

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴  
巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴阿巴  
阿巴阿巴！

# Setup and the first examples

## 1 Notations

All schemes are assumed to be separated. For a “scheme” which is not separated, we will use the term “prescheme”.

Let  $A$  be a ring. We denote by  $\text{Spec } A$  the spectrum of  $A$ . For an ideal  $I \subset A$ , we use  $V(I)$  to denote the closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec } A$  defined by  $I$ .

Let  $S$  be  $\text{Spec } k$ ,  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$  or an algebraic variety. An  $S$ -variety is an integral scheme  $X$  which is of finite type and flat over  $S$ . For an algebraic variety, we mean a  $k$ -variety.

We will use  $k, K$  to denote fields, and  $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}$  to denote their algebraically closure relatively.

Let  $X$  be an integral scheme. We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  the function field of  $X$ . For a closed point  $x \in X$ , we denote by  $\kappa(x)$  the residue field of  $x$ .

We denote the category of  $S$ -varieties by  $\mathbf{Var}_S$ . We denote by  $X(T)$  the set of  $T$ -points of  $X$ , that is, the set of morphisms  $T \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over  $k$ . A geometrical point is referred a morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \rightarrow X$ .

When refer a point (may not be closed) in a scheme, we will use the notation  $\xi \in X$ . We use  $Z_\xi$  to denote the Zariski closure of  $\{\xi\}$  in  $X$ . When we talk about a closed point on an algebraic variety, we will use the notation  $x \in X(\mathbf{k})$ .

### 1.1 Separated and proper morphisms

## 2 Examples

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $A$  the localization of  $\mathbf{k}[x]$  at  $(x)$ . Let  $S = \text{Spec } A$  and  $X = \text{Spec } A[y]$ . There are three types of points in  $X$ :

- (i) closed points with residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , like  $p = (x, y - a)$ ;
- (ii) closed points with residue field  $\mathbf{k}(y)$ , like  $P = (xy - 1)$ ;
- (iii) non-closed points, like  $\eta_1 = (x), \eta_2 = (y), \eta_3 = (x - y)$ .

## 3 Preparation in commutative algebra

### 3.1 Associated prime ideals

This part refers to [Mat70, Chapter 3].

**Definition 2** (Associated prime ideals). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. The *associated prime ideals* of  $M$  are the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of form  $\text{Ann}(x)$  for some  $x \in M$ . The set of associated prime ideals of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Ass}(M)$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(xy)$  and  $M = A$ . First we see that  $(x) = \text{Ann } y, (y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ . Then we check other prime ideals. For  $(x, y)$ , if  $xf = yf = 0$ , then  $f \in (x) \cap (y) = (0)$ . If  $(x - a) = \text{Ann } f$  for some  $f$ , note that  $y \in (x - a)$  for  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , then  $f \in (x)$ . Hence  $f = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (y)\}$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $M = A$ . The underlying space of  $\text{Spec } A$  is the  $y$ -axis since  $\sqrt{(x^2, xy)} = (x)$ . First note that  $(x) = \text{Ann } y, (x, y) = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ . For  $(x, y - a)$  with  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , easily see that  $xf = (y - a)f = 0$  implies  $f = 0$  since  $A = \mathbf{k} \cdot x \oplus \mathbf{k}[y]$  as  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space. Hence  $\text{Ass } M = \{(x), (x, y)\}$ .

Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Note that  $S^{-1}M = 0$  if and only if  $S \cap \text{Ann } M \neq \emptyset$ . Then the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$$

is equal to  $V(\text{Ann } M)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. The *support* of  $M$  is the closed subset  $V(\text{Ann } M)$  of  $\text{Spec } A$ , denoted by  $\text{Supp } M$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then the maximal element of the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}$$

belongs to  $\text{Ass } M$ .

*Proof.* We just need to show that such  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime. Otherwise, there exist  $a, b \in A$  such that  $ab \in \text{Ann } x$  but  $a, b \notin \text{Ann } x$ . It follows that  $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$  since  $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $\text{Ann } x$ .  $\square$

An element  $a \in A$  is called a zero divisor for  $M$  if  $M \rightarrow aM, m \mapsto am$  is not injective.

