

6step.1

7step.1

---

---

# *Birational Geometry*



阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴!

---

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Kodaira Vanishing Theorem</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Preliminary . . . . .	1
1.2	Kodaira Vanishing Theorem . . . . .	2
1.3	Vanishing theorem for nef and big divisors . . . . .	3
1.4	Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem for klt pairs . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Cone Theorem</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Preliminary . . . . .	5
2.2	Non-vanishing Theorem . . . . .	5
2.3	Base Point Free Theorem . . . . .	6
2.4	Rationality Theorem . . . . .	6
2.5	Cone Theorem and Contraction Theorem . . . . .	6
	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>

## 1 Kodaira Vanishing Theorem

### 1.1 Preliminary

**Theorem 1.1** (Serre Duality). Let  $X$  be a Cohen-Macaulay projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $k$  and  $D$  a divisor on  $X$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$H^i(X, D) \cong H^{n-i}(X, K_X - D)^\vee, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Log Resolution of Singularities). Let  $X$  be an irreducible reduced algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  (or a suitably small neighborhood of a compact set of an irreducible reduced analytic space) and  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  a coherent sheaf of ideals defining a closed subscheme (or subspace)  $Z$ . Then there is a smooth variety (or analytic space)  $Y$  and a projective morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

- (a)  $f$  is an isomorphism over  $X - (\text{Sing}(X) \cup \text{Supp } Z)$ ,
- (b)  $f^*I \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  is an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$  and
- (c)  $\text{Exc}(f) \cup D$  is an snc divisor.

**Theorem 1.3** (Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $Y$  a hyperplane section of  $X$ . Then the restriction map

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^k(Y, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism for  $k < n - 1$  and an injection for  $k = n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (Hodge Decomposition). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then for any  $k$ , there is a functorial decomposition

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(X, \Omega_X^q).$$

Combine Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $Y$  a hyperplane section of  $X$ . Then the restriction map  $r_k : H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^k(Y, \mathbb{C})$  decomposes as

$$r_k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} r_{p,q}, \quad r_{p,q} : H^p(X, \Omega_X^q) \rightarrow H^p(Y, \Omega_Y^q).$$

And  $r_{p,q}$  is an isomorphism for  $p + q < n - 1$  and an injection for  $p + q = n - 1$ . In particular,

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^p(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

is an isomorphism for  $p < n - 1$  and an injection for  $p = n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.6** (Leray spectral sequence). Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of varieties and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $Y$ . Then there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q f_* \mathcal{F}) \implies H^{p+q}(Y, \mathcal{F}).$$

## 1.2 Kodaira Vanishing Theorem

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose there is an integer  $m$  and a smooth divisor  $D \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m)$ . Then there exists a finite surjective morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth projective varieties such that  $D' := f^{-1}(D)$  is smooth and satisfies that  $bD' = af^*D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \mathcal{L}^m$  be the section defining  $D$ . It induces a homomorphism  $\mathcal{L}^{-m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{-i} \right) / (\mathcal{L}^{-m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}^{-i}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra. Let  $Y := \operatorname{Spec}_X \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $Y$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -scheme and the natural morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is finite and surjective.

For every  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{L}$  locally generated by  $t$  near  $x$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  locally equal to  $\mathcal{O}_X[t]/(t^m - s)$ . Let  $D'$  be the divisor locally given by  $t = 0$  on  $Y$ . Since  $X$  and  $D$  are smooth, then  $Y$  is a smooth variety and  $D'$  is smooth. Since  $f$  is finite, it is proper. Then  $Y$  is proper and hence  $Y$  is projective.  $\square$

**Remark 1.8.** Let  $D_i$  be reduced effective divisors on  $X$  such that  $D + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i$  is snc. Set  $D'_i = f^*(D_i)$ . Then  $D' + \sum_{i=1}^k D'_i$  is snc on  $Y$  by considering the local regular system of parameters.

**Lemma 1.9.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties and  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose that  $X$  is normal. Then for any  $i \geq 0$ ,  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a direct summand of  $H^i(Y, f^*\mathcal{L})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is finite, we have  $H^i(Y, f^*\mathcal{L}) \cong H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L})$ . Since  $X$  are normal, the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$  splits by the trace map  $(1/n)\text{Tr}_{Y/X}$ . Thus we have  $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{F}$  and hence

$$H^i(X, f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \oplus H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Then the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.10** (Kodaira Vanishing Theorem). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $k$  of characteristic 0 and  $A$  an ample divisor on  $X$ . Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-A)) = 0, \quad \forall i < n.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$H^i(X, K_X + A) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.7 and 1.9, after taking a multiple of  $A$ , we can assume that  $A$  is effective. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the long exact sequence of cohomology, we have

$$H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-A)) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_A).$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 1.5 and Serre duality (Theorem 1.1).  $\square$

