



Noto Sans Osage Regular

2020-09-26 - 14:41.52
14:41.52

Print this page

uni0000		
uni000D		
SPACE uni0020		
NO-BREAK SPACE uni00A0		
COMBINING ACUTE ACCENT uni0301		
COMBINING MACRON uni0304		
COMBINING DOUBLE ACUTE ACCENT uni030B		
COMBINING DOT ABOVE RIGHT uni0358		
DOTTED CIRCLE uni25CC		
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER A uni104B0		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER AI uni104B1		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER AIN uni104B2		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER AH uni104B3		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER BRA uni104B4		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER CHA uni104B5		ᐱ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EHCHA uni104B6		ᐱ

OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER E uni104B7	Ა
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EIN uni104B8	Ბ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER HA uni104B9	Გ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER HYA uni104BA	Დ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER I uni104BB	Ე
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER KA uni104BC	Ვ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EHKA uni104BD	Ზ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER KYA uni104BE	Თ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER LA uni104BF	Ი
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER MA uni104C0	Კ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER NA uni104C1	Ლ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER O uni104C2	Მ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER OIN uni104C3	Ნ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER PA uni104C4	Ო
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EHPA uni104C5	Პ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER SA uni104C6	Ჟ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER SHA uni104C7	Რ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER TA uni104C8	Ს
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EHTA uni104C9	Ტ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER TSA uni104CA	Უ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER EHTSA uni104CB	Ფ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER TSHA uni104CC	Ქ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER DHA uni104CD	Ღ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER U uni104CE	Ყ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER WA uni104CF	Შ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER KHA uni104D0	Ჩ
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER GHA uni104D1	Ც
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER ZA	

uni104D2	7
OSAGE CAPITAL LETTER ZHA uni104D3	Ƨ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER A uni104D8	Λ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER AI uni104D9	ℒ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER AIN uni104DA	ℓ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER AH uni104DB	λ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER BRA uni104DC	℞
OSAGE SMALL LETTER CHA uni104DD	℥
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EHCHA uni104DE	℥̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER E uni104DF	α
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EIN uni104E0	ɑ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER HA uni104E1	℣
OSAGE SMALL LETTER HYA uni104E2	℣̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER I uni104E3	ŋ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER KA uni104E4	ƙ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EHKA uni104E5	ƙ̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER KYA uni104E6	ƙ̥
OSAGE SMALL LETTER LA uni104E7	ℭ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER MA uni104E8	℞
OSAGE SMALL LETTER NA uni104E9	℔
OSAGE SMALL LETTER O uni104EA	Ɔ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER OIN uni104EB	⊖
OSAGE SMALL LETTER PA uni104EC	Ɔ̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EHPA uni104ED	Ɔ̥
OSAGE SMALL LETTER SA uni104EE	Ɔ̥̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER SHA uni104EF	Ɔ̥̇̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER TA uni104F0	Ɔ̥̇̇̇
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EHTA	

uni104F1		Đ
		Ɖ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER TSA uni104F2		
OSAGE SMALL LETTER EHTSA uni104F3		Ɛ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER TSHA uni104F4		Ɔ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER DHA uni104F5		Ƨ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER U uni104F6		Ʊ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER WA uni104F7		Ʒ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER KHA uni104F8		ƹ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER GHA uni104F9		ƺ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER ZA uni104FA		ƻ
OSAGE SMALL LETTER ZHA uni104FB		Ƽ
vertbar Cannot display because feature tag is missing in name.	?	?
horizbar1 Cannot display because feature tag is missing in name.	?	?
horizbar2 Cannot display because feature tag is missing in name.	?	?

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million (from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995) and the number of people in the private sector has increased by 1.5 million (from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995).

There is a growing emphasis on the need for the public sector to be more cost-effective and to provide better value for money. This has led to a number of initiatives to improve the efficiency of the public sector, including the introduction of competition and the use of private sector management techniques.

One of the main reasons for the need for reform is the increasing pressure on public sector budgets. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing cost of health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

Another reason for the need for reform is the increasing demand for better services. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing demand for health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

The need for reform is also driven by the need to improve the efficiency of the public sector. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing cost of health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

There are a number of ways in which the public sector can be reformed. These include the introduction of competition, the use of private sector management techniques, and the restructuring of the public sector.

