Scratchwork: Proof of Roth's Theorem

Let's review two different statement's of Roth's Theorem.

 $\#\mathbf{1}$ Suppose that $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ contains no non-trivial three-term arithmetic progressions then

$$|A| = O\left(\frac{N(\log\log N)^5}{\log N}\right)$$

#2 Let A be a subset of the integers \mathbb{Z} whose upper density

$$\overline{\delta}(A) := \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap [-N, N]|}{2N + 1}$$

is positive. Then A contains infinitely many arithmetic progressions a, a+r, a+2r of length three with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and r > 0.

This theorem seems to be an example – a vehicle – for discussing Fourier analysis with it's advantages and limitations. The starting point in all three arguments is usually to take three observables f,g,h and to compute an average of some kind:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(a)g(a+r)h(a+2r)] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(\alpha)\,\widehat{g}(-2\alpha)\,\widehat{h}(\alpha)$$

The Fourier estimates that are done, are surprising but tend to be complete within a few pages.

The statements are totally different. One is quantitative and the other is fairly soft. One difficulty in using this theorem is that these sequences of numbers could be literally anything, as long as something is recurrent. Obtaining a number sequence that was *relevant* to a problem at hand is a challenge.¹

The 4AP version of this requires **Ergodic Theory** or **Quadratic Fourier Analysis**.

It seems like an overstatement to say that Fourier Analysis is equivalent to counting three-term arithmetic sequences in a data set. And I lacked the imagination to find sequences $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ that really test these theorems. Is Algebra just a game of semantics? The construct of \mathbb{Z} is an ideal or an average of the different types of modelling that goes on in Engineering or Science or Political Theory or Music.

The existence of these papers is convincing enough to me that somebody check this theory is consistent. I'm at least trying to use Higher Order Fourier Analysis to solve other problems in Number Theory.

¹Since most of number theory is not stated in this way. So it's not clear if this is just a nice market or if this is something we can always do.

Example The Prime Number Theorem could be stated as a sum of the van Mangoldt function

$$\sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) = x (1 + o(1))$$

The object on the left is a step-function of some kind and proofs of this theorem use the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and Mellin Transforms. Can we experiment with different consequences of this linearity?

$$\sum_{x_0 \le m \le x_1} \sum_{y_0 \le n \le y_1} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n) = (x_1 - x_0)(y_1 - y_0) (1 + o(1))$$

Here I have taken the cartesian product of the range of the primes and obtained something bi-linear.

$$(1+o(1))^2 = 1 + o(1)$$

Looks a tiny bit like scheme theory. Since the primes are evenly spaced, can we shift the primes in one interval to another?

$$\sum_{m \le x} \left[\Lambda(m+a) - \Lambda(m+b) \right] = \sum_{a < m < a+x} \Lambda(m) - \sum_{b < m < b+x} \Lambda(m) = x (1 + o(1)) - x (1 + o(1)) = o(x)$$

The counting measure on one interval was linear and the counting measure on the other interval was a negative line, so the total measure on this space should be close to zero everywhere.

Example Another statement that uses the prime number theorem is to say the Farey Fractions $\mathfrak{F}_N = \{0 < \frac{a}{c} < 1 : \gcd(a,c)=1\} \subseteq [0,1]$ are <u>uniformly</u> dense in the number line.² Could the arguments from Roth's Theorem be used to solve a problem like this?

There is also $\overline{\{\frac{p}{q}:p< q\}}=[0,1]$ that fractions made of primes are (only) dense in [0,1]. And for Gaussian primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

This is time for a small dose of algebraic geometry that we're dealing with the affine line \mathbb{A} over over \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and the density of points there. And the theory of **height functions**. E.g. $ht(\frac{3}{5}) = max(|3|, |5|) = 5$.

