7. Let X be a smooth projective variety such that $K_X \cdot C < 0$ for some irreducible curve $C \subset X$. Let H be an ample divisor on X. Then there exists a rational curve Γ such that

$$-(K_X \cdot C) \cdot \frac{H \cdot \Gamma}{H \cdot C} \leq -K_X \cdot \Gamma \leq \dim X + 1.$$

Proof. To be completed. □

Theorem 1.1.8. Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and $f : X \to Y$ a birational projective morphism. Suppose that $K_{(X,B)}$ is f-ample. Then the exceptional locus of f is covered by rational curves Γ with

$$0 < -K_{(X,B)} \cdot \Gamma \leq 2 \dim X.$$

Theorem 1.1.9. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and $H, H_1, \cdots, H_{n-1}$ ample divisors on X. Suppose that $K_X \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} < 0$. Then for a general point $x \in X$, there exists a rational curve Γ passing through x such that

$$0 < H \cdot \Gamma \leq -2n \cdot \frac{H \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1}}{K_X \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1}}.$$

Proposition 1.1.10. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n and H an ample divisor on X. Suppose that $K_X \cdot H^{n-1} < 0$. Then for a general point $x \in X$, there exists a rational curve Γ passing through x such that
$$0 < H \cdot \Gamma \leq -2n \cdot \frac{H^n}{K_X \cdot H^{n-1}}.$$

1.2 Kodaira Vanishing Theorem

1.2.1 Preliminary

Theorem 1.2.1 (Serre Duality)**.** Let X be a Cohen-Macaulay projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbf{k}$ and D a divisor on X. Then there is an isomorphism
$$H^i(X, D) \cong H^{n-i}(X, K_X - D)^\vee, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Theorem 1.2.2 (Log Resolution of Singularities)**.** Let X be an irreducible reduced algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ (or a suitably small neighborhood of a compact set of an irreducible reduced analytic space) and $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ a coherent sheaf of ideals defining a closed subscheme (or subspace) Z. Then there is a smooth variety (or analytic space) Y and a projective morphism $f : Y \to X$ such that

(a) f is an isomorphism over $X - (\mathrm{Sing}(X) \cup \mathrm{Supp}\, Z)$,

(b) $f^*I \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ is an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$ and

(c) $\mathrm{Exc}(f) \cup D$ is an snc divisor.

Theorem 1.2.3 (Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem)**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$ and Y a hyperplane section of X. Then the restriction map
$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \to H^k(Y, \mathbb{C})$$
is an isomorphism for $k < n - 1$ and an injection for $k = n - 1$.

Theorem 1.2.4 (Hodge Decomposition)**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$. Then for any k, there is a functorial decomposition
$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(X, \Omega_X^q).$$

Combine Theorem 1.2.3 and Theorem 1.2.4, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$ and Y a hyperplane section of X. Then the restriction map $r_k : H^k(X,\mathbb{C}) \to H^k(Y,\mathbb{C})$ decomposes as

$$r_k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} r_{p,q}, \quad r_{p,q} : H^p(X,\Omega_X^q) \to H^p(Y,\Omega_Y^q).$$

And $r_{p,q}$ is an isomorphism for $p+q<n-1$ and an injection for $p+q=n-1$. In particular,

$$H^p(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^p(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)$$

is an isomorphism for $p<n-1$ and an injection for $p=n-1$.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Leray spectral sequence)**.** Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism of varieties and $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on Y. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q f_*\mathcal{F}) \implies H^{p+q}(Y,\mathcal{F}).$$

1.2.2 Kodaira Vanishing Theorem

Lemma 1.2.7. Let X be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on X. Suppose there is an integer m and a smooth divisor $D \in H^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m)$. Then there exists a finite surjective morphism $f : Y \to X$ of smooth projective varieties such that $D' := f^{-1}(D)$ is smooth and satisfies that $bD' = af^*D$.

Proof. Let $s \in \mathcal{L}^m$ be the section defining D. It induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-m} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{-i}\right) / (\mathcal{L}^{-m} \to \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}^{-i}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra. Let $Y \coloneqq \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{A}$. Then Y is a finite $\mathcal{O}_X$-scheme and the natural morphism $f : Y \to X$ is finite and surjective.

For every $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{L}$ locally generated by t near x. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y$ locally equal to $\mathcal{O}_X[t]/(t^m - s)$. Let D' be the divisor locally given by $t=0$ on Y. Since X and D are smooth, then Y is a smooth variety and D' is smooth. Since f is finite, it is proper. Then Y is proper and hence Y is projective. □

Remark 1.2.8. Let D_i be reduced effective divisors on X such that $D + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i$ is snc. Set $D_i' = f^*(D_i)$. Then $D' + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i'$ is snc on Y by considering the local regular system of parameters.