**Corollary 7.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then

$$\{\text{zero divisors for } M\} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} \mathfrak{p}.$$

**Lemma 8.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A M$  iff  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* **Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** We have  $\text{Ass } M \subset \text{Supp } M$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$  satisfies  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ , we have  $A/\mathfrak{p} \cong A \cdot x \subset M$ . Tensoring with  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  gives  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat. Hence  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ .

Now suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$  and  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ . First we show that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $\text{Ann } x$  is maximal in the set

$$\{\text{Ann } x : x \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, x \neq 0\}.$$

Then we claim that  $\text{Ann } x = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . First,  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime by Lemma 6. If  $\text{Ann } x \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $V(\text{Ann } x) \supset V(\mathfrak{p})$ . This implies that  $\text{Ann } x \notin \text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $\text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Suppose  $x = y_0/c$  for  $y_0 \in M$  and  $c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . For  $a \in \text{Ann } y_0$ ,  $ay_0 = 0$ . Then  $a/1 \in \text{Ann } x = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\text{Ann } y_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Inductively, if  $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ , then there exists  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $y_{n+1} := b_n y_n$ ,  $\text{Ann } y_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\text{Ann } y_n \subsetneq \text{Ann } y_{n+1}$ . To see this, choose  $a_n \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \text{Ann } y_n$ . Then  $(a_n/1)y_n = 0$  since  $a_n/1 \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By definition, there exist  $b_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $a_n b_n y_n = 0$ . This process must terminate since  $A$  is noetherian. Thus  $\text{Ann } y_n = \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ . **Yang:** To be modified.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** The existence of irreducible component is guaranteed by Zorn's Lemma.

**Definition 11.** A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$  is called *embedded* if  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is not an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } M$ .

**Example 12.** For  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ , the origin  $(x, y)$  is an embedded point.

**Proposition 13.** If we have exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ , then  $\text{Ass } M_2 \subset \text{Ass } M_1 \cup \text{Ass } M_3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M_2 \setminus \text{Ass } M_1$ . Then the image  $[x]$  of  $x$  in  $M_3$  is not equal to 0. We have that  $\text{Ann } x \subset \text{Ann } [x]$ . If  $a \in \text{Ann } [x] \setminus \text{Ann } x$ , then  $ax \in M_1$ . Since  $\text{Ann } x \subsetneq \text{Ann } ax$ , there is  $b \in \text{Ann } ax \setminus \text{Ann } x$ . However, it implies  $ba \in \text{Ann } x$ , and then  $a \in \text{Ann } x$  since  $\text{Ann } x$  is prime, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** If  $M$  is finitely generated, then the set  $\text{Ass } M$  is finite.

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann } x \in \text{Ass } M$ , we know that the submodule  $M_1$  generated by  $x$  is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . Inductively, we can choose  $M_n$  be the preimage of a submodule of  $M/M_{n-1}$  which is isomorphic to  $A/\mathfrak{q}$  for some  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } M/M_{n-1}$ . We can take an ascending sequence  $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n \subset \cdots$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A/\mathfrak{p}_i$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Since  $M$  is finitely generated, this is a finite sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 13.  $\square$

**Definition 15.** An  $A$ -module is called *co-primary* if  $\text{Ass } M$  has a single element. Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. Then  $N$  is called *primary* if  $M/N$  is co-primary. If  $\text{Ass } M/N = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , then  $N$  is called  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Remark 16.** This definition coincide with primary ideals in the case  $M = A$ . Recall an ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  is called *primary* if  $\forall ab \in \mathfrak{p}, a \notin \mathfrak{q}$  implies  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$  for some  $n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary ideal. Since  $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $\text{Ann}[a] \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \text{Ann}[a]$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . If  $b \in \text{Ann}[a]$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Hence  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ , and then  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This shows that  $\text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary as an  $A$ -submodule.

