

# Separating Linear Modalities

Jiaming Jiang and Harley Eades III

## Abstract

TODO

## 1 Introduction

TODO [1]

### 1.1 Symmetric Monoidal Categories

**Definition 1.** A *monoidal category* is a category,  $\mathcal{M}$ , with the following data:

- An object  $\top$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
- A bi-functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,
- The following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_A &: \top \otimes A \longrightarrow A \\ \rho_A &: A \otimes \top \longrightarrow A \\ \alpha_{A,B,C} &: (A \otimes B) \otimes C \longrightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)\end{aligned}$$

- Subject to the following coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C} \otimes \text{id}_D} & (A \otimes (B \otimes C)) \otimes D \\ \downarrow \alpha_{A \otimes B, C, D} & & \downarrow \alpha_{A, B \otimes C, D} \\ (A \otimes B) \otimes (C \otimes D) & & A \otimes ((B \otimes C) \otimes D) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{A, B, C \otimes D} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \alpha_{B,C,D}} & \\ A \otimes (B \otimes (C \otimes D)) & & A \otimes ((B \otimes C) \otimes D) \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \otimes \top) \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A, \top, B}} & A \otimes (\top \otimes B) \\ \downarrow \rho_A \otimes \text{id}_B & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \lambda_B \\ & A \otimes B & \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.** A *symmetric monoidal category (SMC)* is a category,  $\mathcal{M}$ , with the following data:

- An object  $\top$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
- A bi-functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,
- The following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_A &: \top \otimes A \rightarrow A \\ \rho_A &: A \otimes \top \rightarrow A \\ \alpha_{A,B,C} &: (A \otimes B) \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)\end{aligned}$$

- A symmetry natural isomorphism:

$$\beta_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$$

- Subject to the following coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C} \otimes \text{id}_D} & (A \otimes (B \otimes C)) \otimes D \\ \downarrow \alpha_{A \otimes B, C, D} & & \downarrow \alpha_{A, B \otimes C, D} \\ (A \otimes B) \otimes (C \otimes D) & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{A, B, C \otimes D} & & \\ A \otimes (B \otimes (C \otimes D)) & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \alpha_{B,C,D}} & A \otimes ((B \otimes C) \otimes D) \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A \otimes B) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} & A \otimes (B \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{A, B \otimes C}} & (B \otimes C) \otimes A \\ \downarrow \beta_{A,B} \otimes \text{id}_C & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{B,C,A} \\ (B \otimes A) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{B,A,C}} & B \otimes (A \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_B \otimes \beta_{A,C}} & B \otimes (C \otimes A) \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \otimes \top) \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,\top,B}} & A \otimes (\top \otimes B) \\ \downarrow \rho_A \otimes \text{id}_B & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \lambda_B \\ & A \otimes B & \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes B & & \\ \downarrow \beta_{A,B} & \searrow \text{id}_{A \otimes B} & \\ B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\beta_{B,A}} & A \otimes B \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \top \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\top,A}} & A \otimes \top \\ \downarrow \lambda_A & & \downarrow \rho_A \\ & A & \end{array}$$

**Definition 3.** A **monoidal biclosed category** is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{M}, \top, \otimes)$ , such that, for any object  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , each of the functors  $-\otimes B : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \otimes - : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has a specified right adjoint. Hence, for any object  $A$  and  $C$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , there are two objects  $C \leftarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and two natural bijections:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(A \otimes B, C) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(A, C \leftarrow B) \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(B \otimes A, C) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(A, B \rightarrow C)\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.** A **symmetric monoidal closed category (SMCC)** is a symmetric monoidal category,  $(\mathcal{M}, \top, \otimes)$ , such that, for any object  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , the functor  $-\otimes B : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has a specified right adjoint. Hence, for any objects  $A$  and  $C$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  there is an object  $B \multimap C$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and a natural bijection:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(A \otimes B, C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(A, B \multimap C)$$

We call the functor  $\multimap : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  the internal hom of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 5.** Suppose we are given two monoidal categories  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \top_1, \otimes_1, \alpha_1, \lambda_1, \rho_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \top_2, \otimes_2, \alpha_2, \lambda_2, \rho_2, \beta_2)$ . Then a **monoidal functor** is a functor  $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ , a map  $m_{\top_1} : \top_2 \rightarrow F\top_1$  and a natural transformation  $m_{A,B} : FA \otimes_2 FB \rightarrow F(A \otimes_1 B)$  subject to the following coherence conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (FA \otimes_2 FB) \otimes_2 FC & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2FA,FB,FC}} & FA \otimes_2 (FB \otimes_2 FC) \\ \downarrow m_{A,B} \otimes \text{id}_{FC} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{FA} \otimes m_{B,C} \\ F(A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_2 FC & & FA \otimes_2 F(B \otimes_1 C) \\ \downarrow m_{A \otimes_1 B, C} & & \downarrow m_{A,B \otimes_1 C} \\ F((A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_1 C) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha_{1A,B,C}} & F(A \otimes_1 (B \otimes_1 C)) \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \top_2 \otimes_2 FA & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2FA}} & FA \\ \downarrow m_{\top_1} \otimes \text{id}_{FA} & & \uparrow F\lambda_{1A} \\ F\top_1 \otimes_2 FA & \xrightarrow{m_{\top_1, A}} & F(\top_1 \otimes_1 A) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} FA \otimes_2 \top_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_{2FA}} & FA \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{FA} \otimes m_{\top_1} & & \uparrow F\rho_{1A} \\ FA \otimes_2 F\top_1 & \xrightarrow{m_{A, \top_1}} & F(A \otimes_1 \top_1) \end{array}$$

Need to notice that the composition of monoidal functors is also monoidal, subject to the above coherence conditions.