Lemma 1.2.9. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on X. Suppose that X is normal. Then for any $i \geq 0$, $H^i(X,\mathcal{L})$ is a direct summand of $H^i(Y, f^*\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. Since f is finite, we have $H^i(Y,f^*\mathcal{L}) \cong H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L})$. Since X are normal, the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \to f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ splits by the trace map $(1/n)\operatorname{Tr}_{Y/X}$. Thus we have $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{F}$ and hence

$$H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong H^i(X,\mathcal{L}) \oplus H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Then the conclusion follows. □

Theorem 1.2.10 (Kodaira Vanishing Theorem)**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 and A an ample divisor on X. Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-A)) = 0, \quad \forall i < n.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$H^i(X, K_X + A) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.2.7 and 1.2.9, after taking a multiple of A, we can assume that A is effective. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-A) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_A \to 0.$$

Taking the long exact sequence of cohomology, we have

$$H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_A) \to H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-A)) \to H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_A).$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 1.2.5 and Serre duality (Theorem 1.2.1). □

1.2.3 Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem

We list three versions of Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem here.

Theorem 1.2.11 (Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem I)**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 and D a nef and big $\mathbb{r}$-divisor on X. Then

$$H^i(X, K_X + D) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Theorem 1.2.12 (Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem II)**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 and D a nef and big $\mathbb{q}$-divisor on X. Suppose that $\lceil D \rceil - D$ has snc support. Then

$$H^i(X, K_X + \lceil D \rceil) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Theorem 1.2.13 (Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem III)**.** Let (X, B) be a klt pair over $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. Let D be a nef $\mathbb{q}$-divisor on X such that $D + K_{(X,B)}$ is a Cartier divisor. Then

$$H^i(X, K_{(X,B)} + D) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

If we replace the assumption "nef and big" of D by "ample" in II and III, we denote them as "II(ample)" and "III(ample)" respectively. Then the proof follows the following line:

$$\text{Kodaira Vanishing} \implies \text{II(ample)} \implies \text{III(ample)} \implies \text{I} \implies \text{II} \implies \text{III}.$$

The proofs leave here and the lemmas used in the proofs are collected in the end of this section.

Proof of II (Theorem 1.2.12). Set $M := \lceil D \rceil$. Let

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i B_i := \lceil D \rceil - D = M - A, \quad b_i \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

We do not require that B_i are irreducible but we require that B_i are smooth.

We induct on k. When $k = 0$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2.11. (For the ample case, it follows Kodaira Vanishing Theorem (Theorem 1.2.10.)) Let $b_k = a/c$ with lowest terms. Then $a < c$. By Lemma 1.2.15 and 1.2.9, we can assume that $(1/c)B_k$ is a Cartier divisor (not necessarily effective). Applying Lemma 1.2.7 on B_k, we can find a finite surjective morphism $f : X' \to X$ such that $f^*B_k = cB'_k, B'_i = f^*B_i$ for $i < k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} B'_i$ is an snc divisor on X'. Let $B' = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B'_i, A' = f^*A$ and $M' = f^*M$. Then $A' + B' = M' - aB'_k$ is Cartier. Hence by induction hypothesis, $H^i(X', -A' - B')$ vanishes for $i > 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-M' + aB'_k) \cong \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} f^*\mathcal{O}_X(-M + (a-i)B_k).$$

Hence $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-M))$ is a direct summand of $H^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-M' + aB'_k))$ by Lemma 1.2.9. □

Proof of III (Theorem 1.2.13). Let $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a resolution such that $\operatorname{Supp} f^*B \cup \operatorname{Exc} f$ is snc. We can write

$$f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E = K_{(\tilde{X},\tilde{B})} + f^*D,$$

where $\tilde{B} \in (0,1)$ has snc support and E is an effective exceptional divisor.

By Lemma 1.2.14, we have

$$H^i(\tilde{X}, K_{(\tilde{X},\tilde{B})} + f^*D) = H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E)) = H^i(X, K_{(X,B)} + D),$$

and the left hand vanishes by Theorem 1.2.12 in either case relative to the assumption of D. □

Proof of I (Theorem 1.2.11). By Lemma 1.2.17, we can choose $k \gg 0$ such that $(X, 1/kB)$ is a klt pair with $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A + \frac{1}{k}B$ for some ample divisor A. Then the theorem comes down to Theorem 1.2.13. □

Lemma 1.2.14. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a birational morphism of projective varieties with Y smooth and X has only rational singularities. Let E be an effective exceptional divisor on Y and D a divisor on X. Then we have

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(f^*D + E)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D), \quad R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(f^*D + E)) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Proof. I am unable to proof this lemma. □

Lemma 1.2.15. Let X be a projective variety, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on X and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then there exists a finite surjective morphism $f : Y \to X$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}'$ on Y such that $f^*\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{L}'^m$. If X is smooth, then we can take Y to be smooth. Moreover, if $D = \sum D_i$ is an snc divisor on X, then we can take f such that f^*D is an snc divisor on Y.

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample by writing it as a difference of two very ample line bundles. Consider the fiber product $Y := \mathbb{P}^N \times_{\mathbb{P}^N} X$ as the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathbb{P}^N \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X \arrow[r, "\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}"] & \mathbb{P}^N \end{tikzcd},$$

where $g : [x_0 : ... : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^m : ... : x_N^m]$. The morphism f is finite and surjective since so is g. Let $\mathcal{L}' \coloneqq \psi^*\mathcal{L}$.

