献出心脏吧!

Setup and the first examples

1 Notations

All schemes are assumed to be separated. For a "scheme" which is not separated, we will use the term "prescheme".

Let A be a ring. We denote by $\operatorname{Spec} A$ the spectrum of A. For an ideal $I \subset A$, we use $V(I)$ to denote the closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ defined by I.

Let S be $\operatorname{Spec} \mathsf{k}$, $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ or an algebraic variety. An S-variety is an integral scheme X which is of finite type and flat over S. For an algebraic variety, we mean a k-variety.

We will use k, K to denote fields, and $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}$ to denote their algebraically closure relatively.

Let X be an integral scheme. We denote by $\mathscr{K}(X)$ the function field of X. For a closed point $x \in X$, we denote by $\kappa(x)$ the residue field of x.

We denote the category of S-varieties by $\mathbf{Var}_S$. We denote by $X(T)$ the set of T-points of X, that is, the set of morphisms $T \to X$.

Let X be an algebraic variety over k. A geometrical point is referred a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k} \to X$.

When refer a point (may not be closed) in a scheme, we will use the notation $\xi \in X$. We use Z_ξ to denote the Zariski closure of $\{\xi\}$ in X. When we talk about a closed point on an algebraic variety, we will use the notation $x \in X(\mathbf{k})$.

1.1 Separated and proper morphisms

2 Examples

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field and A the localization of $\mathbf{k}[x]$ at (x). Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[y]$. There are three types of points in X:

  (i) closed points with residue field $\mathbf{k}$, like $p = (x, y - a)$;

 (ii) closed points with residue field $\mathbf{k}(y)$, like $P = (xy - 1)$;

(iii) non-closed points, like $\eta_1 = (x), \eta_2 = (y), \eta_3 = (x - y)$.

3 Preparation in commutative algebra

3.1 Nakayama's Lemma Yang: To be completed

Theorem 2 (Nakayama's Lemma)**.** Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{M}$ be its Jacobi radical. Suppose M is a finitely generated A-module. If $\mathfrak{a}M = M$ for $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, then $M = 0$.

Proof. Suppose M is generated by $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. Since $M = \mathfrak{a}M$, formally we have $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)^T = \Phi(x_1, \cdots, x_n)^T$ for $\Phi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $(\Phi - \operatorname{id})(x_1, \cdots, x_n)^T = 0$. Note that $\det(\Phi - \operatorname{id}) = 1 + a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{M}$. Then $\Phi - \operatorname{id}$ is invertible and then $M = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3 (Geometric form of Nakayama's Lemma)**.** Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine scheme, $x \in X$ a closed point and $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on X. If $a_1, \cdots, a_k \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ generate $\mathcal{F}|_x = \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa(x)$, then there is an open subset $U \subset X$ such that $a_i|_U$ generate $\mathcal{F}(U)$.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 4.

Proof. Yang: To be completed. $\qquad\square$

3.2 Associated prime ideals

This part refers to [Mat70, Chapter 3].

Definition 5 (Associated prime ideals)**.** Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. The *associated prime ideals* of M are the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of form $\operatorname{Ann}(x)$ for some $x \in M$. The set of associated prime ideals of M is denoted by

---

$\mathrm{Ass}(M)$.

Example 6. Let $A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(xy)$ and $M = A$. First we see that $(x) = \mathrm{Ann}\, y, (y) = \mathrm{Ann}\, x \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$. Then we check other prime ideals. For (x,y), if $xf = yf = 0$, then $f \in (x) \cap (y) = (0)$. If $(x - a) = \mathrm{Ann}\, f$ for some f, note that $y \in (x - a)$ for $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, then $f \in (x)$. Hence $f = 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ass}\, M = \{(x), (y)\}$.

