

## A large, bright yellow emoji with a wide, curved smile. It has rosy pink cheeks and its eyes are winking. The emoji is centered in the upper half of the image.

\_\_\_\_\_

# Notebook in Algebraic Geometry

Author: Tianle Yang

Email: [loveandjustice@88.com](mailto:loveandjustice@88.com)

Homepage: [tianleyang.com](http://tianleyang.com)

Source code: [github.com/MonkeyUnderMountain/Note\\_on\\_Algebraic\\_Geometry](https://github.com/MonkeyUnderMountain/Note_on_Algebraic_Geometry)

Version: 0.1.0

Last updated: June 21, 2025

Copyright © 2025 Tianle Yang

# Contents

|          |   |          |
|----------|---|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>The first properties</b>                       | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1      | Setup and the first examples                      | 1        |
| 1.1.1    | Notations   | 1        |
| 1.1.2    | Examples  | 1        |
| 1.2      | Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes        | 1        |
| 1.2.1    | Height, Depth and Dimension Yang: To be completed | 1        |
| 1.2.2    | Normal schemes Yang: To be completed              | 3        |
| 1.2.3    | Cohen-Macaulay schemes                            | 5        |
| 1.2.4    | Regular schemes                                   | 6        |
| <b>A</b> | <b>Commutative Algebra</b>                        | <b>7</b> |
| A.1      | Elementary Results                                | 7        |
| A.1.1    | Nakayama's Lemma                                  | 7        |
| A.1.2    | Nullstellensatz                                   | 7        |
| A.2      | Associated prime ideals and primary decomposition | 7        |
| A.3      | Dimension and Depth                               | 10       |
| A.3.1    | Artinian Rings and Length of Modules              | 10       |
| A.3.2    | Dedekind Domains                                  | 11       |
| A.3.3    | Dimension and Serre's conditions                  | 11       |
| A.3.4    | Regular rings I                                   | 12       |
| A.4      | integrally closed and normality                   | 13       |
| A.5      | Regularity and Smoothness                         | 13       |
| A.5.1    | Modules of differentials and derivations          | 13       |
| A.5.2    | Zariski's tangent space and regularity            | 15       |



# Chapter 1

## The first properties

### 1.1 Setup and the first examples

#### 1.1.1 Notations

All schemes are assumed to be separated. For a “scheme” which is not separated, we will use the term “prescheme”.

Let  $A$  be a ring. We denote by  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  the spectrum of  $A$ . For an ideal  $I \subset A$ , we use  $V(I)$  to denote the closed subscheme of  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  defined by  $I$ .

Let  $S$  be  $\operatorname{Spec} k$ ,  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$  or an algebraic variety. An  $S$ -variety is an integral scheme  $X$  which is of finite type and flat over  $S$ . For an algebraic variety, we mean a  $k$ -variety.

We will use  $k, K$  to denote fields, and  $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}$  to denote their algebraic closure relatively.

Let  $X$  be an integral scheme. We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  the function field of  $X$ . For a closed point  $x \in X$ , we denote by  $\kappa(x)$  the residue field of  $x$ .

We denote the category of  $S$ -varieties by  $\mathbf{Var}_S$ . We denote by  $X(T)$  the set of  $T$ -points of  $X$ , that is, the set of morphisms  $T \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over  $k$ . A geometrical point is referred a morphism  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow X$ .

When refer a point (may not be closed) in a scheme, we will use the notation  $\xi \in X$ . We use  $Z_\xi$  to denote the Zariski closure of  $\{\xi\}$  in  $X$ . When we talk about a closed point on an algebraic variety, we will use the notation  $x \in X(\mathbf{k})$ .

#### Separated and proper morphisms

#### 1.1.2 Examples

**Example 1.1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $A$  the localization of  $\mathbf{k}[x]$  at  $(x)$ . Let  $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[y]$ . There are three types of points in  $X$ :

- (i) closed points with residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , like  $p = (x, y - a)$ ;
- (ii) closed points with residue field  $\mathbf{k}(y)$ , like  $P = (xy - 1)$ ;
- (iii) non-closed points, like  $\eta_1 = (x), \eta_2 = (y), \eta_3 = (x - y)$ .

### 1.2 Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes

#### 1.2.1 Height, Depth and Dimension **Yang: To be completed**

**Krull dimension and height of prime ideals** Algebraically, we have the following definitions.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal*  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$  is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that is,

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of  $A$  is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Geometrically, we have the corresponding definition.

**Definition 1.2.2.** Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme. The *codimension* of an irreducible subscheme  $Y$  in  $X$  is defined as the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets containing  $Y$ , that is,

$$\operatorname{codim}_X(Y) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of irreducible closed subsets } Y = Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Y_n\}.$$

The *dimension* of  $X$  is defined as

$$\dim X := \max_{\xi \in X} \operatorname{codim}_X Z_\xi.$$

For an affine scheme  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , above two definitions coincide by the correspondence of prime ideals and irreducible closed subsets.

**Proposition 1.2.3.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{codim}_{\operatorname{Spec} A} V(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

**Lemma 1.2.4.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then the induced morphism  $\operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  is surjective.

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ , let  $S := A - \mathfrak{p}$  and denote  $S^{-1}B$  by  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a maximal ideal of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is maximal. Indeed, consider  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , the latter is finite over the former. This enforces  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be a field. Hence  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and then  $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.5.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(R_k)$*  or *is regular in codimension  $k$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\operatorname{codim} Z_\xi \leq k$ ,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

We say that  $X$  *verifies property  $(S_k)$*  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\operatorname{depth} \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} < k$ ,

$$\operatorname{depth} \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

**Lemma 1.2.6.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $i$ .

*Proof.* **Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Example 1.2.7.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$  iff  $A$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} A$ , every element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a zero divisor. Then  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal.

Suppose  $A$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$  with  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This means every element in  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Lemma 1.2.6,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  for some minimal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , whence  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .

**Example 1.2.8.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring verifies  $(S_1)$ . Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$  iff for any nonzero divisor  $f \in A$ ,  $\operatorname{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$ . Let  $f \in A$  be a nonzero divisor and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_A A/fA$ . There exist  $g \in A \setminus fA$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g)$ . For any  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , there exist  $s_1, s_2$  with  $s_i \notin (t_i)$  and  $t_i g = fs_i$ . Then  $t_1 t_2 g = fs_1 t_2 = fs_2 t_1$ . Since  $f$  is not a zero divisor,  $s_1 t_2 = s_2 t_1$ . Then  $t_2$  is a zero divisor in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/t_1 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $s_1 \notin (t_1)$ . Since  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  and then  $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} = 1$ . This show that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded in  $\operatorname{Ass}_A A/fA$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\operatorname{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$  with  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . Then there exists  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is not a zero divisor. We have  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}}/fA_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point, whence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal in  $A/fA$ . Then  $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} = 1$  by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.10 and the fact  $f$  is not a zero divisor.