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary  $A$ -submodule. First we have  $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  since  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is the unique irreducible component of  $\text{Supp } A/\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $b \in \text{Ann}[a] \subset \mathfrak{p}$  since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique maximal element in  $\{\text{Ann}[c] : c \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}\}$ . This implies that  $b^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Definition 17.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. A *minimal primary decomposition* of  $N$  in  $M$  is a finite set of primary submodules  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that

$$N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i,$$

no  $Q_i$  can be omitted and  $\text{Ass } M/Q_i$  are pairwise distinct. For  $\text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ ,  $Q_i$  is called belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Indeed, if  $N \subset M$  admits a minimal primary decomposition  $N = \bigcap Q_i$  with  $Q_i$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\text{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ . For given  $i$ , consider  $N_i := \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$ , then  $N_i/N \cong (N_i + Q_i)/Q_i$ . Since  $N_i \neq N$ ,  $\text{Ass } N_i/N \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Ass } N_i/N \subset \text{Ass } M/Q_i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . It follows that  $\text{Ass } N_i/N = \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass } M/N$ . Conversely, we have an injection  $M/N \hookrightarrow \bigoplus M/Q_i$ , so  $\text{Ass } M/N \subset \bigcup \text{Ass } M/Q_i$ . Due to this, if  $Q_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we also say that  $Q_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -component of  $N$ .

**Proposition 18.** Suppose  $N \subset M$  has a minimal primary decomposition. If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/N$  is not embedded, then the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of  $N$  is unique. Explicitly, we have  $Q = \nu^{-1}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , where  $\nu : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Clearly  $Q \subset \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Suppose  $x \in \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Then there exists  $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $sx \in Q$ . That is,  $[sx] = 0 \in M/Q$ . If  $[x] \neq 0$ , we have  $s \in \text{Ann}[x] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . This contradiction enforces  $Q = \nu^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Then we show that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Just need to show that for  $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$  and the  $\mathfrak{p}'$  component  $Q'$  of  $N$ ,  $Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded,  $\mathfrak{p}' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{p}') = \text{Supp } M/Q'$ . So  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/Q'_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 19.** If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is embedded, then its components may not be unique. For example, let  $M = A = \mathbf{k}[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $(x) \cap (x^2, xy, y^n)$  is a minimal primary decomposition of  $(0) \subset M$ .

Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  a prime ideal. We consider the  $\mathfrak{p}$  component of  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ , which is called  $n$ -th symbolic power of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$ . In general,  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$  is not equal to  $\mathfrak{p}^n$ ; see below example.

**Example 20.** Let  $A = \mathbf{k}[x, y, z, w]/(y^2 - zx^2, yz - xw)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z, w)$ . We have  $z = y^2/x^2, w = yz/x \in \mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}^2 A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (z, w) \neq \mathfrak{p}^2$ .

**Theorem 21.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ , there is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary submodule  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$  such that

$$(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M} Q(\mathfrak{p}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{N \subset M : \mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass } N\}.$$

Note that  $\text{Ass } \bigcup N_i = \bigcup \text{Ass } N_i$  by definition of associated prime ideals. Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma. Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  has a maximal element  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$ . We claim that  $Q(\mathfrak{p})$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary. If there is  $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/Q(\mathfrak{p})$ , then there is a submodule  $N' \cong A/\mathfrak{p}'$ . Let  $N''$  be the preimage of  $N'$  in  $M$ . We have  $Q(\mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq N''$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}$ . This is a contradiction. By the fact  $\text{Ass } \bigcap N_i = \bigcap \text{Ass } N_i$ , we get the conclusion.  $\square$

**Corollary 22.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then every submodule of  $M$  has a minimal primary decomposition.

### 3.2 Length of a module **Yang: To be completed**

**Definition 23.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$  module.

### 3.3 Nakayama's Lemma **Yang: To be completed**

**Theorem 24** (Nakayama's Lemma). Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Suppose  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. If  $\mathfrak{m}M = M$ , then  $M = 0$ .

