Application 6: Regression Model for Concentration

Dave Lorenz

July 26, 2017

This example illustrates how to use the regression model to estimate concentration rather than load. Concentrations can be estimated for daily or shorter time frames directly from the load regression output. Time-weighted mean concentrations for longer time periods can be computed using the method described for application 6 in Runkel and others (2004), or simply computing the mean daily if confidence intervals are not needed. Flow-weighted mean concentrations can be computed by estimating the flux for each period of time, dividing by the mean flow and dividing by the correct conversion factor; the confidence interval can be computed in the same manner.

This example uses the second revised model from example application 5. The user is directed to that example vignette for details on constructing that model. Part 2 illustrates how to estimate time-weighted mean (TWM) monthly concentrations. Part 3 illustrates how to estimate flow-weighted mean (FWM) concentrations.

- > # Load the rloadest package and the data
- > library(rloadest)
- > data(app5.calib)
- > head(app5.calib)

	DATES	TIMES	FLOW	SC	Alkalinity
1	1995-02-28	1231	10.0	1425.0	248
2	1995-03-24	1301	11.3	1010.0	205
3	1995-03-28	0801	190.0	519.0	78
4	1995-04-12	0931	13.1	784.0	204
5	1995-04-24	1301	22.4	1750.0	231
6	1995-05-08	1301	2700.0	98.9	27

1 Predict Daily Concentrations for 1999

The concentration model is computed using loadReg. The default print does not print the concentration model, but it can be printed by setting load.only to FALSE.

```
> # Create the and print load model with concentration.
> app6.lr <- loadReg(Alkalinity ~ quadratic(log(FLOW)) + log(SC) +
                        fourier(DATES),
                      data = app5.calib, subset=DATES < "1998-01-01",
                      flow = "FLOW", dates = "DATES", conc.units="mg/L",
                      station="Arkansas River at Halstead, Ks.")
> print(app6.lr, load.only=FALSE)
*** Load Estimation ***
Station: Arkansas River at Halstead, Ks.
Constituent: Alkalinity
          Number of Observations: 74
Number of Uncensored Observations: 74
          Center of Decimal Time: 1996.566
                 Center of ln(Q): 5.1571
                Period of record: 1995-02-28 to 1997-12-29
Selected Load Model:
_____
Alkalinity ~ quadratic(log(FLOW)) + log(SC) + fourier(DATES)
Model coefficients:
                              Estimate Std. Error z-score p-value
(Intercept)
                               5.95099
                                          0.31973 18.612
                                                            0e+00
quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)1 0.91116
                                          0.01875 48.605
                                                            0e+00
quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)2 0.02903
                                          0.00516 5.626
                                                            0e+00
log(SC)
                               0.73392
                                          0.04857 15.111
                                                            0e+00
fourier(DATES)sin(k=1)
                              -0.10864
                                          0.02358 -4.608
                                                            0e+00
fourier(DATES)cos(k=1)
                              -0.09143
                                          0.02670 -3.425
                                                            6e-04
AMLE Regression Statistics
Residual variance: 0.01496
R-squared: 99.06 percent
G-squared: 345.6 on 5 degrees of freedom
P-value: <0.0001
Prob. Plot Corr. Coeff. (PPCC):
```

```
r = 0.9789
```

p-value = 0.0172

Serial Correlation of Residuals: 0.1002

Variance Inflation Factors:

VIF

quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)1 5.856
quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)2 1.181
log(SC) 7.342
fourier(DATES)sin(k=1) 1.284
fourier(DATES)cos(k=1) 1.441

${\tt Comparison\ of\ Observed\ and\ Estimated\ Loads}$

Summary Stats: Loads in kg/d

Min 25% 50% 75% 90% 95% Max Est 5540 10300 16400 66400 169000 283000 865000 Obs 5290 10100 16900 58600 176000 281000 947000

Bias Diagnostics

Bp: -2.08 percent

PLR: 0.9792 E: 0.9867

Selected Concentration Model:

Alkalinity ~ quadratic(log(FLOW)) + log(SC) + fourier(DATES)

Model coefficients:

AMLE Regression Statistics Residual variance: 0.01496 R-squared: 97.17 percent

G-squared: 263.9 on 5 degrees of freedom

P-value: <0.0001

Prob. Plot Corr. Coeff. (PPCC):

```
r = 0.9789
p-value = 0.0172
```

Serial Correlation of Residuals: 0.1002

${\tt Comparison} \ \, {\tt of} \ \, {\tt Observed} \ \, {\tt and} \ \, {\tt Estimated} \ \, {\tt Concentrations}$

Summary Stats: Concentrations in mg/L

Min 25% 50% 75% 90% 95% Max Est 25.8 93.2 221 273 290 295 300 Obs 27.0 98.0 228 268 284 298 310