**Example 1.2.9.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is reduced iff it verifies  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$ .

The properties are local, whence we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Suppose  $A$  is reduced. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be all minimal prime ideals of  $A$ . We have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the nilradical of  $A$ . Hence  $A$  has no embedded point. Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is artinian, local and reduced,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let  $\text{Ass } A$  be equal to  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is minimal by  $(S_1)$ . Let  $f$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then the image of  $f$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is 0 since by  $(R_0)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a field. It follows that  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  component of  $(0)$  in  $A$ . Hence  $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$ . That is,  $A$  is reduced.

## 1.2.2 Normal schemes **Yang: To be completed**

**Definition 1.2.10.** An integral domain  $A$  is called *normal* if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions  $\text{Frac}(A)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.11.** Let  $A \subset C$  be rings and  $B$  the integral closure of  $A$  in  $C$ ,  $S$  a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . Then the integral closure of  $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}C$  is  $S^{-1}B$ .

*Proof.* For every  $b \in B$  and  $\forall s \in S$ , there exists  $a_i \in A$  s.t.

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^n + \frac{a_1}{s} \left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{s^n} = 0.$$

Hence  $b/s$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ ,  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ .

If  $c/s \in S^{-1}C$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ , then  $\exists a_i \in S^{-1}A$  s.t.

$$\left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^n + a_1 \left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n = 0 \in S^{-1}C$$

Then  $\exists t \in S$  s.t.

$$t(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n) = 0 \in C.$$

Then

$$(ct)^n + a_1 st(ct)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n t^n = t^n(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n) = 0.$$

Hence  $ct$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $ct \in B$ . Then  $c/s = (ct)/(st) \in S^{-1}B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.12.** Normality is a local property. That is, for an integral domain  $A$ , TFAE:

- (i)  $A$  is normal.
- (ii) For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal.
- (iii) For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal.

*Proof.* When  $A$  is normal,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal by Lemma 1.2.11.

Assume that  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ . If  $A$  is not normal, let  $\tilde{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\text{Frac } A$ ,  $\tilde{A}/A$  is a nonzero  $A$ -module. Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } \tilde{A}/A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . We have  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  and  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.13.** A scheme  $X$  is called *normal* if the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is normal for any point  $\xi \in X$ . A ring  $A$  is called *normal* if  $\text{Spec } A$  is normal.

**Remark 1.2.14.** For a general ring  $A$ , let  $S := A \setminus (\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} \mathfrak{p}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A} A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $S$  is a multiplicative set. The localization  $S^{-1}A$  is called *the total ring of fractions* of  $A$ .

Suppose  $A$  is reduced and  $\text{Ass } A = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Denote its total ring of fractions by  $Q$ . Note that elements in  $Q$  are either unit or zero divisor. Hence any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is contained in  $\bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i Q$ , whence contained in some  $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}_i Q$  are maximal ideals. And we have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i Q = 0$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have  $Q = \prod Q/\mathfrak{p}_i Q = \prod A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Let  $A$  be a reduced ring with total ring of fractions  $Q$ . Then  $A$  is normal iff  $A$  is integral closed in  $Q$ . If  $A$  is normal, then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integral. Then there is unique minimal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . In particular, any two minimal prime ideal are relatively prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $A = \prod A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Just need to check  $A/\mathfrak{p}_i$

is integral closed in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . This is clear by check pointwise.

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is integral closed in  $Q$ . Let  $e_i$  be the unit element of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . It belongs to  $A$  since  $e_i^2 - e_i = 0$ . Since  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$  and  $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$ , we have  $A = \prod A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is integral closed in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ , it is normal. Hence  $A$  is normal.

**Definition 1.2.15.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. The *normalization* of  $X$  is an  $X$ -scheme  $X^\nu$  with the following universal property: for any normal  $X$ -scheme  $Y$  with dominant structure morphism, its structure morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  factors through  $X^\nu$ .

**Proposition 1.2.16.** The normalization  $X^\nu$  of  $X$  exists. Moreover, if  $X$  is reduced,  $X^\nu \rightarrow X$  is birational.

*Proof.* Suppose there is a dominant morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  normal. Since  $Y$  is normal, it is reduced. Then it factors through  $X_{red}$ . Hence we can assume that  $X$  is reduced by replacing  $X$  by  $X_{red}$ .

Suppose  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Let  $A^\nu$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in its total ring of fractions and  $X^\nu := \text{Spec } A^\nu$ . It gives a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ . We claim that it is injective. Otherwise, it factors through  $A \rightarrow A/I$  and then  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  factors through  $\text{Spec } A/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ . It contradicts that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is dominant. Since  $Y$  is normal,  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  is integral closed in its total ring of fraction. Then  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  contains  $A^\nu$ . This shows that  $X^\nu$  is the normalization of  $X$ .

In general case, take an affine cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  and glue these  $U_i^\nu$  by universal property.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.17.** Let  $A$  be a normal ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* Since all properties are local, we can assume  $A$  is integral and local.

For  $(S_2)$ , by Example 1.2.8, we only need to show that  $\text{Ass}_A A/f$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g) \in \text{Ass}_A A/f$  and  $t := f/g \in \text{Frac } A$ . After Replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we can assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal. By definition,  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ . If  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $t^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  for  $\Phi \in M_n(A)$ . There is a monic polynomial  $\chi(T) \in A[T]$  vanishing  $\Phi$ . Then  $\chi(t^{-1}) = 0$  and  $t^{-1} \in A$ . This is impossible by definition of  $t$ . Then  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = A$ , and  $\mathfrak{p} = (t)$  is principal. By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.10,  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ .

Now we show that  $A$  verifies  $(R_1)$ . Suppose  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is local of dimension 1. Choosing  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $A/a$  is of dimension 0. Then by A.3.6,  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset aA$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \not\subset aA$ . Choose  $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \setminus aA$  and let  $t = a/b$ . By construction,  $t^{-1} \notin A$  and  $t^{-1}\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ . After similar argument, we see that  $\mathfrak{m} = tA$ , whence  $A$  is regular.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.18.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring of dimension 1. Then  $A$  is normal iff  $A$  is regular.

*Proof.* By lemma 1.2.17, we just need to show that regularity implies normality.