*Proof.* **Yang: To be added.** □

**Proposition 25** (Geometric form of Nakayama's Lemma). Let  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  be an affine scheme,  $x \in X$  a closed point and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . If  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  generate  $\mathcal{F}|_x = \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa(x)$ , then there is an open subset  $U \subset X$  such that  $a_i|_U$  generate  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .

### 3.4 Noether's Normalization Lemma and Hilbert's Nullstellensatz **Yang: To be completed.**

**Theorem 26** (Noether's Normalization Lemma). Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra of finite type. Then there is an injection  $k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$  such that  $A$  is finite over  $k[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ .

**Remark 27.** Here  $A$  does not need to be integral. For example,

**Theorem 28** (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let  $A$  be a

## Normal, Cohen-Macaulay and regular schemes

### 1 Height, Depth and Dimension **Yang: To be completed**

**Krull dimension and height of prime ideals** Algebraically, we have the following definitions.

**Definition 29.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. The *height of a prime ideal*  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$  is defined as the maximum length of chains of prime ideals contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that is,

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The *Krull dimension* of  $A$  is defined as

$$\dim A := \max_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Geometrically, we have the corresponding definition.

**Definition 30.** Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme. The *codimension of an irreducible subscheme*  $Y$  in  $X$  is defined as the length of the longest chain of irreducible closed subsets containing  $Y$ , that is,

$$\operatorname{codim}_X(Y) := \sup\{n \mid \exists \text{ a chain of irreducible closed subsets } Y = Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Y_n\}.$$

The *dimension* of  $X$  is defined as

$$\dim X := \max_{\xi \in X} \operatorname{codim}_X Z_\xi.$$

For an affine scheme  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , above two definitions coincide by the correspondence of prime ideals and irreducible closed subsets.

**Proposition 31.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{codim}_{\operatorname{Spec} A} V(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

**Lemma 32.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then the induced morphism  $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is surjective.

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ , let  $S := A - \mathfrak{p}$  and denote  $S^{-1}B$  by  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a maximal ideal of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is maximal. Indeed, consider  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , the latter is finite over the former. This enforces  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be a field. Hence  $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and then  $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 33.** Let  $A \subset B$  be noetherian rings such that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Then  $\dim A = \dim B$ .

*Proof.* If we have a sequence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$  of prime ideals in  $B$ , then there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Since  $B$  is finite over  $A$ , there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . If  $a_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ ,  $f^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_1$  since  $f \notin \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then  $a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat the process, it will terminate, whence  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap A$ . Otherwise, we have  $f^n \in a_1 B + \dots + a_n B \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$ .

Conversely, suppose we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Choose  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \text{Spec } B$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$ , then we have  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset B/\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be the preimage of the prime ideal in  $B/\mathfrak{P}_1$  which is over image of  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Proposition 32 guarantees that such  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  exists. Then we get  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Repeat this progress, we get  $\dim B \geq \dim A$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 34.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $A$  is artinian iff  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is noetherian and of dimension 0. Then every maximal ideal is minimal. In particular,  $A$  has only finite maximal ideal and every non-unit element in  $A$  is a zero divisor.

**Yang:** To be completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 35** (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $f$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By replacing  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we may assume  $A$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $A/(f)$  is artinian since it has only one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}/(f)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the sequence  $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{q}^{(2)} \supset \dots$ , its image in  $A/(f)$  is stationary. Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} + (f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + (f)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ , we may write  $x = y + af$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Then  $af \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$  is  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary and  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . Then we get  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} + f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)} = f\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}/\mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . Note that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , by Nakayama's Lemma,  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+1)}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . By Nakayama's Lemma again,  $\mathfrak{q}^n A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is minimal, whence  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is artinian. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is minimal in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 36.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian local ring. Suppose  $f \in A$  is not a unit. Then  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ . If  $f$  is not contained in a minimal prime ideal, the equality holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a sequence of prime ideals. By assumption,  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_n$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ , we get a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/(f)$  of length  $n$ . Now we suppose  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Then there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k+1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_k$ .