**Definition 6.** Suppose we are given two symmetric monoidal closed categories  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \top_1, \otimes_1, \alpha_1, \lambda_1, \rho_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \top_2, \otimes_2, \alpha_2, \lambda_2, \rho_2, \beta_2)$ . Then a **symmetric monoidal functor** is a functor  $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ , a map  $m_{\top_1} : \top_2 \rightarrow F\top_1$  and a natural transfor-

mation  $m_{A,B} : FA \otimes_2 FB \longrightarrow F(A \otimes_1 B)$  subject to the following coherence conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(FA \otimes_2 FB) \otimes_2 FC & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2FA,FB,FC}} & FA \otimes_2 (FB \otimes_2 FC) \\
\downarrow m_{A,B} \otimes \text{id}_{FC} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{FA} \otimes m_{B,C} \\
F(A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_2 FC & & FA \otimes_2 F(B \otimes_1 C) \\
\downarrow m_{A \otimes_1 B, C} & & \downarrow m_{A, B \otimes_1 C} \\
F((A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_1 C) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha_{1A,B,C}} & F(A \otimes_1 (B \otimes_1 C))
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau_2 \otimes_2 FA & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2FA}} & FA \\
\downarrow m_{\tau_1} \otimes \text{id}_{FA} & & \uparrow F\lambda_{1A} \\
F\tau_1 \otimes_2 FA & \xrightarrow{m_{\tau_1, A}} & F(\tau_1 \otimes_1 A)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes_2 \tau_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_{2FA}} & FA \\
\downarrow \text{id}_{FA} \otimes m_{\tau_1} & & \uparrow F\rho_{1A} \\
FA \otimes_2 F\tau_1 & \xrightarrow{m_{A, \tau_1}} & F(A \otimes_1 \tau_1)
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes_2 FB & \xrightarrow{\beta_{2FA,FB}} & FB \otimes_2 FA \\
\downarrow m_{A,B} & & \downarrow m_{B,A} \\
F(A \otimes_1 B) & \xrightarrow{F\beta_{1A,B}} & F(B \otimes_1 A)
\end{array}$$

**Definition 7.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1, \otimes_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2, \otimes_2)$  are monoidal categories, and  $(F, m)$  and  $(G, n)$  are monoidal functors between  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . Then a **monoidal natural transformation** is a natural transformation,  $f : F \longrightarrow G$ , subject to the following coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes_2 FB & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & F(A \otimes_1 B) \\
\downarrow f_A \otimes_2 f_B & & \downarrow f_{A \otimes_1 B} \\
GA \otimes_2 GB & \xrightarrow{n_{A,B}} & G(A \otimes_1 B)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
F\tau_1 & \xrightarrow{f_{\tau_1}} & G\tau_1 \\
\swarrow m_{\tau_1} & & \searrow n_{\tau_1} \\
& \tau_2 &
\end{array}$$

**Definition 8.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1, \otimes_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2, \otimes_2)$  are SMCs, and  $(F, m)$  and  $(G, n)$  are symmetric monoidal functors between  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . Then a **symmetric monoidal natural transformation** is a natural transformation,  $f : F \longrightarrow G$ , subject to the follow-

ing coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA \otimes_2 FB & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & F(A \otimes_1 B) \\
 \downarrow f_A \otimes_2 f_B & & \downarrow f_{A \otimes_1 B} \\
 GA \otimes_2 GB & \xrightarrow{n_{A,B}} & G(A \otimes_1 B)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F\tau_1 & \xrightarrow{f_{\tau_1}} & G\tau_1 \\
 \swarrow m_{\tau_1} & & \searrow n_{\tau_1} \\
 & \tau_2 &
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 9.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1, \otimes_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2, \otimes_2)$  are monoidal categories, and  $(F, m)$  is a monoidal functor between  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  and  $(G, n)$  is a monoidal functor between  $\mathcal{M}_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . Then a **monoidal adjunction** is an ordinary adjunction  $\mathcal{M}_1 : F \dashv G : \mathcal{M}_2$  such that the unit,  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow GFA$ , and the counit,  $\varepsilon_A : FGA \rightarrow A$ , are monoidal natural transformations. Thus, the following diagrams must commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FGA \otimes_2 FGB & \xrightarrow{m_{GA,GB}} & F(GA \otimes_1 GB) \\
 \downarrow \varepsilon_A \otimes_1 \varepsilon_B & & \downarrow F n_{A,B} \\
 A \otimes_2 B & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{A \otimes_1 B}} & FGA \otimes_2 FGB
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F\tau_1 & \xrightarrow{F n_{\tau_2}} & FG\tau_2 \\
 \uparrow m_{\tau_1} & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\tau_1} \\
 \tau_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tau_2
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 GFA \otimes_1 GFB & \xleftarrow{\eta_A \otimes_1 \eta_B} & A \otimes_1 B \\
 \downarrow n_{FA,FB} & & \downarrow \eta_{A \otimes_1 B} \\
 G(FA \otimes_2 FB) & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & GF(A \otimes_1 B)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 G\tau_2 & \xrightarrow{G m_{\tau_1}} & GF\tau_1 \\
 \uparrow n_{\tau_2} & & \uparrow \eta_{\tau_1} \\
 \tau_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tau_1
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 10.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1, \otimes_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2, \otimes_2)$  are SMCs, and  $(F, m)$  is a symmetric monoidal functor between  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  and  $(G, n)$  is a symmetric monoidal functor between  $\mathcal{M}_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . Then a **symmetric monoidal adjunction** is an ordinary adjunction  $\mathcal{M}_1 : F \dashv G : \mathcal{M}_2$  such that the unit,  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow GFA$ , and the counit,  $\varepsilon_A : FGA \rightarrow A$ , are symmetric monoidal natural transformations. Thus, the following diagrams must commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FGA \otimes_2 FGB & \xrightarrow{m_{GA,GB}} & F(GA \otimes_1 GB) \\
 \downarrow \varepsilon_A \otimes_1 \varepsilon_B & & \downarrow F n_{A,B} \\
 A \otimes_2 B & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{A \otimes_1 B}} & FGA \otimes_2 FGB
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F\tau_1 & \xrightarrow{F n_{\tau_2}} & FG\tau_2 \\
 \uparrow m_{\tau_1} & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\tau_1} \\
 \tau_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tau_2
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 GFA \otimes_1 GFB & \xleftarrow{\eta_A \otimes_1 \eta_B} & A \otimes_1 B \\
 \downarrow n_{FA,FB} & & \downarrow \eta_{A \otimes_1 B} \\
 G(FA \otimes_2 FB) & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & GF(A \otimes_1 B)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 G\tau_2 & \xrightarrow{G m_{\tau_1}} & GF\tau_1 \\
 \uparrow n_{\tau_2} & & \uparrow \eta_{\tau_1} \\
 \tau_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tau_1
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 11.** A **monoidal comonad** on a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triple  $(T, \varepsilon, \delta)$ , where  $(T, \mathfrak{m})$  is a monoidal endofunctor on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon_A : TA \longrightarrow A$  and  $\delta_A : TA \rightarrow T^2A$  are monoidal natural transformations, which make the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA & \xrightarrow{\delta_A} & T^2A \\
 \delta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow T\delta_A \\
 T^2A & \xrightarrow{\delta_{TA}} & T^3A
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & TA & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow \delta_A & \searrow & \\
 TA & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{TA}} & T^2A & \xrightarrow{T\varepsilon_A} & TA
 \end{array}$$