Let  $t \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Since  $A$  is regular,  $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$ . Let  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal. If  $I \subset \bigcap_n \mathfrak{m}^n$ , then for every  $a \in I$ , there exists  $a_n$  such that  $a = a_n t^n$ . Then we get an ascending chain of ideals  $(a_1) \subset (a_2) \subset \cdots$ . Hence  $a = 0$  by Nakayama's Lemma. Suppose  $I$  is not zero. Then there is some  $n$  such that  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^n$  and  $I \not\subset \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ . For every  $at^n \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ ,  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , whence  $a$  is a unit in  $A$ . Then  $I = (t^n)$ . Hence  $A$  is PID and hence normal.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.19.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian integral domain of dimension  $\geq 1$  verifying  $(S_2)$ . Then

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $A \subset \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $t = f/g \in \bigcap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $f \in gA_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and we have  $gA = \bigcap (gA_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A)$ ,  $f \in gA$ . It follows that  $t \in A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.20** (Serre's criterion for normality). Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is normal if and only if it verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* One direction has been proved in Lemma 1.2.17. Suppose  $X$  verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ . Again we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine and  $A$  is local. By Remark 1.2.14, we just need to show that  $A$  is integral closed in its total ring of fractions  $Q$ . Suppose we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n + c_1 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n = 0 \in Q.$$

Since  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$ ,  $bA = \bigcap \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . So it is sufficient to show that  $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in b_{\mathfrak{p}}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ . Note that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular and hence normal by Lemma 1.2.18. Then above equation gives us desired result.  $\square$



**Theorem 1.2.21.** Let  $X$  be a normal and locally noetherian scheme. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then the restriction  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_i \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j),$$

where  $\{U_i\}$  is an affine open cover of  $X$ , we can reduce to the case that  $X$  is affine. Then  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  for some normal noetherian ring  $A$ . For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$  with  $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in X \setminus F$ . By Proposition 1.2.19, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.22** (Valuation criterion for properness). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes. Then  $f$  is proper iff for any valuation ring  $A$ ,  $K = \operatorname{Frac} A$  and commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Spec} K & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \operatorname{Spec} A & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

the morphism  $\operatorname{Spec} A \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $f$  uniquely.

**Proposition 1.2.23.** Let  $X, Y$  be  $S$ -schemes with  $S$  locally noetherian. Suppose  $Y$  is of finite type over  $S$ . Let  $\xi \in X$  and  $f_x : \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Then there exists an open subset  $U \subset X$  containing  $\xi$  such that the morphism extends to a morphism  $U \rightarrow Y$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $S, X, Y$  by affine open neighborhoods of images of  $\xi$ , we can assume that  $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ ,  $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$  and  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I$  are affine. Then we get a homomorphism  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I \rightarrow B_\xi$  of  $A$ -algebra. Denote the image of  $T_i$  by  $f_i/g_i$  in  $B_\xi$ , where  $f_i, g_i \in B$ . Then above homomorphism factors through  $B[1/g_1, \dots, 1/g_n] \rightarrow B_\xi$ . Let  $U$  be the open subset of  $X$  defined by  $g_1 \cdots g_n \neq 0$ . Then the morphism  $f_x$  extends to a morphism  $U \rightarrow Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.24.** Let  $X, Y$  be  $S$ -schemes of finite type with  $S$  noetherian. Suppose  $X$  is normal, and  $Y$  is proper over  $S$ . Let  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a rational map. Then  $f$  is well-defined on an open subset  $U \subset X$  whose complement has codimension  $\geq 2$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $X$  is irreducible and hence integral. Suppose  $f$  is defined on  $U \subset X$ . For every  $\xi \in X$  with codimension 1, we have following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{K}(X) & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} & \longrightarrow & & & S \end{array}$$

By Theorem 1.2.22 and Proposition 1.2.23, there exists an open subset  $U_\xi \subset X$  containing  $\xi$  such that the morphism extends to a morphism  $U_\xi \rightarrow Y$ .

**Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.25.** Theorem 1.2.21 and Theorem 1.2.24 are very similar. However, they are based on different properties. Theorem 1.2.21 is based on  $(S_2)$ , while Theorem 1.2.24 is based on  $(R_1)$ . Philosophically, the  $(S_k)$  conditions are used to control the “bad part of codimension larger than  $k$ ”. The  $(R_k)$  conditions are used to control the “bad part of codimension smaller than or equal to  $k$ ”. We will see more examples in the next section. **Yang:** To be completed.

### 1.2.3 Cohen-Macaulay schemes

**Theorem 1.2.26.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* We can assume that  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine.

Suppose  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  with  $r = \operatorname{ht}(I)$ . We claim that  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence. If so, we get that the unmixedness theorem holds for  $A$  by applying Example 1.2.7 on  $A/I$ . Since  $\operatorname{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \leq r-1$  by Krull’s Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.10 and  $\operatorname{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_r) = r \leq \operatorname{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})+1$ , we have  $\operatorname{ht}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) = r-1$ . By induction on  $r$ , we can assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence. Hence

any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  has height  $r - 1$ . Now suppose  $a_r$  is a zero divisor in  $A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ . Then there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  such that  $a_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\text{ht}(I) \leq r - 1$ . This contradicts that  $\text{ht}(I) = r$ .

Suppose the unmixedness theorem holds for  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  be a prime ideal with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$  if and only if  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ . If  $r > 0$ , there is a nonzero divisor  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . By Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem [A.3.10](#),  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}A/aA) = r - 1$ . Inductively, we can find a regular sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = r$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.27.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq k$ . Then the restriction  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by the is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* **Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

### 1.2.4 Regular schemes

**Proposition 1.2.28.** If  $X$  verifies  $(R_k)$ , then  $\text{codim}_X X_{\text{sing}} \geq k + 1$ .

**Proposition 1.2.29.** A regular scheme is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary 1.2.30.** A regular scheme is normal.

# Appendix A

## Commutative Algebra

### A.1 Elementary Results

#### A.1.1 Nakayama's Lemma

**Theorem A.1.1** (Nakayama's Lemma). Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{M}$  be its Jacobi radical. Suppose  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. If  $\mathfrak{a}M = M$  for  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , then  $M = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $M$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Since  $M = \mathfrak{a}M$ , formally we have  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  for  $\Phi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then  $(\Phi - \text{id})(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = 0$ . Note that  $\det(\Phi - \text{id}) = 1 + a$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $\Phi - \text{id}$  is invertible and then  $M = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.1.2** (Geometric form of Nakayama's Lemma). Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$  be an affine scheme,  $x \in X$  a closed point and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . If  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  generate  $\mathcal{F}|_x = \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa(x)$ , then there is an open subset  $U \subset X$  such that  $a_i|_U$  generate  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Corollary A.1.3.**

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

#### A.1.2 Nullstellensatz

**Theorem A.1.4** (Noether's Normalization Lemma). Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra of finite type. Then there is an injection  $k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$  such that  $A$  is finite over  $k[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ .

