## **Spin Chain Dualities**

John D Mangual

Two physicists at Perimeter Institute - Davide Gaiotto and Peter Koroteev - discuss promising "dualities" between a spin chain and an integrable system.

- Twisted anisotropic XXZ spin chain
- Trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

Here and there, I have read of a dynamical system that is "integrable" or a spin-chain.

In fact, for a long time I thought these two terms were interchangeable.

Here is some more information about this duality:

- $\bullet$  SU(L+1) XXZ spin chain
- $\bullet$  GL(Q) tRS model

What aspects of XXZ map to what aspects of tRS?

- ullet impurities  $\longleftrightarrow$  Eigenvalues of M
- ullet twist  $\longleftrightarrow$  Eigenvalues of T
- ullet anisotropy  $\longleftrightarrow$  Eigenvalue of E

I gleaned that tRS involves matrices which satisfy an eq:

$$MTM^{-1}T^{-1} = E$$

**Does** 
$$Q = L + 1$$
**?**

I left out some important details about a quiver, the Bethe-Ansatz equations look to complicated to read<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is common in integrable systems... Unfortunately, does not mean "easy to read" or "intuitive".

## What is Twisted Anisotropic XXZ Spin Chain over SU(L+1)?

Gaiotto-Koroteev may have borrowed their interpretaton of spin chains from Nikita Nekrasov and Samson Shatashvili. These authors write about a **gauge-Bethe correspondence** just like the one we are trying to figure out now.

The SU(2) XXX spin chain<sup>2</sup> describes a set of spins on a lattice of length N. The Hilbert space is a tensor product:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$$

and SU(2) acts as a representation on this space.

$$H = J \sum_{a=1}^{L} (S_a^x S_{a+1}^x + S_a^y S_{a+1}^y + S_a^z S_{a+1}^z)$$

where  $\vec{S}_a = \frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma}_a$  are te Pauli spin matrices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>so I guess L = 1 here...

The state of this system can only have one of  $2^N$  outcomes, such as  $|\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow \dots \uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The boundary conditions are "twisted" around the circle...

$$\vec{S}_{N+1} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_3} \vec{S}_1 e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_3}$$

The total amount of spin  $\vec{S} = \sum \vec{S}_a$  commutes with the Hamiltonian... this is a very fance way of saying spin is conserved.

This narrows down the dynamics a tiny bit since, even though there are  $2^N$  possibilities for spin, we can put them into groups of  $\binom{N}{M}$  spins for  $M=0,1,\ldots,N$ .

A wavefunction is a map  $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{\otimes n} \to \mathbb{C}$  and we would like to find wavefunctions that are eigenvectors of H.

Skipping very important work for now... the eigenspaces are related to the Bethe Ansatz equations:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_j + \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_j - \frac{i}{2}}\right)^L = e^{i\theta} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k + i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - i}$$

Since I can't do these equations justice in a few sentences I am not going to motivate them at all<sup>3</sup> - the *inhomogeneous* XXX spin chain looks almst the same:

$$\prod_{a=1}^{L} \frac{\lambda_j - \nu_a + is_a}{\lambda_j - \nu_a - is_a} = e^{i\theta} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k + i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - i}$$

There is the "analytic" Bethe Ansatz and the "algebraic" Bethe Ansatz... all of them are way too complicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We should not the cameo appearances of the Cauchy transform and algebraic invariant theory since we are doing all these index manipulations!!!

We are looking for XXZ spin chain over SU(L+1) not just SU(2).

$$H = \sum_{a=1}^{L} (J S_a^x S_{a+1}^x + J S_a^y S_{a+1}^y + J_z S_a^z S_{a+1}^z)$$

One possiblity is to **embed**  $\rho:SU(2)\to SU(L+1)$  and get a representation that way. The representations of SU(2) are indexed by the half-integers<sup>4</sup>

Domenico Orlando and Susanne Reffert, elegantly show us how  $xxx_{1/2}$  spin chain is related to the equivariant cohomology of the tangent bundle of the grassmanian.

$$H_*[T^*Gr(N,L)]$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>a fact that I must review over and over

## References

- (1) Davide Gaiotto, Peter Koroteev On Three Dimensional Quiver Gauge Theories and Integrability arXiv: 1304.0779
- (2) Domenico Orlando, Susanne Reffert **The Gauge-Bethe Correspondence and Geometric Representation Theory** arXiv:1011.6120
- (3) Nikita A.Nekrasov, Samson L.Shatashvili Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz arXiv:0901.4744