The assumption that  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are monoidal natural transformations amount to the following diagrams commuting:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B) \\
 & \searrow \varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_B & \downarrow \varepsilon_{A \otimes B} \\
 & & A \otimes B
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T\top & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{m}_\top} & \top \\
 & \searrow \varepsilon_\top & \downarrow \\
 & & \top
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B) \\
 \delta_A \otimes \delta_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{A \otimes B} \\
 T^2A \otimes T^2B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{TA,TB}} T(TA \otimes TB) \xrightarrow{T\mathfrak{m}_{A,B}} & T^2(A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \top & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_\top} & T\top \\
 \mathfrak{m}_\top \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_\top \\
 T\top & \xrightarrow{T\mathfrak{m}_\top} & T^2\top
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 12.** A **symmetric monoidal comonad** on a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triple  $(T, \varepsilon, \delta)$ , where  $(T, \mathfrak{m})$  is a symmetric monoidal endofunctor on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon_A : TA \longrightarrow A$  and  $\delta_A : TA \rightarrow T^2A$  are symmetric monoidal natural transformations, which

make the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA & \xrightarrow{\delta_A} & T^2A \\
 \delta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow T\delta_A \\
 T^2A & \xrightarrow{\delta_{TA}} & T^3A
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & TA & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow \delta_A & \searrow & \\
 TA & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{TA}} & T^2A & \xrightarrow{T\varepsilon_A} & TA
 \end{array}$$

The assumption that  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are symmetric monoidal natural transformations amount to the following diagrams commuting:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B) \\
 \searrow \varepsilon_A \otimes \varepsilon_B & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{A \otimes B} \\
 & & A \otimes B
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T\top & \xleftarrow{m_\top} & \top \\
 \searrow \varepsilon_\top & & \downarrow \\
 & & \top
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{m_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B) \\
 \delta_A \otimes \delta_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{A \otimes B} \\
 T^2A \otimes T^2B & \xrightarrow{m_{TA,TB}} T(TA \otimes TB) \xrightarrow{Tm_{A,B}} & T^2(A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$
  


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \top & \xrightarrow{m_\top} & T\top \\
 m_\top \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_\top \\
 T\top & \xrightarrow{Tm_\top} & T^2\top
 \end{array}$$

## 1.2 Linear Category


**Definition 13.** A *linear category*,  $(\mathcal{L}, !, \text{weak}, \text{contra})$ , is specified by

- a symmetric monoidal closed category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes, \multimap)$ ,
- a symmetric monoidal comonad  $(!, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , with  $q_{A,B} : !A \otimes !B \longrightarrow !(A \otimes B)$  and  $q_I : I \longrightarrow !I$ ;
- monoidal natural transformations on  $\mathcal{L}$  with components  $\text{weak}_A : !A \longrightarrow I$  and  $\text{contra}_A : !A \longrightarrow !A \otimes !A$ , s.t.


- each  $(!A, \text{weak}_A, \text{contra}_A)$  is a commutative comonoid, i.e. the following diagrams commute and  $\beta \circ \text{contra}_A = \text{contra}_A$  where  $\beta_{B,C} : B \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes B$  is the symmetry natural transformation of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;



- $\text{weak}_A$  and  $\text{contra}_A$  are coalgebra morphisms, i.e. the following diagrams commute;



- any coalgebra morphism  $f : (!A, \delta_A) \rightarrow (!B, \delta_B)$  between free coalgebras preserve the comonoid structure given by  $\text{weak}$  and  $\text{contra}$ , i.e. the following diagrams commute.