References

- [1] Tom Sanders **On Roth's theorem on progressions** arXiv:1011.0104 Annals of Mathematics (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 619-636
- [2] Ernie Croot, Olof Sisask. A probabilistic technique for finding almost-periods of convolutions arXiv:1003.2978 Geometry and Functional Analysis 20 (2010), 1367-1396.
- [3] Ben Green Approximate groups and their applications arXiv:0911.3354
- [4] WT Gowers **Generalizations of Fourier analysis, and how to apply them** arXiv:1608.04127 Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol 54 #1 (2017) 1-44.
- [5] Terence Tao **Higher Order Fourier Analysis** (Graduate Studies in Fourier Analysis #142) https://terrytao.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/higher-book.pdf
- [6] Andrea D'Agnolo, Masaki Kashiwara **A** microlocal approach to the enhanced Fourier-Sato transform in dimension one arXiv:1709.03579

²Martin Huxley **On the Distribution of Farey Points I** Acta Arithmetica Vol. 18 # 1 281-287. https://eudml.org/doc/204990

9/11 The idea of doing "Fourier Analysis" on sets other than lattices is credited to Jean Bourgain around the year 2000. We start to work on the next best thing: a *Bohr set*. Let G be a finite Abelian group, and $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be a set of frequencies (which are elements of the dual group). $\delta \in [0,2]$.

$$B = \{x \in G : |1 - \gamma(x)| \le \delta_{\gamma} \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

Sanders expects some maturity on part of is readers. Let's try $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and therefore also $\widehat{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Try $\delta \in \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Gamma = \{n_1, n_2\}$ for two numbers $0 \le m, n < p$.

$$B = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} : \left| 1 - e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{p}x} \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cap \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} : \left| 1 - e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{p}x} \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

In fact we could also have $G=\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and the dual group \widehat{G} is the same group. The **rank** is the size of Γ and here the rank is $k=|\Gamma|=|\{n_1,n_2\}|=2$. Sanders defines a dimension of a Bohr set:

$$\mu_G(B_{2\rho}) \leq 2^d \mu_G(B_{\rho})$$
 for all $\rho \in (0,1]$

so we'd like to know how the "measure" of the set B_{ρ} grows with the size of the dots in the points around B_1 . This looks like a moot point if we set μ_G to be the counting measure. In fact, Sanders says let μ_G is the Haar probability measre on G.

Is there a case of a Bohr sets where the rank is different than its dimension?

Do we need to read to carefully if G is a finite Abelian group? In that case, $L^1(G) \simeq L^2(G)$. They are these same finite dimensional vector space, but we have different norms $||v||_1 \asymp ||v||_2$ for any $v \in L^1(G)$.

I'll skip his definition of regularity for the moment. Maybe we can parrot the definitions of spectrum and relative entropy. Let μ be a probablity measure on G (not just Haar measure) and $\epsilon \in (0,1]$. The spectrum of f with respect to μ is

 $\operatorname{Spec}_{\epsilon}(f,\mu) := \left\{ \gamma \in \widehat{G} : |(f \, d\mu)^{\wedge}(\gamma)| \ge \epsilon ||f||_{L^{1}(\mu)} \right\}$

Here is a definition of entropy that's different than Shannon entropy. Here it is the size of the largest subset (of frequencies) $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$

$$\int \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\omega(\lambda)\lambda \right) d\mu \le \exp K \text{ for all } \omega : \Lambda \to \mathbb{D}$$

Sanders continues with some gestures with how rank and entropy are related to Bohr sets. It is written in an extremely dense way that could take some time to unpack.

 $\textbf{Thm If } f \neq 0 \text{ and } f \in L^1(\mu) \text{ then } \mathrm{Spec}_{\epsilon}(f,\mu) \text{ has } (1,\mu) \text{ has relative entropy } O(\epsilon^{-2}\log 2||f||_{L^2(\mu)}||f||_{L^1(\mu)}^{-1}.$

How do we feel about an expression like that?

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\log \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - \log(|a| + |b|) \right]$$

Just to define numbers this way is saying that Bohr sets could have very complicated spectra, unusual fractal properties and irregular counts of 3-AP's.

Example Using alternative definition $\{\lfloor n\sqrt{2}\rfloor:n\in\mathbb{Z}\}=1,2,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,14\dots$ is a Bohr set of \mathbb{Z} .

References

- [1] Tom Sanders **On Roth's theorem on progressions** arXiv:1011.0104 Annals of Mathematics (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 619-636
- [2] Ernie Croot, Olof Sisask. A probabilistic technique for finding almost-periods of convolutions arXiv:1003.2978 Geometry and Functional Analysis 20 (2010), 1367-1396.
- [3] Loukas Grafakos Classical Fourier Analysis/Modern Fourier Analysis GTM #249/250. Springer, 2014.