Choose  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a minimal prime ideal among those containing  $(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}, f)$ . Then by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 35,  $\mathfrak{p}_k \not\subset \mathfrak{q}_k$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{q}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}$ . Replace  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  by  $\mathfrak{q}_k$ , we have  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$ .

Repeat this process, we get a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}'_1$ . This gives a sequence  $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_n$  in  $A/(f)$ . Hence we get  $\dim A/(f) \geq \dim A - 1$ .

Since  $f$  is not contained in minimal prime ideal, preimage of a minimal prime ideal in  $A/(f)$  has height 1. Hence a sequence of prime ideals in  $A/fA$  can be extended by a minimal prime ideal in  $A$ . It follows that  $\dim A/(f) + 1 \leq \dim A$ .  $\square$

For varieties, the Krull dimension behaves well by follows.

**Lemma 37.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over  $k$ . Then for every closed point  $x \in X(k)$ , we have

$$\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k).$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is irreducible, we may assume that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. Let  $d = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/k)$ .

By Noether's Normalization Lemma 26, there is an injective and finite homomorphism  $A_0 = k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \hookrightarrow A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the corresponding maximal ideal of  $x$  in  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ . Denote the image of  $T_i$  in  $l := A_0/\mathfrak{m}$  by  $t_i$ . The extension  $l/k$  is finite by Nullstellensatz 28. Let  $f_i \in k[T]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $t_i$  and  $g_i := f_i(T_i) \in A_0$ . Then  $g_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = g_1 A_0 + \dots + g_d A_0$ . In particular,  $g_1, \dots, g_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ .

We have  $A/g_1A + \cdots + g_dA$  is finite over  $A_0/\mathfrak{m}$ , whence it is artinian. This implies that  $A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_dA_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is also artinian. Since  $g_{k+1}$  is not a zero divisor in  $A_0/g_1A_0 + \cdots + g_kA_0$ ,  $g_{k+1}$  is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $A_0/g_1A_0 + \cdots + g_kA_0$ . Then  $g_{k+1}$  is also not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $A/g_1A + \cdots + g_kA$ . By Corollary 36,  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{M}} = \dim(A_{\mathfrak{M}}/g_1A_{\mathfrak{M}} + \cdots + g_dA_{\mathfrak{M}}) + d = d$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 38.** Let  $S$  be spectrum of a field  $k$  or an algebraic integer ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $X$  an integral  $S$ -variety. Then we have the follows:

- (i) For every point  $\xi \in X$ ,  $\dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} + \text{codim } Z_{\xi}$ .
- (ii) For every non-empty open subset  $U \subset X$ ,  $\dim U = \dim X$ .
- (iii)  $\dim X = \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{K}(X)/\mathcal{K}(S)) + \dim S$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be continued.  $\square$

**Example 39.** For general noetherian schemes, Theorem 38 may not hold. Let  $A = k[t]$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = (t)$ ,  $B = A_{\mathfrak{m}}[x]$  and  $X = \text{Spec } B$ . Then we have  $\dim X = 2$  since Yang: To be added.

**Depth** For a noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ , we can define the depth of an  $A$ -module  $M$ . Somehow the Krull dimension is “homological” and the depth is “cohomological”.

**Definition 40.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring,  $I \subset A$  an ideal and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. A sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  is called an  $M$ -regular sequence in  $I$  if  $t_i$  is not a zero divisor on  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})M$  for all  $i$ .

**Example 41.** Let  $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  and  $I = (x, y)$ . Then  $\text{depth}_I A = 0$ .

**Definition 42.** The  $I$ -depth of  $M$  is defined as the maximum length of  $M$ -regular sequences in  $I$ , denoted by  $\text{depth}_I M$ . When  $A$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we write  $\text{depth } M$  for  $\text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ .

**Regular and Serre’s conditions** Up to now, there are three numbers measuring the “size” of a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ :

- $\dim A$ : the Krull dimension of  $A$ .
- $\text{depth } A$ : the depth of  $A$ .
- $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}$ : the dimension of Zariski tangent space  $T_{A,\mathfrak{m}} := (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^{\vee}$  as a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector space.