**Definition 14.** A *Lambek category with weakening*,  $(\mathcal{L}, w, \text{weak})$ , is specified by

- a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes)$ ,
- a monoidal comonad  $(w, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $q_{A,B} : wA \otimes wB \longrightarrow w(A \otimes B)$  and  $q_I : I \longrightarrow wI$ , and
- a monoidal natural transformation  $\text{weak}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with components  $\text{weak}_A : wA \longrightarrow I$  s.t. the following diagrams commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} wA & \xrightarrow{\text{weak}_A} & I \\ \delta A \downarrow & & \downarrow q_I \\ wwA & \xrightarrow{w\text{weak}_A} & wI \end{array}$$

**Definition 15.** A *Lambek category with contraction*,  $(\mathcal{L}, c, \text{contraL}, \text{contraR})$ , is specified by

- a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes)$ ,
- a monoidal comonad  $(c, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $q_{A,B} : cA \otimes cB \longrightarrow c(A \otimes B)$  and  $q_I : I \longrightarrow cI$ , and
- monoidal natural transformations  $\text{contraL}$  and  $\text{contraR}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with components  $\text{contraL}_{A,B} : cA \otimes B \longrightarrow (cA \otimes B) \otimes cA$  and  $\text{contraR}_{A,B} : B \otimes cA \longrightarrow cA \otimes (B \otimes cA)$ , s.t. the following diagrams commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} cA \otimes I & \xleftarrow{\rho_{cA}^{-1}} & cA & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{cA}^{-1}} & I \otimes cA \\ \text{contraL}_{A,I} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \text{contraR}_{A,I} \\ (cA \otimes I) \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{cA,I,cA}} & & & cA \otimes (I \otimes cA) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} cA \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{id_{cA} \otimes \rho_{cA}^{-1}} & cA \otimes (cA \otimes I) & \xrightarrow{id_{cA} \otimes \text{contraL}_{A,I}} & cA \otimes ((cA \otimes I) \otimes cA) \\ \downarrow \lambda_{cA}^{-1} \otimes id_{cA} & & & & \downarrow id_{cA} \otimes (\rho_{cA} \otimes id_{cA}) \\ (I \otimes cA) \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{\text{contraR}_{A,I} \otimes id_{cA}} & (cA \otimes (I \otimes cA)) \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{(id_{cA} \otimes \lambda_{cA}) \otimes id_{cA}} & (cA \otimes cA) \otimes cA \\ & & & & \uparrow \alpha_{cA,cA,cA} \end{array}$$

The following two diagrams are added to prove  $(cwA, \text{weak}_A, \text{contra}_A)$  is a comonoid, corresponding to part of the first diagram in the definition of linear category.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
cA \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{id_{cA} \otimes \lambda_{cA}^{-1}} & cA \otimes (I \otimes cA) & \xrightarrow{id_{cA} \otimes \text{contraR}_{A,I}} & cA \otimes (cA \otimes (I \otimes cA)) \\
\downarrow \rho_{cA}^{-1} \otimes id_{cA} & & & & \downarrow id_{cA} \otimes (id_{cA} \otimes \lambda_{cA}) \\
(cA \otimes I) \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{\text{contraL}_{A,I} \otimes id_{cA}} & ((cA \otimes I) \otimes cA) \otimes cA & \xrightarrow{(\rho_{cA} \otimes id_{cA}) \otimes id_{cA}} & (cA \otimes cA) \otimes cA \\
& & & & \uparrow \alpha_{cA, cA, cA}
\end{array}$$

**Definition 16.** Given two comonads  $(c, \varepsilon^c, \delta^c)$  and  $(w, \varepsilon^w, \delta^w)$  on a category  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $(\mathcal{L}, c, \text{contraL}, \text{contraR})$  is a Lambek category with contraction and  $(\mathcal{L}, w, \text{weak})$  is a Lambek category with weakening, we define a **distributive law** of  $c$  over  $w$  to be a natural transformation with components  $\text{dist}_A : cwA \longrightarrow wcA$ , subject to the following coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
wA & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{wA}^c} & cwA \\
& \nwarrow w\varepsilon_A^c & \nearrow \text{dist}_A \\
& wcA &
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
cA & \xleftarrow{c\varepsilon_A^w} & cwA \\
& \nwarrow \varepsilon_{cA}^w & \nearrow \text{dist}_A \\
& wcA &
\end{array}$$

By the definition of the distribute law  $\text{dist}$  and the comonad laws of  $c$  and  $w$ , the following two diagrams also commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwcA & \xrightarrow{cw\delta_A^c} & cwc^2A \\
& \searrow \delta_{wcA}^c & \nearrow c\text{dist}_{cA} \\
& c^2wcA &
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
wcwA & \xrightarrow{wc\delta_A^w} & wcw^2A \\
& \searrow \delta_{cwA}^w & \nearrow w\text{dist}_{wA} \\
& w^2cwA &
\end{array}$$

shown by the diagram chasings below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwcA & \xrightarrow{cw\delta_A^c} & cwc^2A \\
& \searrow \delta_{wcA}^c & \nearrow c\text{dist}_{cA} \\
& c^2wcA & \\
& \uparrow c\varepsilon_{wcA}^c & \\
& cwcA & \\
& \nwarrow c\varepsilon_{cA}^w & \nearrow w\text{dist}_{wA} \\
& wcwA & \xrightarrow{wc\delta_A^w} & wcw^2A \\
& \searrow \delta_{cwA}^w & \nearrow w\text{dist}_{wA} \\
& w^2cwA &
\end{array}$$