For smoothness, we can compose g with a general automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then the conclusion follows from [Har77, Chapter III, Theorem 10.8]. □

Lemma 1.2.16 (ref. [KM98, Theorem 5.10, 5.22])**.** Let (X, B) be a klt pair over $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. Then X has rational singularities and is Cohen-Macaulay.

Lemma 1.2.17. Let X be a projective variety of dimension n and D a nef and big divisor on X. Then there exists an effective divisor B such that for every k, there is an ample divisor A_k such that

$$D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_k + \frac{1}{k}B.$$

Proof. By definition of big divisor, there exists an ample divisor A_1 and effective divisor B such that

$$D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1 + B.$$

Then we have

$$D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{A + (k-1)D}{k} + \frac{1}{k}B.$$

Since A is ample and D is nef, we can take $A_k = (A + (k-1)D)/k$ which is ample. □

1.3 Cone Theorem

1.3.1 Preliminary

Theorem 1.3.1 (Iitaka fibration, semiample case, ref. [Laz04, Theorem 2.1.27])**.** Let X be a projective variety and ℓ an semiample line bundle on X. Then there exists a fibration $\varphi : X \to Y$ of projective varieties such that for any $m \gg 0$ with ℓ^m base point free, we have that the morphism φ_{ℓ^m} induced by ℓ^m is isomorphic to φ. Such a fibration is called the *Iitaka fibration* associated to ℓ.

Theorem 1.3.2 (Rigidity Lemma, ref. [Deb01, Lemma 1.15])**.** Let $\pi_i : X \to Y_i$ be proper morphisms of varieties over a field $\mathbf{k}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that π_1 is a fibration and π_2 contracts $\pi_1^{-1}(y_0)$. Then there exists a rational map $\varphi : Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$ and φ is well-defined near $Y_1 \setminus \{y_0\}$.

Theorem 1.3.3. Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{r}^n$ be disjoint convex sets. Then there exists a linear functional $f : \mathbb{r}^n \to \mathbb{r}$ such that $f|_A \leq c$ and $f|_B \geq c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{r}$.

Proposition 1.3.4. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n and H an ample divisor on X. Suppose that $K_X \cdot H^{n-1} < 0$. Then for a general point $x \in X$, there exists a rational curve Γ passing through x such that

$$0 < H \cdot \Gamma \leq -2n \cdot \frac{H^n}{K_X \cdot H^{n-1}}.$$

Schetch of proof. Take a resolution $f : Y \to X$, then f^*H is nef on Y and $K_Y \cdot f^*H^{n-1} < 0$ since $E \cdot f^*H^{n-1} = 0$. Choose an ample divisor H_Y on Y closed enough to f^*H such that $K_Y \cdot H_Y^{n-1} < 0$. By [MM86, Theorem 5] and take limit for H_Y. □

Lemma 1.3.5 (ref. [Kaw91, Lemma]). Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and $f : X \to Y$ a birational projective morphism. Let E be an irreducible component of dimension d of the exceptional locus of f and $\nu : E^\nu \to X$ the normalization of E. Suppose that $f(E)$ is a point. Then for any ample divisor H on X, we have

$$K_{E^\nu} \cdot \nu^* H^{d-1} \leq K_{(X,B)}|_{E^\nu} \cdot \nu^* H^{d-1}.$$

1.3.2 Non-vanishing Theorem

Theorem 1.3.6 (Non-vanishing Theorem). Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and D a Cartier divisor on X. Suppose that D is nef and $aD - K_{(X,B)}$ is nef and big for some $a > 0$. Then for $m \gg 0$, we have

$$H^0(X, mD) \neq 0.$$

1.3.3 Base Point Free Theorem

Theorem 1.3.7 (Base Point Free Theorem). Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and D a Cartier divisor on X. Suppose that D is nef and $aD - K_{(X,B)}$ is nef and big for some $a > 0$. Then for $m \gg 0$, mD is base point free.

Remark 1.3.8. In general, we say that a Cartier divisor D is *semiample* if there exists a positive integer m such that mD is base point free. The statement in Base Point Free Theorem (Theorem 1.3.7) is strictly stronger than the semiample condition. For example, let ℓ be a torsion line bundle, then ℓ is semiample but there exists no positive integer M such that $m\ell$ is base point free for all $m > M$.

1.3.4 Rationality Theorem

Lemma 1.3.9 (ref. [KM98, Theorem 1.36]). Let X be a proper variety of dimension n and $D_1, \dots, D_m$ Cartier divisors on X. Then the Euler characteristic $\chi(n_1 D_1, \dots, n_m D_m)$ is a polynomial in $(n_1, \cdots, n_m)$ of degree at most n.

Theorem 1.3.10 (Rationality Theorem). Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair, $a = a(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $aK_{(X,B)}$ Cartier and H an ample divisor on X. Let

$$t \coloneqq \inf\{s \geq 0 : K_{(X,B)} + sH \text{ is nef}\}$$

be the nef threshold of (X, B) with respect to H. Then $t = v/u \in \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$0 \leq v \leq a(X) \cdot (\dim X + 1).$$

Proof. For every $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let

$$v(r) \coloneqq \begin{cases} v, & \text{if } r = \frac{v}{u} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ in lowest term;} \\ \infty, & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

We need to show that $v(t) \leq a(\dim X + 1)$. For every $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{>0}$, set $D(p, q) \coloneqq paK_{(X,B)} + qH$. If $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{>0}$ with $0 < atp - q < t$, then we have $D(p, q)$ is not nef and $D(p, q) - K_{(X,B)}$ is ample.

