Lookup: Ring Theory

Our prototypical example of a ring is the number line, abstractly written as \mathbb{Z} . This didn't happen until the 20th century with Emmy Noether (or something like that).

We're pretty sure we need the tensor product. A *tensor* is just a **box**. We needed tensors to build the theory of General Relativity and described "curved" 3- and 4-dimensional spaces. They are used to describe the **curvature** of different types of "shapes" or "spaces". By the 1920's academics realized tensors would play a role in topology (the most *qualitative* study of shapes – invariant under high levels of distortion).

The naı̈ve way of constructing the tensor product would be merely to write $x \otimes y$ with $x,y \in R$ two elements of a ring. We should have:

$$x \otimes y \neq y \otimes x$$

Then we could have the basic properties of tensor produts:

- $x \otimes (y_1 + y_2) = (x \otimes y_1) + (x \otimes y_2)$
- $(x_1 + x_2) \otimes y = x_1 \otimes y + x_2 \otimes y$
- $(r x) \otimes y = x \otimes (r y)$

so we could have $x \in M$ and $y \in N$ elements of two R-modules (a generalization of matrices). Then we continue the inspection of the properties of the ring. Instead of building the tensor product element by element, there is a **bilinear map**:

$$\otimes: M \times N \to M \otimes N$$

In our case, $x = \vec{x} \in M$ and $y = \vec{y} \in N$ are vector spaces.

Ex if $M = \mathbb{R}$ and $N = \mathbb{R}$ then $M \times N = R \otimes R$.

Ex $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^2$.

Ex $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathbb{R}[i] = \mathbb{C}$.

Ex $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$. (This an example of torsion.)

 $\mathbf{Ex}\ \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\otimes\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}=0.$

 $\mathsf{Ex}\; \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}.$

So there are well-behaved rules for generating entire number systems. In a graduate-level textbook or reference book, the category is called $R\text{-}\mathsf{Mod}.$

Category theory could let us organize the many different number systems and "geometric" objects that arise in our computations. The inner product which is just $x \cdot y = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3$. It turns out to be more correctly written as:

$$x \cdot y = x_1 y^1 + x_2 y^2 + x_3 y^3 \in \mathbb{R}$$

could be thought us as a map from $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_3 \to \mathbb{R}$.

$$(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}) \otimes (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}) = 9(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^9$$

 $M \otimes_R -$ and $- \otimes_R N$ are **right-exact functors** so they have well-behaved properties.

Let's do the following typing exercise, a **triangle**:

$$M \times N \xrightarrow{\otimes} M \otimes_R N$$

$$\downarrow_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$G$$

Here $\tilde{f}\circ\otimes=f$.

The danger of "universality" is that we have to at some point recover the original object. Yet it's a succinct way of dealing with **everything** at one.

It would look funny to write the matrix object in terms of matrix objects:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (e_1 \otimes e^1) + (e_2 \otimes e^2) + (e_3 \otimes e^3)$$

There's confusion about the algebraic objects that we are dealing with. Here it's called a "balanced product" or a "tensor product".

• $M \times N$ is called a balance product even though ther are only two factors.

The category theory way is nice and clean, yet it might not be obvious to interpret. Maybe we can be suspicious about the role of $\mathbb Z$ everywhere. 1

$$2 \times T^3 + 4 \times T + 8 \times I = (T_2)^{10}$$

Here we try to make decimals look like another operation.

Texample if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $n+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Our number line rarely runs to more than n=100 or n=1000 and what if $n=10^{100}$ or something like that. We can't use a pocket calculator which is limited to 8 decimal places. So there's always a notion of **next**. Worse than that the operations of + and \times might behave oddly with the spillover with the decimal places. And "decimal" here is just the map $T: n \mapsto 10 \times x$. Example $2048 = 2 \times 10^3 + 4 \times 10 + 8 = (2 \times T^3 + 4 \times T + 8 \times I) \times 1$ this is also $2^10 = (T_2)^{10} \times 1$.

The balanced products are written in terms of the Hom functor.

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M\otimes_R N,G) \simeq \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_R(M,\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N,G))$$

So that our simple notations of $\sum v_i v^i = v^2$ had basic categorical content where our notions of "number" had to be revised.

References

[1]