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $(c, \varepsilon^c, \delta^c)$  and  $(w, \varepsilon^w, \delta^w)$  be two monoidal comonads on a Lambek category with weakening and contraction  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes, w, \text{weak}^w, c, \text{contraL}, \text{contraR})$ . Then the composition of  $c$  and  $w$  using the distributive law  $\text{dist}_A : cwA \longrightarrow wcA$  is a monoidal comonad  $(cw, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(c, \varepsilon^c, \delta^c)$  and  $(w, \varepsilon^w, \delta^w)$  are monoidal comonads, and  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes, w, \text{weak}^w, c, \text{contraL}, \text{contraR})$  is a Lambek category with weakening and contraction. Since by definition  $c, w : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$  are monoidal functors we know that their composition  $cw : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a monoidal functor:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{A,B} &: cwA \otimes cwB \longrightarrow cw(A \otimes B) \\ q_{A,B} &= cq_{A,B}^w \circ q_{wA,wB}^c \\ q_I &: I \longrightarrow cwI \\ q_I &= cq_I^w \circ q_I^c \end{aligned}$$

We must now define both  $\varepsilon_A : cwA \longrightarrow A$  and  $\delta_A : cwA \longrightarrow cwcwA$ , and then show that they are monoidal natural transformations subject to the comonad laws. Since we are composing two comonads each of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  can be given two definitions, but they are equivalent:

- $\varepsilon_A : cwA \longrightarrow A$  is defined as in the diagram below, which commutes by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} cwA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{wA}^c} & wA \\ \downarrow c\varepsilon_A^w & & \downarrow \varepsilon_A^w \\ cA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_A^c} & A \end{array}$$


- $\delta_A : cwA \longrightarrow cwcwA$  is defined as in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} cwA & \xrightarrow{c\delta_A^w} & cw^2A & \xrightarrow{\delta_{w^2A}^c} & c^2w^2A \\ \downarrow \delta_{wA}^c & & \downarrow \delta_{w^2A}^c & & \downarrow c\text{dist}_{wA} \\ c^2wA & \xrightarrow{c^2\delta_A^w} & c^2w^2A & \xrightarrow{c\text{dist}_{wA}} & cwcwA \end{array}$$


The left part of the diagram commutes by the naturality of  $\delta^c$  and the right part commutes trivially.

The remainder of the proof shows that the comonad laws hold.

**Case 1:**




The previous diagram commutes because the following one does.




(1) commutes by equality and we will not expand  $\delta_A$  for simplicity. (2) and (4) commutes by the naturality of  $\delta^c$ . (3), (5) commutes by the conditions of  $dist$ . (6) commutes by the naturality of  $dist$ .

**Case 2:**




The triangle commutes because of the following diagram chasing.




(1) commutes by the comonad law for  $w$  with components  $\delta_A^w$  and  $\varepsilon_{wA}^w$ . (2) commutes by the comonad law for  $c$  with components  $\delta_{w^2A}^c$  and  $\varepsilon_{w^2A}^c$ . (3) and (7) commute by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ . (4) commutes by the condition of  $dist$ . (5) commutes trivially. And (6) commutes by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^w$ .

**Case 3:**



The previous triangle commutes because the following diagram chasing does.



(1) commutes by the naturality of  $\delta^c$ . (2) is the comonad law for  $c$  with components  $\delta_{wA}^c$  and  $\varepsilon_{wA}^c$ . (3) is the comonad law for  $w$  with components  $\delta_A^w$  and  $\varepsilon_A^w$ . (4) commutes by the condition of  $dist$ . And (5) commute by the naturality of  $dist$ .

□

**Definition 18.** A *Lambek category with*  $cw$ ,  $(\mathcal{L}, cw, weak, contra)$ , is specified by

- a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes)$ ;
- a monoidal comonad  $(c, \varepsilon^c, \delta^c)$  with monoidal natural transformations  $contraL$  and  $contraR$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  s.t.  $(\mathcal{L}, c, contraL, contraR)$  is a Lambek category with contraction;
- a monoidal comonad  $(w, \varepsilon^w, \delta^w)$  with a monoidal natural transformation  $weak$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  s.t.  $(\mathcal{L}, w, weak^w)$  is a Lambek category with weakening;
- a natural transformation with components  $dist_A : cwA \rightarrow wA$ ;

I used  $cw$  instead of  $!$  so that it won't be confused with the  $!$  in linear category when we talk about the embedding.

where  $cw$  is the composite monoidal comonad  $cw$  defined in the proof of Lemma 17, s.t. the following additional coherence diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{I \otimes cwA}^{-1}} & I \otimes (I \otimes cwA) \\
 \text{contraR}_{wA, I} \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{weak}_A^w \otimes id_{I \otimes cwA} \\
 cwA \otimes (I \otimes cwA) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{wA}^c \otimes id_{I \otimes cwA}} & wA \otimes (I \otimes cwA)
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 cwA \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwA \otimes I}^{-1}} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes I \\
 \text{contraL}_{wA, I} \downarrow & & \uparrow id_{cwA \otimes I} \otimes weak_A^w \\
 (cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA \otimes I} \otimes \varepsilon_{wA}^c} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes wA
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{f} & cwB \\
& \searrow \text{weak}_A & \swarrow \text{weak}_B \\
& I &
\end{array}$$

for any coalgebra morphism  $f : (cwA, \delta_A) \longrightarrow (cwB, \delta_B)$  between free coalgebras.