Step 1. We show that a polynomial $P(x, y) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ of degree at most n is not identically zero on the set

$$\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : p, q > M, 0 < atp - q < t\varepsilon\}, \quad \forall M > 0,$$

if $v(t)\varepsilon > a(n + 1)$.

If $v(t) = \infty$, for any n, we show that we can find infinitely many lines L such that $\#L \cap \Lambda \geq n + 1$. If so, Λ is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{Q}^2$. Since $1/at \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, there exist $p_0, q_0 > M$ such that

$$0 < \frac{p_0}{q_0} - \frac{1}{at} < \frac{\varepsilon}{(n+1)a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_0}, \text{ i.e. } 0 < atp_0 - q_0 < \frac{\varepsilon t}{n+1}.$$

Then $(ip_0, iq_0) \in \Lambda \cap \{p_0 y = q_0 x\}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n + 1$. Since M is arbitrary, there are infinitely many such lines L.

Suppose $v(t) = v < \infty$ and $t = v/u$. Then the inequality is equivalent to $0 < aup - vq < \varepsilon v$. Note that $\gcd(au, v) | a$, then $aup - vq = ai$ has integer solutions for $i = 1, \cdots, n + 1$. Since $v(t)\varepsilon > a(n + 1)$, there are at least $n + 1$ lines which intersect Λ in infinitely many points. This enforce any polynomial which vanishes on Λ has degree at least $n + 1$.

Step 2. There exists an index set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that Λ contains all sufficiently large (p, q) with $0 \leq atp - q \leq t$ and

$$Z \coloneqq \operatorname{Bs}|D(p, q)| = \operatorname{Bs}|D(p', q')| \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall (p, q), (p', q') \in \Lambda.$$

For every $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{>0}$ with $0 < atp - q < t$, choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $k(atp - q) > t$. Then for all $p', q' > kp$ with $0 < atp' - q' < t$, we have

$$p' - kp \geq 0, \quad q' - kp > t(p' - kp).$$

It follows that

To be completed.

Step 3. Suppose the contradiction that $v(t) > a(\dim X + 1)$. Then we show that $H^0(X, D(p,q)) \neq 0$ for all $(p,q) \in \Lambda$. This is an analogue of Non-vanishing Theorem in the proof of Base Point Free Theorem (Theorem 1.3.7).

Let $P(x,y) := \chi(D(x,y))$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $D(x,y)$. Note that $P(0,n) = \chi(nH) \neq 0$ since H is ample. Then $P(x,y) \neq 0$ and $\deg P \leq \dim X$. By Step 1, P is not identically zero on Λ. Note that $D(p,q) - K_{(X,B)}$ is ample for all $(p,q) \in \Lambda$, then $h^i(X, D(p,q)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (Theorem 1.2.13). Then

$$P(p,q) = \chi(D(p,q)) = h^0(X, D(p,q)) \neq 0$$

for some $(p,q) \in \Lambda$. This is equivalent to that $Z \neq X$ and hence $H^0(X, D(p,q)) \neq 0$ for all $(p,q) \in \Lambda$.

Step 4. We follow the same line of the proof of Base Point Free Theorem (Theorem 1.3.7) to show that there is a section which does not vanish on Z.

Fix $(p,q) \in \Lambda$. If $v(t) < \infty$, we assume that $t = v/u$ and $atp - q = a(n+1)/u$. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a resolution such that

(a) $K_{Y,B_Y} = f^*K_{(X,B)} + E_Y$ for some effective exceptional divisor E_Y, and Y, B_Y is a klt pair;

(b) $f^*|D(p,q)| = |L| + F$ for some effective divisor F and a base point free divisor L, and $f(\operatorname{Supp} F) = Z$;

(c) $f^*D(p,q) - f^*K_{(X,B)} - E_0$ is ample for some effective $\mathbb{q}$-divisor $E_0 \in (0,1)$, and coefficients of E_0 are sufficiently small;

(d) $B_Y + E_Y + F + E_0$ has snc support.

Such resolution exists by [KM98].

Let $c := \inf\{\lfloor B_Y + E_0 + tF \rfloor \neq 0\}$. Adjust the coefficients of E_0 slightly such that $\lfloor B_Y + E_0 + cF \rfloor = F_0$ for unique prime divisor F_0 with $F_0 \subset \operatorname{Supp} F$. Set $\Delta_Y := B_Y + cF + E_0 - F_0$. Then (Y, Δ_Y) is a klt pair.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} N(p',q') &:= f^*D(p',q') + E_Y - F_0 - K_{(Y,\Delta_Y)} \\ &= \Big(f^*D(p',q') - (1+c)f^*D(p,q)\Big) + \Big(f^*D(p,q) - f^*K_{(X,B)} - E_0\Big) + c\Big(f^*D(p,q) - F\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Note that on

$$\Lambda_0 := \{(p',q') \in \Lambda : 0 < atp' - q' < atp - q,\ p',q' > (1+c)\max\{p,q\}\},$$

the divisor $f^*D(p',q') - (1+c)f^*D(p,q) = f^*D(p'-(1+c)p, q'-(1+c)q)$ is ample, and hence $N(p',q')$ is ample.