**Remark A.1.5.** Here  $A$  does not need to be integral. For example,

**Theorem A.1.6** (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let  $A$  be a

### A.2 Associated prime ideals and primary decomposition

This section refers to [Mat70, Chapter 3].

**Definition A.2.1** (Associated prime ideals). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. The *associated prime ideals* of  $M$  are the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of form  $\text{Ann}(x)$  for some  $x \in M$ . The set of associated prime ideals of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Ass}(M)$ .

**Example A.2.2.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(xy)$  and  $M = A$ . First we see that  $(x) = \text{Ann } y, (y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ . Then we check other prime ideals. For  $(x, y)$ , if  $xf = yf = 0$ , then  $f \in (x) \cap (y) = (0)$ . If  $(x - a) = \text{Ann } f$  for some  $f$ , note that  $y \in (x - a)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , then  $f \in (x)$ . Hence  $f = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (y)\}$ .

**Example A.2.3.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $M = A$ . The underlying space of  $\text{Spec } A$  is the  $y$ -axis since  $\sqrt{(x^2, xy)} = (x)$ . First note that  $(x) = \text{Ann } y, (x, y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ . For  $(x, y - a)$  with  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , easily see that  $xf = (y - a)f = 0$  implies  $f = 0$  since  $A = \mathbf{k} \cdot x \oplus \mathbf{k}[y]$  as  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space. Hence  $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (x, y)\}$ .

Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  a **Yang: finite**  $A$ -module. Note that  $S^{-1}M = 0$  if and only if  $S \cap \text{Ann } M \neq \emptyset$ . Then the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$$

is equal to  $V(\text{Ann } M)$ .

**Definition A.2.4.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. The *support* of  $M$  is the closed subset  $V(\text{Ann } M)$  of  $\text{Spec } A$ , denoted by  $\text{Supp } M$ .

**Lemma A.2.5.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then the maximal element of the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}$$

belongs to  $\text{Ass } M$ .

*Proof.* We just need to show that such  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime. Otherwise, there exist  $a, b \in A$  such that  $ab \in \text{Ann } x$  but  $a, b \notin \text{Ann } x$ . It follows that  $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$  since  $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $\text{Ann } x$ .  $\square$

An element  $a \in A$  is called a zero divisor for  $M$  if  $M \rightarrow aM, m \mapsto am$  is not injective.

**Corollary A.2.6.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then

$$\{\text{zero divisors for } M\} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}.$$

**Lemma A.2.7.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A M$  iff  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Ann } y_0/c$  with  $y_0 \in M$  and  $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . For  $a \in \text{Ann } y_0$ ,  $ay_0 = 0$ . Then  $a/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\text{Ann } y_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

Inductively, if  $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ , then there exists  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $y_{n+1} := b_n y_n$ ,  $\text{Ann } y_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \text{Ann } y_{n+1}$ . To see this, choose  $a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \text{Ann } y_n$ . Then  $(a_n/1)y_n = 0$  since  $a_n/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By definition, there exist  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $a_n b_n y_n = 0$ . This process must terminate since  $A$  is noetherian. Thus  $\text{Ann } y_n = \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A M$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ . If  $(a/s)(x/1) = 0 \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , there exist  $t \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $tax = 0$ . It follows that  $ta \in \mathfrak{p}$  and then  $(a/s) \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.2.8.** We have  $\text{Ass } M \subset \text{Supp } M$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$  satisfies  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ , we have  $A/\mathfrak{p} \cong A \cdot x \subset M$ . Tensoring with  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  gives  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat. Hence  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ .

Now suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$  and  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ . First we show that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $\text{Ann } x$  is maximal in the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}.$$

Then we claim that  $\text{Ann } x = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . First,  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime by Lemma A.2.5. If  $\text{Ann } x \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $V(\text{Ann } x) \supset V(\mathfrak{p})$ . This implies that  $\text{Ann } x \notin \text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $\text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Lemma A.2.7, we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.2.9.** The existence of irreducible component is guaranteed by Zorn's Lemma.

**Definition A.2.10.** A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$  is called *embedded* if  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is not an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ .

**Example A.2.11.** For  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ , the origin  $(x, y)$  is an embedded point.

**Proposition A.2.12.** If we have exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ , then  $\text{Ass } M_2 \subset \text{Ass } M_1 \cup \text{Ass } M_3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M_2 \setminus \text{Ass } M_1$ . Then the image  $[x]$  of  $x$  in  $M_3$  is not equal to 0. We have that  $\text{Ann } x \subset \text{Ann}[x]$ . If  $a \in \text{Ann}[x] \setminus \text{Ann } x$ , then  $ax \in M_1$ . Since  $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$ , there is  $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$ . However, it implies  $ba \in \text{Ann } x$ , and then  $a \in \text{Ann } x$  since  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary A.2.13.** If  $M$  is finitely generated, then the set  $\text{Ass } M$  is finite.

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ , we know that the submodule  $M_1$  generated by  $x$  is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . Inductively, we can choose  $M_n$  be the preimage of a submodule of  $M/M_{n-1}$  which is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{q}$  for some  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } M/M_{n-1}$ . We can take an ascending sequence  $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n \subset \cdots$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Since  $M$  is finitely generated, this is a finite sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition A.2.12.  $\square$

**Definition A.2.14.** An  $A$ -module is called *co-primary* if  $\text{Ass } M$  has a single element. Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. Then  $N$  is called *primary* if  $M/N$  is co-primary. If  $\text{Ass } M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , then  $N$  is called  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Remark A.2.15.** This definition coincide with primary ideals in the case  $M = A$ . Recall an ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  is called *primary* if  $\forall ab \in \mathfrak{p}, a \notin \mathfrak{q}$  implies  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$  for some  $n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary ideal. Since  $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $\text{Ann}[a] \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \text{Ann}[a]$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . If  $b \in \text{Ann}[a]$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Hence  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ , and then  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This shows that  $\text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary as an  $A$ -submodule.