### 1.3 Vanishing theorem for nef and big divisors

**Lemma 1.11.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $k$  of characteristic 0,  $A$  an ample divisor and  $E$  an snc divisor on  $X$ . Then

$$H^i(X, K_X + A + E) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$ . We induct on  $k$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-A - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-A - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_k}(-A - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Yang: To be completed. □

**Theorem 1.12** (Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem for nef and big divisors). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic 0 and  $D$  a nef and big  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . Then

$$H^i(X, K_X + D) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed. □

## 1.4 Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem for klt pairs

**Lemma 1.13.** Let  $X$  be a projective variety,  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then there exists a finite surjective morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}'$  on  $Y$  such that  $f^*\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{L}'^m$ . If  $X$  is smooth, then we can take  $Y$  to be smooth. Moreover, if  $D = \sum D_i$  is an snc divisor on  $X$ , then we can take  $f$  such that  $f^*D$  is an snc divisor on  $Y$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is very ample by writing it as a difference of two very ample line bundles. Consider the fiber product  $Y := \mathbb{P}^N \times_{\mathbb{P}^N} X$  as the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}^N \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}} & \mathbb{P}^N \end{array}$$

where  $g : [x_0 : \dots : x_N] \mapsto [x_0^m : \dots : x_N^m]$ . The morphism  $f$  is finite and surjective since so is  $g$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}' := \psi^*\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

For smoothness, we can compose  $g$  with a general automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . Then the conclusion follows from [Har13, Chapter III, Theorem 10.8]. □

**Theorem 1.14.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic 0 and  $D$  a nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\lceil D \rceil - D$  has snc support. Then

$$H^i(X, K_X + \lceil D \rceil) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* By the Bertini, we can assume that  $A := D$  is ample and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor by adding a sufficiently small ample divisor and adjusting the coefficients slightly. Set  $M := \lceil D \rceil$ . Let

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^k b_i B_i := \lceil D \rceil - D = M - A, \quad b_i \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

We do not require that  $B_i$  are irreducible but we require that  $B_i$  are smooth.

We induct on  $k$ . Let  $b_k = a/c$  with lowest terms. Then  $a < c$ . By Lemma 1.13 and 1.9, we

can assume that  $(1/c)B_k$  is a Cartier divisor (not necessarily effective). Applying Lemma 1.7 on  $B_k$ , we can find a finite surjective morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $f^*B_k = cB'_k$ ,  $B'_i = f^*B_i$  for  $i < k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k B'_i$  is an snc divisor on  $X'$ . Let  $B' = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B'_i$ ,  $A' = f^*A$  and  $M' = f^*M$ . Then  $A' + B' = M' - aB'_k$  is Cartier. Hence by induction hypothesis,  $H^i(X', -A' - B')$  vanishes for  $i > 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-M' + aB'_k) \cong \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} f^* \mathcal{O}_X(-M + (a-i)B_k).$$

Hence  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-M))$  is a direct summand of  $H^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-M' + aB'_k))$  by Lemma 1.9.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.15** (ref. [KM98, Theorem 5.10, 5.22]). Let  $(X, B)$  be a klt pair over  $k$  of characteristic 0. Then  $X$  has rational singularities and is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Theorem 1.16** (Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing Theorem for klt pairs). Let  $(X, B)$  be a klt pair over  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $D$  be a nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $D + K_{(X,B)}$  is a Cartier divisor. Then

$$H^i(X, K_{(X,B)} + D) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a resolution such that  $\text{Supp } f^*B \cup \text{Exc } f$  is snc. We can write

$$f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E = K_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})} + f^*D,$$

where  $\tilde{B} \in (0, 1)$  has snc support and  $E$  is an effective exceptional divisor.

**Claim 1.17.** The higher direct image sheaves  $R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E))$  vanish for  $i > 0$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(K_{(X,B)} + D)$ .

By the Claim, we have

$$H^i(\tilde{X}, K_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})} + f^*D) = H^i(X, K_{(X,B)} + D),$$

and the left hand vanishes by Theorem 1.14.  $\square$

*Proof of Claim 1.17.* Let  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(f^*(K_{(X,B)} + D) + E)$ . **Yang: To be completed.**  $\square$

## 2 Cone Theorem

### 2.1 Preliminary

**Theorem 2.1** (Iitaka fibration, semiample case, ref. [Laz04a]). Let  $X$  be a projective variety and  $\mathcal{L}$  an semiample line bundle on  $X$ . Then there exists a fibration  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  of projective varieties such that for any  $m \gg 0$  with  $\mathcal{L}^m$  base point free, we have that the morphism  $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}^m}$  induced by  $\mathcal{L}^m$  is isomorphic to  $\varphi$ . Such a fibration is called the *Iitaka fibration* associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## 2.2 Non-vanishing Theorem

**Theorem 2.2** (Non-vanishing Theorem). Let  $(X, B)$  be a projective klt pair and  $D$  a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Suppose that  $D$  is nef and  $aD - K_{(X,B)}$  is nef and big for some  $a > 0$ . Then for  $m \gg 0$ , we have

$$H^0(X, mD) \neq 0.$$

## 2.3 Base Point Free Theorem

**Theorem 2.3** (Base Point Free Theorem). Let  $(X, B)$  be a projective klt pair and  $D$  a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Suppose that  $D$  is nef and  $aD - K_{(X,B)}$  is nef and big for some  $a > 0$ . Then  $D$  is semiample.