By the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_Y(f^*D(p',q') + E_Y - F_0) \to \mathscr{O}_Y(f^*D(p',q') + E_Y) \to \mathscr{O}_{F_0}((f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0}) \to 0$$

and Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem (Theorem 1.2.13), we get a surjective map

$$H^0(Y, f^*D(p',q') + E_Y) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(F_0, (f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0}).$$

On F_0, consider the polynomial $\chi((f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0})$. Note that $\dim F_0 = n-1$ and by the construction of $(p,q), \Lambda_0$, similar to Step 3, we can show that $\chi((f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0})$ is not identically zero on Λ_0. By adjunction, we have $(f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0} = N(p',q')|_{F_0} + K_{(F_0, \Delta_Y|_{F_0})}$ with $N(p',q')|_{F_0}$ ample and $(F_0, \Delta_Y|_{F_0})$ klt. Hence we can apply Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem (Theorem 1.2.13) to get

$$h^0(F_0, (f^*D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0}) = \chi(F_0, (D(p',q') + E_Y)|_{F_0}) \neq 0.$$

This combining with the surjective map contradict to the assumption that $f(F_0) \subset Z = \mathrm{Bs}\,|D(p',q')|$. $\square$

1.3.5 Cone Theorem and Contraction Theorem

Theorem 1.3.11 (Cone Theorem)**.** Let (X,B) be a projective klt pair. Then there exist countably many rational curves $C_i \subset X$ with

$$0 < -K_{(X,B)} \cdot C_i \leq 2\dim X$$

such that

(a) we have a decomposition of cones

$$\mathrm{Psef}_1(X) = \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}\geq 0} + \sum \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i];$$

(b) and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and an ample divisor H on X, we have

$$\mathrm{Psef}_1(X) = \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}+\varepsilon H\geq 0} + \sum_{\text{finite}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i].$$

Proof. Let $F_D \coloneqq \mathrm{Psef}_1(X) \cap D^\perp$ for a nef divisor D on X. If $\dim F_D = 1$, we also write $R_D \coloneqq F_D$. Let $H_1, \cdots, H_{\rho-1}$ be ample divisors on X such that they together with $K_{(X,B)}$ form a basis of $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $S^{\rho-1} \coloneqq S(N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the unit sphere in $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Step 1. There exists an integer N such that for every $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal face F_D and for every ample divisor H, there exists $n_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $\{0\} \neq F_{nD+rK_{(X,B)}+NH} \subset F_D$.

Let $N \coloneqq (a(X)(\dim X + 1))!$, where $a(X)$ is the number in Theorem 1.3.10. For every n, $nD + H$

is an ample divisor and by Theorem 1.3.10, the nef threshold of $K_{(X,B)}$ with respect to $nD+H$ is of form

$$\inf\{s \geq 0 : K_{(X,B)} + s(nD+H) \text{ is nef}\} = \frac{N}{r_n}, \quad r_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since $K_{(X,B)} + (N/r_n)((n+1)D+H)$ is nef, we have $r_n \leq r_{n+1}$. On the other hand, let $\xi \in F_D \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\xi \cdot (K_{(X,B)} + (N/r_n)(nD+H)) \geq 0$ implies that

$$r_n \leq -N \cdot \frac{K_{(X,B)} \cdot \xi}{H \cdot \xi}.$$

Hence $r_n \to r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It follows that $rK_{(X,B)} + nND + NH$ is a nef but not ample divisor for all $n \gg 0$. Note that for every nef divisors N_1, N_2, we have $F_{N_1+N_2} = F_{N_1} \cap F_{N_2}$. Then for all $n \gg 0$, there exists m large enough such that

$$\{0\} \neq F_{rK_{(X,B)}+mND+NH} \subset F_{rK_{(X,B)}+nD+NH} \subset F_D.$$

Step 2. Let $\Phi : N_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}<0} \to \mathbb{R}^{\rho-1}$ be the map defined by

$$\alpha \mapsto \left(\frac{H_1 \cdot \alpha}{K_{(X,B)} \cdot \alpha}, \ldots, \frac{H_{\rho-1} \cdot \alpha}{K_{(X,B)} \cdot \alpha}\right).$$

We show that the image of R_D under Φ lies in a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{\rho-1}$.

Suppose $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\xi$ for a class ξ. By Step 1, we have $R_{nD+rK_{(X,B)}+NH_i} = R_D$ for some integers n, r. Then $\xi \cdot (nD + rK_{(X,B)} + NH_i) = 0$ implies that

$$\frac{H_i \cdot \xi}{K_{(X,B)} \cdot \xi} = \frac{-r}{N} \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that the image of R_D under Φ lies in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^{\rho-1}$.

Step 3. We show that every $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal ray of $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ is of the form R_D for some nef divisor D on X.