Example 7. Let $A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(x^2, xy)$ and $M = A$. The underlying space of $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$ is the y-axis since $\sqrt{(x^2, xy)} = (x)$. First note that $(x) = \mathrm{Ann}\, y, (x,y) = \mathrm{Ann}\, x \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$. For $(x, y - a)$ with $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, easily see that $xf = (y-a)f = 0$ implies $f = 0$ since $A = \mathbf{k} \cdot x \oplus \mathbf{k}[y]$ as $\mathbf{k}$-vector space. Hence $\mathrm{Ass}\, M = \{(x), (x,y)\}$.

Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Note that $S^{-1}M = 0$ if and only if $S \cap \mathrm{Ann}\, M \neq \emptyset$. Then the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, A \colon M_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0\}$$

is equal to $V(\mathrm{Ann}\, M)$.

Definition 8. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. The *support* of M is the closed subset $V(\mathrm{Ann}\, M)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$, denoted by $\mathrm{Supp}\, M$.

Lemma 9. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then the maximal element of the set

$$\{\mathrm{Ann}\, x \colon x \in M_\mathfrak{p}, x \neq 0\}$$

belongs to $\mathrm{Ass}\, M$.

Proof. We just need to show that such $\mathrm{Ann}\, x$ is prime. Otherwise, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $ab \in \mathrm{Ann}\, x$ but $a, b \notin \mathrm{Ann}\, x$. It follows that $\mathrm{Ann}\, x \subsetneq \mathrm{Ann}\, ax$ since $b \in \mathrm{Ann}\, ax \setminus \mathrm{Ann}\, x$. This contradicts the maximality of $\mathrm{Ann}\, x$. $\square$

An element $a \in A$ is called a zero divisor for M if $M \to aM, m \mapsto am$ is not injective.

Corollary 10. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then

$$\{\text{zero divisors for } M\} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Lemma 11. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_A M$ iff $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{A_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{A_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}\, y_0/c$ with $y_0 \in M$ and $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. For $a \in \mathrm{Ann}\, y_0$, $ay_0 = 0$. Then $a/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p}$. It follows that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\mathrm{Ann}\, y_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$.
Inductively, if $\mathrm{Ann}\, y_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, then there exists $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $y_{n+1} := b_n y_n$, $\mathrm{Ann}\, y_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathrm{Ann}\, y_n \subsetneq \mathrm{Ann}\, y_{n+1}$. To see this, choose $a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathrm{Ann}\, y_n$. Then $(a_n/1)y_n = 0$ since $a_n/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p}$. By definition, there exist $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $a_n b_n y_n = 0$. This process must terminate since A is noetherian. Thus $\mathrm{Ann}\, y_n = \mathfrak{p}$ for some n. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_A M$.
Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}\, x \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$. If $(a/s)(x/1) = 0 \in M_\mathfrak{p}$, there exist $t \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $tax = 0$. It follows that $ta \in \mathfrak{p}$ and then $(a/s) \in \mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{A_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$. $\square$

Proposition 12. We have $\mathrm{Ass}\, M \subset \mathrm{Supp}\, M$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}\, M$ satisfies $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Supp}\, M$, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$.

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}\, x \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$, we have $A/\mathfrak{p} \cong A \cdot x \subset M$. Tensoring with $A_\mathfrak{p}$ gives $A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow M_\mathfrak{p}$ since $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is flat. Hence $M_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}\, M$.
Now suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}\, M$ and $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Supp}\, M$. First we show that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{A_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$. Let $x \in M_\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathrm{Ann}\, x$ is maximal in the set

$$\{\mathrm{Ann}\, x \colon x \in M_\mathfrak{p}, x \neq 0\}.$$

Then we claim that $\mathrm{Ann}\, x = \mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p}$. First, $\mathrm{Ann}\, x$ is prime by Lemma 9. If $\mathrm{Ann}\, x \neq \mathfrak{p}$, then $V(\mathrm{Ann}\, x) \supsetneq V(\mathfrak{p})$. This implies that $\mathrm{Ann}\, x \notin \mathrm{Supp}\, M_\mathfrak{p}$ since $\mathrm{Supp}\, M_\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Supp}\, M \cap \mathrm{Spec}\, A_\mathfrak{p}$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{A_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$. By Lemma 11, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$. $\square$

Remark 13. The existence of irreducible component is guaranteed by Zorn's Lemma.

