Reading: Approximate Groups

Lemma 2.5.1 Let G be a arbitrary group and let $A \subset G$ b a finite subset with $|A^2| \leq K|A|$. Then $|A^{-1}A| \leq K^2|A|$ and $|AA^{-1}| \leq K^2|A|$.

This is generalization to non-abelian groups, only for $m = 1$ and $n = 1$

Theorem 2.3.1 Let G be an abelian group and let A, B be finite subsets of G.

- suppose that $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ then $|mA - nA| \leq K^{m+n}|A|$.
- if $|A + A| \leq K|A|$ then $|mA - nA| \leq K^{m+n}|A|$.

for all non-negatve integers m, n.

These looking into the axioms of group theory. There are several instances of group theory that we encounter in other branches of mathemamtics:

- permutation groups
  ABCDE $\to$ BCDEA $\to$ CDEAB $\to$ DEABC $\to$ EABCD $\to [\dots]$
- groups of substutions, e.g. $x \mapsto 3x + 2y$ and $y \mapsto 4x + 3y$
- groups of transformations of physical objects (e.g. symmetries of square)

These symmetries in general were approximate since there was an enormous amount of work move and old the objects in a perfect evenly spaced circle.

Triangle inequality Let U, V, W be subsets of a group. There exists an injection:

$$\phi : U \times V^{-1}W \to UV \times UW$$

In particular if U, V, W are finite then $|U| \times |V^{-1}W| \leq |UV||UW|$.

We're left wondering why the theorem is formatted in this particular way. The proof use basic notions of algebra like "function" an "inverse" and "injection".

- $v : V^{-1}W \to V$ and $w : V^{-1}W \to W$ under then constraint that $x \in V^{-1}W$ leads to $x = v(x)^{-1}w(x)$.
- set $\phi(u, x) = (uv(x), uw(x))$.

- check that ϕ is injective
  - $(uv(x))^{-1}(uw(x)) = v(x)^{-1}w(x) = x$ so that x is **uniquely** determined by $\phi(u, x)$.
  - $(uv(x))v(x)^{-1} = u$ so that u is uniquely determined by $\phi(u, x)$ and x.

  The triangle ineqality has a logarithmic form:

$$\log \frac{|V^{-1}W|}{|V|^{1/2}|W|^{1/2}} \leq \log \frac{|U^{-1}V|}{|U|^{1/2}|V|^{1/2}} + \log \frac{|U^{-1}W|}{|U|^{1/2}|W|^{1/2}}$$

Rusza's triangle inequality was appliled with all three set's being identical $U = V = W = A$. In fact, that's the entire argument.

References

[1] ...