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $(\mathcal{L}, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra})$  be a Lambek category with  $!$ . Then the monoidal natural transformations **weak** and **contra** satisfy the following three conditions:*

1. Each  $(cwA, \text{weak}_A, \text{contra}_A)$  is a comonoid.
2.  $\text{weak}_A$  and  $\text{contra}_A$  are coalgebra morphisms.
3. Any coalgebra morphism  $f : (cwA, \delta_A) \longrightarrow (cwB, \delta_B)$  between free coalgebras preserves the comonoid structure given by **weak** and **contra**.

*Proof.* We first define **weak** and **contra**. Each of them can also be given two equivalent definitions:

- $\text{weak}_A : cwA \longrightarrow I$  is defined as in the diagram below. The left triangle commutes by the definition of  $\text{dist}$  and the right triangle commutes by the definition of  $\text{weak}^w$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & wcA & & \\
& \nearrow \text{dist}_A & \downarrow w\varepsilon_A^c & \searrow \text{weak}_{cA}^w & \\
cwA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{wA}^c} & wA & \xrightarrow{\text{weak}_A^w} & I
\end{array}$$

- $\text{contra}_A : cwA \longrightarrow cwA \otimes cwA$  is defined as below. The left part of the diagram commutes by the definitions of  $\text{contraL}$  and of  $\text{contraR}$ , and the right part commutes because  $\mathcal{L}$  is monoidal.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwA}^{-1}} & cwA \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\text{contraL}_{wA, I}} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA \\
\downarrow \lambda_{cwA}^{-1} & & & \searrow \alpha_{cwA, I, cwA} & \downarrow \rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{cwA} \\
I \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contraR}_{wA, I}} & cwA \otimes (I \otimes cwA) & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \lambda_{cwA}} & cwA \otimes cwA
\end{array}$$

Then we show each condition is satisfied.

1. Each  $(cwA, \text{weak}_A, \text{contra}_A)$  is a comonoid.

**Case 1:**

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_A} & cwA \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \text{contra}_A} & cwA \otimes (cwA \otimes cwA) \\
 \downarrow \text{contra}_A & & & & \uparrow \alpha_{cwA, cwA, cwA} \\
 cwA \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_A \otimes id_{cwA}} & (cwA \otimes cwA) \otimes cwA & & 
 \end{array}$$

The previous diagram commutes by the following diagram chasing.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_A} & cwA \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \rho_{cwA}^{-1}} & cwA \otimes (cwA \otimes I) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{contra}_A & \nearrow (1) & & & \downarrow id_{cwA} \otimes \text{contra}_{wA, I} & & \\
 cwA \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \lambda_{cwA}^{-1}} & cwA \otimes (I \otimes cwA) & & cwA \otimes ((cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA) & & \\
 \downarrow \rho_{cwA}^{-1} \otimes id_{cwA} & & \downarrow id_{cwA} \otimes \text{contra}_{wA, I} & \nearrow id_{cwA} \otimes \alpha_{cwA, I, cwA} & \downarrow id_{cwA} \otimes (\rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{cwA}) & & \\
 (cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA & & cwA \otimes (cwA \otimes (I \otimes cwA)) & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes (id_{cwA} \otimes \lambda_{cwA})} & cwA \otimes (cwA \otimes cwA) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{contra}_{wA, I} \otimes id_{cwA} & & & \nearrow (4) & \uparrow \alpha_{cwA, cwA, cwA} & & \\
 ((cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA) \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{(\rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{cwA}) \otimes id_{cwA}} & (cwA \otimes cwA) \otimes cwA & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

(1) commutes trivially and we would not expand contra for simplicity. (2) and (4) commute because  $(\mathcal{L}, c, \text{contraL}, \text{contraR})$  is a Lambek category with contraction. (3) commutes because  $\mathcal{L}$  is monoidal.

**Case 2:**

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & cwA & & \\
 & \swarrow \lambda^{-1} & \downarrow \text{contra}_A & \searrow \rho^{-1} & \\
 I \otimes cwA & \xleftarrow{\text{weak}_A \otimes id_{cwA}} & cwA \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \text{weak}_A} & cwA \otimes I
 \end{array}$$



The diagram above commutes by the following diagram chasing.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I \otimes cwA & \xleftarrow{\text{weak}_A^w \otimes id_{I \otimes cwA}} & wA \otimes cwA \\
\uparrow id_I \otimes \lambda_{cwA} & (2) & \uparrow id_{wA} \otimes \lambda_{cwA} \\
I \otimes (I \otimes cwA) & \xleftarrow{\text{weak}_A^w \otimes id_{I \otimes cwA}} & wA \otimes (I \otimes cwA) \\
\downarrow \lambda_{I \otimes cwA}^{-1} & (4) & \downarrow \varepsilon_{wA}^c \otimes id_{I \otimes cwA} \\
I \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_{wA, I}} & cwA \otimes (I \otimes cwA) \\
\downarrow \lambda_{cwA}^{-1} & (5) & \downarrow id_{cwA} \otimes \lambda_{cwA} \\
cwA & & cwA \otimes cwA \\
\downarrow \rho_{cwA}^{-1} & (6) & \downarrow \rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{cwA} \\
cwA \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_{wA, I}} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA \\
\downarrow \rho_{cwA}^{-1} & (9) & \downarrow id_{cwA \otimes I} \otimes \varepsilon_{wA}^c \\
(cwA \otimes I) \otimes I & \xleftarrow{id_{cwA \otimes I} \otimes \text{weak}_A^w} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes wA \\
\downarrow \rho_{cwA} \otimes id_I & (7) & \downarrow \rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{wA} \\
cwA \otimes I & \xleftarrow{id_{cwA} \otimes \text{weak}_A} & cwA \otimes wA
\end{array}$$

(1), (2) and (3) commute by the functionality of  $\lambda$ . (6), (7) and (8) commute by the functionality of  $\rho$ . (4) and (9) are conditions of the Lambek category with  $!$ . And (5) is the definition of  $\text{contra}$ .