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary  $A$ -submodule. First we have  $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is the unique irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $b \in \text{Ann}[a] \subset \mathfrak{p}$  since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique maximal element in  $\{\text{Ann}[c] : c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}\}$ . This implies that  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Definition A.2.16.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. A *minimal primary decomposition* of  $N$  in  $M$  is a finite set of primary submodules  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that

$$N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i,$$

no  $Q_i$  can be omitted and  $\text{Ass } M/Q_i$  are pairwise distinct. For  $\text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $Q_i$  is called belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Indeed, if  $N \subset M$  admits a minimal primary decomposition  $N = \bigcap Q_i$  with  $Q_i$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ . For given  $i$ , consider  $N_i := \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$ , then  $N_i/N \cong (N_i + Q_i)/Q_i$ . Since  $N_i \neq N$ ,  $\text{Ass } N_i/N \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Ass } N_i/N \subset \text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . It follows that  $\text{Ass } N_i/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass } M/N$ . Conversely, we have an injection  $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/Q_i$ , so  $\text{Ass } M/N \subset \bigcup \text{Ass } M/Q_i$ . Due to this, if  $Q_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we also say that  $Q_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -component of  $N$ .

**Proposition A.2.17.** Suppose  $N \subset M$  has a minimal primary decomposition. If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/N$  is not embedded, then the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of  $N$  is unique. Explicitly, we have  $Q = \nu^{-1}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , where  $\nu : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Clearly  $Q \subset \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Suppose  $x \in \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Then there exists  $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $sx \in Q$ . That is,  $[sx] = 0 \in M/Q$ . If  $[x] \neq 0$ , we have  $s \in \text{Ann}[x] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . This contradiction enforces  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Then we show that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Just need to show that for  $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$  and the  $\mathfrak{p}'$  component  $Q'$  of  $N$ ,  $Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded,  $\mathfrak{p}' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{p}') = \text{Supp } M/Q'$ . So  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example A.2.18.** If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is embedded, then its components may not be unique. For example, let  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $(x) \cap (x^2, xy, y^n)$  is a minimal primary decomposition of  $(0) \subset M$ .

Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  a prime ideal. We consider the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ , which is called  $n$ -th symbolic power of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$ . In general,  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$  is not equal to  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ ; see below example.

**Example A.2.19.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y, z, w]/(y^2 - zx^2, yz - xw)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z, w)$ . We have  $z = y^2/x^2, w = yz/x \in \mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (z, w) \neq \mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Theorem A.2.20.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ , there is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary submodule  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$  such that

$$(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} Q(\mathfrak{p}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{N \subset M : \mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass } N\}.$$

Note that  $\text{Ass } \bigcup N_i = \bigcup \text{Ass } N_i$  by definition of associated prime ideals. Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma. Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  has a maximal element  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ . We claim that  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary. If there is  $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/Q(\mathfrak{p})$ , then there is a submodule  $N' \cong A/\mathfrak{p}'$ . Let  $N''$  be the preimage of  $N'$  in  $M$ . We have  $Q(\mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq N''$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ . This is a contradiction. By the fact  $\text{Ass } \bigcap N_i = \bigcap \text{Ass } N_i$ , we get the conclusion.  $\square$

**Corollary A.2.21.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then every submodule of  $M$  has a minimal primary decomposition.

## A.3 Dimension and Depth

### A.3.1 Artinian Rings and Length of Modules

**Definition A.3.1.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$  module. A *simple module filtration* of  $M$  is a filtration

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_n = 0$$

such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is a simple module, i.e. it has no submodule except 0 and itself. If  $M$  has a simple module filtration as above, we define the *length* of  $M$  as  $n$  and say that  $M$  has *finite length*.

The following proposition guarantees the length is well-defined.

**Proposition A.3.2.** Suppose  $M$  has a simple module filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supsetneq M_{1,0} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n,0} = 0$ . Then for any other filtration  $M = M_{0,0} \supset M_{0,1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{0,m} = 0$  with  $m > n$ , there exist  $k < m$  such that  $M_{0,k} = M_{0,k+1}$ .

*Proof.* We claim that there are at least  $0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_{m-n} < m$  satisfies that  $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$ . Let  $M_{i,j} := M_{i,0} \cap M_{0,j}$ . Inductively on  $n$ , we can assume that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_{n-m+1}$  such that  $M_{1,k} = M_{1,k+1}$ . Consider the sequence

$$M_{0,0}/M_{1,0} \supset (M_{0,1} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} \supset \cdots \supset (M_{0,m} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} = 0$$

in  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$ . Since  $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$  is simple, there is at most one  $k_i$  with  $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} \neq M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$ . And note that if  $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$  and  $M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0}$ , then  $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$  by the Five Lemma.  $\square$

**Example A.3.3.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a simple module.

**Proposition A.3.4.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is of finite length iff it satisfies both a.c.c and d.c.c.

*Proof.* Note that if  $M$  has either a strictly ascending chain or a strictly descending chain,  $M$  is of infinite length. Conversely, d.c.c guarantee  $M$  has a simple submodule and a.c.c guarantee the sequence terminates.  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.5.** The length  $l(-)$  is an additive function for modules of finite length. That is, if we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  with  $M_i$  of finite length, then  $l(M_2) = l(M_1) + l(M_3)$ .

*Proof.* The simple module filtrations of  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  will give a simple module filtration of  $M_2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.6.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is artinian. Then the sequence  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3 \supset \cdots$  will stable. It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$  for some  $n$ . By the Nakayama's Lemma A.1.1,  $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ .

Conversely, we have

$$\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{N} \subset \bigcap_{\text{minimal prime ideal}} \mathfrak{p},$$

whence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is minimal.  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.7.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $A$  is of finite length.

*Proof.* First we show that  $A$  has only finite maximal ideal. Otherwise, consider the set  $\{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k\}$ . It has a minimal element  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  and for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$  for some  $i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$  be the Jacobi radical of  $A$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}^2 \supset \cdots$  and by Nakayama's Lemma, we have  $\mathfrak{M}^k = 0$  for some  $k$ . Consider the filtration

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n^k = (0).$$

We have  $\mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^j / \mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^{j+1}$  is an  $A/\mathfrak{m}_i$ -vector space. It is artinian and then of finite length. Hence  $A$  is of finite length.  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.8.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0. For definition of dimension, see 1.2.1.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is artinian. Then  $A$  is noetherian by Proposition A.3.7. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an artinian integral domain. If there is  $a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$  is not invertible, consider  $(a) \supset (a^2) \supset \cdots$ , we see  $a = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal and  $\dim A = 0$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular,  $A$  has only finite maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -component of  $(0)$ . Then we have  $A \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . We just need to show that  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is of finite length as  $A$ -module. If  $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$ , take radical we get  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$  and hence  $i = j$ . So  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ . Then every element in  $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is nilpotent. Since  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is finitely generated,  $(\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i)^k = 0$  for some  $k$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is artinian and then of finite length as  $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ -module. Then the conclusion follows.  $\square$

### A.3.2 Dedekind Domains

### A.3.3 Dimension and Serre's conditions

**Proposition A.3.9.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then  $\dim A = \dim B$ .

*Proof.* If we have a sequence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$  of prime ideals in  $B$ , then there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Since  $B$  is finite over  $A$ , there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

Then  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . If  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ ,  $f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_1$  since  $f \notin \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then  $a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat the process, it will terminate, whence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . Otherwise, we have  $f^n \in a_1 B + \cdots + a_n B \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$ .