Definition 14. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M$ is called *embedded* if $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is not an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Supp} M$.

Example 15. For $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(x^2, xy)$, the origin (x,y) is an embedded point.

Proposition 16. If we have exact sequence $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3$, then $\operatorname{Ass} M_2 \subset \operatorname{Ass} M_1 \cup \operatorname{Ass} M_3$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M_2 \setminus \operatorname{Ass} M_1$. Then the image $[x]$ of x in M_3 is not equal to 0. We have that $\operatorname{Ann} x \subset \operatorname{Ann}[x]$. If $a \in \operatorname{Ann}[x] \setminus \operatorname{Ann} x$, then $ax \in M_1$. Since $\operatorname{Ann} x \subsetneq \operatorname{Ann} ax$, there is $b \in \operatorname{Ann} ax \setminus \operatorname{Ann} x$. However, it implies $ba \in \operatorname{Ann} x$, and then $a \in \operatorname{Ann} x$ since $\operatorname{Ann} x$ is prime, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 17. If M is finitely generated, then the set $\operatorname{Ass} M$ is finite.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ann} x \in \operatorname{Ass} M$, we know that the submodule M_1 generated by x is isomorphic to $A/\mathfrak{p}$. Inductively, we can choose M_n be the preimage of a submodule of M/M_{n-1} which is isomorphic to $A/\mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass} M/M_{n-1}$. We can take an ascending sequence $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n \subset \cdots$ such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Since M is finitely generated, this is a finite sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 16. $\square$

Definition 18. An A-module is called *co-primary* if $\operatorname{Ass} M$ has a single element. Let M be an A-module and $N \subset M$ a submodule. Then N is called *primary* if M/N is co-primary. If $\operatorname{Ass} M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then N is called $\mathfrak{p}$-primary.

Remark 19. This definition coincide with primary ideals in the case $M = A$. Recall an ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ is called *primary* if $\forall ab \in \mathfrak{p}$, $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ implies $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some n.
Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a $\mathfrak{q}$-primary ideal. Since $\operatorname{Supp} A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q}$. Suppose $\operatorname{Ann}[a] \in \operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \operatorname{Ann}[a]$ since $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{Supp} A/\mathfrak{q}$. If $b \in \operatorname{Ann}[a]$, then $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Hence $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$, and then $b \in \mathfrak{p}$. This shows that $\operatorname{Ass} A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-primary as an A-submodule.
Let $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ be a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary A-submodule. First we have $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ since $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is the unique irreducible component of $\operatorname{Supp} A/\mathfrak{q}$. Suppose $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Then $b \in \operatorname{Ann}[a] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ since $\mathfrak{p}$ is the unique maximal element in $\{\operatorname{Ann}[c] \colon c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}\}$. This implies that $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$.

Definition 20. Let A be a noetherian ring, M an A-module and $N \subset M$ a submodule. A *minimal primary decomposition* of N in M is a finite set of primary submodules $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i,$$

no Q_i can be omitted and $\operatorname{Ass} M/Q_i$ are pairwise distinct. For $\operatorname{Ass} M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, Q_i is called belonging to $\mathfrak{p}$.

Indeed, if $N \subset M$ admits a minimal primary decomposition $N = \bigcap Q_i$ with Q_i belonging to $\mathfrak{p}$, then $\operatorname{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$. For given i, consider $N_i := \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$, then $N_i/N \cong (N_i + Q_i)/Q_i$. Since $N_i \neq N$, $\operatorname{Ass} N_i/N \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Ass} N_i/N \subset \operatorname{Ass} M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Ass} N_i/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$, whence $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \operatorname{Ass} M/N$. Conversely, we have an injection $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/Q_i$, so $\operatorname{Ass} M/N \subset \bigcup \operatorname{Ass} M/Q_i$. Due to this, if Q_i belongs to $\mathfrak{p}$, we also say that Q_i is the $\mathfrak{p}$-component of N.