Bias Diagnostics

Bp: 0.328 percent

PCR: 1.003 E: 0.9564

The rloadest package contains the function predConc that will estimate concentrations for daily or shorter time periods, depending on the time step. Note that the daily estimation data set, app5.est, has 7 missing days and so has only 358 observations instead of 365 for 1999.

```
> # Get the estimation data
> data(app5.est)
> # Predict daily concentrations
> app6.cd <- predConc(app6.lr, app5.est, by="day")
> head(app6.cd)
```

```
        Date
        Flow
        Conc
        Std.Err
        SEP
        L95
        U95

        1
        1999-01-01
        44
        230.4691
        8.075796
        29.42066
        177.3864
        294.6353

        2
        1999-01-02
        40
        238.0191
        8.203423
        30.34716
        183.2577
        304.1994

        3
        1999-01-03
        41
        232.3866
        7.982030
        29.62166
        178.9330
        296.9834

        4
        1999-01-04
        38
        238.2327
        8.071336
        30.33699
        183.4825
        304.3841

        5
        1999-01-05
        41
        235.7455
        8.146778
        30.06315
        181.4977
        301.3075

        6
        1999-01-06
        38
        235.2900
        7.922827
        29.94932
        181.2371
        300.5938
```

2 Part 2 Predict Monthly Time-weighted Mean Concentrations for 1999

The estimation of TWM concentrations requires the user to "trick" the regression model by substituting a constant synthetic value for the flow column that actually estimates concentrations in stead of load when using the predLoad function. The details of the development of the method are described in Runkel and others (2004). This example application only describes the implementation using rloadest functions.

The first step is to create a synthetic flow column in both the calibration and the estimation data sets. The value of the data is the conversion factor from load to concentration, or the reciprocal of the conversion factor from concentration to loads that can be gotten by using the c2load function.

```
> # Create synthetic flow values
> app6.calib <- transform(app5.calib, Sflow=1/c2load(1, 1,
     conc.units="mg/L"))
> app6.est <- transform(app5.est, Sflow=1/c2load(1, 1,
     conc.units="mg/L"))
> head(app6.calib)
       DATES TIMES
                     FLOW
                              SC Alkalinity
                                                Sflow
1 1995-02-28 1231
                     10.0 1425.0
                                        248 0.4087324
2 1995-03-24 1301
                     11.3 1010.0
                                        205 0.4087324
                                         78 0.4087324
3 1995-03-28 0801 190.0 519.0
4 1995-04-12 0931
                     13.1 784.0
                                        204 0.4087324
5 1995-04-24 1301
                     22.4 1750.0
                                        231 0.4087324
6 1995-05-08 1301 2700.0
                            98.9
                                         27 0.4087324
```

The next step is to construct the calibrated model. This example will use the previously calibrated model, so that diagnostics plots will not be created. The only difference between this model and the previous model is that the flow column is defined as the synthetic flow column. The printed report for this load model should agree with the printed report for the concentration model in the previous section.

*** Load Estimation ***

Station: Arkansas River at Halstead, Ks.

Constituent: Alkalinity

Number of Observations: 74 Number of Uncensored Observations: 74

Center of Decimal Time: 1996.566 Center of ln(Q): -0.8947

Period of record: 1995-02-28 to 1997-12-29

Selected Load Model:

Alkalinity ~ quadratic(log(FLOW)) + log(SC) + fourier(DATES)

Model coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z-score	p-value
(Intercept)	-0.06969	0.31973	-0.218	0.8202
<pre>quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)1</pre>	-0.08884	0.01875	-4.739	0.0000
<pre>quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)2</pre>	0.02903	0.00516	5.626	0.0000
log(SC)	0.73392	0.04857	15.111	0.0000
<pre>fourier(DATES)sin(k=1)</pre>	-0.10864	0.02358	-4.608	0.0000
fourier(DATES)cos(k=1)	-0.09143	0.02670	-3.425	0.0006

AMLE Regression Statistics Residual variance: 0.01496 R-squared: 97.17 percent

G-squared: 263.9 on 5 degrees of freedom

P-value: <0.0001

Prob. Plot Corr. Coeff. (PPCC):

r = 0.9789

p-value = 0.0172

Serial Correlation of Residuals: 0.1002

Variance Inflation Factors:

VTF

quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)1 5.856
quadratic(log(FLOW))(5.12598)2 1.181
log(SC) 7.342
fourier(DATES)sin(k=1) 1.284
fourier(DATES)cos(k=1) 1.441

Comparison of Observed and Estimated Loads

Summary Stats: Loads in kg/d

Min 25% 50% 75% 90% 95% Max Est 25.8 93.2 221 273 290 295 300 Obs 27.0 98.0 228 268 284 298 310