- $\text{weak}$  and  $\text{contra}$  are coalgebra morphisms.

**Case 1:**


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{weak}_A} & I \\
\downarrow \delta_A & & \downarrow q_I \\
cwcwA & \xrightarrow{cw\text{weak}_A} & cwI
\end{array}$$

The previous diagram commutes by the diagram below. (1) commutes by the naturality of  $\delta^c$ . (2) commutes by the condition of  $\text{dist}_{wA}$ . (3), (5) and (6) commute because  $c$  is a monoidal comonad. (4) commutes because  $(\mathcal{L}, w, \text{weak}^w)$  is a Lambek category with weakening. (7) commutes be-


cause  $c$  and  $w$  are monoidal comonads.



**Case 2:**




To prove the previous diagram commute, we first expand it, Then we divide it into five parts as shown below, and prove each part commutes.




Part (a) and (b) are comonad laws.

Part (c) commutes by the following diagram chase. (1) is equality. (2) is the comonad law for  $w$ . (3) is the comonad law for  $c$ . (4) commutes by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ . (5) is one of the conditions for  $dist_{wA}$ . (6) commutes by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^w$ . And (7) commutes by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ .



Part (d) commutes by the following diagram chase. The upper two squares both commute by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^w$ , and the lower two squares commute by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ .



Part (e) commutes by the following diagram. (1) commutes by the condition of  $dist_{wA}$ . (2) and (4) commute by the naturality of  $\varepsilon^c$ . (3) and (5)

commute because  $w$  and  $c$  are monoidal comonads.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
cwA \otimes cwA & \xleftarrow{c\varepsilon_{wA}^w \otimes c\varepsilon_{wA}^w} & cw^2A \otimes cw^2A & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{cw^2A}^c \otimes \varepsilon_{cw^2A}^c} & c^2w^2A \otimes c^2w^2A \\
\uparrow \varepsilon_{cwA \otimes cwA}^w & & \downarrow \text{(1) } dist_{wA} \otimes dist_{wA} & & \downarrow cdist_{wA} \otimes cdist_{wA} \\
& & wcwA \otimes wcwA & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{wcwA}^c \otimes \varepsilon_{wcwA}^c} & cwcwA \otimes cwcwA \\
& \swarrow \text{(3) } q_{cwA, cwA}^w & \downarrow \text{(4) } \varepsilon_{wcwA \otimes wcwA}^c & \swarrow \text{(5) } q_{wcwA \otimes wcwA}^c & \downarrow \\
w(cwA \otimes cwA) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_{w(cwA \otimes cwA)}^c} & cw(cwA \otimes cwA) & \xleftarrow{cq_{cwA \otimes cwA}} & c(wcwA \otimes wcwA)
\end{array}$$

- Any coalgebra morphism  $f : (cwA, \delta_A) \longrightarrow (cwB, \delta_B)$  between free coalgebras preserves the comonoid structure given by weak and contra.

**Case 1:** This coherence diagram is given in the definition of the Lambek category with !.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{f} & cwB \\
& \searrow \text{weak}_A & \swarrow \text{weak}_B \\
& I & 
\end{array}$$

**Case 2:**

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_A} & cwA \otimes cwA \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \otimes f \\
cwB & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_B} & cwB \otimes cwB
\end{array}$$

The square commutes by the diagram chasing below, which commutes by the naturality of  $\rho$  and  $\text{contra}_L$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
cwA & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwA}^{-1}} & cwA \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_{L, wA, I}} & (cwA \otimes I) \otimes cwA & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwA} \otimes id_{cwA}} & cwA \otimes cwA \\
\downarrow cw.f & & \downarrow cw.f \otimes id_I & & \downarrow (cw.f \otimes id_I) \otimes cw.f & & \downarrow cw.f \otimes cw.f \\
cwB & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwB}^{-1}} & cwB \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\text{contra}_{L, wB, I}} & (cwB \otimes I) \otimes cwB & \xrightarrow{\rho_{cwB} \otimes id_{cwB}} & cwB \otimes cwB
\end{array}$$

□

**Definition 20.** A Lambek category with exchange,  $(\mathcal{L}, e, \otimes)$ , is specified by

- a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes)$ ,
- a monoidal comonad  $(e, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $q_{A,B} : eA \otimes eA \longrightarrow e(A \otimes B)$  and  $q_I : I \longrightarrow eI$ , and
- a monoidal natural isomorphism  $\text{ex}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with components  $\text{ex}_{A,B} : eA \otimes eB \longrightarrow eB \otimes eA$ ,

subject to the following coherence condition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 eA \otimes eB & \xlongequal{\quad} & eA \otimes eB \\
 \searrow \text{ex}_{A,B} & & \nearrow \text{ex}_{B,A} \\
 & eB \otimes eA &
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 21.** Given two comonads  $(cw, \varepsilon^{cw}, \delta^{cw})$  and  $(e, \varepsilon^e, \delta^e)$  on a category  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $(\mathcal{L}, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra})$  is a Lambek category with  $cw$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, e, \text{ex})$  is a Lambek category with exchange, we define a **distributive law for exchange** of  $cw$  over  $e$  to be a natural isomorphism with components  $\text{distEx}_A : cweA \longrightarrow ecwA$ , subject to the following coherence diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 eA & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon^{cw}_{eA}} & cweA \\
 \swarrow e\varepsilon^{cw}_A & & \searrow \text{distEx}_A \\
 & e!A &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 cwA & \xleftarrow{cwe^e_A} & cweA \\
 \swarrow \varepsilon^e_{cwA} & & \searrow \text{distEx}_A \\
 & ecwA &
 \end{array}$$