Proposition 21. Suppose $N \subset M$ has a minimal primary decomposition. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} M/N$ is not embedded, then the $\mathfrak{p}$ component of N is unique. Explicitly, we have $Q = \nu^{-1}(N_\mathfrak{p})$, where $\nu \colon M \to M_\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. First we show that $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_\mathfrak{p})$. Clearly $Q \subset \nu^{-1}(Q_\mathfrak{p})$. Suppose $x \in \nu^{-1}(Q_\mathfrak{p})$. Then there exists $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $sx \in Q$. That is, $[sx] = 0 \in M/Q$. If $[x] \neq 0$, we have $s \in \operatorname{Ann}[x] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. This contradiction enforces $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_\mathfrak{p})$.
Then we show that $N_\mathfrak{p} = Q_\mathfrak{p}$. Just need to show that for $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$ and the $\mathfrak{p}'$ component Q' of N, $Q'_\mathfrak{p} = M_\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is not embedded, $\mathfrak{p}' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{Supp} M/Q'$. So $M_\mathfrak{p}/Q'_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. $\square$

Example 22. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is embedded, then its components may not be unique. For example, let $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x,y]/(x^2, xy)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(x) \cap (x^2, xy, y^n)$ is a minimal primary decomposition of $(0) \subset M$.

Let A be a noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a prime ideal. We consider the $\mathfrak{p}$ component of $\mathfrak{p}^n$, which is called n-th symbolic

power of $\mathfrak{p}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$. We have $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n A_\mathfrak{p} \cap A$. In general, $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is not equal to $\mathfrak{p}^n$; see below example.

Example 23. Let $A = \mathsf{k}[x, y, z, w]/(y^2 - zx^2, yz - xw)$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z, w)$. We have $z = y^2/x^2, w = yz/x \in \mathfrak{p}^2 A_\mathfrak{p}$, whence $\mathfrak{p}^2 A_\mathfrak{p} = (z, w) \neq \mathfrak{p}^2$.

Theorem 24. Let A be a noetherian ring and M an A-module. Then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M$, there is a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary submodule $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ such that
$$(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M} Q(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof. Consider the set
$$\mathcal{N} := \{N \subset M : \mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\, N\}.$$
Note that $\mathrm{Ass} \bigcup N_i = \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}\, N_i$ by definition of associated prime ideals. Then it is easy to check that $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma. Hence $\mathcal{N}$ has a maximal element $Q(\mathfrak{p})$. We claim that $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-primary. If there is $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}\, M/Q(\mathfrak{p})$, then there is a submodule $N' \cong A/\mathfrak{p}$. Let N'' be the preimage of N' in M. We have $Q(\mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq N''$ and $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$. This is a contradiction. By the fact $\mathrm{Ass} \bigcap N_i = \bigcap \mathrm{Ass}\, N_i$, we get the conclusion. $\square$

Corollary 25. Let A be a noetherian ring and M a finitely generated A-module. Then every submodule of M has a minimal primary decomposition.

3.3 Length of modules

Definition 26. Let A be a ring and M an A module. *A simple module filtration of M* is a filtration
$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_n = 0$$
such that M_i/M_{i-1} is a simple module, i.e. it has no submodule except 0 and itself. If M has a simple module filtration as above, we define the *length of M* as n and say that M has *finite length*.

The following proposition guarantees the length is well-defined.

Proposition 27. Suppose M has a simple module filtration $M = M_{0,0} \supsetneq M_{1,0} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n,0} = 0$. Then for any other filtration $M = M_{0,0} \supset M_{0,1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{0,m} = 0$ with $m > n$, there exist $k < m$ such that $M_{0,k} = M_{0,k+1}$.

