

# Scratchwork: Induced Representations

The quaternions are a number system defined by three rules of multiplication. They generalize complex numbers:

$$1 \times 1 = 1 \text{ and } i \times j = k \text{ and } i \times i = -1$$

These multiplications can we completed to form a group of order 8.

$\times$	1	i	j	k	-1	-i	-j	-k
1	1	i	j	k	-1	-i	-j	-k
i	i	-1	k	-j	-i	1	-k	j
j	j	-k	-1	i	-j	k	1	-i
k	k	-j	-i	1	-k	j	i	-1
-1	-1	-i	-j	-k	1	i	j	k
-i	-i	1	-k	j	i	-1	-k	-j
-j	-j	k	1	-i	j	-k	-1	i
-k	-k	j	i	-1	k	-j	-i	1

It looks like there are eight things being multiplied, so we made an  $8 \times 8$  table. There are eight things being permuted in 8 possible ways:

$$\{1, i, j, k, -1, -i, -j, -k\}$$

It may even be possible to whittle this down to four - with the inclusion of a minus sign ( $-1$ ).

$$-1 \times 1 = -1$$

$$-1 \times i = -i$$

$$-1 \times j = -j$$

$$-1 \times k = -k$$

Cayley's Theorem says every group can be placed into a permutation group. We could call the elements of this group  $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ .

$$1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

and now we replace with different rows of the multiplication table:

$$i \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$j \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 4 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$k \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 4 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rule for  $(-1)$  looks a little bit complicated. For the time being switch the first and second half.

$$1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

There's even other ways of representing the quaternion group. Here's the more usual  $2 \times 2$  matrices (in case you're scared of Quaternion objects).

$$\mathbf{1} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{i} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{j} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It could even be instructive to write out the full  $8 \times 8$  matrices:

$$\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

That one was not too informative let's try the other three.

$$\mathbf{i} \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\ \hline . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\ . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and } \mathbf{j} \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\ . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\ . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ \hline . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and } \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ \hline . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \\ . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \end{array} \right]$$

Do we lose any information by writing them as  $4 \times 4$  matrices?

$$\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & . & . & . \\ . & 1 & . & . \\ . & . & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } -\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -1 & . & . & . \\ . & -1 & . & . \\ . & . & -1 & . \\ . & . & . & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } \mathbf{i} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} . & 1 & . & . \\ -1 & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 1 \\ . & . & -1 & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } \mathbf{j} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} . & . & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & -1 \\ -1 & . & . & . \\ . & 1 & . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

So we've now found three different representations of the quaternion algebra as matrices of various sizes  $2 \times 2$  and  $4 \times 4$  and  $8 \times 8$ . It seems like we can keep going...

## References

[1] ...

[2] Sir William Rowan Hamilton

**Elements of quaternions** <https://archive.org/details/elementsofquater00hamirich>

**Lectures on quaternions** <https://archive.org/details/lecturesonquater00hami>

**9/13** At this moment, we're going to invoke the machinery of Group Representations. We know for a fact there are 4 irreducible one-dimensional group representations.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{1} &\xrightarrow{\phi} 1 \in \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbf{i} &\mapsto \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm i \in \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbf{j} &\mapsto \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm i \in \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbf{k} &\mapsto \phi(\mathbf{i}) \times \phi(\mathbf{k})\end{aligned}$$

and one more representation as  $2 \times 2$  matrices, which are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  as well.

$$\mathbf{1} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{i} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{j} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and then we are told, in a sense, we have all the group representations we will ever need.

$$|Q_8| = 8 = 2 \times 2 + 4 \cdot (1 \times 1) = \dim(2 \times 2) + 4 \cdot \dim(1 \times 1)$$

Here's what Wikipedia has to say about Schur's Lemma:

In mathematics, Schur's lemma is an elementary but extremely useful statement in representation theory of groups and algebras. In the group case it says that if  $M$  and  $N$  are two finite-dimensional irreducible representations of a group  $G$  and  $\phi$  is a linear transformation from  $M$  to  $N$  that commutes with the action of the group, then either  $\phi$  is invertible, or  $\phi = 0$ . An important special case occurs when  $M = N$  and  $\phi$  is a self-map.

To call Schur's lemma "elementary" is to risk missing an opportunity, I think. Nope I don't believe it for second.

How can I cast doubt? Here's a brand new representation I just made up, using polynomials. Any  $2 \times 2$  matrix becomes a map linear map

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : (x, y) \mapsto (ax + by, cx + dy)$$

This can be done to polynomials as well. Let's only allow quadratic terms  $x^2, xy, y^2$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &\mapsto (ax + by)^2 \\ xy &\mapsto (ax + by)(cx + dy) \\ y^2 &\mapsto (cx + dy)^2\end{aligned}$$

This linear map preserves the vector space of polynomials  $\mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2]$ . So we have a three-dimensional representation of the quaternions.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{i} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x^2 \mapsto -x^2 \\ xy \mapsto -xy \\ y^2 \mapsto -y^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{j} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x^2 \mapsto y^2 \\ xy \mapsto xy \\ y^2 \mapsto x^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{k} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x^2 \mapsto y^2 \\ xy \mapsto xy \\ y^2 \mapsto x^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Schur's Lemma tells us our  $3 \times 3$  representation is a direct sum of 1D representations  $\mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2] = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ .

## References

- [1] William Fulton **Representation Theory: A First Course** Springer, 1991.
- [2] Benjamin Steinberg **Representation Theory of Finite Groups**
- [3] Ben Green **What is... an Approximate Group**