## 2.4 Rationality Theorem

**Theorem 2.4** (Rationality Theorem). Let  $(X, B)$  be a projective klt pair,  $a = a(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $aK_{(X,B)}$  Cartier and  $H$  an ample divisor on  $X$ . Let

$$t := \inf\{s \geq 0 : K_{(X,B)} + sH \text{ is nef}\}$$

be the nef threshold of  $(X, B)$  with respect to  $H$ . Then  $t = u/v \in \mathbb{Q}$  and

$$0 \leq u \leq a(X) \cdot (\dim X + 1).$$

## 2.5 Cone Theorem and Contraction Theorem

**Theorem 2.5** (Cone Theorem). Let  $(X, B)$  be a projective klt pair. Then there exist countably many rational curves  $C_i \subset X$  with

$$0 < -K_{(X,B)} \cdot C_i \leq 2 \dim X$$

such that

(a) we have a decomposition of cones

$$\text{Psef}_1(X) = \text{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} \geq 0} + \sum \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i];$$

(b) and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$ , we have

$$\text{Psef}_1(X) = \text{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} + \varepsilon H \geq 0} + \sum_{\text{finite}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i].$$



*Proof.* We follow the following steps to prove the theorem.

**Step 1.** Let  $F_D := \text{Psef}_1(X) \cap D^\perp$  for a nef divisor  $D$  on  $X$ . We show that if  $\dim F_D > 1$  and  $F_D \not\subset \text{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} \geq 0}$ , then we can choose  $D'$  nef such that  $F_{D'} \subset F_D$  and  $\dim F_{D'} < \dim F_D$ .

Yang: To be completed.

**Step 2.** If  $\dim F_D = 1$ , we also write  $R_D := F_D$ . We show that

$$\text{Psef}_1(X) = \overline{\text{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} \geq 0} + \sum R_D}.$$

Yang: To be completed.

**Step 3.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$ , we show that

$$\text{Psef}_1(X) = \text{Psef}_1(X)_{K_{(X,B)} + \varepsilon H \geq 0} + \sum_{\text{finite}} R_D.$$

Yang: To be completed.

**Step 4.** We show that any  $K_{(X,B)}$ -negative extremal ray  $R_D$  contains the class of a rational curve  $C$  with  $0 < -K_{(X,B)} \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X$ .

Yang: To be completed. □

**Theorem 2.6** (Contraction Theorem). Let  $(X, B)$  be a projective klt pair and  $F \subset \text{Psef}_1(X)$  a  $K_{(X,B)}$ -negative extremal face of  $\text{Psef}_1(X)$ . Then there exists a fibration  $\varphi_F : X \rightarrow Y$  of projective varieties such that

- (a) an irreducible curve  $C \subset X$  is contracted by  $\varphi_F$  if and only if  $[C] \in F$ ;
- (b) any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $F \subset \mathcal{L}^\perp = \{\alpha \in N_1(X) : \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L} = 0\}$  comes from a line bundle on  $Y$ , i.e., there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_Y$  on  $Y$  such that  $\mathcal{L} \cong \varphi_F^* \mathcal{L}_Y$ .

*Proof.* We follow the following steps to prove the theorem.

**Step 1.** We show that there exists a nef divisor  $D$  on  $X$  such that  $F = D^\perp \cap \text{Psef}_1(X)$ . In other words,  $F$  is defined on  $N_1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ .

Yang: To be completed.

**Step 2.** We show that  $D$  is semiample.

Yang: To be completed.

**Step 3.** Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the Iitaka fibration associated to  $D$  by [Theorem 2.1](#). We show that  $\varphi$  is the desired fibration.

Yang: To be completed. □

**Remark 2.7.** The [Step 1](#) is amazing. If  $F$  is not  $K_{(X,B)}$ -negative, then it may not be rational. For example, let  $X = E \times E$  for a general elliptic curve  $E$ . By [\[Laz04a\]](#), we know that  $\text{Psef}_1(X)$  is a circular cone. Then we see there indeed exist some irrational extremal faces of  $\text{Psef}_1(X)$ .

---

## References

- [Har13] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Vol. 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. “Birational geometry of algebraic varieties”. In: *(No Title)* 134 (1998).
-