Examples: WKB Approximation

John D Mangual

We hit the ground running a bit here¹ - why are we drawing all these curves in the first place. I'll draw a few in a moment.

The WKB approximation says if the guage where:

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

there are two independent \mathcal{A} -flat sections of the form:

$$\psi^1 \sim \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{R}{\xi} \int^z \lambda} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \psi^2 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{-\frac{R}{\xi} \int^z \lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore in the limit as $\xi \to 0$ we obtain **essential singularities**, which behave like $e^{1/z}$ near z=0.

¹I have been encouraged to look at this topic by various sources and have had the privilege to meet Davide Gaiotto during my one-time visit to Perimeter and Andrew Neitzke at various conferences. Since the papers were written about 7 years ago. These read somewhat like Harry Potter, and they are quite lengthy. The reward, potentially is a new look at holomorphic functions and complex analysis (at least for me.

Locally the essential singularity looks like a spiral. Let's solve:

$$e^{1/z} \in \mathbb{R}$$

then $z=Re^{i\theta}$,

$$z(t) = z_0 e^{t e^{i\theta}}$$

so the generic WKB curve is a **logarithmic spi-ral**.

$$x^K + \sum_{k=2}^K u_k(z) x^{K-k} = 0$$

the coefficients polynomials of suitable degree²

This is a polynomial in x of degree K, but let's use some earth-shattering language and say that Σ it is K-fold cover of C, and that coefficients are sections of the sheaf $u_k \in H^0(C, K^{\otimes k})$. Which in turn which define a curve $\Sigma \subset T^*C$.

And we will set K=2.

²There are lecture notes of Nigel Hitchen or Simon Donaldson – one of them – for undergraduates and he gives you the Riemann existence theorem. I didn't think to much of this but it's **rare** for any good explanation of this result.

I can say a tiny bit more the "Coulomb branch" of this theory is:

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r H^0(C, K^{\otimes d_k})$$

so an element of the Coulomb branch is the as choosing these polynomial cofficients.

I feel these singularities are interesting in their own right, but we have indicated other motives.

Our choice of lie group G = SU(2) or quiver $A = A_2$. The central charge is the integral of this differential:

$$Z = \frac{1}{\pi} \otimes_{\gamma} \lambda$$

yet this theory is also described by a case of Hitchin's equations:

$$F + R^2[\phi, \overline{\phi}] = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{\partial}_A \phi := (\partial_{\overline{z}} \phi_z + [A_{\overline{z}}, \phi_z)]) d\overline{z} \wedge dz = 0 \qquad (2)$$

$$\partial_A \phi := (\partial_z \phi_{\overline{z}} + [A_z, \phi_{\overline{z}})]) dz \wedge d\overline{z} = 0 \qquad (3)$$

and the solutions to this equation can be com-

bined into a single connection:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{R}{\xi}\phi + A + R\xi\overline{\phi}$$

this is much analogous to how the **real** and **imaginary** parts combine to form a **complex number**. These equations involve twistor theory which for now is just a parameter $\xi \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and especially $\xi \in 0, \infty$ but also $\xi \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Then near $\xi = 0$ we know the singular behavior of \mathcal{A} is determined by the zeros and polesof λ , but putting all this physics aside our singularities are of the type:

$$e^{rac{R}{\xi}\int^z\sqrt{rac{p(x)}{q(x)}}\,dz}$$

and which obviously has a bunch of essential singuarlties the tool we shall use to evaluate these are **resurgence analysis** otherwise known as "steepest descent".

References

- (1) Davide Gaiotto, Gregory W. Moore, Andrew Neitzke. **Wall-Crossing in Coupled 2d-4d Systems.** arXiv:1103.2598v1
- (2) Davide Gaiotto, Gregory W. Moore, Andrew Neitzke. Wall-crossing, Hitchin Systems, and the WKB Approximation. arXiv:0907.3987