Conversely, suppose we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Choose  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \text{Spec } B$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$ , then we have  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset B/\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be the preimage of the prime ideal in  $B/\mathfrak{P}_1$  which is over image of  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Proposition 1.2.4 guarantees that such  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  exists. Then we get  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat this progress, we get  $\dim B \geq \dim A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem A.3.10** (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $f$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we may assume  $A$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $A/(f)$  is artinian since it has only one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \cdots$ , its image in  $A/(f)$  is stationary. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ , we may write  $x = y + af$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Then  $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$  is  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary and  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Then we get  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Note that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , by Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma again,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{q} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is minimal, whence  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is artinian. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is minimal in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary A.3.11.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian local ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Then  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . If  $f$  is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption,  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ , we get a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/(f)$  of length  $n$ . Now we suppose  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Then there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$ .



Choose  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f)$  and contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Then by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.10,  $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Replace  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  by  $\mathfrak{q}_k$ , we have  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$ . Repeat this process, we get a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$ . This gives a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  in  $A/(f)$ . Hence we get  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . Since  $f$  is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in  $A/(f)$  has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/fA$  can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in  $A$ . It follows that  $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$ .  $\square$

**Depth** For a noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ , we can define the depth of an  $A$ -module  $M$ . Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

**Definition A.3.12.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $I \subset A$  an ideal and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  is called an  *$M$ -regular sequence in  $I$*  if  $t_i$  is not a zero divisor on  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$  for all  $i$ .

**Example A.3.13.** Let  $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $I = (x, y)$ . Then  $\text{depth}_I A = 0$ .

**Definition A.3.14.** The  *$I$ -depth* of  $M$  is defined as the maximum length of  $M$ -regular sequences in  $I$ , denoted by  $\text{depth}_I M$ . When  $A$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we write  $\text{depth} M$  for  $\text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ .

**Regular and Serre's conditions** Up to now, there are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ :

- $\dim A$ : the Krull dimension of  $A$ .
- $\text{depth} A$ : the depth of  $A$ .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}$ : the dimension of Zariski tangent space  $T_{A, \mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$  as a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

These three numbers are related by the following inequalities.

**Proposition A.3.15.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local noetherian ring with residue field  $k$ . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth} A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_k T_{A, \mathfrak{m}}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary A.3.11.

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n))$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by Nakayama's Lemma. By Corollary A.3.11,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \cdots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result.  $\square$

### A.3.4 Regular rings I

**Definition A.3.16.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. For every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$  is a vector space over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ . The *Zariski's tangent space*  $T_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$  of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is defined as the dual  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space of  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Definition A.3.17.** A noetherian ring  $A$  is said to be *regular at*  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  if we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} T_{A, \mathfrak{p}} = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the Krull dimension of the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . A noetherian ring  $A$  is said to be *regular* if it is regular at every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ .

**Definition A.3.18.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring that is regular at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{p}$  is called a *regular system of parameters* at  $\mathfrak{p}$  if their images form a basis of the  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Proposition A.3.19.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring that is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a regular system of parameters at  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (t_1, \dots, t_i)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (0)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a prime ideal of height  $i$ , and  $A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a regular local ring for all  $i$ . In particular, regular local ring is integral, and the regular system of parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  is a regular sequence in  $A$ .



*Proof.* By the Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem A.3.10, we have

$$n - 1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(t_1) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m}/(t_1))} T_{A/(t_1), \mathfrak{m}/(t_1)} \leq n - 1.$$

Hence  $\dim A/(t_1) = n - 1$  and  $\text{ht}(t_1) = 1$ . Since  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$ , we have that  $A/(t_1)$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1)$  and the images of  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  form a regular system of parameters.

For integrality, we induct on the dimension of  $A$ . If  $\dim A = 0$ , then  $A$  is a field and hence integral. Suppose  $\dim A > 0$ , let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $A$ . Then  $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . We have

$$n - 1 = \dim A - 1 \leq \dim A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A) \leq \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{q}/(t_1))} T_{A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A), \mathfrak{q}/(t_1)} \leq n - 1.$$

By similar arguments, we have  $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$ . By induction hypothesis, both of  $A/t_1 A$  and  $A/(\mathfrak{q} + t_1 A)$  are integral and of dimension  $n - 1$ . Hence  $t_1 A = t_1 A + \mathfrak{q}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 A$ . For every  $a = bt_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ , we have  $b \in \mathfrak{q}$  since  $t_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q} \subset t_1 \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{q}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q} = 0$ , whence  $A$  is integral.  $\square$

**Corollary A.3.20.** A regular ring is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary A.3.21.** A regular ring is normal.

**Proposition A.3.22.** A noetherian ring  $A$  is regular if and only if it is regular at every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Remark A.3.23.** Let  $k$  be arbitrary field,  $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and  $g_i$  irreducible polynomials in one variable  $T_i$  over  $k$ . Then for every  $f \in A$ , we can write

$$f = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} a_I g_1^{i_1} \cdots g_n^{i_n}, \quad a_I \in A, \quad \deg_{T_i} a_I \leq \deg g_i.$$

This is called the *Taylor expansion of  $f$  with respect to  $g_1, \dots, g_n$* .

## A.4 integrally closed and normality

## A.5 Regularity and Smoothness

### A.5.1 Modules of differentials and derivations

In this subsection, let  $R$  be a ring and  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra.

**Definition A.5.1** (Derivation). A *derivation* of  $A$  over  $R$  is an  $R$ -linear map  $\partial : A \rightarrow M$  with an  $A$ -module such that for all  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$\partial(ab) = a\partial(b) + b\partial(a).$$

Given the module  $M$ , the set of all derivations of  $A$  over  $R$  into  $M$  forms an  $A$ -module, denoted by  $\text{Der}_R(A, M)$ .

Given a module homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  of  $A$ -modules and a derivation  $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$ , the map  $f \circ \partial$  is a derivation of  $A$  over  $R$  into  $N$ .