Let $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\xi$ be a $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal ray. Then R is of form $D^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ for some nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor D on X by Theorem 1.3.3. We need to show that D can be choose as a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. There is a sequence of nef but not ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors D_m such that $D_m \to D$ as $m \to \infty$. We adjust D_m such that $\dim F_{D_m} = 1$ for all n.

By re-choosing H_i, we can assume that $D = a_1H_1 + \cdots + a_{\rho-1}H_{\rho-1} + a_\rho K_{(X,B)}$ for $a_i > 0$ since $aD - K$ is ample for $a \gg 0$. After truncation, we can assume that so is D_m. Then F_{D_m} is $K_{(X,B)}$-negative. Note that $F_{nD_m+r_iK_{(X,B)}+NH_i} \subset F_{D_m}$ for some $r_i > 0$ and $n \gg 0$ by Step 1. If $\dim F_{D_m} > 1$, then not all $H_i|_{F_{D_m}}$ are proportional to $K_{(X,B)}|_{D_m}$. We can assume that$r_1K_{(X,B)} + NH_1$ is not identically zero on F_{D_m}. Then we can choose n large enough such that $\|r_1K_{(X,B)} + NH_1\|/n < 1/m$. Replace D_m by $D_m + (r_1K_{(X,B)} + NH_1)/n$. Inductively we construct D_m nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with $D_m \to D$ and $\dim F_{D_m} = 1$.

Let $R_{D_m} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\xi_m$. Suppose that $\|\xi_m\| = \|\xi\| = 1$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that ξ_m converges. Then $\xi_m \to \xi$ since $\lim D_m \cdot \xi_m = D \cdot \lim \xi_m = 0$. However, Φ is well-defined at ξ and the image of ξ_m under Φ is discrete. Hence $\xi = \xi_m$ for all m large enough. It follows that $R = R_{D_m}$ for a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_m.

Step 4. We show that any $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal ray R_D contains the class of a rational curve C with $0 < -K_{(X,B)} \cdot C \leq 2\dim X$.

By Theorem 1.3.13, let $\varphi_D : X \to Y$ be the contraction associated to R_D (note that we do not need the step to proof Theorem 1.3.13). If $\dim Y < \dim X$, let F be a general fiber of φ_D. By adjunction, $(F, B|_F)$ is a klt pair and $K_{(F,B|_F)} = K_{(X,B)}|_F$. Take $H = aD - K_{(X,B)}$ for some $a > 0$ such that H is ample on F. By Proposition 1.3.4. In birational case, by adjunction, suppose $\varphi_D(E)$ is a point. By Lemma 1.3.5, we can use Proposition 1.3.4 to get the result.

To be completed.

Step 5. Proof of the theorem.

Given an ample divisor H on X, note that εH has positive minimum δ on $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X) \cap S^{\rho-1}$. Note that the set

$$\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Psef}_1(X) \cap S^{\rho-1} : K_{(X,B)} \cdot \alpha \leq -\varepsilon H \cdot \alpha\} \subset \{\alpha : K_{(X,B)} \cdot \alpha \leq -\delta\}$$

is compact, and Φ is well-defined on it. By Steps 2 and 3, there are only finitely many extremal rays on $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}+\varepsilon H \leq 0}$. By Step 4, we get (b).

For (a), note that any closed cone is equal to the closure of the cone generated by its extremal ray. We only need to show that the cone

$$\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} \geq 0} + \sum \mathbb{r}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$$

is closed. Choose a Cauchy sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha_n \to \alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{r}}$. Note that $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ is closed, hence $\alpha \in \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$. We only need to consider the case $\alpha \cdot K_{(X,B)} < 0$. We can choose an ample divisor and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha \cdot (K_{(X,B)} + \varepsilon H) < 0$. Then $\alpha_n \cdot (K_{(X,B)} + \varepsilon H) < 0$ for all n large enough. Note that $\mathcal{C} \cap \{K_{(X,B)} + \varepsilon H \leq 0\}$ is a polyhedral cone by Step 2 and hence is closed. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ and the conclusion follows. □

Remark 1.3.12. Thanks for my friend Qin for pointing out that the extremal ray in Theorem 1.3.11 may not be exposed.

Theorem 1.3.13 (Contraction Theorem)**.** Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and $F \subset \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ a $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal face of $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$. Then there exists a fibration $\varphi_F : X \to Y$ of projective varieties such that

(a) an irreducible curve $C \subset X$ is contracted by φ_F if and only if $[C] \in F$;

(b) up to linearly equivalence, any Cartier divisor G with $F \subset G^\perp = \{\alpha \in N_1(X) : \alpha \cdot G = 0\}$ comes from a Cartier divisor on Y, i.e., there exists a Cartier divisor G_Y on Y such that $G \sim \varphi_F^* G_Y$.

Proof. We follow the following steps to prove the theorem.

Step 1. We show that there exists a nef divisor D on X such that $F = D^\perp \cap \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$. In other words, F is defined on $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{q}}$.