Bias Diagnostics

Bp: 0.328 percent

PLR: 1.003 E: 0.9564

The user can now estimate TWM concentrations for periods longer than 1 day. Remember that the estimation dataset has 7 missing days and no estimates for those months will be made.

```
> # Predict monthly TWM concentrations using the \textt{predLoad} function.
```

- > app6.TWM <- predLoad(app6.lrTWM, app6.est, by="month")</pre>
- > # Change the name of the Flux column to Conc
- > names(app6.TWM)[3] <- "Conc"
- > app6.TWM

	Period		Ndays	Conc	Std.Err	SEP	L95
1	January	1999	31	213.1878	7.081182	8.529423	196.95746
2	February	1999	28	149.5486	5.216405	6.361388	137.46681
3	March	1999	31	194.6095	5.790947	7.210804	180.85773
4	April	1999	30	107.1358	3.026241	4.009600	99.49121
5	May	1999	31	121.6526	3.345660	4.355846	113.33779
6	June	1999	29	NA	NA	NA	NA
7	July	1999	28	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	August	1999	31	168.6656	6.141413	7.344922	154.72644
9	${\tt September}$	1999	27	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	October	1999	31	211.5238	6.786674	8.240603	195.83063
11	November	1999	30	252.3945	8.046272	9.846216	233.64435
12	December	1999	31	189.3642	6.175727	7.502260	175.08417
	U95						
1	230.3869						
2	162.3985						
3	209.1198						
4	115.2064						
5	130.4103						
6	NA						
7	NA						
8	183.5125						
9	NA						
10	228.1284						

11 272.2351

12 204.4880

A quick check of the mean daily concentrations verifies that computations. Note that there is no protection against incomplete months when the TWM concentrations are computed manually, so values are obtained for June, July and September.

> with(app6.cd, tapply(Conc, month(Date, label=TRUE), mean))

JanFebMarAprMayJun213.18784149.54864194.60951107.13581121.65264106.54503JulAugSepOctNovDec85.02916168.66561130.05797211.52384252.39450189.36420

3 Part 3 Predict Monthly Flow-weighted Mean Concentrations for 1999

The estimation of FWM concentrations is a two-step process. The first step is to build the rating-curve model for loads and estimate the flux desired for each period. The second step is to compute the mean flow for each period and divide the flux by the flow and correct to concentration units. The load model for this example (app6.lr) was created in the first part of this vignette.

```
> # Compute the monthly fluxes.
> app6.FWM <- predLoad(app6.lr, app6.est, by="month")
> # Compute the mean flows
> app6.FWM$Flow <- as.vector(with(app6.est, tapply(FLOW, month(DATES), mean)))
> # Compute the FWM concentration
> app6.FWM <- transform(app6.FWM, FWMC=Flux/Flow/</pre>
                          c2load(1, 1, conc.units="mg/L"))
> app6.FWM
                                                             L95
           Period Ndays
                             Flux
                                     Std.Err
                                                   SEP
                         35442.25 1309.7176 2155.2335
1
     January 1999
                     31
                                                        31405.46
2
    February 1999
                     28 63032.12 2511.7167 3783.9322
                                                        55940.53
3
       March 1999
                     31
                         25388.38
                                  768.4968 957.4235
                                                        23563.38
4
       April 1999
                     30 127971.05 4415.6512 6011.0352 116592.86
5
                     31 67572.50 1854.0435 2724.5044
         May 1999
                                                        62389.33
6
        June 1999
                     29
                               NA
                                          NΑ
                                                    NΑ
                                                              NΑ
7
        July 1999
                     28
                               NA
                                          NA
                                                    NA
                                                              NA
8
      August 1999
                     31 100304.10 3710.5034 5197.4230
                                                        90502.12
   September 1999
                     27
                               NA
                                          NA
                                                    NA
                        12434.02 397.2618
10
    October 1999
                     31
                                              484.1962
                                                        11511.91
   November 1999
                     30
                         15924.63
                                   507.6033
                                              621.6939
                                                        14740.76
                         23377.49 805.5262 1009.0498
   December 1999
                     31
                                                       21461.96
         U95
                  Flow
                            FWMC
   39850.55 121.74194 118.99263
1
2
    70767.67 353.57143
                       72.86580
3
   27315.89 53.58065 193.67169
4
  140150.41 815.46667
                        64.14230
5
   73067.49 327.41935
                        84.35380
6
          NA 787.03448
                              NA
7
          NA 932.00000
                              NA
8
  110870.01 620.48387
                        66.07348
          NA 136.25926
9
   13409.65 24.29032 209.22673
10
   17177.40 25.86667 251.63317
   25416.63 57.61290 165.85062
```

For these data, the FWM concentration is less than the TWM concentration. In general, the FWM concentration will less than the TWM concentration when the concentration and flow are negatively correlated.