These three numbers are related by the following inequalities.

**Proposition 43.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local noetherian ring with residue field  $k$ . Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{depth } A \leq \dim A \leq \dim_k T_{A,\mathfrak{m}}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is a direct corollary of Corollary 36.

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathfrak{m}/(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by Nakayama’s Lemma. By Corollary 36,

$$n + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_n) \geq n - 1 + \dim A/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \geq \cdots \geq 1 + \dim A/(t_1) \geq \dim A.$$

We conclude the result.  $\square$

**Definition 44.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We say that  $X$  verifies property  $(R_k)$  or is regular in codimension  $k$  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{codim } Z_{\xi} \leq k$ ,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\xi)} T_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$

We say that  $X$  verifies property  $(S_k)$  if  $\forall \xi \in X$  with  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} < k$ ,

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}.$$



**Lemma 45.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \bigcup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $i$ .

*Proof.* **Yang:** To be completed. □

**Example 46.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$  iff  $A$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A A$ , every element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a zero divisor. Then  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal.

Suppose  $A$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This means every element in  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a zero divisor. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \{\text{zero divisors in } A\} = \bigcup_{\text{minimal prime ideals}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By Lemma 45,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  for some minimal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , whence  $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ .

**Example 47.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring verifies  $(S_1)$ . Then  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$  iff for any nonzero divisor  $f \in A$ ,  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point.

Suppose  $A$  verifies  $(S_2)$ . Let  $f \in A$  be a nonzero divisor and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A A/fA$ . There exist  $g \in A \setminus fA$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = (f : g)$ . For any  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , there exist  $s_1, s_2$  with  $s_i \notin (t_i)$  and  $t_i g = f s_i$ . Then  $t_1 t_2 g = f s_1 t_2 = f s_2 t_1$ . Since  $f$  is not a zero divisor,  $s_1 t_2 = s_2 t_1$ . Then  $t_2$  is a zero divisor in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/t_1 A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $s_1 \notin (t_1)$ . Since  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  and then  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ . This show that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not embedded in  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . Then there exists  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is not a zero divisor. We have  $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}}/fA_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  and  $\text{Ass}_A A/fA$  has no embedded point, whence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal in  $A/fA$ . Then  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$  by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem 35 and the fact  $f$  is not a zero divisor.

**Example 48.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is reduced iff it verifies  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$ .

The properties are local, whence we can assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Suppose  $A$  is reduced. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be all minimal prime ideals of  $A$ . We have  $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{N} = (0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the nilradical of  $A$ . Hence  $A$  has no embedded point. Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is artinian, local and reduced,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a field and hence regular.

Conversely, let  $\text{Ass } A$  be equal to  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is minimal by  $(S_1)$ . Let  $f$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then the image of  $f$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is 0 since by  $(R_0)$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a field. It follows that  $f \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  component of  $(0)$  in  $A$ . Hence  $f \in \bigcap \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$ . That is,  $A$  is reduced.

## 2 Normal schemes **Yang:** To be completed

**Definition 49.** An integral domain  $A$  is called *normal* if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions  $\text{Frac}(A)$ .

**Lemma 50.** Let  $A \subset C$  be rings and  $B$  the integral closure of  $A$  in  $C$ ,  $S$  a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . Then the integral closure of  $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}C$  is  $S^{-1}B$ .

*Proof.* For every  $b \in B$  and  $\forall s \in S$ , there exists  $a_i \in A$  s.t.

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^n + \frac{a_1}{s^1} \left(\frac{b}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{s^n} = 0.$$

Hence  $b/s$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ ,  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ .

If  $c/s \in S^{-1}C$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ , then  $\exists a_i \in S^{-1}A$  s.t.

$$\left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^n + a_1 \left(\frac{c}{s}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Then

$$c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n = 0 \in S^{-1}C$$

Then  $\exists t \in S$  s.t.

$$t(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n) = 0 \in C.$$

Then

$$(ct)^n + a_1 st(ct)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n t^n = t^n(c^n + a_1 s c^{n-1} + \dots + a_n s^n) = 0.$$

Hence  $ct$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $ct \in B$ . Then  $c/s = (ct)/(st) \in S^{-1}B$ . This completes the proof. □



**Proposition 51.** Normality is a local property. That is, for an integral domain  $A$ , TFAE:

- (i)  $A$  is normal.
- (ii) For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal.
- (iii) For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{mSpec} A$ , the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal.