The introduction of competition is one of the most common ways in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the introduction of private sector companies to provide public services, or the introduction of competition between public sector companies.

The use of private sector management techniques is another common way in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the application of private sector management techniques to the public sector, such as the use of performance indicators and the introduction of competition.

The restructuring of the public sector is another common way in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the merging of public sector companies, the divestiture of public sector assets, and the creation of new public sector companies.

There are a number of advantages to each of these methods of reform. The introduction of competition can lead to lower costs and better services. The use of private sector management techniques can lead to improved efficiency and better value for money. The restructuring of the public sector can lead to a more streamlined and efficient public sector.

However, there are also a number of disadvantages to each of these methods of reform. The introduction of competition can lead to the loss of jobs and the erosion of public services. The use of private sector management techniques can lead to the loss of public sector autonomy and the erosion of public sector values. The restructuring of the public sector can lead to the loss of public sector assets and the erosion of public sector services.

Therefore, it is important to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each method of reform before implementing any reforms to the public sector.

One of the main advantages of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to lower costs and better services. This is because private sector companies are often more cost-effective than public sector companies, and they are often more responsive to the needs of the public.

Another advantage of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to the creation of new jobs. This is because private sector companies often need to hire more staff than public sector companies, and they often need to hire more staff than public sector companies.

However, there are also a number of disadvantages to the introduction of competition. One of the main disadvantages is that it can lead to the loss of jobs. This is because public sector companies often have a monopoly on the provision of public services, and they often have a large number of staff.

Another disadvantage of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to the erosion of public services. This is because private sector companies often have a profit motive, and they often have a tendency to cut costs and reduce the quality of services in order to increase their profits.

Therefore, it is important to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each method of reform before implementing any reforms to the public sector.

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million (from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995) and the number of people in the private sector has increased by 1.5 million (from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995).

There is a growing emphasis on the need for the public sector to be more cost-effective and to provide better value for money. This has led to a number of initiatives to improve the efficiency of the public sector, including the introduction of competition and the use of private sector management techniques.

One of the main reasons for the need for reform is the increasing pressure on public sector budgets. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing cost of health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

Another reason for the need for reform is the increasing demand for better services. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing demand for health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

The need for reform is also driven by the need to improve the efficiency of the public sector. This is due to a number of factors, including the increasing cost of health care, education and social services, and the need to provide better services to an ageing population.

There are a number of ways in which the public sector can be reformed. These include the introduction of competition, the use of private sector management techniques, and the restructuring of the public sector.

The introduction of competition is one of the most common ways in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the introduction of private sector companies to provide public services, or the introduction of competition between public sector companies.

The use of private sector management techniques is another common way in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the application of private sector management techniques to the public sector, such as the use of performance indicators and the introduction of competition.

The restructuring of the public sector is another common way in which the public sector is reformed. This involves the merging of public sector companies, the divestiture of public sector assets, and the creation of new public sector companies.

There are a number of advantages to each of these methods of reform. The introduction of competition can lead to lower costs and better services. The use of private sector management techniques can lead to improved efficiency and better value for money. The restructuring of the public sector can lead to a more streamlined and efficient public sector.

However, there are also a number of disadvantages to each of these methods of reform. The introduction of competition can lead to the loss of jobs and the erosion of public services. The use of private sector management techniques can lead to the loss of public sector autonomy and the erosion of public sector values. The restructuring of the public sector can lead to the loss of public sector assets and the erosion of public sector services.

Therefore, it is important to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each method of reform before implementing any reforms to the public sector.

One of the main advantages of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to lower costs and better services. This is because private sector companies are often more cost-effective than public sector companies, and they are often more responsive to the needs of the public.

Another advantage of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to the creation of new jobs. This is because private sector companies often need to hire more staff than public sector companies, and they often need to hire more staff than public sector companies.

However, there are also a number of disadvantages to the introduction of competition. One of the main disadvantages is that it can lead to the loss of jobs. This is because public sector companies often have a monopoly on the provision of public services, and they often have a large number of staff.

Another disadvantage of the introduction of competition is that it can lead to the erosion of public services. This is because private sector companies often have a profit motive, and they often have a tendency to cut costs and reduce the quality of services in order to increase their profits.

Therefore, it is important to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each method of reform before implementing any reforms to the public sector.