**Proposition A.5.2.** The functor  $\text{Der}_R(A, -)$  is representable. The representing object is denoted by  $\Omega_{A/R}$ , which is called the *module of differentials* of  $A$  over  $R$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $A$  is a free  $R$ -algebra with a set of generators  $a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then an  $R$ -derivation  $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  is uniquely determined by its values on the generators  $a_\lambda$ . Let

$$\Omega_{A/R} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A \cdot da_\lambda$$

and  $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$  be the  $R$ -derivation defined by  $a_\lambda \mapsto da_\lambda$ . For any  $R$ -derivation  $\partial \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$ , we can define a unique  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi_\partial : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$  by sending  $da_\lambda$  to  $\partial(a_\lambda)$  such that  $\partial = \Phi_\partial \circ d$ . This gives a

bijection

$$\mathrm{Der}_R(A, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, M), \quad \partial \mapsto \Phi_\partial.$$

Now suppose  $A = F/I$  is an arbitrary  $R$ -algebra, where  $F$  is a free  $R$ -algebra and  $I$  is an ideal of  $F$ . Then we can define the module of differentials

$$\Omega_{A/R} := (\Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F A) / \sum_{f \in I} A \cdot df.$$

The  $R$ -linear map  $d_A : F \otimes_F A \xrightarrow{d_F} \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$  is a derivation of  $A$  over  $R$ .

For any  $R$ -derivation  $\partial \in \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M)$ , note that  $F \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\partial} M$  is an  $R$ -derivation of  $F$  over  $R$  into  $M$ . Then we get an  $F$ -module homomorphism  $\Omega_F \rightarrow M$ . It gives an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\Omega_F \otimes_F A \rightarrow M, df \otimes 1 \mapsto \partial f$ . This map factors into  $\Omega_F \otimes_F A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$  and  $\Phi_\partial : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$ . Since  $\Phi_\partial$  is  $A$ -linear and  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is generated by  $da_\lambda$  as  $A$ -module, such  $\Phi_\partial$  is unique.  $\square$

**Corollary A.5.3.** Suppose  $A$  is of finite type over  $R$ . Then the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.

**Remark A.5.4.** Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra,  $M$  an  $A$ -module and  $N$  a  $B$ -module. If there is a homomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $M \rightarrow N$ , then we can extend it to a homomorphism of  $B$ -modules  $M \otimes_A B \rightarrow N$  by sending  $m \otimes b$  to  $m \cdot b$ . And such extension is unique in the sense of following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ M \otimes_A B & & \end{array}$$

Hence we get a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, N).$$

**Proposition A.5.5.** Let  $A, R'$  be  $R$ -algebras and  $A' := A \otimes_R R'$ . Then the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A'/R'}$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$ .

*Proof.* We check the universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$ . First, the map

$$d_{A'} : A \otimes_R R' \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_R R' \cong \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A', \quad a \otimes r \mapsto da \otimes r$$

is an  $R'$ -derivation of  $A'$  into  $\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A'$ . For any  $R'$ -derivation  $\partial' : A' \rightarrow M$  into an  $A'$ -module  $M$ , we can compose it with the homomorphism  $A' \rightarrow A$  and get an  $R$ -derivation  $\partial : A \rightarrow M$ . By the universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R}$ , there is a unique  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi : \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\partial = \Phi \circ d_A$ . Then we can extend it to an  $A'$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi' : \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A' \rightarrow M$  by Remark A.5.4. By the construction, we have  $\Phi' \circ d_{A'} = \partial'$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.5.6.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $S$  a multiplicative set of  $A$ . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{S^{-1}A/R} \cong S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$d_{S^{-1}A} : S^{-1}A \rightarrow S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}, \quad \frac{a}{s} \mapsto \frac{sda - ads}{s^2}.$$

By direct computation,  $d_{S^{-1}A}$  is an  $R$ -derivation of  $S^{-1}A$  over  $R$  into  $S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R}$ . For any  $R$ -derivation  $\partial : S^{-1}A \rightarrow M$  into an  $S^{-1}A$ -module  $M$ , we can get an  $S^{-1}A$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi' : S^{-1}\Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$  as proof of Proposition A.5.5. We have

$$\partial(s \cdot \frac{a}{s}) = s\partial(\frac{a}{s}) + \frac{a}{s}\partial s.$$

It follows that

$$\partial(\frac{a}{s}) = \frac{s\partial a - a\partial s}{s^2} = \frac{s\Phi'(da) - a\Phi'(ds)}{s^2} = \Phi'(\frac{sda - ads}{s^2}).$$

Thus,  $\Phi' \circ d_{S^{-1}A} = \partial$ .  $\square$

**Theorem A.5.7.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $B$ -modules.

*Proof.* Let  $d_{A/R} : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$  be the  $R$ -derivation of  $A$  over  $R$ . The map  $A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{d_{B/R}} \Omega_{B/R}$  induces a  $B$ -linear map

$$u : \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}, \quad d_{A/R}(a) \otimes b \mapsto bd_{B/R}(a).$$

The map  $d_{B/A}$  is an  $A$ -derivation and hence  $R$ -derivation. Then it induces a  $B$ -linear map

$$v : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}, \quad d_{B/R}(b) \mapsto d_{B/A}(b).$$

Since  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is generated by elements of the form  $d_{B/A}(b)$  for  $b \in B$ , the map  $v$  is surjective. And clearly  $d_{B/A}(a) = ad_{B/A}(1) = 0$  for  $a \in A$ .

Consider the composition  $B \xrightarrow{d_{B/R}} \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} / \text{Im } u$ . For every  $a \in A, b \in B$ , we have

$$[d_{B/R}(ab)] = [bd_{B/R}(a) + ad_{B/R}(b)] = [bd_{B/R}(a)] + [ad_{B/A}(b)] = [ad_{B/A}(b)].$$

Hence it is indeed an  $A$ -derivation of  $B$ . Then it induces a  $B$ -linear map

$$\varphi : \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} / \text{Im } u, \quad d_{B/A}(b) \mapsto [d_{B/R}(b)].$$

The map  $\varphi$  is surjective since  $\Omega_{B/R}$  is generated by elements of the form  $d_{B/R}(b)$  for  $b \in B$ . Note that the composition

$$\Omega_{B/A} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{B/R} / \text{Im } u \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} / \text{Ker } v$$

is the identity map. Thus,  $\varphi$  is injective and hence an isomorphism. In particular, we have  $\text{Ker } v = \text{Im } u$ .  $\square$

**Theorem A.5.8.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ . Set  $B := A/I$ . Then there is a natural short exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $B$ -modules.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A = F/\mathfrak{b}$  for some free  $R$ -algebra  $F$  and an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $F$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the preimage of  $I$  in  $F$ . Let  $d\mathfrak{b}$  (resp.  $d\mathfrak{a}$ ) denote the image of  $\mathfrak{b}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}$ ) in  $\Omega_{F/R}$ . Then we have

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B = \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F B / (d\mathfrak{b} \otimes_F B), \quad \Omega_{B/R} = \Omega_{F/R} \otimes_F B / (d\mathfrak{a} \otimes_F B).$$

Clearly

$$I/I^2 \cong (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}) \otimes_F B \rightarrow (d\mathfrak{a} \otimes_F B) / (d\mathfrak{b} \otimes_F B)$$

is surjective. Then the exact sequence follows.  $\square$

**Definition A.5.9.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  an integral  $k$ -algebra of finite type of dimension  $n$ . We say  $A$  is *smooth at*  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  if the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A,\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank  $n$ .