*Proof.* When  $A$  is normal,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal by Lemma 50.

Assume that  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{mSpec} A$ . If  $A$  is not normal, let  $\tilde{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\operatorname{Frac} A$ ,  $\tilde{A}/A$  is a nonzero  $A$ -module. Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} \tilde{A}/A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . We have  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  and  $\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\tilde{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}/A_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 52.** A scheme  $X$  is called *normal* if the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is normal for any point  $\xi \in X$ . A ring  $A$  is called *normal* if  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  is normal.

**Remark 53.** Yang: To be completed

**Example 54.**

**Definition 55.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. The *normalization* of  $X$  is an  $X$ -scheme  $X^{\nu}$  with the following universal property: for any normal  $X$ -scheme  $Y$  with dominant structure morphism, its structure morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  factors through  $X^{\nu}$ .

**Proposition 56.** Let  $X$  be an integral scheme. Then the normalization  $X^{\nu}$  of  $X$  exists. Moreover,  $X^{\nu} \rightarrow X$  is birational.

*Proof.* First suppose  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine. Let  $A^{\nu}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\operatorname{Frac} A$  and  $X^{\nu} := \operatorname{Spec} A^{\nu}$ . Suppose there is a dominant morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  normal. It gives a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ . We claim that it is injective. Otherwise, it factors through  $A \rightarrow A/I$  and then  $Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  factors through  $\operatorname{Spec} A/I \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ . It contradicts that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is dominant.

Yang: To be completed  $\square$

**Lemma 57.** Let  $A$  be a normal ring. Then  $A$  verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 58.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring  $A$  of dimension  $\geq 1$  verifying  $(S_2)$ . Then

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A, \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 59** (Serre's criterion for normality). Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is normal if and only if it verifies  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 60.** Let  $X$  be a normal noetherian scheme. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then the restriction  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 61.** Let  $X$  be a normal noetherian  $S$ -scheme and  $Y$  a proper  $S$ -scheme. Let  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a rational map. Then  $f$  is defined on an open subset  $U \subset X$  whose complement has codimension  $\geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Yang: To be completed.  $\square$

**Remark 62.** Theorem 60 and Theorem 61 are very similar. However, they are based on different properties. Yang: To be completed.

### 3 Cohen-Macaulay schemes

**Definition 63** (Cohen-Macaulay). A noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\dim A = \text{depth } A$ . A locally noetherian scheme  $X$  is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for any point  $\xi \in X$ .

By definition, it is easy to see that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it verifies  $(S_k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Example 64** (Non Cohen-Macaulay rings).

**Definition 65.** An ideal  $I$  of a noetherian ring  $A$  is called *unmixed* if

$$\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/I).$$

We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a noetherian ring  $A$*  if any ideal  $I \subset A$  generated by  $\text{ht}(I)$  elements is unmixed. We say that *the unmixedness theorem holds for a locally noetherian scheme  $X$*  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is unmixed for any point  $\xi \in X$ .

**Remark 66.** Recall that the set of associated primes of a module  $M$  is defined as

$$\text{Ass}(M) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A : \exists x \in M \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}(x)\}.$$

**Theorem 67.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Then the unmixedness theorem holds for  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Theorem 68.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. Suppose that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed subset of codimension  $\geq k$ . Then the restriction  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus F, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by the is an isomorphism.

### 4 Regular schemes

**Proposition 69.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a regular local ring. Then  $A$  is integral.

**Proposition 70.** If  $X$  verifies  $(R_k)$ , then  $\text{codim}_X X_{\text{sing}} \geq k + 1$ .

**Proposition 71.** A regular scheme is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary 72.** A regular scheme is normal.