We can choose an ample divisor H and $n > 0$ such that $K_{(X,B)} + (1/n)H$ is negative on F since $F \cap S^{\rho-1}$ is compact and $K_{(X,B)}$ is strictly negative on it, where $S^{\rho-1}$ is the unit sphere in

$N_1(X)_{\mathbb{r}}$. Then by Cone Theorem (Theorem 1.3.11), F is an extremal face of a rational polyhedral cone, namely $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}+(1/n)H\leq 0}$. It follows that $F^\perp \subset N^1(X)_{\mathbb{r}}$ is defined on $\mathbb{q}$. Since F is extremal and $K_{(X,B)} + (1/n)H$-negative, the set $\{L \in F^\perp : L|_{\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)\setminus F} > 0\}$ has non-empty interior in $F^\perp$ by Theorems 1.3.3 and 1.3.11. Then there exists a Cartier divisor D such that $D \in F^\perp$ and $D|_{\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)\setminus F} > 0$. It follows that D is nef and $F = D^\perp \cap \mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$.

Step 2. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be the Iitaka fibration associated to D by Theorem 1.3.1. We show that φ is the desired fibration.

Note that $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}\geq 0} \cap S^{\rho-1}$ is compact and D is strictly positive on it. Then there exist $a \geq 0$ such that $aD - K_{(X,B)}$ is strictly positive on $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)}\geq 0} \cap S^{\rho-1}$. And $K_{(X,B)}$ is strictly negative on $F \setminus \{0\}$ since F is $K_{(X,B)}$-negative. Then by Base Point Free Theorem (Theorem 1.3.7), we know that mD is base point free for all $m \gg 0$. Hence we can apply Theorem 1.3.1 to get a fibration $\varphi_D : X \to Y$.

First we show that D comes from Y. Note that mD and $(m+1)D$ induces the same fibration φ_D for $m \gg 0$. Then there exists $D_{Y,m}$ and $D_{Y,m+1}$ such that $\varphi_D^* D_{Y,m} \sim mD$ and $\varphi_D^* D_{Y,m+1} \sim (m+1)D$. Then set $D_Y = D_{Y,m+1} - D_{Y,m}$, we have $\varphi_D^* D_Y \sim D$.

Note that $D_Y \equiv (1/m)D_{Y,m}$ and $D_{Y,m}$ is ample. Hence D_Y is ample. Then for any curve $C \subset X$, we have

$$D \cdot C = \varphi^* D_Y \cdot C = D_Y \cdot (\varphi_D)_* C.$$

It follows that C is contracted by φ_D if and only if $D \cdot C = 0$, which is equivalent to $[C] \in F$.

Let G be arbitrary Cartier divisor on X such that $F \subset G^\perp$. Since D is strictly positive on $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X) \setminus F$, for $m \gg 0$, let $D' := mD + G$, we have $D'^\perp \cap \mathrm{Psef}_1(X) = F$. Then by the same argument as above, we get an other fibration $\varphi_{D'} : X \to Y'$ such that a curve C is contracted by $\varphi_{D'}$ if and only if $[C] \in F$. Then by Rigidity Lemma (Theorem 1.3.2), we see that $\varphi_D = \varphi_{D'}$ up to an isomorphism on Y. In particular, $D' \sim \varphi_D^* D'_Y$ for some Cartier divisor D'_Y on Y. Then $G = D' - mD$ also comes from Y. □

Remark 1.3.14. The Step 1 is amazing. If F is not $K_{(X,B)}$-negative, then it may not be rational. For example, let $X = E \times E$ for a general elliptic curve E. By [Laz04, Lemma 1.5.4], we know that $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ is a circular cone. The we see there indeed exist some irrational extremal faces of $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$.

Definition 1.3.15. Let (X, B) be a projective klt pair and R a $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal ray of $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$ with contraction $\varphi_R : X \to Y$. There are three types of contractions:

(a) *Divisorial contraction*: if $\dim X = \dim Y$ and the exceptional locus of φ_R is of codimension one;

(b) *Small contraction*: if $\dim X = \dim Y$ and the exceptional locus of φ_R is of codimension at least two;

(c) *Mori fiber space*: if $\dim X > \dim Y$.

Proposition 1.3.16. Let (X, B) be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective klt pair and R a $K_{(X,B)}$-negative extremal ray of $\mathrm{Psef}_1(X)$. Suppose that the contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$ associated to R is either divisorial or a Mori fiber space. Then Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Proof. Let D be a prime Weil divisor on Y and $U \subset Y$ a big open smooth subset. Let $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$ for an irreducible curve C contracted by φ. Set $D_X \coloneqq \overline{\varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(U)}^{-1} D}$. Then D_X is a prime Weil divisor on X and hence is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

If φ is a Mori fiber space, then $D_X|_F \equiv 0$ for general fiber F of φ. Then by Contraction Theorem (Theorem 1.3.13), we see that $mD_X \sim \varphi^* D'$ for some Cartier divisor D' on Y. We have $mD|_U \sim D'|_U$ since $\varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(U)}$ is a fibration. Then $mD \sim D'$ and hence D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