Same as the distributive law  $\text{dist}$ , the following digrams also commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 cwecwA & \xrightarrow{cwe\delta^{cw}_A} & cwe(cw)^2A \\
 \searrow \delta^{cw}_{cweA} & & \nearrow cw\text{distEx}_{cwA} \\
 & (cw)^2ecwA &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 ecweA & \xrightarrow{ecw\delta^e_A} & ecwe^2A \\
 \searrow \delta^e_{ecweA} & & \nearrow e\text{distEx}_{eA} \\
 & e^2cweA &
 \end{array}$$

Notice the difference between  $\text{dist}$  of  $c$  over  $w$  and  $\text{distEx}$  of  $cw$  over  $e$ . While  $\text{dist}$  is a natural transformation,  $\text{distEx}$  is a natural isomorphism.

**Lemma 22.** let  $(cw, \varepsilon^{cw}, \delta^{cw})$  and  $(e, \varepsilon^e, \delta^e)$  be two monoidal comonads on a Lambek category with  $cw$  and exchange  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra}, e, \text{ex})$ . Then the composition of  $cw$  and  $e$  using the distributive law for exchange  $\text{distEx}_A : cweA \longrightarrow ecwA$  is a monoidal comonad  $(cwe, \varepsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(cw, \varepsilon^{cw}, \delta^{cw})$  and  $(e, \varepsilon^e, \delta^e)$  are monoidal comonads, and  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra}, e, \text{ex})$  is a Lambek category with  $cw$  and exchange. Since by definition  $cw, e : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$  are monoidal functors, we know that their composition

$cwe : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a monoidal functor:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{A,B} &: cweA \otimes cweB \longrightarrow cwe(A \otimes B) \\ q_{A,B} &= cwq_{A,B}^e \circ q_{eA,eB}^{cw} \\ q_I &: I \longrightarrow cweI \\ q_I &= cwq_I^e \circ q_I^{cw} \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the proof of Lemma 17, each of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  can be given two equivalent definitions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} cweA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{eA}^{cw}} & eA \\ \downarrow cw\varepsilon_A^e & & \downarrow \varepsilon_A^e \\ cwA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_A^{cw}} & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} cweA & \xrightarrow{cw\delta_A^{cw}} & cwe^2A & \xrightarrow{\delta_{e^2A}^{cw}} & (cw)^2e^2A \\ \downarrow \delta_{eA}^{cw} & & \downarrow \delta_{e^2A}^{cw} & & \downarrow cdist_{eA} \\ (cw)^2eA & \xrightarrow{(cw)^2\delta_A^e} & (cw)^2e^2A & \xrightarrow{cdist_{eA}} & cwecweA \end{array}$$

And the comonad laws can be proved similarly, which we will not elaborate for simplicity.  $\square$

**Definition 23.** A *split linear category*  $(\mathcal{L}, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra}, e, \text{ex})$  is specified by

- a biclosed monoidal category  $(\mathcal{L}, I, \otimes)$ ;
- a monoidal comonad  $(cw, \varepsilon^{cw}, \delta^{cw})$  with monoidal natural transformations  $\text{weak}$  and  $\text{contra}$  s.t.  $(\mathcal{L}, cw, \text{weak}, \text{contra})$  is a Lambek category with  $cw$ ;
- a monoidal comonad  $(e, \varepsilon^e, \delta^e)$  with monoidal natural transformations  $\text{ex}$  s.t.  $(\mathcal{L}, e, \text{ex})$  is a Lambek category with exchange;
- a natural isomorphism with components  $\text{distEx}_A : cweA \longrightarrow ecwA$ .

**Lemma 24.** Let  $(\mathcal{L}, !, \text{weak}^L, \text{contra}^L)$  be a linear category and  $(\mathcal{S}, cw, \text{weak}^S, \text{contra}^S, e, \text{ex}^S)$  be a split linear category. Then there is an embedding from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e. there is a full and faithful functor  $F : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\mathcal{L}, !, \text{weak}^L, \text{contra}^L)$  is a linear category and  $(\mathcal{S}, cw, \text{weak}^S, \text{contra}^S, e, \text{ex}^S)$  is a split linear category. Let  $F : \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be a functor from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  defined as follows:

- $F(I_L) = I_S$ , where  $I_L$  is the identity object for  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $I_S$  is the identity object for  $\mathcal{S}$ ;
- $F(A \otimes B) = eF(A) \otimes eF(B)$  for any objects  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ;
- $F(A \multimap B) = F(A) \multimap F(B)$ ;
- $F(!A) = cwF(A)$ ;

$\square$

## **2 Related Work**

TODO

## **3 Conclusion**

TODO

## **References**

- [1] P. N. Benton. A mixed linear and non-linear logic: Proofs, terms and models (preliminary report). Technical Report UCAM-CL-TR-352, University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory, 1994. Accessible online at <http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/nick/mixed3.ps>.