Proof. We claim that there are at least $0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_{m-n} < m$ satisfies that $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$. Let $M_{i,j} := M_{i,0} \cap M_{0,j}$. Inductively on n, we can assume that there exist $k_1, \cdots, k_{n-m+1}$ such that $M_{1,k} = M_{1,k+1}$. Consider the sequence
$$M_{0,0}/M_{1,0} \supset (M_{0,1} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} \supset \cdots \supset (M_{0,m} + M_{1,0})/M_{1,0} = 0$$
in $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$. Since $M_{0,0}/M_{1,0}$ is simple, there is at most one k_i with $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} \neq M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$. And note that if $M_{0,k_i} + M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i+1} + M_{1,0}$ and $M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0} = M_{0,k_i} \cap M_{1,0}$, then $M_{0,k_i} = M_{0,k_i+1}$ by the Five Lemma. $\square$

Example 28. Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{mSpec}\, A$. Then $A/\mathfrak{m}$ is a simple module.

Proposition 29. Let A be a ring and M an A-module. Then M is of finite length iff it satisfies both a.c.c and d.c.c.

Proof. Note that if M has either a strictly ascending chain or a strictly descending chain, M is of infinite length. Conversely, d.c.c guarantee M has a simple submodule and a.c.c guarantee the sequence terminates. $\square$

Proposition 30. The length $l(-)$ is an additive function for modules of finite length. That is, if we have an exact sequence $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ with M_i of finite length, then $l(M_2) = l(M_1) + l(M_3)$.

Proof. The simple module filtrations of M_1 and M_3 will give a simple module filtration of M_2. $\square$

Proposition 31. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring. Then A is artinian iff $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose A is artinian. Then the sequence $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3 \supset \cdots$ will stable. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ for some n. By the Nakayama's Lemma 2, $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$.

Conversely, we have

$$\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{N} \subset \bigcap_{\text{minimal prime ideal}} \mathfrak{p},$$

whence $\mathfrak{m}$ is minimal. $\square$

Proposition 32. Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is of finite length.

Proof. First we show that A has only finite maximal ideal. Otherwise, consider the set $\{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k\}$. It has a minimal element $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ and for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$ for some i. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ be the Jacobi radical of A. Consider the sequence $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{M}^2 \supset \cdots$ and by Nakayama's Lemma, we have $\mathfrak{M}^k = 0$ for some k. Consider the filtration

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n^k = (0).$$

We have $\mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^j / \mathfrak{m}_1^k \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i^{j+1}$ is an $A/\mathfrak{m}_i$-vector space. It is artinian and then of finite length. Hence A is of finite length. $\square$

Proposition 33. Let A be a ring. Then A is artinian iff A is noetherian and of dimension 0. For definition of dimension, see **??**.

Proof. Suppose A is artinian. Then A is noetherian by Proposition 32. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Then $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is an artinian integral domain. If there is $a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$ is not invertible, consider $(a) \supset (a^2) \supset \cdots$, we see $a = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal and $\dim A = 0$.

Suppose that A is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular, A has only finite maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_i$ be the $\mathfrak{p}_i$-component of (0). Then we have $A \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$. We just need to show that $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ is of finite length as A-module. If $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$, take radical we get $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{q}_j$ and hence $i = j$. So $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$. Then every element in $\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ is nilpotent. Since $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is finitely generated, $(\mathfrak{p}_i A/\mathfrak{q}_i)^k = 0$ for some k. Then $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$ is artinian and then of finite length as $A/\mathfrak{q}_i$-module. Then the conclusion follows. $\square$

3.4 Noether's Normalization Lemma and Hilbert's Nullstellensatz Yang: To be completed.

Theorem 34 (Noether's Normalization Lemma)**.** Let A be a k-algebra of finite type. Then there is an injection $\mathsf{k}[T_1, \cdots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$ such that A is finite over $\mathsf{k}[T_1, \cdots, T_d]$.

Remark 35. Here A does not need to be integral. For example,

Theorem 36 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz)**.** Let A be a

References

[Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Vol. 120. WA Benjamin New York, 1970.