If φ is a divisorial contraction, let E be the exceptional divisor of φ and assume that $\varphi^{-1}|_U$ is an isomorphism. Then $E \cdot C \neq 0$ (otherwise $E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* E_Y$ for some Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor E_Y on Y). Then we can choose $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $(D_X + aE) \cdot C = 0$. By Contraction Theorem (Theorem 1.3.13), we have $mD_X + maE \sim \varphi^* D'$ for some Cartier divisor D' on Y. Then we also have $D|_U \sim mD'|_U$ since $\varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(U)}$ is an isomorphism. Hence D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. □

Remark 1.3.17. If φ is a small contraction, then Y is never $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Otherwise, let B_Y be the strict transform of B on Y. Note that $K_{(Y,B_Y)}|_U \sim K_{(X,B)}|_U$ on a big open subset U. Suppose $K_{(Y,B_Y)}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then $\varphi^* K_{(Y,B_Y)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{(X,B)}$. Then we have

$$\varphi^* K_{(Y,B_Y)} \cdot C = 0 = K_{(X,B)} \cdot C < 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

Example 1.3.18. Let $X = E \times E \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

1.4 F-singularities

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let X be a projective variety over $\mathbb{k}$. Let F denote the relative Frobenius morphism on X.

Definition 1.4.1. We say that X is *F-finite* if $F : X \to X^{(p)}$ is finite.

Definition 1.4.2. We say that X is *globally F-split* if $\mathcal{O}_X \to F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules for some $e \geq 0$. This is equivalent to for every $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathcal{O}_X \to F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules.

Definition 1.4.3. Fix $\phi : F_*^e L \to \mathcal{O}_X$ a splitting of $\mathcal{O}_X \to F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$. Define $\phi^n : F_*^{ne} L^{1+p^e+\cdots+p^{(n-1)e}} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ by induction:

$$\phi^n \coloneqq \phi \circ F_*^e(\phi^{n-1}).$$

Theorem 1.4.4. Above ϕ^n will be stable. That is, $\mathfrak{I}\phi^n = \mathfrak{I}\phi^{n+1}$ for all $n \gg 0$.

Definition 1.4.5. Let $\sigma(X, \phi) \coloneqq \mathfrak{I}\phi^n$. We say that (X, ϕ) is *F-pure* if $\sigma(X, \phi) = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proposition 1.4.6. There is a bijection between

$$\{\text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } \Delta \text{ such that } (p^e-1)(K_X+\Delta) \text{ is Cartier}\}/\sim$$

and

$$\{\text{line bundles } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \phi : F_*^e\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_X\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$F_X^e\mathcal{O}_X((1-p^e)K_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X$$

given by $F^e\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ and reflexivity of $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$. Since Δ is effective, we have

$$F^e(\mathcal{O}_X((1-p^e)(K_X+\Delta))) \to F^e\mathcal{O}_X((1-p^e)(K_X)) \to \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The another direction is by Grothendieck's duality

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F^e\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong F_*^e(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((1-p^e)K_X)).$$

□

Definition 1.4.7. Let $\phi_{e,\Delta} : F_*^e(\mathcal{O}_X((1-p^e)(K_X+\Delta))) \to \mathcal{O}_X$ be the morphism corresponding to the effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ.
We say that (X,Δ) is *F-pure* if $(X,\phi_{e,\Delta})$ is F-pure.
We say that (X,Δ) is *globally F-split* if for every Weil divisor $D \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_X \to F_*^e(\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil (p^e-1)\Delta \rceil + D))$ admits a splitting for some $e \geq 0$.
We say that (X,Δ) is *strongly F-split* if for every Weil divisor $D \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_X \to F_*^e(\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil (p^e-1)\Delta \rceil + D))$ admits a local splitting for some $e \geq 0$.

Definition 1.4.8.

Definition 1.4.9. $S^0(X, \sigma(X,\Delta) \otimes m)$

Proposition 1.4.10. Let X be a globally F-split projective variety. Then we have

(a) suppose that $H^i(X,\mathcal{L}^n) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all $n \gg 0$, then $H^i(X,\mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$;

(b) for every ample divisor A on X, we have $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X(A)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$;

(c) suppose that X is Cohen-Macaulay and A-ample, then $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X(-A)) = 0$ for all $i < \dim X$;

(d) suppose that X is normal and A-ample, then $H^i(X,\omega_X(A)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

References

[Deb01] Olivier Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, pp. xiv+233. ISBN: 0-387-95227-6. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-5406-3. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5406-3 (cit. on p. 7).

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Vol. No. 52. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, pp. xvi+496. ISBN: 0-387-90244-9 (cit. on p. 7).

[Kaw91] Yujiro Kawamata. "On the length of an extremal rational curve". In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 105.1 (1991), pp. 609–611 (cit. on p. 8).

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. Vol. 134. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. viii+254. ISBN: 0-521-63277-3. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511662560. URL: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511662560 (cit. on pp. 7, 9, 10).

[Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties*. Vol. 32. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1996, p. 320. ISBN: 978-3-540-60168-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3 (cit. on p. 1).

[Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*. Vol. 48. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, pp. xviii+387. ISBN: 3-540-22533-1. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4 (cit. on pp. 7, 14).

[MM86] Yoichi Miyaoka and Shigefumi Mori. "A numerical criterion for uniruledness". In: *Annals of Mathematics* 124.1 (1986), pp. 65–69 (cit. on p. 8).