## Scratchwork: Basic Theorems of Lebesgue Integration

9/20 Why do we need Riemann integration or even Lebesgue integration. Here's an integral formula:

$$\int_0^1 x^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{3}$$

In calculus class we just memorize a rule:  $\int : x^n \to \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}$  and apply the formula. Here's one more:

$$\int_0^x \cos x \, dx = \sin x$$

So there is a second rule  $\int :\cos x\mapsto \sin x$ . Once we buy into these one or two or a dozen rules, we can corner ourselves very quickly. **Ex**: Show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \left(\sin Nx\right)^2 dx \to \pi f(x)$$

The formula looks right, we have that  $0 < \sin^2 x < 1$  and it oscillates fairly evenly so the average should be  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Riemann integration was already a formality, Lebesgue integration was an even bigger formality. Here's a common-sense looking theorem that requires Lebesgue integration.

**Problem**: Exchange integration and summation. Let  $f_k$  be a sequence of  $L^1$  integrable functions such that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty}|f_j|<\infty$ . Then  $\sum f_k$  converges (almost everywhere) to a function in  $L^1$  and

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int f_k(x) dx$$

If the function sequences look forbidding, let  $f_n(x) = a_n \sin nx$  with  $0 \le x \le 1$ . We want to know if

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(2\pi nx) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \cos(2\pi nx)$$

There's even an easy choice  $a_n = \frac{1}{\pi k}$ . We obtain the sawtooth wave:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi mx}{\pi k} = \frac{1}{2} - \{x\}$$

It's the only one we ever study. Despite it's usefulness in music, I'm mostly concered about it's arithmetic and geometric properties. This "=" sign is really shaky too. At  $x=\pi$ 

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{0 \pm \epsilon}{\pi k} \approx 0 \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)$$

Fortunately for us, nature (and certainly Mathemtical Physics) will offer us examples of natural processes that require Lebesgue theory to understand.

Problem When do limits and integrals converge?

$$\int f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu$$

If we look on the previous page of the Lebesgue theory textbook, we get conditions when this common-sense formula might work:

- $f_n$  is a sequence of  $L^1$  functins (Lebesgue integrable) with  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere.
- There's a (non-negative) function  $g \ge 0$  such that  $|f_n| \le g$  (almost everywhere).

Certainly the authors of these textbooks have lost their minds, and they are writing such a textbook for their health.

**Thm** with these conditions, the limit  $f \in L^1$  and  $\int f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n$ .

If we ever want this common-sense result to whole we need to more results from Lebesgue integration theory:

- Dominated Convergence Theorem
- Fatou's Lemma
- Monotone Convergence Theorem

Lebesgue measure is impossible to construct. Half of probability (e.g. the Law of Large Numbers) is showing that a measure either converges to Lebesgue measure or to a point (or to a Gaussian centered at that point).

**Example** Here's a set that requires measure theory to even try to estimate the size of. Let  $\alpha = \sqrt[4]{2}$  and consider the set  $\{(m,n): 0 < m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} \, n^2 < X\}$ . Here is a measure we could study:

$$\mu(x) = \sum 1_{m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} n^2}(x)$$

There were two possible ways to write this set. Any major differences?

- $\{m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} n^2 : 0 \le m, n \le N\}$
- $\{m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} n^2 : 0 \le m \le M \ 0 \le n \le N\}$
- $\{(m,n): 0 < m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} n^2 < X\}$

The last set is a collection of pairs of integers (coordinates) and the first two sets are collections of real numbers.

Here's a function we might study with Lebesgue theory:

$$f(x) = \left| \{ (m, n) : 0 < m^2 + \sqrt[4]{2} n^2 < X \} \right| - \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt[4]{2}} X \approx 0$$

we've used nothing but familiar household objects and the equations describe a natural thing.

## References

- [1] Gerald B. Folland Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and their Applications. Wiley, 1999.
- [2] Valentin Blomer, Jean Bourgain, Maksym Radziwiłł, Zeev Rudnick **Small gaps in the spectrum of the rectangular billiard** arXiv:1604.02413

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Did we ever compute a Lebesgue integral in our lives? So why we bother talking about Lebesgue integrable