## Tune-Up: Fubini Theorem

What is additive combinatorics and can we extract more common-sense statements from them? For the time being, these are stated in a somewhat sophisticated manner.

These two exercises, require Lebesgue integrals (that's how they are stated).

**Ex #1** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(x)f(x+t)dxdt \le ||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$

Hint: Fubini Theorem. Here, "\int " is Lebesgue integral.

**Ex #2** For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)f(x+t)dx \le ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

Hint: Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

The author is at Yale (this is the same department as Grigori Margulis and Richard Kenyon, for example.) Here's the toy example have about the autocorrelation of functions.

**Proposition** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$  Then

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)f(x+t)dt - \chi_{[-1,1]}(t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \ge \frac{3}{10}$$

Hint: The Fourier transform is unitary.

**Analogy** A set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is a difference basis with respect to n if

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq A - A$$

What is the minimum size of A? This is an example due to Hungarian mathematicians Redei and Renyi. Here is the trivial estimate:

$$n = \#\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \le \#((A - A) \cap \mathbb{N}) \le {|A| \choose 2} \le {|A|^2 \choose 2}$$

therfore the size of the mimimum set is  $|A| \ge \sqrt{2n}$ .

**Exercise** (Half-of-Fubini) Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)f(x+t)dxdt \le 0.5||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$

What are the reasonable choices of f ? This type of number theory is describing patterns that we find spread out in physical space, and frequency space and interactions.

If we look at the other result...

**Exercise** For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $0 \le x_n \le 1$  of independent, uniformly distributed random variables then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\{ 1 \le m \ne n \le N : |x_m - x_n| \le \frac{s}{N} \right\} = 2s$$

This is known as "Poissonian pair correlation" in the literature.

Our sequence of numbers  $x_n$  is **not** random, in fact maybe a deterministic or pseudo-random sequence.

Pair correlation is one of the measures being used to count "coincidences" of a general kind, that could happen in a dynamical system. So this result explores connection between two concepts:

$$[uniform\ distribution] \xleftarrow{?} [Poisson\ pair\ correlation]$$

There are three separate statements that are considered:

• Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence on [0,1]. For all s>0:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \le m \ne n \le N : |x_m - x_n| \le \frac{s}{N} \right\} = 2s$$

then the sequence is uniformly distributed.

• Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence on [0,1]. For all s>0:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \le m \ne n \le N : ||x_m - x_n||_{\infty} \le \frac{s}{N} \right\} = 2s$$

then the sequence is uniformly distributed.

• Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence on [0,1]. For all s>0:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \le m \ne n \le N : ||x_m - x_n||_2 \le \frac{s}{N} \right\} = 2s$$

Then the sequence is uniformly distributed. What does this say about numbers, and number sequences? And why do we need three different statements? Where did the classical Mathematics go?

## References

- [1] Richard C. Barnard, Stefan Steinerberger. Three Convolution Inequalities on the Real Line with Connections to Additive Combinatorics Journal of Number Theory 207 (2020) 42-55.
- [2] Stefan Steinerberger Poissonian Pair Correlation in Higher Dimensions Journal of Number Theory 208 (2020) 47-58.
- [3] Elias Stein Real Analysis: Measure Theory, Integration and Hilbert Spaces (Princeton Lectures in Analysis, III) Princeton University Press, 2005.
- [4] Yitzak Katznelson Harmonic Analysis Dovr Publications, 1976.
- [5] Manfred Einsiedler, Thomas Ward **Ergodic Theory with a View Towards Number Theory** (GTM #259) Springer, 2011.