## A.5.2 Zariski's tangent space and regularity

Let  $k$  be arbitrary field,  $A = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal of  $A$  such that  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is separable over  $k$ . We try to give an explanation of Zariski's tangent space at  $\mathfrak{m}$  using the language of derivation. We know that  $\Omega_{A/k} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A dT_i$ , thus  $\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{m}} dT_i$ . Then

$$\text{Der}_k(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{m}} \partial_i,$$

where  $\partial_i \in \text{Der}_k(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is the derivation defined by  $dT_i \mapsto 1$  and  $dT_j \mapsto 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . It coincides with the usual derivation  $f \mapsto \partial f / \partial T_i$ . Consider the restriction of  $\partial_i$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$  and take values in the residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ , we get

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^T} A_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n.$$

Since  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is separable over  $k$ , the map  $\text{Ker } \Phi = \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Hence  $\Phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n$  of  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces. Then we get

$$T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \cdot \partial_i|_x,$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{A}_k^n$  is the point corresponding to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . This coincides with the usual tangent space at  $x$  in language of differential geometry.

Let  $B = A/I$  be a  $k$  of finite type,  $I = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  the image of  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $B$ . We have an exact sequence of  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces an isomorphism

$$T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \cong \{\partial \in T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} : \partial(f) = 0, \forall f \in I\}.$$

The *Jacobian matrix* of  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix

$$J(F_1, \dots, F_m) := \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial T_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

with entries in  $B$ .

**Theorem A.5.10.** Setting as above. Then  $B$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{n}$  if and only if the Jacobian matrix  $J$  has maximal rank  $n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$  after taking values in the residue field  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ .

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \kappa^m \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Psi$  sends  $\partial \in T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$  to  $(\partial(F_1), \dots, \partial(F_m))^T$ . Note that the matrix of  $\Psi$  is just  $J^T$ , the transpose of the Jacobian matrix. Hence

$$\text{rank } J = n - \dim_{\kappa} T_{B,\mathfrak{n}} \leq n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $B$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{n}$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.5.11.** If  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is not separable over  $k$ , then we still have the inequality

$$\text{rank } J \leq n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Indeed, in any case, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $\dim_{\kappa} I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) = n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ . There is a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -linear map

$$I/(I \cap \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{m})^n, \quad [f] \mapsto (\partial_1(f), \dots, \partial_n(f))^T,$$

and every row of the Jacobian matrix  $J$  is in the image of this map. Thus, the rank of  $J$  is at most  $n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ .

Hence if  $\text{rank } J = n - \dim B_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , we can still see that  $B$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{n}$ . However, the converse does not hold in general.

**Proposition A.5.12.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $\mathbf{k}$  the algebraic closure of  $k$ ,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra of finite type and  $A_{\mathbf{k}} := A \otimes_k \mathbf{k}$ . **Yang:** Suppose  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is integral. Let  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{mSpec } A$  and  $\mathfrak{m}'$  be a maximal ideal of  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  lying over  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then

- (a) If  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}'$ , then  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ ;
- (b) suppose  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is separable over  $k$ , the converse holds.

*Proof.* Regarding  $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $J_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  as matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{k}$ , they are the same and hence have the same rank. If  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}'$ , since  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathbf{k}$ , then  $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}'} = n - \dim A_{\mathbf{k},\mathfrak{m}'}$ . Note that  $\dim A_{\mathbf{k},\mathfrak{m}'} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A_{\mathbf{k}})/\mathbf{k}) = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A)/k) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , we have  $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}} = n - \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is separable over  $k$ . Then  $\text{rank } J_{\mathfrak{m}} = n - \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}'$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.5.13.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  an integral  $k$ -algebra of finite type and of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be the algebraic closure of  $k$  and  $A_{\mathbf{k}} := A \otimes_k \mathbf{k}$ . Then  $A$  is smooth at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  if and only if  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at every  $\mathfrak{m}'$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \cong \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is free of rank  $n$  if and only if  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is free of rank  $n$ , we can assume that  $k = \mathbf{k}$ . If  $A$  is smooth at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \cong \bigoplus A_{\mathbf{k}} df_i$  is free of rank  $n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_i \in \text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_{\mathbf{k}}, A_{\mathbf{k}})$  be the derivation defined by  $df_i \mapsto 1$  and  $dT_j \mapsto 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Then we have  $\partial_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then similar to above argument, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^T} \mathbf{k}^n.$$

This shows that  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$  is surjective since  $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k} = 0$ . Then by Nakayama's lemma,  $\Omega_{A_{\mathbf{k}}/k}$  is generated by  $n$  elements as an  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ -module.

Note that  $\dim_{\mathcal{K}(A)} \Omega_{\mathcal{K}(A)/k} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(A)/k) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} = n$ . **Yang:** By induction on transcendental degree.

Yang: By Nakayama's Lemma,  $\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathbf{k}}$  is free of rank  $n$  as an  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.

Yang: To be completed. □

**Example A.5.14.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an imperfect field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \beta^p \in \mathbf{k}$  and  $\beta$  is not in  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2 - y^p - \alpha)$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y^p - \alpha) = (x)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal, so  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . However,

$$J_{\mathfrak{m}} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2 - y^p - \alpha), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y^p - \alpha) \right) = (2x, 0) = (0, 0) \in M_{1 \times 2}(\kappa(\mathfrak{m})).$$

Thus,  $A$  is not smooth at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . From the view of differentials, we have

$$\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathbf{k}} = A_{\mathfrak{m}}dx \oplus A_{\mathfrak{m}}dy/A_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot xdx = \kappa(\mathfrak{m})dx \oplus A_{\mathfrak{m}}dy,$$

which is not free as an  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.



---

# Bibliography

[Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Vol. 120. WA Benjamin New York, 1970.

---